

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Adolesc Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 1.

Published in final edited form as:

J Adolesc Health. 2009 December ; 45(6): 543–550. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.03.023.

Adolescents' Nonmedical Use of Prescription Medications and

Other Problem Behaviors

Carol J. Boyd, PhD, MSN, FAAN^{*},

Institute for Research on Women and Gender, School of Nursing, University of Michigan

Amy Young, PhD,

Institute for Research on Women and Gender, University of Michigan

Melissa Grey, PhD, and

Department of Psychology, Eastern Michigan University

Sean Esteban McCabe, PhD, MSW

Substance Abuse Research Center, Institute for Research on Women and Gender, University of Michigan

Abstract

Purpose—This study examines adolescent nonmedical use of prescription medications (NUPM) and its relationship to other problem behaviors.

Methods—A secondary analysis was conducted with data gathered from 912 adolescents in 2007. Four mutually exclusive groups were created from the data. Adolescents who: 1) did not use controlled prescription medications (non-users); 2) used their own controlled medications as prescribed (medical-users); 3) engaged in nonmedical use for self-treatment motivations (self-treaters), and 4) engaged in nonmedical use for sensation-seeking motivations (sensation-seekers). These four groups were compared on problem behaviors as well as depression and impulsivity.

Results—Approximately 10.9% of the sample engaged in NUPM and 36.8% had a legal prescription for a controlled medication. Sensation-seekers were more likely to engage in most problem behaviors when compared to all other groups, impulsivity and depression was variable among groups.

Conclusions—The findings suggest there are different subtypes of nonmedical users of prescription medications.

Introduction

Nonmedical use of prescription medications (NUPM) is an emerging problem behavior that is associated with diversion and poly-drug abuse [1-12]. Given that NUPM prevalence rates are high in populations under 25 years and that NUPM is associated with other forms of drug abuse [1-3;6-10], it is critical that this form of substance use be studied.

Send Correspondences to: Carol J. Boyd, PhD, MSN, 1136 Lane Hall, 204 S. State Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1290, 734-764-9537 (Tel); 734-764-9533 (Fax), caroboyd@umich.edu.

^{*}Dr. Boyd has full access to all the data reported in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Two national surveys provide epidemiological data on nonmedical use of prescription medications (NUPM) among U.S. adolescents. These studies –the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) and Monitoring the Future (MTF)—include measures of NUPM in annual population surveys of substance use behaviors.

In the NSDUH [13], "prescription-type" medications are separated into four classes: pain relievers, stimulants, sedatives, and tranquilizers. Twelve percent (12%) of youth aged 12-17 report the nonmedical use of prescription-type medications in their lifetimes, while 8.3% report past-year use and 3.3% report past-month use. Monitoring the Future [14-15] assesses NUPM among 8th, 10th, and 12th grade students in the U.S and reveals that since the early 1990s, the nonmedical use of narcotics has increased with 9% of 12th graders reporting NUPM within the past year [14].

Legitimate medical use of controlled prescription medication (particularly opioids) has also increased in the past decade [16]. Data from the ARCOS system indicate a substantial rise in the distribution of some controlled medications to youth between 2000 and 2005 [17]; however, the relationship between the rise in NUPM and increased medical use remains unclear, although youth data from Canada reveals a robust relationship between medical and nonmedical use of a controlled stimulants [18,19].

Jessor and colleagues developed the Problem Behavior Theory [20-24] in part to explain the co-occurrence of problem behaviors during adolescence. Problem Behavior Theory stipulates that a problem behavior is "behavior that departs from the norms of the larger society," a behavior that is either disapproved of by social institutions and/or elicits some form of social response (e.g. reproof, probation, incarceration). Problem behaviors that co-occur are considered part of problem behavior syndrome, which includes substance use, early sexual activity, delinquency, school truancy, and other socially deviant behaviors. Impulsiveness is a factor that has been found to influence problem behaviors such as substance abuse [25] and there appears to be a connection between sensation-seeking and substance use [26].

Problem Behavior Theory provides a useful model for understanding the strong association among various adolescent behaviors that are viewed by society as "deviant." A question remains, however, about adolescents' nonmedical use of prescription medications. Does it represent an isolated – albeit risky – behavior, or is it part of a larger set of problem behaviors? The answer may lie in an adolescent's motivation to engage in nonmedical use.

