

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Inorganica Chim Acta. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 November 25.

Published in final edited form as:

Inorganica Chim Acta. 2007 May 2; 360(7): 2397–2402. doi:10.1016/j.ica.2006.12.020.

Synthesis and Structures of Dimeric Iron(III)-Oxo and -Imido Complexes Containing Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonds

Matthew K. Zart, Douglas Powell, and A. S. Borovik

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 2010 Malott Hall, 1251 Wescoe Dr., Lawrence, KS 66045, USA

Abstract

Hydrogen bonding networks proximal to metal centers are emerging as a viable means for controlling secondary coordination spheres. This has led to the regulation of reactivity and isolation of complexes with new structural motifs. We have used the tridenate ligand bis[(N'-tert-butylureido)-N-ethyl]-N-methylaminato ([H₂1]²⁻) that contains two hydrogen bond donors to examine the oxidation of the Fe^{II}—acetate complex, [Fe^{II}H₂1(η²-OAc)]⁻ with dioxygen, amine *N*-oxides, and xylyl azide. A complex with Fe^{III}—O—Fe^{III} core results from the oxidation with dioxygen and amine *N*-oxides, in which the oxo ligand is involved in hydrogen bonding to the [H₂1]²⁻ ligand. A distinctly different hydrogen bonding network was found in Fe^{III} dimer isolated from the reaction with the xylyl azide: a rare Fe^{III}—N(R)—Fe^{III} core was observed that does not have hydrogen bonds to the bridging nitrogen atom. The intramolecular H-bond networks within these dimers appear to adjust to the presence of the bridging species and rearrange to its size and electron density.

1. Introduction

Oxo and imido complexes of transition metal ions are actively studied as species involved in the oxidative group transfer of oxo (O²⁻) and imido (NR²⁻) species to substrates such as alkanes and alkenes.^{i,ii,iii} We have been investigating the effects of non-covalent interactions on the metal-mediated transformation involving oxo and imido units. As part of this program, we have examined structure-function relationships in synthetic systems with hydrogen bonds (Hbonds),^{iv} which has led to the development of urea-based ligands that provide intramolecular H-bond donors proxmal to coordinatively unsaturated metal centers. Most of these systems are sterically-bulky tripodal ligands, such as the C_3 -symmetrical tris[(N'-tert-butylureido)-Nethyl]aminato ligand [H₃buea]³⁻, which favor formation of monomeric iron complexes with terminal chalcogenido,^v amido,^{vi} and hydroxo ligands.^{vii} We recently introduce the related tridentate ligand bis[(N'-tert-butylureido)-N-ethyl]-N-methylaminato ([H₂1]²⁻),^{viii} in whichone of the urea arms has been replaced with a methyl group. The molecular structure of the Fe^{II} —OAc, $[Fe^{II}H_21(\eta^2 - OAc)]^-$ showed a five-coordinate structure with intramolecular Hbonds involving the bidentate acetate ligand. The H-bond cavity surrounding the Fe-acetate moiety is relatively open, suggesting that polynuclear species could be formed upon oxidation. Reported herein are examples of dimeric Fe^{III}—(µ-O)—Fe^{III} and a rare Fe^{III}—(µ-NR)— Fe^{III} complexes formed upon the oxidation of $[Fe^{II}(H_21)(\eta^2-OAc)]^-$ with O₂ and xylyl azide,

Correspondence to: A. S. Borovik.

⁽Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA, email: aborovik@uci.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

respectively. These complexes have different H-bond networks that reflect the nature of the bridging atom between the iron centers.

