Early Life Programming of Abdominal Adiposity in Adolescents: The HELENA Study

Idoia Labayen, phd¹
Jonatan R. Ruiz, phd²
Germán Vicente-Rodríguez, phd^{3,4}
Dominique Turck, md⁵
Gerardo Rodríguez, md^{3,6}
Aline Meirhaeghe, phd⁷
Denes Molnár, phd⁸

BRIEF REPORT

MICHAEL SJÖSTRÖM, MD²
MANUEL J. CASTILLO, MD⁹
FREDERIC GOTTRAND, MD⁵
LUIS A. MORENO, MD³
ON BEHALF OF THE HEALTHY LIFESTYLE IN
EUROPE BY NUTRITION IN ADOLESCENCE
(HELENA) STUDY GROUP*

OBJECTIVE — To examine the relationship between birth weight and abdominal adiposity in adolescents.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A total of 284 adolescents (49.3% of whom were female) aged 14.9 ± 1.2 years were included in the study. Birth weight and gestational age were obtained from parental records. Abdominal adiposity (in three regions: R1, R2, and R3) and trunk and total body fat mass were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Regional fat mass indexes (FMIs) were thereafter calculated as fat mass divided by the square of height (Trunk FMI and abdominal FMI R1, R2, and R3).

RESULTS — Birth weight was negatively associated with abdominal FMI R1, R2, and R3 independently of total fat mass, gestational age, sex, breast-feeding duration, pubertal stage, physical activity, and socioeconomic status (all P < 0.01).

CONCLUSIONS — Our study shows an inverse association between birth weight and abdominal adiposity in adolescents independently of total fat mass and other potential confounders. These findings suggest that fetal nutrition, as reflected by birth weight, may have a programming effect on abdominal adiposity later in life.

Diabetes Care 32:2120-2122, 2009

ow birth weight seems to increase the risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease by programming a more central fat deposition (1). However, the association between birth weight and fat distribution remains controversial (2–4). Studies using advanced methods to assess body composition such as dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) may help to better describe these asso- ciations.

The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between birth weight and

From the ¹Department of Nutrition and Food Science, University of the Basque Country, Vitoria, Spain; the ²Unit for Preventive Nutrition, the Department of Biosciences and Nutrition at NOVUM, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Sweden; the ³University School of Health Sciences, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain; the ⁴Department of Physiotherapy and Nursing, University of Zaragoza, Huesca, Spain; the ⁵Department of Medicine, University of Lille, and Department of Pediatrics, Jeanne de Flandre Children's University Hospital, Lille, France; the ⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, and the Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud, Zaragoza, Spain; the ⁷INSERM, U744, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Lille, France; the ⁸Department of Pediatrics, University of Pecs, Pécs-József, Hungary; and the ⁹Department of Medical Physiology, School of Medicine, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

Corresponding author: Idoia Labayen, idoia.labayen@ehu.es.
Received 30 May 2009 and accepted 15 July 2009. Published ahead of print at http://care.diabetesjournals.
org on 29 July 2009. DOI: 10.2337/dc09-0983.

- *A list of the researchers of the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence (HELENA) Study Group is available at http://www.helenastudy.com/list.php.
- The writing group takes the sole responsibility for the contents of this article. The contents of this article reflect only the authors' views; the European Community is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.
- © 2009 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

abdominal adiposity measured by DEXA in Spanish adolescents participating in the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional study (HELENA-CSS).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND

METHODS — The HELENA study was designed to examine the interactions between personal, environmental, and lifestyle influences on the risk factors for future cardiovascular diseases (5).

The present study comprised 284 healthy Caucasian adolescents (140 female and 144 male) aged 14.9 \pm 1.2 years from Zaragoza, Spain, with complete data on birth weight, gestational age, and abdominal fat measured by DEXA. Birth at >37 weeks' gestation (95.1%) was an additional inclusion criterion.

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Aragon. Written informed consent to participate was obtained from both the parents and the adolescents. Birth weight and duration of gestation were obtained from the health booklets (6).

Body composition and abdominal fat distribution

Body weight and height, waist circumference, and subscapular and tricipital skinfold thicknesses were measured in triplicate (7). Waist circumference, waist-to-height ratio, and subscapular to tricipital skinfold were used as surrogate markers of central body fat.

