

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Pediatr Blood Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 1

Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2010 February ; 54(2): 222-227. doi:10.1002/pbc.22307.

FDG PET Imaging of Childhood Sarcomas

Rajen J. Mody, MD, MS¹, **Chuong Bui, MD**², **Raymond J. Hutchinson, MD, MS**¹, **Greg A. Yanik, MD**¹, **Valerie P. Castle, MD**¹, **Kirk A Frey, MD, PhD**², and **Barry L. Shulkin, MD**^{3,*} ¹Department of Pediatrics and Communicable Diseases, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan

²Department of Radiology, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan

³Department of Radiological Sciences, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee

Abstract

Background—Positron-emission tomography (PET) imaging using [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) is useful for detection, staging, and monitoring a variety of malignancies, including lymphoma, in adults, but its utility in sarcomas, especially soft tissue sarcomas (STS), in children and young adults is not clear.

Procedure—To evaluate the potential utility of FDG PET in the care of STS in children and young adults, we analyzed 46 PET scans in 25 patients acquired over 12 years. Scans were interpreted by two imaging physicians blinded to findings from other imaging studies and clinical information. Results were compared with computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging, biopsy results, where available, and clinical follow-up of at least 12 months.

Results—For a total of 46 scans in 25 patients, there were 25 true positive scans, 3 false positive scans, 12 true negative scans, and 6 false negative scans. The sensitivity of the PET scan was 86%, specificity was 80%, positive predictive value was 89%, and negative predictive value was 67%.

Conclusion—FDG PET may be a useful imaging modality in the management of children and young adults with STS, although prospective studies are needed to establish its true utility.

Keywords

fluorodeoxyglucose; FDG; PET; Ewing; rhabdomyosarcoma; pediatric

INTRODUCTION

The Ewing sarcoma family of tumors (ESFT) is derived from cells of neural crest origin, probably postganglionic parasympathetic primordial cells, based on their ability to synthesize acetylcholine transferase [1]. The incidence is about 3 per million children younger than 15 years, and these tumors account for about 2% of all childhood malignancies. Soft tissue sarcomas are derived from mesenchymal cells that normally mature into muscle, fat, fibrous tissue, bone, and cartilage [2]. Rhabdomyosarcomas, derived from cells that normally develop into skeletal muscle are the most common form of sarcoma in children and are the third most common extracranial solid tumor in childhood. The

^{*}Correspondence to: Barry L. Shulkin, Department of Radiological Sciences, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, 262 Danny Thomas Place, Mail Stop 220, Memphis, TN 38105, barry.shulkin@stjude.org, Phone: (901) 595-3347, Fax: (901) 595-3981.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

incidence is about 4.5 per million children, and there are about 250 new cases per year in the United States. About two thirds occur in children 6 years old or younger.

Positron-emission tomography (PET) using [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) is useful for detection, staging, and monitoring a variety of tumors in adults [3], including melanoma, head and neck tumors, bronchogenic carcinoma, lymphoma, colon cancer, and others. As pediatric malignancies are much less common, the experience applying FDG PET in the management of childhood cancers is limited [4–8]. The purpose of this study was to review our 12-year experience using this technique in children and young adults with sarcomas to determine the uptake of FDG in childhood sarcomas and the utility of FDG PET imaging in the staging and restaging of children and young adults with sarcomas.

METHODS

FDG PET scans in 25 patients (46 scans) acquired over 12 years were analyzed retrospectively. Images were analyzed by two reviewers independently without knowledge of clinical details or results of other imaging studies. Final clinical diagnosis was based on biopsy, follow-up imaging, or clinical course. After a transmission scan for attenuation correction, images were acquired beginning 50 minutes after intravenous injection of 7–17 mCi FDG/1.7 m² of body surface area, depending on the emission acquisition protocol in use at the time of the study. Images were obtained on a Siemens CTI 931, Siemens Exact, or Siemens HR+ PET scanner. Two-dimensional images were acquired, reconstructed by filtered back projection in earlier studies and ordered segmentation in later studies. Sites of abnormal uptake were considered as focal accumulations greater than surrounding background not explained by normal organ uptake. Only SUV max is reported since that value is the most reproducible among the SUV options. SUVs could not be determined on tumors that did not contain elevated concentrations of FDG nor on scans stored on computer systems that are no longer operational.

