

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Ann N Y Acad Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 22.

Published in final edited form as:

Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2009 July ; 1170: 462-467. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03875.x.

Neuroethology of Oviposition Behavior in the Moth Manduca

sexta

Carolina E. Reisenman, Jeffrey A. Riffell, and John G. Hildebrand

Arizona Research Laboratories, Division of Neurobiology, University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona, USA

Abstract

Olfactory cues play decisive roles in the lives of most insect species, providing information about biologically relevant resources, such as food, mates, and oviposition sites. The nocturnal moth *Manduca sexta* feeds on floral nectar from a variety of plants (and thus serves as a pollinator), but females oviposit almost exclusively on solanaceous plants, which they recognize on the basis of olfactory cues. Plants, however, respond to herbivory by releasing blends of volatiles that attract natural enemies of herbivores. Thus, oviposition behavior probably results from the sensory evaluation not only of attractive host plant volatiles but also of repellent volatiles that indicate the acceptability or inappropriateness, respectively, of host plants for the females' offspring. Here we describe results from chemical-ecological, neurophysiological, and behavioral experiments aimed at understanding the neural mechanisms that control oviposition behavior in *M. sexta*.

Keywords

olfaction; insect; herbivory; moth; Manduca sexta; oviposition; insect

Olfactory cues play decisive roles in the lives of most insect species, providing information about biologically relevant resources, such as food, mates, and oviposition sites.^{1, 2} Neurobiological experimentation in a naturalistic context (e.g., using behaviorally relevant stimuli) is essential for discovering how neural circuits produce behavior. Here we discuss results from ongoing experiments aimed at discovering the neural representations of olfactory stimuli that elicit oviposition behavior in the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta*.

M. sexta is a nocturnally active insect that uses olfactory cues to find mates, flowers on which to feed, and appropriate host plants on which to lay eggs. Although male and female adults of this moth feed on nectar from a variety of plants, females oviposit almost exclusively on solanaceous plants,³⁻⁶ a behavior mediated primarily by olfactory cues.⁷⁻⁹ In the south-western United States, *Datura wrightii* plants attract *M. sexta* as pollinators, and adults have a strong innate feeding preference for its flowers.¹⁰ *D. wrightii* also is a host plant for *M. sexta* larvae.

In another *Datura* species, the annual *D. stramonium*, artificially increasing the nectar levels in flowers increases oviposition rates by *M. sexta* and increases fruit and seed set.¹¹ Although

^{© 2009} New York Academy of Sciences.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Carolina E. Reisenman, Arizona Research Laboratories, Division of Neurobiology, University of Arizona, P.O. Box 210077, Tucson, AZ 85721-0077. carolina@neurobio.arizona.edu.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

larvae consume and develop on these plants and have physiological adaptations to cope with the toxic alkaloids that they contain, 1^{2-14} the plants benefit from being cross-pollinated by the adults¹¹ and can tolerate high levels of defoliation and quickly regrow after herbivory. Thus, the *Datura-Manduca* relationship is a mutualistic system in which plant-herbivore and plant-pollinator interactions are linked¹¹ but still are far from being completely understood. We have asked which sensory stimuli control oviposition behavior in the specialist herbivorous insect *M. sexta* and how they are represented in the female moth's brain.

Neural Basis of Oviposition Behavior and the Importance of [+]Linalool

Two large female-specific glomeruli (LFGs) are prominent in the antennal lobes of adult female M. sexta.¹⁵ Because they are present only in females, the LFGs are expected to subserve femalespecific behaviors, such as the location and/or selection of appropriate host plants for oviposition. Glomerular output (projection) neurons (PNs) in the two LFGs (Fig. 1A, B) respond to volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) from plants.¹⁶ In particular, PNs in the lateral LFG (latLFG) respond preferentially to antennal stimulation with [±]linalool,¹⁶ and particularly, to the [+] enantiomer of this odor compound¹⁷ (Fig.1C). In males, both the two main glomeruli of the male-specific macroglomerular complex are sites of primary processing of olfactory information about one of the two main components of the conspecific females' sex pheromone. Those glomeruli interact through synaptic connections among local interneurons and PNs that have arborizations in the glomeruli^{18, 19} and apparently "bind" features of those individual pheromone components for further processing in higher-order brain centers downstream from the antennal lobes.¹⁸ In females, we found a similar relationship between the LFGs, as medial LFG (medLFG) PNs are hyperpolarized by stimulation with [±] linalool (Fig. 1D, middle panel), presumably via lateral synaptic input from the adjacent latLFG. We have not yet found an odor substance that excites the medLFG PNs at physiological concentrations, however, and therefore have not been able to test for reciprocal interactions between these identified glomeruli. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that interactions between the two LFGs may parallel those between the two main macroglomerular complex glomeruli and that the LFGs play significant roles in the processing of specific host plant volatiles possibly involved in oviposition behavior.

