# Phenotypic Consequences of Purine Nucleotide Imbalance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

# Christelle Saint-Marc,\*,†,1 Benoıˆt Pinson,\*,†,1 Fanny Coulpier,‡ Laurent Jourdren,‡ Olesia Lisova\*,† and Bertrand Daignan-Fornier\*,†,2

 $^\ast$ Université de Bordeaux, Institut de Biochimie et Génétique Cellulaires, 33077 Bordeaux, France, <sup>†</sup>Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR5095 Bordeaux, France and <sup>‡</sup>IFR36, Plate-forme Transcriptome, École Normale Supérieure, 75005 Paris, France

> Manuscript received June 3, 2009 Accepted for publication July 20, 2009

#### ABSTRACT

Coordinating homeostasis of multiple metabolites is a major task for living organisms, and complex interconversion pathways contribute to achieving the proper balance of metabolites. AMP deaminase (AMPD) is such an interconversion enzyme that allows IMP synthesis from AMP. In this article, we show that, under specific conditions, lack of AMPD activity impairs growth. Under these conditions, we found that the intracellular guanylic nucleotide pool was severely affected. In vivo studies of two AMPD homologs, Yjl070p and Ybr284p, indicate that these proteins have no detectable AMP, adenosine, or adenine deaminase activity; we show that overexpression of YJL070c instead mimics a loss of AMPD function. Expression of the yeast transcriptome was monitored in a AMPD-deficient mutant in a strain overexpressing  $YJL070c$  and in cells treated with the immunosuppressive drug mycophenolic acid, three conditions that lead to severe depletion of the guanylic nucleotide pool. These three conditions resulted in the up- or downregulation of multiple transcripts, 244 of which are common to at least two conditions and 71 to all three conditions. These transcriptome results, combined with specific mutant analysis, point to threonine metabolism as exquisitely sensitive to the purine nucleotide balance.

THE purine nucleotides, ATP and GTP, are involved<br>in almost all aspects of cellular life. In addition to their role as building blocks of nucleic acids, adenylic and guanylic nucleotides have specific roles. For example, GTP is critical for translation and for signaling through GTPases, while ATP is the major energyproviding molecule in the cell. In yeast, intracellular concentrations of ATP and GTP are clearly different  $({\sim}5$  and 1.5 mm, respectively; BRETON et al. 2008; GAUTHIER et al. 2008), most probably as the result of regulatory processes that maintain homeostasis. In most eukaryotic cells, including yeast, adenylic and guanylic nucleotides either are synthesized from a common precursor (IMP) or are recycled from preformed bases or nucleosides (Figure 1). While most enzymes involved in these processes have been identified, the physiological consequences of purine nucleotide imbalance are far from being understood. Interestingly, drugs specifically inhibiting GTP synthesis, such as mycophenolic acid (MPA), have a strong immunosuppressive effect and are now widely used to limit allograft rejection. We have previously established the effect of MPA on the

Supporting information is available online at [http://www.genetics.org/](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.109.105858/DC1) [cgi/content/full/genetics.109.105858/DC1.](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.109.105858/DC1)

1 These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>2</sup> Corresponding author: Institut de Biochimie et Génétique Cellulaires, CNRS UMR 5095 1, rue Camille Saint-Saëns, 33077 Bordeaux Cedex, France. E-mail: b.daignan-fornier@ibgc.u-bordeaux2.fr

yeast proteome (ESCOBAR-HENRIQUES et al. 2001) and have identified numerous yeast mutants hypersensitive to this drug (DESMOUCELLES et al. 2002). MPA effects are due to GTP shortage, since they are reversed by exogenous guanine, allowing replenishment of the GTP pool. However, drugs often have secondary effects and can be detoxified and/or diluted during cell growth. As an alternative, consequences of purine nucleotide imbalance can be investigated using yeast mutants. In two previous studies, we have used specific mutants to increase the GTP pool or decrease the ATP pool (BRETON et al. 2008; GAUTHIER et al. 2008). In this study, we take advantage of a conditional phenotype of an AMP deaminase mutant to revisit GTP shortage consequences in yeast.

AMP deaminase (AMPD; EC 3.5.4.6) is an important enzyme for purine interconversion. During muscle effort, extensive hydrolysis of ATP into ADP results in massive AMP production due to adenylate kinase (myokinase) activity. Under such conditions, AMPD, by draining AMP to IMP, plays a critical role in the stabilization of adenylate energy charge. Consequently, defects in AMPD lead to exercise-induced muscle symptoms such as early fatigue and is the most common muscle enzyme defect in humans (FISHBEIN et al. 1978). While there are three AMPD human isoforms, in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a mutation at a single locus (named AMD1; Figure 1) abolishes AMPD activity



FIGURE 1.—Schematic of purine metabolism in S. cerevisiae. Ado, adenosine; AICAR, 5'-phosphoribosyl-5-amino-4-imidazole carboxamide; Ino, inosine; IMP, inosine 5'-monophosphate; and PRPP, 5-phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate. Gene names are italicized. For simplicity, only the enzymatic steps cited in the text are shown in the figure. Putative adenosine deaminase activity is indicated by a question mark.

(MEYER et al. 1989). However, two yeast proteins of unknown function (Ybr284p and Yjl070p) are  $>30\%$ identical to Amd1p in their C terminus [\(supporting](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/1) [information,](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/1) [Figure S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/2)) (DUENAS et al. 1999; VANDENBOL and PORTETELLE 1999). Strikingly, in most organisms, AMPD isoforms have a highly divergent N terminus and in several cases, including yeast, the N terminus of the protein is not required for activity and is often lost during protein purification due to proteolysis (Merkler et al. 1989; Sabina and Mahnke-Zizelman 2000). Yeast AMP deaminase activity has been characterized in vitro (MEYER et al. 1989) and is presumed to be high under specific growth conditions where massive synthesis of IMP from AMP has been observed (Osorio et al. 2003; SILLES et al. 2005; LORET et al. 2007). IMP can then be metabolized through three different pairs of enzymatic reactions. It can give back AMP via two enzymatic steps encoded by the ADE12 and ADE13 genes; it can be transformed to GMP via IMP dehydrogenase and GMP synthetase; and, finally, it can be degraded into inosine and hypoxanthine via the successive action of IMPspecific nucleotidase (Isn1p) and purine nucleoside phosphorylase (Pnp1p) (Figure 1) ( Jones and Fink 1982; LECOQ et al. 2001; ITOH et al. 2003). Therefore,

yeast AMP deaminase appears as a critical enzyme for both purine interconversion and degradation. While the biochemical properties of yeast AMP deaminase have been well studied (MERKLER et al. 1989, 1993; Merkler and Schramm 1990, 1993), the physiological consequences of a amd1 defect have not been investigated.