Patients

There were 9 males and 16 females aged 2–24 years at the time of diagnosis, with a median age of 10 years. Sixteen patients (64%) had ESFT, including 11 with Ewing sarcoma (3 of whom were mentioned in a previous report (4)) and 5 with primitive neuroectodermal tumor. Nine patients (36%) had rhabdomyosarcoma (5 embryonal, 4 alveolar). Nine patients were studied at initial diagnostic staging (staging, 9 scans); 20 patients were studied as part of subsequent restaging (16 patients, 37 scans) which includes scans while still on treatment (11 patients, 23 scans), scans after the end of therapy (7 patients, 13 scans) or scans at the time of relapse (1 patient, 1 scan).

Fifteen patients had 1 scan performed, 5 patients 2 scans, 3 patients 3 scans, 1 patient 4 scans, and 1 patient 8 scans. Follow-up time ranged from 4 to 186 months, with a median follow-up time of 24 months. Scans were obtained between April 1991 and October 2002. Follow up continued until March 2008. Initially, patients were examined at diagnosis to establish the baseline metabolic activity. Subsequently, patients who underwent PET scanning were selected based on clinical indications as determined by the patient's oncologist.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were calculated for PET performance based on results of other scans, clinical follow-up, and biopsy results if available. For this analysis, patients had to be at least 12 months from initial diagnosis with concurrent studies that were performed within 1 month of the FDG PET study. Two set of scans were excluded from analyses for not being within 1 month of FDG PT scans.

RESULTS

Initial Staging

In 9 of 9 patients (7 EWS, 1 PNET and 1 ERMS), FDG PET imaging identified the primary tumor, and in 8 of those, it revealed all known metastases (Table I; Figure 1, Figure 2). In a single patient with EWS, 3-mm lung nodules identified on computed tomography (CT) scanning were not evident on PET scanning, probably because they were too small for the technically capability of this scanning modality (Table I, Patient 2). These nodules resolved after 2 cycles of chemotherapy. No false positive lesions were detected in any patient scans.

Restaging (During Treatment, After End of Therapy or At Relapse)

These include scans performed while still on treatment or after the end of therapy or at the time of relapse (Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4). When patients had scans done during Initial staging and Restaging it is listed as Initial staging and Restaging. There were 37 scans acquired in 20 patients. Table I describes the findings by patient and scan numbers.

Positive scans

There were 20 positive scans in 10 patients (3 EWS, 2 PNET, 3 ARMS and 2 ERMS). In five patients with EWS/PNET 8 positive scans were recorded, while in five patients with ARMS/ERMS 12 positive scans were recorded, on 3 scans (2 patients), false positive findings were detected. The first patient had a 12-mm nodule in the right lower lobe that was proven on biopsy to be pseudomonas infection. This patient with EWS, remained disease-free 6 years after imaging and pathologic evaluation (Table I, Patient 17). The second patient with PNET had two false positive scans. The scan detected uptake in left inguinal lymph nodes 15 months after completion of therapy (Table I, Patient 23) and remained consecutively positive for 15 months before turning negative. The patient remained in complete clinical remission for 5 years without any symptoms, but subsequently had relapse in the left proximal femur with no inguinal node involvement on the left side. The patient was treated with chemotherapy and surgery and remained in complete remission 27 months after salvage therapy.

Negative scans

There were 17 negative scans in 10 patients (3 EWS, 3 PNET, 3 EMS and 1 ARMS). In six patients with EWS/PNET 12 negative scans were recorded, while in four patients with ARMS/ERMS 5 negative scans were recorded.

