

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Mol Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 September 1.

# Published in final edited form as:

Mol Microbiol. 2009 September; 73(5): 882-897. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06813.x.

# RNase II is important for A-site mRNA cleavage during ribosome pausing

Fernando Garza-Sánchez<sup>1</sup>, Shinichiro Shoji<sup>2,3</sup>, Kurt Fredrick<sup>2,3</sup>, and Christopher S. Hayes<sup>1,4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106–9610

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology The Ohio State University 484 West 12<sup>th</sup> Ave, Columbus, OH 43210

<sup>3</sup>Center for RNA Biology The Ohio State University 484 West 12<sup>th</sup> Ave, Columbus, OH 43210

<sup>4</sup>Biomolecular Science and Engineering Program University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, CA 93106–9610

# Summary

In *Escherichia coli*, translational arrest can elicit cleavage of codons within the ribosomal A site. This A-site mRNA cleavage is independent of RelE, and has been proposed to be an endonucleolytic activity of the ribosome. Here, we show that the  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonuclease RNase II plays an important role in RelE-independent A-site cleavage. Instead of A-site cleavage, translational pausing in  $\Delta$ RNase II cells produces transcripts that are truncated +12 and +28 nucleotides downstream of the A-site codon. Deletions of the genes encoding polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase) and RNase R had little effect on A-site cleavage. However, PNPase overexpression restored A-site cleavage activity to  $\Delta$ RNase II cells. Purified RNase II and PNPase were both unable to directly catalyze A-site cleavage *in vitro*. Instead, these exonucleases degraded ribosome-bound mRNA to positions +18 and +24 nucleotides downstream of the ribosomal A site, respectively. Finally, a stable structural barrier to exoribonuclease activity inhibited A-site cleavage when introduced immediately downstream of paused ribosomes. These results demonstrate that  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonuclease activity is an important prerequisite for efficient A-site cleavage. We propose that RNase II degrades mRNA to the downstream border of paused ribosomes, facilitating cleavage of the A-site codon by an unknown RNase.

# Keywords

A-site mRNA cleavage; exoribonucleases; ribosome pausing; RNase II; tmRNA

# Introduction

A-site mRNA cleavage describes two distinct RNase activities that are specific for codons in the ribosomal A site. A-site cleavage was first identified as an activity of the *Escherichia coli* RelE protein, which is a sequence-specific endoribonuclease that cleaves A-site codons between the second and third nucleotide (Pedersen *et al.*, 2003). Subsequently, RelE-independent A-site mRNA cleavage was shown to occur during translational pauses in *E. coli* (Hayes and Sauer, 2003). In contrast to the RelE-mediated activity, A-site cleavage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Correspondence should be addressed to: Christopher S. Hayes Tel: 805–893–2028 Fax: 805–893–4724 E-mail: chayes@lifesci.ucsb.edu.

during translational pausing occurs at a wide variety of sense and stop codons (Garza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2008; Hayes and Sauer, 2003). Both A-site cleavage activities result in ribosome stalling on truncated messages (Christensen and Gerdes, 2003; Hayes and Sauer, 2003). In eubacteria, the tmRNA•SmpB quality control system facilitates recycling of such stalled ribosomes in a process termed "ribosome rescue". tmRNA (or transfer-messenger RNA) is a specialized RNA that acts first as a tRNA to bind the A site of stalled ribosomes, then as an mRNA to direct the co-translational addition of the SsrA peptide degradation tag to the C terminus of the nascent chain (Keiler *et al.*, 1996). SmpB is a tmRNA-binding protein required for ribosome recruitment and translation of the SsrA peptide tag (Karzai *et al.*, 1999; Sundermeier *et al.*, 2005). As a result of tmRNA•SmpB activity, the stalled ribosome undergoes translation termination and recycling, and the SsrA-tagged polypeptide is targeted for proteolysis. Because ribosome pausing can induce both A-site mRNA cleavage and tmRNA•SmpB-mediated peptide tagging, it has been proposed that these two activities occur sequentially as part of a quality control system.

RelE-independent A-site mRNA cleavage is proposed to be the activity of an unknown endonuclease. The initial report characterized the upstream (5') A-site cleavage fragment, but was unable to detect a downstream (3') product, presumably because this fragment was not translated and therefore rapidly degraded (Hayes and Sauer, 2003). Since that time, our laboratory has been unable to isolate, stabilize, or detect a downstream fragment from RelEindependent A-site cleavage despite considerable effort. These results led us to consider roles for  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exoribonucleases in A-site mRNA cleavage. Here, we report that the  $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease RNase II plays an important role in A-site mRNA cleavage in E. coli. Deletion of the genes encoding RNases R, D, PH, T, BN(Z), and PNPase had no significant effect on A-site mRNA cleavage, however the overexpression of PNPase restored A-site cleavage activity to ARNase II cells. Although RNase II is critical for A-site mRNA cleavage during ribosome pausing, its structure is incompatible with direct degradation of the A-site codon. RNase II has a relatively long substrate-binding channel, and typically leaves undigested 3' tails of 6 - 9 nucleotides upon encountering stable secondary structure (Frazao *et al.*, 2006; Spickler and Mackie, 2000; Zuo et al., 2006). Presumably, A-site cleavage occurs immediately adjacent to the codon-anticodon duplex in the P site of paused ribosomes, and thus the role of RNase II is likely indirect. Indeed, we find that RNase II is only able to degrade mRNA to the downstream border of paused ribosomes in vitro. We propose that RNase II-mediated degradation to the ribosome border facilitates the activity of another RNase that cleaves the A-site codon.

# Results

# The flag-(m)ybeL-PP mini-message undergoes A-site cleavage during translation termination

The consecutive C-terminal Pro residues of the *E. coli* YbeL-PP protein have been shown to interfere with translation termination and induce A-site cleavage at stop codons (Hayes *et al.*, 2002; Hayes and Sauer, 2003). We sought to identify the downstream (3') A-site cleavage fragment using a mini-message derived from the *ybeL-PP* gene (Hayes *et al.*, 2002; Hayes and Sauer, 2003). The *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* mini-gene encodes the C-terminal 49 residues of YbeL-PP fused to an N-terminal FLAG epitope (Fig. 1A). Like the full-length protein, expression of FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP produced more truncated mRNA in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells compared to tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells (Figs. 1B & 1C). A-site cleavage products do not typically accumulate to high levels in tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells, presumably because the tmRNA•SmpB system removes paused ribosomes from truncated mRNA, thereby facilitating the rapid degradation of the cleaved messages (Hayes and Sauer, 2003; Yamamoto *et al.*, 2003). S1 nuclease protection analysis showed that the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcript from  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells was truncated primarily within the stop codon (Fig. 2B, RNase<sup>+</sup> lane). This pattern of truncated

mRNA is characteristic of A-site cleavage during translation termination (Hayes and Sauer, 2003; Sunohara *et al.*, 2004). We note that the truncations extended into the last sense (Pro) codon of the transcript (Fig. 2B), which was not observed previously with the full-length *ybeL-PP* message (Hayes and Sauer, 2003). These additional cleavages resulted from secondary translational arrest due to the depletion of free tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup>. A significant proportion of tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> was sequestered as peptidyl prolyl-tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> on terminating ribosomes (Fig. 1B), reducing the pool of tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> available for decoding the final Pro codon (Gong *et al.*, 2006). Overexpression of the cognate tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> species prevented cleavage in the Pro codon, but had no effect on stop codon cleavage (data not shown).

To test whether mRNA cleavage occurred during translation termination, we examined two negative control constructs. The *flag-(m)ybeL-EA* mini-gene encodes C-terminal Glu-Ala residues (Fig. 1A), which facilitate translation termination and do not support A-site cleavage (Hayes *et al.*, 2002;Hayes and Sauer, 2003). In accord with previous studies, truncated transcripts were not observed when FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA was expressed in either  $\Delta$ tmRNA or tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 1B). The *flag-(m)ybeL(amber)* construct contains an amber mutation that changes Glu28 to a stop codon (Fig. 1A), and thereby prevents ribosomes from translating the C-terminal Pro residues. No truncated transcripts were detected in  $\Delta$ tmRNA or tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells expressing the *flag-(m)ybeL(amber)* transcript (Fig. 1B). Additionally, we expressed FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells lacking all six of the known RNase toxins (RelE, ChpBK, YoeB, MazF, YafQ, and YhaV), and observed no decrease in truncated mRNA (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that translation is required for mRNA cleavage, and that this RNase activity is not mediated by any of the known toxins.

We next examined peptidyl-tRNA to demonstrate ribosome pausing during translation termination. We reasoned that ribosomes paused during translation termination should carry peptidyl-tRNA corresponding to the last sense codon of the mRNA. The last sense codon of *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* is CCC (Fig. 1A), which is decoded exclusively by tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> in *E. coli* (Dong et al., 1996). The remaining five Pro residues of FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP were coded as CCG, which is decoded by both tRNA<sub>1</sub><sup>Pro</sup> and tRNA<sub>3</sub><sup>Pro</sup> (Dong *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, peptidyl prolyl-tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> should be a specific marker of ribosomes that are terminating FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP translation. Northern analysis of tRNA2<sup>Pro</sup> showed significant levels of peptidyl prolyl-tRNA2<sup>Pro</sup> when FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP was overexpressed (Fig. 1B). In contrast, peptidyl prolyl-tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> was not detected in uninduced cells, nor in cells expressing either FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA or the prematurely amber-terminated FLAG-(m)YbeL protein (Fig. 1B). Moreover, there was no evidence of peptidyl alanyl-tRNA<sub>1B</sub><sup>Ala</sup> during FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA expression (data not shown), indicating that peptidyl-tRNA does not accumulate during efficient translation termination. Taken together, these data show that ribosomes pause specifically at the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* stop codon, strongly suggesting that this codon is cleaved during inefficient translation termination.

