NOTE

Hwp1 and Related Adhesins Contribute to both Mating and Biofilm Formation in *Candida albicans*[⊽]

Iuliana V. Ene[†] and Richard J. Bennett^{*}

Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Received 25 August 2009/Accepted 11 October 2009

Candida albicans Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1 are related cell wall proteins expressed during the programs of sexual differentiation and filamentous growth. In this study, we compare strains lacking either single factors or a combination of these genes, and we demonstrate distinct but overlapping roles in mating and biofilm formation.

The fungus *Candida albicans* is often a harmless commensal in humans yet has the capacity to cause life-threatening infections, particularly in the immunocompromised host (27). The transition between commensal and pathogenic states is associated with morphological changes, among which the yeast-hypha switch is paramount. During this transition, cells switch from growing as budding yeast cells to growing as filamentous hyphae. Importantly, many genes associated with this transition are essential for virulence, including both cell wall proteins and secreted enzymes (7, 18, 33, 35).

Originally classified as an obligately asexual organism, a sexual (or parasexual) program has recently been uncovered in *C. albicans* (2, 11, 20, 31). The mating cycle is unique in that it is regulated by phenotypic switching; **a** and α cells only undergo efficient mating if they switch from the common "white" phase to the alternative "opaque" phase (19, 21). Furthermore, transcriptional profiling analyses have revealed an unexpected overlap between genes induced during mating (of opaque cells) and those induced during filamentation (in white cells) (4, 36). One hypothesis for this overlap is that genes originally involved in mating were co-opted during evolution for adherence and invasion of the host (4). It is therefore likely that studying the role of these genes in mating will also provide insight into their functions during pathogenesis.

Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1 are three hypha-specific cell wall proteins that are also upregulated during mating of opaque cells (4, 5, 14, 32). Hwp1 is a well-characterized adhesin required for covalent attachment to host epithelial cells and virulence (32), as well as biofilm formation (5). Interestingly, expression and localization of Hwp1 during mating has been reported as being mating type specific; opaque **a** cells, but not

 α cells, were shown to express Hwp1 on their cell surface (8). This is reminiscent of agglutinin-type activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where mating-type-specific proteins promote cell-cell adhesion between **a** and α cells (16). In this study, we examined the role of Hwp1 and the related proteins Hwp2 and Rbt1 in the mating program of *C. albicans* and extended this analysis to in vitro models of biofilm formation. Our results indicate the importance of these proteins in both mating and biofilm formation and, in particular, their nonredundant roles in these processes.

Hwp1 is expressed in both a and α cells of SC5314 during mating. Previous studies indicated that Hwp1 is expressed on the surface of conjugation tubes produced by opaque a cells, but not α cells, during mating (8). However, these experiments were performed using nonisogenic **a** and α clinical isolates of C. albicans. Furthermore, transcriptional profiling studies using derivatives of SC5314, the standard laboratory strain of C. albicans, suggested that the HWP1 gene was expressed in both cell types during mating (34). To establish the pattern of Hwp1 expression and localization in **a** and α strains of SC5314, Hwp1-green fluorescent protein (GFP)-Hwp1 fusion constructs were introduced into both cell types. In all cases, isogenic **a** and α strains were obtained by growth of *C*. *albicans* strains on sorbose medium, which selects for loss of one copy of chromosome 5 (containing the MTL locus), followed by reduplication of the remaining copy of chromosome 5 during growth on yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (12). The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1, and a list of the oligonucleotides is provided in Table 2. As shown in Fig. 1, Hwp1 protein was observed on the cell surface of both **a** and α cells undergoing mating and was also detectable in both halves of the conjugation bridge in zygotes (Fig. 1). Thus, in the SC5314 background, isogenic **a** and α cells express Hwp1 during mating, and the protein localizes to the cell surface of both of these cell types.

Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1 affect mating efficiency in *C. albicans*. Hwp1 is part of a family of related cell surface factors that includes Hwp2 and Rbt1 (5, 6). The genes encoding these factors are clustered together in the genome, suggestive of a

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912. Phone: (401) 863-6341. Fax: (401) 863-2925. E-mail: Richard _Bennett@brown.edu.

[†] Present address: Aberdeen Fungal Group, School of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Institute of Medical Sciences, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, United Kingdom.