False negative scans

Seven scans in 4 patients were classified as false negative. One patient with EWS showed progression of disease in the femur 3 months after therapy despite lack of FDG uptake (Table I, Patient 7). In the second patient with ERMS, bladder activity interfered with evaluation of a pelvic primary tumor (Table I, Patient 24). In a third patient with PNET, 2-cm inguinal lymph nodes, subsequently excised, did not accumulate FDG (Table I, Patient 22), and in a fourth patient with ARMS, progressive disease in the pelvis did not accumulate FDG (Table I, Patient 15).

True negative scans

Seven patients had 12 true negative scans (2 EWS, 3 PNET and 2 ERMS). In five patients with EWS/PNET 10 true negative scans were recorded, while in two patients with ERMS 2 true negative scans were recorded. These patients remained in CR for 80 to 142 months. One of these patients remained in remission for 84 months after PET scan before relapse in the

Overall Performance of FDG PET for all indications

To evaluate FDG PET performance in pediatric and young adult patients with sarcoma, we compared scanning results to CT or biopsy as available. Off 46 scans in 25 patients, there were 25 true positive scans, 3 false positive scans, 12 true negative scans, and 6 false negative scans. The sensitivity of the PET scan was 86%, specificity 80%, positive predictive value 89%, and negative predictive value 67%.

DISCUSSION

Our findings indicate that FDG PET is a useful imaging modality for the diagnostic evaluation of primary and metastatic sarcomas. In addition, the study provided important diagnostic information that guided the management of individual patients as presented in this report. Importantly, this imaging modality provided important utility for both diagnosis and ongoing tumor monitoring.

In a previous report of FDG PET scanning for the imaging of a variety of pediatric tumors at the time of presentation [4], we suggested a potential role for FDG PET, but conclusions were tempered by patient numbers and the preliminary nature of the findings. This original report included 7 patients with sarcomas: 3 with Ewing sarcoma, and one each with rhabdomyosarcoma, osteosarcoma, hepatic sarcoma, and angiomyosarcoma. The scans indicated that most of the pediatric tumors we studied were quite active metabolically and the information obtained was clinically useful. As a result, we expanded the study, focusing on the more common ESFT and rhabdomyosarcoma. However, not all patients treated at our institution during the early time frame of this study underwent PET scanning due to limited research funds, issues with insurance reimbursement, and scanner availability. Because of this, our focus changed to imaging patients for whom we could subsequently determine the validity of the PET scan findings by either tissue confirmation or sufficient follow-up and for whom a clinical question was posed. As a result, our study represents more than 11 years' experience using PET in childhood sarcomas.

These findings complement the work of other investigators [8,9] who have suggested a role for this imaging modality in other cancer settings. In pediatric patients with sarcomas and other tumors, Wegner et al. [8] found that PET led to altered management in 46/175 pediatric oncology patients, including 4 patients with sarcomas. The limitation of this study was that it was conducted by sending a questionnaire to referring physicians, asking them if they thought PET resulted in a change of management in their patients, even though many of these PET scan results were verified. In a second study by Ben Arush et al. [9], FDG PET was determined to be valuable for the detection of local and regional lymph node involvement in children with alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma, though it was a very small study involving only 3 patients. Finally, Hawkins et al. [10], investigating FDG PET in 36 adult and pediatric patients with ESFT, determined that FDG PET uptake correlated with histologic response and that semiquantitative comparison of pre- and post-treatment scans yielded prognostic information.