Here, we show that a defect in AMPD activity impairs yeast cell growth under specific conditions, and we establish that AMPD plays a crucial role in maintaining guanylic nucleotide homeostasis. Study of the Amd1p homologs, Yjl070p and Ybr284p, revealed that overexpression of these proteins cannot suppress phenotypes associated with amd1 deletion. Instead, we show that overexpression of  $YJL070c$  mimics a loss of Amd1p function. Transcriptome analyses were performed using these various constructs and MPA-treated cells, thus allowing us to evaluate the consequences of guanylic nucleotide depletion obtained through different means. These new genetic tools were also used to revisit the phenotypes of several MPA hypersensitive mutants.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast media: SD minimal medium contains  $0.5\%$  ammonium sulfate, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base Difco, and 2% glucose. SC was prepared as described (Sherman et al. 1986). SDcasaW is SD medium supplemented with 0.2% casamino acids (Difco) and tryptophan  $(200 \mu)$ . When indicated, adenine, hypoxanthine, and guanine were added at  $300 \mu$ M and uracil was added at  $180 \mu$ M.

Yeast strains: All strains belong to, or are derived from, a set of disrupted strains isogenic to BY4741 (MATa, his  $3\Delta$ 1, leu $2\Delta$ 0, met15 $\Delta 0$ , ura3 $\Delta 0$ ) or BY4742 (MAT $\alpha$ , his3 $\Delta 1$ , leu2 $\Delta 0$ , lys2 $\Delta 0$ ,  $ura3\Delta$ 0) purchased from Euroscarf. The following mutant strains were constructed: Y2077 (MATa, amd1::kanMX4,  $aah1::kanMX4$ , his $3\Delta1$ , leu $2\Delta0$ , met $15\Delta0$ , ura $3\Delta0$ , Y2362  $(MATa, ade8::kanMX4, amd1::kanMX4, aah1::kanMX4, his3\Delta1,$ leu2 $\Delta$ 0, met15 $\Delta$ 0, ura3 $\Delta$ 0), and Y2693 (MATa, his1::kanMX4,  $ade8::kanMX4, and1::kanMX4, aah1::kanMX4, his3\Delta1, leu2\Delta0,$  $met15\Delta0, ura3\Delta0$ .

Plasmids: The IMD2-lacZ (P354,  $2\mu$  URA3 and P777,  $2\mu$ , LEU2) and the pCM189 (CEN, URA3) plasmids used in this study were previously described (GARI et al. 1997; ESCOBAR-Henriques and Daignan-Fornier 2001). The tet-APT1 (P2091), tet-YJL070c (p2483), tet-HPT1 (P2149), tet-AMD1 (P2479), and tet-YBR284w (P2481) plasmids were obtained by PCR amplification of the corresponding genes using specific oligonucleotides (sequence available upon request) and cloning into  $pCM189$ .  $\beta$ -Galactosidase assays were performed as described (KIPPERT 1995).

Transcriptome analysis: For DNA microarray analysis, cells were grown overnight in SDcasaWAU medium, diluted in 50 ml of the same medium, and harvested 24 hr later in exponential phase at  $A_{600}$  (absorbance at 600 nm) = 0.6–0.8. RNA were extracted as described in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) entry for this article (GSE9557) and were purified with a RNeasy purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Normalization was done by the locally weighted scatter plot smoothing (LOWESS) algorithm (BENGTSSON et al. 2004). Complete microarray raw data sets and experimental details are available in GEO under accession no. GSE9557. Intracellular nucleotide determination was

performed as previously described (BRETON et al. 2008; GAUTHIER et al. 2008).

Growth test: Yeast cells were resuspended in sterile water to an  $A_{600} = 1$  and submitted to  $1/10$  serial dilutions. Drops  $(5 \mu l)$  of each dilution were spotted on freshly prepared plates and were incubated at  $30^{\circ}$  for  $48-72$  hr.

#### RESULTS

Deletion of AMD1 affects growth in the presence of adenine and impairs purine nucleotide balance: While no major growth defect associated with the lack of AMP deaminase activity has been reported previously (Meyer et al. 1989), we observed a strong defect in germination and/or growth of amd1 spores in the presence of adenine (Figure 2A). During vegetative growth, doubling time of the wild-type strain in SDcasa medium (82 min) was not affected by adenine (83 min) while, for the isogenic amd1 mutant, doubling times were 90 and 140 min in the absence and presence of adenine, respectively. This observation prompted us to measure purine nucleotide pools in these strains. HPLC measurement revealed that adenylic nucleotides were more abundant in the *amd1* mutant, which cannot convert AMP to IMP (Figure 2B). This effect was further enhanced by addition of adenine. Second, we observed that both the GDP and the GTP pools were severely diminished (threeand twofold, respectively) in the amd1 mutant grown in the presence of adenine compared to the wild-type strain (Figure 2C). In the absence of adenine, GDP and GTP pools were not significantly affected in the *amd1* mutant (Figure 2C). These results establish that Amd1p is critical in maintaining the purine nucleotide balance and suggest that the *amd1* growth defect in the presence of adenine could be due to the inability of this mutant to correctly balance adenylic and guanylic nucleotides.

There are three possible routes to synthesize IMP (and in turn GMP) in the presence of adenine. The first route goes through adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (Apt1p) and AMP deaminase (Amd1p), the second route is through adenine deaminase (Aah1p) and hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (Hpt1p), and the third route is de novo synthesis from 5-phosphoribosyl-1-pyrophosphate (Figure 1). However, in the presence of adenine, this last route is inhibited due to feedback control on the first enzyme of the pathway (Ade4p) and transcriptional control on all the genes of the *de novo* pathway (DAIGNAN-FORNIER and FINK 1992; REBORA et al. 2001). Thus, in the presence of adenine and in the absence of Amd1p, IMP and GMP synthesis should mostly take place via Aah1p and Hpt1p and could become limiting for growth. Consistently, the amd1 growth defect was suppressed by overexpression of HPT1 (Figure 3A), which drains adenine toward IMP synthesis. On the other hand, the *amd1* growth defect was enhanced by overexpression of *APT1* (Figure 3A), which metabolizes adenine to AMP and therefore competes with Aah1p for available adenine (Figure 1).



