



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009 November ; 18(11): 3075–3078. doi:
10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0680.

Urinary Prostaglandin E₂ metabolite and Gastric Cancer Risk in the Shanghai Women's Health Study

Linda M Dong¹, Xiao-Ou Shu², Yu-Tang Gao³, Ginger Milne², Bu-Tian Ji¹, Gong Yang², Hong-Lan Li³, Nathaniel Rothman¹, Wei Zheng², Wong-Ho Chow¹, and Christian C Abnet¹

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

²Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA

³Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Shanghai, China

Abstract

Chronic inflammation has been implicated in the etiology of gastric cancer. Prostaglandin-E₂(PGE₂) is one of the major end products of the COX-2 pathway, an enzyme that is an important mediator of inflammation. Using a novel method of quantifying the primary urinary metabolite of PGE₂(PGE-M, 11 alpha-hydroxy-9,15-dioxo-2,3,4,5-tetranorprostane-1,20-dioic acid), we evaluated urinary PGE-M concentrations in association with subsequent risk of development of gastric cancer in the Shanghai Women's Health Study, a large population-based prospective cohort, using a nested case-control study design. Controls were matched(1:1) to 153 gastric cancer cases by menopausal status; age, time and date of sample collection; time interval since last meal and availability of urine sample. Odds ratios(OR) and 95% confidence intervals(95% CI) were calculated using conditional logistic regression adjusted for potential confounders. Baseline urinary PGE-M levels were slightly higher among gastric cancer cases with a median of 6.4 ng/mg creatinine (interquartile range: 3.4–11.2) compared to 5.4 among controls (interquartile range: 2.8–9.0) but this difference was not statistically significant (Wilcoxon p-value=0.34). With increasing quartiles of urinary PGE-M levels, the ORs for risk of gastric cancer increased in quartiles 2, 3, and 4: 1.00 (95% CI:0.48–2.08), 1.40 (95% CI:0.67–2.91) and 1.98 (95% CI:0.95–4.13), with a statistically significant test for trend (p=0.04). The association persisted after additional adjustment for *H. pylori* status, and was slightly strengthened among non-NSAID users, subjects with positive *H. pylori* status, and for cases diagnosed within 46 months after study enrollment. Our findings suggest that higher levels of urinary PGE-M, a marker of inflammation, may be associated with gastric cancer risk.

Keywords

gastric cancer; prostaglandins; inflammation; urinary marker

Introduction

Regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) has been associated with a reduced risk of cancer at several sites, predominantly gastrointestinal cancers (1,2). A growing number of epidemiologic studies conducted thus far support an inverse association between

Corresponding Author: Linda Dong, Division of Cancer Epidemiology & Genetics, National Cancer Institute, 6120 Executive Boulevard, MSC 7240, Bethesda, MD 20892; donglm@mail.nih.gov; Tel: (301) 451-5034; Fax: (301) 402-1819.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

NSAID use and risk of gastric cancer (3–7), including three recent reviews/meta-analyses (8–10). The primary mechanism through which NSAIDs may exert a chemopreventive effect is through the inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) production, an enzyme that is an important mediator of inflammation through the synthesis of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid (11,12). This mechanism may be particularly relevant for gastric cancer since *H. pylori* infection and subsequent persistent inflammation and oxidative stress are well established risk factors in the initiation and progression of gastric cancer (13). COX-2 is not highly expressed in the gastric mucosa, but an increased expression of COX-2, further induced by growth factors and cytokines, has been detected in gastric tumors (14,15).

Prostaglandin-E₂ (PGE) is an end product of the COX-2 pathway. This metabolite has been reported to induce cell proliferation and motility, inhibit apoptosis, and have inflammatory effects (16–18). A novel method of quantifying the primary urinary metabolite of PGE₂ (PGE-M, 11 alpha-hydroxy-9,15-dioxo-2,3,4,5-tetranorpropane-1,20-dioic acid) was recently developed (19). The measurement of urinary metabolites is considered to be a more complete capture of prostaglandin production as it will reflect a combination of prostaglandins from both the blood stream, as well as the kidney (19,20). To test whether urinary PGE-M was associated with risk of gastric cancer, we conducted a nested case-control study in a large prospective cohort study in Shanghai, China.

