

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

J Immunol. 2009 April 1; 182(7): 4167–4174. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0802976.

The Cyclic AMP Response Element Modulator *α* Suppresses CD86 Expression and APC Function¹

Martina Ahlmann^{*,†}, Georg Varga^{*}, Karsten Sturm^{*,‡}, Ralph Lippe^{*,‡}, Konrad Benedyk^{*,‡}, Dorothee Viemann^{*}, Thomas Scholzen[§], Jan Ehrchen^{*}, Frank U. Müller[¶], Matthias Seidl[¶], Marek Matus[¶], George C. Tsokos^{||}, Johannes Roth^{*,†}, and Klaus Tenbrock^{*,#,‡,2}

* Institute of Immunology, University of Münster, Münster Germany

[†] Department of Pediatrics, University of Münster, Münster Germany

[‡] Interdisziplinäres Zentrum für Klinische Forschung Research Group 5, University of Münster, Münster Germany

§ Institute of Cell Biology, University of Münster, Münster Germany

[¶] Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Münster, Münster Germany

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

[#] Department of Pediatrics, Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule, Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Abstract

The cAMP response element modulator (CREM) α is a widely expressed transcriptional repressor that is important for the termination of the T cell immune response and contributes to the abnormal T cell function in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. We present evidence that APCs of *Crem*^{-/-} mice express increased amounts of the costimulatory molecule CD86 and induce enhanced Ag-dependent and Ag-independent T cell proliferation. Similarly, human APCs in which CREM α was selectively suppressed expressed more CD86 on the surface membrane. CREM α was found to bind to the *CD86* promoter and suppressed its activity. Transfer of APCs from *Crem*^{-/-} mice into naive mice facilitated a significantly stronger contact dermatitis response compared with mice into which APCs from *Crem*^{+/+} mice had been transferred. We conclude that CREM α is an important negative regulator of costimulation and APC-dependent T cell function both in vitro and in vivo.

We have recently identified the transcriptional repressor cAMP response element modulator α (CREM α)³ as a negative regulator of IL-2 production and T cell proliferation in normal T cells (1,2). CREM α down-regulates IL-2 production by a chromatin-dependent mechanism mediated by recruitment of histone deacetylase (HDAC)1 (3). Additionally, CREM α is involved in the expression of abnormal T cell function in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Specifically, T cells from patients with SLE express increased amounts

Disclosures

¹This work is supported in part by a Public Health Service Grant R01 AI 49954, a Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant TE 339/4-3, and an Interdisziplinäres Zentrum für Klinische Forschung Münster Grant FG5.

²Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Klaus Tenbrock, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Pulmonology, Allergology and Immunology, Klinikum der Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, Pauwelsstrasse 30, D-52074 Aachen, Germany. ktenbrock@ukaachen.de.

The authors have no financial conflict of interest.

³Abbreviations used in this paper: CREM, cAMP response element modulator; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; DC, dendritic cell; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; BMDC, bone marrow-derived DC; HDAC, histone deacetylase; CRE, cAMP response element.

of CREM α , which binds to the *ll*2 promoter and suppresses its activity resulting in limited production of this cytokine (4,5). In addition, CREM α binds to the c-*fos* promoter, which results in decreased AP-1 activity (6). Suppression of CREM α expression in SLE T cells results in increased production of IL-2 and correction of effector cell function (4). Thus CREM α is of importance in the T cell pathophysiology of SLE and it is a general negative mediator of T cell physiology.

CREM, like the transcriptional activator CREB, belongs to the superfamily of bZip proteins containing a basic domain/leucine zipper domain, which binds an 8-bp palindromic DNA sequence cAMP response element (CRE, TGACGTCA) (7,8). These nuclear factors are activated following phosphorylation by several protein kinases in response to different signaling routes, including protein kinases A and C, MAPK, and stress-activated protein kinase, $Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent$ kinases IV and II (7,9,10). In patients with SLE, increased expression and activity of CaMKIV accounts for the increased binding of CREM α to the *Il2* promoter.

The effect of CREM on transcription is complex because CREM is a multiexonic gene that encodes both activator (τ) and repressor (α, β, γ) isoforms of transcriptional regulation (7). The two groups of CREM differ in the number of exons that are excluded by alternative splicing (7). The repressor isoforms are shorter and lack exons, generated by alternative splicing, alternative initiation codon and alternative polyadenylation, which contribute to the transactivating N terminus of the protein. Activated normal T cells and T cells from patients with SLE express primarily CREM α , which occupies promoters of *Il2* and c-*fos*, resulting in decreased IL-2 production and aberrant T cell function, contributing to disease pathology.

Nothing is known about the role of CREM α in APCs so far. Recently, it was shown that the activating transcription factor ATF3, which belongs to the family of CREM/CREB transcription factors, is involved in TLR-mediated gene transcription in macrophages (11). Moreover, an activator isoform of CREM participates in macrophage maturation.

In this study, we report that CREM α binds to a specific site of the *CD86* promoter in a chromatin-dependent manner and suppresses its activity. CREM α -deficient human monocytic U937 cells and murine dendritic cells (DCs) express more CD86 on their surface membrane and promote Ag-specific T cell proliferation both in vitro and in vivo animal model of contact dermatitis.

Materials and Methods

Generation of bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs)

Mouse bone marrow cells were flushed from femurs and tibias with Dulbecco medium. Erythrocytes were lysed with lysis buffer (155 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA) for 5 min at room temperature, and the remaining cells were washed once with PBS. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco medium with 1 g/L glucose supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Life Technologies) and 2 mM L-glutamine. DCs were generated by culturing bone marrow cells in the presence of IL-4 and GM-CSF for 6 days. FACS analysis showed that cells were 98% positive for CD11c and negative for BM-8. Cells were thereafter stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml), R848 (0.5 μ g/ml), or CpG oligonucleotides for different time points and analyzed by Western blot, RT-PCR, and flow cytometry.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Five million mouse DCs or U937 monocytes were used for extracting RNA (RNA Easy Mini kit; Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from 5 μ g of total RNA using RevertAid H Minus transcriptase (Fermentas). Primers were designed using the Primer Express software package

(Applied Biosystems) and obtained from Qiagen. Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the Quanti-Tect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) and data were acquired with the ABI PRISM 7900 HT (Applied Biosystems). Each measurement was set up in duplicates, and three independent experiments were performed. After normalization to endogenous housekeeping control genes for mouse bone marrow cells (GAPDH and ribosomal protein L) and for human U937 cells (GAPDH), the relative expression was calculated. Selected genes and primers for U937 cells were as follows: CREM 5'-GAA ACA GTT GAA TCC CAG CAT GAT GGA AGT-3' (reverse) 5'-TGC CCC GTG CTA GTC TGA TAT ATG-3'; GAPDH (forward) 5'-GCAAATTTC CATG GCACCGT-3', (reverse) 5'-GCCCCACTTGATTTTGGAGG-3'; and ribosomal protein L (forward) 5'-AGGTATGCT GCCCCACAAAAC-3', (reverse) 5'-TGTAGGCTTCAGACGCACGAC-3'.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis

Five million U937 cells were used per investigated Ab. The cells were treated with formalin (1%) for 10 min, washed, lysed and sonicated. The DNA-protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with an Ab (anti-CREM; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or unspecific isotypic rabbit control Ab and extracted by protein A/G-Sepharose beads (Pierce). After several washing steps the protein was digested with proteinase K, the cross-link between DNA and protein was reversed at 65°C overnight, and the DNA was extracted with a special elution step in 30 μ l (QiaAmp PCR kit; Qiagen). The DNA was amplified with primers flanking the putative CREM binding site of the CD86 promoter (forward) 5'-CACTCCCAATCCA CATCCAATA-3', (reverse) 5'-ATGACGTTAAGATGAGCCTGAATA-3' by semiquantitative PCR method. DNA from approximately one million cells was used per PCR study.

Transfection of U937 cells

A total of $\sim 1 \times 10^6$ U937 cells were used for transfection with polyethyleneimine. Plasmids encoding CREM α antisense and CREM α expression plasmid was a gift from Dr. P. Sassone-Corsi (University of California, Irvine, CA) and CREB expression plasmids or corresponding empty vector plasmids were used for transfection. The plasmid encoding the CD86 luciferase was a gift from Dr. N. Suciu-Foca (Columbia University, New York, NY). A total of 4 μ g of each plasmid was used per transfection (12). The 10 μ l of 0.1% polyethyleneimine plus 190 μ l of OptiMem were prepared for each transfection (13). In a second tube DNA was prepared and filled up to a 100 μ l with OptiMem. Subsequently polyethyleneimine solution was added to DNA medium solution and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were incubated with the solution overnight, washed, and replaced with fresh medium. After 24 h, cells were harvested for different purposes like luciferase assay, FACS analysis, ChIP assay, or RT-PCR. For luciferase kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. As an internal control for transfection efficiency, *Renilla* luciferase kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Reporter ChIP

Reporter ChIP technique enables the study of the in vivo binding of transcription factors to a specific binding site on a promoter of a reporter construct (14,15). Mutagenesis of the -21 site of the *CD86* promoter was performed with a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and primers including the mutagenesis site (5'-GGACTCTAATCCAGGGTTC TG**G** TATTTTGCCAGCC ACCAT-3'). The mutated construct was transfected into 5×10^6 U937 cells and the nonmutated construct was transfected into 5×10^6 cells for control purposes. At 24 h after transfection, ChIP analysis of the cells was performed with an anti-CREM Ab, anti-CREB Ab, or an isotypic control (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Semiquantitative PCR was performed with a primer specific for the *CD86* promoter and another one specific for the luciferase plasmid (forward) 5'-CACTC CCAATCCACATCCACAATA-3', (reverse) 5'-TCACCTCGATATGTG CATC-3' to ensure that only reporter specific DNA and not genomic DNA is amplified.

Flow cytometric analysis (FACS analysis)

Cells were stained with PE-labeled anti-CD86, anti-CD80, anti-CD40, CD11c, BM-8, (BD Biosciences) Ab or an isotype-specific control (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). A minimum of 10,000 events were collected for evaluation on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) and data were analyzed using CellQuest Pro software.

Animals

 $Crem^{-/-}$ animals were originally cloned and provided by Prof. G. Schütz (Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg, Germany) (16). Animals are on a mixed background of SV129/B16 for over 30 generations. Male $Crem^{-/-}$ animals are infertile, so only heterozygous animals can be used for breeding. Age- and gender-matched littermates/siblings were used for the experiments according to approved protocols of the animal welfare committee of the University of Münster (Münster, Germany).

For the experiments to evaluate the Ag-specific T cell proliferation in Fig. 1*d*, $Crem^{-/-}$ animals were crossed into the DO11.10 background and heterozygous DO11.10-positive *Crem* mice were the founder generation of the $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ animals, which were used for generation of BMDCs. Again, age-matched siblings were used for experiments.

Contact hypersensitivity reaction

To haptenize BMDCs in vitro, day 7 BMDCs were incubated for 3 h with 1 mM dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). In a second set of experiments BMDCs were incubated either with an anti-CD86 Ab (BD Biosciences) or with an isotypic IgG control for 1 h before transfer. Cells were extensively washed with PBS and 1×10^6 BMDCs in PBS/mouse were injected i.v. into the tail veins of naive mice of the same genetic background on day 0. Mice were challenged on day 6 by painting 10 μ l of 0.2% DNFB (2,4-dinitro-1-fluorobenzene; Sigma-Aldrich) in an acetone to olive oil ratio of 4:1 on the ventral and dorsal surface of the right ear. The left ears were treated with vehicle alone and served as an internal control for these studies. Contact hypersensitivity reaction was determined by the degree of ear swelling measured with a micrometer of the hapten-exposed ear minus that of the vehicle-treated contralateral ear at 24 h after challenge. Ears were obtained from sacrificed mice and processed for histological examination.

Isolation of T cells and assessment of Ag-specific T cell proliferation

For experiments with OVA-transgenic animals, BMDCs were fed with OVA peptide $(1 \ \mu M)$ for 2 h and extensively washed with PBS. BMDCs from $Crem^{-/-}$ or $Crem^{+/+}$ mice were cocultured with CFSE-labeled $(1 \ \mu M)$ T lymphocytes (pan T cell kit; Miltenyi Biotec) of OVA-transgenic $Crem^{+/+}$ mice in ratios ranging from 1:10 to 1:100. FACS analysis of T cells was performed after 3–5 days.

Histology

For histological H&E stained tissue studies, mouse ears were fixed with 10% PBS-buffered formalin using a Tissue Tek Tissue Processor and Embedding Station (Miles). Sections were cut at 3- to 5-µm and mounted on superfrost slides (Fisher Scientific), deparaffinized, rehydrated, and stained with H&E (Richard Allen). Sections were mounted under Permount

(Fisher Scientific) and examined by light microscopy to assess histological changes and immune cell infiltration.

Results

Enhanced CD86 expression on the surface of Crem^{-/-} DCs

We first determined whether CREM was expressed in murine APCs. We generated DCs by culturing bone marrow cells in the presence of IL-4 and GM-CSF for 6 days and treated them with different TLR agonists for 24 h. Similarly to human T cells, in which CREM was induced following stimulation with a combination of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 Abs, we found that stimulation of mouse DCs with TLR4, TLR7, and TLR9 agonists resulted in a 3-to 5-fold enhancement of CREM transcription (Fig. 1*a*). In accordance, Western blot analysis of DC nuclear lysates following stimulation with the TLR4 agonist LPS for 24 h revealed a strong enhancement of CREM α protein expression (Fig. 1*b*).

Next we asked whether the presence of CREM changes the function of APCs. We generated DCs from $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ mice and cocultured them with allogenic T cells from C57BL/ 6 mice. T cells showed a significantly enhanced proliferation in the presence of $Crem^{-/-}$ DCs compared with $Crem^{+/+}$ DCs (Fig. 1c). To determine whether CREM also influenced the function of APCs in an Ag-dependent manner we crossed $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ mice into a D011.10 OVA-TCR transgenic background and primed the D011.10 $Crem^{-/-}$ and D011.10 $Crem^{+/+}$ DCs with OVA peptide. Subsequently after 3–5 days of coculture, proliferation of OVA-TCR-expressing T cells was measured. We did not see any difference in the proliferation of T cells cocultured with unprimed DCs. In contrast, we observed significantly enhanced Ag-dependent proliferation of T cells, which have been cocultured with DCs derived from D011.10 $Crem^{-/-}$ mice compared with D011.10 $Crem^{+/+}$ mice (Fig. 1d).

Subsequently, we asked whether increased expression of co-stimulatory molecules was responsible for the increased T cell proliferation induced by DCs of $Crem^{-/-}$ mice. We found enhanced CD86 expression on the surface of DCs of $Crem^{-/-}$ mice, which had been stimulated with LPS, whereas the expression of CD80 or CD40 (Fig. 2*a*) was not affected. We additionally studied the expression of CD86 over time and found an up-regulation during the first 48 h after LPS treatment, which resolved after 72 h in $Crem^{+/+}$ and $Crem^{-/-}$ DCs (Fig. 2*b*). Nevertheless, the transcription of CD86 peaks after 24 h (Fig. 2*c*), which is the time point of highest protein expression of CREM (Fig. 1*b*).

Next we studied the expression of CD86 in human APCs, in which CREM α was transiently knocked out by an antisense CREM α construct. To this end, we transfected the human U937 monocytic cell line with an antisense CREM α plasmid and a GFP-construct and gated on GFP-positive cells. Antisense CREM α enhanced the expression of CD86 on the surface of U937 (n = 6 experiments, mean fluorescent intensity 14 vs 7, p = 0.035) (Fig. 2b). This response indicates that CREM α is involved in the transcription and expression of CD86 on human monocytic cells.

CREMα binds to the -21 CRE site of the CD86 promoter and regulates its activity

We transfected a luciferase reporter construct driven by the human *CD86* promoter into U937 cells and knocked down the expression of CREM using an antisense CREM α construct. Cells were stimulated with LPS for additional 24 h. Transfection of antisense CREM α strongly enhanced *CD86* promoter activity (Fig. 3, *a* and *b*). In addition, we cotransfected a CREM α as well as a CREB expression plasmid together with the CD86 luciferase reporter. Although the CREM α plasmid down-regulated CD86 luciferase expression, the CREB plasmid enhanced CD86 promoter-driven lu-ciferase expression (Fig. 3*a*).

We performed a reporter ChIP (14) using the CD86 promoter reporter construct and observed decreased binding of CREM after co-transfection with antisense CREMa. In contrast, the binding of the transcriptional activator CREB, which is also able to bind CRE sites and thereby induces promoter activity, was unaffected by the antisense CREMa plasmid (Fig. 3c). We identified a putative CRE (GTCAC) half-site at -21 bp of the *CD86* promoter, which is very close to the transcription initiation site and destroyed it by site-directed mutagenesis. We used the -21 site-mutated CD86 reporter construct to perform reporter ChIP (Fig. 3d) and we found that neither CREM nor CREB bound to the *CD86* promoter (Fig. 3d). As expected, the luciferase activity of this mutated reporter construct could not be up-regulated by a knockout of CREM (Fig. 3b) any further. These data demonstrate that the functional -21 CRE site of the *CD86* promoter is critical for its regulation by CREM and CREB.

Previously, we reported that in T cells binding of CREM to the *ll2* promoter recruits HDAC1. Accordingly, we asked whether CREM regulates CD86 expression also in a chromatindependent manner. Using ChIP assays we determined the binding of CREM and acetylated histone 4 (a marker of transcriptional activation). As shown in Fig. 4*a*, CREM bound to the *CD86* promoter constitutively but its binding decreased after LPS stimulation and increased again 24 h later. In contrast, acetylated histone 4 binding appeared 6 h after stimulation, when CREM binding was minimal, and decreased 24 h later. Subsequently, we transfected U937 cells with a mutated or a nonmutated *CD86* promoter-driven reporter construct and treated them with the HDAC inhibitor SAHA. As shown in Fig. 4*b* the nonmutated, wild-type *CD86* promoter-driven construct showed stronger activity after HDAC inhibitor treatment compared with the –21 site-mutated *CD86* promoter-driven construct.

Crem^{-/-} DCs induce enhanced contact dermatitis

Having demonstrated the importance of CREM in the regulation of the expression of CD86 and APC function in in vitro assays, we sought to determine whether CREM is important for APC function in Ag-specific T cell-dependent disease. Contact dermatitis represents T cell-dependent skin damage in which Ag presentation is critical. To analyze APC function we adoptively transferred dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid haptenized DCs from either *Crem*^{-/-} or *Crem*^{+/+} mice into naive heterozygous recipients. This approach induces a sensitization, which is solely dependent on the Ag presentation by DCs. The animals were challenged 1 wk later with the analog DNFB. At 24 h later, ear thickness was measured and the animals were sacrificed for histological evaluation. Indeed, in this model, *Crem*^{-/-} DCs induced a significantly stronger inflammatory response as measured by ear thickness and by histological evaluation (Fig. 5, *a* and *c*). We additionally asked whether a blockade of CD86 would result in a comparable inflammatory response in both the *Crem*^{-/-} and *Crem*^{+/+} mice and incubated the haptenized DCs either with an anti-CD86 Ab or an isotypic control before injection into naive animals. The blockade of CD86 resulted in a reduction of disease severity in both animals and equalized the inflammatory response as measured by ear thickness (Fig. 5*b*).

Discussion

We have presented novel evidence that CREM α is involved in the regulation of APC function by controlling the expression of CD86 which is a well established costimulatory molecule regulating the magnitude of the responding T cells. Using molecular approaches, we demonstrate that CREM α binds to a CRE site located close to the transcription initiation site (-21) of the *CD86* promoter and limits its activity. APCs that lack CREM α exhibit an increased ability to promote the proliferation of both Ag-specific and non-specific T cells in vitro. More importantly, when transferred into animals after hapten-sensitization *Crema*-deficient APCs promote an enhanced contact dermatitis upon proper rechallenge. It has been suggested before that cAMP regulates nonspecified mechanisms that might influence CD86 expression (17,

18). Apart from cAMP-mediated mechanisms, which have been defined in this study, NF-KB has been previously identified as a regulator of *CD86* promoter activity (12).

Previously, we had shown that CREM α represents a potent suppressor of IL-2 production by means of repressing the transcriptional activity of the *ll2* promoter. Stimulation of human peripheral blood T cells with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 Ab resulted in an early increase of CREM α expression, which bound to the –180 site of the *ll2* promoter effectively terminating its transcription (2). In one experiment (Fig. 1), we show a similar induction of CREM α in DCs following stimulation with TLR ligands. Because the induced CREM α binds to the *CD86* promoter and suppresses the expression of CD86 on the surface membrane of DCs, it effectively limits their ability to provide costimulation to T cells. Thus, CREM α appears to be an inducible negative regulator of both T cell and DC function and its timely up-regulation after stimulation is surmised to end the initiated immune response.

Dysregulated CREMa expression is of importance in the pathogenesis of SLE. Specifically, SLE T cells express increased amounts of CREMa that binds to the Il2 promoter and suppresses its activity in a direct manner (4,19). In addition, CREM α was found to bind to the c-fos promoter and limit the production of c-fos protein. Consequently, the formation and activity of the c-fos/c-jun (AP-1) heterodimer is diminished (6), which is a known enhancer of the *Il2* promoter activity (20,21). These studies as well as our experiments have provided hints for the development of tools to control the immune response. Suppression of CREMa expression with an antisense CREM construct resulted in correction of IL-2 production by SLE T cells. Similarly, (Fig. 2b) transfer of antisense CREM α resulted in increased promoter activity and expression of CD86. CD86 expression has been claimed to be important in the pathogenesis of several human diseases including SLE (22,23,24), atopic dermatitis (25,26), and diabetes (27,28). In SLE, the expression of CD86 on APCs is controversial and seems to depend on the cell type. Whereas monocyte-derived DCs have been reported to display increased expression of CD86 (24), B cells have been reported to have either increased or decreased expression of CD86 (29). In atopic dermatitis the expression of CD86 on B cells was significantly enhanced and correlated with a Th2 switch and IgE production (26). In the NOD mouse model of diabetes, CD86 knockout mice were protected from diabetes. Specifically, CD86 deficiency caused a profound diminishment in the generation of spontaneously activated CD4 T cells and isletspecific CD4 T cell expansion (27,28). In a model of contact dermatitis, transgenic overexpression of CD86 in keratinocytes resulted in significantly enhanced disease activity (30). This is analogous to our contact dermatitis disease model in which transfer of haptensensitized $Crem^{-/-}$ DCs, which express increased levels of CD86, resulted in enhanced skin thickness after rechallenge with hapten (Fig. 5).

In this study, we have transferred haptenized $Crem^{-/-}$ DCs into naive mice and thus we have been able to specifically dissect the role of CREM in the regulation of APC function. Indeed, DCs deficient in CREM were able to stimulate T cells much stronger than CREM-sufficient DCs. This enhanced costimulation could be blocked in vivo by an anti-CD86 Ab. This in vivo demonstration complements our in vitro data, which demonstrated that CREM bound to the promoter of CD86 and suppressed its expression. In general, newly synthesized CREM*a* binds to promoters, which contain a CRE site. If the production of CREM is inhibited (e.g., by antisense CREM*a*) or in *Crem*^{-/-} mice this resulted in an enhanced and prolonged transcription and expression of genes. Target genes among others include CREM itself (our unpublished observation), whereby it provides negative feedback to control its production.

Comparable to the mechanism in T cells, in which CREM α is a negative regulator of IL-2 transcription, the effect on the *CD86* promoter seems to be mediated by chromatin-dependent mechanisms. CREM α and the transcriptional activator CREB are both involved in chromatin remodelling (31). Closed chromatin structure is a barrier for transcription and opening of

chromatin can be achieved by acetylation of histones (32). We showed that binding of CREM was correlated inversely with acetylation of histone 4 on the *CD86* promoter. CREB and CREM can bind CREB-binding protein and p300, which have histone acetylating transferase activities, with their kinase inducible domain (33,10,34). Unlike CREB, CREM α lacks both Q domains (31). The Q2 domain is necessary to activate histone acetylating transferase activities of p300 and CREB-binding protein and therefore, CREM α is unable to promote histone acetylating transferase activities of p300 and CREB-binding protein (31). Additionally, our findings suggest mechanisms similar to those identified in T cells where CREM regulates transcription by recruitment of HDAC1 (3). This claim is corroborated by an enhanced promoter activity after treatment with the HDAC inhibitor SAHA of cells transferced with the CD86 reporter construct. This enhancement was clearly dependent on the existence of a functional CRE site.

In conclusion, we have shown that CREM binds to the *CD86* promoter and suppresses its expression. CREM-deficient DCs promote T cell proliferation in vitro and present allergen in vivo much stronger than CREM-sufficient DC. We present evidence that the CREM-mediated suppression of the *CD86* promoter activity depends on histone acetylation. Thus CREM is an important negative regulator of APC function and its manipulation may be useful in the control of pathology that results from excessive Ag presentation to T cells.

References

- 1. Powell JD, Lerner CG, Ewoldt GR, Schwartz RH. The –180 site of the IL-2 promoter is the target of CREB/CREM binding in T cell anergy. J Immunol 1999;163:6631–6639. [PubMed: 10586058]
- Tenbrock K, Juang YT, Tolnay M, Tsokos GC. The cyclic adenosine 5'-monophosphate response element modulator suppresses IL-2 production in stimulated T cells by a chromatin-dependent mechanism. J Immunol 2003;170:2971–2976. [PubMed: 12626549]
- Tenbrock K, Juang YT, Leukert N, Roth J, Tsokos GC. The transcriptional repressor cAMP response element modulator alpha interacts with histone deacetylase 1 to repress promoter activity. J Immunol 2006;177:6159–6164. [PubMed: 17056544]
- Tenbrock K, Juang YT, Gourley MF, Nambiar MP, Tsokos GC. Antisense cyclic adenosine 5'monophosphate response element modulator up-regulates IL-2 in T cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol 2002;169:4147–4152. [PubMed: 12370343]
- Juang YT, Wang Y, Solomou EE, Li Y, Mawrin C, Tenbrock K, Kyttaris VC, Tsokos GC. Systemic lupus erythematosus serum IgG increases CREM binding to the IL-2 promoter and suppresses IL-2 production through CaMKIV. J Clin Invest 2005;115:996–1005. [PubMed: 15841182]
- Kyttaris VC, Juang YT, Tenbrock K, Weinstein A, Tsokos GC. Cyclic adenosine 5'-monophosphate response element modulator is responsible for the decreased expression of c-*fos* and activator protein-1 binding in T cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol 2004;173:3557–3563. [PubMed: 15322221]
- Sassone-Corsi P. Transcription factors responsive to cAMP. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 1995;11:355– 377. [PubMed: 8689562]
- De Cesare D, Sassone-Corsi P. Transcriptional regulation by cyclic AMP-responsive factors. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 2000;64:343–369. [PubMed: 10697414]
- 9. Chrivia JC, Kwok RP, Lamb N, Hagiwara M, Montminy MR, Goodman RH. Phosphorylated CREB binds specifically to the nuclear protein CBP. Nature 1993;365:855–859. [PubMed: 8413673]
- Montminy M. Transcriptional regulation by cyclic AMP. Annu Rev Biochem 1997;66:807–822. [PubMed: 9242925]
- Gilchrist M, Thorsson V, Li B, Rust AG, Korb M, Roach JC, Kennedy K, Hai T, Bolouri H, Aderem A. Systems biology approaches identify ATF3 as a negative regulator of Toll-like receptor 4. Nature 2006;441:173–178. [PubMed: 16688168]
- Li J, Liu Z, Jiang S, Cortesini R, Lederman S, Suciu-Foca N. T suppressor lymphocytes inhibit NFκB-mediated transcription of CD86 gene in APC. J Immunol 1999;163:6386–6392. [PubMed: 10586028]

- Boussif O, Lezoualc'h F, Zanta MA, Mergny MD, Scherman D, Demeneix B, Behr JP. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and in vivo: polyethylenimine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;92:7297–7301. [PubMed: 7638184]
- Tenbrock K V, Kyttaris C, Ahlmann M, Ehrchen JM, Tolnay M, Melkonyan H, Mawrin C, Roth J, Sorg C, Juang YT, Tsokos GC. The cyclic AMP response element modulator regulates transcription of the TCR æ-chain. J Immunol 2005;175:5975–5980. [PubMed: 16237091]
- Lavrrar JL, Farnham PJ. The use of transient chromatin immunoprecipitation assays to test models for E2F1-specific transcriptional activation. J Biol Chem 2004;279:46343–46349. [PubMed: 15328355]
- Blendy JA, Kaestner KH, Weinbauer GF, Nieschlag E, Schutz G. Severe impairment of spermatogenesis in mice lacking the CREM gene. Nature 1996;380:162–165. [PubMed: 8600391]
- Ardeshna KM, Pizzey AR, Devereux S, Khwaja A. The PI3 kinase, p38 SAP kinase, and NF-κB signal transduction pathways are involved in the survival and maturation of lipopolysaccharidestimulated human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Blood 2000;96:1039–1046. [PubMed: 10910920]
- Suzuki M, Shinohara F, Sato K, Taniguchi T, Takada H, Rikiishi H. Interleukin-1βconverting enzyme subfamily inhibitors prevent induction of CD86 molecules by butyrate through a CREB-dependent mechanism in HL60 cells. Immunology 2003;108:375–383. [PubMed: 12603604]
- Solomou EE, Juang YT, Gourley MF, Kammer GM, Tsokos GC. Molecular basis of deficient IL-2 production in T cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol 2001;166:4216– 4222. [PubMed: 11238674]
- Jain J, McCaffrey PG, Valge-Archer VE, Rao A. Nuclear factor of activated T cells contains Fos and Jun. Nature 1992;356:801–804. [PubMed: 1533441]
- Jain J V, Valge-Archer E, Rao A. Analysis of the AP-1 sites in the IL-2 promoter. J Immunol 1992;148:1240–1250. [PubMed: 1737937]
- Tsokos GC, Kovacs B, Liossis SN. Lymphocytes, cytokines, inflammation, and immune trafficking. Curr Opin Rheumatol 1997;9:380–386. [PubMed: 9309192]
- Liossis SN, Sfikakis PP, Tsokos GC. Immune cell signaling aberrations in human lupus. Immunol Res 1998;18:27–39. [PubMed: 9724847]
- Decker P, Kotter I, Klein R, Berner B, Rammensee HG. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells overexpress CD86 in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2006;45:1087–1095. [PubMed: 16527880]
- Ohki O, Yokozeki H, Katayama I, Umeda T, Azuma M, Okumura K, Nishioka K. Functional CD86 (B7-2/B70) is predominantly expressed on Langerhans cells in atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol 1997;136:838–845. [PubMed: 9217814]
- 26. Jirapongsananuruk O, Hofer MF, Trumble AE, Norris DA, Leung DY. Enhanced expression of B7.2 (CD86) in patients with atopic dermatitis: a potential role in the modulation of IgE synthesis. J Immunol 1998;160:4622–4627. [PubMed: 9574570]
- Yadav D, Judkowski V, Flodstrom-Tullberg M, Sterling L, Redmond WL, Sherman L, Sarvetnick N. B7-2 (CD86) controls the priming of autoreactive CD4 T cell response against pancreatic islets. J Immunol 2004;173:3631. [PubMed: 15356107]
- Yadav D, Sarvetnick N. B7-2 regulates survival, phenotype, and function of APCs. J Immunol 2007;178:6236–6241. [PubMed: 17475851]
- 29. Chang NH, McKenzie T, Bonventi G, Landolt-Marticorena C, Fortin PR, Gladman D, Urowitz M, Wither JE. Expanded population of activated antigen-engaged cells within the naive B cell compartment of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol 2008;180:1276–1284. [PubMed: 18178868]
- Burns R, Luzina I, Nasir A, Haidaris CG, Barth RK, Gaspari AA. Keratinocyte-derived, CD80mediated costimulation is associated with hapten-specific IgE production during contact hypersensitivity to TH1 haptens. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2005;115:383–390. [PubMed: 15696100]
- Asahara H, Santoso B, Guzman E, Du K, Cole PA, Davidson I, Montminy M. Chromatin-dependent cooperativity between constitutive and inducible activation domains in CREB. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7892–7900. [PubMed: 11689682]

- 32. Narlikar GJ, Fan HY, Kingston RE. Cooperation between complexes that regulate chromatin structure and transcription. Cell 2002;108:475–487. [PubMed: 11909519]
- Chakravarti D V, LaMorte J, Nelson MC, Nakajima T, Schulman IG, Juguilon H, Montminy M, Evans RM. Role of CBP/P300 in nuclear receptor signalling. Nature 1996;383:99–103. [PubMed: 8779723]
- von Mikecz A, Zhang S, Montminy M, Tan EM, Hemmerich P. CREB-binding protein (CBP)/p300 and RNA polymerase II colocalize in transcriptionally active domains in the nucleus. J Cell Biol 2000;150:265–273. [PubMed: 10893273]



FIGURE 1.

CREM-deficient DC provide enhanced Ag-specific T cell proliferation. *a*, BMDCs of C57BL/ 6 animals were treated with the TLR4 agonist LPS, the TLR7 agonist R848, and the TLR9 agonist CpG for 8 h. RNA was extracted and real-time PCR for CREM was performed and equalized to GAPDH and ribosomal protein L values (n = 3 experiments). *b*, BMDCs of C57BL/6 animals were stimulated with the TLR4 agonist LPS for 24 h and nuclear proteins were extracted. CREM protein expression was detected by Western blot (n = 2 experiments). *c*, BMDCs of *Crem*^{-/-} or *Crem*^{+/+} animals were incubated together with T cells from allogenic BALB/c mice. Three days later, T cells were labeled with thymidine and its incorporation was measured 24 h later (n = 5 experiments, p = 0.0065, paired *t* test). *d*, BMDCs of DO11.10

Crem^{-/-} or DO11.10 *Crem^{+/+}* animals were either left untreated or were pulsed with OVA peptide $(1 \ \mu M)$ for 2 h and extensively washed with PBS. BMDCs were cocultured with CFSE-labeled $(1 \ \mu M)$ T lymphocytes of OVA-transgenic DO11.10 CREM heterozygote (HZ) mice in ratios ranging from 1:10 to 1:100. FACS analysis of T cells was performed after 3–5 days. Number represents percentage of proliferated cells in each quadrant (n = 4 experiments).



FIGURE 2.

Enhanced expression of CD86 by CREM-deficient DCs. *a*, BMDCs from $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ animals were either left unstimulated or were stimulated with LPS for 24 h and stained with Abs against CD40, CD80, and CD86. CD86 staining shows the isotypic control (filled histogram), the CD86 expression of the $Crem^{+/+}$ mice, and the CD86 expression of the $Crem^{-/-}$ mice (n = 3 animals each) for four experiments completed. *b*, BMDCs from $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ animals were either left unstimulated or were stimulated with LPS for depicted time points with Abs against CD86. Number at top of peaks represents mean fluorescent intensity (n = 3 animals each) for two experiments performed. *c*, BMDCs from $Crem^{+/+}$ animals were either left unstimulated or were stimulated with LPS for depicted time points were left unstimulated or were stimulated to the term and term and the term and the term and the term and the term and term and

points. mRNA was extracted and real-time PCR was performed with primers specific for CD86 (n = 3 animals each) for two experiments completed. Data show fold-change relative to *gapdh* expression. *d*, U937 cells were transfected with an antisense CREM construct (AS) or an empty-vector construct (EV) together with a GFP construct. CD86 expression on cell surface was measured by flow cytometric analysis 24 h after transfection within a gate set on GFP-positive cells. Mean fluorescence intensity was calculated with error bar representing SEM (n = 6 experiments, p = 0.006, paired *t* test). Empty vector (gray line histogram) and antisense CREM (black line histogram) are shown (*bottom*).

Ahlmann et al.



FIGURE 3.

CREM binds to the -21 CRE site of the CD86 promoter. a, The wild-type CD86 promoter luciferase construct has been cotransfected into U937 cells together with an antisense CREMa (AS), a CREMa expression plasmid (S), a CREB expression plasmid or a control plasmid (EV) and cells were stimulated with LPS for 24 h. Luciferase activity was measured and relative luciferase activity was normalized to luciferase activity of the control plasmid. b, The wild-type (WT) or the mutated (M) CD86 luciferase construct was transfected into U937 cells together with either an antisense CREM (AS) plasmid or a control (EV) plasmid and stimulated with LPS. Luciferase activity was measured 24 h after stimulation with LPS (n =4) and values were normalized to transfection with the control plasmid. c, CD86 promoter luciferase construct has been transfected into U937 cells together with an antisense CREM α (AS) construct or an empty vector (EV) and reporter ChIP has been performed with Abs against CREM and CREB. DNA was amplified with primers specific for the CD86 luciferase construct and run on a 1.5% agarose gel (n = 3). d, CD86 luciferase construct was mutated at the -21CRE site (M) and transfected into U937 cells. As control, the wild-type luciferase construct (WT) was transfected and an reporter ChIP was performed with Abs against CREM and CREB. DNA was amplified with primers specific for the CD86 luciferase construct and run on a 1.5% agarose gel (n = 3).



FIGURE 4.

CREM regulates gene expression by a chromatin-dependent mechanism. *a*, U937 cells were stimulated with LPS for 0, 6, and 24 h and cells were harvested for ChIP analysis with Abs against CREM, acetylated histone 4, and an isotypic control, subsequently semiquantitative PCR with primers specific for the CD86 promoter was performed and the products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel (n = 3 experiments). *b*, -21 CRE mutated (M) or nonmutated (WT) *CD86* promoter luciferase constructs were tranfected into U937 cells and subsequently treated with the HDAC inhibitor SAHA. Luciferase activity after treatment with SAHA was measured 24 h later and values were normalized to nontreated transfected cells. Number shows fold increase of luciferase after treatment with SAHA (n = 3 experiments).

Ahlmann et al.



FIGURE 5.

CREM-deficient DC promote contact dermatitis pathology. a, To haptenize BMDCs in vitro, day 7 BMDCs of Crem^{-/-} and Crem^{+/+} mice were incubated for 3 h with 1 mM dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid. Cells were extensively washed with PBS and 1×10^{6} BMDCs in PBS/mouse were injected i.v. into the tail veins of heterozygous CREM mice on day 0 and mice were challenged on day 6 by painting 10 µl of 0.2% DNFB with an acetone to olive oil ratio of 4:1 on the ventral and dorsal surface of the right ear. The left ear was treated with vehicle alone and served as an internal control for these studies. Contact hypersensitive reaction was determined by the degree of ear swelling measured with a micrometer of the hapten-exposed ear minus that of the vehicle-treated contralateral ear at 24 h after challenge. The y-axis shows difference of ear swelling before and 24 h after challenge with DNFB (n = 5 animals each) in three experiments. Error bar represents SD (p = 0.005, paired t test). b, BMDCs were treated as in a and additionally incubated with an anti-CD86 Ab or an IgG control for 1 h. BMDCs were extensively washed and injected into the tail veins of naive mice. Contact allergy was elicited and measured on day 6 (n = 5 animals each) in two experiments. Error bar represents SD. #, p = 0.028 for isotypic blockade between $Crem^{-/-}$ and $Crem^{+/+}$ mice.*, p = 0.007 between Crem^{-/-} isotypic control and CD86 blockade. No significant (n.s.) difference was found between $Crem^{+/+}$ isotypic control and CD86 blockade (by paired t test). c, Animals were sacrificed after 24 h, mouse ears were fixed and stained with H&E. Enhanced cellular infiltration in the ear is shown after transfer of haptenized Crem^{-/-} DCs.