

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 30.

Published in final edited form as: *J Med Chem*. 2006 May 18; 49(10): 2939–2952. doi:10.1021/jm051262k.

Application of the Goldilocks Effect to the Design of Potent and Selective Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N***-Methyltransferase: Balancing p***Ka* **and Steric Effects in the Optimization of 3- Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline Inhibitors by β-Fluorination**

Gary L. Grunewald* , **Mitchell R. Seim**, **Jian Lu**, **Mariam Makboul**, and **Kevin R. Criscione** Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, ggrunewald@ku.edu

Abstract

3-Methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines (3-methyl-THIQs) are potent inhibitors of phenylethanolamine *N*-methyltransferase (PNMT), but are not selective due to significant affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. Fluorination of the methyl group lowers the p K_a of the THIQ amine from 9.53 (CH₃) to 7.88 (CH₂F), 6.42 (CHF₂), and 4.88 (CF₃). This decrease in p K_a results in a reduction in affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. However, increased fluorination also results in a reduction in PNMT inhibitory potency, apparently due to steric and electrostatic factors. Biochemical evaluation of a series of 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs showed that the former were highly potent inhibitors of PNMT, but were often non-selective due to significant affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor, while the latter were devoid of α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity, but also lost potency at PNMT. 3-Difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs have the proper balance of both steric and p*K*^a properties and thus have enhanced selectivity versus the corresponding 3-fluoromethyl-7 substituted-THIQs and enhanced PNMT inhibitory potency versus the corresponding 3 trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs. Using the "Goldilocks Effect" analogy, the 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs are too potent (too hot) at the α_2 -adrenoceptor and the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs are not potent enough (too cold) at PNMT, but the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs are just right. They are both potent inhibitors of PNMT and highly selective due to low affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. This seems to be the first successful use of the β-fluorination of aliphatic amines to impart selectivity to a pharmacological agent while maintaining potency at the site of interest.

Introduction

Aliphatic amines are one of the most common functional groups in bioactive molecules² and are often crucial in the binding of these compounds by acting as a hydrogen bond donor or acceptor, or through electrostatic interactions when protonated. Fluorine can strongly influence the basicity of aliphatic amines. For example, the incorporation of a monofluoromethyl, difluoromethyl, or trifluoromethyl group at the 3-position of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (THIQ, 1) lowers the pK_a of the amine by approximately 1.5 log units per fluorine (Table 1). The decrease in pK_a associated with the β-fluorination of aliphatic amines has been shown to have a profound effect on their biological properties. Some examples include increased substrate activity for lung N-methyltransferase,³ decreased inhibition of mitochondrial

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Gary L. Grunewald, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Malott Hall, Room 4060, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Dr., Lawrence, KS 66045-7582, Phone: (785) 864-4497, Fax: (785) 864-5326, E-Mail: ggrunewald@ku.edu.

monoamine oxidase,⁴ increased *N*-dealkylation by CYP1A2⁵ and decreased aromatic hydroxylation by CYP2D6^{6,7} of fluorinated propranolol analogs, increased oral bioavailability, $\frac{8}{3}$ and changes in tissue distrubution.^{4, 9}

Since the steric and pK_a changes caused by fluorination can simultaneously affect multiple biological properties, it is not uncommon to observe that the added benefits of fluorination are accompanied by negative effects. A decrease in pK_a that leads to enhanced oral absorption or improved metabolic properties may also result in a decrease in potency.10 While there are examples of varying the extent of fluorination to find an optimal pK_a at which pharmacokinetic properties are improved and potency is maintained, 8 this approach has not been used to impart selectivity to a pharmacological agent while maintaining potency at the site of interest.^{7,11}

As one means of determining the function of epinephrine (**3**) in the CNS, our laboratory has targeted phenylethanolamine *N*-methyltransferase (PNMT; EC 2.1.1.28). This enzyme catalyzes the terminal step in the biosynthesis of epinephrine (**3**), in which an activated methyl group is transferred from *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet; **4**) to the primary amine of norepinephrine (**2**) to form epinephrine (**3**) and the cofactor product *S*-adenosyl-Lhomocysteine (AdoHcy; **5**).

The role of epinephrine (**3**) as a hormone in the periphery is well established. An acute stress response triggers the release of epinephrine (**3**) into the blood stream, which initiates the physiological changes associated with the "fight or flight syndrome". In contrast to the vast understanding of the functions of epinephrine (**3**) in the periphery, its role in the CNS is much less clear. Significant levels of epinephrine (**3**) are present in the mammalian CNS,12-14 where it is postulated to act as a neurotransmitter. The most thoroughly studied physiological process to which epinephrine (**3**) in the CNS (central epinephrine) has been linked is the regulation of peripheral blood pressure. Administration of the PNMT inhibitor SK&F 64139¹⁵ (6[,] Table 2) to hypertensive rats resulted in a reduction in peripheral blood pressure.16 However, **6** also showed significant affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor.¹⁷ Thus, some of the decrease in peripheral blood pressure may be attributed to an α_2 -adrenergic effect rather than to decreases in central epinephrine concentrations.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Selective inhibitors of PNMT would be useful pharmacological tools for clearly defining the connection between central epinephrine concentrations and peripheral blood pressure.

THIQs having electron-withdrawing 7-substituents (either lipophilic or hydrophilic) are highly potent inhibitors of $PNMT₁²¹$ and those with lipophilic electron-withdrawing 7-substituents, such as halides (**7** and **8**) are generally more potent than those having hydrophilic groups [**9** and SK&F 29661²² (10)]. Unfortunately, THIQs bearing lipophilic electron-withdrawing 7substituents also have significant affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. Addition of a methyl (11d) or a fluoromethyl (**11a**) group to the 3-position of **7** provides a slight increase in PNMT inhibitory potency while decreasing affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. While compounds **11d** and **11a** still have significant affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor, the 3-trifluoromethyl analog (**11c**) shows a dramatic reduction in α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity. Previous studies suggest that this is due to the large drop in pK_a of the THIQ amine ($pK_a \approx 5$) making it mostly neutral at physiological pH. Unfortunately, **11c** is not particularly potent at PNMT, showing a greater than 100-fold loss of potency versus **11d** or **11a**. This has been attributed to the increased steric bulk of the trifluoromethyl group.^{23,24}

Analysis of the crystal structure of human PNMT (hPNMT) co-crystallized with inhibitors²⁵⁻²⁷ and substrates,²⁸ coupled with site directed mutagenesis studies,²⁷ has helped to identify the key amino acids at the hPNMT active site, some of which are shown in Figure 2. The aromatic rings of substrates or THIQ-type inhibitors are positioned between the side chains of Phe182 and Asn39. The β-hydroxyl of phenylethanolamine substrates or the amine

of THIQ inhibitors interacts with Glu219 and Asp267. The substrate β-hydroxyl group interacts through hydrogen bonds, but it is currently unknown whether the THIQ amine interacts through hydrogen bonds in its neutral form or through an electrostatic interaction in its protonated form. We have co-crystallized hPNMT with inhibitors containing either a lipophilic (8)²⁶ or hydrophilic (**10**) ²⁵ 7-substituent. Lipophilic 7-subsituents interact with the side chains of Val53 and Arg44. Hydrophilic 7-substituents interact with Lys57 through hydrogen bonds.²⁵ Examination of the hPNMT active site region surrounding the 3-position of co-crystallized THIQ inhibitors revealed the presence of a narrow lipophilic channel (Figure 2), which was consistent with THIQs having small 3-substituents (e.g., methyl, ethyl, or fluoromethyl) being more potent at PNMT than those having larger 3-substituents (e.g., isopropyl, butyl, pentyl, or trifluoromethyl). Docking studies with 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs showed that the trifluoromethyl group did not fit into the lipophilic channel due to negative steric interactions. 24

We hypothesized that THIQs having a 3-substituent that (1) sufficiently lowers the pK_a of the THIQ amine to diminish affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor, and (2) is small enough to maintain potency at PNMT would be an ideal inhibitor. We reasoned that 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs could meet these criteria because (1) the pK_a of the THIQ amine is reduced below 6.5, and (2) docking studies indicated that the 3-difluoromethyl group would be able to fit into the lipophilic channel. Application of the "Goldilocks Effect"²⁹ suggested that while the 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs were too potent (too hot) at the α_2 -adrenoceptor and the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs were not potent enough (too cold) at PNMT, the 3-difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs (**11b**–**25b**) would be just right—both highly potent at PNMT and highly selective due to low affinity for the α2 adrenoceptor.

Chemistry

The synthesis of 3-difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs **11b**–**25b** and 7-(*N*-substituted aminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs **14c**, **17c**, **23c**, and **25c** are shown in Schemes 1–4. While the synthesis of phenylpropylamine 30 has been reported previously (7 steps), 30 a more direct route is reported here. Difluoroacetamide (28) was reacted in a P₂O₅ melt to form difluoroacetonitrile (29[,] Scheme 1).³¹ This highly volatile liquid was immediately reacted with benzylmagnesium chloride to form the iminium salt intermediate, which was then reduced in situ with NaBH4 to yield **30**. Phenylpropylamine **30** was treated with methyl chloroformate in CH2Cl2 and pyridine to afford carbamate **31**. Cyclization of **31** with polyphosphoric acid yielded lactam **32**, the key intermediate in the synthesis of all of the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs listed in Table 3. Reduction of **32** with diborane formed 3-difluoromethyl-THIQ (**19b**). Nitration of lactam **32** with sulfuric acid and potassium nitrate afforded the desired regioisomer, **33**. Reduction of **33** with diborane yielded **15b**, which was reduced to the aniline and converted to **11b** via a Sandmeyer bromination reaction and to **18b** by Greis cyanation.³²

Lactam **33** was reduced to the aniline and then converted to lactam **34** via a Sandmeyer iodination reaction (Scheme 2).³³ Treatment of 34 with FSO₂CF₂CO₂CH₃ and CuI in DMF yielded **35**. ³⁴ Compounds **34** and **35** were reduced with diborane to yield THIQs **13b** and **12b**, respectively.

The sulfonyl chloride group was introduced exclusively to the 7-position by reacting lactam **32** with chlorosulfonic acid (Scheme 3). Compound **36b** was then converted to the sulfonyl hydrazid, and reacted in situ with methyl iodide and sodium acetate in ethanol to form methyl sulfone **37**, ³⁵ which was subsequently reduced with diborane to afford THIQ **20b**. Sulfonyl chloride **36b** was also treated with NH4OH in acetonitrile to produce aminosulfonyl **38**, which was reduced with diborane to yield THIQ **16b**.

Sulfonyl chloride **36b** or **36c** (which was prepared by previously described methods)²³ was treated with the requisite amine in a either a solution of $CHCl₃$ and pyridine for the synthesis of sulfonamides **39b**, **40b**, **45b**, **39c**, **40c**, and **45c** or a biphasic mixture of EtOAc and saturated sodium carbonate for the synthesis of sulfonamides **41b**–**44b** and **43c** (Scheme 4). These lactams were reduced with diborane to afford the corresponding 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs **14b**, **17b**, and **21b**–**25b** and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs **14c**, **17c**, **23c**, and **25c**.