What is the behavioral significance of linalool for *M. sexta* moths? Linalool is found among the many VOCs emitted by plants, including host plants of *M. sexta*.^{20, 21} Although the LFGs probably participate in the sensory assessment of potential oviposition sites, these glomeruli might also process information about components of a putative pheromone produced by a metathoracic androconial organ in the male moth.²² [+]Linalool is a component of a male pheromone in another moth species,²³ but our chemical analysis of the VOCs produced by the male scent organ in *M. sexta* has found *para*-and *meta*-cresol (among other components) but not linalool (unpublished findings).

Oviposition Deterrents Emitted by Plants: A Contrast to [+]Linalool

VOCs released by host plants change in response to environmental factors, such as herbivory and time of day. For instance, plant species may respond to herbivory by producing defensive proteins that slow larval growth²⁴ and by releasing blends of VOCs that provide host location cues for insects that are natural enemies of the herbivores.²⁵⁻³¹ Thus, females avoid ovipositing on such "induced" plants because they are likely to host herbivores that would compete with their own offspring and to have attracted parasitoids that attack eggs and larvae.³² Evidence from several systems,³³⁻³⁵ including the *Manduca quinquemaculata-Nicotiana attenuata* (wild tobacco) relationship,³² has shown that females avoid ovipositing on plants damaged by larval feeding and that olfactory cues mediate this avoidance (but see Ref. 36). Thus, oviposition behavior probably results from the sensory evaluation not only of attractive host

plant VOCs but also of repellent VOCs that indicate the acceptability or inappropriateness, respectively, of host plants for the females' offspring.

Host-derived olfactory cues mediate oviposition behavior in *M. sexta*.^{4, 7, 9, 21} Do *M. sexta* females also avoid ovipositing on plants damaged by larval feeding, and if so, what olfactory cues mediate this behavior? To investigate this possibility, we mated *M. sexta* adult females 1.5 days posteclosion and tested them individually during the first 2-2.5 h of the scotophase of the following night in $2 \times 2 \times 2$ m flight cages. In each trial, two *Lycopersicon esculentum* (tomato) plants were positioned in the arena 1.5 m apart. Each female was allowed to lay eggs during 10 min after takeoff, and we collected and counted the eggs on each plant. Each female was used only once, and we discarded those that did not oviposit. Each moth was presented with an intact tomato plant and one that had been damaged by larval feeding for 4 days by 5-10 first-to-fourth-instar *M. sexta* larvae. We used the tomato plant because it is a preferred host plant for *M. sexta* but does not offer nectar—that is, adult moths use it only for oviposition and not for feeding. We found that 70% of females laid eggs first on the undamaged plant than on the plant damaged by larval herbivory (Fig. 2).

We investigated the VOCs released by undamaged D. wrightii and L. esculentum plants and larva-damaged plants on which M. sexta larvae had been allowed to feed for 72 h. Potted, undamaged or larva-damaged plants were placed in the glass chamber of a volatile collection system (Analytical Research Systems, Gainesville, FL), and clean filteredair was forced through an adsorbent-cartridge trap as described previously.²¹ We trapped VOCs overnight, eluted them with *n*-hexane, and analyzed them by gas chromatography with mass spectrometry. We verified the identities of compounds by matching retention times with purified synthetic standards and by mass-spectrometric comparisons using the National Institute of Standards and Technology database. Herbivory-induced changes in the VOC profile revealed similarities between plant species (peaks 1-2, Fig. 3). Moreover, we observed differences in the profiles of induced VOCs between the two solanaceous species (Fig. 3), with jimsonweed plants producing aromatics (A, B, and C_{Datura}, respectively) and a sesquiterpene (D_{Datura}) and tomato plants producing sesquiterpenes (Etomato and Ftomato). We also collected and analyzed VOCs from damaged plants 1 week after larvae had been removed and found a VOC profile similar to that from undamaged plants (data not shown). Moreover, the VOCs released by larvadamaged plants were different from those released from mechanically damaged plants.

