

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Biochemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 October 13.

Published in final edited form as: *Biochemistry*. 2009 October 13; 48(40): 9437–9447. doi:10.1021/bi900909g.

Conserved Polar Residues Stabilize Transmembrane Domains and Promote Oligomerization in Human Nucleoside Triphosphate Diphosphohydrolase 3 (NTPDase3)

Keith J. Gaddie¹ and Terence L. Kirley¹

¹ Department of Pharmacology and Cell Biophysics, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, P.O. Box 670575, Cincinnati, OH 45267-0575

Abstract

Polar residues play essential roles in the functions of transmembrane helices by mediating and stabilizing their helical interactions. To investigate the structural/functional roles of the conserved polar residues in the N- and C-terminal transmembrane helices of human NTPDase3 (N-terminal: S33, S39, T41, and Q44; C-terminal: T490, T495, and C501), each was singly mutated to alanine. The mutant proteins were analyzed for enzymatic activities, glycosylation status, expression level, and Triton X-100 detergent sensitivity. The Q44A mutation decreased Mg-ATPase activity by approximately 70%, and abolished Triton X-100 detergent inhibition of Ca-dependent nucleotidase activities, while greatly attenuating Triton X-100 inhibition of Mg-dependent nucleotidase activities. The polar residues were also mutated to cysteine, singly and in pairs, to allow a disulfide cross-linking strategy to map potential inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bond interactions. The results support the centrality of Q44 for the strong intermolecular interactions driving the association of the Nterminal helices of two NTPDase3 monomers in a dimer, and that T41 may play a role in specificity of this interaction. In addition, S33 and C501 form an intra-molecular association, while S39 and T495 may contribute to helical interactions involved in forming higher order oligomers. Lastly, Tween 20 substantially and selectively increases NTPDase3 activity, mediated by the transmembrane helices containing the conserved polar residues. Taken together, the data suggest a model for putative hydrogen bond interactions of the conserved polar residues in the transmembrane domain of native, oligometric NTPDase3. These interactions are important for proper protein expression, full enzymatic activity, and susceptibility to membrane perturbations.

Keywords

ecto-nucleotidase; NTPDase3; transmembrane hydrogen bonds; conserved polar residues; disulfide cross-linking; Tween 20 stimulation; Triton X-100 inactivation

Human <u>n</u>ucleoside <u>trip</u>hosphate <u>d</u>iphosphohydrol<u>ase</u> 3 (human NTPDase3) is a member of a family of ecto-enzymes that are characterized by their ability to hydrolyze extracellular and intra-luminal nucleoside di- and tri-phosphates in the presence of divalent cations (1). There are eight members in the human family of NTPDases. NTPDase1–3 and 8 are expressed on the cell-surface and hydrolyze extracellular nucleotides, while NTPDase4–7 hydrolyzes intra-luminal nucleotides (2,3). NTPDase1–4, 7, and 8 are membrane-bound glycoproteins with a transmembrane (TM) helix near both their N- and C-termini, and a large extracellular domain containing five conserved disulfide bonds and the enzyme active site (4). NTPDase5 and 6 are

Address correspondence to: Terence L. Kirley, Department of Pharmacology and Cell Biophysics, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, P.O. Box 670575, Cincinnati, OH 45267-0575. Phone: 513-558-2353; Fax: 513-558-9969; terry.kirley@uc.edu.

Gaddie and Kirley

found in intracellular membrane organelles, but can also be secreted as soluble enzymes upon cleavage of their respective N-terminal signal sequences (5,6). The NTPDases are also distinguished from one another by their nucleotide substrate specificities and catalytic properties (7), with those enzymes targeted to intracellular organelles being inefficient nucleoside triphosphatases (poor hydrolysis of ATP). Although the functions of the NTPDases are still under investigation, it is known that the cell-surface members of this enzyme family hydrolyze extracellular nucleotides acting as agonists at purinergic receptors to modulate many physiological processes, including blood clotting, pain perception, and smooth muscle contraction (8).

Many site-directed mutagenesis experiments (mostly performed on NTPDase3) have demonstrated the importance of conserved residues in the extracellular domain of the NTPDases (9), and a recently published crystal structure of the extracellular portion of rat NTPDase2 has confirmed and extended those site-directed mutagenesis results (10). In addition, previous studies from the same laboratory that solved the crystal structure of the extracellular portion of rat NTPDase2 demonstrated that the extracellular portions of rat NTPDase1–3 could be re-folded from bacterial inclusion bodies to soluble, highly active nucleotidases (11). However, consistent with studies involving expression of the soluble, extracellular portion of CD39/NTPDase1 (12) the specific activity of the bacterially expressed and refolded NTPDases (approximately 200 μ mol/mg/min = 12,000 μ mol/mg/hr,(11)) was substantially lower than what was reported for a purified, full-length NTPDase (rabbit NTPDase2) (13), which has a specific activity of approximately 400,000 μ mol/mg/hr.

Most studies regarding the role of the transmembrane domain (TMD) of the membrane-bound, cell-surface NTPDases have focused on oligomerization. The predominant, active form of these enzymes appear to be a dimer, although higher-order oligomers are also observed, and the soluble NTPDases (which lack TMDs) are monomeric (14–16). However, no structural data exists and no site-directed mutagenesis studies have been performed to perturb and analyze helix interactions in the TMD of NTPDase3. These interactions are likely different than the other membrane-bound NTPDases, since other NTPDases do not have the conserved polar residues found in NTPDase3 and mutated in this study.

Generally, TM α -helices consist of 20–30 hydrophobic amino acids that have a central region rich in aliphatic residues and phenylalanines, and short border regions enriched in tryptophan and tyrosine (17). Strongly polar residues such as arginine, asparagine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glutamine, histidine, and lysine are under-represented in TM helices (18,19), and mutations of these residues often cause adverse effects on enzyme function, suggesting conserved structural and functional roles (20–22). The most direct method to determine the hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues in the TMD of NTPDase3 is crystallization of the full-length enzyme. Several membrane-bound enzymes have been crystallized (23), revealing the hydrogen bonding pattern of the polar residues in the TM helices (24). However, very few crystal structures of membrane proteins exist, and no crystal structure has been determined for any full-length NTPDase. Therefore, alternative approaches from which the three dimensional organization of the TM helices may be inferred must be applied.

The introduction of cysteine residues at specific locations in the TM helices by site-directed mutagenesis and subsequent oxidative cross-linking has proven to be a useful method to determine spatial proximity, orientation, and flexibility of TM helices (25–33). A better understanding of the organization of the TMD of the NTPDases, specifically how the N- and C-terminal TM helices interact within and/or between monomers, is essential for a better understanding of enzyme function and its modulation by oligomerization and membrane perturbation. In the present study, we demonstrate the importance of the conserved TM polar residues for optimal protein expression and enzymatic activity of NTPDase3, presumably

mediated by polar amino acid hydrogen bond TM helix interactions necessary for proper protein processing and folding. Furthermore, we developed a model of putative helical hydrogen bond interactions based on cysteine substitution pairs and oxidative cross-linking of these residues in the NTPDase3 TM helices. The Q44 residue, which is conserved in all NTPDase3 sequences, is located at the interface of the N-terminal TM helix and the extracellular portion of NTPDase3, and appears to be the "lynch-pin" of the inter-molecular TM interactions, driving association of the N-terminal TM helices of two monomers to form the native, dimeric NTPDase3. We also discovered that the detergent, Tween 20, unlike most other detergents that perturb the membrane and decrease NTPDase3 activity, increases NTPDase3 activity. This effect is not seen in the closely related human NTPDase1 and NTPDase2 enzymes, and appears to be mediated by favorable effects of the detergent on oligomerization of NTPDase3.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site-directed mutagenesis of NTPDase3

The QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was used to mutate NTPDase3 in the pcDNA3 expression vector as previously described (34). Fisher Oligo produced the synthetic oligonucleotide primers needed to engineer each mutant. The sense primers used for the mutagenesis are as follows:

S33A, 5'-GGTGGTCTTGCTTGTGGCTATTGTGGTACTTGTG-3';

S39A, 5'-GAGTATTGTGGTACTTGTGGCTATCACTGTCATCCAGATCC-3';

T41A, 5'-CTTGTGAGTATCGCTGTCATCCAGATCCACAAGC-3';

Q44A, 5'-GTGAGTATCACTGTCATCGCGATCCACAAGCAAGAGG-3';

T490A, 5'-CCTGTCTTTGTGGGCGCCCTCGCTTTCTTC-3';

T495A, 5'-CCTCGCTTTCTTCACAGCAGCGGCAGCCTTG-3';

C501A, 5'-CACAGCGGCAGCCTTGCTGCTCTGGCATTTCTTGCATAC-3';

S33C, 5'-CTTGGTGGTCTTGCTTGTGTGTGTGTGTGGTACTTGTGAG-3';

S39C, 5'-GTGAGTATTGTGGTACTTGTGTGTGTATCACTGTCATCCAGATC-3';

T41C, 5'-GTGGTACTTGTGAGTATCTGTGTCATCCAGATCCACAAG-3';

Q44C, 5'-CTTGTGAGTATCACTGTCATCTGTATCCACAAGCAAGAGGTCCTC-3';

T490C, 5'-CACCTGTCTTTGTGGGC<u>TGC</u>CTCGCTTTCTTCACAGC-3';

T495C, 5'-CACCCTCGCTTTCTTC<u>TGC</u>GCGGCAGCCTTGCTGTC-3';

Q44C/S39C, 5'-GTGAGTATTGTGGTACTTGTG<u>TGT</u>ATCACTGTCATC<u>TGT</u>ATC-3';

Q44C/T41C, 5'-GTGGTACTTGTGAGTATCTGTGTGTCATCTGTATCCACAAG-3'.

