

Biol. Lett. (2009) 5, 499–502 doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0297 Published online 22 May 2009

Quantitative analysis of the effects of the exotic Argentine ant on seeddispersal mutualisms

Mariano A. Rodriguez-Cabal^{1,*}, Katharine L. Stuble¹, Martin A. Nuñez^{1,2} and Nathan J. Sanders¹

¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, 569 Dabney Hall, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA ²Department of Biology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA *Author for correspondence (rcabal@utk.edu)

Although it is increasingly clear that exotic invasive species affect seed-dispersal mutualisms, a synthetic examination of the effect of exotic invasive species on seed-dispersal mutualisms is lacking. Here, we review the impacts of the invasive Argentine ant (Linepithema humile) on seed dispersal. We found that sites with L. humile had 92 per cent fewer native ant seed dispersers than did sites where L. humile was absent. In addition, L. humile did not replace native seed dispersers, as rates of seed removal and seedling establishment were all lower in the presence of L. humile than in its absence. We conclude that potential shifts in plant diversity and concomitant changes in ecosystem function may be a consequence of Argentine ant invasions, as well as invasions by other ant species. Because very few studies have examined the effects of non-ant invasive species on seed-dispersal mutualisms, the prevalence of disruption of seed-dispersal mutualisms by invasive species is unclear.

Keywords: Argentine ants; exotic invasive species; seed-dispersal mutualisms; meta-analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

Seed-dispersal mutualisms influence seedling recruitment, population dynamics, species distributions, plant-community composition and gene flow (Howe & Smallwood 1982; Nathan & Muller-Landau 2000). However, the spread of exotic invasive species (invasive species hereafter) threatens seed-dispersal mutualisms, with potential consequences for native populations and communities (Traveset & Richardson 2006; Tylianakis *et al.* 2008).

Although a growing number of studies have examined whether invasive species disrupt seed-dispersal mutualisms, by affecting either the dispersal agent or the plant (e.g. Bond & Slingsby 1984; Kelly *et al.* 2006), a quantitative examination of the effect of invasive species on seed-dispersal mutualisms is lacking (Traveset & Richardson 2006). Here, we report the results of a meta-analysis aimed at determining the magnitude of the effect of the invasive Argentine ants

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1098/rsbl.2009.0297 or via http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org.

(Linepithema humile) on seed-dispersal mutualisms. Linepithema humile has become established in Mediterranean climates globally. Importantly, some of these regions are biodiversity hot spots and harbour a number of unique and endemic plant species, which may rely on native ants to disperse their seeds. Seed dispersal by ants (i.e. myrmecochory) is particularly important in that it involves hundreds of ant species and thousands of plant species across many terrestrial ecosystems (Beattie & Hughes 2002; Rico-Gray & Oliveira 2007). Our focus is on the invasive Argentine ant, a species known to have dramatic effects on native communities and ecosystems (Holway et al. 2002). Specifically, we ask three questions: (i) do Argentine ants reduce the abundance of seed dispersers? (ii) do invasive Argentine ants disrupt seed-dispersal mutualisms by reducing the number of seeds removed? and (iii) do Argentine ants reduce seedling recruitment?

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

On 31 January 2009, we searched Web of Science to locate publications that included the keywords 'disr* seed dispersal', 'predation seed disper*', 'competition seed disper*', 'disrup* mutua-lis*' and 'inva* or introduced or alien or exotic or non-native or non-indigenous'. We also used our knowledge of the literature and scanned the references of any relevant papers to obtain additional sources from the primary literature. To be included in our meta-analysis, a study had to be either an observational or experimental study on seed dispersal in both the presence and absence of an invasive species in the same area.

In total, we found 14 publications focusing on 31 plant species that met our criteria. Eleven out of the 14 articles focused on the impact of invasive ants on seed-dispersal mutualisms, and of these 11, 10 were concerned with the effect of *L. humile* and one with the effect of *Solenopsis invicta*. Because of the low number of publications dealing with species other than *L. humile*, we report only the results of a meta-analysis quantifying the effect of this species on seed-dispersal mutualisms.