Biochemistry

In the current study, human PNMT (hPNMT) with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag was expressed in *E. coli.*^{36, 37} The radiochemical assay conditions, previously reported for the bovine enzyme,³⁸ were modified to account for the high binding affinity of some inhibitors. ³⁶,39 Inhibition constants were determined using four concentrations of phenylethanolamine as the variable substrate, and three concentrations of inhibitor.

 α_2 -Adrenergic receptor binding assays were performed using cortex obtained from male Sprague Dawley rats.⁴⁰ [³H]Clonidine was used as the radioligand to define the specific binding and phentolamine was used to define the nonspecific binding. Clonidine was used as the ligand to define α_2 -adrenergic binding affinity to simplify the comparison with previous results.

Results and Discussion

The biochemical data for the 3-difluoromethyl- (**11b**–**25b**) and the 3-trifluoromethyl-7 substituted-THIQs (**14c**, **17c**, **23c**, **25c**) are shown in Table 3, along with previously reported data for selected 3-mono- and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs. The p*K*^a of the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs ($pK_a \approx 5.5-6.5$)⁴¹ is lowered to a level at which affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor is drastically reduced. 7-Bromo-3-difluoromethyl-THIQ (**11b**) has 1000-fold less affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor than 7-bromo-THIQ (7, Table 2) and 35-fold less affinity than 7-bromo-3fluoromethyl-THIQ (11a). Although the α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity of 7-bromo-3difluoromethyl-THIQ (11b) is quite low, it does have greater, α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity than 7bromo-3-trifluoromethyl-THIQ (11c, $pK_a \approx 4.3$)⁴¹ since a higher percentage of the former compound is protonated at physiological pH. This trend is consistent for all of the compounds in Table 3. At the α_2 -adrenoceptor, the rank order of affinity is $CH_2F > CHF_2 > CF_3$.

At PNMT, previous studies have established that 3-fluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs are highly potent inhibitors, ^{36, 42-44} while the corresponding 3-trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs are substantially, less potent.^{23, 24} The 3-difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs were found to have PNMT inhibitory potencies that were quite similar to the corresponding 3 fluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs. This is especially evident for the most potent 3 fluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs (**11a**–**17a**), which have hPNMT *K*ⁱ values of less than 300 nM. For these compounds, the corresponding 3-difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs (**11b**– **17b**) have K_i values at PNMT ranging from statistically equipotent to 4-fold (average of 2.4fold) less potent, whereas the corresponding 3-trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs (**11c**– **17c**) are between **15** and 140-fold (average of 58-fold) less potent. This data trend is consistent for all of the compounds in Table 3. At PNMT, the rank order of potency is $CH_2F \approx CH_2F$ CF3. The following sections analyze the data trends for the 3-mono-, di-, and trifluoromethyl-THIQs at the α_2 -adrenoceptor and PNMT.

α2-adrenoceptor

The 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs show a significant reduction in affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor relative to the corresponding 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs, indicating that either an increase in the size of the 3-substituent, a decrease in the pK_a of the

Grunewald et al. Page 5

THIQ amine, or a combination of these factors is responsible for the reduction in α_2 adrenoceptor affinity. In order to delineate between steric and pK_a effects, the 7-bromo-THIQs (**11a**–**c**) and 7-nitro-THIQs (**15a**–**c**) were compared to 7-bromo- and 7-nitro-THIQs bearing increasingly larger alkyl groups on the 3-position (Table 4). Analysis of these data suggests that the steric bulk of the 3-substituent does not appear to significantly affect the affinity of THIQs for the α_2 -adrenoceptor. Despite considerable differences in the steric bulk of their 3substituents [according to the Charton,⁴⁵ Taft (E_s) ,⁴⁶ or Dubois (E_s') ⁴⁷ parameters], the 7alkyl-3-bromo-THIQs (**11d**–**f**) and the 7-alkyl-3-nitro-THIQs (**15d**–**f**) have similar affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor.⁴⁸ This evidence alone suggests that changes in steric bulk at the 3-position of THIQ are not affecting α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity. This is also supported by comparing the 3-fluoroalkyl-THIQs with the 3-alkyl-THIQs and is best illustrated by comparing the 3 isopropyl-THIQs (**11f** and **15f**) and the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs (**11b** and **15b**). Isopropyl and difluoromethyl groups are geometrically similar, but the isopropyl group is considered to have steric bulk that is either approximately equal to or greater than that of the difluoromethyl group. If an increase in the steric bulk of the 3-substituent is the determining factor in lowering α_2 adrenoceptor affinity, the 3-isopropyl analogs would be expected to have α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity that is either approximately equal to or less than that of the 3-difluoromethyl analogs. This is not the case. The 3-isopropyl-THIQs **11f** and **15f** have, respectively, 59 and > 28-fold more affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor than the 3-difluoromethyl-THIOs **11b** and **15b**. These data demonstrate that the reduced α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity of the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs, and by extension the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs, is likely a result of their reduced pK_a values. It appears that the most pronounced reduction of α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity occurs somewhere between a p*K*^a of approximately 8 and 6, the range between the 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs and the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs.

The α_2 -adrenonergic receptor data reported in this paper was obtained from the inhibition of [³H]clonidine binding to rat cortex α_2 -adrenoceptors. We recently evaluated a series of THIQs, which included 3-mono-, di- and trifluoromethyl-THIQs, for the inhibition of $[3H]RX821002$ binding to cloned human α_{2A} -adrenergic receptors.⁴⁹ The binding data for this series of THIQs showed good correlation ($r^2 = 0.90$) between the inhibition of [³H]clonidine binding to rat cortex α_2 -adrenoceptors and the inhibition of [³H]RX821002 binding to cloned human α_{2A} adrenergic receptors. This correlation supports the use of structural models of the human α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor to explain the α_{2} -adrenoceptor data trends reported in this paper.

 α_{2A} -Adrenoceptors belong to the rhodopsin-like class of G-protein coupled receptors. Site directed mutagenesis studies and rhodopsin-based homology models have implicated an aspartate residue present in all G-protein coupled receptors as a key amino acid for ammonium ion binding.50,51 Numerous studies suggest that amine ligands for G-protein coupled receptors bind in their protonated form.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Recent studies with the human HT_{1D} receptor demonstrated that difluorination of amine ligands significantly lowers their binding affinity, apparently due to a reduction of protonated ligand at physiological pH. 8 We expect that this same phenomenon is resulting in the low α_2 -adrenoceptor affinity of the 3-di- and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs, which exist primarily in the neutral form at physiological pH. Mutagenesis studies⁵² and rhodopsinbased homology models^{53,54} of the human α_{2A} -adrenoceptor predict that Asp113 provides an anchoring point for ligands containing a positively charged amine group. Structural models of the α_{2A} -adrenoceptor predict that the positively charged amine of phenylethylamines and the positively charged nitrogen in the imidazoline ring of clonidine analogs interact electrostatically with Asp113.⁵² The low affinity of the 3-di- and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs compared to 3-fluoromethyl- and 3-methyl-THIQs provides evidence that interaction between the protonated amine of THIQs and Asp113 is required for optimal α_{2A} -adrenergic receptor affinity.

The binding of aliphatic amines that are primarily neutral at physiological pH to cationic ligand

binding G-protein coupled receptors has not been thoroughly investigated. The results in this paper coupled with the previous study of fluorinated HT_{1D} receptor ligands⁸ suggest that the fluorination of amine ligands for other G-protein coupled receptors may also result in reduced binding affinity. Since the key aspartate residue is highly conserved in all cationic ligand binding G-protein coupled receptors,⁵⁰ lowering the pK_a of amine ligands is likely to have a similar effect. Fluorination of amine ligands having undesired affinity for G-protein coupled receptors could be useful in the design of selective pharmacological agents.

PNMT

The rank order of PNMT inhibitory potency for the 3,7-disubstituted-THIQs in Table 3 is $CH_2F \approx CHF_2 > CF_3$. The 3-mono- and difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs have similarly high inhibitory potency while the corresponding 3-trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs have drastically reduced inhibitory potency, which poses an interesting question. Why does a simple hydrogen to fluorine substitution result in only a slight change in going from the fluoromethyl series to the difluoromethyl, series, but has a profound effect when going from the difluoromethyl series to the trifluoromethyl series?

Structure-activity relationship studies on substituted benzylamines have found that electronwithdrawing aromatic substituents enhance PNMT inhibitory potency.⁵⁵ The inverse relationship observed between the biochemical activity and the pK_a of a series of benzylamines led to the hypothesis that the non-protonated form of benzylamine might be the active inhibitor species at PNMT.55 However, there were two obvious problems with this hypothesis. First, the strong correlation between the σ value of the aromatic substituent and the pK_a of the benzylamines suggested that the electron-withdrawing substituents may facilitate the binding of benzylamines to PNMT not by lowering the pK_a , but by promoting an interaction between an electron deficient aromatic ring and the PNMT active site. Analysis of the X-ray crystal structure of hPNMT co-crystallized with THIQ inhibitors (which are conformationally constrained benzylamines) indicates that a π stacking interaction between the aromatic ring of THIQs (and by extension benzylamines) and Phe182 may be source of the enhanced potency of inhibitors having electron-withdrawing aromatic substituents. Secondly, even in the most thorough study of substituted benzylamines, the pK_a range was narrow and under the assay conditions $(pH = 8.0)$ all of the compounds studied existed primarily as the protonated species. 55

This study of 3-mono-, di- and trifluoromethyl-THIQs compares compounds having a broad range of p*K*^a values significantly above and below the pH of the assay, and is thus superior for determining the ionization state in which these compounds bind. Compounds that are either protonated under the assay conditions, such as 3-methyl-THIQs **11d** and **15d** (Table 4), or are primarily neutral under the assay conditions, such as 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs **11b** and **15b** are highly potent inhibitors, indicating that THIQ inhibitors can bind to PNMT as either the protonated or neutral species. This is not inconsistent with the X-ray crystal structures of hPNMT that indicates that the THIQ amine could interact with Glu219 and Asp267 by either hydrogen bonding in its neutral form or through electrostatic interactions in its protonated form. The observed decrease in PNMT inhibitory potency of the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs does not appear to be due to the fact that they are primarily neutral at physiological pH, since we do not observe a significant drop in the inhibitory potency of the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs.

It is well established that an increase in steric bulk at the 3-position of THIQ results in a decrease in PNMT inhibitory potency, 48 as illustrated by the biochemical results from a study of 7bromo- and 7-nitro-THIQs having increasingly larger alkyl groups in the 3-position (Table 4, compounds **11d**–**f** and **15d**–**f**). However, when the 3-fluoroalkyl-7-substituted-THIQs (**11a**– **c** and **15a**–**c**) are compared to the corresponding 3-alkyl-THIQs (**11d**–**f** and **15d**–**f**), a

correlation between the steric bulk of the 3-substituent [according to the Charton, 45 Taft (E_s), ⁴⁶ or Dubois $(E_s')^{47}$ parameters] and PNMT inhibitory potency is not observed (Table 4).