The altered codons are underlined and the complimentary anti-sense oligonucleotides also required for mutagenesis are not shown. The polar residue to alanine substitution mutants were made in the "wild-type like" C10S NTPDase3 background and the single as well as double

cysteine mutants were made in the "free sulfhydryl-less" C10S/C501S/C509S NTPDase3 background (which has enzymatic properties similar to WT C10S (35)), except for the S33C/C501 mutant which was made in the C10S/C509S NTPDase3 background (also having enzymatic properties similar to WT C10S (35)). DNA Analysis, LLC sequenced the resulting cDNA constructs to verify the presence of the desired mutation and the absence of any unwanted changes.

Transient transfection and preparation of COS-1 cell membranes

COS-1 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% bovine serum and 2% mixture of antibiotics and antimycotics (Invitrogen). The cells were transfected with a total of 4 µg plasmid DNA (or empty pcDNA3 vector as a control) per 100 mm cell culture plate using Lipofectamine and PLUS reagents (Invitrogen) as previously described (36). The COS-1 cells were harvested approximately 48 hours post-transfection. The crude cell membrane preparations were obtained as previously described (36).

Protein assay

Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad protein assay reagent with the modifications of Stoscheck (37). Bovine serum albumin was used as a standard.

Nucleotidase assay of transfected COS-1 cells expressing NTPDase3

Nucleotidase activities were determined by measuring the amount of inorganic phosphate (P_i) released from nucleotide substrates (Sigma) at 37°C using modifications of the technique of Fiske and Subbarow (38) as previously described (34). Either 5 mM MgCl₂ or 5 mM CaCl₂ (both in 20 mM MOPS 7.1 buffer) was used for cation-dependent nucleotidase activities. The reactions were initiated by the addition of nucleotide to a final concentration of 2.5 mM in the 0.3 ml assay solutions. Hydrolysis was allowed to proceed for 30 minutes or 1 hour, depending on the substrate used. The activities were corrected for pcDNA3/COS-1 cell background (membranes from COS-1 cells transfected with an empty vector), as well as differences in expression levels as determined by quantitative Western blotting of each sample.

Triton X-100 nucleotidase assays

In some experiments, COS-1 cell crude membrane protein (0.05 mg/ml) was solubilized in 1% Triton X-100 and 25 mM MOPS buffer, pH 7.1 at 22°C for 10 minutes with occasional vortexing. In most experiments, nucleotidase activities were measured by dilution of COS membranes into assay solutions containing 0.1% Triton X-100, using either 5 mM CaCl₂ or 5 mM MgCl₂ (both in 20 mM MOPS 7.1 buffer) and nucleotide at a final concentration of 0.25 mM, using a malachite green phosphate assay (39), due to the interference of Triton X-100 with the modified Fiske and Subbarow assay described above. After addition of nucleotide to start the reaction, hydrolysis was allowed to proceed for 8 minutes (Ca-ATPase), 16 minutes (Ca-ATPase), or 32 minutes (Mg-ADPase) at 37°C. The absorbance at 630 nm was measured to determine the amount of inorganic phosphate (P_i) released.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting

Pre-cast 10-well or 15-well 4–15% gradient mini-gels (Bio-Rad) were used to resolve aliquots of crude membrane proteins $(0.5 - 2 \mu g)$, depending on the sample and the experimental purpose), usually after boiling for 5 minutes in SDS sample buffer containing 30 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Following SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, the proteins were electro-transferred to Immun-Blot PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) for 3 hours at 33 V in cold 10 mM CAPS/NaOH, pH 11. After transfer, the PVDF membrane was incubated for 1 hour in blocking solution (5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline, TBS) at room temperature (22°C) and then incubated overnight at room temperature in blocking solution containing 0.02% sodium

azide and a 1:5000 dilution of rabbit polyclonal primary antisera of KLH1, generated against the cytoplasmic C-terminal peptide (amino acid residues 515–529) of human NTPDase3 (15). After washing the blot in a TBS-0.05% Tween 20 solution, a goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Pierce) was applied at a 1:4000 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature followed by washing and applying the Pierce SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate to the PVDF membrane for 5 minutes to detect immunoreactivity by chemiluminescence. Chemiluminescence was recorded and quantified using a FluorChem IS-8800 system (Alpha Innotech).

Oxidative cross-linking and alkylation of cysteine

Copper phenanthroline (CuPhen) was used as the oxidative cross-linker to form disulfides from pairs of free cysteine sulfhydryls. The reagent was prepared just before use by combining cupric sulfate and 1,10-phenanthroline (Sigma) at a 1:3 ratio in 20% ethanol as described (40). Cysteine-substituted COS-1 cell crude membrane protein (0.1 mg/ml) was cross-linked with a final concentration of 0.5 mM CuPhen in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 at 37°C for 20 minutes. For samples directly analyzed by SDS-PAGE, the reactions were stopped by adding an equal volume of non-reducing SDS loading buffer containing 20 mM EDTA. For samples alkylated with maleimide polyethylene glycol-5000 (MaIPEG), the cross-linking reaction was stopped with 0.5 volume of a solution to yield final concentrations of 20 mM EDTA and 1% SDS in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. The samples were incubated at room temperature (22°C) for 10 minutes with occasional vortexing, followed by the addition of MaIPEG to a final concentration of 5 mM. The samples were alkylated at room temperature for 20 minutes with occasional vortexing, and the reaction was stopped by adding equal volume of non-reducing SDS-PAGE loading buffer. The samples were then heated at 60°C for 10 minutes and loaded onto a gel for SDS-PAGE followed by Western blot analysis as described above.

Deglycosylation

Deglycosylation was performed using Endo H (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's instructions, as previously described (4). Briefly, COS-1 cell crude membrane protein was solubilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 containing 1 mM EDTA for 30 minutes at 22° C. The solubilized samples were then centrifuged in a Beckman air-driven centrifuge for 20 minutes at 100,000g. The supernatant was combined with 10× Glycoprotein Denaturing Buffer (to yield final concentrations of 0.5% SDS and 1% β -mercaptoethanol) and boiled for 10 minutes. After cooling to room temperature (22°C), each sample was combined with the appropriate reaction buffer (NEBuffer G5), aliquoted, and incubated with, or without (controls) Endo H at 37°C for 1 hour or 3 hours. After incubation, the samples were combined with SDS sample buffer containing 100 mM DTT, run on an SDS-PAGE gel, and analyzed by Western blot as described above.

NTPDase3 nucleotidase assays in the presence of Tween 20

COS-1 cell crude membrane protein $(0.25 \ \mu g/ml)$ was pre-incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C in 0.1% Tween 20 and 5 mM MgCl₂ or 5 mM CaCl₂ (both in 20 mM MOPS 7.1 buffer). After addition of nucleotide at a final concentration of 0.25 mM to start the reaction, hydrolysis was allowed to proceed for 5 minutes (Ca-ATPase), 10 minutes (Ca-ADPase), 10 minutes (Mg-ATPase), or 20 minutes (Mg-ADPase) at 37°C. Nucleotidase activities were measured using a malachite green phosphate assay as described above. The absorbance at 630 nm was measured to determine the amount of inorganic phosphate (P_i) released.

RESULTS

Rationale for selection and analysis of TM polar residue mutants

Multiple sequence alignments of the N- and C-terminal TM helices of all known NTPDase3 sequences to date revealed complete conservation of polar residues Ser33 and Gln44, high conservation of Thr41 and Cys501, and a much more limited degree of conservation for Ser39, Thr490, and Thr495 (Figure 1A). Helical wheel predictions of the TM helices revealed that 3 pairs of polar residues, namely Q44 and T490, S39 and T495, and S33 and C501 are at approximately the same depth in the membrane and located on the same face of the alpha helices (Figure 1B). This suggests that each pair has the potential for inter- or intra-molecular hydrogen bonding (Figure 1C).

Characterization of the NTPDase3 polar residue to alanine mutants

To explore the structural and/or functional significance of the conserved polar residues in the N-terminal (S33, S39, T41, and O44) and C-terminal (T490, T495, and C501) TM helices of NTPDase3, we utilized site-directed mutagenesis to singly substitute an alanine residue (a nonpolar amino acid) for each of the polar residues, and expressed the mutants in COS-1 cells. The "wild-type like" C10S NTPDase3, denoted as WT (C10S) throughout this paper, was used as the background for these mutations to eliminate the artificial dimerization via oxidation of Cys10 which is observed when homogenizing COS membranes expressing WT human NTPDase3 (35). The mutants were characterized for proper glycosylation and delivery to the cell surface in their native oligomeric state, as well as enzymatic activities, expression level, and sensitivity to Triton X-100 inhibition (see Table 1). As seen in Table 1, alanine substitution caused a significant and substantial decrease in expression level of S33, S39, O44, T495, and C501 mutants as compared to the WT (C10S) NTPDase3. After correcting for expression level, many of the mutants had specific enzymatic activities similar to the WT (C10S) enzyme (see Table 1). However, T490A and T495A had reduced activity (about 70% of the Mg-ATPase activity), and the activity of the Q44A mutant, was reduced to about 30% of the Mg-ATPase of the WT (C10S) NTPDase3 (Table 1).

Due to the significant decrease in expression level and changes in nucleotide hydrolysis as compared to WT (C10S), possible changes in processing and trafficking of the mutants to the cell-surface were examined. During studies which established the location of 5 extracellular disulfide bonds in human NTPDase3, Endo H deglycosylation analysis was shown to be a simple and reliable assay for proper protein processing and delivery to the cell surface (4). WT (C10S) and mutant enzymes all migrate at approximately 80 kDa, and, like WT (C10S), all exhibit only a small shift in the electrophoretic mobility upon treatment with Endo H, with little to no protein completely deglycosylated by Endo H to migrate at the core protein size of 59 kDa (data not shown). This indicates that the mutant proteins were properly glycosylated and suggests they were normally trafficked to the cell surface.