#### Analysis of RelE-mediated and RelE-independent A-site mRNA cleavage

We next sought to identify the downstream A-site cleavage fragment using the 3' probe in Northern blot hybridizations (Fig. 1A). Although the upstream A-site cleavage fragment was readily detected by Northern blot, we were unable to detect a product corresponding to the predicted downstream fragment (Fig. 1C). To determine whether such a fragment would be stable *in vivo*, we co-expressed the RelE A-site nuclease with FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP. RelE can act at several codons, but preferentially cleaves UAG and CAG codons (Christensen and Gerdes, 2003;Pedersen *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, we also tested a *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* construct containing a UAG stop codon (Fig. 1A). We reasoned that pausing during translation termination would facilitate RelE cleavage in the stop codon, and allow us to assess the stability of the resulting downstream fragment. Northern analysis revealed a number of 5'and 3'-cleavage products upon RelE induction (Fig. 1C). The largest of the RelE 5'-cleavage

fragments co-migrated with the upstream A-site cleavage product on polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 1C), suggesting that this species resulted from RelE cleavage in the stop codon. The original construct containing a UAA stop codon was not cleaved as efficiently at this site (Fig. 1C), consistent with the specificity of RelE *in vitro* (Pedersen *et al.*, 2003). We then looked for the corresponding 3'-cleavage fragment using the 3' probe. The smallest of the 3' RelE cleavage fragments co-migrated with a control *in vitro* transcript that mimics the downstream fragment produced by RelE cleavage in the UAG stop codon (Figs. 1A & 1C). Primer extension analysis identified a RelE-dependent cleavage between the A and G residues of the UAG stop codon (Fig. 1D), which is identical to the published RelE cleavage (Pedersen *et al.*, 2003). Thus, RelE-mediated cleavage in the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* stop codon produces a stable downstream fragment *in vivo*. Although it is possible that RelE-independent cleavage produces a less stable downstream fragment, these results suggest that A-site cleavage in our system involves more than a single endonucleolytic event.

#### RNase II plays an important role in A-site mRNA cleavage

Four exoribonucleases - RNase II, RNase R, PNPase, and oligoribonuclease - are important for mRNA decay in E. coli (Andrade et al., 2009). We deleted the genes encoding each exonuclease (except for the essential oligoribonuclease gene) in AtmRNA cells, and examined A-site mRNA cleavage by Northern blot and S1 nuclease protection. PNPase and RNase R deletions had little effect on A-site mRNA cleavage (Figs. 2B & 3A). In contrast, deletion of the gene encoding RNase II dramatically reduced A-site cleavage, and led to the accumulation of two novel truncated species (Figs. 2B & 3A). S1 nuclease protection analysis showed that these transcripts were truncated at +12 and +27/+28 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon (Fig. 2B). We also examined the role of ATP-dependent RNA helicases in A-site cleavage. RhlB cooperates with PNPase to degrade mRNA containing secondary structure, and HrpA facilitates the ribosome-dependent cleavage of the E. coli daa mRNA (Koo et al., 2004; Liou et al., 2002). However, deletion of either helicase had no effect on A-site mRNA cleavage (Fig. 3A, and data not shown). Poly(A) polymerase I (PAP I) also facilitates the exonucleolytic degradation of messages containing secondary structure (Py et al., 1996). Northern analysis showed that flag-(m)ybeL-PP transcript levels were significantly reduced in cells lacking PAP I (Fig. 3A). The expression defect in  $\triangle$ PAP I cells was due to the stabilization of plasmid-encoded RNAI, which inhibited the replication of our ColEI-based expression plasmid (He et al., 1993; March et al., 1989; Xu et al., 1993). In an attempt to increase transcript levels, we subcloned the expression construct into pACYC184-derived plasmid containing a different replication origin. However, the resulting pACYC184 plasmid was also maintained at a low copy number in  $\Delta PAP I$  cells (Fig. 3A, and data not shown). Though total transcript levels were reduced, the ratio of A-site cleaved to full-length message was not affected by PAP I deletion (Fig. 3A, and data not shown).

 $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells exhibited residual A-site mRNA cleavage (Figs. 2B & 3A), so we asked whether the other known 3' $\rightarrow$ 5' exonucleases contribute to this activity. RNase T, RNase D, and RNase PH are involved in the 3' processing of stable RNAs (Li and Deutscher, 1995,1996;Li *et al.*, 1998,1999), but have no known role in mRNA decay. RNase BN (also known as RNase Z) possesses both 3' $\rightarrow$ 5' exonuclease and endonuclease activities, and has recently been implicated in mRNA degradation (Dutta and Deutscher, 2009;Ezraty *et al.*, 2005;Perwez and Kushner, 2006). Deletions of the genes encoding RNase T, RNase D, RNase PH and RNase BN(Z) had no significant effect on A-site cleavage (Fig. 3A).

Deletion of RNase II in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells not only inhibited A-site cleavage, but also reduced the accumulation of minor products truncated at the +18 and +19 positions (Figs. 2B & 3A). This +18 truncation product accumulates in both  $\Delta$ tmRNA and tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells (see Fig. 1C), and its formation requires translational pausing (Garza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2008). These observations suggest that RNase II directly produces the +18 truncation product, which was

confirmed by *in vitro* experiments presented below. Therefore, we asked whether the deletion of RNase II provides an opportunity for other RNases to cleave our reporter mRNA in response to translational pausing. We first confirmed ribosome pausing during translation termination in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells using peptidyl prolyl-tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> analysis as described above (data not shown). We then expressed FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells, and observed no +12 or +27/+28 truncated transcripts (Fig. 3B), suggesting that ribosome pausing is required to generate these products. Additionally, we examined tmRNA<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta$ RNase II cells and readily detected the +12 and +27/+28 truncation products upon expression of FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP, but not FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA (Fig. 3B). Taken together, these data indicate that the alternative cleavages observed in  $\Delta$ RNase II cells are dependent on pausing during translation termination.

#### Role of endonucleases in A-site mRNA cleavage

RNase II cannot initiate degradation on structured RNA, so the intrinsic terminator at the 3' end of the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcript must be removed prior to RNase II loading. Indeed, purified RNase II was unable to degrade the full-length *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcript *in vitro* (data not shown), suggesting that an endonucleolytic cleavage is required for RNase II activity on this message. Therefore, we examined the roles of the RNase I, RNase G, RNase E, and RNase III endonucleases in A-site cleavage. Deletion of the genes encoding RNase I and RNase G had no effect on mRNA cleavage (Fig. 3A). In contrast, Northern analysis of transcripts from  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase III cells showed an unusual mRNA cleavage pattern, with an apparent increase in A-site cleavage efficiency, accompanied by the +12 truncation product (Fig. 3C, and data not shown). RNase E is essential, so we used the rne-1 temperature sensitive (ts) allele to inactivate this enzyme in temperature shift experiments (Babitzke and Kushner, 1991). Shifting ∆tmRNA RNase E(ts) cells to the non-permissive temperature (42 °C) resulted in a significant decrease in both A-site cleaved and +18truncated transcripts (Fig. 3C). However, A-site mRNA cleavage was also inhibited at 42 °C in the control  $\Delta$ tmRNA RNase E<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 3C). In contrast to  $\Delta$ tmRNA RNase E(ts) cells, there was an increase in the +18 truncation product when  $\Delta$ tmRNA RNase E<sup>+</sup> cells were shifted to 42°C (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that RNase E cleavage is required to form the RNase II-dependent +18 truncation product. Additionally, it appears that the A-site nuclease is intrinsically temperature sensitive.

## Complementation of A-site mRNA cleavage

To confirm that the lack of  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonuclease activity accounts for the A-site cleavage defect observed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells, we performed complementation experiments using arabinose-inducible RNase II expression plasmids. Plasmid-borne wild-type RNase II restored A-site mRNA cleavage, whereas the catalytically inactive Asp209Asn (D209N) mutant of RNase II was unable to complement the RNase II deletion (Figs. 4A & 4B) (Amblar and Arraiano, 2005). Arraiano and colleagues have shown that RNase II lacking the first N-terminal cold-shock domain ( $\Delta$ CSD1) is still active (Amblar *et al.*, 2006), which led to us to examine the role of CSD1 in A-site cleavage. Overexpressed RNase II  $\Delta$ CSD1 was able to restore A-site cleavage to  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells, albeit less efficiently than the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 4A).