Docking studies suggest that this is because these steric parameters measure size only, without taking the geometry of the group or the electrostatic properties of the 3-substituent into account. Analysis of 7-bromo-3-mono-, di-, and trifluoromethyl-THIQ (**11a**–**c**) docked into the active site of hPNMT (Figure 3) suggests that the interaction of the 3-substituents of **11a**–**c** with Tyr222 dictates their relative potencies at hPNMT. The docking of 7-bromo-3-fluoromethyl-THIQ with hPNMT shows that the hydrogens on the fluoromethyl group are positioned in the face of Tyr222 while the fluorine is positioned away (Figure 3B). This result is supported by the co-crystallization of **14a** and **17a** with hPNMT, which show that the fluoromethyl groups of these compounds are positioned in the same manner as predicted by the docking study.⁵⁶ A similar result is observed when 7-bromo-3-difluoromethyl-THIQ (**11b**) is docked into the active site of hPNMT (Figure 3C). The hydrogen of the difluoromethyl group is positioned in the face of Tyr222 while the two fluorines are positioned away.

When 7-bromo-3-trifluoromethyl-THIQ (**11c**) is docked into the active site of hPNMT, a negative steric interaction is observed between one of the fluorines and Tyr222 (Figure 3D), resulting in a translational shift of **11c** in the binding pocket approximately 0.3 Å away from Tyr222,57 relative to **11a** and **11b** (Figure 3A). It is also likely that electrostatic repulsion between the partially negatively charged fluorine atom and the π cloud of Tyr222 is contributing to this move away from Tyr222. All of the X-ray crystal structures reported to date indicate that it is not energetically favorable for Tyr222 to change its position in order to avoid this negative interaction as its position in the active site is stabilized by a hydrogen bond with Tyr27 and hydrophobic interactions with Leu229 and Ala224.²⁵⁻²⁸ Docking studies of 3mono-, di-, and trifluoromethyl-THIQs having various hydrophilic and lipophilic 7 substituents produced similar results to those obtained from the docking studies of the 7-bromo analogs in Figure 3. The 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs are shifted farther away from Tyr222 than the 3-mono- and difluoromethyl-THIQs. This is most likely preventing the THIQ amine of the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs from making optimal interactions with Glu219. It is also possible that this shift in the active site could be preventing optimal π -stacking interactions between the aromatic ring of the 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs and Phe182. Clarification of this hypothesis awaits co-crystallization of 3-mono-, di-, and trifluoromethyl-THIQs having the same 7 substituent bound to hPNMT.

Conclusions

By varying the extent of fluorination on a series of highly potent, but nonselective 3-methyl-THIQ inhibitors of PNMT, we have dramatically increased their selectivity while maintaining their potency. This increase in selectivity (decrease in α)-adrenoceptor affinity) is apparently due to a decrease in the p*K*^a of the THIQ amine resulting from β-fluorination and seems to be the first successful application of this approach for the design of selective pharmacological agents. Affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor is dependent on the extent of fluorination, thus the 3difluoromethyl- and 3-trifluoromethyl-THIQs have much less affinity for the α ₂-adrenoceptor than the 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs. However, increased fluorination also results in a reduction in PNMT inhibitory potency, apparently due to steric and electrostatic factors. 3- Difluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs have the proper balance of both steric and pK_a properties and thus have enhanced selectivity versus the corresponding 3-fluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs and enhanced PNMT inhibitory potency versus the corresponding 3-trifluoromethyl-7 substituted-THIQs. The observed superiority of the 3-difluoromethyl-THIQs can be summed up with a simple porridge analogy from the fairytale *Goldilocks and the Three Bears*. ²⁹ While the 3-fluoromethyl-THIQs were too potent (too hot) at the α_2 -adrenoceptor and the 3trifluoromethyl-THIQs were not potent enough (too cold) at PNMT, the 3-difluoromethyl-

THIQs are just right. They are both highly potent at PNMT due to minimal negative steric interaction with Tyr222 and highly selective due to low affinity for the α_2 -adrenoceptor.

Experimental

All reagents and solvents were of reagent grade or were purified by standard methods before use. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus calibrated with known compounds. Proton $({}^{1}H NMR)$ and carbon $({}^{13}C NMR)$ nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were taken on a Bruker DRX-400 or a Bruker AM-500 spectrophotometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Ribermag R 10-10 mass spectrophotometer. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230– 400 mesh) supplied by Universal Adsorbents, Atlanta, Georgia.

Anhydrous methanol and ethanol were used unless stated otherwise, and were prepared by distillation over magnesium. Other solvents were routinely distilled prior to use. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether $(Et₂O)$ were distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl. Hexanes refers to the mixture of hexane isomers (bp 40–70 °C) and brine refers to a saturated solution of NaCl. All reactions that required anhydrous conditions were performed under a positive nitrogen or argon flow, and all glassware was either oven-dried or flame-dried before use. [*methyl*-³H]AdoMet and [³H]clonidine were obtained from PerkinElmer (Boston, MA).

Radiochemical Assay of PNMT Inhibitors

A typical assay mixture consisted of 25 μL of 0.5 M phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), 25 μL of 50 μM unlabeled AdoMet, 5 μL of [*methyl*-³H]AdoMet, containing approximately 3 × 10⁵ dpm (specific activity approximately 15 Ci/mmol), 25 μL of substrate solution (phenylethanolamine), 25 μL of inhibitor solution, 25 μL of enzyme preparation (containing 30 ng hPNMT and 25 μg of bovine serum albumin), and sufficient water to achieve a final volume of 250 μL. After incubation for 30 min at 37 °C, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 250 μL of 0.5 M borate buffer (pH 10.0) and was extracted with 2 mL of toluene/ isoamyl alcohol (7:3). A 1 mL portion of the organic layer was removed, transferred to a scintillation vial and diluted with cocktail for counting. The mode of inhibition was ascertained to be competitive in all cases reported in Tables 2-4 by examination of the correlation coefficients (r_2) for the fit routines as calculated in the Enzyme Kinetics module (version 1.1) in SigmaPlot (version 7.0).³² While all K_i values reported were calculated using competitive kinetics, it should be noted that there was not always a great difference between the r^2 values for the competitive model versus the non-competitive model. All assays were run in duplicate with 3 inhibitor concentrations over a 5-fold range. K_i values were determined by a hyperbolic fit of the data using the Single Substrate – Single Inhibitor routine in the Enzyme Kinetics module (version 1.1) in SigmaPlot (version 7.0). For inhibitors with apparent IC_{50} values less than 0.1 μM (as determined by a preliminary screen of the compounds to be assayed), the Enzyme Kinetics Tight Binding Inhibition routine was used to calculate the K_i values.

α2-adrenoceptor Radioligand Binding Assay

The radioligand receptor binding assay was performed according to the method of U'Prichard et al.29 Male Sprague-Dawley rats were decapitated, and the cortexes were dissected out and homogenized in 20 volumes (w/v) of ice-cold 50 mM Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.7 at 25 °C). Homogenates were centrifuged thrice for 10 min at $50,000 \times g$ with resuspension of the pellet in fresh buffer between spins. The final pellet was homogenized in 200 volumes (w/v) of icecold 50 mM Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.7 at 25 °C). Incubation tubes containing $[3H]$ clonidine (specific activity approximately 55 Ci/mmol, final concentration 2.0 nM), various concentrations of drugs and an aliquot of freshly resuspended tissue $(800 \,\mu L)$ in a final volume

of 1 mL were used. Tubes were incubated at 25 \degree C for 30 min and the incubation was terminated by rapid filtration under vacuum through GF/B glass fiber filters. The filters were rinsed with three 5 mL washes of ice-cold 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.7 at 25 °C). The filters were counted in vials containing premixed scintillation cocktail. Non-specific binding was defined as the concentration of bound ligand in the presence of 2 μM of phentolamine. All assays were run in quadruplicate with 5 inhibitor concentrations over a 16-fold range. IC_{50} values were determined by a log-probit analysis of the data and K_i values were determined by the equation $K_i = IC_{50} / (1 + [Clonidine] / K_D)$, as all Hill coefficients were approximately equal to 1.

Molecular Modeling

Connolly surfaces were generated in SYBYL[®] on a Silicon Graphics Octane workstation.⁵⁸ Docking of the various inhibitors into the PNMT active site was performed using AutoDock 3.0.59 The default settings for AutoDock 3.0 were used. The compound to be docked was initially overlayed with the cocrystallized ligand SK&F 64139 (**6**) and minimized with the Tripos force field. The docking of inhibitors into the hPNMT active site was performed on the *R*-enantiomer as a previous study on 3-substituted-THIQs indicated that the *R*-enantiomer is preferred over the *S*-enantiomer in the hPNMT active site.⁶⁰Figure 3 was drawn with Molscript⁶¹ and Raster3D.⁶²

(±)-Methyl *N***-(1-difluoro-3-phenyl-prop-2-yl) carbamate (31)**

Difluoroacetonitrile (29) was prepared according to a procedure reported by Swarts.³¹ Difluoroacetamide (28, 2.30g, 24.2 mmol) and $P_2O₅$ (5.00 g, 17.6 mmol) were stirred to a homogeneous mixture in a 50 mL RB flask equipped with a short path distillation head. Et₂O (5 mL) was placed in the collection flask, which was cooled to −78 °C. The product (**29**) boils at 22 °C, so a tygon tube was fitted to the end of the distillation head and connected to a pipet to bubble the product directly into the $Et₂O$ in the collection bulb. This solution of difluoroacetonitrile (29) in Et₂O was diluted with additional Et₂O (15 mL) and warmed to -40 $°C. A$ solution of 1M benzylmagnesium chloride in Et₂O (27.0 mL, 27.0 mmol) was added dropwise over 30 min. The solution was stirred for 30 min at −40 °C and then allowed to warm to −20 °C and stirring was continued for 1.5 h. A grey precipitate (iminium salt) formed and the reaction mixture was transferred to a solution of $NaBH₄$ (1.51 g, 40.0 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) at −20 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 1 h and at 0 \degree C for an additional 1 h. 3N HCl (10 mL) was slowly added to the reaction mixture, which was then concentrated to approximately 20 mL. 3N HCl (30 mL) was added and the acidic aqueous mixture was washed with Et₂O (2×100 mL). The aqueous solution was made basic with 4N NaOH and extracted with Et₂O (4×75 mL). The combined organic extracts from the basic aqueous solution were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous K_2CO_3 . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield crude 1,1-difluoro-3-phenyl-2-aminopropane (**30**) as a dark oily residue (1.12 g, 6.54 mmol.): 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.4–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.71–5.41 (m, 1H), 3.35–3.15 (m, 1H), 3.00–2.59 (m, 2H). This crude product was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) and pyridine (5 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Methyl chloroformate (0.61 mL, 7.9 mmol) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. Thin layer chromatography in hexanes/acetone (1:1), with visualization by ninhydrin staining, showed the presence of starting material so an additional aliquot of methyl chloroformate (0.40 mL, 5.2 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for 4 h at ambient temperature. Ice-cold water (25 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. The mixture was washed with 3N HCl $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ and brine (30 mL) . The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a yellow oil. The oil was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (6:1) followed by recrystallization from EtOAc/hexanes to yield **31** as white crystals (1.26 g, 5.50 mmol, 23% from **28**): mp 83–85 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.36–7.23 (m, 5H), 5.83 (t, *J* = 55.7 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (b, 1H), 4.26 (b, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.05–2.82 (m, 2H); 13C NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 156.9, 136.2, 129.5, 129.2, 127.5, 114.9 (t, *J* = 245 Hz), 54.2 (t, *J* = 45 Hz), 52.9, 34.4; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}F_2NO_3 (MH^+)$ 230.0993, obsd 230.0991.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (32)**

Carbamate **31** (1.40 g, 6.11 mmol) was dissolved in polyphosphoric acid (PPA, 25 g) and heated to 120 °C. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction was poured onto ice water (100 mL) and stirred vigorously for 5 min. This aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The solvent was removed to yield an off-white solid, which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with EtOAc/hexanes (1:1) followed by recrystallization from hexanes to yield **32** as white crystals (840 mg, 4.26 mmol, 70%): mp 98–100 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.42– 7.38 (m, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (b, 1H), 5.88–5.59 (m, 1H), 3.96 (b, 1H), 3.31– 3.06 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.2, 135.9, 133.3, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 115.3 (t, *J* = 245 Hz), 53.1 (t, *J* = 25 Hz), 27.5 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz); HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}F_2NO$ (MH⁺) 198.0730, obsd 198.0759.