Figure 2.—External adenine affects growth and nucleotide pools of AMP deaminase-deficient strains. (A) Growth of wildtype and *amd1* spores in the absence or the presence of adenine. A heterozygous  $AMDI/amdl::KanMX4$  diploid strain was sporulated and dissected on SDcasaWU medium containing adenine  $(+Ade, tetrads 4–6)$  or not  $(-Ade, tetrads 1–3)$ . " $+$ " and " $-$ " signs above the colonies refer, respectively, to AMD1 (+) and  $amdl::KanMX4$  (-) genotypes determined on the basis of sensitivity or resistance to geneticin associated with KanMX4 expression. (B and C). Intracellular nucleotide content is affected in the amd1 mutant grown in the presence of extracellular adenine. Wild-type (WT, BY4742) and  $amdl$  mutant strains were grown in SDcasaW medium supplemented  $(+Ade)$ or not  $(-\text{Ad}e)$  with external adenine. Internal adenylic  $(B)$  and guanylic (C) nucleotides were measured as previously described (BRETON et al. 2008; GAUTHIER et al. 2008).

As expected, an aah1 mutant, which blocks the second route, also showed a slight growth defect in the presence of adenine (Figure 3A), and consistently, in the case of aah1, the growth defect was suppressed by overexpression of APT1 (Figure 3A), which metabolizes adenine to AMP, the Amd1p substrate (Figure 1).

We then tested the hypothesis that the *amd1* phenotype would be exacerbated by combining it with mutations affecting other IMP-supplying enzymes. Indeed, in the presence of adenine, growth of an *aahl* amd1 double mutant was much more affected than that of each single mutant (Figure 3B). Residual growth in



FIGURE 3.—The growth defect of the *amd1* mutant is enhanced by mutations affecting IMP synthesis and partially suppressed by guanine addition. (A) Overexpression of APT1 exacerbates the growth defect of the amd1 mutant in the presence of external adenine. Cells were transformed with the pCM189 plasmid (vector), tet-APT1, or tet-HPT1. Transformants were serial diluted and spotted on SDcasaW medium supplemented with adenine  $(+Ade)$  or hypoxanthine  $(+Hyp)$ . (B) Combinations of the amd1 mutation with aah1, ade8, and his1 lead to drastic decrease of growth in the presence of adenine. (C) Growth defect of the  $amdl$  aahl ade8his1 mutant in the presence of adenine is due to guanylic nucleotide starvation. The quadruple amd1 aah1 ade8 his1 mutant was transformed with the pCM189 empty plasmid (vector) or the plasmid allowing overexpression of AMD1 (p2479). Cells were spotted on SDcasaW medium supplemented with adenine  $(+Ade)$ , guanine  $(+Gua)$ , or hypoxanthine  $(+Hyp)$ , as indicated.

this mutant was due to IMP synthesis via AICAR from the purine and histidine *de novo* pathways (REBORA *et al.* 2005) (Figure 1) and could be totally abolished in the ade8 his1 aah1 amd1 quadruple mutant (Figure 3B). As expected, this quadruple mutant was fully viable when hypoxanthine was provided as a purine source (Figure 3B). Furthermore, growth of the quadruple mutant in the presence of adenine was restored to a certain extent by the addition of guanine, indicating that the growth defect is, at least in part, due to guanylic nucleotide shortage (Figure 3C). Incomplete suppression by guanine could be due to the fact that guanylic nucleotide shortage is not the sole problem faced by this strain. Alternatively, it could be due to poor guanine uptake in the presence of adenine since both compounds are transported by the purine–cytosine permease (SCHMIDT et al. 1984).

Overexpression of YJL070c phenocopies a amd1 knock-out mutant: As mentioned above, the function of the two AMD1 homologs, YBR284w and YJL070c, is unknown. Importantly, both proteins lack several residues ([Figure S1\)](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/2) conserved in all described purine deaminases (RIBARD et al. 2003). Overexpression of these genes could not rescue growth of the quadruple aah1 ade8 amd1 his1 mutant in the presence of adenine [\(Figure S2A](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/3)), indicating that these genes do not significantly contribute to AMPD activity. It is notable that these genes do not contribute to adenosine or adenine deaminase activity ([Figure S2,](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/3) A and B). Finally, mutations in these two genes, either alone or combined with *amd1*, did not result in additional phenotypes [\(Figure S2](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/3)C). However, to our surprise, we found that overexpression of YJL070c, but not that of YBR284w, affected the growth of a wild-type strain, specifically in the presence of adenine (Figure 4A). This phenotype is reminiscent of the one found for the amd1 mutant (Figure 2A). Importantly, the effect of  $Y|L070c$  was dependent on the presence of Amd1p, since  $YJL070c$ overexpression had no additional effect in the amd1 deletion mutant (Figure 4A). We thus investigated whether overexpression of YJL070c would mimic other phenotypes of the amd1 knock-out. Indeed, the phenotype, associated with YJL070c overexpression, was strongly enhanced in the *aah1* mutant (Figure 4A), as found for the amd1 mutation (Figure 3B). Measurement of guanylic nucleotide pools revealed that overexpression of *YJL070c* resulted in a strong decrease of both GDP and GTP intracellular concentration (Figure 4B). This effect was observed only when cells were grown in the presence of adenine as for the *amd1* mutant (Figure) 2C). In yeast, a guanylic nucleotide limitation results in strong induction of IMD2 gene expression (ESCOBAR-Henriques and Daignan-Fornier 2001). Clearly, overexpression of  $YJL070c$  as well as the *amd1* deletion resulted in strong induction of IMD2-lacZ expression only in the presence of adenine (Figure 4, C and D), while no effect was observed in the  $ybr284w$  and  $yj1070c$ mutants (Figure 4D). Therefore, according to all tested criteria, overexpression of YJL070c phenocopies a amd1 deletion.