This current study builds on our earlier work [2,7]. Four mutually exclusive groups were compared: those adolescents who 1) never used controlled prescription medications (non-users); 2) used their own controlled medications prescribed to them (medical users); 3) engaged in nonmedical use for self-treatment motivations (self-treaters), and 4) engaged in nonmedical use for experimental or sensation-seeking motivations (sensation-seekers). Given that impulsivity and depression often occur as precursors to problem behaviors, we assessed the relationship of group membership with impulsivity and depression.

Hypotheses

- 1. Adolescents who engage in NUPM for sensation-seeking motivations will be significantly more likely to report additional problem behaviors when compared to adolescents who are self-treaters, medical users, or non-users.
- 2. Adolescents who engage in NUPM for self-treatment or sensation-seeking motivations will be significantly more likely to have higher impulsivity and depression scores compared to adolescents who are characterized as non-users.

Methods

This secondary analysis used 2007 cross-sectional data from one school district in southeastern Michigan. All students (1,514) in 7th through 12th grades attending the district's middle and high schools were recruited; 968 students returned their consent forms and thus, participated in the study (64% response rate). University IRB approval and a NIH Certificate of Confidentiality were obtained.

The Secondary Student Life Survey, a web-based survey, involves a procedure described in earlier studies [1-6], and relies on the use of hooded computers in classrooms. For classes with lower reading levels, research assistants read with students. The web-based survey method was selected because they have been shown to increase the reporting of highly sensitive behaviors compared to pencil-paper surveys [27,28].

Sample

The final 2007 sample consisted of 912 respondents in the 7th through 12th grades. In order to create our four mutually exclusive groups, we selected those who answered questions about prescription medications, including never having used. If respondents checked "rather not say" to any of the prescription medication questions they were excluded (n=41). Further, 15 respondents reported NUPM for reasons other than sensation-seeking or self-treatment with pain medications (e.g. "it helps me sleep" or "for other reasons.") These 15 cases were dropped given the ambiguity surrounding their motives.

Approximately half of respondents were female (52.6%) with 53.8% being African American and 43.5% being White. At the time of the survey, respondents' average age was 15 (SD = 1.74). Fifteen percent of the sample was in the 7th grade, 17.4 in 8th grade, 21.4% in 9th grade, 18.9% in 10th grade, 14.8% in 11th grade and 12.1% was in 12th grade.

Measurement

Demographic information was collected. Parental education was a nominal variable with the following categories: "less than high school" (1), "completed high school" (2), "some college" (3), "completed college" (4), "graduate or professional school" (5), or "don't know/ not applicable" or "rather not say. Both mother's and father's education were entered when used as covariates; hereafter, these variables are referred to as parental education.

For the questions related to following problem behavior variables (respondents were given an option to endorse "rather not say." If a student endorsed "rather not say," the data were coded as missing.

Binge drinking was assessed with a question adapted from MTF [15] and the College Alcohol Study [29]: "Over the past two weeks, on how many occasions have you had four (five for males) or more drinks in a row…"? Response options were: "none" (0), "once" (1), "twice" (2), "3-5 times" (3), "6-9 times" (4), "10 or more times."

Illicit drug use was assessed with 10 items adapted from the MTF study (marijuana, cocaine, LSD, other psychedelics, crystal meth, heroin, inhalants, ecstasy, GHB, and Rohypnol®). A count of the number of drugs reported for the past year was used to create an index of illicit drug use.

Gambling was measured with a single item: "On how many occasions have you gambled for money in the past 12 months?" The response options were: "never" (0), "1-2" (1), "3-5" (2), "6-9" (3), and "10+" (4).

School discipline was measured with three items that asked about past year detention, suspension, and other forms of school-based discipline. Response options included: "never" (0), "1-3 times" (1), "4-6 times" (2),"7-9 times" (3), and "10 or more times" (4). The

Sexual activity included four frequency items summed to create a measure of consensual sexual activity involving physical contact [30]. It was assessed with, "Please indicate how often you have engaged in the following activities: kissing someone you were interested in, making out, touching private parts, and having sexual intercourse." Response options were: "never" (0), "once" (1), "two or three times" (2), and "four or more times" (3).

three items were summed to create an ordinal index of school discipline.

Depression was measured by the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale [31]. The scale is a sum of how often each of 20 symptoms is reported in the past two weeks, with response options ranging from rarely (or none of the time) to most or all of the time, with a possible scale range from 0 to 60. The alpha coefficient for these 20 items is .84.