Nine of the 10 publications used in the meta-analysis examined seed removal, three examined seedling establishment and four examined the abundance of seed dispersers (appendix A). From each of these publications, we extracted quantitative estimates of the mean number of seed dispersers, seeds removed and/or seedlings established in the presence and absence of the invasive species. Several publications contained information for more than one plant species or seed-dispersal agent, in which case we treated each as distinct data points in our analyses if the species were analysed separately by the author(s) of the original study. If the data were not available in the text, table or online appendix, we used GETDATA GRAPH DIGITIZER (v. 2.22, copyright S. Fedorov, 2002–2006) to extract data from figures in the original manuscript.

We calculated the effect of invasive species on seed-dispersal mutualisms (effect size) as the log-response ratio $(\ln R)$,

$$\ln R = \ln \left(\frac{\overline{X}_{\rm P}}{\overline{X}_{\rm A}} \right),$$

where $\overline{X}_{\rm P}$ is the mean of the response variable in the presence of the invasive species and $\overline{X}_{\rm A}$ is the mean of the response in the absence of the invasive species (Hedges *et al.* 1999; Osenberg *et al.* 1999). A negative effect size indicates that the invasive species reduced the number of seed dispersers, seeds removed or seedlings established. We performed a meta-analysis using a random effect model and calculated the weighted mean effect size and 95% bootstrap CI for the three response variables using METAWIN (Rosenberg *et al.* 2000).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Invasive species can affect diversity, modify ecosystem function and alter interactions among native species (Mack *et al.* 2000; Traveset & Richardson 2006; Tylianakis *et al.* 2008). In our study, sites with *L. humile* contained 92 per cent fewer native seed dispersers than did sites without *L. humile* (figure 1). Moreover, it seems unlikely that *L. humile* replaces



Figure 1. Results from the meta-analysis showing the negative effects of the exotic ant *L. humile* on native plant-seeddispersal mutualisms. We calculated the effect size using the log response ratio of number of seed dispersers (filled circle), seeds removed (open circle) and seedlings established (triangle). Symbols represent the mean effect size \pm 95% CIs. If the CIs do not overlap the horizontal line at 0, then there is a significant negative effect of the presence of Argentine ants on that aspect of seed dispersal.

native seed dispersers, as overall rates of seed removal and seedling establishment were lower in the presence of invasive ants than in their absence: sites with *L. humile* had, on average, 47 per cent fewer seeds removed and seedling establishment was 76 per cent lower, than when *L. humile* was present (figure 1).

Our quantitative analysis supports previous studies that have documented the effects of invasive ants on seed-dispersal mutualisms (Holway *et al.* 2002; Ness & Bronstein 2004). Indeed, our study suggests that the major impact of invasive Argentine ants results from their dramatic reduction of the abundance of native seed-dispersing ants (figure 1). This is not surprising, as Argentine ants clearly alter the composition of native ant communities (Christian 2001; Carney *et al.* 2003; Gómez & Oliveras 2003; Gómez *et al.* 2003; Rowles & O'Dowd 2007).

Seed dispersal by ants has been hypothesized to benefit plants by reducing competition and predation, minimizing the effects of fire, and depositing seeds in nutrient-rich sites (Bond & Slingsby 1984; Christian 2001; Ness & Bronstein 2004). Plants that depend on ants as seed dispersers often disproportionately rely on a single ant species (Gove et al. 2007), making them especially vulnerable if the behaviour or abundance of the keystone mutualist is altered (Giladi 2006). Our meta-analysis and the studies that did not meet our criteria for inclusion in the metaanalysis suggest that invasive ants, such as L. humile and S. invicta, are typically poor seed dispersers relative to the native ants they displace. Invasive ants may find seeds more slowly or collect fewer seeds per unit of time than do natives (Bond & Slingsby 1984; Horvitz & Schemske 1986; Carney et al. 2003; Gómez et al. 2003; Ness 2004; Oliveras et al. 2007;

Rowles & O'Dowd 2009). Additionally, invasive ants often bury seeds less frequently than do native ant species (Bond & Slingsby 1984; Christian 2001; Zettler *et al.* 2001; Gómez & Oliveras 2003; Gómez *et al.* 2003). These invasive ants may also act as seed predators (Horvitz & Schemske 1986; Zettler *et al.* 2001) or consume elaiosomes without moving seeds (Quilichini & Debussche 2000; Gómez *et al.* 2003; Ness 2004). However, it is important to note that native ants may also consume seeds and the relative importance of seed predation among native ants may influence the ultimate impact of invasive ants.