Transcriptome analysis of guanylic nucleotide-depleted yeast cells: The *amd1* mutation and the overexpression of YJL070c provide us with new ways to challenge cells with guanylic nucleotide depletion. We took advantage of these strains to evaluate the effects of GDP and GTP limitation on the yeast transcriptome and to compare



FIGURE 4.—Overexpression of *YJL070c* phenocopies the amd1 deletion mutant. (A) Effect of YJL070c or YBR284w overexpression on growth in the presence of adenine or hypoxanthine. Cells were transformed with the pCM189 empty plasmid (vector) or either the tet-YBR284w or tet-YJL070c plasmids. (B) Intracellular guanylic nucleotide content is specifically decreased in wild-type cells overexpressing YJL070c and grown in the presence of adenine. Wild-type (BY4742) cells were transformed with the pCM189 vector or plasmids allowing overexpression of AMD1, YJL070c, or YBR284w. Transformants were grown in SDcasaW medium supplemented or not with external adenine, and intracellular guanylic nucleotide content was measured as previously described (Breton *et al.* 2008). (C) Overexpression of  $YJL070c$  leads to derepression of the IMD2-LacZ fusion expression. Cells were cotransformed with IMD2-lacZ plasmid and either the vector or the plasmids allowing overexpression of AMD1 or YJL070c. Transformants were grown in SC medium supplemented or not

them to those of the immunosuppressive drug MPA, which specifically inhibits eukaryotic IMP dehydrogenase (IMPDH). In MPA-treated cells, GDP and GTP concentrations were severely affected in a dosedependent manner, while adenylic nucleotide pools were only slightly affected (Figure 5A). Importantly, MPA treatment also led to massive accumulation of IMP, the substrate of IMPDH, and its nucleoside (inosine) and base (hypoxanthine) derivatives (Figure 5A). It is notable that the  ${\sim}50\%$  decrease in GTP concentration found in both the  $amdl$  mutant and the  $YJL070c$ overexpressing strains (Figure 2C and Figure 4B) is intermediary to the 17% and 80% found for the lower and higher MPA concentrations, respectively.

The effects of guanylic nucleotide shortage on the yeast transcriptome were then evaluated in a wild-type strain treated (or not) with MPA (0.03 and 0.1 mg/liter) in a amd1 mutant and in a wild-type strain overexpressing (or not) YJL070c. The first conclusion was that MPA treatment affected expression of multiple genes that were either up- or downregulated. The number of affected genes was much higher at 0.1 mg/liter MPA compared to 0.03 mg/liter (Figure 5B). While most of the 51 genes affected at low MPA concentration are also affected at the higher concentration, 14 (27%) were not, suggesting that the transcriptional response to the drug is not simple.

Comparison of the transcriptome in  $YJL070c$  overexpression and *amd1* deletion strains to their cognate control strains revealed an altered expression for 358 and 407 genes, respectively, 246 of which were affected in both conditions (Figure 5C, [Table S1\)](http://www.genetics.org/content/vol0/issue2009/images/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/TableS1.xls). This result strongly supports our genetics and biochemical data, indicating that overexpression of  $YJL070c$  phenocopies the *amd1* deletion. When looking at the five most affected genes in each condition (Figure 5D), several conclusions can be drawn. First, in all four conditions,  $IMD2$  expression was strongly upregulated ( $>10$ -fold), thus confirming the IMD2-lacZ results (Figure 5D). Second, some genes, such as SSA4 or HSP82, were strongly and specifically affected by MPA, even at the lower dose, but did not respond to GTP shortage induced by  $amdl$  or tet-YJL070c. Because these genes are involved in the stress response, this could reflect a side effect of MPA not directly linked to GTP synthesis inhibition. Reciprocally, genes such as ICY2 or LEU4 strongly responded to the *amd1* deletion and  $YJL070c$ overexpression but not to MPA treatment. Finally, in most cases (LYS1, ARG1, CPA2, SNZ1, TMT1, HIS4), the

with adenine  $(+A)$ , guanine  $(+G)$ , or hypoxanthine  $(+H)$ . (D) Expression of IMD2-LacZ fusion is drastically increased in a amd1 mutant in the presence of adenine. Cells were transformed with a plasmid carrying a IMD2-lacZ fusion. Transformants were grown in SDcasaW medium supplemented or not with adenine  $(+A)$ , guanine  $(+G)$ , or hypoxanthine  $(+H)$ .



Figure 5.—Global transcriptional response to amd1 deletion, YJL070c overexpression and MPA treatment in the presence of adenine. (A) Intracellular nucleotide content is affected by MPA treatment. Wild-type cells were grown in SDcasaWUA medium supplemented or not with MPA. Internal adenylic and guanylic nucleotides were measured as previously described (BRETON et al. 2008; Gauthier et al. 2008). (B and C) Transcriptional response to guanylic nucleotide depletion obtained by MPA treatment, amd1 deletion, and YJL070c overexpression. Transcriptional response was monitored by microarray analyses. Venn diagrams show numbers of genes differentially expressed (by a factor  $\geq 2$ compared to untreated wild type) in response to various MPA concentrations (B) in a amd1 mutant and a strain overexpressing  $YJLO70c$  (C). (D) Expression ratios of the five most upregulated genes for each comparison set used in the transcriptome analysis. (E) Expression of GCN4 and PCL5 in the various conditions.

transcriptional response seems gradual, low at the low MPA dose, higher at 0.1 mg/liter MPA, and even higher when *amd1* is mutated or  $YJL070c$  overexpressed. This could appear surprising since the high dose of MPA treatment leads to lower GTP concentrations, but could reflect secondary effects of the drug. Interestingly, many of these genes are under the control of the transcriptional factor Gcn4p, and it should be noted that GCN4 itself is transcriptionally induced in the amd1 mutant and under the tet-YJL070c conditions but is unaffected in the MPA-treated cells (Figure 5E). Furthermore, PCL5, a major regulator of Gcn4p stability (SHEMER et al. 2002), is also differentially induced (Figure 5E) and could negatively modulate GCN4 overexpression. Global comparison revealed 71 genes similarly affected by amd1 knock-out, YJL070c overexpression, and 0.1 mg/liter MPA treatment [\(Figure S3](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/4)), most of which are involved in amino acid metabolism and regulated by Gcn4p (NATARAJAN et al. 2001). Thus, although GCN4 itself responds differently under the various conditions, GTP shortage is associated with upregulation of multiple GCN4 target genes.

