



NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Comb Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 November 1.

Published in final edited form as:

J Comb Chem. 2009 ; 11(6): 1128–1135. doi:10.1021/cc9001197.

Solution phase synthesis of a diverse isocoumarin library

Sujata Roy¹, Sudipta Roy¹, Benjamin Neuenschwander², David Hill², and Richard C. Larock^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

²The University of Kansas NIH Center of Excellence in Chemical Methodologies and Library Development, Lawrence, Kansas, 66047

Abstract

The solution phase synthesis of a 167-member library of isocoumarins is described. The key intermediates for library generation, 4-iodoisocoumarins, are easily prepared by iodocyclization of the corresponding 2-(1-alkynyl)arenecarboxylate esters. The 4-iodoisocoumarins undergo palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira, Suzuki-Miyura and Heck reactions to yield a diverse set of isocoumarins. Alternatively, isocoumarins, bearing hydroxyl or bromine functionalities, have been prepared by ZnCl₂ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ mediated cyclization of the corresponding *o*-iodobenzoic acid and appropriate terminal alkynes. The resulting isocoumarins were further diversified by derivatization of the hydroxyl or bromine groups. A small set of isoquinolinones were also prepared from the corresponding isocoumarins.

Introduction

Isocoumarins are an important class of naturally-occurring lactones.¹ They exhibit a wide range of pharmacological properties, including anti-fungal, anti-tumor, anti-allergic, anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, phytotoxic and immunomodulatory activities.² Selected simple, biologically active isocoumarins are shown in Figure 1. Among these, capillarin (**1a**), artemidin (**1b**) and cercophorin A (**5**) have been shown to possess antifungal activity.³ Capillarin (**1a**) also acts as an insect anti-feedant.⁴ Oosponol (**2a**) and oospolactone (**2b**) exhibit anti-fungal, as well as antibiotic, activities.⁵ Thunberginol A and B (**3a** and **3b**) are known for their anti-allergic, anti-microbial and immunomodulatory activities.⁶ Cytogenin (**4a**) is known as an immunomodulator, anti-tumor and anti-arthritis agent.⁷ Reticulol (**4b**) also exhibits anti-tumor activity.⁸ Diaporthin (**4c**) is a phytotoxin.⁹ The synthetic isocoumarin NM-3 (**6**) has been found to be highly effective in the treatment of solid tumors and has entered clinical trials.¹⁰ Due to substantial biological activity, our group has long been interested in the synthesis of isocoumarins and several new methodologies have been developed in our laboratories.¹¹ Many other useful methods have also been reported for the synthesis of the isocoumarin scaffold^{12–14}.

High throughput screening of selected chemical libraries, having a heterocyclic or carbocyclic ring at their core, is one of the most expeditious ways to search for useful medicinal activity. The heteroatoms improve binding and the rigid cyclic framework imparts rigidity, enhancing the selectivity and further improving the binding. In a continuation of our efforts to adapt heterocyclization chemistry to a high throughput format,¹⁵ we herein report the first solution phase library synthesis of isocoumarins using alkyne cyclization chemistry as the key step. As

larock@iastate.edu

Supporting Information Available. Experimental details and characterization of a representative 20 library members, including full ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and conditions for the high throughput liquid chromatography purification.

shown in Scheme 1–Scheme 5, we have constructed a diverse 167 membered library of isocoumarins. The presence of the isocoumarin scaffold in both synthetic and natural, biologically active compounds justifies the preparation of this discovery library of isocoumarins.¹⁶ These compounds will be subjected to various high throughput screening (HTS) assays for their potential medicinal activity.

Result and Discussion

Our initial strategy for the library generation is outlined in Scheme 1 and Scheme 2. We planned to utilize the 4-iodoisocoumarins as key intermediates that can be efficiently prepared using our alkyne iodocyclization chemistry.^{11a} Subsequent diversification by various palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions should afford a diverse set of isocoumarins.

Initially, the 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid ester **8** was chosen as the starting material, because it was envisaged that the methoxy groups would provide desired polarity in the resulting library members. Compound **8** was prepared from the corresponding commercially available 2-iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid (**7**). Sonogashira coupling of **8** with appropriate terminal alkynes **12{1–4}** afforded the prerequisite starting materials for the iodocyclization chemistry (Scheme 1). Accordingly, a set of four 4-iodoisocoumarins **10{1–4}** (Figure 2) were efficiently prepared on a gram scale by electrophilic cyclization of the *o*-(1-alkynyl)benzoates **9{1–4}** using iodine monochloride.

According to the plan, the 4-iodoisocoumarins **10{1–4}** were used as key components for library generation and subsequently elaborated to produce a wide variety of isocoumarins. Thus, the palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira cross-coupling of the 4-iodoisocoumarins with various terminal acetylenes afforded isocoumarins **11{1–25}**. The alkyne sublibrary (Figure 3) was chosen to include commercially available heteroatom-and heterocycle-containing (such as pyridine, thiophene, imidazole) alkynes that could provide hydrogen bond donors and/or acceptors. Mestranol was chosen as a representative steroid with the ability to diffuse readily across cell membranes.