Impulsivity was measured with the Impulsivity subscale, part of the Impulsivity/Sensation-Seeking scale (Imp-SS) of the Zuckerman-Kuhlman Personality Questionnaire [32,33]. The seven-item scale assesses lack of planning and impulsivity and has a true-false format with score ranging from 0 to 7. The Imp/SS has a reported alpha coefficient of .72 [32].

Medical and nonmedical use of prescription medication were assessed as in previous studies [1,2,3,6]. Medical use was measured with the question: "Based on a health professional's prescription, on how many occasions in your lifetime [also asked in the past 12 months] have you used the following types of medications..." Nonmedical use was measured with the question: "On how many occasions in your lifetime [also in the past 12 months] have you used the following types of drugs not prescribed to you?"... The drug classes (with trade names included for examples) for both questions were: a) sleeping medication; (b) sedative/anxiety medication; (c) stimulant medication; and (d) pain medication. Response options ranged from "no occasions" to "40+ occasions" (and "rather not say"). Respondents' answers to each question were dichotomized to create a variable that indicated whether they used each medication in the past year.

Motivations to engage in NUPM were adapted from the MTF and possible motives used in previous research [2,7,11,34]. Respondents who reported any lifetime NUPM were asked to provide the reasons why they used each of the four drug classes nonmedically. Respondents were given a list of motivations and asked to check all that apply. Five motivations were listed for all four drug classes: 1) "because it gives me a high"; 2) "counteracts effects of other drugs"; 3) "is safer than street drugs"; 4) "experimentation"; and 5) "because I'm addicted." In addition, for the anxiety/sedative and sleeping drug classes, two other motivations were provided: "because it helps me sleep" and "because it helps decrease anxiety." For stimulant drugs, these additional motivations were provided: "to help with concentration," "to help with alertness," "to help me study," and "to lose weight." For pain medications, the motivation "to relieve pain" was also provided.

Data Analyses

Data analyses included 912 respondents and all statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 14.0. Prior to hypothesis testing, univariate and bivariate analyses were conducted. A fourlevel group variable was created with the aforementioned medical use, nonmedical use, and motivations to engage in NUPM items.

1. Respondents were characterized as non-users if they reported no prescription medication use – either medical or nonmedical – in the past year.

- **2.** Respondents were characterized as medical users if they reported having a prescription for a controlled medication during the past year but reported never engaging in NUPM.
- 3. Respondents were characterized as self-treaters if they reported past year nonmedical use for therapeutic reasons only. Self-treaters reported using pain medication because "it relieves pain"; sedative/anxiety medication because "it helps decrease anxiety" or "it helps me sleep"; sleep medications because "it helps me sleep," or stimulants because "it helps me concentrate," "it helps increase my alertness," or "it helps me study."
- 4. Respondents were characterized as sensation-seekers if they reported past year nonmedical use for motivations such as: "it gives me a high" and "it is safer than street drugs and experimentation." Any endorsement of sensation-seeking motives resulted in the respondent being classified as a sensation-seeker even if self-treatment motives were also endorsed.

Finally, 15 respondents reported NUPM for reasons other than sensation-seeking or selftreatment. The two reasons offered were "it helps me sleep" or for "other" reasons. These cases were dropped from the analyses given the ambiguity surrounding their motives to use pain medications.

To better understand how respondent characteristics related to group membership (i.e., nonusers, medical users, sensation-seekers, self-treaters), chi-square tests were used to examine group membership by gender, race, and parental education, and a one-way ANOVA was used to examine group membership by age. These analyses were followed by MANOVA to test the hypotheses. MANOVA was used to determine whether group membership (sensation-seekers, self-treaters, medical users, non-users) predicted higher scores on problem behaviors, impulsivity, and depression. Age, race, gender, and parental education were entered as covariates to control for any effect on problem behaviors; in turn, the covariance matrices generated by MANOVA took into account possible correlations among the various problem behaviors. Given that the school discipline variable was skewed, this variable was corrected with a log transformation prior to its inclusion in the hypothesis testing. The MANOVA test was followed by post-hoc comparison tests to determine which of the groups were different from each others; post hoc tests were adjusted for all pairwise comparisons using the Bonferroni correction.

Results

Over one-third of the sample (36.8%) reported having a legal prescription for at least one of the four controlled drug classes within the previous 12 months (see Table 2); however, 546 (59.9%) respondents reported "no annual use" of prescription medications. Seventy-one respondents (7.8%) reported nonmedical use for self-treatment motivations in the past year and 28 (3.1%) reported motivations related to sensation-seeking. Pain medication was the most frequently reported controlled medication used in the past year, both medically (32.5%) and nonmedically (10%). There were other forms of substance use as well: 148 respondents (16.2%) had used at least one illicit drug in their lifetimes, with approximately 8.7% of the sample reporting at least one binge drinking episode in the preceding two weeks (see Table 3).

