

Published in final edited form as:

Leuk Lymphoma. 2008 August; 49(8): 1427-1430. doi:10.1080/10428190802220527.

Intrathecal liposomal cytarabine: More friend than foe?

DEEPA BHOJWANI and CHING-HON PUI

Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, and Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA

> Intrathecal chemotherapy is an integral component of treatment for acute leukemia and non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and together with intensive systemic chemotherapy, has largely replaced cranial irradiation as central-nervous-system (CNS)-directed therapy, even in patients with high-risk disease. However, the optimal intrathecal treatment has yet to be established. Because cytotoxic concentrations of conventional intrathecal chemotherapy are maintained in the cerebrospinal fluid for only a short time and frequently repeated lumbar punctures may pose technical difficulties in some patients, a sustained-release formulation of cytarabine was developed.

> By encapsulating in spherical multivesicular, biodegradable lipid-based particles known as DepoFoam, lioposomal cytarabine is released gradually after administration, thereby prolonging exposure to the drug in cerebrospinal fluid. This liposomal formulation has a halflife of 100 to 263 hours after intrathecal or intraventricular administration at doses of 12.5 mg to 75 mg, as compared to only 3.4 hours after intrathecal administration of 30 mg of free cytarabine.2⁻⁴ Thus, while one dose of conventional cytarabine results in cytotoxic concentrations ($\geq 0.1 \text{ µg/mL}$) in the cerebrospinal fluid for < 24 hours, one dose of liposomal cytarabine maintains concentrations for 8 days or more in children and for more than 14 days in most adults.²,4 Based on its significantly improved response rate as compared to standard formulation of cytarabine in a randomized trial,5 liposomal cytarabine was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of patients with lymphomatous meningitis.

> In Phase I/II studies in adults and children, liposomal cytarabine was very effective. ^{2,4-6} Chemical arachnoiditis, characterized by headache, back pain, fever, nausea, and vomiting, was common but could be prevented by concomitant administration of systemic dexamethasone. ^{2,4-6} The recommended dose of liposomal cytarabine is 50 mg for adults and 35 mg for children, administered with systemic dexamethasone for 5 days, every 2 weeks during induction and consolidation therapy, and every 4 weeks during continuation therapy.

> In the early studies when liposomal cytarabine was given as a single agent or together with conventional doses of systemic chemotherapy, neurotoxicity other than arachnoiditis was uncommon. In a study at M.D. Anderson for adults with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia, patients were treated with their hyper-CVAD regimen which includes high-dose methotrexate and high-dose cytarabine, modified by substituting liposomal cytarabine for conventional intrathecal therapy for CNS prophylaxis. ⁷ To minimize the potential overlapping toxicity of intrathecal and systemic cytarabine, the investigators separated liposomal cytarabine treatments by at least 12 days, and did not begin intrathecal treatment until at least 7 days after the last dose of systemic cytarabine. Despite this precaution and the concomitant use of dexamethasone or ally or intravenously for 5 days, a high rate (16%) of significant neurotoxicity

BHOJWANI and PUI Page 2

that include encephalopathy, cauda equina syndrome, seizure and pseudotumor cerebri, was observed among 31 patients treated, prompting the termination of the study. The investigators suggested that intrathecal liposomal cytarabine given concomitantly with systemic chemotherapy that crosses the blood-brain barrier can result in significant neurotoxicity.

In a subsequent study using modified hyper-CVAD regimen in which liposomal cytarabine treatments were given further apart (every 3 weeks), 2 of 14 adults with leukemia or lymphoma still developed significant neurologic events (severe headache and somnolence plus hyponatremia, respectively). The findings of these two studies led to the suggestion that liposomal cytarabine should not be given prior to or during treatment with high-dose chemotherapy that penetrates the blood-brain barrier.9

