

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 January 1

## Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2009; 48(19): 3482–3485. doi:10.1002/anie.200900112.

# One-pot, Direct Incorporation of [<sup>11</sup>C]-CO<sub>2</sub> into Carbamates

#### Jacob M. Hooker [Dr.]<sup>\*</sup>

Medical Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973-5000 Fax: (+1) 631-344-5815

#### Achim T. Reibel

Johannes Gutenberg-Universitaet Mainz Mainz, Germany

#### Sidney M. Hill

North Carolina State University Raleigh, NC

#### Michael J. Schueller [Dr.]

Medical Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973-5000 Fax: (+1) 631-344-5815

# Joanna S. Fowler [Dr. Prof.]<sup>[+]</sup>

Medical Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973-5000 Fax: (+1) 631-344-5815

#### Keywords

carbamate; carbon-11; positron emission tomography; DBU; radiotracer chemistry

Positron emission tomography (PET) has emerged as a prevailing noninvasive research tool to understand biochemical processes and elucidate molecular interactions relevant to human health.[1] The enabling technology that underlies PET and other radiotracer imaging methods is radiotracer chemistry. Thus, the continued success and expanded capability of PET relies on the development of methods to incorporate positron emitting isotopes into compounds, which for example, can serve as biomarkers for human disease. Arguably, one of the most important isotopes for PET research is carbon-11 ( $t_{1/2} = 20.4$  min) due to its ubiquity in pharmacologically active compounds and its favourable physical properties.[2] However, only a limited number of reactions that meet the special demands and constraints of carbon-11 chemistry have been developed.[3] Even fewer are routinely employed due to process complexity and/or the requirement for special equipment. Recently, we have placed a focus on developing chemical methods for carbon-11 labelling that can be easily and immediately implemented[4] and herein we describe a new method that addresses the carbamate function group.[5]

Synthesis with carbon-11 begins with a nuclear reaction (typically,  $[^{14}N(p,\alpha)^{11}C]$ ) using a cyclotron that produces  $^{11}CO_2$  or  $^{11}CH_4$ .[6] In most cases, this "starting material" must be rapidly converted to a more useful reagent, for example  $^{11}CH_3$ I,[7] which is then used to label a precursor compound. The process of reagent synthesis alone can consume more than half of the radioactivity (considering decay during reaction time x yield of each conversion step x

<sup>\*</sup>Medical Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973-5000 Fax: (+1) 631-344-5815 E-mail: hooker@bnl.gov. [+]Additional affiliations: Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3400; Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY 10029

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

Page 2

trapping efficiency).[8] In this respect, chemical reactions that use  ${}^{11}CO_2$  or  ${}^{11}CH_4$  directly have a clear advantage in terms of radiochemical yield and if properly designed, direct incorporation strategies can eliminate or reduce the need for special equipment.

With this in mind, we have developed a one-pot, operationally simple method for the direct incorporation of  ${}^{11}\text{CO}_2$  into carbamate-containing compounds. This functional group is an attractive target for radiochemical incorporation in light of its versatility for modular construction of organic compounds and its chemical and metabolic stability. Other methods to label the carbamate carbon have utilized [ ${}^{11}\text{C}$ ]phosgene,[9] or [ ${}^{11}\text{C}$ ]carbon monoxide,[10] both of which present technical difficulties and equipment needs that currently make their routine use somewhat impractical.

Our development was guided by previous research using excess, typically high pressure, carbon dioxide in a variety of chemical fixation reactions[11] including carbamate synthesis.[12] However, at the outset we anticipated significant differences in reactions using trace carbon dioxide ( ${}^{11}CO_2$ ) at atmospheric pressure and recognized process differences that would dictate general applicability and use of a new radiochemical method. Previous studies[13] demonstrated the feasibility of using no carrier added  ${}^{11}CO_2$  in the conversion of amines to isocyanates and ureas. Encouraged by these studies, we examined a variety of reaction conditions using substoichiometric  ${}^{12}CO_2$  in model reactions. In addition, we optimized  ${}^{11}CO_2$  trapping efficiency *at room temperature*, capture flow rate, solvent and catalyst/base identity through screening.[14]

From these preliminary experiments, we quickly identified DBU (1,8-diaza-bicyclo[5.4.0] undec-7-ene) as both a superior trapping reagent and a catalyst for the reaction. Solutions of DBU (100 mM) in MeCN, DMF, and DMSO trapped >95% of <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> from a constant flow of He (50 ml/min) whereas for comparison, solvent and solutions of DMAP (4-(dimethylamino)-pyridine) or DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]-octane) trapped <10%.