We also asked whether the overexpression of other *E. coli* exonucleases could by-pass the apparent RNase II requirement, and restore A-site cleavage to  $\Delta \text{tmRNA} \Delta \text{RNase II}$  cells. Overexpression of RNase PH, RNase T, RNase BN(Z), and oligoribonuclease had no effect on mRNA cleavage in  $\Delta \text{tmRNA} \Delta \text{RNase II}$  cells (data not shown). RNase R overexpression appeared to alter mRNA cleavage by Northern blot, but S1 protection analysis showed that the cleavage pattern was essentially the same as that in  $\Delta \text{tmRNA} \Delta \text{RNase II}$  cells (Figs. 4A & 4B). Somewhat surprisingly, PNPase overexpression partially supported A-site cleavage

activity in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells (Figs. 4A & 4B). We wondered whether the association of PNPase with the RNA degradosome complex prevents it from participating in A-site mRNA cleavage under normal circumstances (Carpousis, 2002, 2007). However, deletion of the C-terminus of RNase E, which is required for degradosome assembly (Lopez *et al.*, 1999), failed to restore A-site mRNA cleavage in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells (data not shown). Lastly, we found that RNase D overexpression dramatically reduced the accumulation of all mRNA cleavage products in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells (Figs. 4A & 4B). This result would be expected if high RNase D levels inhibit protein synthesis, or somehow alleviate ribosome pausing in our system. However, control experiments showed that RNase D overproduction had no effect on protein synthesis or translational pausing (data not shown). Instead, it appears that RNase D is able to degrade mRNAs (see below).

#### Exonuclease-mediated degradation of mRNA in vitro

Although efficient A-site cleavage depends on RNase II, its biochemical properties seem incompatible with direct degradation of the A-site codon (see Introduction). To examine the role of exonucleases in A-site cleavage, we digested ribosome-mRNA complexes with purified RNase II and PNPase in vitro. Ribosomes were positioned on a model mRNA derived from the phage T4 gene 32 by binding N-acetyl-phenylalanyl-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> to the P site (Fig. 5A) (Shoji et al., 2006). Ribosome-mRNA complexes were then treated with increasing concentrations of purified exonucleases. RNase II digestion produced transcripts that were truncated primarily at positions +18 and +19 nucleotides downstream of the A-site codon (Figs. 5A & 5B). These degradation products were dependent upon the addition of 70S ribosomes, and were not observed when the catalytically inactive RNase II (D209N) enzyme was used (Figs. 5B & 5C). In contrast, PNPase degraded mRNA to positions +24 to +26 (Fig. 5B). At higher concentrations (2.5 µM), PNPase was able to degrade up to the +18 and +19 positions (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that RNase II and PNPase are only able to degrade mRNA to the 3' leading edge of the ribosome. To test this hypothesis, ternary complexes and elongation factor-G (EF-G) were added to translocate the ribosome forward by one codon. Addition of valyl-tRNA<sup>Val</sup>•EF-Tu•GTP ternary complexes to the ribosome had no effect on the RNase II-mediated truncations (Fig. 5C). Addition of both ternary complex and EF-G shifted the truncation pattern by three nucleotides toward the 3' end of the mRNA (Fig. 5C). However, there was no evidence of A-site cleavage under any of the examined conditions in vitro (Figs. 5B & 5C). These data strongly suggest that the downstream border of the ribosome limits the progress of RNase II and PNPase.

Because RNase D overexpression had a significant effect on mRNA cleavage *in vivo* (Figs. 5A & 5B), we also examined RNase D activity on ribosome-mRNA complexes *in vitro*. In the absence of added ribosomes, RNase D was able to extensively degrade the m617 transcript (Fig. 6). In contrast, RNase D-mediated degradation was limited to position +74 in the presence of 70S ribosomes (Fig. 6). When ribosome-mRNA complexes were digested sequential with RNase II and RNase D, degradation was indistinguishable from digestion with RNase II alone (Fig. 6). These data demonstrate that RNase D is capable of degrading mRNA, but do not support a direct role for this RNase in A-site mRNA cleavage.

#### Downstream mRNA secondary structure inhibits A-site mRNA cleavage

Because the *in vitro* degradation assays showed that RNase II degrades mRNA until it encounters the ribosome, we asked whether this ribosome-border degradation is a prerequisite for A-site mRNA cleavage. If so, then A-site cleavage should be reduced if RNase II is prevented from approaching the paused ribosome. Because RNase II is unable to degrade through extensive secondary structure, we reasoned that a stable stem-loop positioned immediately downstream of paused ribosomes would act as a physical barrier to RNase II, and suppress A-site cleavage. We generated three messages containing a stable

stem-loop inserted into the 3' UTR at positions 10, 12, and 14 nucleotides downstream of the stop codon (Fig. 7A). The ribosome binds approximately 9 - 10 nucleotides downstream of the A-site codon (Yusupova et al., 2001), so each stem-loop should be adjacent to, but not disrupted by the paused ribosome (Fig. 7A). Expression of each stem-loop message led to peptidyl-tRNA2<sup>Pro</sup> accumulation (data not shown), indicating that ribosome pausing during translation termination was unaffected by the stem-loops. However, there was significantly less A-site cleavage with the stem-loop messages compared to the original *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcript (Fig. 7B). Instead of undergoing A-site cleavage, the stem-loop transcripts were truncated at a site downstream of the stop codon (Fig. 7B). S1 nuclease protection analysis revealed that the stem-loop transcripts were truncated primarily 2 - 4 nucleotides downstream of the stem helix (Fig. 7A, and data not shown). Some of the stem-loop truncated messages probably arose from premature transcription termination, because these truncation products were also observed when the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(sl-12)* message was synthesized in vitro using T7 RNA polymerase (Fig. 7C, last lane). However, there was much less stem-loop truncated message (with respect to full-length transcript) in the in vitro transcription reaction compared to mRNA synthesized in vivo (Fig. 7C). These data argue that most of the stem-loop truncated product was generated by RNase activity in vivo. Additionally, we note that premature transcription termination would also inhibit RNase II (and PNPase) activity, because the 3' stem-loop structure prevents the binding of these RNases (Spickler and Mackie, 2000).

Although the stem-loop structure significantly inhibited A-site cleavage, there appeared to be residual activity with each message (Fig. 7B). Purified RNase II and PNPase are unable to degrade this structure *in vitro* (Spickler and Mackie, 2000), but PNPase can degrade structured mRNAs with the assistance of the RhIB helicase *in vivo* (Liou *et al.*, 2002;Py *et al.*, 1996). Moreover, RNase R can efficiently degrade mRNA containing extensive secondary structure (Cheng and Deutscher, 2002;Vincent and Deutscher, 2009). We examined the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(sl-12)* message expressed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells deleted for PNPase, RNase R, and RhIB, and observed no significant changes in the relative proportions of A-site cleavage and stem-loop truncation (Fig. 7C). In contrast, residual A-site cleavage was further reduced upon deletion of RNase II (Fig. 7C). Additionally, we noted that the stem-loop truncated message appeared to be somewhat larger in  $\Delta$ tmRNA  $\Delta$ RNase II cells (Fig. 7C). We suspect this represents polyadenylation at the 3' end of the stem-loop. Such poly(A) tails have been previously shown to accumulate in  $\Delta$ RNase II cells (Marujo *et al.*, 2000).

### Discussion

The results presented here demonstrate that the  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonuclease activity of RNase II is required for RelE-independent A-site mRNA cleavage. RNase II is unable to directly degrade the A-site codon, thus we propose that RNase II-catalyzed degradation of mRNA to the ribosome border is a prerequisite for A-site cleavage. Deletion of RNase II also destabilizes a large number of transcripts in *E. coli*, (Mohanty and Kushner, 2003), and therefore it is formally possible that RNase II influences A-site cleavage through changes in global gene expression. However, two observations argue that RNase II is integral to the mRNA degradation pathway leading to A-site cleavage. Firstly, in addition to the inhibition of A-site cleavage,  $\Delta$ RNase II cells do not accumulate the +18-truncated transcript. Formation of the +18 truncation product depends on translational pausing (Garza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2008), and RNase II digestion of ribosome-mRNA complexes *in vitro* produces a similar +18 truncation product. These data strongly suggest that RNase II directly produces the +18 degradation product upon encountering paused ribosomes *in vivo*. Secondly, the introduction of a stable secondary structure element that prevents RNase II from approaching close to paused ribosomes also strongly inhibits A-site mRNA cleavage. This specific inhibition of

A-site cleavage activity in RNase II<sup>+</sup> cells argues against an indirect effect via altered gene expression. Therefore, RelE-independent A-site cleavage appears to be a complex process requiring at least two RNase activities. We propose calling this process the "A-site degradation pathway" to differentiate it from the RelE-mediated endonuclease activity.