2. Experimental

2.1 General

All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received, unless noted otherwise. Anhydrous solvents were purchased form Aldrich. The syntheses of all metal complexes were conducted in a Vacuum Atmospheres, Co. drybox under an argon atmosphere. Elemental analysis of all compounds was performed by Desert Analytics, Tucson, AZ. All samples were dried *in vacuo* before analysis. FT-IR spectroscopy was used to corroborate the presence of solvates. Xylyl azide was prepared according to the literature.^{ix}

2.2 Physical Methods

Fourier transform infrared spectra were recorded on an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FTIR spectrometer and are reported in wavenumbers. Solid samples were prepared in mineral oil and run between KBr plates. Perpendicular-mode X-band electron paramagnetic resonance spectra were collected using a Bruker EMX spectrometer equipped with an ER4102ST cavity and ER041XG microwave bridge. The instrument was previously calibrated using DPPH. EPR spectra were collected using the following spectrometer settings: attenuation, 25 dB; microwave frequency, 9.46 GHz; microwave power, 0.638 mW; sweep width, 5000 G; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation amplitude, 10.02 G; gain, 1.00×10^3 ; conversion time, 81.920 ms; time constant, 655.36 ms; resolution, 1024 points. Electronic spectra were collected on a Cary 50 spectrophotometer using 1.00 mm quartz cuvets.

2.3 Preparation of the Complexes

2.3.1. Bis{bis[(N'-tert-butylureaylato)-N-ethyl]-N-methylaminatoferrate(III)}(µ-

oxo), **[(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ-O)]**—A solution of K[Fe^{II}H₂1(η²-OAc)]^{viii} (100.0 mg, 0.214 mmol) in anhydrous *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA, 5 mL) was treated with trimethylamine *N*-oxide (8.1 mg, 0.108 mmol) under an Ar atmosphere. The initially light yellow solution rapidly turned red-orange and was stirred for 1 h. The DMA was removed under vacuum and the residue dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride. The resulting orange solution was then filtered to remove insoluble KOAc (20 mg, 0.20 mmol). Anhydrous pentane was layered on the methylene chloride solutions in two vials. The orange solid that precipitated was collected by filtration and washed with pentane to yield 67 mg (83%). Anal. Calcd (found) for [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ-O)], C₃₀H₆₂Fe₂N₁₀O₅: C, 47.75 (47.44); H, 8.28 (7.74); N, 18.56 (18.08). FTIR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): *v* 3301s, 3087ms (NH), 1593s, 1564s, 1500s, 1349s, 1304s, 1217s, 1070s, 905m, 777m, 658w, 580w λ_{max/nm} (CH₂Cl₂, ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 316 (7700), 361 (6900).

2.3.2 Bis{Bis[(N'-tert-butylureaylato)-N-ethyl]-N-methylaminatoferrate(III)}-(µ-

xylylimido), **[(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ-N-Xylyl)]**—A solution of K[Fe^{II}H₂1(η²-OAc)] (99.4 mg, 0.213 mmol) in anhydrous *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA, 5 mL) was treated with xylyl azide (18.2 mg, 0.124 mmol) under an Ar atmosphere. The initially light yellow solution rapidly turned dark purple with evolution of gas and was stirred for 1 h. The DMA was removed under vacuum and the residue dissolved in anhydrous methylene chloride. The resulting purple solution was then filtered to remove insoluble KOAc (19 mg, 0.19 mmol). Methylene chloride was removed under vacuum and solid isolated crude to yield 85 mg (93%). Anal. Calcd (found) for [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ-N-Xylyl)], C₃₈H₇₁Fe₂N₁₁O₄: C, 53.21 (52.85); H, 8.34 (8.30); N, 17.96 (17.71). FTIR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): v 3290m, 3181m (NH), 1590s, 1557s, 1377s, 1294s, 1210s, 1061m, 900m, 760m, 659w, 593w. λ_{max/nm} (CH₂Cl₂, ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 508 (5400), 417 (5000).

2.4 Crystal Structure Determination

Intensity data for the compounds were collected using a Bruker APEX CCD area detector^x mounted on a Bruker D8 goniometer using graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The data were collected at 100(2) K. For [(Fe^{III}H₂1)]₂(μ -O) the intensity data were measured as a series of ω oscillation frames each of 0.25° for 90 s / frame. Coverage of unique data was 99.4% complete to 25.00 degrees in θ . For [(Fe^{III}H₂1)]₂(μ -N-Xylyl)·CH₂Cl₂ the intensity data were measured as a series of ω oscillation frames each of 0.25° for 20 s / frame. Coverage of unique data was 94.1% complete to 26.00 degrees in θ .