Our study has important limitations. During the 12-year course of our study, PET equipment and reconstruction algorithms advanced considerably, especially with regard to hybrid imaging using PET CT [11]. As a result, current technology and imaging quality provide more confident distinction of normal sites of uptake from disease and added sensitivity by assisting in the identification of pathology [6,7,12–14]. As an example, McCarville et al. [7] found FDG PET CT useful for locating the primary site of a metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma;

for identifying unusual and unsuspected metastatic sites; in monitoring response to chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and radiofrequency ablation; and in evaluating tumor resection sites postoperatively. In a recent review investigating the utility of FDG PET CT in the staging and restaging of 53 patients with Ewing sarcoma, it was determined that 21% more lesions were detected using PET CT than with PET alone, yielding higher sensitivity, similar specificity, and higher accuracy for PET CT [15]. Thus, because of the superiority of hybrid imaging over PET alone, our results may underestimate the value of the technique when accompanied by CT scanning for both attenuation correction and lesion localization. In support of this argument, the study by Gyorke et al. [14] determined that FDG PET was less sensitive than CT for detecting pulmonary metastases in ESFT. Similarly, in patients with soft tissue and bone sarcomas, Iagaru et al. [12,13] showed that FDG PET CT had high sensitivity for the detection of disease but lagged behind dedicated CT for the detection of pulmonary metastases. In fact, our only false negative finding in the 9 patients studied at diagnosis was the lack of detectable FDG uptake in small pulmonary metastases.

Our patients were studied at various times during clinical course on protocols available at the time of presentation. Our image reviewers did not have access to clinical data, other imaging studies, or the indication for the study. Thus, the PET scan was interpreted without the clinical and correlative radiographic information that is available in routine clinical interpretation sessions; thus, this analysis probably underestimates the incremental value provided. To avoid overestimating the value of FDG PET studies during treatment, several studies were classified as false negative, although convincing proof of disease at the time the study was performed was not present. In one patient, diffuse uptake in a femur mimicked bone marrow recovery, although it ultimately proved to be tumor. Magnetic resonance imaging showed multiple lesions that would have resulted in change in interpretation had that been made available to the interpreting physicians. The FDG PET scan of Patient 9 became negative during treatment, and other imaging studies confirmed the response. No further PET studies were obtained, but the patient did suffer progressive disease 4 months later. Patient 8 was studied for the first time during therapy at a time when other studies indicated a therapeutic response. Unfortunately, a distended bladder precluded adequate evaluation of the pelvis. The studies of Patients 15 and 24 were negative, although the patients suffered progressive disease. A false positive finding of FDG uptake in a lower extremity was shown to represent a benign osseous lesion on correlative imaging. Uptake in benign osseous lesions has subsequently been reported in many children undergoing FDG PET CT for evaluation of malignancies [16].

Especially useful is the long-term follow-up of 7 patients with true negative scans, followed up for as long as 12 years. In those patients, the lack of FDG uptake confirmed the eradication of malignancy.

We did not use the semiquantitative standard uptake value (SUV) for analysis either at the time the images were acquired or at the time of this analysis. In several of our patients, SUV could not be determined, either because metabolically inactive foci of tumor could not be identified on PET alone or because tumor was disseminated in the bone marrow and no distinct focal area of abnormal uptake was evident for analysis. Although not applicable to this series, SUV under carefully controlled conditions may have prognostic information and may be useful for determining response to therapy [5,10,17,18]. Nonetheless, SUVs are influenced by many factors, including fasting state, time from injection to imaging, method of reconstruction, and partial volume issues, all of which require standardization for meaningful interpretation [19].

Other less commonly used tracers may also be valuable for investigating the pathophysiology of pediatric sarcomas. These include the cellular proliferation marker FLT,

hypoxia markers such as F-MISO, and amino acids including tyrosine [20–23]. Because of the neuroendocrine origin of EFST and their ability to synthesize choline acetyltransferase [1], tracers of the cholinergic nervous system could be valuable [24].

In summary, we have found FDG PET useful in the staging and management of EFST and rhabdomyosarcomas in children. Further studies are currently under way to systematically evaluate the utility of the technique in greater numbers of children and being treated on similar or identical protocols. We anticipate that advances in technology and refinement of FDG PET and FDG PET CT techniques will further aid in the management of children and young adults with ESFT and rhabdomyosarcomas.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by NCI CA 54216 (BLS), MO1-RR00042 (Clinical Research Center of the University of Michigan), and by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC). The authors appreciate the secretarial expertise of Sandra Gaither in the preparation of this manuscript. The authors are grateful to David Galloway for scientific editing.