Tet-YJL070c as a tool to revisit MPA hypersensitive mutants: Our earlier search for yeast mutants sensitive to MPA treatment had revealed eight amino acid metabolism mutants (Desmoucelles et al. 2002). Since our transcriptome analysis showed strong induction of several such amino acid metabolism genes when guanylic nucleotides are scarce, we used  $YJL070c$  to reinvestigate this phenotype. The  $tet$ -YJL070c construct was found to severely affect the growth of three mutants (tat1, thr1, and  $hom2$  on adenine; it had a weaker effect on the  $ilv1$ , bap1, ser2, and hom6 mutants, and no major effect on ser1 (Figure 6A). As a control experiment, we showed that a amd1 thr1 double mutant behaved just as the thr1 mutant carrying the tet-YJL070c plasmid did [\(Figure S4](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/5)). Importantly, the hom2 and thr1 growth defects, associated with  $YJL070c$  overexpression, were suppressed by the addition of threonine in large amounts to the growth medium (Figure 6B). Since both hom2 and thr1 mutations block threonine biosynthesis, this result strongly suggests that threonine uptake is limiting for growth in these mutants when guanylic nucleotides are scarce. Thus, this phenotypic analysis supports the idea that



FIGURE 6.—*YJL070c* overexpression severely affects growth of threonine biosynthesis mutants in the presence of adenine. Cells transformed with pCM189 (vector) or tet-YJL070c were spotted on SDcasaW medium supplemented (+ Ade) or not  $(-$  Ade) with adenine and threonine  $(+)$ Thr) as indicated.

there is a connection between guanylic nucleotide limitation and amino acid metabolism.

In yeast, hypersensitivity to MPA is often used as a criterion to identify transcriptional elongation mutants, the rationale being that GTP shortage (substrate limitation) combined to an elongation default affects transcription efficiency and/or accuracy so severely that it results in growth impairment. However, in several of these MPA-sensitive mutants, such as  $dst1$  ( $ppr2$ ), expression of IMD2, which encodes the MPA-resistant isoform of IMPDH (HYLE  $et$  al. 2003), is drastically decreased (Shaw and Reines 2000). Thus, there are two major and nonexclusive hypotheses to explain why these mutants are hypersensitive to MPA. The first one is that sensitivity to MPA could be due to IMD2 low expression. The second one is that the mutants could be sensitive due to the combination of low GTP concentration and altered transcription elongation. The tet- $YJL070c$  construct, because it allows decreasing GTP concentration independently of MPA, was used to attempt to settle this question.

Consistent with the first hypothesis, expression of IMD2 under a heterologous tet promoter reversed MPA hypersensitivity of the *dst1* mutant strain (Figure 7A),



FIGURE 7.—Effect of guanylic nucleotide limitation on transcription mutants. Cells were transformed with pCM189 (vector) or the tet-IMD2 plasmid, and transformants were spotted on SDcasaW medium supplemented or not with MPA (10 mg/liter) (A) or with adenine (Ade) as indicated (B). (C) Cells containing the tet-YJL070c overexpression plasmid were transformed with pCM189 (vector) or the tet-IMD2 plasmid, and transformants were spotted either on SC medium lacking uracil and leucine (C) or on SDcasaW (D).

and, importantly, it did not significantly suppress the adenine-specific growth defect of the amd1 mutant, although it slightly improved growth of all the strains in the presence of adenine (Figure 7B). Thus, although both MPA treatment and amd1 mutation induce IMD2 expression (Figure 4 and [Figure S3](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/4)B), the growth defect associated with the *amd1* mutation is independent of IMD2 expression. Similarly, IMD2 overexpression had no effect on the growth defect due to overexpression of  $YJL070c$  in the presence of adenine (Figure 7C).

To test the second hypothesis and establish whether a decrease of GTP concentration in various transcription mutants was sufficient to explain their hypersensitivity to MPA, we took advantage of the  $tet$ -YJL070c plasmid to decrease GTP pools independently of MPA treatment. We thus overexpressed  $YJL070c$  in various MPA hypersensitive mutants proposed to affect transcription elongation and monitored growth and GTP concentration. Strikingly, while overexpression of  $YJL070c$  in the mutants and in the isogenic wild-type strain similarly affected GTP levels [\(Figure S5\)](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/6), it had no drastic effect on growth in the presence of adenine (Figure 7D). It is important to note that the double amd1 dst1 mutant behaved just as the  $dst1$  mutant carrying the  $tet-YJLO70c$ plasmid did ([Figure S4](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/5)). Together, our results indicate that a significant part of the MPA sensitivity of these mutants is most likely due to poor expression of IMD2 rather than to a synthetic growth defect due to impaired transcription elongation combined with GTP limitation. However, it cannot be excluded that the growth defect of these transcription mutants observed in the presence of MPA could necessitate a more severe GTP limitation than the one caused by  $YJL070c$  overexpression in the presence of adenine.

#### DISCUSSION

A major conclusion from our results is that, in yeast, a defect in AMP deaminase is associated with a severe GDP/GTP pool depletion. This effect could be observed only in the presence of adenine and under conditions where the de novo pathway is turned down. We interpret this result as follows: in the absence of adenine, IMP synthesized from the de novo pathway is sufficient to provide wild-type levels of GDP and GTP. Addition of adenine results in a strong downregulation of the de novo pathway (DAIGNAN-FORNIER and FINK 1992) and a subsequent decrease of IMP synthesis, which, in the *amd1* mutant, cannot be fully compensated for by IMP synthesis via adenine deaminase. Interestingly, a recent report on Arabidopsis thaliana AMP deaminase inhibition by deaminoformycin showed a synergistic effect of adenine on deaminoformycin toxicity (Xu et al. 2005). This phenotype is highly similar to the inhibitory effect of adenine on growth of the amd1 mutant. However, in A. thaliana, adenylic nucleotide accumulation appears to be the initial cause of growth inhibition; by contrast, in yeast, partial reversion of the adenine effect by guanine (Figure 4B) suggests that guanylic nucleotide shortage is, at least in part, responsible for growth inhibition. In our previous work, we have studied the transcriptional response to ATP limitation (*adk1* mutant; GAUTHIER *et al.* 2008) and GTP overproduction (constitutive HPT1 mutant; BRETON et al. 2008). In this work, we now document the transcriptional response to GTP shortage obtained by different means. Importantly, while all these conditions result in purine nucleotide imbalance, there is no evidence for a common transcriptional response. Furthermore, GTP shortage and overproduction do not result in opposite transcriptional responses.