The results presented here indicate that the VOCs produced by larva-damaged host plants are different from the VOCs emitted by intact plants and are species specific (Fig. 3). Also, female moths avoid oviposition on such "herbivory induced" plants (Fig. 2). These chemical-ecological and behavioral findings provide a biological basis for studies of the neural mechanisms that allow a specialist insect, such as *M. sexta*, to evaluate host-derived VOCs. The physiological and odor response characteristics of LFG PNs (Fig. 1) suggest that these neurons play substantial roles in the processing of host-derived VOCs that mediate attraction for oviposition or possibly repellency, deterrence, or unattractiveness for a moth seeking an oviposition site. We expect that our ongoing studies of these insect-plant systems will enable us to uncover the olfactory neural mechanisms underlying oviposition behavior in *M. sexta*, on the basis of the prediction that olfactory function of the moth's brain is adapted to naturally occurring, behaviorally relevant, volatile stimuli.

Acknowledgments

This work received financial support from NIH Grant R01-DC-02751 to J.G.H. and NSF-IOS 0822709 to C.E.R. and J.A.R. We thank Abreeza Zeeger for rearing and maintaining the plants, Suzanne Mackzum for rearing *M. sexta*, and members of the Hildebrand laboratory for helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

- Hildebrand JG. Analysis of chemical signals by nervous systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1995;92:67–74. [PubMed: 7816849]
- Dahanukar A, Hallem EA, Carlson JR. Insect chemoreception. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol 2005;15:423– 430. [PubMed: 16006118]
- Madden AH, Chamberlin FS. Biology of the tobacco hornworm in the southern cigar tobacco district. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 896. 1945
- 4. Yamamoto RT, Fraenkel GS. The specificity of the tobacco hornworm, *Protoparce sexta*, to solanaceous plants. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am 1960;53:503–507.
- Tichenor LH, Seigler DS. Electroantennogram and oviposition responses of *Manduca sexta* to volatile components of tobacco and tomato. J. Insect Physiol 1980;26:309–314.
- Mechaber W, Hildebrand JG. Novel, nonsolanaceous host-plant record for *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) in the southwestern United States. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am 2000;93:447– 451.
- Yamamoto RT, Jenkins RY, McClusky RK. Factors determining the selection of plants for oviposition by the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta*. Entomol. Exp. Appl 1969;12:504–508.
- Sparks MR. A surrogate leaf for oviposition by the tobacco hornworm. J. Econ. Entomol 1969;63:537– 540.
- Mechaber WL, Capaldo CT, Hildebrand JG. Behavioral responses of adult female tobacco hornworms, *Manduca sexta*, to hostplant volatiles change with age and mating status. J. Insect Sci 2002;2:5. [PubMed: 15455039]
- Riffell JA, Alarcón L, Abrell JL, et al. Behavioral consequences of innate preferences and olfactory learning in hawkmoth-flower interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008;105:3404–3409. [PubMed: 18305169]
- Adler LS, Bronstein JL. Attracting antagonists: does floral nectar increase leaf herbivory? Ecology 2004;85:1529–1526.
- 12. Wink M, Theile V. Alkaloid tolerance in *Manduca sexta* and phylogenetically related sphingids (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae). Chemoecology 2006;12:29–46.
- 13. Kester KM, Peterson SC, Hanson F, et al. The roles of nicotine and natural enemies in determining larval feeding site distributions of *Manduca sexta* L. and *Manduca quinquemaculata* (Haworth) on tobacco. Chemoecology 2002;12:1–10.
- 14. Glendinning JI. How do herbivorous insects cope with noxious secondary plant compounds in their diet? Entomol. Exp. Appl 2002;104:15–25.
- 15. Rospars JP, Hildebrand JG. Sexually dimorphic and isomorphic glomeruli in the antennal lobes of the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta*. Chem. Senses 2000;25:119–129. [PubMed: 10781018]
- Roche King J, Christensen TA, Hildebrand JG. Response characteristics of an identified, sexually dimorphic olfactory glomerulus. J. Neurosci 2000;20:2391–2399. [PubMed: 10704513]
- Reisenman CE, Christensen TA, Francke W, Hildebrand JG. Enantioselectivity of projection neurons innervating identified olfactory glomeruli. J. Neurosci 2004;24:2602–2611. [PubMed: 15028752]
- Lei H, Christensen TA, Hildebrand JG. Local inhibition modulates odor-evoked synchronization of glomerulus-specific output neurons. Nat. Neurosci 2002;5:557–565. [PubMed: 12006983]
- 19. Heinbockel, T. Ph.D. thesis. University of Arizona; Tucson, AZ: 1997. Functional organization of male-specific olfactory glomeruli in the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta*.
- 20. Raguso RA, Levin RA, Foose SE, et al. Fragrance chemistry, nocturnal rhythms and pollination "syndromes" in *Nicotiana*. Phytochemistry 2003;63:265–284. [PubMed: 12737977]
- Fraser AM, Mechaber W, Hildebrand JG. Electroantennographic and behavioral responses of the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta to* host plant headspace volatiles. J. Chem. Ecol 2003;29:1813–1833. [PubMed: 12956509]
- Birch MC, Poppy GM, Baker TC. Scents and eversible scent structures of male moths. Annu. Rev. Entomol 1990;35:25–58.
- 23. Landolt PJ, Heath RR. Sexual role reversal in mate-finding strategies of the cabbage looper moth. Science 1990;249:1026–1028. [PubMed: 17789611]