Exonuclease activity is clearly an important component of the A-site degradation pathway, but it is unclear why RNase II-mediated degradation would be specifically required for subsequent A-site cleavage. In  $\Delta$ RNase II cells, an unknown RNase truncates mRNA at the +12 position, which is very close to the leading edge of the paused ribosome. Therefore, mRNA degradation adjacent to paused ribosomes is not, per se, sufficient to induce A-site cleavage. Perhaps a specific contact between RNase II and the ribosome facilitates A-site mRNA cleavage. Butland et al. observed a direct interaction between RNase II and ribosomal protein S5 (Butland et al., 2005). Ribosomal proteins S3, S4, and S5 form the tunnel through which downstream mRNA enters the ribosome (Yusupova et al., 2001). Therefore, S5 is ideally positioned to interact with RNase II as it degrades mRNA to the border of paused ribosomes. It is possible that, upon encountering the ribosome, RNase II induces a conformational change in the complex that allows a second RNase to gain access to the A-site codon. Alternatively, the length of the exonucleolytic degradation product may be critical, because degradation to the +18 position appears to be correlated with A-site cleavage. For example, PNPase only plays a role in the A-site degradation pathway when overproduced, and is able to degrade mRNA to the +18 position only at high concentrations in vitro. In this model, the length of mRNA protruding from the ribosome would presumably influence recruitment of the A-site nuclease.

Which RNase catalyzes RelE-independent A-site mRNA cleavage? The A-site degradation pathway produces heterogeneous truncation products (Garza-Sánchez et al., 2008; Hayes and Sauer, 2003; Sunohara et al., 2004). This heterogeneity is suggestive of exonuclease activity, although it is possible for a non-specific endonuclease to produce a similar pattern. We have ruled out all of the known toxin endonucleases, but of course other uncharacterized toxins could be responsible for this activity. Additionally, none of the other non-essential  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonucleases – RNases D, PH, T, and BN(Z) – appears to play a direct role in A-site cleavage. The only known *E. coli*  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonuclease that we have not examined *in vivo* is the essential oligoribonuclease. However, oligoribonuclease has very low activity on polynucleotides greater than five residues (Datta and Niyogi, 1975; Ghosh and Deutscher, 1999), and therefore is unlikely to function as an A-site nuclease. Indeed, we have purified oligoribonuclease and find that is inactive on mRNA in vitro (S.S., F.G.-S., K.F., and C.S.H., unpublished results). Taken together, it appears that aside from RelE, none of the known endonucleases or exonucleases has A-site nuclease activity. These findings suggest that RelE-independent A-site cleavage is catalyzed by an uncharacterized RNase. Of course, it is still possible that the ribosome itself catalyzes A-site mRNA cleavage as we and others have suggested (Hayes and Sauer, 2003; Sunohara et al., 2004). If the ribosome does indeed mediate this activity, then there must be additional requirements because we have seen no evidence of A-site cleavage in our in vitro reactions.

The A-site degradation pathway appears to be a relatively rare consequence of ribosome pausing in bacteria. Several mRNA processing events are known to result from translational pausing, but most involve cleavage at, or near, the *upstream* border of the paused ribosome (Bjornsson and Isaksson, 1996; Loomis *et al.*, 2001; Yao *et al.*, 2008). Cleavage of the *ermC* leader transcript during erythromycin-induced ribosome pausing in *Bacillus subtilis* is perhaps the best understood of these processing events. Bechhofer and colleagues have recently shown that RNase J1 catalyzes an endonucleolytic cleavage 15 nucleotides upstream of the A-site codon (Yao *et al.*, 2008). This processing is strikingly similar to a ribosome-dependent cleavage that occurs during translation of the DaaP peptide in

uropathogenic E. coli (Loomis et al., 2001). Although, the precise position of the DaaPpaused ribosome is not known, the importance of nascent peptide residues Gly<sup>49</sup>-Pro<sup>50</sup>- $Pro^{51}$  suggest that the cleavage occurs 12 - 15 nucleotides upstream of the A-site codon (Loomis et al., 2001). Bjornsson and Isaksson have also reported a cleavage 13 nucleotides upstream of the A-site UGA stop codon during inefficient translation termination (Bjornsson and Isaksson, 1996). Although the RNase(s) responsible for these latter cleavages is unknown, it is presumably an endonuclease because  $5' \rightarrow 3'$  exoribonucleases have not been identified in E. coli. In each of these instances, the paused ribosome stabilizes the downstream cleavage fragment. In contrast, the SecM-mediated translational arrest in E. coli allows  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonucleolytic degradation of mRNA to the downstream border of paused ribosomes, leaving a paused ribosome on the upstream degradation product (Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006). However, in this instance, degradation does not extend into the A site (Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006), indicating that A-site cleavage is not an obligatory result of border degradation. Presumably, A-site cleavage is inhibited in this system by prolyl-tRNA<sup>Pro</sup> bound in the A site of SecM-arrested ribosomes (Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006; Muto et al., 2006). However, it is unclear why endonucleolytic processing at the upstream ribosome border appears to be favored over the A-site degradation pathway during the other translational arrests. Perhaps RNase II is unable to load onto the transcript in these instances. Given the complexity of prokaryotic mRNA turnover pathways, more work will be required to understand the molecular determinants controlling these processing events.

# **Experimental Procedures**

#### **Bacterial strains and plasmids**

All bacterial strains were derivatives of *E. coli* strain X90 (DE3) and listed in Table I. Deletions of the *rnb* and *pnp* genes have been previously described (Garza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2006). The  $\Delta rnc$ -38 RNase III deletion strain (SK7621), and the temperature sensitive *rne-1* allele of RNase E (SK5704) were generously provided by Sidney Kushner. Deletions of all other genes were obtained from the Keio Collection of single-gene knockout mutants (Baba *et al.*, 2006). Chromosomal deletions were introduced into strain CH113 by bacteriophage P1-mediated generalized transduction. The *rne-1* allele was P1-transduced using a kanamycin resistance marker in the linked *yceF* gene. All of the resulting transductants were subjected to whole-cell PCR to confirm chromosomal deletion.

Plasmids expressing A-site mRNA cleavage substrates were derivatives of pYbeL(PP), a pET11d-derived T7 RNA polymerase expression construct (Hayes *et al.*, 2002; Hayes and Sauer, 2003). The (*m*)ybeL-PP and (*m*)ybeL-EA mini-genes were generated by PCR amplification of plasmids pYbeL(PP) and pYbeL(EA), respectively, using oligonucleotides, **ybeL(49)-NdeI**, (5' - GGA CCT CAA T<u>CA TAT G</u>GG GGT TTA TCA CAG) and **pET-Eco**, (5' - CGT CTT CAA <u>GAA TTC</u> TCA TGT TTG ACA GC), digested with NdeI and EcoRI, and ligated to plasmid pFG11 (Garza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2008). The resulting plasmids, pFLAG-mYbeL-PP and pFLAG-mYbeL-EA express the C-terminal 49 residues of YbeL-PP and YbeL-EA fused to an N-terminal FLAG epitope. The Glu28 amber mutation was made within plasmid pFLAG-mYbeL-PP by the Stratagene QuickChange protocol using oligonucleotides, **Glu28Amb**, (5' - GGC TGG GAA ATC TGG TCT GCT AGA AAT GTC ACT TCC ATC TCC); and **Glu28Amb-GC**, (5' - GGA GAT GGA AGT GAC ATT TCT AGC AGA CCA GAT TTC CCA GCC).

The *sl-12* stem-loop was introduced into the 3'-UTR of pFLAG-mYbeL-PP using two PCR amplification and ligation steps. The 5'-PCR fragment was produced using oligonucleotides, **pET-Pst**, (5' - CAA GGA ATG GTG CAT GC<u>C TGC AG</u>A TGG CGC CC), and **SL12-rev**, (5' - AAA <u>GAG CTC</u> CGC GCC CGG GCA GCC GGA TCC TAT TAG G); and the 3'-fragment amplified using oligonucleotides, **SL12-for**, (5' - TTT <u>GAG CTC</u> CGC GCC

CGG GCT AAC AAA GCC CGA AAG G), and **pET-Eco**. The two PCR products were sequentially ligated to pFG21P: the 5'-fragment with PstI/SacI and the 3'-fragment with SacI/EcoRI. The PstI/EcoRI fragment from the resulting plasmid was subcloned into pET11P to generate plasmid pFLAG-mYbeL-PP(*sl*-12). Plasmids pFLAG-mYbeL-PP(*sl*-10) and pFLAG-mYbeL(*sl*-14) were constructed from PCR fragments amplified with oligonucleotide **pET-Eco**, in conjunction with either **SL10-Bam**, (5' - TTT CCG CCC TAA TA<u>G GAT CC</u>C TGC CCG G); or **SL14-Bam**, (5' - ATA <u>GGA TCC</u> GGC TAT GCC CGG GCG C).