General Procedure for Lactam Reduction. Synthesis of 11b–25b, 14c, 17c, 23c, and 25c (Selected procedure for 19b)

Lactam 32 (130 mg, 0.66 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 mL) and 1M BH₃·THF (4.4 mL, 4.4 mmol) was added. The solution was heated to reflux for 4 h, cooled to ambient temperature, and MeOH (15 mL) was added dropwise. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and to the remaining residue a solution of MeOH (15 mL) and 6N HCl (15 mL) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h and the MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. Water (25 mL) was added to the mixture, which was then made basic (pH \approx 10) with 10% NaOH. The basic solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4×30 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the free amine, which often required purification by flash chromatography eluting with EtOAc/hexanes. The free amine was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ or Et₂O and dry HCl_(g) or HBr_(g) was bubbled through the solution to form the hydrochloride or hydrobromide salt, which was recrystallized from MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, EtOH/Et₂O or EtOH/hexanes.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahyrdoisoquinoline hydrochloride (19b·HBr)

The hydrobromide salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **19b**·HBr as white crystals (122 mg, 0.46 mmol, 70%). mp 242–244 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.37– 7.29 (m, 4H), 6.51–6.29 (m, 1H), 4.59–4.49 (m, 1H), 4.22–4.11 (m, 2H), 3.34–3.18 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 129.4, 128.8, 128.1, 127.2, 127.1, 126.2, 113.6 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 54.4 (t, *J* = 22 Hz), 44.9, 24.5 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz); HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}F_2N$ (MH⁺) 184.0938, obsd 184.0931. Anal. (C₁₀H₁₂BrF₂N) C, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-nitro-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (33)**

Lactam **32** (560 mg, 2.84 mmol) was dissolved in concentrated H_2SO_4 (8 mL) and cooled to 0° C. Potassium nitrate (314 mg, 3.12 mmol) was added in small portions to the solution, which was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The mixture was poured slowly onto ice (50 g) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:1). Recrystallization from CHCl3/hexanes yielded **33** as light yellow crystals (520 mg, 2.15 mmol, 76%). mp 199–201 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.45 (b, 1H), 8.25– 8.23 (m, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.92–5.69 (m, 1H), 3.96–3.88 (m, 1H), 3.34–3.15 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 162.8, 147.2, 143.2, 130.0, 129.1, 126.5, 122.5, 115.0 $(t, J = 246 \text{ Hz})$, 51.5 $(t, J = 24 \text{ Hz})$, 26.2; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₉F₂N₂O₃ (MH⁺) 243.0581, obsd 243.0573.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (15b·HCl)

Compound **33** (490 mg, 2.02 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **15b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (1:1). The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **15b**·HCl as white crystals (382 mg, 1.44 mmol, 72%): mp 102–104 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 10.44 (b, 1H), 8.28 (s, 1H), 8.16–8.14 (m, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.70–6.43 (m, 1H), 4.57–4.47 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.18 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.13 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 146.6, 138.8, 131.1, 130.8, 122.6, 122.3, 114.1 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 52.8 (t, *J* $= 24$ Hz), 44.4, 24.8; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₁₁F₂N₂O₂ (MH⁺) 229.0788, obsd 229.0781. Anal. (C₁₀H₁₁ClF₂N₂O₂) C, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-bromo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (11b·HCl)

THIQ **15b**·HCl (109 mg, 0.413 mmol) in dry EtOH (20 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd/ C (50 mg) for 2.5 h at 50 psi. The suspension was filtered through Celite and washed with EtOH. This solution was evaporated to dryness to yield the crude aniline, which was dissolved in a solution of 48% HBr (1.0 mL) and water (3.0 mL) . A solution of sodium nitrite (32.0 mg) , 0.464 mmol) and water (1 mL) was added dropwise to the HBr solution. After 30 min, excess $HNO₂$ was destroyed by the addition of urea (25 mg). The diazonium salt solution was added to a mixture of copper(I) bromide (180 mg, 1.25 mmol), 48% HBr (2.5 mL) and water (5.0 mL). The reaction was warmed to 75–80 °C and was stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was stirred overnight at ambient temperature and then cautiously made basic with a 50% NaOH. The formation of blue copper salts was observed at this time. Ethyl acetate (50 mL) was added and the resulting solution was filtered through Celite and washed with EtOAc (3×20 mL). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous K_2CO_3 . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a dark oil which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc $(1:1)$. The free amine was dissolved in Et₂O and dry HCl $_{(g)}$ was bubbled through the solution to form the hydrochloride salt, which was recrystallized from MeOH/Et₂O to yield 11b·HCl as white crystals (86 mg, 0.29 mmol, 69%): mp 240–242 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.35 (b, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.68–6.40 (m, 1H), 4.42–4.32 (m, 2H), 4.17–4.09 (m, 1H), 3.14– 2.96 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 131.6, 131.4, 130.8, 130.1, 129.6, 120.0, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 22 Hz), 44.1, 24.1; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}BrF_2N$ (MH⁺) 262.0043, obsd 262.0035. Anal. (C₁₀H₁₁BrClF₂N) C, H, N.

(±)-3-Fluoromethyl-7-cyano-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrobromide (18b·HBr)

THIQ **15b**·HCl (150 mg, 0.548 mmol) in dry EtOH (20 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd/ C (50 mg) for 2.5 h at 50 psi. The suspension was filtered through Celite and washed with EtOH. This solution was evaporated to dryness to yield the crude aniline, which was dissolved in a solution of concentrated HCl (1.6 mL) and water (2 mL) and cooled in an ice bath. A solution of sodium nitrite (41.5 mg, 0.601 mmol) in water (2 mL) was added dropwise. After 30 min, excess $HNO₂$ was destroyed by the addition of urea (20 mg). In a separate flask, a solution of NaOH (66 mg) in water (1 mL) and KCN (179 mg) water (5 mL) was prepared. Benzene (5 mL) was added to the basic KCN solution and the suspension was cooled to 0° C. A solution of $Ni₂SO₄·6H₂O$ (143 g, 0.55 mmol) and water (1.5 mL) was added to the basic KCN solution and the color of the solution changed to yellow-brown. The diazonium salt solution was added dropwise to this yellow-brown solution. Brisk evolution of $N₂$ was observed and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature over a period of 2 h.

The mixture was warmed to 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, cooled to ambient temperature, made basic with 10% NaOH, and filtered through Celite. The Celite was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The organic phase was removed and the aqueous filtrate was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 50) mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous K_2CO_3 . Removal of the solvent yielded a dark oil which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with EtOAc/hexane (5:1) to yield **18b** as a pale brown solid. The free amine was dissolved in Et₂O and dry $HBr_{(g)}$ was bubbled through the solution to form the hydrobromide salt, which was recrystallized from MeOH/Et₂O to yield 18b·HBr as off-white crystals (31.1mg, 0.107 mmol, 20%) mp 246–248 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 9.76 (b, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.63–6.36 (m, 1H), 4.50–4.41 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.30–3.03 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 136.6, 131.4, 131.0, 130.6, 130.6, 118.8, 114.3 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 23 Hz), 44.5, 25.1; HRMS (FAB^+) m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_{11}F_2N_2$ (MH⁺) 209.0890, obsd 209.0875. Anal. (C₁₁H₁₁BrF₂N₂) C, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-iodo-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (34)**

Lactam **33** (900 mg, 4.56 mmol) in dry MeOH (75 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd/C (120 mg) for 3.5 h at 50 psi. The suspension was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness to yield the aniline intermediate as an oily residue. CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) was added to the oily residue and a white precipitate formed. A solution of concentrated HCl (1.6 mL) and water (2 mL) was added, which dissolved the precipitate. This biphasic mixture was cooled to 0 °C and a solution of sodium nitrite (282 mg, 4.09 mmol) in water (3 mL) was added. After stirring for 15 min, excess $HNO₂$ was destroyed by the addition of urea (20 mg). The diazonium salt solution was added in small portions to a vigorously stirred biphasic mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL), *K*ⁱ (1.30 g, 29.0 mmol), CuI (50 mg, 0.26 mmol) and water (8 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The resulting brown suspension was diluted with $CH₂Cl₂$ (75 mL). The aqueous phase was removed and the organic phase washed with 10% $Na₂S₂O_{3(aq)}$ (3 × 40 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:1) and recrystallized from CHCl3/hexanes to yield lactam **34** as a white solid (950 mg, 2.94 mmol, 65%) mp 144–146 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.85–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.84–5.61 (m, 1H), 4.02– 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.25–3.02 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 163.7, 141.6, 137.0, 134.6, 129.5, 129.3, 114.7 (t, *J* = 246 Hz), 92,4, 52.6 (t, *J* = 25 Hz), 26.9 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz); HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₉F₂N₂O₃ (MH⁺) 323.9697, obsd 323.9669.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-iodo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (13b·HCl)

Compound **34** (490 mg, 2.02 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **13b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc $(3:1)$. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from MeOH/Et₂O to yield **13b**·HCl as white crystals (112 mg, 0.324 mmol, 89%): mp dec 282–284 °C; ¹H NMR (400) MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 10.32 (b, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.67–6.39 (m, 1H), 4.39–4.29 (m, 2H), 4.16–4.07 (m, 1H), 3.11–2.95 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 136.5, 135.4, 131.6, 131.4, 130.4, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 92.7, 53.0 $(t, J = 24 \text{ Hz})$, 43.8, 24.2; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₁₁F₂IN (MH⁺) 309.9904, obsd 309.9901. Anal. $(C_{10}H_{11}CIF_2IN) C$, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-trifluoromethyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (35)**

To a solution of **34** (370 mg, 1.15 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added methyl 2,2-difluoro-2- (fluorosulfonyl) acetate (0.32 mL, 2.5 mmol) and CuI (252 mg, 1.32 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, which

was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2×25 mL). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography eluting with CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O (3:1) to give a mixture of **34** and **35**. The mixture was separated by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc $(3:1)$ to yield 35 as a white solid $(177 \text{ mg}, 0.67 \text{ mmol } 58\%)$; ¹H NMR (400 m) MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.40 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (b, 1H), 5.90–5.61 (m, 1H), 4.08–3.96 (m, 1H), 3.39–3.15 (m, 2H); HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{11}H_9F_5NO$ (MH⁺) 266.0604, obsd 266.0598.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (12b·HCl)