⁷ Published ahead of print on 16 October 2009.

Strain (white)	Strain (opaque)	Genotype	Mating type	Reference
RBY 1040		ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 hwp1::HWP1-GFP-HWP1/HWP1	a/a	This study
RBY 1042		ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 hwp1::HWP1-GFP-HWP1/HWP1	a/a	This study
RBY 1045		ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 hwp1::HWP1-GFP-HWP1/HWP1	α/α	This study
RBY 1046		ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 hwp1::HWP1-GFP-HWP1/HWP1	α/α	This study
RBY 1132		leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4	a/a	This study
RBY 1134		leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4	α/α	This study
RZY 48	RBY 1118	leu2/leu2 his1/his1	a/a	30
RZY 50	RBY 1119	leu2/leu2 his1/his1	α/α	30
RBY 1175	RBY 1179	arg4/arg4	a/a	30
RBY 1176	RBY 1180	arg4/arg4	α/α	30
CAY 168	CAY 200	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1::LEU2/hwp1::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 169	CAY 210	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp2::LEU2/hwp2::HIS4	a/a	This study
CAY 170	CAY 201	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp2::LEU2/hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 171	CAY 211	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1::LEU2/rbt1::HIS4	a/a	This study
CAY 173	CAY 212	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1::HIS1/hwp1::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 175	CAY 179	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp2::HIS1/hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 178	CAY 209	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1::HIS1/rbt1::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 418	CAY 560	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 419	CAY 561	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 425	CAY 562	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 426	CAY 563	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 453	CAY 476	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 als3::LEU2/als3::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 454	CAY 477	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 als3::LEU2/als3::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 482	CAY 494	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS	a/a	This study
CAY 483	CAY 495	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 484	CAY 505	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 485	CAY 546	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 486	CAY 506	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS	a/a	This study
CAY 487	CAY487	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 497	CAY 547	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 498	CAY 548	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 503	CAY 558	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 504	CAY 529	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::LEU2/rbt1,hwp2::HIS1	a/a	This study
CAY 488	CAY 496	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 489	CAY 507	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 499	CAY 528	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 500	CAY 549	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 501	CAY 508	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study
CAY 502	CAY 509	leu2/leu2 his1/his1 arg4/arg4 hwp1/hwp1::SAT1 rbt1,hwp2::HIS1/rbt1,hwp2::ARG4	α/α	This study

TABLE 1. C. albicans strains used in this study^a

^a All strains are derivatives of SC5314 and, except for RBY1040, -1042, -1045, and -1046, are URA3/ura3::imm434 IRO1/iro1::imm434.

common ancestry (Fig. 2A). All three genes are induced in opaque cells in response to mating pheromones (4, 36), and quantitative PCR revealed a greater-than-100-fold increase in mRNA levels. Deletion strains lacking *HWP1*, *HWP2*, or *RBT1* were constructed in **a** and α derivatives of SC5314, along with *hwp2/hwp2 rbt1/rbt1* and *hwp1/hwp1 hwp2/hwp2 rbt1/rbt1*

strains. Quantitative mating assays were performed on the mutants by crossing strains with different auxotrophic markers (1, 3) and revealed that each of the mutant strains exhibited a significant decrease in mating efficiency (Fig. 2B). Since agglutinin function in *S. cerevisiae* mating is important only for cell-cell adhesion in liquid medium (17, 29), the frequency of

TABLE 2.	Oligonucleotides	used	in	this	study
----------	------------------	------	----	------	-------