2.4.1 [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -O)]—crystallized in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$, which was determined by systematic absences and statistical methods and verified by subsequent refinement. Cell parameters were determined from non-linear least squares fit of 2276 peaks in the range $2.18 < \theta < 24.18^\circ$. A total of 21286 data were measured in the range $2.00 < \theta < \theta < 10^\circ$ 25.00°. The data were corrected for absorption by the semi-empirical method^{xi} from equivalent reflections giving minimum and maximum transmission factors of 0.7151 and 0.9839. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on $F^{2, xii}$ The carbons (C2B, C12B and C22B) around N1B were disordered and modeled in two orientations with refined occupancies of 0.833(6) and 0.167(6) for the unprimed and primed atoms, respectively. Restraints on the positional and diplacement parameters of the disordered atoms were included in the refinement. The displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atom positions were initially determined by geometry and refined by a riding model. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atom displacement parameters were set to 1.2 (1.5 for methyl) times the displacement parameters of the bonded atoms. A total of 4552 parameters were refined against 28 restraints and 6609 data to give $wR(F^2) = 0.1279$ and S = 0.999 for weights of w = 1/2 $[\sigma^2(F^2) + (0.0600 \text{ P})^2]$, where $P = [F_0^2 + 2F_c^2] / 3$. The final R(F) was 0.0572 for the 4196 observed, $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$, data. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.001 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 1.130 and -0.318 e/Å³, respectively.

2.4.2 [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(µ-N-xylyl)]·CH₂Cl₂—crystallized in the triclinic space group P 1, which was determined by statistical tests and verified by subsequent refinement. Cell parameters were determined from non-linear least squares fit of 5542 peaks in the range $2.50 < \theta < 30.00^{\circ}$. A total of 19783 data were measured in the range $1.94 < \theta < 30.03^{\circ}$. The data were corrected for absorption by the semi-empirical methodxi from equivalent reflections giving minimum and maximum transmission factors of 0.7974 and 0.9413. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on $F^{2,xii}$ One side arm, N7A-C11 A, of one ligand was disordered and was modeled in two orientations with refined occupancies of 0.628(9) and 0.372(9) for the unprimed and primed atoms. The solvent molecule was disordered and was modeled in two orientations with refined occupancies of 0.570(11) and 0.430(11) for the unprimed and primed atoms. Restraints on the positional and displacement parameters of the disordered atoms were required. The displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atom positions were initially determined by geometry and refined by a riding model. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atom displacement parameters were set to 1.2 (1.5 for methyl) times the displacement parameters of the bonded atoms. A total of 597 parameters were refined against 64 restraints and 12512 data to give $wR(F^2) = 0.1292$ and S = 1.022 for weights of w = 1/2 $[\sigma^2(F^2) + (0.0760 \text{ P})^2]$, where $P = [F_0^2 + 2F_c^2] / 3$. The final R(F) was 0.0480 for the 9371 observed, $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$, data. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.001 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 0.744 and -0.368 $e/Å^3$, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Synthesis and Solution Properties

Scheme 1 outlines the preparative routes to $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-X)]$ (X = O²⁻ and N-xylyl²⁻). Addition of either 0.25 equivalent (equiv) of O₂ or 0.5 equiv of trimethylamine-*N*-oxide to a dry dimethylacetamide (DMA) solution of K[Fe^{II}H₂1(η^2 -OAc)] resulted in an immediate color change form light yellow to deep orange. Removal of the solvent after 1 h and dissolving the residue CH₂Cl₂ before filtering roomed 1 equiv of KOAc. [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -O)] was isolated as orange crystals in 80% yield after layering the CH₂Cl₂ solution of the compound with pentane. The bridged imido complexes [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -N-xylyl)] was prepared analogously by treating K[Fe^{II}H₂1(η -OAc)] in DMA with 0.5 equiv of xylylazide. This immediately resulted in a color change from light yellow to dark purple with concomitant evolution of N₂. The dark purple bridging imido complex, [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -N-xylyl)], was obtained in its purest form by simply removing volatiles after dissolving and filtering the crude product in CH₂Cl₂. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**^b Single crystals could be obtained from CH₂Cl₂/pentane or THF/ pentane mixtures, but prolonged standing in the recystallization media led to impure product.