Compound **35** (490 mg, 2.02 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **12b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:1). The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from $MeOH/Et_2O$ to yield **13b**·HCl as white crystals (125 mg, 0.435 mmol, 82%): mp 248–250 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 10.38 (b, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69–6.42 (m, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.26–4.13 (m, 1H), 3.28–3.10 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 137.2, 136.2, 130.3, 129.1 (q, *J* = 32.4 Hz), 124.5 (q, *J* = 272 Hz), 123.6 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 123.4 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 117.1 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 55.3 (t, *J* = 23 Hz), 47.8, 27.7 (t, *J* = 3.7 Hz); HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₁F₅N (MH⁺) 252.0812, obsd 252.0809. Anal. $(C_{11}H_{11}CIF_5N) C, H, N.$

(±)-7-Chlorosulfonyl-3-difluoromethyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (36b)**

Lactam **32** (1.00 g, 5.07 mmol) was treated with chlorosulfonic acid (10 mL) and heated to 50 °C for 16 h. The solution was cooled and carefully added via pipet onto ice (100 mL). A white precipitate formed. The mixture was extracted with $CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 50$ mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a light brown residue, which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with EtOAc to yield a white solid. Recrystallization from EtOAc/ hexanes yielded **36b** as white crystals (1.05 g, 3.55 mmol, 70%) mp 165–167 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl³ δ 8.79 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.18–8.16 (m, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (b, 1H), 5.94–5.65 (m, 1H), 4.15–4.02 (m, 1H), 3.46–3.17 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 164.0, 144.5, 137.8, 129.6, 128.4, 127.7, 125.1, 115.2 (t, *J* = 245 Hz), 51.6 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 26.2; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₉ClF₂NO₃S (MH⁺) 295.9960, obsd 295.9957.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-methylsulfonyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (37)**

Sulfonyl chloride **36b** (228 mg, 0.77 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 mL) and cooled to 0 ° C. Hydrazine (0.072 mL, 2.32 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution, which was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The solution was cooled to 0° C and a white precipitate (hydrazidosulfone) was collected by filtration. The precipitate was dissolved in EtOH (5 mL), then NaOAc (0.316 g, 3.85 mmol) and iodomethane (0.240 mL, 3.85 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at reflux overnight. Water (30 mL) was added and the solution was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a white solid, which was recrystallized from EtOAc/hexane to yield **37** as white needles (150 mg, 0.55 mmol, 71%) mp 215–217 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃ δ 8.68 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.12–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (b, 1H), 5.88–5.65 (m, 1H), 4.06–4.01 (m, 1H), 3.42–3.19 (m, 2H), 3.12 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 163.0, 141.0, 140.6, 131.1, 129.2, 128.9, 127.6, 114.5 (t, *J* = 246 Hz), 52.5 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 44.2, 27.3; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for C**11**H**11**F2NO3S (MH+) 276.0506, obsd 276.0492.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-methylsulfonyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (20b·HCl)

Compound **37** (150 mg, 0.55 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **20b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:2). The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **20b**·HCl as white crystals (120 mg, 0.40 mmol, 74%): mp 243–245 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 10.32 (b, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.69–6.42 (m, 1H), 4.53–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.24–4.16 (m, 1H), 3.30–3.11 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 139.8, 136.8, 130.5, 130.5, 126.2, 125.8, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 52.9 $(t, J = 23 \text{ Hz})$, 44.5, 43.9, 24.8; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₄F₂NO₂S (MH⁺) 262.0713, obsd 262.0695. Anal. $(C_{11}H_{14}CIF_2NO_2S)$ C, H, N.

(±)-7-Aminosulfonyl-3-difluoromethyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2*H***)-one (38)**

Sulfonyl chloride **36b** (200 mg, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL), concentrated ammonium hydroxide (5 mL) was added, and the reaction was stirred overnight at ambient temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between water (50 mL) and EtOAc (50 mL). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The organic layers were pooled, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting oil was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **38** as white crystals (180 mg, 0.65 mmol, 96%): mp 205–207 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.04–8.02 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.01–5.78 (m, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.06–4.00 $(m, 1H), 3.46-3.20 (m, 2H);$ 13 C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 164.5, 143.0, 140.6, 129.5, 128.6, 128.3, 124.8, 115.3 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 51.5 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 25.8 (t, *J* = 3.8 Hz); HRMS (FAB+) m/ z calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}F_2N_2O_3S$ (MH⁺) 277.0458, obsd 277.0456.

(±)-7-Aminosulfonyl-3-difluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (16b·HCl)

Compound **38** (150 mg, 0.55 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **16b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The crude amine was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:2). The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **16b**·HCl as white crystals (120 mg, 0.40 mmol, 74%): mp dec 239–242 °C; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ δ 10.30 (b, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (b, 2H), 6.67–6.45 (m, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 4.24–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.32–3.09 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 143.1, 134.7, 130.0, 129.8, 125.1, 124.2, 114.2 (t, *J* $= 243$ Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 44.5, 24.6; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₀H₁₃F₂N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 263.0666, obsd 263.0668. Anal. (C₁₀H₁₃ClF₂N₂O₂S) C, H, N.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 39b, 40b, 45b, 39c, 40c, and 45c

Sulfonyl chloride **36b** or **36c** (between 0.25 and 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (20 mL) and cooled to 0° C. The requisite amine (3 equivalents) and pyridine (3 equivalents) were dissolved in CHCl₃ (2 mL) and added dropwise to the sulfonyl chloride solution. The reaction was stirred for 12 h at ambient temperature and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) and this solution was washed with 3 N HCl (2×30 mL) and brine (30 mL), and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (if required), followed by recrystallization from EtOAc/hexanes or EtOH/hexanes.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-2,2,2-trifluoroethylaminosulfonyl)-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1(2***H***) one (39b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (170 mg, 0.58 mmol) was converted to **39b** (140 mg, 0.39 mmol, 68%): mp 208–210 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.43 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01–7.99 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.79 (m, 1H), 4.07–4.00 (m, 1H), 3.67 (q, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.46–3.22 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 164.3, 141.4, 140.2, 130.1, 128.9, 128.6, 125.4, 124.0 (q, *J* = 278 Hz), 115.2 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 51.5 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 43.5 (q, *J* = 35 Hz), 25.8 (t, *J* = 3.9 Hz); HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{12}H_{12}F_5N_2O_3S$ (MH⁺) 359.0489, obsd 359.0485.

(±)-7-(*N***-2,2,2-Trifluoroethylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1(2***H***) one (39c)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36c** (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) was converted to **39c** (85 mg, 0.23 mmol, 71%): mp 203–205 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.60 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (q, *J* = 8.0, 2H), 3.57–3.28 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 165.6, 142.2, 142.0, 131.8, 130.0, 129.9, 127.0, 126.8 (q, *J* = 281 Hz), 125.6 (q, *J* = 281 Hz), 55.1 (q, *J* = 33 Hz), 45.1 (q, $J = 35$ Hz), 27.1.

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Chlorophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-difluoromethyl-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (40b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (200 mg, 0.68 mmol) was converted to **40b** (245 mg, 0.63 mmol, 94%): mp 191–193 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) 8.36 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.86–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.09 $(m, 2H)$, 5.98–5.75 $(m, 1H)$, 4.04–3.96 $(m, 1H)$, 3.40–3.16 $(m, 2H)$; ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 164.2, 141.6, 138.7, 136.1, 130.4, 129.8, 128.8, 128.8, 128.4, 125.7, 122.2, 115.2 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 51.4 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 25.8 (t, *J* = 3.8 Hz).

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Chlorophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (40c)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36c** (300 mg, 0.960 mmol) was converted to **40c** (325 mg, 0.81 mmol, 84%): mp 230–232 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.34 (br s, 1H), 7.83–7.81 (m, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (br s, 1H), 4.33–4.22 (m, 1H), 3.48–3.17 (m, 2H); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.4, 140.3, 139.3, 135.4, 132.0, 131.5, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6, 127.6, 124.8 $(q, J = 282 \text{ Hz})$, 123.7, 52.4 $(q, J = 32 \text{ Hz})$, 26.8; HRMS (FAB⁺) calcd for $C_{16}H_{13}CIF_3N_2O_3S$ (MH⁺) 405.0288, obsd 405.0286.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-4-nitrophenylaminosulfonyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (45b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (175 mg, 0.59 mmol) was converted to **45b** (135 mg, 0.34 mmol, 57%): mp dec 192–194 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) 8.45 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.16–8.13 (m, 2H), 8.03–8.01 (m, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 2H), 5.98–5.75 (m, 1H), 4.05–3.95 (m, 1H), 3.41–3.16 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ.164.1, 143.7, 143.4, 142.1, 138.7, 130.4, 129.0, 128.7, 125.7, 124.7, 118.2, 115.2 $(t, J = 244 \text{ Hz})$, 51.4, 25.8; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₆H₁₄F₂N₃O₅S (MH⁺) 398.0622, obsd 398.0624.

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Nitrophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (45c)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36c** (300 mg, 0.96 mmol) was converted to 45c (370 mg, 0.89 mmol, 93%): mp 118–120 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.44–7.65 $(m, 4H)$, 7.54 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (m, 2H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 3.60–3.25 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD) δ 164.2, 142.5, 141.9, 131.6, 131.0, 129.3, 129.0, 127.0, 126.8 (q, *J* = 281 Hz), 126.1, 125.2, 118.7, 51.0 (q, *J* = 31 Hz), 26.0; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{12}F_3N_3O_5S$ (MH⁺) 416.0528, obsd 416.0536.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 41b–44b and 43c

Sulfonyl chloride **36b** or **36c** (between 0.5 and 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in a biphasic mixture of EtOAc (15 mL) and saturated Na_2CO_3 (10 mL). The requisite amine (3 equivalents) was added to the reaction, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h. The organic phase was removed, washed with 3 N HCl (3×50 mL) and brine (30 mL), and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography (if required) eluting with hexanes/EtOAc, followed by recrystallization from EtOAc/hexanes or EtOH/hexanes.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-ethylaminosulfonyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (41b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (180 mg, 0.61 mmol) was converted to **41b** (160 mg, 0.53 mmol, 86%): mp 199–201 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.42 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.97 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.79 (m, 1H), 4.08–3.98 (m, 1H), 3.46–3.21 (m, 2H), 2.93 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.08 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 164.4, 141.0, 140.0, 130.3, 128.8, 128.5, 125.5, 115.2 (q, *J* = 244 Hz), 51.5 $(t, J = 24 \text{ Hz})$, 37.5, 25.8 $(t, J = 3.9 \text{ Hz})$, 13.8; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₅F₂N₂O₃S (MH+) 305.0771, obsd 305.0753.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-propylaminosulfonyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (42b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (180 mg, 0.61 mmol) was converted to **42b** (155 mg, 0.49 mmol, 80%): mp 197–199 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.42 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.97 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.79 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.99 (m, 1H), 3.46–3.21 (m, 2H), 2.84 (m, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.45 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 164.5, 140.9, 140.1, 130.2, 128.8, 128.4, 125.5, 115.3 $(q, J = 244 \text{ Hz})$, 51.5 (t, $J = 24 \text{ Hz}$), 44.4, 25.8 (t, $J = 3.8 \text{ Hz}$), 22.5, 9.9; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}F_2N_2O_3S$ (MH⁺) 319.0928, obsd 319.0931.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-3-methoxypropylaminosulfonyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***) one (43b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (180 mg, 0.61 mmol) was converted to **43b** (185 mg, 0.53 mmol, 87%): mp 143–145 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.42 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.97 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.79 (m, 1H), 4.08–4.00 (m, 1H), 3.46–3.21 (m, 2H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 3.28, (s, 3H), 2.96 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), $1.73-1.68$ (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 164.4, 141.0, 139.9, 130.3, 128.8, 128.5, 125.5, 115.2 (q, *J* = 244 Hz), 69.2, 57.3, 51.5 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 46.6, 29.2, 25.8 (t, *J* = 3.8 Hz); HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₉F₂N₂O₄S (MH⁺) 349.1033, obsd 349.1047.