Similarly, we obtained isocoumarins **11{26–51}** by the palladium-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura reaction of the iodoisocoumarin intermediates **10{1–4}** with various boronic acids (Figure 3). Commercially available boronic acids were chosen to include diverse functionality. Thus, boronic acids containing an indole scaffold, fluorine atoms, and polar functional groups were chosen in order to incorporate drug-like moieties into the resulting cross-coupling products. A brief examination of the reaction conditions suggested that microwave heating in these Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings is more effective than conventional heating and affords the desired isocoumarins in better yields. Microwave heating also allowed much shorter reaction times, which is desirable for library synthesis. However, the overall low yields in the Suzuki-Miyaura reactions are partially attributed to the instability of the lactone ring in the basic reaction medium used for cross-coupling, due to possible ring opening of the lactone starting materials.¹²ⁿ

The isocoumarins **11{52–59}** have also been prepared by the Heck reaction of the 4-iodoisocoumarins. A small styrene sublibrary (Figure 3) for the Heck reaction was chosen to demonstrate vinylic functionalization as only a few functionally diverse styrenes were available from commercial sources. We avoided using well-known Michael acceptors, such as acrylates or acrylonitriles, in this Heck chemistry, since the resulting Heck products would also be good Michael acceptors and therefore undesirable for pharmaceutical applications. Due to the increasing importance of fluorine in pharmacologically active molecules due to its ability to enhance binding interactions and metabolic stability or to alter the physical and/or biological properties,¹⁷ we have prepared a small set of (4-trifluoromethyl)isocoumarins **11{60–63}** from

NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript NIH-PA Author Manuscript

the 4-iodoisocoumarins. The strongly electron-withdrawing nature of the trifluoromethyl group generally significantly increases the binding interactions, thus improving oral bioavailability, as well as enhancing CNS penetration in certain cases.¹⁸ We have also utilized several fluorinated building blocks, such as **12{20}**, **13{9–11}**, and **14{1}**, in order to incorporate fluorine atoms in our final library members. For synthesis of the trifluoromethyl analogs, the 4-iodoisocoumarins were treated with fluorosulfonylfluoroacetate,¹⁹ which generates the trifluoromethyl species *in situ* to provide compounds **11{60–63}**. Thus, a total of 63 compounds have been prepared in unoptimized yields from the 4-iodoisocoumarin scaffold.

Next, we utilized the reaction of 2-iodobenzoic acids with terminal alkynes in the presence of a Pd(PPh₃)₄–ZnCl₂–Et₃N system^{12a} in DMF to produce another set of diverse isocoumarins **11{64–86}** (Scheme 3). We considered this reaction to be an attractive option for library synthesis, because it not only affords the final library members quite directly, but it also permits easy access to the isocoumarin scaffold containing handles that could be very useful for further modifications. Thus, the reaction of 2-iodobenzoic acid with appropriate terminal alkynes readily afforded the desired isocoumarins. The results are summarized in Table 2. We also briefly examined a similar method of isocoumarin synthesis from 2-iodobenzoic acid and terminal alkynes using 10% Pd/C, CuI, PPh₃ and Et₃N in ethanol.^{12b} However, we found that the reaction employing the palladium-zinc chloride system afforded much cleaner reactions, yielding the desired products in better yield and purity. Benzoic acids **18{2}** and alkynes **12{7–10}** were chosen to maximize the utility of the resulting isocoumarin scaffold and allow for further derivatization of the embedded bromo or hydroxyl functionalities.

We have speculated previously that the presence of alcohol functionality in the isocoumarins would be an ideal point for further diversification, because such alcohols could be readily elaborated to more complex isocoumarins using a wide variety of commercially available carboxylic acid derivatives. Thus, isocoumarins **11{68–71, 78, 79, 83–86}**, prepared in gram quantities for further derivatization, were subjected to an additional diversity step. Consequently, these hydroxyl-bearing isocoumarins were subjected to acylation reaction using various acid chlorides **15{1–12}**, acid anhydrides **16{1–3}** and carbamoyl chlorides **17{1–3}** to generate a wide variety of isocoumarins **11{87–145}**. The cyclic anhydrides **16{1–3}** were chosen in order to have a polar carboxylic acid functionality present in the final molecule. In general, the reactions with carbamoyl chlorides were less efficient than those with acid chlorides and acid anhydrides. Although triethylamine was found to be sufficient in most acylation reactions with acid chlorides, DMAP was used in combination with triethylamine in some instances, especially for the more sluggish reactions. However, as shown in Table 3, the products were obtained in excellent purity (>99%) in most cases for this diversification of the hydroxyl functionality.