Analyses revealed demographic differences in both medical and nonmedical use by gender, race, and age. A greater percentage of males reported no use of any prescription medications (males=67%, females=54%), and female respondents (10.7%) reported greater nonmedical use for self-treating motives than males (4.4%, χ^2 (3) = 22.72, P < .001). A larger percentage of white respondents (5.8%) reported sensation-seeking motives when compared to African-American/non-white respondents (1%, χ^2 (3) = 22.69, P < .001). Finally, sensation-seekers (M

= 15.96 SD = 1.35) tended to be older than non-users (M = 14.84 SD = 1.76), F (3,911) = 4.85, P = .002. There were no differences in parental education between users and non-users of prescription medication.

We found significant associations of age, race, gender, and father's education for several of the problem behaviors. For instance, males scored higher than females in gambling, amount disciplined, sexual activity, and impulsivity (gambling: males M = 2.33, SD = 1.78, females M = 1.32, SD = .81), F (1, 887) = 124.17, P < .001; amount disciplined: males M = 4.03, SD = 1.60, females M = 3.65, SD = 1.17), F (1, 907) = 16.71, P < .001; sexual activity: males M = 6.56, SD = 4.26, females M = 5.78, SD = 3.47), F (1, 870) = 8.86, P = .003); impulsivity: males M = 14.77, SD = 3.89, females M = 14.26, SD = 3.63), F (1, 910) = 4.17, P = .04). Father's education, but not mother's, had a significant association with depression F (3, 904) = 6.96, P = .04); those who did not know or would rather not say (M = 14.62 SD = 8.29) and those whose fathers had less than or completed high school (M = 14.04 SD = 8.92) reported greater depression than those with fathers who had some or completed college (M = 12.28 SD = 8.50) and completed graduate education (M = 10.18 SD = 6.35).

Table 5 provides a summary of the MANOVA analyses used to test the study hypotheses. The first hypothesis, which predicted that the sensation seekers would be significantly higher than the three other groups, was supported. Specifically, sensation-seekers were more likely than self-treaters, medical-users, and non-users to report using illicit drugs, gambling, binge drinking, and sexual activity. All of these group comparisons were significant at the p<.05 level, with the exception of the comparison between the sensation-seekers and self-treaters on sexual activity, which was significant at a trend level (p=.07). Although not predicted, medical-users were found to be significantly lower than the non-users on number of times disciplined; however, this difference occurred only at a trend level (p<.08). No other group differences were found.

Results provided partial support for the second hypothesis, which predicted that the sensationseekers would be significantly higher than the other groups on depression and impulsivity. The sensation-seekers were significantly higher than the non-users and medical users on impulsivity, but there were no significant differences on impulsivity between the sensationseekers and the self-treaters. Thus, all nonmedical users (whether self-treaters or sensationseekers) had greater impulsivity than nonusers. In terms of depression, no significant differences were found among the four groups.

Discussion

Arguably, Problem Behavior Theory [PBT] is one of the most widely used and empirically validated frameworks to understand the co-occurrence of adolescent behaviors. Our results lend support to PBT and support to the proposition that motivations to engage in NUPM appear to be associated with adolescent problem behaviors. As hypothesized, this study demonstrated that sensation-seekers were statistically more likely to engage in a host of problem behaviors. While future research is necessary to better describe the nature of the relationship between NUPM and problem behaviors, it may well be that NUPM should be considered a type of adolescent problem behavior that has come into prominence among youth of today.

There were no differences among the groups relative to depression and this surprised us. Although sensation-seekers had higher depression mean scores than non-users, medical-users or self-treaters (16.89 versus 13.2, 13.51, and 13.06), the differences were not significant and thus, the hypothesis remains unsupported. There are several possible explanations for this unexpected finding regarding depression—measurement error, small cell sizes and sample characteristics may each be a factor

Boyd et al.

Simoni-Wastila [35] observed that greater availability may be associated with an increase in NUPM. In support of Simoni-Wastila's observation, we found that girls were statistically more likely to be medical users (32.8% versus 25.3%) and self-treaters (10.7% versus 4.4%). However there were no statistical differences between girls and boys relative to sensation-seeking NUPM. We are not sure how to interpret these gendered findings, other than to note that girls are more likely to be prescribed these drugs and may more available to divert. Further research is needed to examine gender differences and the differences between those who engage in nonmedical use for self-treatment versus those who engage in it for sensation-seeking motivations.