(±)-7-(*N***-3-Methoxypropylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-3,4,-dihydroisoquinolin-1(2***H***) one (43c)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36c** (320 mg, 1.02 mmol) was converted to **43c** (345 mg, 0.94 mmol, 92%): mp 146–147 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.49 (s,

1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.48–4.37 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.39–3.36 (m, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.95 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.73–1.67 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 164.1, 140.4, 140.1, 130.6, 128.6, 128.4, 125.6, 124.7 (q, *J* = 283 Hz), 69.2, 57.4, 51.0 (q, $J = 31$ Hz), 39.8, 29.3, 25.6; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₈F₃N₂O₄S (MH+) 367.0939, obsd 367.0943.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-butylaminosulfonyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-1-(2***H***)-one (44b)**

According to the above procedure, sulfonyl chloride **36b** (180 mg, 0.61 mmol) was converted to **44b** (190 mg, 0.57 mmol, 94%): mp 194–196 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 8.41 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.99–7.97 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02–5.79 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.99 (m, 1H), 3.46–3.21 (m, 2H), 2.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.45–1.41 (m, 2H) , 1.34–1.30 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 164.5, 141.0, 140.0, 130.3, 128.8, 128.4, 125.5, 115.3 (q, *J* = 244 Hz), 51.5 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 42.3, 31.3, 25.8 (t, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 19.2, 12.4; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₉F₂N₂O₃S (MH⁺) 333.1084, obsd 333.1086.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-2,2,2-trifluoroethylaminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (14b·HCl)**

Compound **39b** (150 mg, 0.55 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **14b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **14b**·HCl as white crystals (120 mg, 0.40 mmol, 74%): mp 202–204 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 7.84 (s, 2H), 7.84–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (b, 2H), 6.53– 6.31 (m, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 4.26–4.18 (m, 1H), 3.69 (q *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.42–3.23 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 140.3, 134.8, 129.9, 128.6, 126.0, 124.9, 124.0 (q, *J* = 278 Hz), 113.4 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 53.9 (t, *J* = 23 Hz), 44.6, 43.5 (q *J* = 35 Hz), 24.6 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz); HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₄F₅N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 345.0696, obsd 345.0687. Anal. $(C_{12}H_{14}CIF_5N_2O_2S)$ C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-2,2,2-Trifluoroethylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (14c·HCl)**

Compound **39c** (310 mg, 0.83 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **14c** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/Et₂O to yield **14c**·HCl as white crystals (200 mg, 0.51 mmol, 61%): mp dec 230–232 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD) δ 7.80–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.72–4.60 (m, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.61 (g, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.50–3.32 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 142.3, 135.6, 131.8, 130.1, 128.0, 126.7, 125.9 (q, *J* = 280 Hz), 125.2 (q, *J* = 280 Hz), 55.3 (q, *J* = 33 Hz), 46.8, 45.4 (q, $J = 35$ Hz), 26.3; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₃F₆N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 363.0602, obsd 363.0602; Anal. (C₁₂H₁₃ClF₆N₂O₂S) C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Chlorophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-difluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (17b·HCl)**

Compound **40b** (180 mg, 0.47 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **17b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **17b**·HCl as white crystals (150 mg, 0.37 mmol, 79%): mp dec 250–252 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 7.76–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.12– 7.10 (m, 2H), 6.48–6.26 (m, 1H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 4.19–4.09 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.16 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 138.7, 136.1, 135.1, 129.9, 129.6, 128.8, 126.4, 125.4, 121.9, 113.4 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 53.9 (t, *J* = 22 Hz), 44.6, 24.6; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}ClF_2N_2O_2S$ (MH⁺) 373.0589, obsd 373.0574. Anal. ($C_{16}H_{16}Cl_2F_2N_2O_2S$) C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Chlorophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (17c·HCl)**

Compound **40c** (325 mg, 0.804 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **17c** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from $EtOH/Et₂O$ to yield **17c**·HCl as white crystals (288 mg, 0.67 mmol, 84%): mp 162–165 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*⁶ δ 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.72–4.61 (m, 1H), 4.47–4.39 (m, 2H), 3.49 (b, 3H), 3.34–3.13 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*⁶ δ 138.4, 137.0, 135.7, 130.8, 130.4, 129.6, 128.5, 125.8, 125.4, 124.1 (q, *J* = 282 Hz), 121.8, 52.6 (q, *J* = 32 Hz), 44.9, 25.2; HRMS (FAB^+) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{15}CIF_3N_2O_2S$ (MH⁺) 391.0495, obsd 391.0468; Anal. $(C_{16}H_{15}Cl_2F_3N_2O_2S)$ C, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-4-nitrophenylaminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (25b·HCl)**

Compound **45b** (115 mg, 0.29 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **25b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **25b**·HCl as white crystals $(83 \text{ mg}, 0.20 \text{ mmol}, 68\%)$: mp dec $250-252 \text{ °C}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.88–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.33 (m, 2H), 6.49–6.28 (m, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.22–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.38–3.17 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 143.8, 143.3, 138.6, 135.6, 130.1, 128.9, 126.4, 125.5, 124.7, 118.1, 113.3 (t, *J* = 244 Hz), 53.9 (t, *J* = 23 Hz), 44.5, 24.5; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}F_2N_3O_4S$ (MH⁺) 384.0830, obsd 384.0837. Anal. ($C_{16}H_{16}CIF_2N_3O_4S$) C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-4-Nitrophenylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (25c·HCl)**

Compound **45c** (350 mg, 0.85 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **25c** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/Et₂O to yield **25c**·HCl as white crystals (210 mg, 0.48 mmol, 57%): mp 235–236 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CD3OD) δ 8.14–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.93–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.33 $(m, 2H), 4.67-4.61$ $(m, 3H), 3.50-3.28$ $(m, 2H);$ ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 143.8, 143.4, 138.8, 134.7, 130.2, 128.6, 126.6, 125.6, 124.7, 123.3 (q, *J* = 279 Hz), 118.2, 53.2 (q, *J* = 32 Hz), 45.0, 24.5; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{15}F_3N_3O_4S$ (MH⁺) 402.0735, obsd 402.0723; Anal. $(C_{16}H_{15}CIF_3N_3O_4S \cdot EtOH) C$, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(ethylaminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (21b·HCl)

Compound **41b** (140 mg, 0.46 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **21b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **21b**·HCl as white crystals (146 mg, 0.45 mmol, 97%): mp 198–200 °C; ¹H NMR (500) MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* $= 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.66–6.44 (m, 1H), 4.52–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.26–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.81–2.76 (m, 2H), 1.00 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 139.6, 135.3, 130.3, 130.2, 125.8, 125.3, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 44.5, 37.9, 24.7, 15.2; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₂H₁₇F₂N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 291.0979, obsd 291.0956. Anal. $(C_{12}H_{17}CIF_2N_2O_2S) C, H, N.$

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(propylaminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (22b·HCl)

Compound **42b** (140 mg, 0.44 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **22b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes

to yield **22b**·HCl as white crystals (135 mg, 0.40 mmol, 90%): mp 186-188 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 1H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.65–6.42 (m, 1H), 4.52–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.13 (m, 1H), 3.27–3.08 (m, 2H), 2.72– 2.68 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.36 (m, 2H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 139.7, 135.2, 130.3, 130.2, 125.8, 125.3, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 44.7, 44.6, 24.7, 22.8, 11.5; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₉F₂N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 305.1135, obsd 305.1137. Anal. (C₁₃H₁₉ClF₂N₂O₂S) C, H, N.

(±)-3-Difluoromethyl-7-(*N***-3-methoxypropylaminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (23b·HCl)**

Compound **43b** (160 mg, 0.46 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **23b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **23b**·HCl as white crystals (150 mg, 0.40 mmol, 88%): mp 160–162 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.65–6.43 (m, 1H), 4.52–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.29 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 3.27–3.09 (m, 2H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 2.80–2.78 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.59 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 139.5, 135.3, 130.3, 130.2, 125.8, 125.3, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 69.3, 58.3, 53.0 (t, *J* = 24 Hz), 44.6, 29.6, 24.7; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₂₁F₂N₂O₃S (MH⁺) 335.1241, obsd 335.1245. Anal. ($C_{14}H_{21}CIF_2N_2O_3S$) C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-3-Methoxypropylaminosulfonyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (23c)**

Compound **43c** (400 mg, 1.09 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **23c** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The free amine was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **23** as white crystals (297 mg, 0.84 mmol, 77%): mp 95–96 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.0, 1H), 4.15–4.06 (m, 2H), 3.73–3.64 (m, 1H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.14–2.94 (m, 2H), 2.91 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.72–1.66 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD) δ 138.4, 137.2, 136.1, 129.6, 125.9 (q, *J* = 279 Hz), 124.5, 124.2, 69.3, 57.3, 54.3 (q, *J* = 29), 46.3, 39.7, 29.3, 26.8; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{2}0F_{3}N_{2}O_{3}S$ (MH⁺) 353.1147, obsd 353.1136; Anal. ($C_{14}H_{19}F_{3}N_{2}O_{3}S$) C, H, N.

(±)-7-(*N***-Butylaminosulfonyl)-3-difluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline hydrochloride (24b·HCl)**

Compound **44b** (175 mg, 0.53 mmol) was reduced to THIQ **24b** according to the general procedure for lactam reduction. The hydrochloride salt was recrystallized from EtOH/hexanes to yield **24b**·HCl as white crystals (170 mg, 0.48 mmol, 91%): mp 206–208 °C; 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.64–6.42 (m, 1H), 4.52–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.26–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.75– 2.71 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.34 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.21 (m, 2H), 0.82 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 139.6, 135.2, 130.3, 130.2, 125.7, 125.3, 114.2 (t, *J* = 243 Hz), 53.0 (t, *J* = 23 Hz), 44.6, 42.6, 31.5, 24.7, 19.6, 13.9; HRMS (FAB⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₂₁F₂N₂O₂S (MH⁺) 319.1292, obsd 319.1297. Anal. (C₁₄H₂₁ClF₂N₂O₂S) C, H, N.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by NIH Grant HL 34193 and NIH Predoctoral Training Grant GM 07775 (M.R.S.). M.R.S. is also an American Foundation for Pharmaceutical Education predoctoral fellow. We thank David VanderVelde and

Sarah Neuenswander of the University of Kansas Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Laboratory for their assistance. The 500 MHz NMR spectrometer was partially funded by the National Science Foundation Grant CHE-9977422. We thank Gerald Lushington of the University of Kansas Molecular Graphics and Modeling Laboratory and Todd Williams of the University of Kansas Mass Spectrometry Laboratory for their assistance. We also thank Ernst Schonbrunn for assistance with molecular modeling.