Solutions of both complexes are intensely colored: the absorbance spectrum of $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu$ -N-xylyl)] in CH₂Cl₂ has bands at λ_{max} (ϵ_M) = 508 (5400) and 417 (5000) nm, while bands for $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu$ -O)] in CH₂Cl₂ are at 361 (6900) and 316 (7700) nm. Frozen solution of $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu$ -N-xylyl)] and $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu$ -O) were EPR silent at 4 and 77 K.

3.2 Crystal Structures

X-ray diffraction measurements reveal dimeric structures for each complex. Table 1 contains crystallographic details, Table 2 pertinent metrical results, and Figures 1 and 2 contain the thermal ellipsoid plots and for $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$ and $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]\cdot CH_2Cl_2$, respectively. Each of the metal ions has a trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry. Donor atoms from the $[H_21]^{2-}$ ligands occupy four of the coordination sites on the iron ions; the bridging ligand occupies the fifth site. One urea arm from each $[H_21]^{2-}$ ligand bridges between the iron centers to form two μ -1,3-(κ N: κ O)-ureate linkages. In this binding mode, the deprotonated urea N⁻ atom coordinates to one iron ion, while its carbonyl oxygen atom binds to the other metal center.

These similarities in structural orientation are also reflected in their metrical parameters. In $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$, the average Fe—N_{amine} distance is 2.281(3) Å, significantly longer than the average Fe—N_{ureate} distance of 2.024(3) Å. For $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]$, these average distances are 2.277(2) and 2.024(2) Å, respectively. This difference in bond length between amine and deprotonated urea nitrogen atoms to the metal center is similar to that seen in the Fe(II) precursor, Fe^{II}H₂1(η^2 -OAc)]⁻. The average Fe—O_{ureate} distances are 1.979(3) Å for $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$ compared with 2.054(2) Å in the case of $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]$.

In [(Fe^{III}H₂**1**)₂(μ -O)], the average Fe-O_{oxo} bond length is 1.823(3) Å. This value lies towards the longer side for known μ -oxo dimers of Fe^{III} where the range is 1.73–1.82 Å and the average is 1.77 Å.^{xiii} This may be an effect of the H-bond donation by the two urea arms. The Fe-Fe separation is 3.005(3) Å and is slightly shorter compared to distances found in other di- and tri-bridged μ -oxo dimers that range from 3.05–3.39 Å. Similarly, the Fe1A-O1-Fe1B angle is 111.0(2)°, falling at the acute end of the range for known μ -oxo dimers (114-180°).⁶

In [(Fe^{III}H₂**1**)₂(μ -N-xylyl)], the average Fe—N_{imido} bond length is 1.870(2) Å. Imido bridge Fe^{III} dimers are rare: the only other known example of is (μ -*p*-tolylimido)bis[(*N*,*N'*-ethane-1,2-diyl-bis(salicylaldiminato))iron(III)], [(Fe^{III}salen)₂(μ -N-*p*-tolyl)].^{xiv} The average bond length for [(Fe^{III}salen)₂(μ -N-*p*-tolyl)] is comparable at 1.88(1) Å. Note that Fe^{III} complexes with terminal organoimido ligands have considerably shorter Fe—N lengths (less than 1.7 Å),

reflecting their multiple bond character that is absent in the dimer complexes.^{XV} The Fe—Fe separation in $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu$ -N-xylyl)] is 2.974(4) Å while that in $[(Fe^{III}salen)_2(\mu$ -N-p-tolyl)] is significantly longer at 3.399(3) Å. The shorter distance in $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu$ -N-xylyl)] is most likely a result of three bridging groups versus the single bridging group in $[(Fe^{III}salen)_2(\mu$ -N-p-tolyl)]. The Fe1A—N1C—Fe1B angle is 105.37(8)° compared with 129.6(6)° in $[(Fe^{III}salen)_2(\mu$ -N-p-tolyl)].