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, M.; Kovar, H.; Paulussen, M., et al. Ewing Sarcoma Family of Tumors: Ewing Sarcoma of Bone and Soft Tissue and the Peripheral Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors. In: Pizzo, PA.; Poplack, DG., editors. Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2006. p. 1003-1032.
- Wexler, LH.; Meyer, WH.; Helman, LJ. Rhabdomyosarcoma and the undifferentiated sarcomas. In: Pizzo, PA.; Poplack, DG., editors. Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2006.
- 3. Hustinx R, Benard F, Alavi A. Whole-body FDG-PET imaging in the management of patients with cancer. Semin Nucl Med. 2002; 32:35–46. [PubMed: 11839068]
- Shulkin BL, Mitchell DS, Ungar DR, et al. Neoplasms in a pediatric population: 2-[F-18]- fluoro-2deoxy-D-glucose PET studies. Radiology. 1995; 194:495–500. [PubMed: 7824731]
- Hawkins DS, Rajendran JG, Conrad EU III, et al. Evaluation of chemotherapy response in pediatric bone sarcomas by [F-18]-fluorodeoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography. Cancer. 2002; 94:3277–3284. [PubMed: 12115361]
- Franzius C, Juergens KU, Vormoor J. PET/CT with diagnostic CT in the evaluation of childhood sarcoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006; 186:581–582. [PubMed: 16423975]
- McCarville MB, Christie R, Daw NC, et al. PET/CT in the evaluation of childhood sarcomas. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005; 184:1293–1304. [PubMed: 15788613]
- Wegner EA, Barrington SF, Kingston JE, et al. The impact of PET scanning on management of paediatric oncology patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2005; 32:23–30. [PubMed: 15290124]
- Ben Arush MW, Bar SR, Postovsky S, et al. Assessing the use of FDG-PET in the detection of regional and metastatic nodes in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma of extremities. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2006; 28:440–445. [PubMed: 16825990]
- Hawkins DS, Schuetze SM, Butrynski JE, et al. [18F]Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography predicts outcome for Ewing sarcoma family of tumors. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23:8828– 8834. [PubMed: 16314643]
- Blodgett TM, Meltzer CC. Townsend DW. PET/CT: form and function. Radiology. 2007; 242:360–385. [PubMed: 17255408]
- Iagaru A, Quon A, McDougall IR, Gambhir SS. F-18 FDG PET/CT evaluation of osseous and soft tissue sarcomas. Clin Nucl Med. 2006; 31:754–760. [PubMed: 17117068]
- Iagaru A, Chawla S, Menendez L, Conti PS. 18F-FDG PET and PET/CT for detection of pulmonary metastases from musculoskeletal sarcomas. Nucl Med Commun. 2006; 27:795–802. [PubMed: 16969262]