Name	Sequence ^a
Hwp1-GFP oligo 1	CGGTCAAAATAACCGGCTATTTTCAATTTCC
Hwp1-GFP oligo 2	GTACCTATCACCTTTAATGTAGTAAAC
Hwp1 oligo1	GCTATCAACTATGAACCGAAAACAG
Hwp1 oligo 3	
Hwp1 oligo 4	GTCAGCGGCCGCATCCCTGCCGAAACTAAAAGCGAGTGAC
Hwp1 oligo 6	CAAGGAATTCGGAAATTCTGACG
Rbt1 oligo 1	GGCACAGCATCTTTGTTTCC
Rbt1 oligo 3	CACGGCGCGCCTAGCAGCGGGCAGTTGCAAATCTCA
Rbt1 oligo 4	GTCAGCGGCCGCATCCCTGCCATCTGCACCAGGTACTGAAAC
Rbt1 oligo 6	GAATTAGATCAAGAATGCAGC
Hwp2 oligo 1	ATCTTCCGAGTTCCTGGAGA
Hwp2 oligo 3	CACGGCGCGCCTAGCAGCGGAGCGAATGACTATCGGAGAC
Hwp2 oligo 4	GTCAGCGGCCGCATCCCTGCATTGCTGGTGTCGCTGCCTT
Hwp2 oligo 6	CTTAAAGCCGACAAGTGATAC
Hwp1 (-500) for	GGCGCCGGGCCCGGAATTCGGAAATTCTGACG
Hwp1 (0) rev	GCCGGCCTCGAGCTAAAAGCGAGTGACTATAGG
Hwp1 (+1900) for	GCCGGCCCGCGGGGTATTGCTGCATTCTTGATC
Hwp1 (+2300) rev	GGCGCCGAGCTCCTTCATGCGTCCAGAATAATG

^a Sequence portions shown in bold indicate restriction sites.



FIG. 1. Hwp1 protein is expressed on the cell surface of both a and α cells during mating. A C. albicans strain carrying an HWP1-GFP-URA3-GFP-HWP1 construct (gift of J. Berman, University of Minnesota) was PCR amplified using Hwp1-GFP oligos 1 and 2 (Table 2), and the resulting PCR product was integrated into both **a** and α derivatives of CAI4 (10), generating RBY1040 and -1042 and RBY1045 and -1046 strains, respectively. Selection of these strains on 5-fluoroorotic acid promoted recombination between the GFP repeats and loss of URA3, leaving an HWP1-GFP-HWP1 construct in the genome. The figure shows mixtures of opaque **a** and α cells cocultured in Spider medium for 6 h, in which either **a** cells (A) or α cells (B) carried the fluorescent construct. In both cases, Hwp1 localized specifically to the cell surface of polarized mating projections. (C) Pictures of mating zygotes in which **a** and α cells carrying the Hwp1-GFP construct were coincubated on Spider plates for 2 days to induce mating and conjugation. Hwp1 is seen localizing to the conjugation bridges originating from both **a** and α cells in the zygote.

C. albicans mating was analyzed in both liquid and solid media. In comparing single gene deletions, loss of *HWP2* resulted in the largest decrease in mating efficiency, with only 4% of cells mating in liquid media and 8% on solid media, while 50% of



FIG. 2. Mating efficiency in strains lacking Hwp1, Hwp2, or Rbt1. (A) Schematic of the region on chromosome 4 containing HWP1, HWP2, and RBT1 genes. The three genes of interest are shown as filled boxes, and intervening genes are open boxes. (B) A quantitative mating assay was used to determine mating efficiency in both liquid and solid media, as previously described (30). Mutant strains were derived from RBY1132 (a/a) or RBY 1134 (α/α) and are listed in Table 1. A PCR fusion technique was used for gene deletion (26) with the oligonucleotides listed in Table 2. For each gene knockout, one flank of the gene was amplified with oligos 1 and 3 and the opposite flank was amplified with oligos 4 and 6. The resulting PCR products were then used to generate a targeting cassette containing the HIS1, LEU2, or ARG4 marker, as described previously (26). Following transformation, correct integration of each construct was confirmed by PCR across the DNA junctions at the site of integration. For the double deletion of RBT1/HWP2, a single construct was used to target both genes, based on their adjacent position in the genome. PCRs of the 5' flank of RBT1 (oligos 1 and 3) and the 3' flank of HWP2 (oligos 4 and 6) were combined in the targeting cassette and used to remove both genes simultaneously. For the triple mutant lacking HWP1, HWP2 and RBT1, HWP1 was deleted in the RBT1/HWP2 mutant background by using the SAT1 flipper construct (28). In this case, the 5' and 3' flanks of HWP1 were PCR amplified using HWP1 (-500) for/HWP1 (0) rev and HWP1 (+1900) for/HWP1 (+2300) rev, respectively, and cloned into the pSFS2A plasmid (28). The resulting construct was digested with ApaI and SacI and used to target HWP1. The standard practice for analyzing gene disruptions in C. albicans involves either comparing multiple independent disruptions or complementation by reintroducing a wild-type copy of the gene of interest. Due to the analysis of strains with multiple gene deletions, we chose the former approach, and multiple strains were therefore analyzed in this study (Table 2). Each of the mutant strains showed a statistically significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the number of mating products formed in both liquid and solid mating assays compared to the wild-type strain. Results are means \pm standard errors of the mean of 2 to 12 experiments with each strain, and statistical analyses were performed using two-sample t tests. All P values are two tailed and are based on comparisons with the wild type.