We measured fat mass with DEXA using an extended research model (pediatric version of the software QDR-Explorer, version 12.4; Hologic, Waltham, MA). Abdominal adiposity was assessed at three different regions: R1, R2, and R3 (8,9). A rectangle was drawn on the digital scan image to establish every region. All had the lower horizontal border on the top of the iliac crest. For R1, the upper border was established parallel to the end of the lowest rib. The upper border of R2 was parallel to the junction of the T12 and L1 vertebrae, and that for R3 was parallel to the middle of the costo-vertebrae articulation of the last rib. The lateral sides were adjusted to include the maximal

Table 1—Unstandardized regression coefficients (β) and SEM showing the association between birth weight and abdominal fat deposition indexes in adolescents

	Model 1 ($n = 284$)			Model 2 ($n = 258$)			Model 3 ($n = 258$)			Model 4 ($n = 252$)		
	β	SEM	Р	β	SEM	P	β	SEM	P	β	SEM	P
Trunk FMI (kg/m²)	-0.317	0.158	0.045	-0.129	0.047	< 0.001	-0.210	0.048	< 0.001	-0.204	0.050	< 0.001
Abdominal R1 FMI (kg/m²)	-0.055	0.032	0.091	-0.037	0.014	0.009	-0.045	0.015	0.002	-0.044	0.015	0.004
Abdominal R2 FMI (kg/m²)	-0.090	0.043	0.036	-0.060	0.017	0.001	-0.059	0.018	0.001	-0.055	0.019	0.004
Abdominal R3 FMI (kg/m²)	-0.104	0.048	0.031	-0.069	0.020	0.001	-0.069	0.020	0.001	-0.067	0.021	0.002
Waist (cm)*	0.001	0.007	0.916	0.007	0.004	0.128	-0.001	0.003	0.735	0.001	0.004	0.831
Waist-to-height ratio	-0.015	0.007	0.031	-0.008	0.004	0.070	-0.011	0.004	0.008	-0.012	0.004	0.010
Subscapular SFT (mm)†	-1.387	0.534	0.001	-1.601	0.467	0.001	-1.7744	0.490	< 0.001	-1.711	0.512	0.001

Model 1, birth weight adjusted for gestational age; model 2, adjustments for model 1 plus total body fat; model 3, adjustments for model 2 plus sex, breast-feeding duration, pubertal stage, and physical activity; model 4, adjustments for model 3 plus SES (mother's and father's educational levels). Data in bold are statistically significant (P < 0.05). *Analysis was performed on log-transformed data. †Birth weight controlling also for tricipital skinfold thickness. SFT, skinfold thickness.

amount of abdominal tissue within each region. Trunk fat mass and abdominal fat mass R1, R2, and R3 were used as surrogates of abdominal adiposity.

Confounders

Several factors potentially related to birth weight or fat distribution were investigated. Pubertal status was evaluated by a trained physician (6,10). Physical activity was objectively assessed by accelerometry (Actigraph MTI) (11). Socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed via questionnaire and defined by maternal and paternal educational status (1, lower education; 2, lower secondary education; and 4, higher education or university degree). Exclusive breast-feeding duration was coded as follows: 0, never; 1, <3 months; 2, 3–6 months; and 3, >6 months (6).

Pubertal status was obtained in 97.9% of adolescents (96.4% of those male and 97.9% of those female), physical activity in 94.7% (95% of those male and 94.4% of those female), SES in 97.9% (99.3% of those male and 98.6% of those female), and information on breast-feeding duration in 98.9% (99.6% of those male and 99.3% of those female).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 16.0), and the level of significance was set at 0.05. We adjusted abdominal fat deposition variables by the square of height. Regional fat mass indexes (FMIs) were then calculated as fat mass divided by the square of height (trunk FMI and abdominal FMI R1, R2, and R3).

The association of birth weight with fat distribution was analyzed by linear regression in an extended model approach: Model 1 included the predictor (birth weight) and the dependent variable (trunk FMI; abdominal FMI R1, R2, and R3; waist circumference; waist-to-height ratio; or subscapular to tricipital skinfold) adjusted for gestational age. For model 2, total fat mass was entered into the model. For model 3, a set of confounders (sex, pubertal stage, breast-feeding duration, and physical activity) was added. For model 4, SES was added to the model. We also tested the interaction effect between sex and birth weight. Pubertal stage, SES, and breast-feeding duration were entered as dummy variables.

RESULTS — Mean \pm SD birth weight was 3.31 ± 0.44 kg (3.36 ± 0.50 kg in male subjects and 3.26 ± 0.39 kg in female subjects). Because there was no evidence that the associations of birth weight with trunk FMI and abdominal FMI R1, R2, and R3 differed between female and male subjects (P for interaction 0.256, 0.301, 0.243, and 0.304, respectively), the results are presented jointly for both sexes (Table 1).

