

# Statin-Induced Diabetes: Will It Change Clinical Practice?

An increase in the incidence of physician-diagnosed diabetes with rosuvastatin in Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) published recently revived clinical interest in the effects of statins on glycemic control. The study showed that, after almost 2 years of follow-up in men and women with elevated levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein but average LDL cholesterol, rosuvastatin therapy was associated with a mild but significant increase in the identification of new-onset diabetes (3% in the statin arm, 2.4% in the placebo arm;  $P < 0.01$ ) (1). The potential association between statin use and new-onset diabetes gained attention in 2001 when a post hoc analysis of another primary prevention statin trial, the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS), reported that treatment with pravastatin decreased the hazard of developing type 2 diabetes by 30% (hazard ratio 0.7 [95% CI 0.5–0.99];  $P = 0.042$ ) (2). These seemingly contradictory findings flank results from four other statin trials that failed to uncover a significant relationship between statin use and incident type 2 diabetes when the latter was evaluated as a tertiary end point (3–6).

In this issue of *Diabetes Care*, Rajpathak et al. (7) bring together six randomized placebo-controlled trials to explore diabetes risk with statins using a meta-analytical approach. The authors found that, if they included all six studies in the analysis (a total of 2,082 cases of incident diabetes in 57,593 study participants), there was no significant association between statin use and the development of type 2 diabetes (relative risk 1.06 [95% CI 0.93–1.25]). On the other hand, when they excluded the WOSCOPS data from the hypothesis-testing meta-analysis, an approach favored by some (8), a small increase in diabetes risk was found (1.13 [1.03–1.23]).

This meta-analysis and one that preceded the results from JUPITER (9) found that inclusion of data from the pravastatin trial(s) introduced statistical heterogeneity.

Because of their broader aims, meta-analyses commonly involve trials with differences in treatment regimen, patient characteristics, duration of follow-up, and outcome definition, differences that must be understood to interpret the results adequately (10). Experimental studies in cell culture and animal studies, as well as observations from clinical trials, suggest that there are differences among the various statins on insulin sensitivity and glycemic control, differences that could account for the heterogeneity observed in the meta-analysis (11–14). Important differences in the design of the statin trials analyzed, with varying periods of observation (1.9–6.0 years) and an inconsistent definition of incident diabetes, likely contribute to heterogeneity. Finally, differences in the characteristics of the subjects studied may impact the evaluation of statin-associated metabolic effects (15). In fact, Rajpathak et al. (7) found that sex, but not age, accounted for some of the study's heterogeneity. WOSCOPS was the only one of the six trials analyzed that did not enroll female participants (2). In contrast, 38% of participants in JUPITER were women (1). Differences in overweight and obesity and in the proportion of subjects with impaired fasting glucose across studies also need to be explored because they could well explain the heterogeneity observed in the meta-analysis and account for the differential effect of statins on incident diabetes. For instance, baseline fasting glucose in WOSCOPS averaged 85 mg/dl; in the Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease (LIPID) study, mean fasting glucose was 93.6 mg/dl in subjects with normal glucose tolerance and 113 mg/dl in participants with impaired glucose tolerance (2,5). BMI among subjects in WOSCOPS averaged 25.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, whereas the mean or median BMI among subjects in the Heart Protection Study (HPS), Controlled Rosuvastatin Multinational Trial in Heart Failure (CORONA), and JUPITER was above 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (1,2,6).

Is the association between statin use and new-onset diabetes plausible? Many mechanistic and experimental studies,

mostly with lipophilic statins, support this association. Atorvastatin, but not pravastatin, decreases glucose uptake in adipocyte cell lines (13) and is associated with an increase in A1C in hypercholesterolemic patients (12). Simvastatin, but not pravastatin, was shown to decrease insulin sensitivity and adiponectin levels in a small randomized placebo-controlled study (16). Simvastatin and atorvastatin, but not pravastatin, have been shown to decrease insulin secretion in  $\beta$ -cells (14). On the other hand, a short-term clinical trial comparing rosuvastatin with atorvastatin in subjects with metabolic syndrome showed no significant differences in fasting glucose and in homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) at 6 weeks between the statins and placebo groups (17). The inhibition of isoprenoid synthesis may explain some of the dysglycemic effects observed with statins (13).

If future studies were to confirm that some or all of the statins are associated with an increased risk for incident diabetes, would it change current clinical practice? Would increases in glycemia be clinically acceptable in the context of the benefit conferred by the use of these medications? We have learned from other trials that improvement of surrogate markers does not necessarily translate into clinical benefit (18). It is well established that in people at increased cardiovascular risk, with and without diabetes, statins provide substantial benefit by decreasing the incidence of major cardiovascular events and overall mortality. Post hoc analyses have suggested that in high-risk subjects without diabetes, it is those with features of the metabolic syndrome who derive a greater benefit from statin use (19).

The development of diabetes is of clinical concern because of the risk of its associated complications. Because cardiovascular disease accounts for almost two-thirds of deaths in people with diabetes, the protective effect of statins on this major complication may suffice to support their use despite a potential risk of new-onset diabetes. What about the effects of statins on microvascular disease? A recent



16. Koh KK, Quon MJ, Han SH, Lee Y, Kim SJ, Park JB, Shin EK. Differential metabolic effects of pravastatin and simvastatin in hypercholesterolemic patients. *Atherosclerosis* 2009;204:483–490
17. Stalenhoef AF, Ballantyne CM, Sarti C, Murin J, Tonstad S, Rose H, Wilpshaar W. A comparative study with rosuvastatin in subjects with metabolic syndrome: results of the COMETS study. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:2664–2672
18. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:2545–2559
19. Ballantyne CM, Olsson AG, Cook TJ, Mercuri MF, Pedersen TR, Kjekshus J. Influence of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and elevated triglyceride on coronary heart disease events and response to simvastatin therapy in 4S. *Circulation* 2001;104:3046–3051
20. Sukhija R, Prayaga S, Marashdeh M, Bursac Z, Kakar P, Bansal D, Sachdeva R, Kesan SH, Mehta JL. Effect of statins on fasting plasma glucose in diabetic and nondiabetic patients. *J Investig Med* 2009;57:495–499
21. Leiter LA. The prevention of diabetic microvascular complications of diabetes: is there a role for lipid lowering? *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2005;68(Suppl. 2):S3–S14
22. Sen K, Misra A, Kumar A, Pandey RM. Simvastatin retards progression of retinopathy in diabetic patients with hypercholesterolemia. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2002;56:1–11
23. Gupta A, Gupta V, Thapar S, Bhansali A. Lipid-lowering drug atorvastatin as an adjunct in the management of diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;137:675–682
24. Oda H, Keane WF. Recent advances in statins and the kidney. *Kidney Int Suppl* 1999;71:S2–S5
25. Ii M, Nishimura H, Kusano KF, Qin G, Yoon YS, Wecker A, Asahara T, Losordo DW. Neuronal nitric oxide synthase mediates statin-induced restoration of vasa nervorum and reversal of diabetic neuropathy. *Circulation* 2005;112:93–102