wild-type cells underwent mating under these conditions (Fig. 2B). Loss of *HWP1* or *RBT1* also reduced the overall mating frequency in both liquid and solid media (Fig. 2B), although double and triple mutants did not show a further reduction in mating. Significantly, the difference between mating efficiencies in liquid and solid media were small in all of the crosses, suggesting that these proteins do not exhibit the classical agglutinin function, with which a much larger reduction in mating efficiency (orders of magnitude) would be expected in liquid



FIG. 3. Defective biofilm formation in strains lacking Hwp1, Hwp2, or Rbt1. An in vitro biofilm assay was performed on silicone elastomer as previously described (24), except that biofilms were incubated for 60 h instead of 48 h. Biofilm efficacy was evaluated at the end of the experiment as the dry mass of the biofilm. All mutant strains formed statistically significantly smaller biofilms than the wild-type strain (P < 0.05), except for $\Delta rbt1$ and $\Delta hwp2$. Results are means \pm standard errors of the mean of 4 to 16 experiments, and statistical analyses were performed using two-sample *t* tests. All *P* values are two tailed and are based on comparisons with the wild type. Mutants lacking *als3* were also defective in biofilm formation, as reported elsewhere (22), and were therefore used as an additional control in these experiments.

culture (16, 17, 29). Alternatively, other cell surface factors may provide redundancy, and only by deletion of these additional, as-yet-unidentified factors will a large mating deficiency in liquid medium be observed.

Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1 are required for efficient biofilm formation. We also examined the role of the three cell surface proteins in biofilm assays. Biofilm formation is dependent on adhesins to mediate both the attachment of cells to the substrate surface as well as the adherence of cells to one another (23, 25). Assays were performed using white-phase cells, as these undergo hypha formation, during which expression of HWP1, HWP2, and RBT1 is upregulated (5, 13), and this mode of growth is necessary for efficient biofilm formation (23). We observed decreased biofilm formation in each of the mutants, with *hwp1/hwp1* strains showing a greater decrease than either hwp2/hwp2 or rbt1/rbt1 mutants (Fig. 3). This is also in agreement with recent studies that implicated Hwp1 as having an important role in C. albicans biofilm formation both in vitro and in vivo (24, 25). The triple mutant strain *hwp1/hwp1 hwp2/ hwp2 rbt1/rbt1* showed the greatest defect in biofilm formation, suggesting that the three surface factors have related, but nonoverlapping, roles in biofilm formation (Fig. 3).

Concluding remarks. Our results demonstrate that the three cell surface proteins, Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1, play important roles in both white and opaque phases of *C. albicans* biology. These factors are induced in the opaque phase by pheromones and enhance mating between **a** and α cells. However, they do not exhibit classic agglutinin functions, as the mating frequencies of mutants were comparable in both liquid and solid media. Hwp1 was also shown to be expressed on the surface of both **a** and α cells in isogenic derivatives of SC5314. This result contrasts with that reported in clinical isolates of *C. albicans* (8), and the fact that both mating types express this gene again

distinguishes Hwp1 from the sexual agglutinins of S. cerevisiae (16). Perhaps C. albicans no longer requires classical agglutinins if conjugation occurs on the surface of the skin (15) or in a confined three-dimensional matrix (9). Hwp1, Hwp2, and Rbt1 also play a defined role in C. albicans for promoting biofilm formation by white-phase cells, as biofilm masses were diminished in each of the three mutant backgrounds. Hwp1 was the most important of the three, a result in keeping with recent studies (24, 25), yet strains lacking all three factors showed the greatest defect in biofilm formation. These results indicate that there is partial redundancy between these cell wall proteins and that loss of one factor can be compensated for, at least in part, by another. Our findings also suggest that further analysis of these factors in mating and adhesion will extend their prospective roles in biofilm formation and host pathogenesis.