In this issue of Leukemia & Lymphoma, Parasole et al ¹⁰ reported the efficacy and safety of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine in 6 heavily pretreated children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (4 T-cell and 2 B-cell precursor) and CNS relapse, representing the first relapse in 2 patients, second relapse in 3, and third relapse in 1. The patient with third relapse had been previously treated with cranial irradiation and total body irradiation for transplantation. All patients including one with Down syndrome tolerated liposomal cytarabine relatively well with sustained clearance of blasts in cerebrospinal fluid. Only one child developed grade 2 headache, and none experienced significant neurotoxicity. Importantly, 5 of the 6 patients received concurrent systemic high-dose cytarabine (2 gm/m²/dose). There are several plausible explanations for the apparently contrasting experience between adult and childhood cases. First, children may tolerate the liposomal cytarabine better than adults. However, in a prior study of 5 children with neoplastic meningitis, 11 one heavily pretreated patient developed transient encephalopathy 4 days after receiving high-dose methotrexate and a single dose of liposomal cytarabine. Secondly, Parasole et al ¹⁰judiciously used age-adjusted doses of liposomal cytarabine as is standard with other intrathecal agents in pediatrics. Thirdly and perhaps of interest, they instilled intrathecal methylprednisolone concurrently with liposomal cytarabine in two of their patients. In this regard, intrathecal prednisone may be more effective than systemic dexamethasone in preventing local inflammatory effects of liposomal cytarabine. However, many more patients need to be treated to confirm the potential protective effect of intrathecal prednisone. Having no neurotoxicities in six patients does not establish improved safety with this approach, because statistically the true rate of events can still be as high as 39%. Nonetheless, if the finding of Parasole and colleagues is confirmed by additional studies, it most certainly will generate greater enthusiasm to use this effective treatment.

The potential neurotoxicity of liposomal cytarabine has been a major concern of leukemia therapists in using this treatment modality despite its great effectiveness. Preventive measures may reduce, but are not likely to totally eliminate the risk of neurotoxicity. Liposomal cytarabine is beneficial, especially in refractory patients and its use in a broader clinical practice requires careful assessment of both risks and benefits. We have summarized published studies in Table 1. Several large phase II adult studies are ongoing in the United States and Europe to further test the safety and efficacy of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine alone and in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs as well as monoclonal antibodies. As more data becomes available, we will learn optimal use of this highly effective drug.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by grant CA21765 from the National Cancer Institute and by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC)

BHOJWANI and PUI Page 3

References

1. Pui CH, Howard SC. Current management and challenges of malignant disease in the CNS in paediatric leukaemia. Lancet Oncol 2008;9(3):257–68. [PubMed: 18308251]

- Bomgaars L, Geyer JR, Franklin J, Dahl G, Park J, Winick NJ, et al. Phase I trial of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine in children with neoplastic meningitis. J Clin Oncol 2004;22(19):3916–21. [PubMed: 15459213]
- 3. Chamberlain MC, Kormanik P, Howell SB, Kim S. Pharmacokinetics of intralumbar DTC-101 for the treatment of leptomeningeal metastases. Arch Neurol 1995;52(9):912–7. [PubMed: 7661730]
- Kim S, Chatelut E, Kim JC, Howell SB, Cates C, Kormanik PA, et al. Extended CSF cytarabine exposure following intrathecal administration of DTC 101. J Clin Oncol 1993;11(11):2186–93.
 [PubMed: 8229133]
- Glantz MJ, LaFollette S, Jaeckle KA, Shapiro W, Swinnen L, Rozental JR, et al. Randomized trial of a slow-release versus a standard formulation of cytarabine for the intrathecal treatment of lymphomatous meningitis. J Clin Oncol 1999;17(10):3110–6. [PubMed: 10506606]
- 6. Glantz MJ, Jaeckle KA, Chamberlain MC, Phuphanich S, Recht L, Swinnen LJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing intrathecal sustained-release cytarabine (DepoCyt) to intrathecal methotrexate in patients with neoplastic meningitis from solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 1999;5(11): 3394–402. [PubMed: 10589750]
- Jabbour E, O'Brien S, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Manero G, Ferrajoli A, Ravandi F, et al. Neurologic complications associated with intrathecal liposomal cytarabine given prophylactically in combination with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine to patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 2007;109(8):3214–8. [PubMed: 17209054]
- 8. McClune B, Buadi FK, Aslam N, Przepiorka D. Intrathecal liposomal cytarabine for prevention of meningeal disease in patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia and high-grade lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma 2007;48(9):1849–51. [PubMed: 17786723]
- 9. Pui CH. Toward optimal use of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine. Leuk Lymphoma 2007;48(9):1672–3. [PubMed: 17786701]
- 10. Parasole R, Menna G, Marra N, Petruzziello F, Locatelli F, Mangione A, et al. Efficacy and safety of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine for the treatment of meningeal relapse in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: experience of two pediatric institutions. Leukemia Lymphoma. 2008 in press.
- 11. Benesch M, Sovinz P, Krammer B, Lackner H, Mann G, Schwinger W, et al. Feasibility and toxicity of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine in 5 children and young adults with refractory neoplastic meningitis. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2007;29(4):222–6. [PubMed: 17414563]
- Jaeckle KA, Phuphanich S, Bent MJ, Aiken R, Batchelor T, Campbell T, et al. Intrathecal treatment of neoplastic meningitis due to breast cancer with a slow-release formulation of cytarabine. Br J Cancer 2001;84(2):157–63. [PubMed: 11161370]
- 13. Sancho JM, Ribera JM, Romero MJ, Martin-Reina V, Giraldo P, Ruiz E. Compassionate use of intrathecal depot liposomal cytarabine as treatment of central nervous system involvement in acute leukemia: report of 6 cases. Haematologica 2006;91(3):ECR02. [PubMed: 16533729]
- 14. Sancho JM, Deben G, Parker A, Pinana JL, Bolam S, Sanchez-Garcia E, et al. Results of compassionate therapy with intrathecal depot liposomal cytarabine in acute myeloid leukemia meningeosis. Int J Hematol 2007;86(1):33–6. [PubMed: 17675264]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Summary of neurotoxic events in studies using intrathecal liposomal cytarabine $^{\#}$