Genes encoding  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exoribonucleases were PCR amplified using the following oligonucleotide primers: RNase II - rnb-Ncol, (5' - CAA CCA TGG CAT TTC AGG ACA ACC CGC TGC TAG CGC) and *rnb-XhoI*, (5' - TGA CTC GAG ATT ACG CGA CCG GGC GC); PNPase - pnp-EcoRI, (5' - AGG GAA TTC ATA TGC TTA ATC CGA TCG TTC GTA AAT TCC) and pnp-XhoI, (5' - AAT CTC GAG CTT ACT CGC CCT GTT CAG CAG CCG); RNase R - rnr-EcoRI, (5'- GAG GAA TTC ATA TGT CAC AAG ATC CTT TCC AGG AAC G) and *rnr-XhoI*, (5' - AGG CTC GAG ATC ACT CTG CCA CTT TTT TCT TCG C); RNase D - rnd-EcoRI, (5' - AGA GAA TTC CAT ATG AAT TAC CAA ATG ATT ACC ACG) and *rnd-XhoI*, (5' - TT<u>C TCG AG</u>A TTT TAC TGC GGA TAT TCC TGC); RNase PH - rph-EcoRI, (5' - GGA GAA TTC CAT ATG CGT CCA GCA GGC CG) and *rph-XhoI*, (5' - TTT CTC GAG ACA AAA AAA AGG CGA CTC); RNase T - rnt-EcoRI, (5' - TAA GAA TTC CAT ATG TCC GAT AAC GCT CAA CTT ACC) and *rnt-XhoI*, (5' - GGC CTC GAG TCG ATT ACA CCT CTT CGG C); RNase BN - elaC-EcoRI, (5' - CGT GAA TTC CAT ATG GAA TTA ATT TTT TTA GGT AC) and elaC-XhoI, (5' - TAA TAA CTC GAG TTA AAC GTT AAA CAC GG); and oligoribonuclease - orn-EcoRI, (5' -GTG GAA TTC CAT ATG AGT GCC AAT GAA AAC AAC C) and orn-XhoI, (5' - CGC CGC TCG AGG ATT CCG GCG CTT ACG). The E. coli relE gene was PCR amplified using primers relE-NdeI, (5' - GGA TCA ACT CAT ATG GCG TAT TTT CTG GAT TTT G), and relE-SacI, (5' - ACA GAG CTC TCA TGC TTT GGT TCA GAG AAT GC). RNase II lacking the N-terminal cold shock domain was amplified with oligonucleotide, *rnb-ACSD-Ncol*, (5' - CGT CCA TGG CTC GTT TCG TGG GTA AGG), and *rnb-XhoI*. The catalytically inactive Asp209Asn variant of RNase II was made by megaprimer PCR using oligonucleotide, *rnb(D209N*), (5' - CGA AAA GGG CGT CAT TCA TAT CTT CTG TGC TG), in conjunction with *rnb-NcoI* and *rnb-XhoI*. All PCR products were ligated to plasmid pCH450, which is a derivative of pACYC184 containing the L-arabinose-inducible araBAD promoter (Table I). The pET21b and pET21dderived overexpression constructs were generated by subcloning from the pCH450-based plasmids. All plasmid constructions were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

#### Cell growth, isolation and analysis of RNA and protein

Cultures were seeded at  $OD_{600} = 0.05$  in LB medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics (ampicillin, 150 µg/mL; tetracycline, 12.5 µg/mL; kanamycin, 50 µg/mL; chloramphenicol, 66 µg/mL) and incubated at 37 °C with aeration. Upon reaching  $OD_{600}$  of  $\sim 0.2 - 0.25$ , IPTG was added to a final concentration of 2 mM to induce synthesis of the FLAG-mYbeL variants. For exonuclease overproduction, cells were grown in LB medium containing 0.4% L-arabinose prior to addition of IPTG.

RNA isolations, T7 RNA polymerase *in vitro* transcriptions, and Northern blot analyses were conducted as described previously (Hayes and Sauer, 2003). The 5' truncated *in vitro* transcription template was generated by PCR using oligonucleotides, (m)ybeL-PP trunc, (5' - TAG GGC GGA AAC GGG CTT TTC TGG) and pET-Pst. The 3' truncated *in vitro* transcription template was generated by PCR using oligonucleotides T7–3'-UTR, (5' - GAA TTC TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG TAG GAT CCG GCT GCT AAC AAA GC), and pET-Eco. Oligonucleotide pET-rbs, (5' - GTA TAT CTC CTT CTT AAA GTT AAA C),

and oligonucleotide **pET-3' probe**, (5' - TCA GCT TCC TTT CGG GCT TTG TTA GCA GCC) were used as 5' and 3' probes (respectively) for Northern blot hybridization with mRNA. Oligonucleotide **proL probe** (5' - CAC CCC ATG ACG GTG CG) was used to detect tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> by Northern blot. The S1 nuclease protection analysis was conducted essentially as described (Hayes and Sauer, 2003) using the oligonucleotide, **ybeL-PP S1**, (5' - CTC AGC TTC CTT TCG GGC TTT GTT AGC AGC CGG ATC CTA TTA GGG CGG AAA CGG GCT TTT CTG GAA CTG GTC ATG ACC AC) as a probe to map the 3'-ends of *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* cleavage products. Primer extension analysis was performed with Superscript III (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) reverse transcriptase and oligonucleotide **pET-3' probe** as described (Diner and Hayes, 2009). The *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(sl-12)* cleavage product was mapped by S1 nuclease protection using oligonucleotide, **SL-12 S1**, (5' - GCA GCC AAC TCA GCT TCC TTT CGG GCT TTG TTA GCC CGG GCG CGG AGC TCC GCG CCC GGG CAG CCG GAT CCT ATT).

#### Purification of exoribonucleases

RNase II, RNase II (D209N), and PNPase were overexpressed from pET plasmid constructs in CH12 *Arna* cells. Cultures were harvested over ice, collected by centrifugation, and frozen at -80 °C. Frozen cell pellets were resuspended in the appropriate purification buffer supplemented with 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Buffer A [50mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) – 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> – 1 mM DTT – 5% glycerol] was used for PNPase purification, and buffer B [20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) - 100 mM KCl] for RNase II purifications. Cells were broken by two French press passages at 20,000 psi. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C in an SS-34 rotor. PNPasecontaining lysates were dialyzed against buffer A supplemented with 0.1 mM PMSF and 10 mM potassium phosphate (pH 8.0) at 37 °C for 1 hr as described (Jones et al., 2003). Native RNase II and PNPase were both purified by sequential QAE-cellulose ion exchange, and butyl-sepharose hydrophobic interaction chromatography. PNPase was loaded onto a QAEcellulose column in buffer A and the column was developed with a linear gradient of 100 – 500 mM NaCl. RNase II was loaded onto the QAE-cellulose column in buffer B and eluted with a linear gradient of 100 - 500 mM KCl. Fractions were pooled and dialyzed against buffer C [25 mM bis-Tris (pH 6.5) - 1.2 M (NH<sub>2</sub>) <sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> - 0.5 M NaCl - 1 mM DTT] overnight at 4 °C. Dialyzed RNase preps were loaded onto HiTrap<sup>TM</sup> butyl-sepharose columns (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in buffer C. RNases were eluted by step gradients with progressively lower salt concentrations. Fractions were screened by SDS-PAGE, pooled, and dialyzed against buffer A (for PNPase) or buffer B supplemented with 1 mM DTT (for RNase II). RNase II and RNase II (D209N) were quantified by absorbance at 280 nm using a molar extinction coefficient (ε) of 65,890 M-<sup>1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, and PNPase was quantified using  $\varepsilon = 30,370 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Native RNase D was overexpressed from plasmid pET21b in CH12  $\Delta rna \Delta rnb$  cells. Cell lysates were prepared in buffer D [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) – 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> – 0.1 mM DTT – 0.1 mM EDTA] supplemented with 150 mM KCl and 0.1 mM PMSF as described above. The clarified lysate was diluted to 60 mM KCl with 1 × buffer D and loaded onto a QAE-cellulose column pre-equilibrated in the same buffer. The column was washed extensively with buffer D + 60 mM KCl, followed by washing with buffer D + 100 mM KCl. Protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 100 – 500 mM KCl in buffer D. Fractions containing RNase D were pooled and dialyzed against buffer C as described above. The dialyzed RNase D prep was loaded onto a butyl-sepharose column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in buffer C. Protein was eluted with step gradients of progressively lower salt concentration. Purified RNase D was dialyzed against buffer D supplemented with 100 mM KCl. RNase D was quantified by absorbance at 280 nm using a molar extinction coefficient ( $\epsilon$ ) of 79,419 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Preparation of translation components

Ribosomes were prepared from *E. coli* strain CSH142 [F<sup>-</sup> ara  $\Delta(gpt-lac)5$ ] as described (Fredrick and Noller, 2002), dialyzed against polymix buffer [5 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.3) – 95 mM KCl – 5 mM Mg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> – 0.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> – 5 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)Cl – 8 mM putrescine – 1 mM spermidine – 1 mM DTT] (Ehrenberg *et al.*, 1990), and stored at –70 °C. tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> and tRNA<sup>Val</sup> were purchased from Chemical Block (Moscow, Russia). tRNAs were aminoacylated and acetylated as described (Walker and Fredrick, 2008). Aminoacylation and acetylation approached 100% efficiency, as determined by acid gel electrophoresis. Phage T4 gene *32*-derived mRNA (m617) was made by *in vitro* transcription as described (Shoji *et al.*, 2006). Transcripts were dephosphorylated using calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase, and 5' end-labeled using polynucleotide kinase and  $\gamma$ -[<sup>32</sup>P]-ATP.