We thank Judith Berman for the gift of the Hwp1-GFP construct used for localization studies, Clarissa Nobile for technical advice with biofilm assays, and Tricia Serio for use of the microscope. We also thank members of the Bennett lab for reading of the manuscript.

R.J.B. holds an Investigator in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease Award from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund.

REFERENCES

- Bennett, R. J., and A. D. Johnson. 2003. Completion of a parasexual cycle in *Candida albicans* by induced chromosome loss in tetraploid strains. EMBO J. 22:2505–2515.
- Bennett, R. J., and A. D. Johnson. 2005. Mating in *Candida albicans* and the search for a sexual cycle. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 59:233–255.
- Bennett, R. J., and A. D. Johnson. 2006. The role of nutrient regulation and the Gpa2 protein in the mating pheromone response of *C. albicans*. Mol. Microbiol. 62:100–119.
- Bennett, R. J., M. A. Uhl, M. G. Miller, and A. D. Johnson. 2003. Identification and characterization of a *Candida albicans* mating pheromone. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23:8189–8201.
- Braun, B. R., W. S. Head, M. X. Wang, and A. D. Johnson. 2000. Identification and characterization of *TUP1*-regulated genes in *Candida albicans*. Genetics 156:31–44.
- 6. Butler, G., M. D. Rasmussen, M. F. Lin, M. A. Santos, S. Sakthikumar, C. A. Munro, E. Rheinbay, M. Grabherr, A. Forche, J. L. Reedy, I. Agrafioti, M. B. Arnaud, S. Bates, A. J. Brown, S. Brunke, M. C. Costanzo, D. A. Fitzpatrick, P. W. de Groot, D. Harris, L. L. Hoyer, B. Hube, F. M. Klis, C. Kodira, N. Lennard, M. E. Logue, R. Martin, A. M. Neiman, E. Nikolaou, M. A. Quail, J. Quinn, M. C. Santos, F. F. Schmitzberger, G. Sherlock, P. Shah, K. A. Silverstein, M. S. Skrzypek, D. Soll, R. Staggs, I. Stansfield, M. P. Stumpf, P. E. Sudbery, T. Srikantha, Q. Zeng, J. Berman, M. Berriman, J. Heitman, N. A. Gow, M. C. Lorenz, B. W. Birren, M. Kellis, and C. A. Cuomo. 2009. Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight *Candida* genomes. Nature 459:657–662.
- Calderone, R. A., and W. A. Fonzi. 2001. Virulence factors of *Candida* albicans. Trends Microbiol. 9:327–335.
- Daniels, K. J., S. R. Lockhart, J. F. Staab, P. Sundstrom, and D. R. Soll. 2003. The adhesin Hwp1 and the first daughter cell localize to the a/a portion of the conjugation bridge during *Candida albicans* mating. Mol. Biol. Cell 14:4920–4930.
- Daniels, K. J., T. Srikantha, S. R. Lockhart, C. Pujol, and D. R. Soll. 2006. Opaque cells signal white cells to form biofilms in *Candida albicans*. EMBO J. 25:2240–2252.
- Fonzi, W. A., and M. Y. Irwin. 1993. Isogenic strain construction and gene mapping in *Candida albicans*. Genetics 134:717–728.
- Hull, C. M., R. M. Raisner, and A. D. Johnson. 2000. Evidence for mating of the "asexual" yeast *Candida albicans* in a mammalian host. Science 289:307– 310.
- Janbon, G., F. Sherman, and E. Rustchenko. 1998. Monosomy of a specific chromosome determines L-sorbose utilization: a novel regulatory mechanism in *Candida albicans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:5150–5155.
- Kadosh, D., and A. D. Johnson. 2001. Rfg1, a protein related to the Saccharomyces cerevisiae hypoxic regulator Rox1, controls filamentous growth and virulence in Candida albicans. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21:2496–2505.
- Kadosh, D., and A. D. Johnson. 2005. Induction of the *Candida albicans* filamentous growth program by relief of transcriptional repression: a genome-wide analysis. Mol. Biol. Cell 16:2903–2912.
- Lachke, S. A., S. R. Lockhart, K. J. Daniels, and D. R. Soll. 2003. Skin facilitates *Candida albicans* mating. Infect. Immun. 71:4970–4976.