References

- 1. The contents of this paper were taken in large part from the Ph.D. dissertation (University of Kansas, 2006) of Mitchell R. Seim.
- 2. Zuccotto F. Pharmacophore Features Distributions in Different Classes of Compounds. J Chem Inf Comput Sci 2003;43:1542–1552. [PubMed: 14502488]
- 3. Fuller RW, Roush BW. Influence of p*K*a on the Methylation of Arylalkylamines by Rabbit Lung *N*-Methyltransferase. Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol 1975;10:735–738. [PubMed: 1153849]
- 4. Fuller, RW.; Molloy, BB.; Parli, CJ. Psychopharmacol Sex Disord Drug Abuse, Proc Symp Congr Coll Int Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Vol. 8. 1973. Effect of β,β-Difluoro Substitution on the Metabolism and Pharmacology of Amphetamines; p. 615-624.
- 5. Upthagrove AL, Nelson WL. Importance of Amine p*K*a and Distribution Coefficient in the Metabolism of Fluorinated Propranolol Analogs: Metabolism by CYP1A2. Drug Metab Dispos 2001;29:1389–95. [PubMed: 11602513]
- 6. Upthagrove AL, Nelson WL. Importance of Amine p*K*a and Distribution Coefficient in the Metabolism of Fluorinated Propranolol Derivatives. Preparation, Identification of Metabolite Regioisomers, and Metabolism by CYP2D6. Drug Metab Dispos 2001;29:1377–88. [PubMed: 11602512]
- 7. The authors report that fluorination led to a decrease in metabolism via CYP2D6. As the potency of these compounds was not reported, it is not possible to ascertain the net effect of fluorination on their selectivity.

BouletSLMathesBMHudziakKJBootJRClugeryAHFindlayJDLavisAAMahadevanSWallaceLFillaS AEffect of hydroxylation and fluorination of aryloxy phenylpropanamine serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors on CYP450 2D6 dependant metabolism. Abstracts of Papers, 228th ACS National MeetingPhiladelphia, PA, United StatesAugust 22–262004MEDI-058

- 8. van Niel MB, Collins I, Beer MS, Broughton HB, Cheng SK, Goodacre SC, Heald A, Locker KL, MacLeod AM, Morrison D, Moyes CR, O'Connor D, Pike A, Rowley M, Russell MG, Sohal B, Stanton JA, Thomas S, Verrier H, Watt AP, Castro JL. Fluorination of 3-(3-(Piperidin-1-yl)propyl)indoles and 3-(3-(Piperazin-1-yl)propyl)indoles Gives Selective Human 5-HT1D Receptor Ligands with Improved Pharmacokinetic Profiles. J Med Chem 1999;42:2087–104. [PubMed: 10377215]
- 9. Saleh S, Aboul-Enein HY, Parhar R, Collison K, Al-Mohanna F. Ca2+-dependent production of reactive oxygen metabolites by human neutrophils in response to fluorinated propranolol analogues. Biochem Pharmacol 2001;61:517–525. [PubMed: 11239494]
- 10. Bohm HJ, Banner D, Bendels S, Kansy M, Kuhn B, Muller K, Obst-Sander U, Stahl M. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry. Chembiochem 2004;5:637–643. [PubMed: 15122635]
- 11. Fluorination of a series of propranolol analogs which act as beta blockers and antioxidants was shown to eliminate their beta blocking effect while maintaining their antioxidant properties. Although this effect was attributed to the stereochemistry of these compounds, the reduction in the p*K*a due to βfluorination likely contributed to the observed decrease in their beta blocking activity. WeglickiWBMakITAboul-EneinHYPreparation, beta blocking effects, and antioxidant activity of fluorinated propranolol. Patent WO97181841997
- 12. Vogt M. The Concentration of Sympathin in Different Parts of the Central Nervous System Under Normal Conditions and After the Administration of Drugs. J Physiol (London) 1954;123:451–81. [PubMed: 13152692]
- 13. Gunne LM. Relative Adrenaline Content in Brain Tissue. Acta Biochim Pol 1962;56:324–333.
- 14. Axelrod J. Purification and Properties of Phenylethanolamine-*N*-Methyltransferase. J Biol Chem 1962;237:1657–1660. [PubMed: 13863458]
- 15. Pendleton RG, Kaiser C, Gessner G. Studies on Adrenal Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase (PNMT) with SK&F 64139, a Selective Inhibitor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1976;197:623–632. [PubMed: 6786]
- 16. Goldstein M, Kinguasa K, Hieble JP, Pendleton RG. Lowering of Blood Pressure in Hypertensive Rats by SK&F 64139 and SK&F 72223. Life Sci 1982;30:1951–1957. [PubMed: 6125862]
- 17. Toomey RE, Horng JS, Hemrick-Luecke SK, Fuller RW. α ₂-Adrenoreceptor Affinity of Some Inhibitors of Norepinephrine *N*-Methyltransferase. Life Sci 1981;29:2467–72. [PubMed: 6119595]
- 18. Goldstein M, Saito M, Lew JY, Hieble JP, Pendleton RG. The Blockade of α -adrenoceptors by the PNMT Inhibitor SK&F 64139. Eur J Pharmacol 1980;67:305–308. [PubMed: 6109637]
- 19. Drew GM. α2-adrenoceptor-blocking Action of the Phenylethanolamine-N-Methyltransferase Inhibitor SK&F 64139. J Pharm Pharmacol 1981;33:187–188. [PubMed: 6116766]
- 20. Pendleton RG, Hieble JP. Studies on the Adrenergic Receptor Specificity of Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase. Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol 1981;34:399–408. [PubMed: 6119751]
- 21. Grunewald GL, Dahanukar VH, Jalluri RK, Criscione KR. Synthesis, Biochemical Evaluation, and Classical and Three-Dimensional Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship Studies of 7- Substituted-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines and Their Relative Affinities Toward Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase and the α₂-adrenoceptor. J Med Chem 1999;42:118–134. [PubMed: 9888838]
- 22. Pendleton RG, Gessner G, Weiner G, Jenkins B, Sawyer J, Bondinell W, Intoccia A. Studies on SK&F 29661, an Organ-Specific Inhibitor of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1979;208:24–30. [PubMed: 215747]
- 23. Grunewald GL, Caldwell TM, Li QF, Criscione KR. Synthesis and Evaluation of 3- Trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines as Selective Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine N-Methyltransferase Versus the α ₂-adrenoceptor. J Med Chem 1999;42:3315– 3323. [PubMed: 10464018]
- 24. Grunewald GL, Lu J, Criscione KR, Okoro CO. Inhibitors of phenylethanolamine *N*methyltransferase devoid of α₂-adrenoceptor affinity. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15:5319–5323. [PubMed: 16169723]
- 25. Martin JL, Begun J, McLeish MJ, Caine JM, Grunewald GL. Getting the Adrenaline Going: Crystal Structure of the Adrenaline-Synthesizing Enzyme PNMT. Structure 2001;9:977–985. [PubMed: 11591352]
- 26. McMillan FM, Archbold J, McLeish MJ, Caine JM, Criscione KR, Grunewald GL, Martin JL. Molecular Recognition of Sub-Micromolar Inhibitors by the Epinephrine-Synthesizing Enzyme Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase. J Med Chem 2004;47:37–44. [PubMed: 14695818]
- 27. Wu Q, Gee CL, Lin F, Tyndall JD, Martin JL, Grunewald GL, McLeish MJ. Structural, Mutagenic, and Kinetic Analysis of the Binding of Substrates and Inhibitors of Human Phenylethanolamine-*N*-Methyltransferase. J Med Chem 2005;48:7243–7252. [PubMed: 16279783]
- 28. Gee CL, Tyndall JD, Grunewald GL, Wu Q, McLeish MJ, Martin JL. Binding mode of methyl acceptor substrates to the adrenaline-synthesizing enzyme phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase: implications for catalysis. Biochemistry 2005;44:16875–16885. [PubMed: 16363801]
- 29. The story of the Three Bears and their intruder was first published by Robert Southey in 1837. The name Goldilocks was first used in the book Old Nursery Stories and Rhymes, by John Hassall in 1904. In this fairytale, Goldilocks goes into the kitchen of the three bears where she sees three bowls of porridge. She first tastes Papa Bear's porridge but it is too hot. She then tastes Mama Bear's porridge but it is too cold. She then tastes Baby Bear's porridge and it is neither too hot nor too cold, but just right.
- 30. Bey P, Schirlin D. General approach to the synthesis of α-difluoromethyl amines as potential enzymeactivated irrevesible inhibitors. Tetrahedron Lett 1978;52:5225–5228.
- 31. Swarts F. Sur les nitriles des acides fluor- et difluoracetiques. Bull Soc Chim Belg 1922;31
- 32. McRae JA. Preparation of 1-Naphthoic Nitrile from 1-Naphthylamine. J Am Chem Soc 1930;52:4550–4552.
- 33. Chalmers JR, Dickson GT, Elks J, Hems BA. Synthesis of Thyroxine and Related substances. Part V. A Synthesis of L-Thyroxine from L-Tyrosine. J Chem Soc Abstracts 1949:3424–3433.
- 34. Chen Q, Wu S. Methyl (fluorosulfonyl)difluoroacetate, A New Trifluoromethylating Agent. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 1989:705–706.