#### In vitro RNase digestions

Ribosomes (0.7  $\mu$ M) were incubated in polymix buffer with radiolabeled m617 transcript and 1 µM N-acetyl-Phe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> (AcPhe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup>) at 37°C for 20 min to bind the P site. For experiments shown in Fig. 5B, aliquots of P-site binding complexes were removed, and RNases added at the various concentrations. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 10 min, then quenched with formamide-containing loading dye (95% formamide - 20 mM EDTA -0.05% xylene cyanol FF – 0.05% bromophenol blue) on ice. The degradation products were heated at 95 °C for 3 min, resolved by 6% denaturing gel electrophoresis, and visualized by phosphorimaging analysis (GE Healthcare). Radiolabeled m617 transcript was digested by RNase T1 (Ambion) to generate molecular size markers. To monitor translocation of ribosomal complexes as shown in Fig. 5C, 4 µM EF-Tu•GDP was first activated with 3 µM phosphoenolpyruvate and 0.33 mg/ml pyruvate kinase in polymix buffer to convert GDP to GTP. Ternary complexes were then formed by addition of Val-tRNA<sup>Val</sup> to a final concentration of 2 µM. As a negative control, Val-tRNA<sup>Val</sup> was omitted from the reaction. Equal volumes of ternary complexes were then added to P-site binding complexes and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min to form pre-translocation complexes. Pretranslocation complexes were incubated with 1  $\mu$ M GTP in the absence or presence of 1 mM EF-G at 37 °C for 10 min. Aliquots were removed after each step and RNases added to a final concentration of 0.5 µM.

# Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Institutes of Health through grants GM072528 (to K.F.), and GM078634 (to C.S.H.). We thank Sidney Kushner for generously providing bacterial strains; and Elie Diner, Laura Holberger, Brian Janssen, and Zach Ruhe for helpful discussions. We extend additional thanks to Zach Ruhe for strain construction, Brian Janssen for providing plasmid constructs, and Michael Hayes for RNase II and PNPase purification.

# References

- Amblar M, Arraiano CM. A single mutation in *Escherichia coli* ribonuclease II inactivates the enzyme without affecting RNA binding. FEBS J. 2005; 272:363–374. [PubMed: 15654875]
- Amblar M, Barbas A, Fialho AM, Arraiano CM. Characterization of the functional domains of *Escherichia coli* RNase II. J. Mol. Biol. 2006; 360:921–933. [PubMed: 16806266]
- Andrade JM, Pobre V, Silva IJ, Domingues S, Arraiano CM. The role of 3'-5' exoribonucleases in RNA degradation. Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci. 2009; 85:187–229. [PubMed: 19215773]
- Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol. Syst. Biol. 2006; 2:2006–0008.

- Babitzke P, Kushner SR. The Ams (altered mRNA stability) protein and ribonuclease E are encoded by the same structural gene of *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1991; 88:1–5. [PubMed: 1846032]
- Bjornsson A, Isaksson LA. Accumulation of a mRNA decay intermediate by ribosomal pausing at a stop codon. Nucleic Acids Res. 1996; 24:1753–1757. [PubMed: 8649996]
- Butland G, Peregrin-Alvarez JM, Li J, Yang W, Yang X, Canadien V, Starostine A, Richards D,
  Beattie B, Krogan N, Davey M, Parkinson J, Greenblatt J, Emili A. Interaction network containing conserved and essential protein complexes in *Escherichia coli*. Nature. 2005; 433:531–537.
  [PubMed: 15690043]
- Carpousis AJ. The *Escherichia coli* RNA degradosome: structure, function and relationship in other ribonucleolytic multienzyme complexes. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2002; 30:150–155. [PubMed: 12035760]
- Carpousis AJ. The RNA degradosome of *Escherichia coli*: an mRNA-degrading machine assembled on RNase E. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2007; 61:71–87. [PubMed: 17447862]
- Cheng ZF, Deutscher MP. Purification and characterization of the *Escherichia coli* exoribonuclease RNase R. Comparison with RNase II. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:21624–21629. [PubMed: 11948193]
- Christensen SK, Gerdes K. RelE toxins from bacteria and Archaea cleave mRNAs on translating ribosomes, which are rescued by tmRNA. Mol. Microbiol. 2003; 48:1389–1400. [PubMed: 12787364]
- Datta AK, Niyogi K. A novel oligoribonuclease of *Escherichia coli*. II. Mechanism of action. J. Biol. Chem. 1975; 250:7313–7319. [PubMed: 170260]
- Diner EJ, Hayes CS. Recombineering reveals a diverse collection of ribosomal proteins L4 and L22 that confer resistance to macrolide antibiotics. J. Mol. Biol. 2009; 386:300–315. [PubMed: 19150357]
- Dong H, Nilsson L, Kurland CG. Co-variation of tRNA abundance and codon usage in *Escherichia coli* at different growth rates. J. Mol. Biol. 1996; 260:649–663. [PubMed: 8709146]
- Dutta T, Deutscher MP. Catalytic properties of RNase BN/RNase Z from Escherichia coli: RNase BN is both an exo- and endoribonuclease. J. Biol. Chem. 2009; 284:15425–15431. [PubMed: 19366704]
- Ehrenberg M, Rojas AM, Weiser J, Kurland CG. How many EF-Tu molecules participate in aminoacyl-tRNA binding and peptide bond formation in *Escherichia coli* translation? J. Mol. Biol. 1990; 211:739–749. [PubMed: 2179565]
- Ezraty B, Dahlgren B, Deutscher MP. The RNase Z homologue encoded by *Escherichia coli elaC* gene is RNase BN. J. Biol. Chem. 2005; 280:16542–16545. [PubMed: 15764599]
- Frazao C, McVey CE, Amblar M, Barbas A, Vonrhein C, Arraiano CM, Carrondo MA. Unravelling the dynamics of RNA degradation by ribonuclease II and its RNA-bound complex. Nature. 2006; 443:110–114. [PubMed: 16957732]
- Fredrick K, Noller HF. Accurate translocation of mRNA by the ribosome requires a peptidyl group or its analog on the tRNA moving into the 30S P site. Mol. Cell. 2002; 9:1125–1131. [PubMed: 12049747]
- Garza-Sánchez F, Janssen BD, Hayes CS. Prolyl-tRNA<sup>Pro</sup> in the A-site of SecM-arrested ribosomes inhibits the recruitment of transfer-messenger RNA. J. Biol. Chem. 2006; 281:34258–34268. [PubMed: 16968693]
- Garza-Sánchez F, Gin JG, Hayes CS. Amino acid starvation and colicin D treatment induce A-site mRNA cleavage in *Escherichia coli*. J. Mol. Biol. 2008; 378:505–519. [PubMed: 18377929]
- Ghosh S, Deutscher MP. Oligoribonuclease is an essential component of the mRNA decay pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1999; 96:4372–4377. [PubMed: 10200269]
- Gong M, Gong F, Yanofsky C. Overexpression of *tnaC* of *Escherichia coli* inhibits growth by depleting tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> availability. J. Bacteriol. 2006; 188:1892–1898. [PubMed: 16484200]
- Hayes CS, Bose B, Sauer RT. Proline residues at the C terminus of nascent chains induce SsrA tagging during translation termination. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:33825–33832. [PubMed: 12105207]
- Hayes CS, Sauer RT. Cleavage of the A site mRNA codon during ribosome pausing provides a mechanism for translational quality control. Mol. Cell. 2003; 12:903–911. [PubMed: 14580341]