- 24. Hare J, Walling L. Constitutive and jasmonate-inducible traits of *Datura wrightii*. J. Chem. Ecol 2006;32:29–47. [PubMed: 16525868]
- 25. Baldwin IT, Preston CA. The ecophysiological complexity of plant responses to insect herbivores. Planta 1999;208:137–145.
- 26. Halitschke R, Kebler A, Kahl J, et al. Ecophysiological comparison of direct and indirect defenses in *Nicotiana attenuata*. Oecologia 2000;124:408–417.
- 27. Dicke M, Van Loop JJA. Multitrophic effects of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in an evolutionary context. Ent. Exp. Appl 2000;97:237–249.
- 28. Turlings TCJ, Bernasconi ML, Bertossa R, et al. The induction of volatile emissions in maize by three herbivore species with different feeding habits: possible consequences for their natural enemies. Biol. Control 1998;11:122–129.
- Paré PW, Tumlinson JH. Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. Plant Physiol 1999;121:325–331. [PubMed: 10517823]
- 30. Schnee C, Kollner TG, Held M, et al. The products of a single maize sesquiterpene synthase form a volatile defense signal that attracts natural enemies of maize herbivores. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006;103:1129–1134. [PubMed: 16418295]
- De Moraes CM, Lewis WJ, Paré PW, et al. Herbivore-infested plants selectively attract parasitoids. Nature 1998;393:570–573.
- Kessler A, Baldwin IT. Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. Science 2001;291:2141–2144. [PubMed: 11251117]
- Landolt PJ. Effects of host plant leaf damage on cabbage looper moth attraction and oviposition. Entomol. Exp. Appl 1993;67:79–85.
- De Moraes CM, Mescher MC, Tumlinson JH. Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel conspecific females. Nature 2001;410:577–580. [PubMed: 11279494]
- 35. Anderson P, Alborn H. Effects on oviposition behaviour and larval development of *Spodoptera littoralis* by herbivore-induced changes in cotton plants. Entomol. Exp. Appl 1999;92:45–51.
- Adler LS, Wink MD, Lentz AJ. Leaf herbivory and nutrients increase nectar alkaloids. Ecol. Lett 2006;9:960–967. [PubMed: 16913940]

Reisenman et al.



Figure 1.

Laser-scanning confocal microscopic images of female-specific output neurons with arborizations confined to the lateral large female glomerulus (latLFG, **A**) and the medial large female glomerulus (medLFG, **B**). Dotted lines indicate the outline of the female-specific glomeruli. Scale bar, 200 μ m. D, dorsal; M, medial. **C**, **D**: Electrophysiological recordings obtained from neurons in a latLFG (**C**) and a medLFG (**D**) in response to the odors indicated at a concentration of 10^{-3} vol/vol. Neurons were stimulated as described previously.¹⁷

Reisenman et al.



Figure 2.

Proportion of eggs (black symbols, median; boxes, 25% percentiles; n = 18) laid by individual mated females presented with an intact tomato plant and a larva-damaged tomato plant. *, statistically significant differences (P < 0.001, Wilcoxon matched pairs test).

Reisenman et al.

Intact plants



Damaged plants



Figure 3.

Plants respond to herbivory by releasing characteristic VOCs. Representative ion chromatograms of the headspace from intact *D. wrightii* vegetation (**A**), larva-damaged *D. wrightii* vegetation (**B**), intact tomato (*L. esculentum*) (**C**), and larva-damaged *L. esculentum* (**D**). In **C** and **D** the same numbers indicate common compounds; letters indicate different compounds released by *D. wrightii* and *L. esculentum*.