To monitor effects of alanine substitution on monomeric NTPDase3, the mutant proteins were treated with Triton X-100, a detergent known to cause dissociation of NTPDase3 oligomers into monomers. The Triton X-100 induced inhibition of WT (C10S) and most mutants is obvious, especially for the Mg-dependent activities, which are more sensitive to detergent inhibition than the Ca-dependent activities (Figure 2A), consistent with previous results (35). As seen in Figure 2A, Q44A, unlike the WT (C10S) and other polar residue mutants, is relatively insensitive to Triton X-100 inhibition. In fact, Ca-dependent activities actually increase, while the Q44A Mg-dependent activities are decreased by Triton. However, this inhibitory effect is greatly attenuated as compared to the WT (C10S) sample and the other polar residue mutants. Thus, there may be either a component of the inhibitory effect of Triton X-100 that is detected only when assaying for Mg-nucleotidase activities, or a stabilizing effect on

NTPDase3 mediated by calcium. Nevertheless, the relative insensitivity of the Q44A mutant to Triton inhibition suggests that alanine substitution at Q44 may result in compromised TM helix interactions between two different monomers, presumably accounting for the approximately 70% decrease in Mg-ATPase (as compared to the WT (C10S), both in the absence of detergent), and explaining the attenuation of further decrease in enzymatic activities after Triton X-100 treatment (Figure 2A). These data suggest that the conserved polar residues in the TMD of NTPDase3 play a pivotal role in normal protein expression and the stability of the TM helix interactions of the native, oligomeric structure of NTPDase3 necessary for full enzymatic activity, with the highly conserved, and strongly polar, Q44 residue playing a dominant role.

Characterization of the mutants with polar residues singly mutated to cysteine

In order to better understand the contributions the TMDs make to the oligomeric structure of NTPDase3, it is important to elucidate if, and how, the hydrogen bonds of the conserved polar residues interact between the N- and C-terminal TM helices. This should allow development of a working model for TM helix interactions. A cysteine substitution/disulfide bond formation strategy was utilized to accomplish this goal.

The introduction of a free sulfhydryl by cysteine substitution may cause potential problems for protein folding and processing (due to inappropriate disulfide bond formation), so each polar residue was first singly substituted for cysteine in the "free sulfhydryl-less" C10S/C501S/C509S NTPDase3 background (ensuring that the introduced cysteine is the only free sulfhydryl available for chemical reactivity). All these mutants were enzymatically active (Table 1) and properly processed and trafficked to the cell surface (data not shown). Importantly, similar to Q44A, the Q44C mutation decreased specific nucleotidase activities by approximately 70% (Table 1) and was also relatively resistant to Triton X-100 detergent inhibition, unlike the other cysteine mutants (Figure 2B).

To explore the possibility of spontaneous, inter-molecular disulfide bond formation via the introduced cysteine residue, the mutants were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with and without reduction by 30 mM DTT. As seen in Figure 3, several of the single cysteine mutants form some spontaneous, inter-molecular disulfide dimers and higher order oligomers. When quantitatively comparing the two monomer bands of the treated versus untreated samples for each mutant, it is evident that the extent of this spontaneous cross-linking is small for most mutants (it should be noted that the antibody reacts much more strongly with oligomeric forms of NTPDase3 than with monomeric NTPDase3 on Western blots). Furthermore, each of the spontaneously cross-linked cysteine mutants was sensitive to 30 mM DTT reduction, except Q44C. To ensure that the spontaneous cross-linking observed in Q44C was indeed disulfidemediated, this sample was treated with 200 mM DTT or 2.86 M (20%) β-mercaptoethanol (BME) at 100°C for 5 minutes prior to SDS-PAGE. As seen in Figure 3B, the spontaneously, dimeric O44C mutant was still somewhat resistant to reduction by this very high concentration of DTT, but was fully reduced by the very high concentration of BME used. This data suggests that Q44 in the N-terminal TM helix of one monomer preferentially inter-molecularly interacts with Q44 in the N-terminal helix of the other monomer in dimeric NTPDase3. The spontaneous cross-linking and resistance to DTT reduction of the Q44C mutant demonstrates not only proper orientation and close proximity needed for effective hydrogen bonding, but also the possible presence of a hydrophobic core between the N-terminal TM helices of spontaneously, dimeric Q44C.

Paired cysteine mutagenesis and generation of a model incorporating the inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues in the TM helices of NTPDase3

The helical wheel analysis predicted that Q44 and T490, S39 and T495, and S33 and C501 are on the same helical face and at the same depth in the cell membrane of their respective TM helix (Figure 1B). Thus, in a dimeric structure, these residues have the potential for inter- or intra-molecular hydrogen bonding with themselves or each other (Figure 1C). To test this hypothesis, each of the putative polar residue hydrogen bonding pairs were substituted for cysteine residues in the "free sulfhydryl-less" C10S/C501S/C509S NTPDase3 background (the C10S/C509S background for the S33C/C501 mutant, Table 1), ensuring that these mutants have only two free cysteines available for sulfhydryl specific cross-linking via copper phenanthroline (CuPhen) oxidation. Each double mutant cysteine pair was enzymatically active (Table 1). To assess the proximity and orientation of each cysteine residue to one another in the TMD of NTPDase3, and to determine if each pair might preferentially interact via interor intra-molecular TM helical hydrogen bonds, each double cysteine mutant was alkylated with maleimide polyethylene glycol-5000 (MalPEG), oxidized with CuPhen, or treated with a combination of CuPhen followed by MalPEG. MalPEG reacts covalently to alkylate all free sulfhydryls, adding approximately 5 kDa for each molecule of MalPEG added to NTPDase3 protein. (Under the reaction conditions outlined in the methods, complete and quantitative MalPEG modifications of C10S NTPDase3, as well as 2 other mutants containing TM cysteine substitutions were observed, data not shown). In each experiment, the double cysteine mutants were first alkylated with MalPEG, before oxidative cross-linking with CuPhen, to allow differentiation of cysteines present as free sulfhydryls as opposed to those being involved in spontaneous, intra-molecular cross-linking.

As seen in Figure 4A, neither the S33C nor C501 single cysteine mutants exhibit intermolecular cross-linking (dimer formation) after oxidation with CuPhen. When the S33C/C501 paired cysteine mutant was alkylated with MalPEG, or oxidized with CuPhen, or oxidized with CuPhen followed by alkylation with MalPEG, the mutant protein also migrated as a monomer on the SDS-PAGE gel under all conditions. This suggests that the cysteines present at positions 33 and 501 (in the S33C/C501 mutant) are spontaneously and quantitatively, intra-molecularly cross-linked, and therefore unavailable for exogenous sulfhydryl chemical reactions (i.e., no MalPEG alkylation or CuPhen oxidation occurs).

In Figure 4B, S39C and T495C single cysteine mutants inter-molecularly cross-link to a relatively small extent, with S39C cross-linking more efficiently than T495C. When the S39C/T495C paired cysteine mutant is oxidized with CuPhen, dimers are formed indicating inter-molecular cross-linking. Oxidation of the S39C/T495C paired cysteine mutant with CuPhen followed by MalPEG alkylation, reiterates the dimer formation and an electrophoretic shift for the monomer is seen indicating the presence of free sulfhydryls even after oxidative cross-linking.

In Figure 4C, the Q44C mutant quantitatively cross-links inter-molecularly in the presence of CuPhen (i.e., no monomer remains), and the T490C mutant also cross-links inter-molecularly, but with a much lower efficiency. When the Q44C/T490C paired cysteine mutant is alkylated with MalPEG alone, 2 bands are seen with shifted mobilities, indicating the presence of free sulfhydryls in both the monomer and the spontaneously cross-linked dimer. After CuPhen cross-linking, at least 4 bands are seen. The lowest band indicates intra-molecular cross-linking, as evidenced by its electrophoretic shift to a position slightly above the monomer, and the lack of any shift seen after further alkylation with MalPEG. The most dense band, second from the bottom, represents the spontaneously and CuPhen-induced, inter-molecularly cross-linked Q44C dimer, which contains two free sulfhydryls, since after MalPEG alkylation it migrates at approximately 10 kDa higher than the spontaneously and CuPhen-induced Q44C

dimer. The third band from the bottom represents a dimer which is apparently inter-molecularly cross-linked between both the N-terminal (Q44C/Q44C) and C-terminal (T490C/T490C) TM helices of two monomers. After alkylation with MalPEG, the electrophoretic mobility of the band (third from the bottom) does not change as compared with being oxidized with CuPhen alone. The faint fourth band from the bottom may represent a higher order oligomer.

Integrating all the cross-linking data leads to the putative hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues as illustrated in Figure 5. The polar residues mutated, the labeling of the monomer 1 helices (denoted as N1 (N-terminal domain) and C1 (C-terminal domain)) and the solid lines representing the inter- and intra-molecular interactions of monomer 1, are bolded. Monomer 2, containing TM helices denoted N2 and C2, and the dotted lines representing the intra-molecular interactions of monomer 2 are labeled without bolding. The filled black circles represent the polar residues with the circle size decreasing as each amino acid increases in distance into the cell membrane from the extracellular surface (see Figure 1 legend for a complete description of the representations in Panel A). This model places S39, T495, and, to a lesser extent, T41 on the "outside" of the interacting faces of the TM helices forming dimeric NTPDase3 (i.e., they are not directly involved in making TM polar dimeric contacts). To test this model, which suggests that S39, T495, and possibly T41, are not involved in hydrogen bonding within a dimer, but may instead be involved in higher order oligomer interactions involving multiple dimers, we used site-directed mutagenesis to make Q44C/ S39C, Q44C/T41C, and Q44C/T495C double cysteine mutants. Our hypothesis was that upon oxidative cross-linking by CuPhen, these "outside-facing" polar residues, coupled with the spontaneously, inter-molecularly cross-linked Q44C mutant (revealing the natural dimer), could form higher order oligomers. Each of these double cysteine mutants was enzymatically active (Table 1) and properly processed and trafficked to the cell surface (data not shown). As seen in Figure 6, the Q44C/S39C (and to a lesser extent, the Q44C/T495C mutant) forms a small amount of higher order oligomers (above 200 kDa, indicated by asterisks), and these bands do not electrophoretically shift upon the addition of MalPEG, suggesting the absence of free sulfhydryls. No higher order oligomers are evident after oxidative cross-linking of the Q44C/T41C mutant. This data suggests that while Q44 is a main player in driving the association of the TM helices of dimeric NTPDase3, S39, and possibly T495, may contribute to higher order oligomer formation. T41 does not seem to play a role in promoting higher order oligomerization, but instead is most likely teamed with Q44 to form a "Polar-X-X-Polar" (T41-X-X-Q44) motif mediating TM helix association (41,42).