In this study, important data were collected on the yeast AMP deaminase gene family. On the basis of phenotypic analysis, we established that none of the two AMD1 homologs, YBR284w and YJL070c, encodes AMP, adenosine, or adenine deaminase activity ([Figure](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/3) [S2](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/3)). These results are in agreement with the fact that both proteins lack important residues ([Figure S1](http://www.genetics.org/cgi/data/genetics.109.105858/DC1/2)) conserved in all described purine deaminases (RIBARD et al. 2003). However, because these genes are syntenic with genes in other fungi (S. paradoxus, S. bayanus, Ashbya gossypii, etc.), and because the Yjl070p protein has been detected by mass spectrometry (GHAEMMAGHAMI et al. 2003), it seems unlikely that these genes could be pseudogenes. Concerning Yjl070p, we favor the hypothesis that it could have a noncatalytic regulatory function. Indeed, we found that overexpression of Yjl070p, in the presence of adenine, has a strong effect on guanylic nucleotide concentration, and growth was impaired. These results are highly similar to those obtained with the *amd1* deletion, indicating that overexpression of *YJL070c* mimics the *amd1* knock-out. Importantly, Amd1p and Yjl070p copurified in a global proteome interaction analysis (KROGAN *et al.* 2006), thus suggesting a possible direct interaction that could affect AMP deaminase activity. An attractive working hypothesis would be that Yjl070p could form inactive heterodimers with Amd1p; however, our attempts to document a direct inhibitory effect in vitrowere unsuccessful (C. SAINT-MARC and B. Daignan-Fornier, unpublished data). The fact that  $YJL070c$  overexpression mimicked the amd1 deletion was confirmed by our transcriptome analysis showing that a large set of common genes were induced in both conditions. The transcriptional response to MPA was more divergent. This could be due to the fact that MPA treatment, by inhibiting IMPDH, leads to massive accumulation of inosine  $(\leq 12 \text{ mm})$  as well as IMP and hypoxanthine, although to a lesser extent. No accumulation of these compounds was observed in the amd1 mutant or *YJL070c* overexpression strains.

We also took advantage of GTP limitation induced by YJL070c overexpression to revisit the proposed phenotypical link between GTP shortage and transcription elongation mutants, which are hypersensitive to MPA. We found that all tested mutants (*paf1*, *dst1*, *rtf1*, and ctk1) were not more affected than the wild-type control by YJL070c overexpression. Interestingly, a study of transcription elongation *in vivo* on a specific gene indicated that *dst1*, *rtf1*, and *ctk1* mutations, on their own, do not affect transcription elongation or processivity (Mason and STRUHL 2005). We therefore favor the hypothesis that MPA hypersensitivity of these mutants is due to the need for these factors in MPA-induced IMD2 upregulation and is not a secondary effect of low GTP concentrations upon transcription per se. These results cast a doubt upon the use of MPA sensitivity as a criterion in identifying transcription elongation mutants.

Our transcriptome data revealed 71 genes affected at least twofold in all three conditions leading to GDP/ GTP shortage (Figure 6B). Strikingly, many of these genes are involved in amino acid metabolism, and the vast majority of them are under the control of the Gcn4p transcription factor. This result is in good agreement with previous reports showing induction of GCN4 target genes in response to severe purine limitation (Rolfes and Hinnebusch 1993). An important result is that some amino acid metabolism mutants appear exquisitely sensitive to guanylic nucleotide limitation. We found that the threonine biosynthesis mutants, thr1 or hom2, are strongly affected by YJL070c overexpression and that this growth defect could be alleviated by increasing external threonine concentration. Interestingly, *thr1* and *hom2* mutants are hypersensitive to hydroxyurea (HARTMAN and TIPPERY 2004), and threonine metabolism has been shown to be important for dNTP pool homeostasis in yeast (Hartman 2007); however, the precise mechanism leading to this cross-pathway effect is not known. In addition, both thr1 and hom2 mutants showed reduced fitness under various growth conditions (GIAEVER et al. 2002), suggesting that threonine availability could be central in responding to different cellular perturbations. Together, our results point to amino acid uptake as limiting under guanylic nucleotide shortage, thus revealing a new metabolic crosstalk that could be relevant for understanding the immunosuppressive and antiproliferative effects of mycophenolate derivatives.