3.3 H-Bonding Networks

The nonbridging urea arms from each ligand form intramolecular hydrogen bonds in each of the two complexes. For $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$, the two arms containing N17A and N17B are Hbonded to the bridging oxo group. The N···O distances are 2.935(5) and 3.062(4) Å, which are consistent with H-bonds. This mode of interaction is not observed for $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]$ where intramolecular H-bonds involving the bridging imido ligand might be anticipated. However, the nitrogen atom of the bridging arylimido ligand is not expected to have as much electron density as a μ -oxo ligand. Moreover, the larger xylyl imido group may affect the intramolecular H-bond network. Therefore, the urea arms are found to H-bond with the oxygen atoms O6A and O6B of the bridging ureate, forming NH···O=C H-bonds at distances of 2.975 (3) and 3.023(2) Å. In addition, the methyl groups of the tertiary amines, N1A and N1B, have different orientations in the two complexes: in $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$ they are positioned anti to the dimer core, whereas in $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]$ the methyls are syn, flanking the xylyl group. Taken together, these results suggest that during complex formation, the H-bond donating arms can adjust to the nature of the bridging species within the molecule.

The oxidation chemistry observed for $[Fe^{II}H_21(\eta-OAc)]^-$ contrasts that found for iron(II) complexes of the tripodal ligand, $[H_3buea]^{3-}$, which yield monomeric Fe(III) complexes with terminal oxo or hydroxo ligands. The more protective cavity of the tripodal ligands in conjunction with the extensive H-bond network surrounding the Fe(III)—O(H) units stabilizes the monomeric species. Similarily, we have found that monomeric Fe(III)-amido complexes are formed when Fe(II) tripodal complexes are treated with aryl azides. Our studies with the iron tripodal complexes suggest that high valent Fe^{IV} species are the likely intermediates during the activation of dioxygen or arylazides^{xvi} For $[Fe^{II}(H_21)(\eta^2-OAc)]^-$, where the metal center is more exposed, a dimerization process would be likely and lead to the formation of the observed products. Numerous examples of heme and non-heme complexes with Fe^{III}—O—Fe^{III} unit are know and proceed through a similar dimerization route.^{xvii} In addition, a analogous pathway with arylazides has been proposed in the formation of $[(Fe^{III}salen)_2(\mu-N-p-tolyl)]$.^{xiv}

4. Summary

We have reported the preparations and structural properties for $[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-X)]$ complexes formed upon the oxidation of $[Fe^{II}(H_21)(\eta^2-OAc)]^-$ with dioxygen and xylyl azide. Both dimers display bridging μ -1,3-(κ N: κ O)-ureate linkages, which are becoming more prevalent in coordination chemistry.^{xviii} [(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(μ -N-xylyl)] exhibits an unusual bridging imido ligand with this being only the second example reported. The μ -oxo Fe^{III} dimer contains two intramolecular H-bonds to the bridging oxo, which is rare in synthetic complexes, but is common in metalloproteins with Fe—(O)_n—Fe cores.^{xix} The intramolecular H-bond donors within these dimers can "sense" the presence of a bridging species and rearrange to its size and electron density.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NIH (GM50781) for financial support of this work. The National Science Foundation (CHE-0079282) and the University of Kansas funded the purchase of the X-ray instrumentation.