Tween 20 Stimulation of NTPDase3

The NTPDases, including human NTPDase3 utilized in this work, are generally inhibited by most detergents used to solubilize membrane-bound proteins (8). During the course of this study, we discovered that incubation of NTPDase3 with 0.1% Tween 20 increases the Mg-ATPase of the human enzyme by more than 2.5 fold, while it inhibits the enzymatic activity of human NTPDase1 and 2 by about 50 - 70% (Fig. 7A), indicating the stimulation of activity by Tween 20 is selective for NTPDase3. Similar 2.5 - 3 fold Tween 20 NTPDase3 stimulatory effects were also seen measuring Mg-ADPase activity and Ca-dependent nucleotidase activities (data not shown). In addition, Figure 7B shows that incubation of human, mouse, and rat NTPDase3 with 0.1% Tween 20 results in a greater than 2.5 fold increase in Mg-ATPase activity, indicating the stimulatory effect is not species-specific.

We hypothesized the detergent Tween 20 stimulates the enzyme activity of NTPDase3 by enhancing the oligomeric stability of the enzyme, mediated by the TMDs. Glutaraldehyde (a lysine specific cross-linker) has been frequently used to assess the oligomerization status of the NTPDases (43). NTPDase3 (WT-like C10S) was therefore glutaraldehyde cross-linked in the absence of any detergent, as well as after treatment with 0.1% of the detergents digitonin,

Tween 20, or Triton X-100, and analyzed on a reducing SDS-PAGE gel. As seen in Figure 8A, NTPDase3 treated with digitonin (which maintains activity and native oligomeric structure (8)) resulted in efficient cross-linking into dimers and higher order oligomers. NTPDase3 treated with Tween 20 resulted in more dimer and higher order oligomer formation, and less monomer remaining following glutaraldehyde cross-linking as compared to the NTPDase3 treated with no detergent or treated with digitonin. In contrast, NTPDase3 treated with Triton X-100 dramatically decreased the cross-linking efficiency of the normally dimeric NTPDase3 (presumably by abolishing oligomer formation). This data suggests Tween 20, unlike Triton X-100 (and most other detergents), enhances NTPDase3 oligomer formation, resulting in increased nucleotidase activity.

Detergents generally have their inhibitory effects on the membrane-bound NTPDase enzymes, but do not inhibit the soluble, extracellular domains of these same enzymes (16). To test the hypothesis that NTPDase3 Tween 20 stimulation of activity is mediated by the TMD, a soluble NTPDase3 construct (lacking the TM helices and short cytoplasmic N- and C-terminal tails) was treated with Tween 20 to assess its effect on activity. No change of soluble NTPDase3 activity was observed (data not shown), indicating the Tween 20 stimulatory effect is indeed mediated by the TMD of NTPDase3. The S39C/T495C double cysteine mutant was used to further test the hypothesis that Tween 20 increases the enzymatic activity of NTPDase3 by promoting oligomerization at the TMD level. The S39C/T495C mutant protein (in the free sulfhydryl-less NTPDase3 background) was treated with or without 0.1% Tween 20 or Triton X-100, followed by oxidative cross-linking with a final concentration of 0.5 mM CuPhen for 20 minutes at 37°C. As seen in Figure 8B, treatment of the S39C/T495C mutant with 0.1% Tween 20 followed by CuPhen cross-linking resulted in the monomeric enzyme being efficiently, intra-molecularly cross-linked (upward shift of monomer band) and more efficiently, inter-molecularly cross-linked to oligomeric forms. On the contrary, 0.1% Triton X-100 treatment of S39C/T495C followed by CuPhen oxidation resulted in complete attenuation of dimer and higher order oligomer formation, with intra-molecular (within a monomer) cross-linking still evident as an upward shift in electrophoretic mobility of the monomer. Thus, the NTPDase3-selective stimulatory effect of Tween 20 might be explained by this detergent promoting oligomerization via the TM helices of NTPDase3, which contain a set of unique, conserved polar residues not present in NTPDase1 or NTPDase2.

DISCUSSION

Polar residues play essential roles in protein stability, function, and TM helical association via hydrogen bonding (44–51). The conservation of the polar residues in the TMD of human NTPDase3 suggests their participation in the formation of hydrogen bonds important for the structure and function of the enzyme. Knowledge of the role of these conserved polar residues, their hydrogen bond interactions, and resulting TM helical arrangement is necessary to understand the functional effects of oligomerization of NTPDase3 and its modulation by membrane perturbation. Therefore, we singly mutated the polar residues to alanine and analyzed the effect of eliminating each polar residue in the TM helices of NTPDase3. Then we mutated the polar residues to cysteine, both singly and in pairs, in a free sulfhydryl-less NTPDase3 background (C10S/C501S/C509S NTPDase3) to elucidate possible hydrogen bonding pairs involving these residues.

Our data strongly suggests the conserved polar residues in the TM helices of human NTPDase3 are important for optimal protein expression and enzymatic activity (Table 1), presumably by forming polar residue hydrogen bonds necessary for proper protein folding and association of TM helical interactions as demonstrated by our disulfide cross-linking strategy. Interestingly the Q44A and Q44C mutants exhibited similar enzymatic characteristics (Table 1 and Figure 2), namely, a decrease in nucleotidase activities and the elimination of the Ca-nucleotidase and

Gaddie and Kirley

attenuation of the Mg-nucleotidase inhibition of Triton X-100 (Triton X-100, as well as many other detergents, have been shown to inhibit activity of NTPDase3 as well as most other cell surface NTPDases (8)). Presumably, these mutations disrupted the inter- and intra-molecular (glutamine, a strongly polar residue can form two hydrogen bonds) hydrogen bonding pattern necessary for proper TM helical association (Figure 4C). The spontaneous, intermolecular disulfide bond formation observed in the Q44C mutant (resistant to even very high concentrations of DTT, but could be reduced by high concentrations of the more hydrophobic reduction agent, BME (Figure 3B)) most likely limits the dynamic motions of the TM helices. This decreases activity, since both appropriate hydrogen bonding and movement of the TM helices during nucleotide hydrolysis are necessary to achieve full enzymatic activity (Table 1). This suggests that residue Q44 of one monomer is in close proximity and in the correct orientation to the Q44 of the other monomer in a dimer, and that the N-terminal TM helices of dimeric NTPDase3 interact efficiently near the extracellular surface of the cell membrane. The current data demonstrating the importance of the strongly polar Q44 residue, which is located at the interface of the N-terminal TM helix with the extracellular portion of NTPDase3, is consistent with data published by Grinthal and Guidotti (32) using rat NTPDase1. In that earlier study, those authors, through cysteine substitution and oxidative cross-linking, identified strong inter- and intra-molecular interactions between the TM helices of NTPDase1 involving residues near the extracellular surface of the membrane and further demonstrated disulfide bond formation between two transmembrane helices decreased enzymatic activity.

The S33C/C501 double cysteine mutant appears to spontaneously and completely form intramolecular disulfides (cross-linking between TM helices within a monomer, Figure 4A), while the S39C/T495C mutant appears to form inter-molecular disulfides (Figure 4B). This crosslinking data suggests the putative hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues as illustrated in Figure 5 that places S39, T495, and T41 on the "outside" of the TM helices forming dimeric NTPDase3. In turn, this suggests that S39, T495, and/or T41 could be involved in higher order oligomer interactions (e.g., to form the "dimer of dimers" (35)). As seen in Figure 6, the Q44C/S39C (and to a lesser extent the Q44C/T495C) mutant forms a small amount of higher order oligomers above 200 kDa, presumably tetramers. Taken together, these crosslinking results suggest that Q44 is the "lynch-pin" residue in the association of the TM helices to form dimeric NTPDase3 and that S39 (and possibly T495) may form inter-molecular hydrogen bonds to promote interactions that facilitate formation of the tetrameric and higher order oligomeric forms of NTPDase3 (Figure 5). Lastly, T41, due to its inability to form higher order oligomers when combined with Q44C (Figure 6), is not likely to be directly involved in higher order oligomer formation (as is depicted in Figure 5A by its relative inaccessibility), but instead may work in concert with Q44 to provide the specificity of the N-terminal helix associations in the dimeric "Polar-X-X-Polar" (T41-X-X-Q44) TM-TM association motif (52).

During the course of this study, we also discovered a species-conserved, stimulatory effect of Tween-20 on the nucleotidase activities of NTPDase3 (Figure 7B) that may facilitate future structural studies and serve as a useful tool for identifying the presence and importance of NTPDase3 in various tissues and processes. Considering this stimulatory effect is isoenzyme-specific, not observed in the closely related human NTPDase1 or NTPDase2 (Figure 7A), it is not unlikely that the unique TMD hydrogen bond interactions mediated by the conserved polar residues of NTPDase3 promote the association of the TM helices (Figure 8B) in the presence of Tween 20.