In order to further expand the diversity present in the aromatic core of the isocoumarin ring, 7-bromoisocoumarins **11{83–86}** were subsequently modified using palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions to generate the more complex isocoumarins **11{146–167}** (Scheme 5). The new isocoumarins prepared by further diversification through Sonogashira, Suzuki-Miyaura and Heck reactions are summarized in Table 4.

3-Aryl substituted isocoumarins **11{64–67}**, prepared previously from 2-iodobenzoic acid (**7**), were used to generate a small set of isoquinolinones by reaction with various amines (Scheme 6).²⁰ The isoquinolinone subunit is present in a large number of natural products (*e.g.* narciclasine, pancratistatin, lycoricidine, *etc.*) and its derivatives possess a broad spectrum of biological activities, including NK3 antagonists and inhibitors of potassium channels, Rho-kinase, NR5A1 and PARP-2.²¹ For the generation of the isoquinolinone library, commercially available amines (Figure 4) were chosen that contain polar functionality or heterocycles that

would provide compounds with potentially attractive drug-like features. Cleaner reactions were achieved with amines containing hydroxyl functionality (*i.e.* for amines **20{1–4}**). The resulting alcohols are attractive intermediates for expanding the isoquinolinone library via subsequent chemical modification. As summarized in Table 5, we have obtained the desired isoquinolinone products in high purity (>90%) in unoptimized yields in the range of 16–70%.

Most of the desired isocoumarin library members were highly Lipinski compliant.²² Overall, 80% of the library members are entirely compliant with Lipinski's rules, 18% had one violation and 2% had two or more violations. The most common violation was clog P (calculated by EPI Suite)²³ for which the average value for the entire library was around 4.0. The molecular weight distribution, shown in Figure 5, indicates that almost all of the members of the library reside in the desirable molecular weight range (<500).²²

In conclusion, we have efficiently constructed a 167 member library of isocoumarins with high purity (>90%). Our emphasis on high compound purity partially contributed to the lower yields in some instances. A couple of isocoumarins have also been converted to the corresponding isoquinolin-1-ones. These library members will be evaluated against various biological screens by the National Institutes of Health Molecular Library Screening Center Network.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments


We would like to thank Dr. Jesse P. Waldo and Dr. Yu Chen of Iowa State University and Dr. Frank Schoenen of Kansas University for helpful discussions. We thank the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM070620 and GM079593) and the National Institutes of Health Kansas University Chemical Methodologies and Library Development Center of Excellence (GM069663) for support of this research and Johnson Matthey, Inc. and Kawaken Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd. for donating the palladium catalysts and Frontier Scientific and Synthonix for donating the boronic acids.

References


1. a) Hill, RA. Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products. Herz, W., editor. Vol. Vol. 49. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1986. p. 1–78. b) Mali RS, Babu KN. J. Org. Chem 1998;63:2488–2492. [PubMed: 11672109] c) Barry RD. Chem. Rev 1964;64:229–260.
2. a) Pochet L, Frederick R, Masereel B. Curr. Pharm. Des 2004;10:3781–3796. [PubMed: 15579071] b) Canedo-Hernandez L, Acebal-Sarabia C, Garcia-Gravalos D. PCT Int. Appl. WO 9627594, 1996. Chem. Abstr 1996;125:273726. c) Deck, LM.; Vander Jagt, DL.; Heynekamp, JJ. U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ. US. Chem. Abstr 2006252823. 2006. p. 4713972006 d) Bauta WE, Cantrell WR Jr, Lovett DP. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2003004486, 2003. Chem. Abstr 2003;138:106599.
3. a) Meepagala KM, Sturtz G, Wedge DE. J. Agric. Food Chem 2002;50:6989–6992. [PubMed: 12428948] b) Engelmeier D, Hadacek F, Hofer O, Lutz-Kutschera G, Nagl M, Wurz G, Greger H. J. Nat. Prod 2004;67:19–25. [PubMed: 14738379] c) Whyte AC, Gloer JB, Scott JA, Malloch D. J. Nat. Prod 1996;59:765–769. [PubMed: 8792624]
4. a) Yano K. J. Agric. Food Chem 1987;35:889–891. b) Yano K. Recent Res. Devel. Agri. Biol. Chem 1998;2:293–305.
5. a) Nozawa K, Yamada M, Tsuda Y, Kawai K, Nakajima S. Chem. Pharm. Bull 1981;29:2689–2691. [PubMed: 7349286] b) Sonnenbichler J, Kovacs T. Eur. J. Biochem 1997;246:45–49. [PubMed: 9210464]
6. a) Kurume A, Kamata Y, Yamashita M, Wang Q, Matsuda H, Yoshikawa M, Kawasaki I, Ohta S. Chem. Pharm. Bull 2008;56:1264–1269. [PubMed: 18758098] b) Matsuda H, Wang Q, Matsuhira K, Nakamura S, Yuan D, Yoshikawa M. Phytomedicine 2008;15:177–184. [PubMed: 17950587] c) Matsuda H, Shimoda H, Yamahara J, Yoshikawa M. Biol. Pharm. Bull 1999;22:870–872. [PubMed:

- 10480329] d) Matsuda H, Shimoda H, Yamahara J, Yoshikawa M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* 1998;8:215–220. [PubMed: 9871657] e) Yoshikawa M, Harada E, Naitoh Y, Inoue K, Matsuda H, Shimoda H, Yamahara J, Murakami N. *Chem. Pharm. Bull* 1994;42:2225–2230. [PubMed: 7532114]
7. a) Kumagai H, Wakazono K, Agata N, Isshiki K, Ishizuka M, Ikeda D. *J. Antibiot* 2005;58:202–205. [PubMed: 15895529] b) Kumagai H, Masuda T, Ishizuka M, Takeuchi T. *J. Antibiot* 1995;48:175–178. [PubMed: 7706130]
8. Lim D-S, Kwak Y-S, Kim J-H, Ko S-H, Yoon W-H, Kim C-H. *Cancer Chemotherapy* 2003;49:146–153. [PubMed: 12815208]
9. a) Harris JP, Mantle PG. *Phytochemistry* 2001;57:165–169. [PubMed: 11382231] b) Lee I-K, Seok S-J, Kim W-G, Yun B-S. *Mycobiology* 2006;34:38–40.
10. a) Kawano T, Agata N, Kharbanda S, Avigan D, Kufe D. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol* 2007;59:329–335. [PubMed: 16830153] b) Agata N, Nogi H, Milhollen M, Kharbanda S, Kufe D. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:8512–8516. [PubMed: 15574755] c) Yin L, Ohno T, Weichselbaum R, Kharbanda S, Kufe D. *Mol. Cancer Therapeutics* 2001;1:43–48. d) Nakashima T, Hirano S-I, Agata N, Kumagai H, Isshiki K, Yoshioka T, Ishizuka M, Maeda K, Takeuchi T. *J. Antibiot* 1999;52:426–428. [PubMed: 10395280] e) Reimer, CL.; Agata, N.; Takeuchi, T.; Kumagai, H.; Yoshioka, T.; Ishizuka, M.; Kufe, DW.; Weichselbaum, RR. U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ. US. Chem. Abstr 2002019366. 2002. p. 1613492002
11. a) Yao T, Larock RC. *J. Org. Chem* 2003;68:5936–5942. [PubMed: 12868929] b) Yao T, Larock RC. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:7401–7404. c) Larock RC, Doty MJ, Han X. *J. Org. Chem* 1999;64:8770–8779. [PubMed: 11674778] d) Larock R, Yang H. *Synlett* 1994;748–750. e) Larock RC, Varaprathe S, Lau HH, Fellows CA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1984;106:5274–5284. f) Larock RC, Yum EK, Doty MJ, Sham KKC. *J. Org. Chem* 1995;60:3270–3271.
12. a) Liao H-Y, Cheng C-H. *J. Org. Chem* 1995;60:3711–3716. b) Subramanian V, Batchu VR, Barange D, Pal M. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:4778–4783. [PubMed: 15932318] c) Inack-Ngi S, Rahmani R, Commeiras L, Chouraqui G, Thibonnet J, Duchene A, Abarbri M, Parrain J-L. *Adv. Syn. Cat* 2009;351:779–788. d) Lu Z-F, Yue J-J, Zhu Y, Hu H-W, Xu J-H. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci* 2009;8:217–223. e) Chin L-Y, Lee C-Y, Lo Y-H, Wu M-JJ. *Chinese Chem. Soc* 2008;55:643–648. f) Le Bras G, Hamze A, Messaoudi S, Provot O, Le Calvez P-B, Brion J-D, Alami M. *Synthesis* 2008;1607–1611. g) Oezcan S, Balci M. *Tetrahedron* 2008;64:5531–5540. h) Marchal E, Uriac P, Legouin B, Toupet L, van de Weghe P. *Tetrahedron* 2007;63:9979–9990. i) Liang Y, Xie Y-X, Li J-H. *Synthesis* 2007;400–406. j) Uchiyama M, Ozawa H, Takuma K, Matsumoto Y, Yonehara M, Hiroya K, Sakamoto T. *Org. Lett* 2006;8:5517–5520. [PubMed: 17107061] k) Chakravarty M, Swamy KCK. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:9128–9138. [PubMed: 17109538] l) Langer J, Gaertner M, Goerls H, Walther D. *Synthesis* 2006;2697–2706. m) Cherry K, Parrain J-L, Thibonnet J, Duchene A, Abarbri M. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:6669–6675. [PubMed: 16095285] n) Brasholz M, Luan X, Reissig H-U. *Synthesis* 2005;3571–3580. o) Yuan H, Junker B, Helquist P, Taylor RE. *Curr. Org. Syn* 2004;1:1–9. p) Rossi R, Carpita A, Bellina F, Stabile P, Mannina L. *Tetrahedron* 2003;59:2067–2081. q) Nakamura Y, Ukita T. *Org. Lett* 2002;4:2317–2320. [PubMed: 12098236] r) Bellina F, Ciucci D, Vergamini P, Rossi R. *Tetrahedron* 2000;56:2533–2545. s) Mal D, Bandyopadhyay M, Ghorai SK, Datta K. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2000;41:3677–3680. t) Sashida H, Kawamukai A. *Synthesis* 1999;1145–1148. u) Minami T, Nishimoto A, Nakamura Y, Hanaoka M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull* 1994;42:1700–1702. v) Izumi T, Morishita N. *J. Heterocycl. Chem* 1994;31:145–152. w) Izumi T, Nishimoto Y, Kohei K, Kasahara A. *J. Heterocycl. Chem* 1990;27:1419–1424. x) Sakamoto T, Annaka M, Kondo Y, Yamanaka H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull* 1986;34:2754–2759. y) Batu G, Stevenson R. *J. Org. Chem* 1980;45:1532–1534. z) Korte DE, Hegedus LS, Wirth RK. *J. Org. Chem* 1977;42:1329–1336. [PubMed: 845715]
13. For a review of isocoumarin syntheses, see: Napolitano E. *Org. Proc. Prep. Intl* 1997;29:631–664.
14. For the solid phase synthesis of isocoumarins, see: Peuchmaur M, Lisowski V, Gandreuil C, Maillard LT, Martinez J, Hernandez J-F. *J. Org. Chem* 2009;74:4158–4165. [PubMed: 19438216]
15. a) Waldo JP, Mehta S, Neuenschwander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J. Comb. Chem* 2008;10:658–663. [PubMed: 18671435] b) Cho C-H, Neuenschwander B, Lushington GH, Larock RC. *J. Comb. Chem* 2008;10:941–947. [PubMed: 18937516] c) Roy S, Roy S, Neuenschwander B, Hill D, Larock RC. *J. Comb. Chem*. submitted.
16. a) Loughlin WA. *Aust. J. Chem* 1998;51:875–893. b) Nefzi, Ac; Ostresh, JM.; Houghten, RA. *Chem. Rev* 1997;97:449–472. [PubMed: 11848878] c) Balkenhohl F, von dem Bussche-Huennefeld C, Lansky A, Zechel C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl* 1996;35:2288–2337.