| Concurrent casures taken to cranial RT or revent high dose curotoxicity chemotherapy | Total Route of Measures taken to crania no. of administr prevent high doses ation neurotoxicity chemo | Route of Measures taken to administr prevent ation neurotoxicity | Total Route of Measures taken to no. of administr prevent doses ation neurotoxicity | Dose and frequency of Total Route of Measures taken to liposomal no. of administr prevent cytarabine doses ation neurotoxicity | Dose and frequency of Total Route of Measures taken to liposomal no. of administr prevent cytarabine doses ation neurotoxicity |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Cranial irradiation: 2 | IVT: 11 LP: 1 LP: 1 Both: 3 NR irra | IVT: 11 LP: 1 Both: 3 | i iVT: 11 LP: 1 Both: 3 NR | 12.5–125 mg; 17: 11 LP: 1 O 2–3 weeks 47 Both: 3 NR | Neoplastic meningitis (4 hematologic malignancies, 8 12.5–125 mg; LP: 11 solid tumors) Q 2–3 weeks 47 Both: 3 NR |
| examethasone O in 15 cycles NR | Dexamethasone 18 LP PO in 15 cycles | Dexamethasone PO in 15 cycles | bexamethasone LP PO in 15 cycles | 75 mg; O 2 weeks 18 LP PO in 15 cycles | 9 (4 NHL, 1 AML, 22 weeks 18 LP Poin 15 cycles |
| examethasone | IVT: 13 Dexamethasone 74 LP: 1 PO/IV | IVT: 13 LP: 1 | IVT: 13 74 LP: 1 | 50 mg; Q 2 weeks X 2 months, Q 4 atous weeks X 8 TA IVT: 13 months | 14 Randomized Lymphomatous Rondomized Lymphomatous Defense Total Total |
| .xamethasone)/IV | IVT: 29 Dexamethasone LP: 2 PO/IV | IVT: 29 LP: 2 | Ks X 2 Q 4 : 8 IVT: 29 I 102 I.P: 2 | 50 mg; Q 2 weeks X 2 months, Q 4 weeks X 8 months 102 I.P: 2 | S0 mg; Q 2 weeks X 2 Neoplastic months, Q 4 meningitis (solid weeks X 8 months 102 LP: 2 |
| .xamethasone)/TV | IVT: 42 LP: 8 Dexamethasone 177 Both: 3 PO/IV | IVT: 42 LP: 8 Both: 3 | ks X 2 Q 4 LP: 8 LP: 8 177 Both: 3 | 50 mg; Q 2 weeks X 2 months, Q 4 IVT: 42 weeks X 2 LP: 8 months 177 Both: 3 | tes nized, 50 mg; 20 weeks X 2 months, Q 4 LP: 8 months (breast cancer) months large |
| .xamethasone)/IV | IVT: 3 Dexamethasone 78 Both: 3 PO/IV | IVT: 3 LP: 12 Both: 3 | IVT: 3 LP: 12 78 Both: 3 | 25–50 mg; Q 2 weeks X 1 month, Q 4 weeks X 2 months, Q 8 weeks X 12 months, Q 8 IVT: 3 months T8 Both: 3 | 25–50 mg; Q 2 weeks X 1 Neoplastic Q 4 weeks X 2 Q 4 L, 1 AML, Months, Q 8 Q 8 LP: 12 Prain tumors) Months 78 Both: 3 |