References

- i. a) Jensen MP, Mehn MP, Que L Jr. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003;42:4357. b) Feichtinger D, Plattner DA. Chem Eur J 2001;7:591. c) Du Bois J, Tomooka CS, Hong J, Carreira EM. Acc Chem Res 1997;30:364. d) Groves JT, Lee J, Marla SS. J Am Chem Soc 1997;119:6229. e) Brandt P, Södergren MJ, Anderson PG, Norrby PO. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:8013.
- ii. a) Wigley DE. Prog Inorg Chem 1994;42:239. b) Eikey RA, Abu-Omar MM. Coord Chem Rev 2003;243:83.
- iii. Recent examples:a) Mindiola DJ, Hillhouse GL. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:4623. [PubMed: 11457258] b) Jenkins DM, Betley TA, Peters JC. J Am Chem Soc 2002;124:11238. [PubMed: 12236716] c) Thyagarajan S, Shay DT, Incarvito CD, Rheingold AL, Theopold KH. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:4440. [PubMed: 12683812] d) Eikey RA, Khan SI, Abu-Omar MM. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2002;41:3592. e) Dai X, Kapoor P, Warren TH. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:4957. g) Jenson MP, Mehn MP, Que L Jr. Angew Chem, Int Ed 2003;42:4357. h) Nazif AK, Nazif TN, Achim C, Lee SC. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:11013.
- 4. Borovik AS. Acc Chem Res 2005;38:54. references therein. [PubMed: 15654737]
- v. a) MacBeth CE, Golombek AP, Young VG Jr, Yang C, Kuczera K, Hendrich MP, Borovik AS. Science 2000;289:938. [PubMed: 10937994] b) MacBeth CE, Gupta R, Mitchell-Koch KR, Young VG Jr, Lushington GH, Thompson WH, Hendrich MP, Borovik AS. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:2556. [PubMed: 14982465]
- vi. Lucas RL, Powell DR, Borovik AS. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:11597.
- vii. a) Hammes BS, Young VG Jr, Borovik AS. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1999;38:666. b) MacBeth CE, Hammes BS, Young VG Jr, Borovik AS. Inorg Chem 2001;40:4733. [PubMed: 11511223]
- viii. Zart MK, Sorrell TN, Powell D, Borovik AS. Dalton Trans 2003:1986.
- ix. Murata S, Abe S, Tomioka H. J Org Chem 1997;62:3055. [PubMed: 11671685]
- x. a) Data Collection: SMART Software Reference Manual. Bruker-AXS; Madison, WI: 1994. b) wData Reduction: SAINT Software Reference Manual. Bruker-AXS; Madison, WI: 1995.
- xi. Sheldrick, GM. SADABS: Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data. University of Göttingen; Germany: 2000.
- xii. a) Sheldrick, GM. SHELXTL Version 5 Reference Manual. Bruker-AXS; Madison, WI: 1994. b) International Tables for Crystallography. Vol. C. Kluwer Academic Publishers; Norwell, MA: 1995.
- xiii. Kurtz DM. Chem Rev 1990;90:585.
- xiv. Nichols PJ, Fallon GD, Murray KS, West BO. Inorg Chem 1988;27:2795.
- xv. a) Verma AK, Nazif TN, Achim C, Lee SC. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:11013. b) Brown SD, Betley TA, Peters JC. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:322. [PubMed: 12517130]
- xvi. Lucas RL, Powell DR, Borovik AS. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:11597.
- xvii. Chin DH, LaMar GN, Balch AL. J Am Chem Soc 1980;102:4344.
- xviii. a) Meyer F, Pritzkow H. Chem Commun 1998:1555. b) Kryativ SV, Nazarenko AY, Robinson PD, Rybak-Akimova EV. Chem Commun 2000:921. c) Gupta R, Zhang ZH, Powell DR, Hendrich MP, Borovik AS. Inorg Chem 2002;41:5100. [PubMed: 12354043]
- xix. a) Du Bois J, Mizoguchi TJ, Lippard SJ. Coord Chem Rev 2000;443:200–202. b) Que L Jr, Tolman WB. Angew Chem Int, Ed 2002;41:1114.



Figure 1.

Molecular structure of $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu-O)]$. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Non-urea hydrogen atoms and the methyl groups of the *tert*-butyl functionalities have been removed for clarity.

Zart et al.



Figure 2.

Molecular structure of $[(Fe^{III}H_2\mathbf{1})_2(\mu-N-xylyl)]$. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Non-urea hydrogen atoms and the methyl groups of the *tert*-butyl functionalities have been removed for clarity.





Conditions: (a) 0.5 equiv. TMANO or 0.25 equiv. O_2 , DMA, rt, Ar; (b) 0.5 equiv. N_3 Xylyl, DMA, rt, Ar.