- Gyorke T, Zajic T, Lange A, et al. Impact of FDG PET for staging of Ewing sarcomas and primitive neuroectodermal tumours. Nucl Med Commun. 2006; 27:17–24. [PubMed: 16340719]
- Gerth HU, Juergens KU, Dirksen U, et al. Significant benefit of multimodal imaging: PET/CT compared with PET alone in staging and follow-up of patients with Ewing tumors. J Nucl Med. 2007; 48:1932–1939. [PubMed: 18006618]
- Goodin GS, Shulkin BL, Kaufman RA, McCarville MB. PET/CT characterization of fibroosseous defects in children: 18F-FDG uptake can mimic metastatic disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006; 187:1124–1128. [PubMed: 16985165]
- Schuetze SM, Rubin BP, Vernon C, et al. Use of positron emission tomography in localized extremity soft tissue sarcoma treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Cancer. 2005; 103:339–348. [PubMed: 15578712]
- Eary JF, O'Sullivan F, Powitan Y, et al. Sarcoma tumor FDG uptake measured by PET and patient outcome: a retrospective analysis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2002; 29:1149–1154. [PubMed: 12192559]
- Keyes JW Jr. SUV: standard uptake or silly useless value? J Nucl Med. 1995; 36:1836–1839. [PubMed: 7562051]
- Cobben DC, Elsinga PH, Suurmeijer AJ, et al. Detection and grading of soft tissue sarcomas of the extremities with (18)F-3' -fluoro-3' -deoxy-L-thymidine. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10:1685–1690. [PubMed: 15014020]
- Been LB, Suurmeijer AJ, Elsinga PH, et al. 18F-fluorodeoxythymidine PET for evaluating the response to hyperthermic isolated limb perfusion for locally advanced soft-tissue sarcomas. J Nucl Med. 2007; 48:367–372. [PubMed: 17332613]
- Rajendran JG, Wilson DC, Conrad EU, et al. [(18)F]FMISO and [(18)F]FDG PET imaging in soft tissue sarcomas: correlation of hypoxia, metabolism and VEGF expression. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003; 30:695–704. [PubMed: 12632200]
- Plaat B, Kole A, Mastik M, et al. Protein synthesis rate measured with L-[1–11C]tyrosine positron emission tomography correlates with mitotic activity and MIB-1 antibody-detected proliferation in human soft tissue sarcomas. Eur J Nucl Med. 1999; 26:328–332. [PubMed: 10199937]
- Kuhl DE, Minoshima S, Fessler JA, et al. In vivo mapping of cholinergic terminals in normal aging, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol. 1996; 40:399–410. [PubMed: 8797529]

Mody et al.





Figure 1.

Mody et al.







Figure 3.





Table I

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Patient Summary

Mody et al.

A) Initial	l Staging:										
Patient #	Age in years	Sex	Dx	Indication	# of scans	PET blinded	Primary max SUVs	F/U (months)	Outcome	Brief performance	Comments
1	7	ц	EWS	Staging	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	3.0	186	CR	đ	NED
2	7	М	EWS	Staging	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	3.5	156	CR	đ	Lack of uptake in multiple 3- mm bilateral lung nodules that resolved on CT, NED
33	14	ц	EWS	Staging	2	Positive uptake @ PTS	NA*	132	CR	đL	NED
4	15	Μ	EWS	Staging	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	5.0	88	CR	đL	NED
5	8	Ц	EWS	Staging	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	8.7	60	CR	TP	NED
B) Resta I) Scans	ging: During Tr	eatmen	Ĩ								
9	15	М	EWS	During treatment	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	2.7	15	DOD	đ	PET remained positive until death
٢	16	М	EWS	During treatment	1	No FDG-avid disease detected	NA	7	DOD	FN	Known extensive bone metastases not detected by PET
×	6	ц	ARMS	During treatment	2	Positive uptake @ PTS	3.3	12	DOD	đ	PET persistently positive, died of PD.
6	24	Ц	PNET	During treatment	1	Positive uptake @ PTS	3.0	13	NA	dL	NED 1 year after PET
10	20	Ц	PNET	During treatment	1	No FDG-avid disease detected	NA	88	CR	NL	Relapsed twice NED.
11	2	Ц	PNET	During treatment	1	No FDG-avid disease detected	0.7	136	CR	IN	NED
12	4	Ц	ERMS	During treatment	3	Positive uptake @ PTS & MTS	1.7	ю	DOD	đL	Died of PD
13	15	ц	EWS	During treatment	1	NO FDG-avid disease detected	1.9	84	AWD	NT	Late relapse in pelvis and lungs after 12 years, undergoing therapy
II) Scans	after End	l of Tre	atment:								
14	18	Ч	ARMS	After treatment	1	Positive uptake @ PTS & MTS	NA	4	DOD	TP	PET detected unexpected lung mets biopsy-proven, died of PD on chemo