| Study | No. of patients (age) | Type of study | Indication | Dose and frequency of liposomal cytarabine | Total no. of doses | Route of administr ation | Measures taken to prevent neurotoxicity | Concurrent cranial RT or high dose chemotherapy | Neurotoxicity (≥ Grade III) | Proportion of patients with≥ grade III neurotoxicity (95% CI) | Cytologic response (No. of patients) |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Sancho et al (2006) ¹³ | 6 (5–50 yrs) | Retrospective series | CNS involvement in leukemia | 50 mg; Q 2 weeks (25 mg in 5 yr old) | 29 | LP | Dexamethsaone PO/IV | NR | None | 0 of 6 0% (0% to 39%) | 2 of 3 evaluable patients |
| Tenk The Table Tenk The Table Tenk The Table Tenk Tenk Tenk Tenk Tenk Tenk Tenk Ten | 31 (>18 yrs) | Phase II | Newly diagnosed adult ALL | 50 mg Day 2 and 15 of HyperCVAD cycle, Day 10 of MA | NR | LP | Dexamethsone PO/IV Liposomal cytarabine >7 days after HD cytarabine | High dose methotrexate and cytarabine: 31 | Papilledema and blindness: 1 Increased intracranial pressure: 2 Cauda eqina syndrome: 2 | 5 of 31 16.1% (3.2% to 29.1%) | Prophylactic use I combined marrow and CNS relapse |
| Benesch out et al (2007) | 5 (5–18 yrs) | Retrospective series | Neoplastic meningitis (4 leukemia, 1 medulloblastoma | 15–50 mg; Q 2–4 weeks (Single dose in 1 patient) | 33 | LP | Dexamethasone PO/IV | High dose methotrexate (8 gm/m2): 1 TBI: 1 | Encephalopathy: 1 Seizures*: 1 | 2 of 5 40% (0% to 82.9%) | 3 of 5 |
| Sancho stain stain set al stain (2007) set al | 10 (18–57 yrs) | Retrospective series | AML CNS involvement: 6 CNS relapse: 4 | 50 mg; Q 2 or 4 weeks (35 mg in 18 yr old) | 39 | LP | Dexamethasone PO/IV | High dose cytarabine: 6 | Headache *∶3 | 3 of 10 30% (1.6% to 58.4%) | 9 of 9 evaluable patients |
| McClune ui alqel et al (2007) | 14 (23–72 yrs) | Retrospective series | Newly diagnosed ALL and aggressive lymphomas | 50 mg (25 mg if intraventricular); Q 3 weeks | 40 | IVT: 2 LP: 12 | Dexamethasone PO/IV Liposomal cytarabine Q3 weeks | High dose methotrexate and cytarabine: 14 | Hyponatremia and somnolence *: 1 Headache *: 1 | 2 of 14 14.3% (0% to 32.6%) | Prophylactic use No CNS relapses |
| Parasole et al (2008) 10 (2008) 10 | 6 (2-26 yrs) | Retrospective series | ALL with CNS relapse | Q 2 weeks (Q 1 week X 4 in 1 patient) | 33 | LP | Dexamethasone PO/IV Methylprednisone IT (2 patients) Age adjusted dose | High dose cytarabine (2 gm/m2): 5 | None | 0/6 0% (0% to 39%) | 6 of 6 |

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

IVT: Intraventricular

LP: Lumbar puncture

NR: Not reported

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia

AML: Acute myeloid leukemia

CNS: Central nervous system RT: Radiation therapy

Includes studies ≥ 5 patients, published in English

BHOJWANI and PUI

* Grade of toxicity not reported