This work was supported by grants from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Bordeaux 2, and the Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine. O.L. was supported by a North Atlantic Treaty Organization fellowship.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bengtsson, H., G. Jonsson and J. Vallon-Christersson, 2004 Calibration and assessment of channel-specific biases in microarray data with extended dynamical range. BMC Bioinformatics 5: 177.
- BRETON, A., B. PINSON, F. COULPIER, M. F. GIRAUD, A. DAUTANT et al., 2008 Lethal accumulation of guanylic nucleotides in Saccharomyces cerevisiae HPT1-deregulated mutants. Genetics 178: 815–824.
- Daignan-Fornier, B., and G. R. Fink, 1992 Coregulation of purine and histidine biosynthesis by the transcriptional activators BAS1 and BAS2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 6746–6750.
- Desmoucelles, C., B. Pinson, C. Saint-Marc and B. Daignan-Fornier, 2002 Screening the yeast ''disruptome'' for mutants affecting resistance to the immunosuppressive drug, mycophenolic acid. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 27036–27044.
- Duenas, E., C. R. Vazquez de Aldana, T. de Cos, C. Castro and M. HENAR VALDIVIESO, 1999 Generation of null alleles for the functional analysis of six genes from the right arm of Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome II. Yeast 15: 615–623.
- Escobar-Henriques, M., and B. Daignan-Fornier, 2001 Transcriptional regulation of the yeast gmp synthesis pathway by its end products. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 1523–1530.
- Escobar-Henriques, M., A. Balguerie, C. Monribot, H. Boucherie and B. Daignan-Fornier, 2001 Proteome analysis and morphological studies reveal multiple effects of the immunosuppressive drug mycophenolic acid specifically resulting from guanylic nucleotide depletion. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 46237–46242.
- FISHBEIN, W. N., V. W. ARMBRUSTMACHER and J. L. GRIFFIN, 1978 Myoadenylate deaminase deficiency: a new disease of muscle. Science 200: 545–548.
- Gari, E., L. Piedrafita, M. Aldea and E. Herrero, 1997 A set of vectors with a tetracycline-regulatable promoter system for modulated gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 13: 837–848.
- Gauthier, S., F. Coulpier, L. Jourdren, M. Merle, S. Beck et al., 2008 Co-regulation of yeast purine and phosphate pathways in response to adenylic nucleotide variations. Mol. Microbiol. 68: 1583–1594.
- Ghaemmaghami, S., W. K. Huh, K. Bower, R. W. Howson, A. Belle et al., 2003 Global analysis of protein expression in yeast. Nature 425: 737–741.
- Giaever, G., A. M. Chu, L. Ni, C. Connelly, L. Riles et al., 2002 Functional profiling of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. Nature 418: 387–391.
- Hartman, J. L., IV, 2007 Buffering of deoxyribonucleotide pool homeostasis by threonine metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104: 11700–11705.
- HARTMAN, J. L., IV, and N. P. TIPPERY, 2004 Systematic quantification of gene interactions by phenotypic array analysis. Genome Biol. 5: R49.
- HYLE, J. W., R. J. SHAW and D. REINES, 2003 Functional distinctions between IMP dehydrogenase genes in providing mycophenolate resistance and guanine prototrophy to yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 278: 28470–28478.
- Itoh,R.,C.Saint-Marc,S.Chaignepain,R.Katahira,J.M.Schmitter et al., 2003 The yeast ISN1 (YOR155c) gene encodes a new type of IMP-specific 5'-nucleotidase. BMC Biochem. 4: 4.
- Jones, E. W., and G. R. Fink, 1982 Regulation of amino acid and nucleotide biosynthesis in yeast, pp. 181–299 in The Molecular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces: Metabolism and Gene Expression, edited by J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones and J. R. Broach. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- KIPPERT, F., 1995 A rapid permeabilization procedure for accurate quantitative determination of beta-galactosidase activity in yeast cells. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 128: 201–206.
- Krogan, N. J., G. Cagney, H. Yu, G. Zhong, X. Guo et al., 2006 Global landscape of protein complexes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 440: 637–643.
- Lecoq, K., I. Belloc, C. Desgranges, M. Konrad and B. Daignan-Fornier, 2001 YLR209c encodes Saccharomyces cerevisiae purine nucleoside phosphorylase. J. Bacteriol. 183: 4910–4913.
- LORET, M. O., L. PEDERSEN and J. FRANCOIS, 2007 Revised procedures for yeast metabolites extraction: application to a glucose pulse to carbon-limited yeast cultures, which reveals a transient activation of the purine salvage pathway. Yeast 24: 47–60.
- MASON, P. B., and K. STRUHL, 2005 Distinction and relationship between elongation rate and processivity of RNA polymerase II in vivo. Mol. Cell 17: 831–840.
- Merkler, D. J., and V. L. Schramm, 1990 Catalytic and regulatory site composition of yeast AMP deaminase by comparative binding and rate studies. Resolution of the cooperative mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 4420–4426.
- MERKLER, D. J., and V. L. SCHRAMM, 1993 Catalytic mechanism of yeast adenosine 5'-monophosphate deaminase. Zinc content,

substrate specificity, pH studies, and solvent isotope effects. Biochemistry 32: 5792–5799.

- Merkler, D. J., A. S. Wali, J. Taylor and V. L. Schramm, 1989 AMP deaminase from yeast. Role in AMP degradation, large scale purification, and properties of the native and proteolyzed enzyme. J. Biol. Chem. 264: 21422–21430.
- Merkler, D. J., P. C. Kline, P. Weiss and V. L. Schramm, 1993 Transition-state analysis of AMP deaminase. Biochemistry 32: 12993–13001.
- Meyer, S. L., K. L. Kvalnes-Krick and V. L. Schramm, 1989 Characterization of AMD, the AMP deaminase gene in yeast. Production of amd strain, cloning, nucleotide sequence, and properties of the protein. Biochemistry 28: 8734–8743.
- Natarajan, K., M. R. Meyer, B. M. Jackson, D. Slade, C. Roberts et al., 2001 Transcriptional profiling shows that  $Gcn4p$  is a master regulator of gene expression during amino acid starvation in yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 4347–4368.
- Osorio, H., E. Carvalho, M. del Valle, M. A. Gunther Sillero, P. MORADAS-FERREIRA et al., 2003 H2O2, but not menadione, provokes a decrease in the ATP and an increase in the inosine levels in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. An experimental and theoretical approach. Eur. J. Biochem. 270: 1578–1589.
- Rebora, K., C. Desmoucelles, F. Borne, B. Pinson and B. Daignan-FORNIER, 2001 Yeast AMP pathway genes respond to adenine through regulated synthesis of a metabolic intermediate. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 7901–7912.
- Rebora, K., B. Laloo and B. Daignan-Fornier, 2005 Revisiting purine-histidine cross-pathway regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a central role for a small molecule. Genetics 170: 61–70.
- Ribard, C., M. Rochet, B. Labedan, B. Daignan-Fornier, P. Alzari et al., 2003 Sub-families of alpha/beta barrel enzymes: a new adenine deaminase family. J. Mol. Biol. 334: 1117–1131.
- ROLFES, R. J., and A. G. HINNEBUSCH, 1993 Translation of the yeast transcriptional activator GCN4 is stimulated by purine limitation: implications for activation of the protein kinase GCN2. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 5099–5111.
- SABINA, R. L., and D. K. MAHNKE-ZIZELMAN, 2000 Towards an understanding of the functional significance of N-terminal domain divergence in human AMP deaminase isoforms. Pharmacol. Ther. 87: 279–283.
- SCHMIDT, R., M. F. MANOLSON and M. R. CHEVALLIER, 1984 Photoaffinity labeling and characterization of the cloned purine-cytosine transport system in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 6276–6280.
- SHAW, R. J., and D. REINES, 2000 Saccharomyces cerevisiae transcription elongation mutants are defective in PUR5 induction in response to nucleotide depletion. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 7427– 7437.
- SHEMER, R., A. MEIMOUN, T. HOLTZMAN and D. KORNITZER, 2002 Regulation of the transcription factor Gcn4 by Pho85 cyclin PCL5. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22: 5395–5404.
- SHERMAN, F., G. R. FINK and J. B. HICKS, 1986 Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Silles, E., H. Osorio, R. Maia, M. A. Gunther Sillero and A. SILLERO, 2005 Micromolar HgCl2 concentrations transitorily duplicate the ATP level in Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. FEBS Lett. 579: 4044–4048.
- VANDENBOL, M., and D. PORTETELLE, 1999 Disruption of six ORFs on Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome X: the YJL069c gene of unknown function is essential to cell viability. Yeast 15: 1411–1417.
- Xu, J., H. Y. Zhang, C. H. Xie, H. W. Xue, P. Dijkhuis et al., 2005 EMBRYONIC FACTOR 1 encodes an AMP deaminase and is essential for the zygote to embryo transition in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 42: 743–756.

