Seasonal Changes in Temperature Dependence of Photosynthetic Rate in Rice Under a Free-air CO₂ Enrichment

ALMAZ BORJIGIDAI^{1,*}, KOUKI HIKOSAKA¹, TADAKI HIROSE^{1,2}, TOSHIHIRO HASEGAWA³, MASUMI OKADA⁴ and KAZUHIKO KOBAYASHI⁵

¹Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan, ²Department of International Agriculture Development, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Sakuragaoka 1-1-1, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8502, Japan, ³National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, 3-1-1 Kannondai, Tsukuba 305-8604, Japan, ⁴National Agricultural Research Center for Tohoku Region, 4 Akahira, Shimiikuriyagawa, Morioka 020-0198, Japan and ⁵Department of Global Agricultural Sciences, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan

Received: 9 September 2005 Returned for revision: 13 October 2005 Accepted: 26 November 2005 Published electronically: 6 January 2006

• Background and Aims Influences of rising global CO₂ concentration and temperature on plant growth and ecosystem function have become major concerns, but how photosynthesis changes with CO₂ and temperature in the field is poorly understood. Therefore, studies were made of the effect of elevated CO₂ on temperature dependence of photosynthetic rates in rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown in a paddy field, in relation to seasons in two years. • *Methods* Photosynthetic rates were determined monthly for rice grown under free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) compared to the normal atmosphere (570 vs 370 µmol mol⁻¹). Temperature dependence of the maximum rate of RuBP (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate) carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) were analysed with the Arrhenius equation. The photosynthesis-temperature response was reconstructed to determine the optimal temperature (T_{opt}) that maximizes the photosynthetic rate.

• Key Results and Conclusions There was both an increase in the absolute value of the light-saturated photosynthetic rate at growth CO₂ (P_{growth}) and an increase in T_{opt} for P_{growth} caused by elevated CO₂ in FACE conditions. Seasonal decrease in P_{growth} was associated with a decrease in nitrogen content per unit leaf area (N_{area}) and thus in the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) and the maximum rate of RuBP carboxylation (V_{cmax}). At ambient CO₂, T_{opt} increased with increasing growth temperature due mainly to increasing activation energy of V_{cmax} . At elevated CO₂, T_{opt} did not show a clear seasonal trend. Temperature dependence of photosynthesis was changed by seasonal climate and plant nitrogen status, which differed between ambient and elevated CO₂.

Key words: Temperature dependence, photosynthesis, optimal temperature, activation energy, limiting step, temperature acclimation, free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE), seasonal change, rice, *Oryza sativa*.

INTRODUCTION

Global atmospheric CO₂ concentration has risen from approx. $280 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\text{mol}^{-1}$ in pre-industrial times to approx. $370 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\text{mol}^{-1}$ now and may reach $570 \,\mu\text{mol} \,\text{mol}^{-1}$ by 2050. Most global climate models predict that global surface temperature will increase by 3 °C, associated with increasing greenhouse gas emissions (IPCC, 2001). Influences of increasing CO₂ and temperature on plant growth and ecosystem function have become a major area of concern in recent decades (Mitchell *et al.*, 1995; Norby and Luo, 2004).

Photosynthesis, a key determinant of the rate of plant growth, is influenced by both CO_2 and temperature. Photosynthetic rates increase with a short-term increase in CO_2 concentration and are related parabolically to leaf temperature (von Caemmerer, 2000). These responses are mechanistically described by the biochemical model of photosynthesis (Farquhar *et al.*, 1980). The model has two major parameters, the potential rate of electron transport (J_{max}) and the maximum rate of RuBP (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate) carboxylation (V_{cmax}).

The model of Farquhar et al. (1980) has contributed substantially to modelling gas exchange rates of plants and terrestrial ecosystems under changing environments. However, many modelling studies have ignored the effects of growth conditions on photosynthetic characteristics (long-term response). Photosynthesis often shows downregulation under a long-term increase in CO₂ concentration (CO₂ acclimation; Sage, 1994; Ziska et al., 1996; Seneweera et al., 2002; Ainsworth et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2005). In many species, a long-term increase in temperature leads to an increase in the optimal temperature for maximal photosynthetic rate (temperature acclimation; Slatyer et al., 1977; Berry and Björkman, 1980; Badger et al., 1982; Ferrar et al., 1989; Hikosaka et al., 1999; Hikosaka et al., 2006). Some recent studies have investigated responses in $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ to growth temperature (Hikosaka et al., 1999; Bunce, 2000; Hikosaka, 2005; Yamori et al., 2005) and to seasonal environment (Medlyn et al., 2002a; Han et al., 2004; Onoda et al., 2005b). However, no study, as far as we know, has investigated seasonal change in temperature dependence of V_{cmax} and J_{max} under elevated CO₂ concentrations. We have investigated the effects on photosynthetic rate and seasonal acclimation of rice leaves

© The Author 2006. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org

^{*} For correspondence. E-mail almas@biology.tohoku.ac.jp

grown in the field under current and increased CO_2 concentrations.