The National Survey of Health and Drug Use [13] indicates that about 8.3% of 12 to 17 yearolds reported NUPM, this annual prevalence estimate is a bit lower that our finding of 11%. However, the differences between the NSDUH and our data may be related to several factors: 1) the NSDUH data were collected in the adolescents' homes while our survey was selfadministered on hooded computers; 2) the NSDUH question to assess nonmedical use is a complex one that not only asks about non-prescribed use but also stipulates a broad motivation (i.e., "...or took only for the experience or feeling it caused"), while our question is more straightforward; 3) our sample was limited to one geographic region that generally has higher rates of nonmedical use of prescription opioids (5.6%) when compared to the national average (4.9%) and thus, adolescent NUPM may reflect the higher use in their general environment [36]. Our higher prevalence estimates and the fact that our sample was disproportionately African-American somewhat constrains our ability to generalize. However, our data remind us that national drug studies may not adequately account for community differences in nonmedical use.

Nonmedical use of prescription medications—whether by a self-treater or sensation-seeker represents an unacceptable health risk. Health providers should communicate with their adolescent patients about the health and safety risks associated with diverted medications and the legal risk associated with diverting their own medications. In a paper on prescription medication abuse in school settings, Apa-Hall [37] noted that "talking" to adolescents is not enough; rather, the message about nonmedical use should be reinforced with illustration and repetition, including have patients paraphrase back what they have heard. In addition, all health providers – pediatricians, dentists, nurses, and pharmacists – should alert parents about the importance of "controlling and counting" their children's pills; most certainly, parents should restrict availability and not leave medicines on countertops or in unlocked medicine cabinets.

Generalizations should be made cautiously; the sample was drawn from one school district and relied on self-report. Respondents completed the survey in school; thus, problem behaviors are likely underestimated since youth with problems are less likely to be in school [38]. We never assessed the quantity of the prescribed medications and this information would have provided an important context for understanding the extent to which NUPM occurs. Finally, the index to create our illicit drug measure did not take into account the frequency of consumption so all illicit drug use were weighted the same.

Despite the noted limitations, we believe this study reflects a reality; the use of controlled medications is an increasing behavior among adolescents [39]. However, our comments should not be construed as "anti-medication"; rather, we are concerned with the number of adolescents who self-treat. How is it that so many teens perceive themselves in need of potentially addictive medicines? An answer to this question may rest on determining: 1) the number of adolescents who do not have access to adequate medical care; 2) the number of self-treaters that if seen by a provider would receive a prescription for a controlled medication; and 3) the extent to which direct-to-consumer marketing contributes to adolescents' attitudes about self-treatment.

To the best of our knowledge we are the first to examine subgroup differences among adolescents who engage in nonmedical use. In a recent commentary, Boyd and McCabe [40] argued that national, representative data have treated all nonmedical users as a homogeneous group, failing to distinguish between those nonmedical users that use to self-treat versus to "get high". We believe that studies such as this will help researchers design better questions thereby producing data that ultimately assist prevention experts craft more targeted messages.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by research grants R03 DA018272 (PI: Carol J. Boyd), R03 DA018239 and R03 DA020899 (PI: Sean Esteban McCabe) from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and R03 AA014601 (PI: Amy Young) from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health.