Carbon-11 reaction optimization was carried out with operational *simplicity* in mind. *Conceptually*, the reaction occurs as detailed in Scheme 1; however, it is most likely that many equilibria exist in solution and that (bi)carbonate salts of DBU are important reactive intermediates.[14,15]

In one-pot, solutions of benzyl amine (1), benzyl chloride (2), and DBU were combined and the resulting solution was used to trap  ${}^{11}CO_2$  (10-40 mCi) from a constant stream of He (in <2 min) using a standard cone-bottomed glass vial with septum and screw cap. The inlet and outlet lines were removed or closed and the reaction solution was heated. At the end of a given reaction time, the reaction was quenched by the addition of excess acid and the amount of volatile radioactivity (i.e. unreacted  ${}^{11}CO_2$ ) was determined. A sample was then analyzed by radioTLC or radioHPLC for chemical identity and radiochemical purity. From these data, we calculated radiochemical yield under various reaction conditions, Table 1.

The desired [<sup>11</sup>C]-carbamate was formed in high radiochemical yield in under 10 min at slightly elevated temperatures, Table 1 entry 6. At room temperature the reaction occurred in lower yield, but perhaps sufficient for labeling temperature-sensitive compounds. When compared to other carbon-11 labeling methods, bear in mind that the entire process including trapping and reaction time can be accomplished in less than 10 min. Therefore, non-decay corrected yields (i.e. deliverable yields) are much higher for this direct <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> method than for other more time intensive labeling strategies.[16]

From this series of experiments we determined that radiochemical yield was more sensitive to DBU and benzyl chloride concentrations than benzyl amine concentration. In the absence of DBU a small amount of labeling was observed, however the trapping efficiency was poor. In

the absence of benzyl amine, we observed <1% formation of [<sup>11</sup>C]-dibenzyl carbonate. This may indicate that the soluble [<sup>11</sup>C]-carbonate salt of DBU is not participating or is alkylated reversibly thus releasing <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> upon acidification. The only productive (irreversible) and high yielding pathway involved the amine. In fact, the use of a mixture of benzyl alcohol, benzyl chloride, and DBU under the optimized reaction conditions provided less than 3% radiochemical yield of [<sup>11</sup>C]-dibenzyl carbonate.

For the reactions we have reported in Table 1, we used dry solvents that were stored over molecular sieves. However, we found that dry solvents were not necessary and even in the presence of 10 mg of water, the reaction yields were comparably high. We have not eliminated the possibility that water is important in the reaction and are working to perform the reaction under rigorously anhydrous conditions to determine if water plays an essential role in the mechanism. We have found that the use of NaOH (aq) in DMF under the same conditions is not effective, but mechanisms involving water with DBU cannot be excluded. Clearly, the role of DBU in sequestering  $CO_2$  either as a zwitterion or (bi)carbonate salt is important, but the precise nature of this interaction and related reactive intermediate(s) cannot yet be discerned, especially when tracer quantities (i.e. nanomoles) of  ${}^{11}CO_2$  are being employed.[14]

To probe the scope of this direct <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> incorporation method, we examined the reaction of a series of amines and alkyl halides, Table 2. Secondary and di-substituted amines formed the corresponding [<sup>11</sup>C]-carbamates in good yields, Table 2 (entries 1-5). Less nucleophilic amines, such as anilines, participated in the reaction but with lower levels of conversion under these conditions. Given the simplicity of the reaction, direct use of <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>, and short reaction times, we anticipate that even these lower radiochemical yields will be competitive with alternative methods for carbamate labelling. We are currently investigating reaction conditions and co-catalysts to increase the radiochemical yields for less nucleophilic amines and for alcohols.[17]

In terms of electrophile scope, we examined the reaction of benzyl amine with a series of alkyl halides, Table 2 (entries 6-10). For the desired  ${}^{11}CO_2$  reaction to occur in acceptable radiochemical yield, electrophile reactivity had to be modulated so that competitive alkylation of the amine or DBU and elimination of the alkyl halide were minimal. In general, alkyl chlorides were better than the corresponding bromides. However in some cases, alkyl bromides were more effective. In these cases, alkylation of the amine was not competitive, (Table 2 entry 8). Hence, the reactivity can be "tuned" by the choice of an appropriate nucleophile / leaving group pair and we are now determining the extent to which we can develop rules to guide such pair selections, thus expanding the scope and predictability of high yielding reactions.