Materials and Methods

Study population

The Shanghai Women's Health Study is a population-based prospective cohort study of women residing in Shanghai, China. A detailed description of the study methodology has been published elsewhere (21). In brief, from 1996 to 2000, 74,942 women aged 40–70 years residing in Shanghai were recruited into the study. At baseline, detailed in-person interviews were conducted by trained interviewers to collect questionnaire information, yielding a response rate of 93%. Data was collected on demographic characteristics, personal habits, dietary habits, water drinking, physical activity, residential history, occupational history, family history of cancer, disease and surgery history, menstrual history, reproductive history and hormone use, and weight history. Body measurements were also taken. Among cohort members, 56,831 (76%) women provided a blood sample and 65,754 (88%) women provided a spot urine sample, which was collected into a sterilized 100-ml cup containing 125 mg of ascorbic acid. Samples were kept in a portable insulated bag with ice packs (0–4°C) and processed within 6 hours for long-term storage at –70°C. Each woman also filled out a biospecimen collection form at the time of sample collection, which included information on the date and time of sample collection, time of last meal and use of any medications over the previous 24 hours and week. *H. pylori* infection was determined using *H. pylori* enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (Biohit ELISA kit, Finland) to detect serum IgG antibodies. In follow-up surveys, interviewers were able to interview and follow-up with 99.8% (2000–2002), 98.7% (2002–2004), and 96.7% (2004–2007) of cohort members or their next of kin.

Included in the nested case-control study are 153 incident gastric cancer cases and individually matched controls that provided a urine sample at the baseline survey. Incident gastric cancer cases were identified through in-person follow-up interviews and by linking to the Shanghai Cancer Registry and the Shanghai Vital Statistics Unit. Controls were randomly selected from cohort members and matched to cases by age at sample collection (± 2 years), menopausal status, time of sample collection (morning or afternoon), date of sample collection (± 1 month), time interval since last meal (± 2 hours), and availability of urine and plasma sample. Controls were also free of any cancer at the time of cancer diagnosis for their corresponding case. No subjects were allowed to be sampled multiple times.

Urinary PGE-M measurement

Measurement of urinary PGE-M (11 alpha-hydroxy-9,15-dioxo-2,3,4,5-tetranorpropane-1,20-dioic acid) using a liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric method has been described in detail elsewhere (19,22). In brief, 0.75 ml of urine was pH adjusted with HCl and endogenous PGE-M was converted to the *O*-methyloxime derivative. After incubating for an hour, methoximated PGE-M was extracted, applied to a C-18 Sep-Pak, and eluted with ethyl acetate. Using an internal standard of [²H₆]*O*-methyloxime PGE-M (6.2 ng in 10 μg ethanol), samples were analyzed by liquid chromatography on a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column attached to a ThermoFinnigan Surveyor MS Pump (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA). For endogenous PGE-M, the predominant product ion *m/z* 336 representing [M-(OCH₃+H₂O)]⁻ and the analogous ion, *m/z* 339 [M-OC (²H₃+H₂O)]⁻, for the deuterated internal standard were monitored in the selected reaction monitoring mode. Quantification of endogenous PGE-M was based on the ratio of the mass chromatogram peak areas of the *m/z* 336 and *m/z* 339 ions. Urinary creatinine levels were measured using a kit (Sigma Company, St Louis, MO). Staff was blinded to case/control status and duplicate quality control samples interspersed among samples. The intraclass correlation among 15 duplicate QC samples was 89%. We successfully measured 144 of 153 case/control pairs.

Statistical analyses

Urinary PGE-M levels were standardized using the urinary creatinine levels of each sample and are expressed as ng/mg creatinine. Values were also log-transformed due to a skewed distribution, and differences between cases and controls were estimated using paired t-tests and Wilcoxon signed rank tests. The distribution of PGE-M levels among controls was used to determine cut points for quartiles. Conditional logistic regression, adjusted for body mass index (BMI: kg/m²), education, fruit and vegetable intake, smoking status, recent NSAID use, and family history of gastric cancer, was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for the association between urinary PGE-M levels and gastric cancer. Tests for trend were calculated by modeling a variable coded 0, 1, 2 and 3. Sensitivity analyses including additional adjustments for *H. pylori*, and stratified analyses by recent NSAID use, *H. pylori* status, and the time between urine collection and cancer development were also conducted. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Baseline characteristics of 144 successfully measured pairs of matched gastric cancer cases and controls are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between cases and controls in age, BMI, education, and family history of gastric cancer. Few individuals smoked, drank alcohol, used NSAIDs or multivitamins in this cohort. Controls had a slightly higher intake of fruits and vegetables than cases with borderline significance. Most cases and controls (~95%) tested positive for *H. pylori* IgG antibodies. A median of 46.3 months passed between urine sample collection and gastric cancer diagnosis.