- 35. Ballini R, Marcantoni E, Petrini M. A New General Synthesis of Sulfones from Alkyl or Aryl Halides and *p*-Toluenesulfonyl Hydrazide. Tetrahedron 1989;45:6791–6798.
- 36. Romero FA, Vodonick SM, Criscione KR, McLeish MJ, Grunewald GL. Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase that are Predicted to Penetrate the Blood-Brain Barrier: Design, Synthesis, and Evaluation of 3-Fluoromethyl-7-(N-substituted aminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4 tetrahydroisoquinolines that Possess Low Affinity Toward the α_2 -adrenoceptor. J Med Chem 2004;47:4483–4493. [PubMed: 15317460]
- 37. Caine JM, Macreadie IG, Grunewald GL, McLeish MJ. Recombinant Human Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase: Overproduction in Escheria coli, Purification and Characterization. Protein Expression and Purification 1996;8:160–166. [PubMed: 8812853]
- 38. Grunewald GL, Borchardt RT, Rafferty MF, Krass P. Conformational Preferences of Amphetamine Analogues for Inhibition of Phenylethanolamine N-Methyltransferase, Conformationally Defined Adrenergic Agents. 5. Mol Pharmacol 1981;20:377–381. [PubMed: 7300818]
- 39. Wu Q, Criscione KR, Grunewald GL, McLeish MJ. Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase Inhibition: Re-evaluation of Kinetic Data. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2004;14:4217–4220. [PubMed: 15261273]
- 40. U'Prichard DC, Greenberg DA, Snyder SH. Binding Characteristics of a Radiolabeled Agonist and Antagonist at Central Nervous System Alpha Noradrenergic Receptors. Mol Pharmacol 1977;13:454–473. [PubMed: 17827]
- 41. p*K*a values were calculated using pKaPlugin from the JChem (version 3.1) software package. JCHEM is available from ChemAxon Ltd., Maramaros koz 3/a Budapest, 1037 Hungary, [http://www.chemaxon.com.](http://www.chemaxon.com) The accuracy of this program was assessed by comparing the experimentally determined pK_a values for the compounds in Table 1 with those obtained from the JChem software. The p*K*a values of **19d**, **19a**, **19b**, and **19c** as determined by the JChem software were found to be 9.44, 7.91, 6.25, and 4.45, respectively.
- 42. Grunewald GL, Caldwell TM, Dahanukar VH, Jalluri RK, Criscione KR. Comparative Molecular Field Analysis (CoMFA) Models of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase (PNMT) and the α2 adrenoceptor: The Development of New Highly Selective Inhibitors of PNMT. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1999;9:481–486. [PubMed: 10091706]
- 43. Grunewald GL, Romero FA, Criscione KR. Nanomolar Inhibitors of CNS Epinephrine Biosynthesis: (R)-(+)-3-Fluoromethyl-7-(N-substituted aminosulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines as Potent and Highly Selective Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase. J Med Chem 2005;48:1806–1812. [PubMed: 15771426]
- 44. Grunewald GL, Caldwell TM, Li QF, Slavica M, Criscione KR, Borchardt RT, Wang W. Synthesis and Biochemical Evaluation of 3-Fluoromethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines as Selective Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase Versus the α₂-adrenoceptor. J Med Chem 1999;42:3588–3601. [PubMed: 10479290]
- 45. Charton M. Steric Effects. I. Esterification and Acid-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Esters. J Am Chem Soc 1975;97:1552–1556.
- 46. Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Hoekman, D. Exploring QSAR Hydrophobic, Electronic and Steric Constants. ACS Professional Reference Book, American Chemical Society; Washington, DC: 1995.
- 47. MacPhee JA, Panaye A, Dubois JE. A Critical Examination of the Taft Steric Parameter–Es. Definition of a Revised, Broader and Homogeneous Scale. Extension to Highly Conjested Alkyl Groups. Tetrahedron 1978;34:3553–3562.
- 48. Grunewald GL, Romero FA, Chieu AD, Fincham KJ, Bhat SR, Criscione KR. Exploring the Active Site of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase: 3-Alkyl-7-substituted-1,2,3,4 tetrahydroisoquinoline Inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13:1261–1273. [PubMed: 15670935]
- 49. Grunewald GL, Romero FA, Seim MR, Criscione KR, Deupree JD, Spackman CC, Bylund DB. Exploring the Active Site of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase with 3-Hydroxyethyl- and 3- Hydroxypropyl-7-Substituted-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15:1143–1147. [PubMed: 15686930]
- 50. Bikker JA, Trumpp-Kallmeyer S, Humblet C. G-Protein Coupled Receptors: Models, Mutagenesis, and Drug Design. J Med Chem 1998;41:2911–2927. [PubMed: 9685229]

- 51. Hibert MF, Trumpp-Kallmeyer S, Bruinvels A, Hoflack J. Three-Dimensional Models of Neurotransmitter G-Binding Protein-Coupled Receptors. Mol Pharmacol 1991;40:8–15. [PubMed: 1649965]
- 52. Wang CD, Buck MA, Fraser CM. Site-Directed Mutagenesis of α_{2A} -Adrenergic Receptors: Identification of Amino Acids Involved in Ligand Binding and Receptor Activation by Agonists. Mol Pharmacol 1991;40:168–79. [PubMed: 1678850]
- 53. Nyronen T, Pihlavisto M, Peltonen JM, Hoffren AM, Varis M, Salminen T, Wurster S, Marjamaki A, Kanerva L, Katainen E, Laaksonen L, Savola JM, Scheinin M, Johnson MS. Molecular Mechanism for Agonist-Promoted α_{2a} -Adrenoceptor Activation by Norepinephrine and Epinephrine. Mol Pharmacol 2001;59:1343–1354. [PubMed: 11306720]
- 54. Salminen T, Varis M, Nyronen T, Pihlavisto M, Hoffren AM, Lonnberg T, Marjamaki A, Frang H, Savola JM, Scheinin M, Johnson MS. Three-Dimensional Models of α_{2A} -Adrenergic Receptor Complexes Provide a Structural Explanation for Ligand Binding. J Biol Chem 1999;274:23405– 23413. [PubMed: 10438518]
- 55. Fuller RW, Molloy BB, Day WA, Roush BW, Marsh MM. Inhibition of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase by Benzylamines. 1. Structure-Activity Relationships. J Med Chem 1973;16:101– 106. [PubMed: 4734201]
- 56. Unpublished data from Martin, JL. University of Queensland; Brisbane, Australia:
- 57. The shift in the binding pocket was measured by comparing the distance between the centroid of the aromatic ring of Tyr222 and the centroids of the aromatic rings of THIQs **11a–c**.
- 58. SYBYL® 7.0Tripos Inc. 1699 South Hanley Rd., St. Louis, MO 63144, USA:
- 59. Morris GM, Goodsell DS, Halliday RS, Hart WE, Belew RK, Olson AJ. Automated Docking Using a Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm and an Empirical Binding Free Energy Function. J Comput Chem 1998;19:1639–1662.
- 60. Grunewald GL, Caldwell TM, Li QF, Dahanukar VH, McNeil B, Criscione KR. Enantiospecific Synthesis of 3-Fluoromethyl-, 3-Hydroxymethyl-, and 3-Chloromethyl-1,2,3,4 tetrahydroisoquinolines as Selective Inhibitors of Phenylethanolamine *N*-Methyltransferase Versus the α₂-adrenoceptor. J Med Chem 1999;42:4351-4361. [PubMed: 10543879]
- 61. Kraulis PJ. MOLSCRIPT: a program to produce both detailed and schematic plots of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 1991;24:945–949.
- 62. Merrit EA, Bacon DJ. RASTER3D: photorealistic molecular graphics. Methods Enzymol 1997;277:505–524. [PubMed: 18488322]

Grunewald et al. Page 24

Figure 1.

The terminal step in epinephrine (**3**) biosynthesis is the transfer of a methyl group from AdoMet (**4**) to norepinephrine (**2**) to form epinephrine (**3**) and the cofactor product AdoHcy (**5**).

Figure 2.

The active site of hPNMT co-crystallized with SK&F 64139 (**6**) and AdoHcy (**5**).27 A Connolly (solvent accessible) surface exposing **6** and **5** is also shown and indicates the presence of a lipophilic channel adjacent to the 3-position of **6**. A lipophilic potential is mapped on the Connolly surface whereby the areas shown in green are neutral, blue are hydrophilic, and brown are lipophilic. Carbon is white, nitrogen is blue, oxygen is red, chlorine is green, and sulfur is yellow. Hydrogens are not shown for clarity.

Figure 3.

This figure shows 7-bromo-3-fluoromethyl-, difluoromethyl-, and trifluoromethyl-THIQ (**11a**–**c**) docked into the active site of hPNMT [from the hPNMT X-ray structure co-crystallized with AdoHcy (**5**) and SK&F 64139 (**6**)] and the amino acid residues that could interact with **11a**–**c**. Nitrogen is blue, oxygen is red, bromine is gray, fluorine is green, carbons of amino acid side chains are cyan, carbons of **11a** are orange, carbons of **11b** are yellow, carbons of **11c** are red, and hydrogens on the 3-substituents of **11a** and **11b** are purple. Other hydrogens are not shown for clarity. (A) This figure shows an overlay of **11b** and **11c**. **11a** is not shown for clarity but the THIQ portion of **11a** overlays almost identically with that of **11b**. **11c** is shifted approximately 0.3 Å away from Tyr222 relative to **11a** and **11b**. ⁵⁷ (B) This figure shows the interaction of **11a** with Tyr222, the aromatic ring of which is shown in the plane of the paper. The hydrogens of the fluoromethyl group are positioned in the face of Tyr222 while the fluorine is moved away from Tyr222. (C) This figure shows the interaction of **11b** with Tyr222, the aromatic ring of which is shown in the plane of the paper. The hydrogen of the difluoromethyl group is positioned in the face of Tyr222 while the two fluorine atoms are moved away from Tyr222. (D) This figure shows the interaction of **11c** with Tyr 222, the aromatic ring of which is shown in the plane of the paper. One of the fluorine atoms is in the face of Tyr222.

Grunewald et al. Page 27

Scheme 1.

Reagents and conditions: (a) P_2O_5 ; (b) PhCH₂MgCl, Et₂O; then NaBH₄, MeOH; (c) ClCO₂Me, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; (d) polyphosphoric acid; (e) BH₃·THF; (f) H₂SO₄, KNO₃; (g) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH; then HBr, NaNO₂, CuBr; (h) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH; then HCl, NaNO₂; then NaOH, KCN, NiSO₄·6H₂O, benzene.

Scheme 2.

Reagents and conditions: (a) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH; then HCl, NaNO₂, CuI, KI; (b) FSO₂CF₂CO₂Me, CuI, DMF; (c) BH₃·THF.

Grunewald et al. Page 29

Reagents and conditions: (a) $CISO₃H$; (b) $NH₂NH₂$, THF; then MeI, NaOAc, EtOH; (c) $BH₃·THF$; (d) NH₄OH, CH₃CN.

Scheme 4.

Reagents and conditions: (a) amines, pyridine/CHCl3 or EtOAc/Na2CO3; (b) BH3·THF.

Table 1

The Effect of Fluorine on the pK_a of THIQ.

 a _p*K*_a values (\pm 0.02) were determined by potentiometric titration by pION, Inc., Cambridge, MA.

b Ref. 44.

*a*Standard error of the mean.

 α Standard error of the mean.

J Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 30.

α2-adrenoceptor Affinity of Some PNMT Inhibitors.

In Vitro Human PNMT (hPNMT) and a₂-adrenoceptor Affinity of Some PNMT Inhibitors.

In Vitro Human PNMT (hPNMT) and

 NIH-PA Author ManuscriptNIH-PA Author Manuscript

 $b_{\rm In}$ vitro activities for the inhibition of [²H]
clonidine binding to the a_2 -adrenoce
ptor. 3 H]clonidine binding to the α ₂-adrenoceptor.

 NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript In Vitro Activities of 3-Mono-, Di-, and Trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs. In Vitro Activities of 3-Mono-, Di-, and Trifluoromethyl-7-substituted-THIQs.

 $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^3$

J Med Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 30.

 $b_{\text{Data for compounds I1c-13c, 15c, 16c, and 18c-21c found in refs.}$ 23 and 24. $b_{\text{Data for compounds I1c-I3c, 15c, 16c, and 18c-21c}$ found in refs. ²³ and ²⁴.

 $^{\prime}$ Standard error of the mean was not greater than 10% . c^c Standard error of the mean was not greater than 10%.

 $d_{\rm In}$ vitro activities for the inhibition of [-3H]
clonidine binding to the a_2 -adrenoce
ptor. $3H$]clonidine binding to the α ₂-adrenoceptor. $d_{\rm In}$ vitro activities for the inhibition of [

*e*Selectivity α2 *K*i /hPNMT *K*ⁱ .

 $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^3$ $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$

In Vitro Activities of (\pm) -7-Nitro- and -7-Bromo-3-substituted-THIQs.

In Vitro Activities of (\pm) -7-Nitro- and -7-Bromo-3-substituted-THIQs.