Body size in ants plays a crucial role in seed dispersal (Ness & Bronstein 2004), an important fact, as invasive ants are sometimes smaller than the native species that they exclude (McGlynn 1999; Holway et al. 2002). As a result, invasive ants frequently fail to disperse large elaisome-bearing seeds while readily dispersing small native and exotic seeds (Ness 2004; Witt et al. 2004; Rowles & O'Dowd 2009). However, the ultimate impact of Argentine ants on seed dispersal in a community may be mediated by seed size. For example, Rowles & O'Dowd (2009) showed that Argentine ants removed large as well as small diaspores but this was influenced by elaiosome mass. Additionally, when they do remove seeds, dispersal distances by invasive ants are often shorter than those of native species (Bond & Slingsby 1984; Horvitz & Schemske 1986; Ness 2004; Rowles & O'Dowd 2009). Finally, not only do invasive ants disrupt seed-dispersal mutualisms between native species but they also successfully disperse seeds of exotic plants (Rowles & O'Dowd 2009).

As with any meta-analysis, our results could be biased by the failure to publish studies that show no effects of invasive species. In addition, there may be a research bias, whereby researchers tend to study sites or species (such as *L. humile*) where the effects are likely to be found. We would encourage publication of any results showing non-negative effects of invasive ants on seed-dispersal mutualisms.

Finally, we would like to highlight the fact that we found few studies on species other than *L. humile*. In our opinion, this paucity of studies suggests a clear need for ecologists to examine the effects of invasive species on seed-dispersal mutualisms. We also suggest that long-term studies are needed to understand the population-level consequences of the disruption of seed-dispersal mutualisms by invasive species. Nevertheless, our results at least suggest that Argentine ants have strong negative effects on the dispersal of seeds and the establishment of seedlings, but it is unclear how these effects influence population dynamics of native plant species or the structure of native plant communities.

We thank J. K. Bailey, R. R. Dunn, and T. J. Zelikova and two anonymous reviewers for providing advice that greatly improved this manuscript.

APPENDIX A

Table 1. Results of the search of peer-reviewed studies that quantified the effects of the invasive Argentine ant on seed-dispersal mutualisms. (For each study, the identity of stage(s) examined, native seed dispersers and plants are shown.)