The conserved NTPDase3 TMD polar residues examined here are not conserved in other membrane-bound NTPDases, suggesting that the results reported here are specific for this isoenzyme, and that other NTPDases have different mechanisms and amino acids facilitating TM helix interactions and oligomeric associations. This most likely explains the differential

susceptibility to membrane perturbation (e.g., detergent activation and inactivation) (53,54) and substrate specificities (7,55) seen in different family members of the NTPDase enzymes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH R01 grant HL72382/HL72382-S1 to T.L.K.

We thank Dr. Vasily Ivanenkov in our laboratory for making the original observation of the stimulatory effect of Tween 20 on NTPDase3.

ABBREVIATIONS

NTPDase3	nucleoside trinkeenhete dinkeenhekuduelees 2
	nucleoside improspriate approsprioriyarorase 5
TM	transmembrane
TMD	transmembrane domain
BME	β-mercaptoethanol
DTT	dithiothreitol, CuPhen, copper phenanthroline
MalPEG	
	maleimide polyethylene glycol-5000
MOPS	3-(N-Morpholino)-propanesulfonic acid
HEPES	N-Cyclohexyl-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid

References

- Zimmermann, H.; Beaudoin, AR.; Bollen, M.; Goding, JW.; Guidotti, G.; Kirley, TL.; Robson, SC.; Sano, K. Second International Workshop on Ecto-ATPases and Related Ectonucleotidases. Vanduffel, L., editor. Shaker Publishing BV; Maastricht, The Netherlands, Diepenbeek, Belgium: 1999. p. 1-9.
- 2. Zimmermann H. Two novel families of ectonucleotidases: molecular structures, catalytic properties and a search for function. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1999;20:231–236. [PubMed: 10366865]
- Wang T-F, Guidotti G. Golgi Localization and Functional Expression of Human Uridine Diphosphatase. J Biol Chem 1998;273:11392–11399. [PubMed: 9556635]
- Ivanenkov VV, Meller J, Kirley TL. Characterization of Disulfide Bonds in Human Nucleoside Triphosphate Diphosphohydrolase 3 (NTPDase3): Implications for NTPDase Structural Modeling. Biochemistry 2005;44:8998–9012. [PubMed: 15966724]
- Ivanenkov VV, Murphy-Piedmonte DM, Kirley TL. Bacterial Expression, Characterization, and Disulfide Bond Determination of Soluble Human NTPDase6 (CD39L2) Nucleotidase: Implications for Structure and Function. Biochemistry 2003;42:11726–11735. [PubMed: 14529283]
- Murphy-Piedmonte DM, Crawford PA, Kirley TL. Bacterial Expression, Folding, Purification and Characterization of Soluble NTPDase5 (CD39L4) Ecto-nucleotidase. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 2005;1747:251–259. [PubMed: 15698960]
- Kukulski F, Lévesque SA, Lavoie EG, Lecka J, Bigonnesse F, Knowles AF, Robson SC, Kirley TL, Sévigny J. Comparative hydrolysis of P2 receptor agonists by NTPDases 1, 2, 3 and 8. Purinergic Signalling 2005;1:193–204. [PubMed: 18404504]

- Plesner L. Ecto-ATPases: Identities and functions. Int Rev Cytol 1995;158:141–214. [PubMed: 7721538]
- Kirley TL, Crawford PA, Smith TM. The structure of the nucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolases (NTPDases) as revealed by mutagenic and computational modeling analysis. Purinergic Signalling 2006;2:379–389. [PubMed: 17710224]
- Zebisch M, Sträter N. Structural insight into signal conversion and inactivation by NTPDase2 in purinergic signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:6882–6887. [PubMed: 18458329]
- 11. Zebisch M, Sträter N. Characterization of Rat NTPDase1, -2, and -3 ectodomains refolded from bacterial inclusion bodies. Biochemistry 2007;46:11945–11956. [PubMed: 17910474]
- Gayle RB, Maliszewski CR, Gimpel SD, Schoenborn MA, Caspary RG, Richards C, Brasel K, Price V, Drosopoulos JHF, Islam N, Alyonycheva TN, Broekman MJ, Marcus AJ. Inhibition of Platelet Function by Recombinant Soluble Ecto-ADPase/CD39. J Clin Invest 1998;101:1851–1859. [PubMed: 9576748]
- 13. Treuheit MJ, Vaghy PL, Kirley TL. The Mg2+-ATPase of rabbit skeletal muscle transverse tubules is a 67 kDa glycoprotein. J Biol Chem 1992;267:11777–11782. [PubMed: 1534802]
- 14. Failer BU, Aschrafi A, Schmalzing G, Zimmermann H. Determination of native oligomeric state and substrate specificity of rat NTPDase1 and NTPDase2 after heterologous expression in Xenopus oocytes. Eur J Biochem 2003;270:1802–1809. [PubMed: 12694193]
- Smith TM, Kirley TL. Glycosylation is essential for functional expression of a human brain ectoapyrase. Biochemistry 1999;38:1509–1516. [PubMed: 9931016]
- Wang TF, Ou Y, Guidotti G. The transmembrane domains of ectoapyrase (CD39) affect its enzymatic activity and quaternary structure. J Biol Chem 1998;273:24814–24821. [PubMed: 9733785]
- Landolt-Marticorena C, Williams KA, Deber CM, Reithmeier RA. Non-random distribution of amino acids in the transmembrane segments of human type I single span membrane proteins. J Mol Biol 1993:602–608. [PubMed: 8433362]
- Tourasse NJ, Li WH. Selective constraints, amino acid composition, and the rate of protein evolution. Mol Biol Evol 2000:656–664. [PubMed: 10742056]
- Eilers M, Shekar SC, Shieh T, Smith SO, Fleming PJ. Internal packing of helical membrane proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000:5796–5801. [PubMed: 10823938]
- Partridge AW, Therien AG, Deber CM. Polar mutations in membrane proteins as a biophysical basis for disease. Biopolymers (Peptide Science) 2002;66:350–358. [PubMed: 12539263]
- Partridge AW, Therien AG, Deber CM. Missense mutations in transmembrane domains of proteins: Phenotypic propensity of polar residues for human disease. Proteins 2004;54:648–656. [PubMed: 14997561]
- Adamian L, Liang J. Interhelical hydrogen bonds in transmembrane region are important for function and stability of Ca2+-transporting ATPase. Cell Biochem Biophys 2003;39:1–12. [PubMed: 12835525]
- 23. White SH, Wimley WC. Membrane protein folding and stability: Physical principles. Annu Rev Biophys Biomel Struct 1999;28:319–365.
- 24. Adamian L, Liang J. Interhelical hydrogen bonds and spatial motifs in membrane proteins: Polar clamps and serine zippers. Proteins 2002;47:209–218. [PubMed: 11933067]
- Lynch BA, Koshland DEJ. Disulfide crosslinking studies of the transmembrane regions of the aspartate sensory receptor of *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991;88:10402–10406. [PubMed: 1660136]
- 26. Pakula AA, Simon MI. Determination of transmembrane protein structure by disulfide crosslinking: The *Escherichia coli* Tar receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1992;89:4144–4148. [PubMed: 1315053]
- Whitley P, Nilsson L, von Heijne G. Three-dimensional model of the membrane domain of *Escherichia coli* leader peptidase based on disulfide mapping. Biochemistry 1993;32:8534–8539. [PubMed: 8357800]
- Duche D, Parker MW, Gonzalez-Manas JM, Pattus F, Baty D. Uncoupled steps of the colicin A pore formation demonstrated by disulfide bond engineering. J Biol Chem 1994;269:6332–6339. [PubMed: 8119982]