Baseline urinary PGE-M levels were slightly higher among gastric cancer cases (geometric mean =5.52 ng/mg creatinine; median =6.4 ng/mg creatinine) than controls (geometric mean =4.86 ng/mg creatinine; median =5.4 ng/mg creatinine) but this difference was not statistically significant (paired t-test p-value=0.30; Wilcoxon p-value=0.34).

When urinary PGE-M levels were considered as a categorical variable, the odds ratios for women in the highest versus the lowest quartile were associated with an approximate two-fold increase in risk of gastric cancer (OR: 1.98, 95% CI: 0.95–4.13; Table 2) and had a significant test for trend across quartiles (p = 0.04). Restricting the analysis to pairs that were positive for *H. pylori* (n=121 pairs, OR: 2.22, 95% CI: 0.97–5.09; p for trend=0.05) or those who did not

report recent NSAID use (n=119 pairs, OR: 2.57, 95% CI: 1.14–5.80; p for trend=0.02) slightly increased the risk estimates associated with the highest quartile of urinary PGE-M levels.

We further evaluated the association between urinary PGE-M and gastric cancer by stratifying on the median time (46.3 months) between urine collection and cancer development. Although both strata show increased risk with increasing PGE-M quartiles, the positive association appears to be more pronounced among those who were diagnosed with gastric cancer within 46 months (OR:2.75, 95% CI: 0.84–8.94) than those diagnosed more than 46 months (OR: 1.48, 95% CI: 0.52–4.20; Table 3). To further evaluate whether this association may be due to undiagnosed early gastric cancers, we excluded cases diagnosed within the first year (n=17 cases) and the first two years (n=30 cases) of follow-up after urine collection. The ORs associated with the highest quartile of urinary PGE-M levels were 1.46 (95% CI: 0.67–3.16; p for trend=0.20) and 1.74 (95% CI: 0.77–3.93; p for trend=0.16), respectively, demonstrating a continued increase in risk after excluding potential undiagnosed early gastric cancers within the first two years.

Discussion

In this prospective study, we found that higher concentrations of urinary PGE-M were associated with higher risk of gastric cancer. The positive association with urinary PGE-M levels persisted after additional adjustment for important gastric cancer risk factors and exclusion of one or two years of initial follow-up, which should exclude occult gastric cancers. Risk associated with the highest level of PGE-M appeared stronger for cases diagnosed within the first four years of follow-up in particular. Our findings suggest that higher levels of this urinary metabolite, a marker of inflammation, are associated with gastric cancer development.

From our evaluation of risk at different time intervals before cancer diagnosis, it is possible that PGE-M may serve as both a marker of underlying inflammation, as well as a marker that reflects an upregulated COX-2 pathway associated with impending gastric cancer development. The timing of when the sample was taken in relation to cancer development appears to be a factor that should be taken under consideration. However, as an increased risk was still apparent after excluding those who were diagnosed with gastric cancer within the first two years, so undiagnosed gastric cancers probably do not explain this association.

This is the first study to evaluate urinary PGE-M levels in relation to gastric cancer. Epidemiologic studies investigating the role of inflammation have demonstrated a consistently inverse association between NSAID use and gastric cancer (8–10). A number of studies exploring the underlying mechanism have proposed that this association occurs through the inhibition of enzymes in the COX-2 pathway (11,23). Expression of COX-2 appears to be highly elevated in gastric cancer tissues while COX-1 is not, which suggests that COX-2 is the more important enzyme in PGE₂ production in gastric cancer cells (15). Another study has described overexpression of microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 (mPGES-1), an inducible enzyme involved in the synthesis of PGE₂, in gastric carcinomas (24). 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase (15-PGDH), a key enzyme in prostaglandin degradation, was also recently identified in gastric carcinomas (25). The expression of 15-PGDH appears to be downregulated by COX-2 in gastric cancer tissues, thus contributing to increasing levels of PGE₂ (25). The results from the current study with gastric cancer are consistent with results from our previous study evaluating urinary PGE-M in relation to risk of colorectal cancer, a tumor that also has a well-established inflammatory mechanism and inverse association with NSAID use (22). The strength of the association with gastric cancer is more modest than that for colorectal cancer and PGE-M seems unlikely to show utility as a screening test for gastric cancer, rather it may provide insight into the mechanism of gastric carcinogenesis.

One of the strengths of our study is that it is nested within a prospective cohort. In addition, there was a high proportion of follow-up (99.8%) and a large proportion of the cohort provided prediagnostic urine samples. A potential source of variation among samples could come from the use of NSAIDs prior to biospecimen collection. It has been previously reported that NSAID use within the previous 48 hours modifies levels of PGE-M and would thus not result in an accurate measurement (26). NSAID use was very low among study participants, but we also took this into account during our analyses through both exclusion and adjustment. We used spot urine samples collected at baseline, but this hypothesis might be bolstered if tested in urine samples collected at several different time points. Another limitation of our study is the small sample size. Additional studies with a larger number of gastric cancer cases are needed to replicate and confirm these findings.