references	stage(s) examined	native seed dispersers	plant species
Bond & Slingsby (1984)	seeds removed-seedlings	Anoplolepis custodiens, Pheidole capensis	Mimetes cucullatus (Proteaceae)
Carney et al. (2003)	seed dispersers-seeds removed	Dorymyrmex insanus, Messor sp., Pogonomyrmex subnitidus	Dendromecon rigida (Papaveraceae)
Christian (2001)	seed dispersers-seed removed-seedlings	Anoplolepis custodiens, Meranoplus peringueyi, Pheidole capensis, Tetramorium quadrispinosum	Leucospermum conocarpodendron (Proteaceae), Leucospermum truncatulum (Proteaceae), Mimetes cucullatus (Proteaceae), Serruria phylicoides (Proteaceae), Spatalla racemosa (Proteaceae), Serruria inconspicua (Proteaceae)
Gómez et al. (2003)	seed dispersers-seeds removed	Aphaenogaster subterranea, Cataglyphis piliscapus, Messor barbarus, Messor bouvieri, Myrmica sabuleti, Pheidole pallidula, Tapinoma nigerrimum, Tetramorium semilaeve	Rhamnus alaternus (Rhamnaceae)
Gómez & Oliveras (2003)	seed dispersers-seeds removed	Aphaenogaster subterranea, Crematogaster scutellaris, Formica cunicularia, Messor bouvieri, Pheidole pallidula, Tapinoma nigerrimum, Tetramorium semilaeve	Euphorbia characias (Euphorbiaceae), Euphorbia biumbellata (Euphorbiaceae), Genista linifolia (Fabaceae), Genista triflora (Fabaceae), Genista monspessulana (Fabaceae), Sarothamnus arboreus catalaunicus (Fabaceae)
Oliveras et al. (2005)	seedlings	Aphaenogaster subterranea, Crematogaster scutellaris, Formica cunicularia, Messor bouvieri, Pheidole pallidula, Tapinoma nigerrimum, Tetramorium semilaeve	Euphorbia characias (Euphorbiaceae)
Oliveras et al. (2007)	seeds removed	Messor bouvieri	Calicotome spinosa (Papilonaceae), Psoralea bituminosa (Papilonaceae), Spartium junceum (Papilonaceae)
Quilichini & Debussche (2000)	seeds removed	Aphaenogaster spinosa, Tapinoma nigerrimum, Tetramorium semilaeve	Anchus crispa (Boraginaceae)
Rowles & O'Dowd (2009)	seeds removed	Pheidole sp., Rhytidoponera victoriae	Acacia sophorae (Mimosaceae), Acacia retinodes (Mimosaceae)
Witt et al. (2004)	seeds removed	Anoplolepis custodiens, Anoplolepis stingroveri, Ocymyrmex cilliei, Pheidole capensis, Tetramorium quadrispinosum	Agathosma ovata (Rutaceae), Leucospermum cordifolium (Proteaceae), Paranomus reflexus (Proteaceae), Phylica pubescens (Rhamnaceae), Podalyria calyptrate (Fabaceae), Polygala myrtifolia (Polygalaceae)

- Beattie, A. J. & Hughes, L. 2002 Ant-plant interactions. In *Plant-animal interactions: an evolutionary approach* (eds C. M. Herrera & O. Pellmyr) pp. 212–235. Berlin: Springer.
- Bond, W. & Slingsby, P. 1984 Collapse of an ant-plant mutualism: the Argentine ant (*Iridomyrmex humilis*) and myrmecochorous Proteaceae. *Ecology* 65, 1031–1037. (doi:10.2307/1938311)
- Carney, S. E., Byerley, M. B. & Holway, D. A. 2003 Invasive Argentine ants (*Linepithema humile*) do not replace native ants as seed dispersers of *Dendromecon rigida* (Papaveraceae) in California, USA. *Oecologia* 135, 576–582.
- Christian, C. E. 2001 Consequences of a biological invasion reveal the importance of mutualism for plant communities. *Nature* **412**, 635–639. (doi:10.1038/35098093)
- Giladi, I. 2006 Choosing benefits or partners: a review of the evidence for the evolution of myrmecochory. *Oikos* **112**, 481–492. (doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14258.x)
- Gómez, C. & Oliveras, J. 2003 Can the Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile* Mayr) replace native ants in myrmecochory? *Acta Oecologica* 24, 47–53. (doi:10.1016/ S1146-609X(03)00042-0)
- Gómez, C., Pons, P. & Bas, J. M. 2003 Effects of the Argentine ant *Linepithema humile* on seed dispersal and seedling

emergence of *Rhamnus alaternus. Ecography* **26**, 532–538. (doi:10.1034/j.1600-0587.2003.03484.x)