- 29. Lee GF, Burrows GG, Lebert MR, Dutton DP, Hazelbauer GL. Deducing the organization of a transmembrane domain by disulfide cross-linking: The bacterial chemoreceptor Trg. J Biol Chem 1994;269:29920–29927. [PubMed: 7961989]
- 30. Chervitz SA, Falke JJ. Lock on/off disulfides identify the transmembrane signaling helix of the aspartate receptor. J Biol Chem 1995;270:24043–24053. [PubMed: 7592603]
- Maruyama IN, Mikawa YG, Marayama HI. A model for transmembrane signaling by the aspartate receptor based on random-cassette mutagenesis and site-directed disulfide crosslinking. J Mol Biol 1995;253:530–546. [PubMed: 7473732]
- Grinthal A, Guidotti G. Dynamic Motions of CD39 Transmembrane Domains Regulate and Are Regulated by the Enzymatic Active Site. Biochemistry 2004;43:13849–13858. [PubMed: 15504047]
- Chiang WC, Knowles AF. Inhibition of human NTPDase 2 by modification of an intramembrane cysteine by p-chloromercuriphenylsulfonate and oxidative cross-linking of the transmembrane domains. Biochemistry 2008;47:8775–8785. [PubMed: 18656957]
- Smith TM, Kirley TL. Site-Directed Mutagenesis of a Human Brain Ecto-Apyrase: Evidence that the E-type ATPases are related to the Actin/Heat Shock 70/Sugar Kinase Superfamily. Biochemistry 1999;38:321–328. [PubMed: 9890913]
- 35. Murphy DM, Ivanenkov VV, Kirley TL. Identification of Cysteine Residues Responsible for Oxidative Cross-linking and Chemical Inhibition of Human Nucleoside Triphosphate Diphosphohydrolase 3. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2002;277:6162–6169. [PubMed: 11748229]
- Smith TM, Kirley TL. Cloning, sequencing, and expression of a human brain ecto-apyrase related to both the ecto-ATPases and CD39 ecto-apyrases. Biochim Biophys Acta 1998;1386:65–78. [PubMed: 9675246]
- Stoscheck CM. Protein assay sensitive at nanogram levels. Anal Biochem 1987;160:301–305. [PubMed: 3578757]
- Fiske CH, Subbarow Y. The colorometric determination of phosphorous. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1925;66:375–400.
- Baykov AA, Evtushenko OA, Avaeva SM. A malachite green procedure for orthophosphate determination and its use in alkaline phosphatase-based enzyme immunoassay. Anal Biochem 1988;171:266–270. [PubMed: 3044186]
- 40. Careaga CL, Falke JJ. Thermal motions of surface alpha-helices in the D-galactose chemosensory receptor. Detection by disulfide trapping. J Mol Biol 1992;226:1219–1235. [PubMed: 1518053]
- 41. Sal-Man N, Gerber D, Shai Y. The identification of a minimal dimerization motif QXXS that enables homo- and hetero-association of transmembrane helices in vivo. J Biol Chem 2005;280:27449– 27457. [PubMed: 15911619]
- Sal-Man N, Gerber D, Shai Y. The composition rather than position of polar residues (QxxS) drives aspartate receptor transmembrane domain dimerization in vivo. Biochemistry 2004;43:2309–2313. [PubMed: 14979727]
- 43. Murphy DM, Kirley TL. Asparagine 81, An Invariant Glycosylation Site Near Apyrase Conserved Region 1, is Essential for Full Enzymatic Activity of Ecto Nucleoside Triphosphate Diphosphohydrolase 3. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 2003;413:107–115. [PubMed: 12706347]
- Basu S, Jala VR, Mathis S, Rajagopal ST, Del Prete A, Maturu P, Trent JO, Haribabu B. Critical role for polar residues in coupling leukotriene B4 binding to signal transduction in BLT1. J Biol Chem 2007;282:10005–10017. [PubMed: 17237498]
- 45. Zhang DW, Nunoya K, Vasa M, Gu HM, Theis A, Cole SP, Deeley RG. Transmembrane helix 11 of multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1/ABCC1): identification of polar amino acids important for substrate specificity and binding of ATP at nucleotide binding domain 1. Biochemistry 2004;43:9413–9425. [PubMed: 15260484]
- 46. Jelínkova I, Vávra V, Jindrichova M, Obsil T, Zemkova HW, Zemkova H, Stojilkovic SS. Identification of P2X (4) receptor transmembrane residues contributing to channel gating and interaction with ivermectin. Pflugers Arch 2008;456:939–950. [PubMed: 18427835]
- 47. Zhang DW, Nunoya K, Vasa M, Gu HM, Cole SP, Deeley RG. Mutational analysis of polar amino acid residues within predicted transmembrane helices 10 and 16 of multidrug resistance protein 1

(ABCC1): Effect on substrate specificity. Drug Metab Dispos 2006;34:539–546. [PubMed: 16415113]

- 48. Arkin IT. Structural aspects of oligomerization taking place between the transmembrane alpha-helices of bitopic membrane proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002;1565:347–363. [PubMed: 12409206]
- Pogozheva ID, Lomize AL, Mosberg HI. Opioid receptor three-dimensional structures from distance geometry calculations with hydrogen bonding constraints. Biophys J 1998;75:612–634. [PubMed: 9675164]
- Cheung JC, Deber CM. Misfolding of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator and disease. Biochemistry 2008;47:1465–1473. [PubMed: 18193900]
- DeGrado WF, Gratkowski H, Lear JD. How do helix-helix interactions help determine the folds of membrane proteins? Perspectives from the study of homo-oligomeric helical bundles. Protein Sci 2003;12:647–665. [PubMed: 12649422]
- 52. Senes A, Ubarretxena-Belandia I, Engleman DM. The Calpha ---H...O hydrogen bond: a determinant of stability and specificity in transmembrane helix interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:9056–9061. [PubMed: 11481472]
- Chiang WC, Knowles AF. Transmembrane domain interactions affect the stability of the extracellular domain of the human NTPDase 2. Arch Biochem Biophys 2008;472:89–99. [PubMed: 18295590]
- Mukasa T, Lee Y, Knowles AF. Either the carboxyl- or the amino-terminal region of the human ecto-ATPase (E-NTPDase 2) confers detergent and temperature sensitivity to the chicken ecto-ATPdiphosphohydrolase (E-NTPDase 8). Biochemistry 2005;44:11160–11170. [PubMed: 16101300]
- Grinthal A, Guidotti G. Transmembrane Domains Confer Different Substrate Specificities and Adenosine Diphosphate Hydrolysis Mechanisms on CD39, CD39L1, and Chimeras. Biochemistry 2002;41:1947–1956. [PubMed: 11827541]