Page 12

٦

_
~
_
<u> </u>
0
-
D
~
_
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
_
_
-
\mathbf{n}
-

ř
ř,
۲ N
۲ ۲
Ϋ́
or Ma
or Ma
or Ma
or Mar
or Man
or Manu
or Manu
or Manu
or Manus
or Manus
or Manuso
or Manusc
or Manusci
or Manuscri
or Manuscri
or Manuscrip
or Manuscrip
or Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

	Comments	Died of PD	NED	Biopsy showed Pseudomonas infection; NED	Long-term survivor, last FU January 2008, NED		Died of PD		NED	Died of rapid PD	Initial scan showed uptake, subsequent scans no uptake but pt died of PD	Uptake in left inguinal nodes but pt in clinical CR for 5 years, late relapse locally in left femur	Initial scan showed uptake, subsequent scans no uptake but pt died of progressive disease	Died of PD		positive	negative	positive
	Brief performai	FN	TN	FP	TN		ΠΡ		TP / TN	ЧТ	TP / FN	TN / FP	TP/ FN	ЧТ		TP = True	TN = True	FP = False
	Outcome	DOD	CR	CR	CR		DOD		CR	DOD	DOD	CR	DOD	DOD				
	F/U (months)	22	142	72	80		6		132	12	10	82	27	6				
	Primary max SUVs	NA	1.0	1.1	0.8		1.7		2.7	5.8	NA*	1.2	3.2	NA*		h disease	sease	mission
	PET blinded	No FDG-avid disease detected	No FDG-avid disease detected	Faint positive uptake @ PTS	No FDG-avid disease detected		Positive uptake @ MTS		Positive uptake @ PTS	Positive uptake @ PTS	Positive uptake @ PTS & MTS	Positive uptake in left inguinal nodes	No FDG-avid disease detected	Positive uptake @ PTS & MTS		AWD = Alive wit	DOD = Died of di	CR = Complete re
	# of scans	1	1	1	1		2		2	3	2	8	3	4	Total = 46		5	itive nal
	Indication	After treatment	After treatment	After treatment	After treatment		At relapse	ne timepoint:	Staging and after treatment	Staging and during treatment	Staging and during treatment	During and after treatment	During and after treatment	Staging and during treatment			EWS = Ewing Sarcoma	PNET = Prim neuroectodern tumor
	Dx	ARMS	ERMS	EWS	ERMS		ARMS	re than or	EWS	EWS	PNET	PNET	ERMS	ERMS				
	Sex	Μ	Ч	М	М	se:	ц	d at mo	М	Ч	Ч	Ľ	М	Ч		nale	lale	
Staging:	Age in years	7	11	6	4	s at Relap	6	performe	11	11	17	2	3	15		F = Fer	$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$	
A) Initial	Patient #	15	16	17	18	III) Scan	19	C) Scans	20	21	22	23	24	25				

_
_
_
_
_
_
0
-
-
_
<u> </u>
-
_
_
_
\sim
0
_
•
_
<
\sim
0
^m
=
5
_
_
10
0,
-
C
<u> </u>
- 1
9
+

	Comments	ıtive			l uptake value		
	Brief performance	FN = False nega			SUV = Standard	pt = Patient	
	Outcome						
	F/U (months)						
	Primary max SUVs	disease	le	ice of	mor site	tumor site	
	PET blinded	PD = Progressive	NA = Not availab	NED = No eviden disease	PTS = Primary tu	MTS = Metastatic	FU = Follow-up
	# of scans	eolar rcoma	ryonal rcoma				
	Indication	ARMS = Alv rhabdomyosa	ERMS = Emb rhabdomyosa				
	Dx						
	Sex						
l Staging:	Age in years						
A) Initia	Patient #						

1 1 1

1