17. a) Hagmann WK. *J. Med. Chem.* 2008;51:4359–4369. [PubMed: 18570365] b) Hudlicky M, Pavlath AE. *Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compounds II: A Critical Review* 1995;187:888–912. ACS Monographc Hiyama, T., editor. *Organofluorine Compounds. Chemistry and Applications*. New York: Springer Verlag; 2000.
18. a) Humphrey JM. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2003;3:1423–1435. [PubMed: 12871173] b) Edmondson SD, Mastracchio A, Beconi M, Colwell LF, Habulihaz B, He H, Kumar S, Leiting B, Lyons KA, Mao A, Marsilio F, Patel RA, Wu JK, Zhu L, Thornberry NA, Weber AE, Parmee ER. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004;14:5151–5155. [PubMed: 15380217] c) Lin LS, Lanza TJ, Jewell JP, Liu P, Shah SK, Qi H, Tong X, Wang J, Xu S, Fong TM, Shen CP, Lao J, Chen J, Shearman LP, Stribling DS, Rosko K, Strack A, Marsh DJ, Feng Y, Kumar S, Samuel K, Yin W, Van der Ploeg L, Goulet MT, Hagmann WK. *J. Med. Chem.* 2006;49:7584–7587. [PubMed: 17181138]
19. a) Chen Q-Y. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 1995;72:241–246. b) De Angelis M, Stossi F, Waibel M, Katzenellenbogen BS, Katzenellenbogen JA. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2005;13:6529–6542. [PubMed: 16099659]
20. Kimura M, Waki I, Deguchi Y, Amemiya K, Maeda T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1983;31:1277–1282. [PubMed: 6194904]
21. a) Simonsen, KB.; Kehler, J.; Juhl, K.; Khanzhin, N.; Nielsen, SM. U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ. US. Chem. Abstr 2009143402. 2009. p. 83162009 b) Zhou, D.; Gross, JL.; Robichaud, AJ. U.S. Pat. Appl. Publ. US. Chem. Abstr 2009069370. 2009. p. 3296302009 c) Hallam M, Martin B, Raubo P, Roberts B, St-Gallay S, Willis P. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2008122765, 2008. *Chem. Abstr.* 2008;149:471348. d) Pellicciari R, Camaioni E, Costantino G, Formentini L, Sabbatini P, Venturoni F, Eren G, Bellocchi D, Chiarugi A, Moroni F. *ChemMedChem* 2008;3:914–923. [PubMed: 18409175] e) Roth J, Madoux F, Hodder P, Roush WR. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2008;18:2628–2632. [PubMed: 18374567] f) Dinsmore CJ, Bergman JM, McIntyre CJ, Claremon DA. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2005046578, 2005. *Chem. Abstr.* 2005;143:7609. g) Wang F, Liu H, Fu H, Jiang Y, Zhao Y. *Org. Lett.* 2009;11:2469–2472. [PubMed: 19422263] and references therein
22. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. *Adv. Drug Del. Rev.* 1997;23:3–25.
23. Estimation Programs Interface Suite™ for Microsoft® Windows, v[3.20]. Washington, DC, USA: United States Environmental Protection Agency; cLog P values were calculated by EPI Suite: US EPA. [2007].