Reference List

- 1. Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Cranford JA, et al. Prescription Drug Abuse and Diversion among Adolescents in a Southeast Michigan School District. Arch Adolesc Pediatr Med 2007;161:276–281.
- Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Cranford JA, et al. Adolescents' Motivations to Abuse Prescription Medications. Pediatr 2006;118:2472–2480.
- 3. Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Teter CJ. Medical and Nonmedical Use of Prescription Pain Medication by Youth in a Detroit-area Public School District. Drug Alcoh Depen 2006;81:37–45.
- Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Teter CJ. Asthma Inhaler Misuse and Substance Abuse: A Random Survey of Secondary School Students. Addict Behav 2006;31:278–287. [PubMed: 15970396]
- Boyd CJ, Teter CJ, McCabe SE. The Abuse of Asthma Inhalers by Middle and High School Students. J Adolesc Health 2004;34:531–534. [PubMed: 15145411]
- McCabe SE, Boyd CJ, Young AM. Medical and Nonmedical Use of Prescription Drugs among Secondary School Students. J Adolesc Health 2007;40:76–83. [PubMed: 17185209]
- McCabe SE, Cranford JA, Boyd CJ. Motives, Diversion and Routes of Administration Associated with Nonmedical Use of Prescription Opioids. Addict Behav 2007;32:562–575. [PubMed: 16843611]
- McCabe SE, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ. Medical Use, Illicit Use and Diversion of Prescription Stimulant Medication. J Psychoac Drugs 2006;38:43–56.
- 9. McCabe SE, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ. Medical Use, Illicit Use and Diversion of Abusable Prescription Drugs. J Americ Coll Health 2006;54:269–278.
- 10. McCabe SE, Boyd CJ, Teter CJ. Illicit Use of Opioid Analgesics by High School Seniors. J Sub Abuse Treat 2005;28:225–230.
- Teter CJ, McCabe SE, Cranford JA, et al. Prevalence and Motives for Illicit Use of Prescription Stimulants in an Undergraduate Student Sample. J Amer Coll Health 2005;53:253–262. [PubMed: 15900989]
- McCabe SE, Boyd CJ, Teter CJ. Nonmedical Use of Prescription Opioids among U.S. College Students: Prevalence and Correlates from a National Survey. Addict Behav 2005;30:789–805. [PubMed: 15833582]
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration. Rockville, MD: office of Applied Studies; 2005. National Survey of Drug Use and Health. [cited 2007 Apr 18] Available from: http://www.oas.samhsa.gov/nsduh/2k5Results.pdf
- 14. Johnston, LD.; O'Malley, PM.; Bachman, JG., et al. Monitoring the Future National Survey Results on Drug Use, 1975-2007 Volume I: Secondary school students. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2008. NIH Publication No 08-6418A
- Johnston, LD.; O'Malley, PM.; Bachman, JG.; Schulenberg, JE. NIH Publication No 07-6202. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2007. Monitoring the Future National Results on Adolescent Drug Use: Overview of Key Findings, 2006.
- Gilson A, Ryan K, Joranson D, et al. A Reassessment of Trends in the Medical Use and Abuse of Opioid Analgesics and Implications for Diversion Control: 1997–2002. J Pain Sympt Mngmt 2004;28:176–188.

Boyd et al.

- Drug Enforcement Administration: ARCOS retail drug summary reports: 2002-2005. Washington DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Diversion Control program; [cited 2007 Apr 18] Available: http://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/arcos/retail_drug_summary/index.html
- Poulin C. From Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder to Medical Stimulant use to the Diversion of Prescribed Stimulants to Non-Medical Stimulant Use: Connecting the Dots. Addict 2007;102:740– 751.
- Poulin C. Medical and Nonmedical Stimulant Use among Adolescents: from Sanctioned to Unsanctioned Use. CMAJ 2006;165:1039–1044. [PubMed: 11699699]
- 20. Donovan JE, Brooke SG, Molina BSG. Children's Introduction to Alcohol Use: Sips and Tastes. Alcoholism: Clin Exper Res 2008;32:108–119.
- Jessor R. Risk Behavior in Adolescence: A Psychosocial Framework for Understanding and Action. Devp Rev 1992;12:374–390.
- Jessor R. Successful Adolescent Development Among Youth in High-Risk Settings. Am Psychol 1993;48:117–126. [PubMed: 8442567]
- Jessor, R. New Perspectives on Adolescent Risk Behavior. In: Jessor, L., editor. New Perspectives on Adolescent Risk Behavior. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1998. p. 1-10.
- Donovan JE. Problem-Behavior Theory and the Explanation of Adolescent Marijuana Use. J Drug Issues 1996;26:379–404.
- Acton GS. Measurement of Impulsivity in a Hierarchical Model of Personality Traits: Implications for Substance Use. Subst Use Misuse 2003;38:67–83. [PubMed: 12602807]
- 26. Zuckerman, M. Behavioral Expressions and Biosocial Bases of Sensation Seeking. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 1994.
- Lessler JL, Caspar RA, Penne MA, et al. Developing Computer Assisted Interviewing (CAI) for the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse. J Drug Issues 2000;30:9–34.
- 28. Turner CF, Ku L, Rogers SM, et al. Adolescent Sexual Behavior, Drug Use, and Violence: Increased Reporting with Computer Survey Technology. Science 1998;280:867–873. [PubMed: 9572724]
- 29. Wechsler H, Dowdall GW, Davenport A, et al. A Gender-Specific Measure of Binge Drinking among College Students. Amer J Pub Health 1995;85:982–985. [PubMed: 7604925]
- Udry, JR.; Bearman, PS. New Methods for New Research on Adolescent Sexual Behavior. New York, NY: Cambridge; 1998.
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. App Psychol Meas 1977;1:385–401.
- 32. Aluja A, Rossier J, Garcia LF, Angleitner A, Kuhlman M, Zuckerman M. A Cross-Cultural Shortened Form of the ZKPQ (ZKPQ-50-cc) Adapted to English, French, German, and Spanish Languages. Person Indiv Diff.
- Zuckerman, M. Behavioral Expressions of Biosocial Bases of Sensation Seeking. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge: 1994.
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM. Why Do the Nation's Students Use Drugs and Alcohol: Self-Reported Reasons from Nine National Surveys. J Drug Issues 1986;16:29–66.
- 35. Simoni-Wastila L. The use of abusable prescription drugs: the role of gender. J Women's Health & Gender-Based Med 2000;9:289–297.
- 36. The NSDUH Report: Nonmedical Use of Pain Relievers in Sub-state Regions: 2004-2006. [July 1, 2008]. http://www.oas.samhsa.gov/2k8/pain/substate.cfm
- 37. Apa-Hall P, Swartz R, McConnell R. The current state of teenage drug abuse: A trend toward prescription drugs. J School Nrsg 2008:S1–11.
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM. Issues of Validity and Population Coverage in Student Surveys of Drug Use. NIDA Res Mon 1985;57:31–54.
- Sung H, Richter L, Roger V, et al. Nonmedical Use of Prescription Opioids among Teenagers in the United States: Trends and Correlates. J Adolesc Health 2005;37:44–51. [PubMed: 15963906]
- 40. Boyd CJ, McCabe SE. Coming to Terms with the Nonmedical Use of Prescription Medications. Sub Ab Treat, Prev Policy 2008;3(22):12–22.