- He L, Soderbom F, Wagner EG, Binnie U, Binns N, Masters M. PcnB is required for the rapid degradation of RNAI, the antisense RNA that controls the copy number of ColE1-related plasmids. Mol. Microbiol. 1993; 9:1131–1142. [PubMed: 7523833]
- Jones GH, Symmons MF, Hankins JS, Mackie GA. Overexpression and purification of untagged polynucleotide phosphorylases. Protein Expr. Purif. 2003; 32:202–209. [PubMed: 14965765]
- Karzai AW, Susskind MM, Sauer RT. SmpB, a unique RNA-binding protein essential for the peptidetagging activity of SsrA (tmRNA). EMBO J. 1999; 18:3793–3799. [PubMed: 10393194]
- Keiler KC, Waller PR, Sauer RT. Role of a peptide tagging system in degradation of proteins synthesized from damaged messenger RNA. Science. 1996; 271:990–993. [PubMed: 8584937]
- Koo JT, Choe J, Moseley SL. HrpA, a DEAH-box RNA helicase, is involved in mRNA processing of a fimbrial operon in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2004; 52:1813–1826. [PubMed: 15186427]
- Li Z, Deutscher MP. The tRNA processing enzyme RNase T is essential for maturation of 5S RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1995; 92:6883–6886. [PubMed: 7542780]
- Li Z, Deutscher MP. Maturation pathways for *E. coli* tRNA precursors: a random multienzyme process *in vivo*. Cell. 1996; 86:503–512. [PubMed: 8756732]
- Li Z, Pandit S, Deutscher MP. 3' exoribonucleolytic trimming is a common feature of the maturation of small, stable RNAs in *Escherichia col*i. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1998; 95:2856–2861. [PubMed: 9501180]
- Li Z, Pandit S, Deutscher MP. Maturation of 23S ribosomal RNA requires the exoribonuclease RNase T. RNA. 1999; 5:139–146. [PubMed: 9917073]
- Liou GG, Chang HY, Lin CS, Lin-Chao S. DEAD box RhlB RNA helicase physically associates with exoribonuclease PNPase to degrade double-stranded RNA independent of the degradosomeassembling region of RNase E. J. Biol. Chem. 2002; 277:41157–41162. [PubMed: 12181321]
- Loomis WP, Koo JT, Cheung TP, Moseley SL. A tripeptide sequence within the nascent DaaP protein is required for mRNA processing of a fimbrial operon in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2001; 39:693–707. [PubMed: 11169109]
- Lopez PJ, Marchand I, Joyce SA, Dreyfus M. The C-terminal half of RNase E, which organizes the *Escherichia coli* degradosome, participates in mRNA degradation but not rRNA processing in vivo. Mol. Microbiol. 1999; 33:188–199. [PubMed: 10411735]
- March JB, Colloms MD, Hart-Davis D, Oliver IR, Masters M. Cloning and characterization of an *Escherichia coli* gene, *pcnB*, affecting plasmid copy number. Mol. Microbiol. 1989; 3:903–910. [PubMed: 2677604]
- Marujo PE, Hajnsdorf E, Le Derout J, Andrade R, Arraiano CM, Regnier P. RNase II removes the oligo(A) tails that destabilize the *rpsO* mRNA of *Escherichia coli*. RNA. 2000; 6:1185–1193. [PubMed: 10943897]
- Mohanty BK, Kushner SR. Genomic analysis in *Escherichia coli* demonstrates differential roles for polynucleotide phosphorylase and RNase II in mRNA abundance and decay. Mol. Microbiol. 2003; 50:645–658. [PubMed: 14617186]
- Muto H, Nakatogawa H, Ito K. Genetically encoded but nonpolypeptide prolyl-tRNA functions in the A site for SecM-mediated ribosomal stall. Mol. Cell. 2006; 22:545–552. [PubMed: 16713584]
- Pedersen K, Zavialov AV, Pavlov MY, Elf J, Gerdes K, Ehrenberg M. The bacterial toxin RelE displays codon-specific cleavage of mRNAs in the ribosomal A site. Cell. 2003; 112:131–140. [PubMed: 12526800]
- Perwez T, Kushner SR. RNase Z in *Escherichia coli* plays a significant role in mRNA decay. Mol. Microbiol. 2006; 60:723–737. [PubMed: 16629673]
- Py B, Higgins CF, Krisch HM, Carpousis AJ. A DEAD-box RNA helicase in the *Escherichia coli* RNA degradosome. Nature. 1996; 381:169–172. [PubMed: 8610017]
- Shoji S, Walker SE, Fredrick K. Reverse translocation of tRNA in the ribosome. Mol. Cell. 2006; 24:931–942. [PubMed: 17189194]
- Spickler C, Mackie GA. Action of RNase II and polynucleotide phosphorylase against RNAs containing stem-loops of defined structure. J. Bacteriol. 2000; 182:2422–2427. [PubMed: 10762241]

- Sundermeier TR, Dulebohn DP, Cho HJ, Karzai AW. A previously uncharacterized role for small protein B (SmpB) in transfer messenger RNA-mediated *trans*-translation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2005; 102:2316–2321. [PubMed: 15699355]
- Sunohara T, Jojima K, Yamamoto Y, Inada T, Aiba H. Nascent-peptide-mediated ribosome stalling at a stop codon induces mRNA cleavage resulting in nonstop mRNA that is recognized by tmRNA. RNA. 2004; 10:378–386. [PubMed: 14970383]
- Vincent HA, Deutscher MP. Insights into how RNase R degrades structured RNA: analysis of the nuclease domain. J. Mol. Biol. 2009; 387:570–583. [PubMed: 19361424]
- Walker SE, Fredrick K. Preparation and evaluation of acylated tRNAs. Methods. 2008; 44:81–86. [PubMed: 18241790]
- Xu F, Lin-Chao S, Cohen SN. The *Escherichia coli pcnB* gene promotes adenylylation of antisense RNAI of ColE1-type plasmids in vivo and degradation of RNAI decay intermediates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1993; 90:6756–6760. [PubMed: 7688127]
- Yamamoto Y, Sunohara T, Jojima K, Inada T, Aiba H. SsrA-mediated trans-translation plays a role in mRNA quality control by facilitating degradation of truncated mRNAs. RNA. 2003; 9:408–418. [PubMed: 12649493]
- Yao S, Blaustein JB, Bechhofer DH. Erythromycin-induced ribosome stalling and RNase J1-mediated mRNA processing in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. 2008; 69:1439–1449. [PubMed: 18647167]
- Yusupova GZ, Yusupov MM, Cate JH, Noller HF. The path of messenger RNA through the ribosome. Cell. 2001; 106:233–241. [PubMed: 11511350]
- Zuo Y, Vincent HA, Zhang J, Wang Y, Deutscher MP, Malhotra A. Structural basis for processivity and single-strand specificity of RNase II. Mol. Cell. 2006; 24:149–156. [PubMed: 16996291]



#### Figure 1. A-site mRNA cleavage induced by ribosome pausing and RelE

(A) Mini-gene constructs used in this study. The *flag-(m)ybeL* mini-mRNA variants are depicted with the encoded FLAG epitope and Northern blot probe binding sites. Two versions of *flag-(m)ybeL* encoding C-terminal Pro-Pro (PP) or Glu-Ala (EA) sequences were examined. An amber mutation was made at position Glu28 in *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* to generate the *flag-(m)ybeL(amber)* construct. The 3' Northern probe also served as the primer for reverse transcriptase (RT) primer extension analysis. The position of RelE cleavage within UAG is indicated by the upward arrow. Two truncated transcripts corresponding to the upstream (5') and downstream (3') RelE cleavage fragments were synthesized using bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase in vitro. (B) Northern analysis of RelE-independent Asite mRNA cleavage. The various *flag-(m)ybeL* transcripts were expressed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA or tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells as indicated. The *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* mRNA was also expressed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells lacking all of the six known toxin endonucleases [ $\Delta$ toxin(6)]. An *in vitro* transcript truncated in the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* stop codon was mixed with total RNA isolated from uninduced AtmRNA cells and run in the lane labeled in vitro. All RNA samples were also hybridized a tRNA2<sup>Pro</sup>-specific probe to identify peptidyl prolyl-tRNA2<sup>Pro</sup>. The positions of free tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> and peptidyl prolyl-tRNA<sub>2</sub><sup>Pro</sup> are indicated. (C) Northern analysis of *flag*-(m)ybeL-PP messages containing UAG and UAA stop codons. Total RNA samples from tmRNA<sup>+</sup> and  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells were hybridized with the 5' and 3' probes. RelE was expressed from a plasmid-borne arabinose-inducible construct as indicated. The positions of full-length

and +18 truncated transcripts are indicated. The positions of stop-codon cleaved (5' and 3' truncated) transcripts were determined using control transcripts synthesized *in vitro*. (**D**) Primer extension analysis of RelE cleavage. Total RNA from  $\Delta$ tmRNA and tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells expressing *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(UAG)* were subjected to primer extension analysis using the 3' probe depicted in panel A. Control reactions lacking either the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(UAG)* transcript (no IPTG), or reverse transcriptase (no RT), showed no primer extension. A positive control reaction containing the 3' truncated *in vitro* transcript showed primer extension of nine nucleotides.



#### Figure 2. S1 nuclease protection analysis of truncated mRNA

(A) The model *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* mRNA is depicted with the S1 nuclease protection probe binding site. Truncation sites are numbered with respect the first nucleotide after the stop codon (+1). Upward arrows indicate the positions of AlwI, NlaIV and BamHI endonuclease cleavages used to generate gel migration standards. The 3' end of the truncated *in vitro* transcript used in Northern blot and S1 nuclease protection experiments is shown. (B) S1 nuclease protection analysis of transcripts isolated from  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells deleted for exonucleases. Total RNA samples were taken from cells induced to express *flag-(m)ybeL-PP*, and from an uninduced control culture (no IPTG). RNase<sup>+</sup> indicates that the cells have the wild-type complement of RNases. Nucleotide positions +12, +18 and +28 (with respect to the stop codon) are indicated. The 5' truncated *in vitro* transcript (in vitro) shown in Figs. 1A & 2A was also subjected to S1 protection analysis. The relative positions of AlwI, BamHI and NlaIV digestion sites in the S1 probe with respect to the stop codon are shown in panel A.



#### Figure 3. Deletion of RNases and A-site mRNA cleavage

(A) Northern analysis of *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcripts isolated from RNase deletion strains. Deletions of genes encoding the various RNases were introduced into  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells, and transcripts examined using the 5' probe depicted in Fig. 1A. The migration positions of full-length, +18 truncated, and A-site cleaved transcripts are indicated. Samples marked with an asterisk (\*) were taken from cells expressing FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP from a pACYC184-derived plasmid as described in Results. (B) Northern analysis of *flag-(m)ybeL* transcripts expressed in  $\Delta$ RNase II cells. FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP (Pro-Pro) and FLAG-(m)YbeL-EA (Glu-Ala) proteins were expressed  $\Delta$ tmRNA and tmRNA<sup>+</sup> cells, with (RNase II<sup>+</sup>) or without RNase II ( $\Delta$ RNase II). The positions of full-length and A-site cleaved transcripts are

indicated. The assignment of +12, +18, and +28 truncation products in these samples was confirmed by S1 nuclease protection analysis (data not shown). (C) Northern analysis of A-site cleavage in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells lacking RNase III and RNase E activity. RNase E activity was assessed by shifting  $\Delta$ tmRNA RNase E(*ts*) cells to the non-permissive temperature (42 °C). The migration positions of full-length, +18 truncated, and A-site cleaved transcripts are indicated.