33 394144 Human 24 IIALVVLIVSIVVIVSITVIQI 45 Rat IVTLVVLIVSIVVIVSITVIQI 45 Monkey IIALVVLIVSIVVIVTITLIQI Monkey IIALVVLIVSIVVIVTITLIQI Cat IIALVVLIVSIVVIVTITLIQI Cat IIALVVLIVSIVVIVTITLIQI Chicken VVAQAFLLISVILITIAIAVIQI LALVVLIVSIVVIVSITVIQI Dog IIALVVLLVSIVVIVSITVIQI Platypus IVALVLLISVVIVVITTLIQI Frog IFSLIFLIISVSVIVITTLIQI Prog IFSLIFLIISVSVIVITTLIQI Pufferfish GVMLLLLSVVIVVITTIVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVIVVITTIVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVIVVITTIVQI Frog IFSLIFLIISVSVIVITTIVQI Pufferfish GVMLLLLSTALVAVVIQ Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVIVVITTIVQI AGV MULLUSVVIVVITTIVQI AGV MULLUSVVIVVITTIVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVIVVITTIVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVIVVITTIVQI Pufferfish VLAAAFMLASIAVVVVQT Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVIVVITTIVQI AGV MULLUSSVVIVVITTIVQI AGV MULLUSSVVIVVITTIVQI AFWGILIFFMVALCLELFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFVVIC VFGGUVFFSAVTLLCLFVVVCVTY LFTGLLLFSVUTUTTITVQI AFWGILIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVVYY LFTGLLLFSVUTUTITVICLFSAVIC MODIA		N-terminal TM Helix	C-terminal TM Helix		
Human 24 11ALVULUSIVULVSITVIQI 45 486 VFUGULAFFTVAALLCLAFLAVLC 509 Rat IVTLVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFMGULAFFTAALLCLAFLAVLC 509 Monkey IIALVULUSIVVLVSITVIQI VFMGULAFFTAALLCLAFLAVLC 509 Mouse IVTLVVLVSITVIVSITVIQI VFMGULAFFTAALLCLAFLAVLC 509 Cat IIALVULVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFMGULAFFTAALLCLAFLAVLC AFVGTLAFFTAVALLCLAFLVVLC Chicken VVAQAFLLISVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFITVLALLCLAFLVVLC Cow IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFITVLALLCLAFLVVLC Cow IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFITVLALLCLAFLVVLC Platypus IVALVLVSIVVLVSITVIQI AFLGILAFFTAVALLCLAFLVVLC Cow IIALVVLLSIVVLVSITVIQI VFIGULIFITVLCLAFLVVLC Platypus IVALVLLSIVVLVSITVIQI AFLGILAFFTAVALLCLAFLVVLC Platypus IVALVFLVSVVLVTTTVIQI AFLGILAFFTAVALLCLAFLVVLC Frog IFSLIFLISVVVLVSIVVITTVIQI VFIGULIFSVVLLCALLCLIVLLIF Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVAVIQ- VFTGULFFFAVALLCLIFVVLC VALVFLVSVVLVVTIVSVVLVTTTIQI AFWGILIFFMVAAMMCLAFLVVLC VFTGULFFAVALLCLIFVVLC VALVFLVSVVVVVTVSVVVVVTTTVIQI AFWGILIFFAVALCLISVVLV		33 39 41 44	490 495 501		
Rat IVTLVVLLVSIVVLVTITLIQI VFGVLAFFTATALLCLAFLFYLC Monkey IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFGVLAFFTATALLCLAFLFYLC Mouse IVTLVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFGVLAFFTATALLCLAFLYLC Cat IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLAFLYLC Chicken VVAQAFLLSVILITATAVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLAFLYLC Chimpanzee IIALVVLLVSITVVLSITVIQI Dog IIALVVLLVSIVVVSITVIQI Platypus IVALVLLVSVVVVVTTTLVQI VFGULAFFTAALLCLAFLYLY Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVVQ Frog IFSLFLIJSVSVIFATAYVQI VFFGULTIFTVLGLLIVFVVLC Oppossum VVALVFLVSVVLVTITVIQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLLCLAFLVYLC Oppossum VVALVVFLVSVAVLMATTLIQI VFFGULTIFTVICALLIVLILF AGRUTHFFAGVALLCLAFLVYLC VFGGUFFFAGVALLCLFVVLVI Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVVVTTTIVQI VFFGULTFSALTIUMVFVFIIL Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVVVTTTIQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLLCLAFLVYLC VAAAFMLASIAVISIAVVQT Helix VAAAFMLASIAVISIAVVQT AFGGULFFSALTIV	Human	24 IIALVVLLV S IVVLV S I T VIQI 45	486 VFVG T LAFF T VAALL C LAFLAYLC 509		
Monkey IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFVGTLAFFTAAALLCLAFLAYLC Mouse IVTIVVLVSIVVIVTITLIQI VFVGTLAFFTAAALLCLAFLAYLC Cat IIALVVLLSIVVVLVTITLIQI VFNGVLAFFTAIALLCLAFLLYLC Chicken VVAQAFLLSVILITAIAVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLAFLVYLC Chimpanzee IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLAFLVYLC Cow IIALVVLLSVVVLVSITVIQI VFIGLLISSAVALLCLAFLVYLC Pog IIALVVLLSVVVLVTITLVQI VFIGLLISSAVALLCLVFLVYLC Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVVQ Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVIQ- VFAGLVFLFSALTIIMVVFVFILL Frog IFSLLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI VFTGLLTFTVICALCLIVLLILF Horse IVALVFLLSVVLVTITIVQI VFTGLLTFTVICALCLIVLLILF Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVAIQ- VFAGLVFLFSALTIV	Rat	IVTLVVLLV <u>S</u> IVVLVTL <u>T</u> LI <u>Q</u> I	VFMGVLAFF T AIALL C LAFLFYLC		
Mouse IVTLVVLVSIVVLVTTLLIQI VFMCVLAFFTAIALLCLAFLLYLC Cat IIALVVLVSIVVLVTTLLIQF AFVGTLAFFTAVALLCLAFLLYLC Chicken VVAQAFLLLSVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLFFLVYLC Chimpanzee IIALVVLVSIVVLVSITVIQI LFAGLLIFLTVLALLCLFFLVYLC Cow IIALVVLVSIVVLVSITVIQI VFGLIFLTVLALLCLAFLVYLC Platypus IVALVLLVSVVLVTITLVQI VFGLIFLSAVALLCLAFLVYLY Frog IFSLLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI VFGLUFFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL Frog IFSLLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI VFGLUFFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVVIQ- VFGCVFFFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL Shrew IITLVVLVSVVLVTITIQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLCLAFLVYLC Pufferfish VLAAAFMLASIAVIJQ- VFGCVFFFSALTIV	Monkey	IIALVVLLV <u>S</u> IVVLV <u>S</u> I <u>T</u> VI <u>Q</u> I	VFVG T LAFF T AAALL C LAFLAYLC		
Cat IIALVVLFLSVVVLVTITLIOF AFVGTLAFFTAVALLCLAFLVYLC Chicken VVAQAFLLLSVILIIAIAVIOI Chimpanzee IIALVVLLVSVVLVSITVIOI Dog IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIOI Dog IIALVVLLUSVVVLVAITLIOF AFLGLIFLTVLALCLAFLVYLC Platypus IVALVLLSVVVLVAITLIOF VFLGLLISSAVALLCLVFLVYLC Frog IFSLFIISVVIVTATTLIOF VFLGLLISSAVALLCLVFLVYLC Oppossum VVALVFLSVVVLVTITIVOI VFTGLUFFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVVIO- VFTGLUFFSALTLIMVVFVFILL Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVVLVTITIOM AFWGLLIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVYFY Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIJSIVVIVTTINOM AFWGLLIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVYFY Helix V36 Y507 Helix Y507 Helix IA90 AFVGTLAFFTAVALLCLAFLVYLC AFVGTLAFFTAVALLCLAFLVYLC VFTGUNFFFAGVALLCLAFLVYLC VFTGUNFFFAGVALLCLAFLVYLC Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVVIO- VFTGUNFFFAGVALLCLIFLVYLC VFAGLVFLFSALTIV	Mouse	IVTLVVLLV S IVVLVTL T LIQI	VFMGVLAFF T AIALL C LAFLLYLC		
Chicken VVAQAFLLLSVILIIAIAVIQI Chimpanzee IIALVVLLVŠIVVIVSITVIQI Cow IIALVVLLVŠIVVLVSITVIQI Dog IIALVVLLSVVVLVITIJQF AFLGILAFLFTVLALLCLAFLVYLC Platypus IVALVLLVŠVVVLVTTIVQI VFLGLLIISSAVALLCLAFLVYLC Fish FVRFLCTAAŠIAALVAVVVIQ- VFAGLVFLFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL Frog IFSLLFLIIŠVSVIFAIAYVQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLCLAFLVYLC Oppossum VVALVVFLVŠVVLVTITVIQI VFTGUAFLFSVTLLCLAFLVYLC Pufferfish AGVMLLLAŠIAALVAVIQ- VFTGUAFFFAQVALLCLAFLVYLC Shrew IITLVVLVŠVVVLVTITIJQM AFRCTVAFFSVTLICLAFLVYLC VAAAFMLAŠIAVIST Zebrafish VLAAAFMLAŠIAVIST 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Cat	IIALVVLFL <u>S</u> VVVLVTI <u>T</u> LI <u>Q</u> F	AFVG T LAFF T AVALL C LAFLVYLC		
Chimpanzee IIALVVLLVŠIVVLVSITVIQI Cow IIALVVLLVŠIVVLVŠITVIQI Dog IIALVVLLŠVVVLVATLIOF Platypus IVALVLLVŠVVVLVATLIOF Frog IFSLLFLIIŠVSVIFALAVVQI Horse IVALVFLLŠVVVLVTITVIQI Pufferfish AGVMLLLAŠIVAVAVIQ- Shrew IITLVVLVŠVVVLVTITION AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFLVYLC VFAGVFLFSALTIMVVFYIL VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVFLVLC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVFLVLC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVLULIF AFRCTVAFFŠVVTLČLAFLVYLC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVI VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVI VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVI VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLVVLVC VFTGVFFFAVALLČLAFLVVLC VFTGVFFFAVALLTVC AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFLVVFY LFTGLLLFSVLTITTLTVLVAL OPDON AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFLVVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFLVVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUVF AFWGILIFFMVMAMCLAFUV	Chicken	VVAQAFLLL <u>S</u> VILIIAIAVI <u>Q</u> I	LFAGLLIFL T VLALL C LFFLVYLC		
Cow IIALVVLLVSIVVLVSITVIQI Dog IIALVVLLSVVVLVATTLIQF Platypus IVALVLLVSVVVLVATTLIQF Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVVIQ Frog IFSLLFIISVSVIFAIAYVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVVLVTTTVIQI Oppossum VVALVVFLVSVAVLMATTLIQI Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVAVIQ Shrew IITLVVLVSVVVLVTTTIIQM Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIISIAVVQT 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Chimpanzee	IIALVVLLV <u>S</u> IVVLV <u>S</u> I T VIQI	LFAGLLIFL T VLALL C LFFLVYLC		
Dog IIALVVLLSVVVLVAITLIQF AFLGILAFFTAVALLCLAFLVYLY Platypus IVALVLLLSVVVLVITTVQI VFLGLIISSAVALLCVFLVYLC Frog IFSLFLISVSVIFAIAYVQI VFTGLTLFTVICALCLIVLLIF Horse IVALVFLLSVVVLVTITVIQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLCLAFLVYLC Opposum VVALVVFLVSVAVLMAITLIQI VFTGVMFFFAGVALLCLAFLVYLC Pufferfish AGVMLLLASIAALVAVAVQ- Shrew IITLVVLVSVVVLVTITIIQM AFWGILIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVYFY Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIISIAVVQT FF100 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Cow	IIALVVLLV <u>S</u> IVVLV <u>S</u> I T VIQI			
Platypus IVALVLLLVSVVLVTITLVQI Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVVIQ- Frog IFSLLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVVLVTITVIQI Pufferfish AGVMLLLLASIAALVAVAVIQ- Shrew IITLVVLVSVVVLVTITIQM L30 VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT L37 VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT VFGLUFFSALTIV	Dog	IIALVVLLL S VVVLVAI T LI Q F	AFLGILAFF T AVALL C LAFLVYLY		
Fish FVRFLCTAASIAALVAVVVIQ- Frog IFSLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI Horse IVALVFLLSVVLVTITVIQI Pufferfish AGVMLLLIASIAALVAVAVIQ- Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVVLVTITIIQM Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Platypus	IVALVLLLV S VVVLVTI T LV <u>Q</u> I	VFLGLLIISSAVALL C LVFLVYLC		
Frog IFSLLFLIISVSVIFAIAYVQI VFTGLLTLFTVICALCLIVLLILF Horse IVALVFLLISVVVLVTITVIQI AFRCTVAFFSVVTLLCLAFLVVLC Oppossum VVALVVFLVSVVLMAITLIQI VFTGUMFFAGVALLCLLFLVVLC Pufferfish AGVMLLLLASIAALVAVAVIQ- Shrew IITLVVLLVSVVVLVTITIIQM AFWGILIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVYFY Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIISIAVVQT LFTGLLLFSVLTITITYVIAL	Fish	FVRFLCTAA S IAALVAVVVI <u>Q</u> -	VFAGLVFLFSALTIIMVVFVFIIL		
Horse IVALVFLLL <u>S</u> VVVLVTI <u>T</u> VIQI AFRC <u>T</u> VAFFSVVTLL <u>C</u> LAFLVYLC Oppossum VVALVVFLV <u>S</u> VAVLMAI <u>T</u> LIQI VFTGVMFFFAGVALL <u>C</u> LLFLVYLC VFGGVFFFAGVALL <u>C</u> LLFLVYLC VFGGLVFLFSALTIV	Frog	ifsllflii s vsvifaiayv <u>o</u> i	VFTGLLTLF T VICAL C LIVLLILF		
Oppossum Pufferfish Shrew Zebrafish VALVVELVŠVVVLVTITIOM L30 VLAAAFMLAŠIAVIS VISTOV VFGUMFFFAGVALLČLIFLVYLC VFAGLVFIFSALTIV VFAGLVFI	Horse	IVALVFLLL <u>S</u> VVVLVTI <u>T</u> VI <u>Q</u> I	AFRC T VAFFSVVTLL C LAFLVYLC		
Pufferfish Shrew Zebrafish AGVMLLLLASIAALVAVAVIQ- IITLVVLLVŠVVVLVTITIIQM VLAAAFMLASIAVIJSIAVVQT	Oppossum	VVALVVFLV S VAVLMAI T LIQI	VFTGVMFFFAGVALL C LLFLVYLC		
Shrew Zebrafish IITLVVLLVSVVVLVTITIIQM Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIISIAVVQT AFWGILIFFMVMAMMCLAFLVYFY LFTGLLLFSVLTIITLTYLVIAL A497 C501 F494 C501 F494 L505 F494 L505 A498 Potential TM poresidue hydroget bond pairings:	Pufferfish	AGVMLLLLA S IAALVAVAVIQ-	VFAGLVFLFSALTIV		
Zebrafish VLAAAFMLASIAVIISIAVVQT LFTGLLLFSVLTIITLTYLVIAL					
Q44 L37 S33 F504 F504 F504 F504 F504 C501 F494 L505 A497 C501 F494 L505 A498 Helix F504 F494 C501 F494 C501 F494 C501 F494 C501 F494 C505 C Potential TM por residue hydrogen bond pairings: C 400 C 40	Shrew	IITLVVLLV <mark>S</mark> VVVLVTI T II <mark>Q</mark> M	AFWGILIFFMVMAMM C LAFLVYFY		
	Shrew Zebrafish	IITLVVLLV S VVVLVTI T IIQM VLAAAFMLA <mark>S</mark> IAVII <u>S</u> IAVVQT	AFWGILIFFMVMAMM C LAFLVYFY LFTGLLLFSVLTIITLTYLVIAL		