Capillarin (**1a**, R = H₂C-C≡C-Me)
Artemidin (**1b**, R = CH=CH-CH₂-Me)




Oospolactone (**2a**, R¹ = H, R² = COCH₂OH)
Oospolactone (**2b**, R¹, R² = Me, Me)




Thunberginol A (**3a**, R = H)
Thunberginol B (**3b**, R = OH)



Cytogenin (**4a**, R¹ = OH, R² = Me, R³ = H)
Reticulol (**4b**, R¹ = H, R² = H, R³ = OMe)
Diaporthin (**4c**, R¹ = CH(OH)Me, R² = Me, R³ = H)



Cercophorin A (**5**)



NM-3 (**6**)

Figure 1.
Selected biologically active isocoumarins.







Figure 2.
Key 4-iodoisocoumarin intermediates **10{1–4}**.


Alkynes

Boronic acids


13{1}




13{2}




13{3}




13{4}




13{5}




13{6}




13{7}




13{8}




13{9}




13{10}




13{11}




13{12}




13{13}

Styrenes


14{1}




14{2}




14{3}




14{4}




14{5}



14{6}

**Figure 3.**

Diverse terminal alkynes **12{1–21}**, boronic acids **13{1–13}**, styrenes **14{1–6}**, acid chlorides **15{1–12}**, acid anhydrides **16{1–3}**, and carbamoyl chlorides **17{1–3}** used for library synthesis.

**Figure 4.**

Diverse amines **20{1–8}** used for isoquinolin-1-one library synthesis.







Figure 5.
Molecular weight distribution of library members.




Scheme 1.
Synthesis of 4-iodoisocoumarins.




Scheme 2.
Library generation from the 4-iodoisocoumarins.




Scheme 3.
Synthesis of 3-substituted isocoumarins.



Scheme 4.
Diversification of the 3-substituted isocoumarins.

**Scheme 5.**

Synthesis of 7-substituted isocoumarins by further diversification.



Scheme 6.
Conversion of isocoumarins to isoquinolin-1-ones.

Table 1

Library data for compounds 11{1–63}.

compound	isocomarin	reactant	method ^a	yield ^b (%)	purity ^d (%)
11{1}	10{1}	12{1}	A	57 ^c	>99
11{2}	10{1}	12{3}	A	33	99
11{3}	10{1}	12{6}	A	8	>99
11{4}	10{1}	12{7}	A	56	98
11{5}	10{1}	12{8}	A	79	99
11{6}	10{1}	12{10}	A	52	92
11{7}	10{1}	12{16}	A	39	99
11{8}	10{1}	12{17}	A	37	95
11{9}	10{1}	12{21}	A	50	92
11{10}	10{2}	12{3}	A	10	99
11{11}	10{2}	12{7}	A	42	>99
11{12}	10{2}	12{8}	A	57	>99
11{13}	10{2}	12{16}	A	41	99
11{14}	10{2}	12{17}	A	38	93
11{15}	10{3}	12{1}	A	41	98
11{16}	10{3}	12{7}	A	67	96
11{17}	10{3}	12{8}	A	61	96
11{18}	10{3}	12{16}	A	51	>99
11{19}	10{3}	12{18}	A	68	95
11{20}	10{3}	12{20}	A	46	98
11{21}	10{4}	12{3}	A	7	97
11{22}	10{4}	12{7}	A	34	90
11{23}	10{4}	12{8}	A	43	95
11{24}	10{4}	12{16}	A	6	>99
11{25}	10{4}	12{19}	B	36	99
11{26}	10{1}	13{1}	B	6	96
11{27}	10{1}	13{2}	B	32	99
11{28}	10{1}	13{3}	B	39	>99
11{29}	10{1}	13{4}	B	25	97
11{30}	10{1}	13{5}	B	60	98
11{31}	10{1}	13{9}	B	42	96
11{32}	10{1}	13{10}	B	29	95
11{33}	10{1}	13{12}	B	17	93
11{34}	10{2}	13{3}	A	32	98
11{35}	10{2}	13{4}	B	37	>99
11{36}	10{2}	13{5}	B	20	98
11{37}	10{2}	13{9}	B	30	96
11{38}	10{3}	13{2}	B	31	99
11{39}	10{3}	13{3}	B	47	95
11{40}	10{3}	13{4}	B	20	99
11{41}	10{3}	13{5}	B	46	98
11{42}	10{3}	13{6}	B	85	>99
11{43}	10{3}	13{7}	B	15	96
11{44}	10{4}	13{8}	B	5	>99
11{45}	10{4}	13{10}	B	38	98
11{46}	10{3}	13{11}	B	24	96
11{47}	10{3}	13{12}	B	13 ^c	92
11{48}	10{3}	13{13}	B	10	96
11{49}	10{4}	13{1}	B	41	99
11{50}	10{4}	13{2}	B	43	97
11{51}	10{4}	13{6}	B	21	98
11{52}	10{1}	14{1}	C	58	93