Finally, to test the reaction in the context of a pharmacologically active compound with potential as a PET imaging tracer, we labeled metergoline,[18] a serotonin (5HT) receptor antagonist, Scheme 2. It is worth noting that benzyl carbamate containing molecules like metergoline are attractive targets for this methodology because the labelling precursor (amine) can be produced by deprotection using H<sub>2</sub> and Pd/C. (We anticipate similar strategies for other common carbamates, which are used as protecting groups.) The reaction setup was extremely simple consisting of one vessel. <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> was trapped in the 300  $\mu$ L reaction solution (containing 100 mM DBU, 1 mg of the amine precursor, 100 mM benzyl chloride) *directly* from the cyclotron target gases. Given the simplicity of the method and the short overall process and reaction time from the end-of-bombardment (EOB), the radiochemical yield was excellent (32% calculated to EOB). We have now scaled this reaction in terms of radioactivity using up to 400 mCi of <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and can produce [<sup>11</sup>C]-metergoline at high specific activity (up to 5 Ci/ $\mu$ mol) using less than 1 mg of precursor. (The evaluation of [<sup>11</sup>C]-metergoline as a radiotracer for the 5HT receptor system in the brain will be reported elsewhere.)

In summary, the direct incorporation of  ${}^{11}\text{CO}_2$  into the carbamate functional group has been demonstrated. We are currently optimizing additional parameters to improve radiochemical yield and reaction scope. However, the simplicity and efficiency of the reaction should immediately provide new capabilities to carbon-11 chemists and expand the number and types of molecules useful in PET and other radiotracer applications. We are currently working to better understand the mechanism and the role DBU plays under tracer-scale conditions.

## **Experimental Section**

Separate solutions (300 mM each) of the amine, DBU, and alkyl chloride were prepared in DMF (that had been previously sparged with He gas to remove any  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere). Aliquots (100  $\mu$ l) of each solution were combined in a reaction vessel, which was then sealed with a septum and screw cap. The resulting 300 µl solution (0.1 M in each reagent) was sparged with He gas for 2-3 min. [<sup>11</sup>C]Carbon dioxide was released in a stream of He (~50 mL/min) from an automated trap and release system and introduced to the reaction solution under constant flow at room temperature. The amount of <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> trapped was monitored and recorded and the capture step continued until the radioactivity curve reached a maximum (typically <2min). After removing the inlet and outlet needles, the sealed vessel was transferred into a heating bath. Most reactions were carried out for 10 min at 75 °C. Other conditions are noted in Table 1. To determine radiochemical yield, reaction solutions were placed in contact with a miniature radiation detector and equipped with an inlet and outlet line using needles through the septa cap. The solution was acidified with 100 µL of 1.0 M HCl (an excess relative to DBU and amine). The residual <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> trapped in solution was then removed from solution by sparging with a stream of He gas (~50 ml/min). When the radioactivity in the solution became constant (ignoring decay), an aliquot of the solution was analyzed by radio-TLC and/or radio-HPLC. The percentage of radioactivity coincident with reference compound was multiplied by the decay corrected percentage of radioactivity that remained in solution upon removal of excess <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was carried out at Brookhaven National Laboratory (contract DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy and supported by its Office of Biological and Environmental Research). J.M.H. was supported by the NIH (1F32EB008320-01) and a Goldhaber Fellowship at BNL. A.T.R was supported by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) and BNL.

#### References

- Wahl, RL. Principles and Practice of PET and PET/CT. Vol. 2nd Ed., Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; Philadelphia, PA: 2009.
- [2]. Antoni, G.; Kihlberg, T.; Långström, B. Aspects on the synthesis of <sup>11</sup>C-labeled compounds. In: Welch, MJ.; Redvanly, CS., editors. Handbook of Radiopharmaceuticals: Radiochemistry and Applications. 2003. p. 141
- [3]. (a) Miller PW, Long NJ, Vilar R, Gee AD. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2008;47:8998. (b) Allard M, Fouquet E, James D, Szlosek-Pinaud M. Curr. Med. Chem 2008;15:235. [PubMed: 18288983]
- [4]. Hooker JM, Schoenberger M, Schieferstein H, Fowler JS. Angew. Chem. Int. Edit 2008;47:5989.
- [5]. Schoultz BW, Årstad E, Marton J, Willoch F, Drzezga A, Wester H-J, Henriksen G. Open Med. Chem. J 2008;2:72. [PubMed: 19662146]A new, practical method for the synthesis of methyl carbamates from <sup>11</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>I was recently reported:
- [6]. Christman DR, Finn RD, Karlstrom KI, Wolf AP. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot 1975;26:435.