Figure 1. Rationale for NTPDase3 mutations generated and analyzed in this study

Panel A Multiple sequence alignment of the N- and C-terminal TM helices in NTPDase3. The polar residues present in human NTPDase3 which were mutated are bolded and underlined. The sequence in GenBank for the cow NTPDase3 terminates prior to the C-terminal TM helix, and is therefore not known and not shown in the figure. **Panel B.** Helical wheel analysis of human NTPDase3 TM helices. The polar TM amino acids are represented by filled black circles. The helices are depicted as viewed from the extracellular side of the cell membrane, with the size of the circles (representing the amino acids) decreasing with increasing distance from the cell surface. **Panel C.** The potential TM polar residue hydrogen bond pairings. Based on positions on the helical wheel diagram Q44, S39, and S33 on the N-terminal TM helix are predicted to be on the same face and at the same depth as T490, T495, and C501 on the C-terminal TM helix (Panel B), respectively. Note that intra-molecular or inter-molecular (or both, in the case of Q44 which can form 2 hydrogen bonds) are possible for these polar residues present in the NTPDase3 dimer, which includes 2 N-terminal and 2 C-terminal TM helices.

B





Panel A. Effect of alanine substitutions on the Triton X-100 treated enzyme activities. **Panel B.** Effect of cysteine substitutions on the Triton X-100 treated enzyme activities. The activities are expressed as the percent control activity measured in the absence of Triton X-100. Values represent the mean \pm standard deviation from three separate experiments. The dashed horizontal line indicates no change of activity in the presence of Triton X-100.



Figure 3. Q44C NTPDase3 mutant form spontaneous, inter-molecular disulfide bond dimers Panel A. Western blot analysis for the presence of spontaneous, inter-molecular disulfide bond formation. **Panel B.** Analysis of the Q44C inter-molecular disulfide linked dimer which is resistant to reduction by 30 mM DTT (Panel A). BME = boiled for 5 minutes in 2.86 M (20%) BME, DTT = boiled for 5 minutes in 200 mM DTT, NR = boiled for 5 minutes in the absence of reductant. The monomer (M) and dimer (D) bands are labeled. Bands migrating above the dimer are higher order oligomers.

Gaddie and Kirley



Figure 4. Oxidative cross-linking and alkylation of NTPDase3 polar residue hydrogen bonding pair (double cysteine) mutants

Panel A. Lack of alkylation with MalPEG and lack of CuPhen-induced dimer formation suggests quantitative, intra-molecular cross-linking of the S33C/C501 mutant. **Panel B.** CuPhen-induced, inter-molecular interactions of the S39C/T495C mutant. **Panel C.** Spontaneous inter- and CuPhen-induced, intra-molecular interactions of the Q44C/T490C mutant. The monomer (M) and dimer (D) bands are indicated.

Gaddie and Kirley



Figure 5. Model of the putative TMD hydrogen bond helical interactions in the NTPDase3 dimer Panel A. Helical wheel model depicting the putative inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues in the TMD of NTPDase3. Panel B. A 3-D model depicting the putative inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding pattern of the conserved polar residues in the TMDs of NTPDase3. In the figure, the tops of the helical cylinders are at the interface between the cell membrane and the extracellular portion of the NTPDase containing the active site. Monomer 1, denoted as <u>N1</u> (N-terminal helix) and <u>C1</u> (Cterminal helix), is represented as solid cylinders with polar residues important for dimer interactions underlined and solid lines representing the hydrogen bond helical interactions. Monomer 2, with the TM helices denoted as N2 and C2, is represented as dotted cylinders with dotted lines representing the hydrogen bond helical interactions.



Figure 6. S39C and T495C may participate in hydrogen bond interactions involved in forming tetrameric NTPDase3

The mutants were oxidized with CuPhen and alkylated with MalPEG as described in the methods. Unlike the parent Q44C, a small amount of higher order oligomers are observed in the S39C/Q44C and T495C/Q44C double cysteine mutants. The monomer (M) and dimer (D) bands are labeled, and the positions of the higher order oligomers observed for Q44C/S39C and Q44C/T495C are indicated by asterisks (*).



Figure 7. The stimulatory effect of Tween 20 is specific for NTPDase3 and conserved among species **Panel A.** Mg-ATPase assay of human, membrane-bound NTPDase isoenzymes in the presence of 0.1% Tween 20. **Panel B.** Mg-ATPase assay of NTPDase3 from three species in the presence of 0.1% Tween 20. The activities are expressed as the percent control activity measured in the absence of Tween 20. Values represent the mean \pm standard deviation from three separate experiments. The dashed horizontal lines indicate no change of activity in the presence of Tween 20.



Figure 8. Tween 20 promotes oligomerization mediated by the TM helices of NTPDase3 Panel A. Effect of Tween 20 on glutaraldehyde (lysine specific) cross-linking efficiency via the non-transmembrane regions of C10S (wt-like) NTPDase3. Note less monomer remaining, and more oligomers formed, in the presence of Tween 20, in sharp contrast to the large attenuation of cross-linking observed with Triton X-100 detergent. **Panel B.** Effect of 0.1% Tween 20 or Triton X-100 on CuPhen cross-linking efficiency of the TM helices of the S39C/T495C double cysteine NTPDase3 mutant. Note the stark contrast in the inter-molecular cross-linking efficiencies obtained using Tween 20 versus Triton X-100 as the detergent.

 Table 1

 Characteristics of the mutants generated in this study.

NTPDase3 "wt" background or mutant	Relative Expression Level	Normalized Mg ⁺² -ATPase (µmol/mg/hr)	% Mg ⁺² - ATPase in Triton X-100	CuPhen Cross-linking				
Alanine Mutants								
Background (C10S)	1	317 ± 27	10 ± 3	-				
\$33A	.36 ±.07*	334 ± 32	14 ± 4	-				
\$39A	.26 ±.01*	327 ± 23	9 ± 2	-				
T41A	.76 ±.15	212 ±51	15 ± 2	-				
Q44A	.58 ±.06*	$97\pm8^*$	$57 \pm 2*$	-				
T490A	.8 ±.14	236 ± 9*	9 ± 1	-				
T495A	.54 ±.11*	206 ± 1*	7 ± 2	-				
C501A	.41 ±.02*	329 ± 4	9 ± 1	-				
	S	ingle Cysteine Mutants						
Background (C10S/C501S/C509S)	1	215 ± 6	11 ± 1	None detected				
\$33C	.39 ±.09*	242 ± 3*	9 ± 3	None detected				
\$39C	.31 ±.05*	373 ± 30*	9 ± 1	Inter-molecular				
T41C	.86 ±.10	196 ± 16	12 ± 0	Inter-molecular				
Q44C	.67 ±.09*	57 ± 2*	$31 \pm 1*$	quantitatively inter- molecular				
T490C	.84 ±.03	180 ± 15	$17 \pm 1*$	Inter-molecular				
T495C	.81 ±.02	141 ± 17*	11 ± 1	Inter-molecular				
C501	.97 ±.13	153 ± 9*	10 ± 2	None detected				
Double Cysteine Mutants – potential hydrogen bond pairings								
Background (C10S/C501S/C509S)	1	131 ± 9	9 ± 1	None detected				
S39C/T495C	.31 ±.07*	$61 \pm 6^*$	$13 \pm 1*$	Inter-molecular				
Q44C/T490C	.65 ±.11*	$41\pm 6^*$	$24 \pm 1*$	intra- and inter- molecular				
S33C/C501	.27 ±.04*	$69 \pm 2^*$	8 ± 1	quantitatively intra- molecular				
Q44C Double Cysteine Mutants – higher order oligomer formation?								
Background (C10S/C501S/C509S)	1	70 ± 1	12 ± 1	None detected				
Q44C	.65 ±.21*	32 ± 1*	$33 \pm 1*$	Dimer formation				
S39C/Q44C	1.91 ±.30*	15 ± 1*	$30 \pm 1*$	higher order oligomers				
T41C/Q44C	1.58 ±.29*	$8\pm2^*$	57 ± 1*	dimer formation				
T495C/Q44C	1.27 ±.10	22 ± 1*	$25 \pm 1*$	higher order oligomers				

1. Different sets of mutations were made in different "WT-like" NTPDase3 backgrounds, depending on the purpose of the experiment.

2. Because different sets of experiments using the same "WT-like" background were transfected at different times, and because transfection efficiencies between sets of experiments are variable due to many factors such as slight differences in COS cell confluency at the time of transfection (leading to variations in absolute nucleotidase specific activities), the same NTPDase3 backgrounds are reported in the Table for each set of mutants made.

3. Nucleotidase activities reported for each set of mutants are normalized to the expression level of the "WT-like background" NTPDase 3 used for that set of mutations.

4. Asterisks indicate statistical significance ($p \le 0.05$).