In conclusion, the results from this study suggest that increasing levels of urinary PGE-M, a marker of COX-2 activity, may be associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer among women, which offers further evidence of the importance of COX-2 in gastric cancer development.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their appreciation to the Shanghai residents who participated in the study and thank the research staff of the Shanghai Women's Health Study for their dedication and contributions to the study.

Funding: This research was supported by National Institute of Health research grant R01 CA70867 and by the Intramural Research Program contract N02 CP1101066.

References

1. Grau MV, Rees JR, Baron JA. Chemoprevention in gastrointestinal cancers: current status. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 2006;98:281–287. [PubMed: 16611203]
2. Baron JA, Sandler RS. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cancer prevention. *Annu Rev Med* 2000;51:511–523. [PubMed: 10774479]
3. Fortuny J, Johnson CC, Bohlke K, et al. Use of anti-inflammatory drugs and lower esophageal sphincter-relaxing drugs and risk of esophageal and gastric cancers. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:1154–1159. [PubMed: 17644046]e3
4. Lindblad M, Lagergren J, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of esophageal and gastric cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:444–450. [PubMed: 15734971]
5. Akre K, Ekstrom AM, Signorello LB, Hansson LE, Nyren O. Aspirin and risk for gastric cancer: a population-based case-control study in Sweden. *Br J Cancer* 2001;84:965–968. [PubMed: 11286478]
6. Farrow DC, Vaughan TL, Hansten PD, et al. Use of aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of esophageal and gastric cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998;7:97–102. [PubMed: 9488582]
7. Gammon MD, Terry MB, Arber N, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use associated with reduced incidence of adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and gastric cardia that overexpress cyclin D1: a population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:34–39. [PubMed: 14744730]
8. Gonzalez-Perez A, Garcia Rodriguez LA, Lopez-Ridaura R. Effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on cancer sites other than the colon and rectum: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2003;3:28. [PubMed: 14588079]
9. Wang WH, Huang JQ, Zheng GF, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and the risk of gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1784–1791. [PubMed: 14652240]
10. Abnet CC, Freedman ND, Kamangar F, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of gastric and oesophageal adenocarcinomas: results from a cohort study and a meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer*. 2009

11. Vane JR. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirin-like drugs. *Nat New Biol* 1971;231:232–235. [PubMed: 5284360]
12. Whittle BJ, Higgs GA, Eakins KE, Moncada S, Vane JR. Selective inhibition of prostaglandin production in inflammatory exudates and gastric mucosa. *Nature* 1980;284:271–273. [PubMed: 6767192]
13. Correa P. The biological model of gastric carcinogenesis. *IARC Sci Publ* 2004;301–310. [PubMed: 15055303]
14. Lim HY, Joo HJ, Choi JH, et al. Increased expression of cyclooxygenase-2 protein in human gastric carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000;6:519–525. [PubMed: 10690533]
15. Ristimaki A, Honkanen N, Jankala H, Sipponen P, Harkonen M. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human gastric carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:1276–1280. [PubMed: 9102213]
16. Konturek SJ, Konturek PC, Hartwich A, Hahn EG. Helicobacter pylori infection and gastrin and cyclooxygenase expression in gastric and colorectal malignancies. *Regul Pept* 2000;93:13–19. [PubMed: 11033048]
17. Sheng H, Shao J, Morrow JD, Beauchamp RD, DuBois RN. Modulation of apoptosis and Bcl-2 expression by prostaglandin E2 in human colon cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 1998;58:362–366. [PubMed: 9443418]
18. Sheng H, Shao J, Washington MK, DuBois RN. Prostaglandin E2 increases growth and motility of colorectal carcinoma cells. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:18075–18081. [PubMed: 11278548]
19. Murphey LJ, Williams MK, Sanchez SC, et al. Quantification of the major urinary metabolite of PGE2 by a liquid chromatographic/mass spectrometric assay: determination of cyclooxygenase-specific PGE2 synthesis in healthy humans and those with lung cancer. *Anal Biochem* 2004;334:266–275. [PubMed: 15494133]
20. Frolich JC, Wilson TW, Sweetman BJ, et al. Urinary prostaglandins. Identification and origin. *J Clin Invest* 1975;55:763–770. [PubMed: 1120781]
21. Zheng W, Chow WH, Yang G, et al. The Shanghai Women's Health Study: rationale, study design, and baseline characteristics. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:1123–1131. [PubMed: 16236996]
22. Cai Q, Gao YT, Chow WH, et al. Prospective study of urinary prostaglandin E2 metabolite and colorectal cancer risk. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:5010–5016. [PubMed: 17075120]
23. Lewis GP, Piper PJ. Inhibition of release of prostaglandins as an explanation of some of the actions of anti-inflammatory corticosteroids. *Nature* 1975;254:308–311. [PubMed: 163980]
24. van Rees BP, Sivula A, Thoren S, et al. Expression of microsomal prostaglandin E synthase-1 in intestinal type gastric adenocarcinoma and in gastric cancer cell lines. *Int J Cancer* 2003;107:551–556. [PubMed: 14520691]
25. Liu Z, Wang X, Lu Y, et al. Expression of 15-PGDH is downregulated by COX-2 in gastric cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2008;29:1219–1227. [PubMed: 18174234]
26. Johnson JC, Schmidt CR, Shrubsole MJ, et al. Urine PGE-M: A metabolite of prostaglandin E2 as a potential biomarker of advanced colorectal neoplasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;4:1358–1365. [PubMed: 16996805]