#### Figure 4. Complementation of A-site mRNA cleavage

(A) Northern analysis of samples from cells overexpressing  $3' \rightarrow 5'$  exonucleases. RNase II variants were induced with L-arabinose in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells containing (RNase II<sup>+</sup>) or lacking RNase II (ARNase II). RNase II (D209N) is a catalytically inactive enzyme containing the Asp209Asn missense mutation (Amblar and Arraiano, 2005). RNase II (ΔCSD1) contains a deletion of the N-terminal cold-shock domain of RNase II (Amblar et al., 2006). PNPase, RNase R, and RNase D were also overexpressed in  $\Delta$ RNase II  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells. The migration positions of full-length and A-site cleaved transcripts are indicated. (B) S1 nuclease protection analysis of mRNA from AtmRNA ARNase II cells overexpressing exonucleases. Plus (+) and minus (-) symbols indicate induction of exonuclease expression with L-arabinose, or suppression of expression with D-glucose, respectively. Protections corresponding to the +12 and +28 truncations are indicated. No protection was detected from samples in which *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* expression was not induced (no IPTG). The 5' truncated in vitro transcript (in vitro) shown in Figs. 1A & 2A was also subjected to S1 protection analysis. Relevant sequences of the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* mRNA and the hybridized S1 oligonucleotide probe are depicted. The relative positions of AlwI, BamHI and NlaIV digestion sites in the S1 probe with respect to the stop codon are as shown in Figure 2A.



#### Figure 5. Exonuclease digestion of mRNA in vitro

(A) Sequence of the bacteriophage T4 gene 32-derived m617 mRNA. The positions of the Shine-Dalgarno sequence (SD), P-site Phe codon, and A-site Val codon are indicated. Nucleotide positions are numbered with respect to the first nucleotide (+1) after the A-site codon. (B) In vitro exonuclease digestion of ribosome-bound mRNA. 5'-labeled mRNA was incubated with N-acetyl-phenylalanyl-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> (AcPhe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup>) with (+) or without (-) 70S ribosomes. Reactions were split and incubated with purified RNase II (4, 20, 100, and 500 nM, final concentrations throughout), RNase II (D209N) (4, 20, 100, and 500 nM), or PNPase (20, 100, 500, 2500 nM) as described in Experimental Procedures. Molecular standards were generated by digesting m617 mRNA with RNase T1 (Ambion), which cuts after G residues. (C) RNase II cleaves mRNA to the 3' border of the ribosome. Ribosomal complexes with AcPhe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> in the P site (P) were incubated with valyl-tRNA<sup>Val</sup>•EF-Tu•GTP ternary complexes (+TC) to form the pretranslocation complex. In control reactions lacking ternary complexes (-TC), valyl-tRNA<sup>Val</sup> was omitted. Pre-translocation complexes were then incubated with GTP in the absence or presence of EF-G to promote translocation. Aliquots were removed after each step and incubated with 500 nM of purified RNase II or RNase II (D209N), as indicated.



# Figure 6. Digestion of ribosome-mRNA complexes with RNase II and RNase D

*In vitro* exonuclease digestion of mRNA within ribosome complexes. 5'-labeled m617 mRNA and AcPhe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> were incubated with (+ 70S), or without (- 70S) ribosomes. Reactions were then treated with 500 nM RNase II and/or 500 nM RNase D as indicated. Double digests were performed sequentially with RNase II treatment preceding the addition of RNase D. Molecular standards were generated by digesting m617 mRNA with RNase T1 (Ambion), which cuts after G residues.



#### Figure 7. Stable secondary structure inhibits A-site mRNA cleavage

(A) Expanded sequence view of the stem-loop 12 (sl-12) mRNA. The (sl-10) and (sl-14) transcripts were derived from (sl-12) by a two base deletion and insertion, respectively. Because the stem-loop was introduced into the 3' UTR, A-site cleavage products are the same size for each transcript. The position of the paused ribosomal P and A sites are shown as boxed P and A, respectively. S1 nuclease protection showed that stem-loop truncated transcripts were cleaved primarily at positions indicated by the upward arrows (data not shown). (B) Northern analysis of stem-loop mRNA. Stem-loop messages were expressed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells, and compared to the original *flag-(m)ybeL-PP* transcript. Stem-loop truncated transcripts correspond to the species indicated in panel A. The position of A-site

cleaved mRNA is indicated by the migration of the control *in vitro* transcript. (C) Northern analysis of stem-loop 12 mRNA expressed in  $\Delta$ tmRNA cells lacking RNase II, RNase R, PNPase, and RhlB. A control *in vitro* transcript marks the migration position of A-site cleaved mRNA (lane 1). RNase<sup>+</sup> indicates samples were taken from cells containing the wild-type complement of exonucleases. *In vitro* transcription of the *flag-(m)ybeL-PP(sl-12)* message showed that the stem-loop induced some transcription termination (final lane).

# Table I

# Bacterial strains and plasmids

Strain or plasmid	Description <sup>a</sup>	Reference	
Strains			
CH12	X90 (DE3)	(Hayes et al., 2002)	
CH113	X90 (DE3) ssrA::cat, Cm <sup>r</sup>	(Hayes et al., 2002)	
CH1207	CH113 <i>Arnb::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	(Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006)	
CH1208	CH113 <i>Appp::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	(Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006)	
CH1916	CH113 <i>Arna::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	(Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006)	
CH2790	CH12 <i>Arnb::kan</i> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study	
CH3295	CH113 <i>Arnr::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH3550	CH113 ArelBE AchpBIK AmazEF AyefM-yoeB AmazEF AdinJ-yafQ AyhaV, Cm <sup>r</sup>	(Garza-Sánchez et al., 2008)	
CH3574	CH113 <i>∆rnc-38</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Babitzke et al., 1993)	
CH3575	CH113 <i>Arng::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH3583	CH113 <i>AyceF::kan rne-1</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Babitzke and Kushner, 1991)	
CH4312	CH113 <i>Arnd::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH4318	CH113 <i>∆pcnB::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH4456	CH113 <i>ArhlB::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH4463	CH113 <i>Arnt::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH4465	CH113 <i>Arph::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
CH4704	CH113 <i>AelaC::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & Baba et al., 2006)	
CH5363	CH113 <i>Arne515::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Garza-Sánchez et al., 2006)	
CH5866	CH113 <i>AhrpA::kan</i> , Cm <sup>r</sup> , Kan <sup>r</sup>	This study & (Baba et al., 2006)	
Plasmids			
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP	T7 promoter construct expressing C-terminal 49 residues of YbeL(PP) with N-terminal FLAG epitope, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-EA	T7 promoter construct expressing C-terminal 49 residues of YbeL(EA) with N-terminal FLAG epitope, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL(amber)	pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP with Glu28 amber point mutation, Ampr	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP(UAG)	FLAG-mYbeL-PP construct with UAG stop codon, Ampr	This study	
pCH405∆-(m)YbeL-PP	pACYC184 derivative expressing FLAG-(m)YbeL-PP, Tetr	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP(sl-10)	Contains GC-rich stem-loop 10 nucleotides downstream of stop codon, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP(sl-12)	Contains GC-rich stem-loop 12 nucleotides downstream of stop codon, $\operatorname{Amp}^{\mathrm{r}}$	This study	
pFLAG-(m)YbeL-PP(sl-14)	Contains GC-rich stem-loop 14 nucleotides downstream of stop codon, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study	
pRelE	pACYC184 derivative expressing RelE under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study	
pRNase II	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase II under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study	
pRNase II (D209N)	pACYC184 derivative expressing inactive RNase II under <i>araBAD</i> promoter, Tet <sup>r</sup>	This study	

Strain or plasmid	Description <sup>a</sup>	Reference
pRNase II (ΔCSD1)	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase II lacking cold shock domain-1 under <i>araBAD</i> promoter, Tet <sup>r</sup>	This study
pPNPase	pACYC184 derivative expressing PNPase under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study
pRNase R	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase R under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study
pRNase D	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase D under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study
pRNase PH	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase PH under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study
pRNase T	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase T under araBAD promoter, Tetr	This study
pRNase BN	pACYC184 derivative expressing RNase BN(Z) under <i>araBAD</i> promoter, Tet <sup>r</sup>	This study
pORNase	pACYC184 derivative expressing oligoribonuclease under <i>araBAD</i> promoter, Tet <sup>r</sup>	This study
pET-RNase II	pET21d with the wild-type <i>rnb</i> gene ligated via NcoI and XhoI, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study
pET-RNase II (D209N)	pET21d with the rnb(D209N) gene ligated via NcoI and XhoI, Ampr	This study
pET-PNPase	pET21b with the pnp gene ligated via NdeI and XhoI, Ampr	This study
pET-RNase D	pET21b with the <i>rnd</i> gene ligated via NdeI and XhoI, Amp <sup>r</sup>	This study

 $^{a}$ Abbreviations: Amp<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistant; Cm<sup>r</sup>, chloramphenicol resistant; Kan<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistant; Tet<sup>r</sup>, tetracycline resistant