- Gove, A. D., Majer, J. D. & Dunn, R. R. 2007 A keystone ant species promotes seed dispersal in a 'diffuse' mutualism. *Oecologia* 153, 687–697. (doi:10.1007/s00442-007-0756-5)
- Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J. & Curtis, P. S. 1999 The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. *Ecology* 80, 1150–1156.
- Holway, D. A., Lach, L., Suarez, A. V., Tsutsui, N. D. & Case, T. J. 2002 The causes and consequences of ant invasions. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **33**, 181–233. (doi:10. 1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150444)
- Horvitz, C. C. & Schemske, D. W. 1986 Seed dispersal of a neotropical myrmecochore: variation in removal rates and dispersal distance. *Biotropica* 18, 319–323. (doi:10.2307/ 2388575)
- Howe, H. F. & Smallwood, J. 1982 Ecology of seed dispersal. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **13**, 201–228. (doi:10.1146/annurev. es.13.110182.001221)
- Kelly, D., Robertson, A. W., Ladley, J. J., Anderson, S. H. & MacKenzie, R. J. 2006 Relative (un)importance of introduced animals as pollinators and dispersers of native plants. In *Biological invasions in New Zealand* (eds R. B. Allen & W. G. Lee), pp. 227–245. Berlin: Springer.
- Mack, R. N., Simberloff, D., Lonsdale, W. M., Evans, H., Clout, M. & Bazzaz, F. A. 2000 Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. *Ecol. Appl.* **10**, 689–710. (doi:10.1890/1051-0761 (2000)010[0689:BICEGC]2.0.CO;2)
- McGlynn, T. P. 1999 Non-native ants are smaller than related native ants. *Am. Nat.* **6**, 690–699. (doi:10.1086/ 303270)
- Nathan, R. & Muller-Landau, H. C. 2000 Spatial patterns of seed dispersal, their determinants and consequences for recruitment. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 15, 278–285. (doi:10. 1016/S0169-5347(00)01874-7)
- Ness, J. H. 2004 Forest edges and fire ants alter the seed shadow of an ant-dispersed plant. *Oecologia* **138**, 448–454. (doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1440-z)
- Ness, J. H. & Bronstein, J. L. 2004 The effects of invasive ants on prospective ant mutualists. *Biol. Invasions* 6, 445-461. (doi:10.1023/B:BINV.0000041556.88920.dd)
- Oliveras, J., Bas, J. M. & Gómez, C. 2005 Long-term consequences of the alteration of the seed dispersal process of

Euphorbia characias due to the Argentine ant invasion. *Ecography* **28**, 662-672. (doi:10.1111/j.2005.0906-7590.04250.x)

- Oliveras, J., Bas, J. M. & Gómez, C. 2007 A shift in seed harvesting by ants following Argentine ant invasion. *Vie et Milieu, Life Environ.* 57, 75–81.
- Osenberg, C. W., Sarnelle, O., Cooper, S. D. & Holt, R. D. 1999 Resolving ecological questions through metaanalysis: goals, metrics, and models. *Ecology* **80**, 1105–1117.
- Quilichini, A. & Debussche, M. 2000 Seed dispersal and germination patterns in a rare Mediterranean island endemic (*Anchusa crispa* Viv., Boraginaceae). *Acta Oecologica* 21, 303–313. (doi:10.1016/S1146-609X(00)01089-4)
- Rico-Gray, V. & Oliveira, P. S. 2007 The ecology and evolution of ant-plant interactions. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Rosenberg, M. S., Adams, D. C. & Gurevitch, J. 2000 *META-WIN: statistical software for meta-analysis*, v. 2.0. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Rowles, A. D. & O'Dowd, D. J. 2007 Interference competition by Argentine ants displaces native ants: implications for biotic resistance to invasion. *Biol. Invasions* 9, 73–85. (doi:10.1007/s10530-006-9009-5)
- Rowles, A. D. & O'Dowd, D. J. 2009 New mutualism for old: indirect disruption and direct facilitation of seed dispersal following Argentine ant invasion. *Oecologia* 158, 709–716. (doi:10.1007/s00442-008-1171-2)
- Traveset, A. & Richardson, D. M. 2006 Biological invasions as disruptors of plant reproductive mutualisms. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21, 208–216. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.01.006)
- Tylianakis, J. M., Didham, R. K., Bascompte, J. & Wardle, D. A. 2008 Global change and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**, 1351–1363. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x)
- Witt, A. B. R., Geertsema, H. & Giliomee, J. H. 2004 The impact of an invasive ant, *Linepithema humile* (Mayr) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), on the dispersal of the elaisome-bearing seeds of six plant species. *Afr. Entomol.* 12, 223–230.
- Zettler, J. A., Spira, T. P. & Allen, C. R. 2001 Ant-seed mutualisms: can red imported fire ants sour the relationship? *Biol. Conserv.* **101**, 249–253. (doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00074-X)