Table 1

Characteristics at baseline for women from the Shanghai Women's Health Study

Characteristics	Case (n=144)	Control (n=144)	p-value*
Age, years	59.0 ± 8.6	59.1 ± 8.4	0.64
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.8 ± 3.4	24.7 ± 3.5	0.78
Education, High School or more	34 (23.6)	45 (31.3)	0.15
Family history of gastric cancer, yes	13 (9.0)	14 (9.7)	0.84
Smoking status, ever	9 (6.3)	8 (5.6)	0.80
Alcohol use, ever	3 (2.1)	2 (1.4)	0.65
NSAID/cold medication use, within past day	10 (6.9)	15 (10.4)	0.30
H.pylori positive [†]	128 (96.2)	126 (94.7)	0.55
Regular multiple vitamin use	9 (6.3)	9 (6.3)	0.99
Fruits/vegetables intake, g/d	490.6 ± 268.7	552.7 ± 297.0	0.05
Fruits, g/d	218.3 ± 159.4	252.9 ± 186.2	0.08
All vegetables, g/d	272.3 ± 162.9	299.9 ± 161.9	0.13
Meat intake, g/d	44.4 ± 33.9	47.3 ± 36.6	0.50

Continuous variables are displayed as means ± standard deviation and frequencies are displayed as counts (percentage)

* P-values are calculated from paired t-test for continuous variables and Chi² test for categorical variables

[†] Data on H. pylori status available only on n=270

Table 2

Association of urinary PGE-M levels and risk of gastric cancer

	PGE-M (quartiles, ng/mg creatinine)				p for trend*
	1 (< 2.83)	2 ($2.83-5.36$)	3 ($5.37-9.16$)	4 (≥ 9.17)	
No. of cases	29	28	39	48	
No. of controls	36	37	37	34	
OR (95% CI) [†]	1.00	0.96 (0.48-1.92)	1.38 (0.68-2.80)	1.87 (0.93-3.75)	0.05
OR (95% CI) [‡]	1.00	1.00 (0.48-2.08)	1.40 (0.67-2.91)	1.98 (0.95-4.13)	0.04

* P value for linear trend tested by including a variable coded 0, 1, 2, and 3

[†] Unadjusted estimates[‡] Adjusted for body mass index, education, fruit and vegetable intake, smoking status, NSAID use, and family history of gastric cancer

Table 3

Association of urinary PGE-M levels and risk of gastric cancer stratified by median time interval between urine collection and cancer diagnosis

Time after Urine Collection	1 (<2.83)	PGE-M (quartiles, ng/mg creatinine)			p for trend
		2 ($2.83-5.36$)	3 ($5.37-9.16$)	4 (≥ 9.17)	
≤ 46.3 mos (72 pairs)					
No. of cases	15	13	19	25	
No. of controls	19	16	22	15	
OR (95% CI) [†]	1.00	1.10 (0.38-3.22)	1.26 (0.43-3.66)	2.75 (0.84-8.94)	0.09
> 46.3 mos (72 pairs)					
No. of cases	14	15	20	23	
No. of controls	17	21	15	19	
OR (95% CI) [†]	1.00	0.99 (0.34-2.90)	1.96 (0.62-6.17)	1.48 (0.52-4.20)	0.29

* P value for linear trend tested by including a variable coded 0, 1, 2, and 3

[†] Adjusted for body mass index, education, fruit and vegetable intake, smoking status, NSAID use, and family history of gastric cancer