compound	isocoumarin	reactant	method ^a	yield ^b (%)	purity ^d (%)
11{53}	10{1}	14{2}	C	25	96
11{54}	10{1}	14{3}	C	21	98
11{55}	10{1}	14{4}	C	46 ^c	>99
11{56}	10{1}	14{5}	C	62	99
11{57}	10{1}	14{6}	C	15	>99
11{58}	10{2}	14{1}	C	50	>99
11{59}	10{3}	14{3}	C	23	99
11{60}	10{1}	D	D	80 ^c	98
11{61}	10{2}	D	D	84 ^c	97
11{62}	10{3}	D	D	80 ^c	>99
11{63}	10{4}	D	D	65 ^c	92

^aMethod A: alkyne (1.5 equiv), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (3 mol %), CuI (2 mol %), 2:1 DMF/Et₃N, 55 °C; Method B: boronic acid (1.5 equiv), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (2 equiv), 1,5:0.3:0.2 DMF/EtOH/H₂O, microwave irradiation, 120 °C; Method C: styrene (2 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (1.5 mol %), Na₂CO₃ (2.5 equiv), TBAC (1.1 equiv), DMF, 80 °C; Method D: FSO₂CF₂CO₂Me (5 equiv), CuI (1 equiv), DMF, 70 °C.

^bIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^cIsolated yield after column chromatography.

^dUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

Table 2Library data for compounds **11{64–86}**.

compound	2-iodobenzoic acid	alkyne	yield ^a (%)	purity ^c (%)
11{64}	18{1}	12{1}	64 ^b	92
11{65}	18{1}	12{2}	43	>99
11{66}	18{1}	12{3}	42	>99
11{67}	18{1}	12{5}	33 ^b	>99
11{68}	18{1}	12{7}	68 ^b	97
11{69}	18{1}	12{8}	67 ^b	>99
11{70}	18{1}	12{9}	64 ^b	>99
11{71}	18{1}	12{10}	51 ^b	>99
11{72}	18{1}	12{11}	33	>99
11{73}	18{1}	12{12}	28	>99
11{74}	18{1}	12{13}	27	92
11{75}	18{1}	12{14}	31	92
11{76}	18{1}	12{15}	54 ^b	>99
11{77}	18{1}	12{17}	23	95
11{78}	7	12{7}	52 ^b	>99
11{79}	7	12{8}	56 ^b	>99
11{80}	7	12{9}	51	98
11{81}	7	12{12}	35	97
11{82}	7	12{15}	39	>99
11{83}	18{2}	12{7}	42 ^b	99
11{84}	18{2}	12{8}	41 ^b	>99
11{85}	18{2}	12{9}	61 ^b	90
11{86}	18{2}	12{10}	46 ^b	>99

^aIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.^bIsolated yield after column chromatography.^cUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

Library data for compounds 1187–1451.