- [7]. (a) Långström B, Lundqvist H. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot 1976;27:357. [PubMed: 955739] (b) Link JM, Krohn KA, Clark JC. Nucl. Med. Biol 1997;24:93. [PubMed: 9080480]
- [8]. (a) Fowler JS, Wolf AP. Acc. Chem. Res 1997;30:181. (b) Långström B, Kihlberg T, Bergstrom M, Antoni G, Bjorkman M, Forngren BH, Forngren T, Hartvig P, Markides K, Yngve U, Ogren M. Acta Chem. Scand 1999;53:651. [PubMed: 10486908]
- [9]. Lemoucheux L, Rouden J, Ibazizene M, Sobrio F, Lasne MC. J. Org. Chem 2003;68:7289. [PubMed: 12968878]
- [10]. (a) Kihlberg T, Karimi F, Långström B. J. Org. Chem 2002;67:3687. [PubMed: 12027681] (b) Doi H, Barletta J, Suzuki M, Noyori R, Watanabe Y, Långström B, B. Org. Biomol. Chem 2004;2:3063. [PubMed: 15505707]
- [11]. (a) Costa M, Chiusoli GP, Rizzardi M. Chem. Commun 1996:1699. (b) Chaturvedi D, Chaturvedi AK, Mishra N, Mishra V. Synthetic Commun 2008;38:4013.
- [12]. (a) Shi M, Shen YM. Helv. Chim. Acta 2001;84:3357. (b) Dell'Amico DB, Calderazzo F, Labella L, Marchetti F, Pampaloni G. Chem. Rev 2003;103:3857. [PubMed: 14531715] (c) Chaturvedi D, Ray S. Monatsh. Chem 2006;137:127. (d) Tascedda P, Dunach E. Chem. Commun 2000:449. (e) Aresta M, Quaranta E. Tetrahedron 1992;48:1515. (f) Pérez ER, da Silva MO, Costa VC, Rodrigues-Filho UP, Franco DW. Tet. Lett 2002;43:4091.
- [13]. (a) Schirbel A, Holschbach MH, Coenen HH. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm 1999;42:537. (b) Van Tilburg EW, Windhorst AD, Van der Mey M, Herscheid JDM. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm 2006;49:321.
- [14]. See supporting information for CO<sub>2</sub> model reactions, <sup>11</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> trapping experiments, and additional mechanism discussion.
- [15]. (a) Heldebrant DJ, Jessop PG, Thomas CA, Eckert CA, Liotta CL. J. Org. Chem 2005;70:5335.
  [PubMed: 15960544] (b) Mcghee W, Riley D, Christ K, Pan Y, Parnas B. J. Org. Chem 1995;60:2820. (c) Pérez ER, Santos RHA, Gambardella MTP, de Macedo LGM, Rodrigues-Filho UP, Launay JC, Franco DW. J. Org. Chem 2004;69:8005. [PubMed: 15527283] (d) Masuda K, Ito Y, Horiguchi M, Fujita H. Tetrahedron 2005;61:213.Mechanistic details related to the role of DBU and alkyl amines in similar reactions have been discussed extensively. For examples, see:
- [16]. Wuest FR. Trends in Org. Chem 2003;10:61.For further discussion, see:
- [17]. Shen YM, Duan WL, Shi M. Adv. Synth. Catal 2003;345:337.Co-catylsts have been successfully employed in CO<sub>2</sub> reactions involving epoxides.
- [18]. Beretta C, Ferrini R, Glasser AH. Nature 1965;207:421. [PubMed: 5894821]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript







**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of [<sup>11</sup>C]-metergoline

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

	Preliminary reaction of	otimization <sup>a</sup>			0	
	NH2	-0	<sup>11</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>			
	-	2	DBU, DMF		° S	
Entry	[1] MM	[2] mM	[DBU] mM	time/min	temp/°C	%yield $^b$
-	100	100	100	5.0	75	60
2	=	=	÷	5.0	100	69
3	F	=	÷	7.5	75	81
4	=	=	=	7.5	100	83
5	F	=	÷	10	25	17
9	=	=	÷	10	75	85
7	=	=	÷	10	100	75
8	-	=	÷	12	75	83
6	÷	÷	=	12	100	81
10	10	100	100	10	75	73
11	100	10	100	10	75	51
12	100	100	10	10	75	10
13	100	100	0	10	75	7
[a]			=			
<sup>1 uJ</sup> Reactions (3	00 µL DMF) were performed in a :	sealed vessel under a He atmospher	e. No carrier added <sup>11</sup> CO2 was tr	apped from a stream of	He gas.	
[b] See supporti	ng information for details related to	o radiochemical yield calculation.				







[a]All reactions were carried out with 100 mM of amine, alkyl chloride, and DBU in 300  $\mu$ L of DMF.

[b] Average radiochemical yield of two or more reactions.

[c]Radiochemical yield for the corresponding alkyl bromide.

[d] mean ± stdev (n = 10).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript