Sexual health of teenagers in England and Wales: analysis of national data

Angus Nicoll, Mike Catchpole, Susan Cliffe, Gwenda Hughes, Ian Simms, Daniel Thomas

Nation indicator) we found substantial inequalities.

Termination rates varied from 2.2 to 10.5 per 1000 and

live births from 1.1 to 9.9 per 1000, the highest rates

being in urban districts. Teenage birth rates in England

and Wales were the highest in western Europe (see

diagnosed and reported among teenagers aged 16-19

years attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in

England and Wales. The numbers increased by 34% in

women and 30% in men from those of 1995 (table).

The rises occurred in every region apart from Anglia

and Oxford and followed a smaller rise between 1994

and 1995. Rates of gonorrhoea had consistently fallen

from 1991 to 1994. Widespread rises were also seen for

genital chlamydial infection and warts but not for geni-

In 1996, teenage females accounted for 20% of all

terminations but only 9% of births, and teenage females

had the second highest termination rate after 20-24 year

olds. Older female teenagers (age 16-19) had the highest

rates of gonorrhoea, genital chlamydial infection, and

warts and the second highest (after 20-24 year old

women) rate of genital herpes simplex.3 Incidence of

gonorrhoea has been identified as a sensitive indicator

of trends in sexual behaviour. Older age groups were

also affected by the rise in 1994-6, but the rises in

In 1996, there were 2272 cases of gonorrhoea

BMJ's website for data).

tal herpes simplex.

Comment

Sexual health is an essential component of general health and includes the avoidance of unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections. Unintended pregnancies are associated with increased risk of poor social, economic, and health outcomes for mother and child,¹ and important sequelae of sexually transmitted infections include pelvic inflammatory disease and infertility, cervical cancer, and increased susceptibility to HIV infection. For some of these factors teenagers are at greater risk than older women.¹²

Subjects, methods, and results

We reviewed all national routine data pertaining to sexual ill health among teenagers in England and Wales; birth and termination statistics from the Office for National Statistics; and reports from sexually transmitted disease clinics.³ We analysed data for 1996 and made comparisons with 1995.

In 1996 there were 86 174 conceptions in females under age 20 years, of which 30 296 were terminated and 55 878 led to a maternity (still or live birth). Rates of termination of pregnancy among teenagers rose in 1996 compared with 1995, by 14.5% in under 16s and 12.5% in 16-19 year olds. Maternity rates also rose in the two age groups by 6.7% and 4.6% respectively (table). The rises took place in all health regions and reversed previously declining trends in the early 1990s. When data were combined for 1995-6 and analysed by health district for females under age 16 (a Health of the

Sexual health indices among teenagers in England and Wales, 1995-6

	1995	1995		996	
	No	Rate per 1000	No	Rate per 1000	% rate increase 1995-6 (95% CI)
Terminations of pregnancy*					
<16 year olds†	3999	4.3	4550	4.8	14.5%‡ (9.7 to 19.4)
16-19 year olds†	30 296	20.6	34 752	23.2	12.5%‡ (10.8 to 14.2)
Maternities*					
<16 year olds†	4035	4.3	4279	4.6	6.7%‡ (2.2 to 11.4)
<16-19 year olds†	55 878	38.0	59 612	39.8	4.6%‡ (3.4 to 5.8)
New diagnoses at sexually transmitted disease clin	ics (16-19 year olds)§				
Women:					
Gonorrhoea (uncomplicated)	1024	0.79	1377	1.07	34.5‡ (24.0 to 45.8)
Chlamydia (uncomplicated)	4940	3.82	5753	4.45	16.5‡ (12.1 to 21.0)
Genital herpes (first attack)	1622	1.25	1646	1.39	1.5 (-5.2 to 8.7)
Genital warts (first attack)	6737	5.21	7561	5.84	12.2‡ (8.6 to 16.0)
Men:					
Gonorrhoea (uncomplicated)	687	0.56	895¶	0.71	31.3‡ (19.2 to 44.7)
Chlamydia (uncomplicated)	1197	0.97	1411	1.15	17.9‡ (9.1 to 27.3)
Genital herpes (first attack)	274	0.22	269	0.22	-2.5 (-17.6 to 15.3)
Genital warts (first attack)	1821	1.49	2054	1.68	12.8‡ (5.9 to 20.1)

*All conceptions of women resident in England and Wales leading to a termination of pregnancy in England and Wales and live or stillbirth (maternities) registered in England and Wales.

†Age at time of conception of pregnancy.

\$Significant rate increase, P<0.001. Analyses for termination and birth data involved calculating confidence intervals for rate ratios which were subsequently converted to percentage rate increase in 1996 compared with 1995. Analyses of data on sexually transmitted disease used Poisson linear regression with allowance for region effects.

SAggregate data on new diagnoses of sexually transmitted infections diagnosed and reported to the Department of Health and Public Health Laboratory Service by 30 September 1998.

Numbers of cases of gonorrhoea diagnosed in 16-19 year old men that were attributed to homosexual transmission rose from 31 to 60.

Division, Public Health Laboratory Service Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre, London NW9 5EO Angus Nicoll, consultant epidemiologist Mike Catchpole, consultant epidemiologist Susan Cliffe. senior scientist Gwenda Hughes. principal scientist Ian Simms. senior scientist Public Health Laboratory Service Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre Welsh Unit, Abton House, Cardiff CF4 3QX Daniel Thomas, principal scientist Correspondence to: Dr Nicoll anicoll@phls.co.uk

Editorial by McKee

HIV and Sexually

Transmitted Disease

BMJ 1999;318:1321-2

website *e*xtra

A further table of results is available on the BMJ's website

www.bmj.com

numbers and rates among both sexes were greater among 16-19 year olds than any other age group.³ Overall attendances at sexually transmitted disease clinics have gradually risen since 1988, and increased use of services may have accounted for some of the 1994-6 rises, which continued into 1997.⁴ However, it seems unlikely that the pronounced rise could be attributed solely to a sudden widespread increase in clinic use.

There is substantial sexual ill health among teenagers in England and Wales. This is distributed inequitably, and recent data are consistent with a worsening trend. The potential for health gain through primary behavioural prevention is considerable, and the United States, which has even worse teenage rates than the United Kingdom, has recently shown such an improvement.⁵ Sexual health should be a priority for coordinated national and local health promotion among young people.

Contributors: AN collated the data, was the paper's main writer, and is the study guarantor. All the other authors were involved in the conceiving and drafting of the paper. MC was responsible for collection and analysis of data from sexually transmitted disease clinics along with GH, IS, and DT (for data on cases reported by Welsh departments of genitourinary medicine). SC assembled data on births and terminations in England and Wales with assistance from Michael Bland. Statistical analyses by GH and SC were assisted by Pauline Rogers of the Public Health Laboratory Service Statistics Unit. Development of the manuscript was supported by Virginia Walker. Gathering of genitourinary clinic reports was delegated to the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre by the Department of Health and the Welsh Office in 1996, which continue to support the work.

Funding: None

Competing interests: None declared.

- Dickson R, Fullerton D, Eastwood A, Sheldon T, Sharp F. Preventing and reducing the adverse effects of unintended teenage pregnancies. *Effective Health Care* 1997;3:1-12.
- 2 Cowan FM, Mindel A. Sexually transmitted diseases in children: adolescents. *Genitourin Med* 1993;69:141-7.
- 3 Simms I, Hughes G, Swan AV, Rogers PA, Catchpole M. New cases seen at genitourinary medicine clinics: England 1996. CDR Supplement 1998;8 (suppl 1):S1-12.
- 4 Hughes G, Simms J, Rogers PA, Swan AV, Catchpole M. New cases seen at genitourinary medicine clinics: England 1997. London: Public Health Laboratory Service, 1998. http://www.open.gov.uk/cdsc/
- ratory Service, 1998. http://www.open.gov.uk/cdsc/
 Trends in sexual risk behaviour among high school students—United States 1991-1997. MMWR 1998;47:749-51.

(Accepted 30 October 1998)

Reporting of precision of estimates for diagnostic accuracy: a review

Robert Harper, Barnaby Reeves

Department of Ophthalmology, Manchester Royal Eye Hospital, Manchester M13 9WH Robert Harper, *principal optometrist*

Health Services Research Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT Barnaby Reeves, *senior lecturer*

Correspondence to: Dr Harper robert.harper@ man.ac.uk

BMJ 1999;318:1322-3

Diagnostic accuracy is usually characterised by the sensitivity and specificity of a test, and these indices are most commonly presented when evaluations of diagnostic tests are reported. It is important to emphasise that, as in other empirical studies, specific values of diagnostic accuracy are merely estimates. Therefore, when evaluations of diagnostic accuracy are reported the precision of the sensitivity and specificity estimates or likelihood ratios should be stated.^{1–3} If sensitivity and specificity estimates are reported without a measure of precision, clinicians cannot know the range within which the true values of the indices are likely to lie.

Confidence intervals are widely used in medical literature, and journals usually require confidence intervals to be specified for other descriptive estimates and for epidemiological or experimental analytical comparisons. Journals seem less vigilant, however, for evaluations of diagnostic accuracy. For example, a recent review of compliance with methodological standards in diagnostic test research found that for the period 1978-93 only 12 of 112 studies published in the *New England Journal of Medicine, JAMA*, the *BMJ*, and the *Lancet* reported the precision of the estimates of diagnostic accuracy.³ We have found that the reporting of 95% confidence intervals for estimates is somewhat better in a more recent 2 year interval for studies published in the *BMJ* but still far from ideal.

Methods and results

We searched the Medline database (for 1996 and 1997) for reports of diagnostic evaluations in the *BMJ*. After we excluded letters, case reports, and review or education articles we identified 16 studies (references supplied on request). Only eight (95% confidence interval 25% to 75%) papers reported precision for the estimates of diagnostic accuracy, with two of these studies providing confidence intervals only for either predictive power values or likelihood ratios but not for the sensitivity or specificity estimates also reported.

Comment

Evaluations of diagnostic accuracy should be prescribed with confidence intervals. We have also recently reviewed the extent of compliance with the reporting of confidence intervals in the ophthalmic literature and concluded that evaluations of diagnostic tests in this specialty are similarly flawed.⁴ The omission of the precision of estimates for diagnostic accuracy can make a considerable difference to a clinician's interpretation of the findings of a study. For example, an evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of an imaging system for the optic nerve head for the detection of glaucoma reported estimates of 89% and 78%, respectively⁵; the 95% confidence intervals of these estimates (not reported in the paper) ranged from 80% to 98% for sensitivity and from 66% to 90% for specificity. For a test with poorer diagnostic accuracy, these 95% confidence intervals would have been even larger for an equivalent sample size because of the dependence of the standard error of a proportion on the proportion itself (figure). The figure shows how the precision of the sensitivity or specificity estimate varies