- Lipke, P. N., and J. Kurjan. 1992. Sexual agglutination in budding yeasts: structure, function, and regulation of adhesion glycoproteins. Microbiol. Rev. 56:180–194.
- 17. Lipke, P. N., D. Wojciechowicz, and J. Kurjan. 1989. $AG\alpha 1$ is the structural gene for the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* α -agglutinin, a cell surface glycoprotein involved in cell-cell interactions during mating. Mol. Cell. Biol. **9**:3155–3165.
- Liu, H. 2002. Co-regulation of pathogenesis with dimorphism and phenotypic switching in *Candida albicans*, a commensal and a pathogen. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 292:299–311.
- Lockhart, S. R., C. Pujol, K. J. Daniels, M. G. Miller, A. D. Johnson, M. A. Pfaller, and D. R. Soll. 2002. In *Candida albicans*, white-opaque switchers are homozygous for mating type. Genetics 162:737–745.
- Magee, B. B., and P. T. Magee. 2000. Induction of mating in *Candida albicans* by construction of *MTLa* and *MTLα* strains. Science 289:310–313.
- Miller, M. G., and A. D. Johnson. 2002. White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* is controlled by mating-type locus homeodomain proteins and allows efficient mating. Cell 110:293–302.
- Nobile, C. J., D. R. Andes, J. E. Nett, F. J. Smith, F. Yue, Q. T. Phan, J. E. Edwards, S. G. Filler, and A. P. Mitchell. 2006. Critical role of Bcr1-dependent adhesins in *C. albicans* biofilm formation in vitro and in vivo. PLoS Pathog. 2:e63.
- Nobile, C. J., and A. P. Mitchell. 2006. Genetics and genomics of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. Cell. Microbiol. 8:1382–1391.
- Nobile, C. J., J. E. Nett, D. R. Andes, and A. P. Mitchell. 2006. Function of Candida albicans adhesin Hwp1 in biofilm formation. Eukaryot. Cell 5:1604– 1610.
- Nobile, C. J., H. A. Schneider, J. E. Nett, D. C. Sheppard, S. G. Filler, D. R. Andes, and A. P. Mitchell. 2008. Complementary adhesin function in *C. albicans* biofilm formation. Curr. Biol. 18:1017–1024.

- Noble, S. M., and A. D. Johnson. 2005. Strains and strategies for large-scale gene deletion studies of the diploid human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot. Cell 4:298–309.
- Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2007. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 20:133–163.
- Reuss, O., A. Vik, R. Kolter, and J. Morschhauser. 2004. The SAT1 flipper, an optimized tool for gene disruption in *Candida albicans*. Gene 341:119– 127.
- Roy, A., C. F. Lu, D. L. Marykwas, P. N. Lipke, and J. Kurjan. 1991. The *AGA1* product is involved in cell surface attachment of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell adhesion glycoprotein α-agglutinin. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:4196– 4206.
- Schaefer, D., P. Cote, M. Whiteway, and R. J. Bennett. 2007. Barrier activity in *Candida albicans* mediates pheromone degradation and promotes mating. Eukaryot. Cell 6:907–918.
- Soll, D. R. 2004. Mating-type locus homozygosis, phenotypic switching and mating: a unique sequence of dependencies in *Candida albicans*. Bioessays 26:10–20.
- Staab, J. F., S. D. Bradway, P. L. Fidel, and P. Sundstrom. 1999. Adhesive and mammalian transglutaminase substrate properties of *Candida albicans* Hwp1. Science 283:1535–1538.
- 33. Sundstrom, P. 2002. Adhesion in Candida spp. Cell. Microbiol. 4:461-469.
- Tsong, A. E., B. B. Tuch, H. Li, and A. D. Johnson. 2006. Evolution of alternative transcriptional circuits with identical logic. Nature 443:415–420.
- Whiteway, M., and U. Oberholzer. 2004. *Candida* morphogenesis and hostpathogen interactions. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 7:350–357.
- 36. Zhao, R., K. J. Daniels, S. R. Lockhart, K. M. Yeater, L. L. Hoyer, and D. R. Soll. 2005. Unique aspects of gene expression during *Candida albicans* mating and possible G₁ dependency. Eukaryot. Cell 4:1175–1190.