Communicating editor: M. Hampsey

# GENETICS

Supporting Information http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.109.105858/DC1

# Phenotypic Consequences of Purine Nucleotide Imbalance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Christelle Saint-Marc, Benoît Pinson, Fanny Coulpier, Laurent Jourdren, Olesia Lisova and Bertrand Daignan-Fornier

Copyright © 2009 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: 10.1534/genetics.109.105858



FIGURE S1.—Sequence comparison of AMP deaminase homologs. Sequences of human AMP deaminase isoform 2 (AMPD2, NP\_004028), /*Arabidopsis thaliana*/ FAC1 (NP\_850294) and yeast Amd1p (NP\_013677), Yjl070p (NP\_012465) and Ybr284p (NP\_009843) were aligned using ClustalW. Residues conserved in all five proteins are highlighted in yellow. Dashes indicate the gaps created for alignment. The four histidine residues involved in zinc binding and the SL(S/N)TDDP motif highly conserved in adenosine deaminases are highlighted in red.



FIGURE S2.—Overexpression of *YBR284w* and *YJL070c* cannot bypass the lack of AMP-, adenosine-, or adenine-deaminase activities *in vivo*. (A) Overexpression of *YJL070c* or *YBR284w* does not allow utilization of S-adenosyl- methionine (AdoMet) or adenine as a purine source in the quadruple *amd1 aah1 ade8 his1*. To be utilized as a purine source, AdoMet requires adenosine deaminase (question mark in Figure 1) or adenosine kinase (ADO1 figure 1) + AMP deaminase activities. Clearly, overexpression of *YJL070c* or *YBR284w* does not provide activities required for AdoMet utilization, while *AMD1* does. (B) Overexpression of *YJL070c* or *YBR284w* does not allow utilization of adenine by an *aah1* mutant lacking adenine deaminase activity. (C) Growth of wild-type, *amd1*, *yjl070c* and *ybr284w* mutant strains in the presence of external purine. Serial dilutions of yeast cells in exponential growth-phase were spotted on SD casaW medium containing uracil and supplemented or not with adenine (+Ade) or hypoxanthine (+Hyp).

A



## B

AAD10; ADE3; ARG1;ARG3; ARG4; ARG5,6; ARG8; ARO9; ATF2; BNA1; BOP2; BSC5; CLG1; CPA1; CPA2; DDR48; DLD3; ECM13; ECM17; ECM40; GCV2; GGC1; HIS4; HIS5; HIS7; HO; HOM3; HSP78; IDH2; ILV2; IMD1; IMD2; INO1; KRS1; LYS1; LYS20; MET1; MET10; MET13; MET16; MET17; MET2; MET28; MF(ALPHA)2; NCE103; PCL5; PTR2; PYC1; PYC2; Q0017; RIB3; SAM2; SER3; SNO1; SNX41; SNZ1; STE2; THI20; THI7; TMT1; URA10; VHT1; YAR075W; YAT2; YDL025C; YGL059W; YGL117W; YGR110W; YJL213W; YMR173W-A; YOR302W

FIGURE S3.—(A) Distribution of differentially expressed genes (by a factor 2 or more) in wild-type cells compared to wild-type cells treated with MPA 0.1 mg/l for 60 min(left), to *amd1* deleted mutant (right) or to *YJL070c* overexpression (bottom). (B) List of genes for which expression is up- or down-regulated in all three conditions cited in (A). Gene names in bold correspond to down-regulated genes and gray boxed genes correspond to genes regulated by the Gcn4p transcription factor.



FIGURE S4.—Growth of various mutant strains in the presence or absence of adenine.



FIGURE S5.—GTP Intracellular concentration in wild-type and mutant strains. Cells were transformed with the plasmid allowing Transformants were grown in SDcasaW medium supplemented (+) or not (-) with external adenine *YJL070c* overexpression. Transformants were grown in SDcasaW medium supplemented (+) or not (-) with external adenine and and intracellular GTP content was measured as previously described (BRETON et al. 2008). intracellular GTP content was measured as previously described (BRETON et al. 2008).

### **TABLE S1**

Table S1 is available for download as an Excel file at http://www.genetics.org/cgi/content/full/genetics.109.105858/DC1.

All results presented in this file correspond to genes up-regulated (Ratio> 2) or down-regulated (Ratio <0,5) by at least a factor 2. For all experiments, the entire data sheets are available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=xvkthqaquiemkji&acc=GSE9557

Legend of column titles presented in tables of the different data sheets is:

Ratio  $R = Cy5/Cy3$ Mnorm is the log base 2 of the ratio :  $M = log2(Cy5/Cy3)$ SD Mnorm is the variation A is the average of the log base 2 of the intensities :  $A = \log_2(Cy3 \text{ intensity}) + \log_2(Cy5 \text{ intensity}) / 2$