-

Table 1

 $Crystallographic \ data \ for \ [(Fe^{III}H_2 1)_2(\mu - O)] \ and \ [(Fe^{III}H_2 1)_2(\mu - N - xylyl)] \cdot CH_2 Cl_2$

	$[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$	$[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu\text{-}N\text{-}xylyl)]\text{-}CH_2Cl_2$
molecular formula	$C_{30}H_{62}Fe_2N_{10}O_5$	$C_{39}H_{73}Cl_{2}Fe_{2}N_{11}O_{4}$
formula weight	754.60	942.68
T (K)	100(2)	100(2)
space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ /c	PI
a (Å)	11.9219(10)	11.9975(7)
b (Å)	20.3802(17)	13.5221(8)
c (Å)	15.9341(13)	16.3671(9)
a(deg)	90	78.507(2)
β(deg)	103.349(2)	73.002(2)
γ(deg)	90	72.102(2)
Z	4 (Z' = 1)	2 (Z' =1)
V (Å ³)	3781.9(5)	2398.9(2)
δ calc (Mg/m ³)	1.325	1.305
R^{a}	0.0572	0.00480
$R_{\rm w}^{b}$	0.1279	0.1292
$\mathrm{GOF}^{\mathcal{C}}$	0.999	1.022

 $\label{eq:R} ^{a}R = [\Sigma |\Delta F| / \Sigma |F_{\rm O}|].$

 ${}^{b}R_{\rm W} = [\Sigma \omega (\Delta F)^2 / \Sigma \omega F_{\rm O}{}^2]. \label{eq:RW}$

 c goodness of fit on F².

Table 2

Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -O)] and [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -N-xylyl)]·CH₂Cl₂.^{*a*}

Bond lengths or angles	$[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu-O)]$	$[(Fe^{III}H_21)_2(\mu\text{-}N\text{-}xylyl)]\text{-}CH_2Cl_2$
Fe1A—X	1.818(3)	1.872(2)
Fe1B—X	1.827(3)	1.867(3)
Fe1A—N1A	2.260(3)	2.266(2)
Fe1A—N4A	2.045(3)	2.034(2)
Fe1A—N14A	2.007(3)	2.011(2)
Fe1A—O6A	1.973(3)	2.057(1)
Fe1B—N1B	2.302(3)	2.288(2)
Fe1B—N4B	2.047(4)	2.037(2)
Fe1B—N14B	1.997(3)	2.013(20
Fe1B—O6A	1.984(3)	2.051(2)
N17A…X	3.062(4)	_
N17B…X	2.935(5)	_
Fe1A…Fe1B	3.005(3)	2.9741(4)
Fe1A-X-Fe1B	111.02(2)	105.37(8)
N1A-Fe1A-X	174.4(1)	101.91(7)
N1A-Fe1A-N4A	76.9(1)	74.84(7)
N1A-Fe1A-N14A	77.7(1)	79.01(7)
N1A-Fe1A-O6B	89.5(1)	151.34(6)
N4A-Fe1A-X	97.9(1)	105.99(7)
N4A-Fe1A-N14A	129.9(1)	133.96(7)
N4A-Fe1A-O6B	114.8(1)	89.27(7)
N14A-Fe1A-X	104.3(1)	116.15(7)
N14A-Fe1A-O6B	110.7(1)	96.45(7)
O6B-Fe1A-X	94.6(1)	105.37(6)
N1B-Fe1B-X	172.7(1)	105.81(7)
N1B-Fe1B-N4B	77.1(1)	75.83(7)
N1B-Fe1B-N14B	79.0(1)	79.25(7)
N1B-Fe1B-O6A	88.7(1)	147.13(6)
N4B-Fe1B-X	95.7(1)	105.53(7)
N4B-Fe1B-N14B	126.1(1)	136.67(7)
N4B-Fe1B-O6A	123.3(1)	86.09(7)
N14B-Fe1B-X	106.5(1)	115.14(7)
N14B-Fe1B-O6A	103.4(1)	96.65(6)
O6A-Fe1B-X	94.5(1)	105.37(7)

 a X denotes the bridging atom for each species: O1 for [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -O)] and N1C for [(Fe^{III}H₂1)₂(μ -N-xylyl)]·CH₂Cl₂.