Sample characteristics						
Characteristic		Sample % (n)	Mean (SD)	Non-respondent Population χ^2 (n) χ^2	ation χ^2	P Value
Sex	Female Male Total	52.6% 480) 47.4% (432) 100% (912)		45.5% (274) 54.5% (328) 100% (602)	7.35	.007
Ase Race	Black White Asian American Aispanic or Latino/a A mericon Indian or Alachan Natina	53.8% (490) 43.5% (396) 1.4% (13) 7% (6) 7% (5)	14.97 (11.74)	65.6% (395) 31.9% (192) 1.0% (6) 1.3% (8) 0.2% (1)	25.36	< .001
Mother's/female guardian's highest levelLess than high school of education Completed high school Some college Completed college	vierLess than thigh school verLess than thigh school Some college Completed college Graduate school	5.6% (45) 26.7% (45) 26.7% (216) 27.9% (226) 25.7% (208) 14.2% (115)				
Father's/male guardian's highest level of Less than high school education Completed high school Some college Completed college Graduate school Total	1 ofta 1 offLess than high school Completed high school Some college Completed college Graduate school Total	100% (510) 10.6% (77) 33.2% (166) 22.8% (166) 24.1% (176) 2.3% (68) 100% (729)				

Boyd et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

1 June 1

Table 2

Prevalence of annual and lifetime medical and nonmedical use and motives

		Annual % (n)	Lifetime % (n)
Medical Use	Anxiety/Sedatives (n=906)	2.5% (23)	4.7% (43)
	Stimulants (n=907)	3.1% (28)	5.7% (52)
	Pain (n=904)	32.5% (294)	43.3% (392)
	Sleep (n=909)	7.4% (67)	15.0% (136)
	At least one (n=912)	36.8% (336)	49.6% (452)
Nonmedical Use	Anxiety/Sedatives (n=910)	1.3% (12)	2.0% (18)
	Stimulants (n=907)	1.2% (11)	1.5% (14)
	Pain (n=907)	10.0% (91)	14.6% (132)
	Sleep (n=908)	2.5% (23)	4.5% (41)
	At least one (n=912)	10.9% (99)	16.2% (148)
Groups [*] (n = 912)	No prescription use	59.9% (546)	N/A
F () (-2)	Medical use only	29.3% (267)	N/A
	Self-treaters	7.8% (71)	N/A
	Sensation-seekers	3.1% (28)	N/A