Birth weight adjusted for gestational age was negatively associated with trunk and abdominal FMI R1 and R2 (all P < 0.05). When total fat mass was entered into the model (model 2), these relationships were strengthened (P < 0.01). The results did not change after further adjustment for potential confounders (models 3 and 4). A decrease of 1 kg in birth weight predicted an increase of $\sim 50 \text{ g/m}^2$ in abdominal FMI. The adjustment for age instead of pubertal stage did not substantially change the results (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS — The present study shows a negative association between birth weight and central and abdominal

fat deposition independently of total body fat mass in adolescents. These associations were independent of gestational age, pubertal stage, physical activity, breastfeeding duration, and SES.

Although our sample size was not comparable to that of larger epidemiological studies, a major strength of our study was the use of DEXA in an extended model, which is a very accurate technique to measure abdominal adiposity (9).

Results from the limited number of studies that have investigated this issue using direct measures of central fat in adolescents are controversial. Our findings are in agreement with those of Dolan et al. (2) in a study conducted in 101 young adolescents. One other report found no relationship between birth weight and central fat deposition but included children and adolescents with a very large age range (from 4 to 20 years) (3).

In conclusion, our findings further support the concept that fetal undergrowth, as reflected by lower birth weight, may have a programming effect on increased abdominal adiposity later in life. These results may help to explain the relationship between low birth weight and later metabolic disorders such as diabetes or cardiovascular disease.

Acknowledgments— The HELENA study was carried out with the financial support of the European Community Sixth RTD Framework Programme (contract no. FOOD-CT-2005-007034). This work was also partially supported by the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research (to F.A.S.), the Spanish Ministry of Education (EX-2007-1124), and the Spanish Ministry of Health: Maternal, Child Health and Development Network (RD08/0072).

2121

Programming abdominal fat

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

- 1. Hattersley AT, Tooke JE. The fetal insulin hypothesis: an alternative explanation of the association of low birthweight with diabetes and vascular disease. Lancet 1999;353:1789–1792
- Dolan MS, Sorkin JD, Hoffman DJ. Birth weight is inversely associated with central adipose tissue in healthy children and adolescents. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2007; 15:1600–1608
- 3. Chomtho S, Wells JC, Williams JE, Davies PS, Lucas A, Fewtrell MS. Infant growth and later body composition: evidence from the 4-component model. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:1776–1784
- Labayen I, Moreno LA, Blay MG, Blay VA, Mesana MI, Gonzalez-Gross M, Bueno G, Sarría A, Bueno M. Early programming of body composition and fat distribution in adolescents. J Nutr 2006; 136:147–152

- Moreno LA, De Henauw S, Gonzalez-Gross M, Kersting M, Molnar D, Gottrand F, Barrios L, Sjöström M, Manios Y, Gilbert CC, Leclercq C, Widhalm K, Kafatos A, Marcos A, the HELENA Study Group. Design and implementation of the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S4–S11
- Iliescu C, Beghin L, Maes L, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Libersa C, Vereecken C, Gonzalez-Gross M, Kersting M, Molnar D, Leclercq C, Sjöström M, Manios Y, Wildhalm K, Kafatos A, Moreno LA, Gottrand F, the HELENA Study Group. Socioeconomic questionnaire and clinical assessment in the HELENA Cross-Sectional Study: methodology. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S19–S25
- 7. Nagy E, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Manios Y, Beghin L, Iliescu C, Censi L, Dietrich S, Ortega FB, De Vriendt T, Plada M, Moreno LA, Molnar D, the HELENA Study Group. Harmonization process and reliability assessment of anthropometric measurements in a multicenter study in

- adolescents. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008; 32(Suppl. 5):S58–S65
- 8. Kamel EG, McNeill G, Van Wijk MC. Usefulness of anthropometry and DXA in predicting intra-abdominal fat in obese men and women. Obes Res 2000;8:36–42
- Park YW, Heymsfield SB, Gallagher D. Are dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry regional estimates associated with visceral adipose tissue mass? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26:978–983
- Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH. Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height velocity, weight velocity, and stages of puberty. Arch Dis Child 1976; 51:170–179
- 11. Hagstromer M, Bergman P, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Manios Y, Rey-López JP, Phillipp K, von Berlepsch J, Sjöström M, the HELENA Study Group. Concurrent validity of a modified version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-A) in European adolescents: the HELENA Study. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S42–S48