Table 3

compound	isocomarin	reactant	method ^a	yield ^b (%)	purity ^d (%)
1187	1188	1189	151	70	>99
1188	1189	1190	152	66 ^c	66<
1189	1190	1191	153	59	>99
1189	1190	1192	154	59	>99
1189	1190	1193	155	49	>99
1189	1190	1194	156	74	>99
1189	1190	1195	157	74	>99
1189	1190	1196	158	75	99
1189	1190	1197	159	76	>99
1189	1190	1198	160	55	>99
1189	1190	1199	161	77	>99
1189	1190	1200	162	64 ^c	64<
1189	1190	1201	163	88	>99
1189	1190	1202	164	89	>99
1189	1190	1203	165	45	>99
1189	1190	1204	166	52	>99
1189	1190	1205	167	76	>99
1189	1190	1206	168	45	>99
1189	1190	1207	169	62	>99
1189	1190	1208	170	37	94
1189	1190	1209	171	37	97
1189	1190	1210	172	35	97
1189	1190	1211	173	35	99
1189	1190	1212	174	38	99
1189	1190	1213	175	33	99
1189	1190	1214	176	38	99
1189	1190	1215	177	36	99
1189	1190	1216	178	23	99
1189	1190	1217	179	29	98
1189	1190	1218	180	14	99
1189	1190	1219	181	20	99
1189	1190	1220	182	21	99
1189	1190	1221	183	36	99
1189	1190	1222	184	21	99
1189	1190	1223	185	14	95
1189	1190	1224	186	65	99
1189	1190	1225	187	80	99
1189	1190	1226	188	58	97
1189	1190	1227	189	60	99
1189	1190	1228	190	40	99
1189	1190	1229	191	72	99
1189	1190	1230	192	67	99
1189	1190	1231	193	82	99
1189	1190	1232	194	20	99
1189	1190	1233	195	8	99
1189	1190	1234	196	86 ^c	>99
1189	1190	1235	197	42	99
1189	1190	1236	198	68	98
1189	1190	1237	199	39	>99
1189	1190	1238	200	17	>99

compound	isocoumarin	reactant	method ^a	yield ^b (%)	purity ^d (%)
11[139]	11{78}	16[2]	B	61	>99
11[140]	11{79}	16[1]	B	68	>99
11[141]	11{79}	16[2]	B	67	>99
11[142]	11{68}	17[1]	C	17	>99
11[143]	11{68}	17[3]	C	12	>99
11[144]	11{69}	17[3]	C	13	>99
11[145]	11{78}	17[2]	C	37	95

^aMethod A: RCOCl (1.3 equiv), Et_3N (2 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to rt; Method B: $(\text{RCO}_2)_2\text{O}$ (4 equiv), toluene, 110 °C; Method C: R_2NCOCl (2 equiv), cat. DMAP, Et_3N (3 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C to 50 °C.

^bIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.

^cIsolated yield after column chromatography.

^dUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

Table 4

Library data for compound 11{146–167}.

compound	isocomarin	reactant	method ^a	yield ^b (%)	purity ^c (%)
11{146}	11{83}	12{2}	A	80	>99
11{147}	11{83}	12{3}	A	79	>99
11{148}	11{83}	12{17}	A	69	95
11{149}	11{83}	12{18}	A	45	92
11{150}	11{83}	12{19}	A	62	99
11{151}	11{84}	12{2}	A	77	>99
11{152}	11{84}	12{3}	A	58	91
11{153}	11{84}	12{10}	A	70	>99
11{154}	11{84}	12{16}	A	14	92
11{155}	11{84}	12{17}	A	54	>99
11{156}	11{84}	12{18}	A	59	96
11{157}	11{84}	12{19}	A	21	>99
11{158}	11{85}	12{2}	A	58	>99
11{159}	11{85}	12{3}	A	53	>99
11{160}	11{85}	12{18}	A	58	94
11{161}	11{85}	12{19}	A	58	>99
11{162}	11{86}	12{18}	A	45	99
11{163}	11{86}	12{19}	A	58	99
11{164}	11{83}	13{1}	B	47	>99
11{165}	11{86}	13{1}	B	10	>99
11{166}	11{84}	14{2}	C	26	>99
11{167}	11{86}	14{1}	C	69	>99

^aMethod A: alkyne (1.5 equiv), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (3 mol %), CuI (2 mol %), 3:1 DMF/Et₃N, 60 °C; Method B: boronic acid (1.5 equiv), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %), Cs₂CO₃ (2 equiv), 1,5:0.3:0.2 DMF/EtOH/H₂O, microwave irradiation, 120 °C; Method C: styrene (2 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (15 mol %), Na₂CO₃ (2.5 equiv), TBAC (1 equiv), DMF, 80 °C.

^bIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.^cUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.

Table 5Library data for compounds **19{1–12}**.

compound	isocoumarin	amine	yield ^a (%)	purity ^c (%)
19{1}	11{64}	20{1}	46 ^b	>99
19{2}	11{64}	20{2}	50 ^b	>99
19{3}	11{64}	20{5}	57	>99
19{4}	11{64}	20{6}	54	>99
19{5}	11{64}	20{7}	70	95
19{6}	11{64}	20{8}	29	97
19{7}	11{65}	20{1}	63	>99
19{8}	11{65}	20{2}	64	96
19{9}	11{66}	20{1}	16	92
19{10}	11{66}	20{3}	24	96
19{11}	11{67}	20{3}	25	>99
19{12}	11{67}	20{4}	26	90

^aIsolated yield after preparative HPLC.^bIsolated yield after column chromatography.^cUV purity determined at 214 nm after preparative HPLC.