* Groups were created using annual report

Table 3

Frequencies and means of problem behaviors, depression, and impulsivity

		Sample % (n)	
No. of illicit drugs used past year	0	83.8% (759)	
8 1 9	1	12.6% (114)	
	2	2.1% (19)	
	3 or more	1.5% (14)	
	Total	100% (906)	
No. of occasions gambled past year	Never	65.4% (581)	
8 I J	1-2 occasions	16.5% (147)	
	3-5 occasions	7.5% (67)	
	6-9 occasions	3.5% (31)	
	10 + occasions	7.1% (63)	
	Total	100% (889)	
No. occasions binge drank past 2 weeks	0	91.4% (787)	
······································	1	4.2% (36)	
	2	2.1% (18)	
	3 or more	2.4% (20)	
	Total	100% (861)	
	M (SD)	10070 (001)	
No. of times disciplined (past year) (possible range 0 to 12)	.84 (1.39)		
Sexual activity (possible range 0 to 12)	3.75 (3.43)		
Depression (CES-D) (possible range 0 to 60)	13.20 (8.54)		
Impulsivity (possible range 0 to 19)	10.60 (3.59)		

Respondent Characteristic	Non-users % (n)	Medical-users % (n)	Self-treaters % (n)	Sensation-seekers % (n)	df	X ²	P Value
Sex					3	22.72	< .001
Female	53.6% (260)	32.8% (159)	10.7% (52)	2.9% (14)			
Male	67.0% (286)	25.3% (108)	4.4% (19)	3.3% (14)			
Race	~	~	~	~	б	22.69	< .001
White	56.3% (223)	31.8% (126)	6.1% (24)	5.8% (23)			
Black and other	62.5% (322)	27.4% (141)	9.1% (47)	1.0% (5)			
	M(SD)	M(SD)	M(SD)	M(SD)	df	F statistic	P Value
Age	$14.84(1.76)^{**}$	15.11 (1.73)	15.11 (1.64)	$15.96(1.35)^{**}$	3, 911	4.85	.002

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 4

_
_
- T
U
>
· ·
~
1
<u> </u>
0
\simeq
Ithor I
_
<
_
CO CO
=
<u> </u>
_
Manus
S
õ
0
JSCri
-
9
-

Table 5

Problem behaviors, depression, and impulsivity among past-year medical and nonmedical users

	Non-users (n=484) M (SD) B(SE) ¹	s (n=484) B(SE) ¹	Medical- M (SD)	Medical-users (n= 237))	M (SD)	Self-treaters (n= 65) B (SE)	Sensation M (SD)	Sensation-seekers (n= 26)) B (SE)	F (3, 335)	<i>P</i> Value
Illicit drugs	$0.24 (0.08)^{a}$	0	$0.33(0.10)^{b}$	0.10 (0.11)	0.41 (0.17) ^c	0.17 (0.17)	3.07 (.23) ^{abc}	2.84 (0.24)	47.73	< .001
Gambling	$1.81(0.133)^{a}$	0	$2.09(0.17)^{b}$	0.27(0.18)	$2.23(0.29)^{c}$	0.42(0.29)	$3.33(0.39)^{abc}$	1.52(0.40)	5.23	< .01
Binge drinking	$0.20(0.07)^{a}$	0	$0.25(0.09)^{b}$	0.05(0.10)	$0.40(0.17)^{c}$	0.20(0.17)	$1.16(.23)^{abc}$	0.89(0.13)	8.61	< .001
Disciplined	.49 (0.05) ^{ád}	0	$0.37(0.06)^{\rm bd}$	0.12(0.07)	$0.49(0.11)^{c}$	0.02 (0.12)	$0.98(0.15)^{abc}$	0.49 (0.27)	5.23	< .01
Sexual activity	$3.67(0.24)^{a}$	0	$4.00(0.30)^{b}$	0.31(0.33)	4.20 (0.54)	0.53(0.55)	$5.84(0.74)^{ab}$	2.16(0.76)	2.87	< .05
Depression	13.12 (0.75)	0	13.51 (0.93)	0.39(1.05)	13.06 (1.70)	0.06 (1.17)	16.89(2.32)	3.77 (2.38)	.86	NS
Impulsivity	$4.02 (0.12)^{ad}$	0	$4.20(0.14)^{6}$	0.18(0.16)	$4.50(0.26)^{cd}$	0.49(0.27)	$5.00(0.36)^{abc}$	0.98(0.37)	3.19	<.05

Boyd et al.

Non-users were the reference group and set to "0" to avoid over-parameterization. Multivariate, F(21,945)=17.05, P<.001: MANOVA only uses cases that have data for all variables, including control variables and dependent variables statistically controlling for age, race, gender and parental education.

Estimates with the same superscripts in a given row are significantly different from each other.