Field-grown plants were exposed to natural diurnal, seasonal and year-to-year fluctuations in leaf temperature in a free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) system that raises atmospheric CO₂ concentration in the field with minimal artefacts (Long *et al.*, 2004). Seasonal changes in photosynthetic characteristics were measured for two seasons. Temperature dependence of photosynthetic rates were analysed based on the model of Farquhar *et al.* (1980). Questions addressed here are: (1) does temperature dependence of photosynthesis change seasonally and, if so, how different is it between ambient and elevated CO₂? (2) What biochemical mechanisms are involved in the change in temperature dependence of photosynthesis? (3) Does growth temperature explain the seasonal change in the photosynthetic characteristics?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The rice field was located at Shizukuishi in northern Honshu, Japan (39°38'N, 140°57'E, 200 m a.s.l.). Mean annual temperature and precipitation in 1976-2004 were 9.3 °C and 1540 mm, respectively. Elevated atmospheric CO_2 concentration (C_a) was created with a FACE system (Okada et al., 2001), consisting of octagonal 12-m diameter CO_2 emission structures ('rings') established within the paddy. The target C_a at the centre of the rings was $200\,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{mol}^{-1}$ above ambient CO₂. The experiment was conducted over two years (2003 and 2004). The seasonal averages of C_a in the ambient CO₂ plots and in the elevated CO_2 plots were 384 \pm 14 and 606 \pm 29 μ mol mol⁻¹ in 2003, and 366 \pm 12 and 548 \pm 28 µmol mol⁻¹ in 2004, respectively. Two ambient CO2 (X and Z) and two elevated CO_2 plots (B and D) were used (for description of these plots see Okada et al., 2001). Mean temperature and photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) during the experiment are shown in Table 1.

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L. 'Akitakomachi') plants were grown following the agronomic techniques typical of the local area (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2001; Anten *et al.*, 2003; Kim *et al.*, 2003). On 21 May 2003 and 20 May 2004, 25 d after emergence, seedlings were transplanted into paddies. Seedlings raised in a greenhouse under ambient CO₂ were planted in the ambient CO₂ plots, and those raised in another greenhouse under elevated CO₂ were planted in the elevated CO₂ plots. Distances between plants ('hills') and rows were 17.5 and 30 cm, respectively (equivalent to 19.1 hills m⁻²). The amounts of fertilizers added were: 8 g N m⁻² (25% ammonium sulfate and 75% LP-70) on 16 May in both 2003 and 2004, 30 g P₂O₅ m⁻² on 24 April 2003 and 19 April 2004, 15 g K₂O m⁻² on 25 April 2003 and 19 April 2004, respectively.

Photosynthetic measurements were made on the most recently fully expanded leaves in the experimental periods (leaf order and leaf age after emergence are given in Table 1). Photosynthetic rates were measured using an open gas exchange system (Model LI-6400, LiCor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA), with an LED light source (LI-6400-02B, LiCor)

 TABLE 1. Climate conditions and leaf characteristics (order and age) for the photosynthetic measurements

Year	Measurement date	$T_g(°C)$	$(mol m^{-2} d^{-1})$	Leaf order	Mean leaf age (d)	
2003	18–24 June	17.7	34.9	8th	13	
	15-25 July	18.5	25.3	11th	18	
	20-30 August	20.9	23.7	14th	17	
	11–15 September	19.1	22.0	15th	40	
2004	23-28 June	18.1	36.9	9th	15	
	21-26 July	21.7	22.6	12th	14	
	21-23 August	21.9	31.3	13th	16	
	14-18 September	19.7	24.9	14th	31	

 $T_{\rm g}$ and PPF are the mean daily growth temperature and mean daily photosynthetic photon flux, respectively, in the 2 weeks prior to measurements. Leaf order was numbered from the first leaf after germination.

and a dual Peltier device to regulate the PPF and temperature in the chamber $(3 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2)$.

Measurements were replicated using at least three leaves in each plot. CO₂ response curves of photosynthesis were determined at approx. 20, 25, 30 and 35 °C leaf temperature at PPF >1800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The vapour pressure deficit (VPD) was kept at <1.5 kPa for 15-30 °C, and 1.5-2.5 kPa for 35 °C. Leaves were allowed to equilibrate for 5–10 min at each new temperature before measurement. For each CO₂ response curve, photosynthesis was first measured at the growth CO_2 concentration (ambient CO_2 , $370 \,\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ or elevated CO₂, $570 \,\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$; P_{growth}), and then the C_a was increased in eight steps from 50 to 1500 µmol mol⁻¹. In moving to a new CO₂ concentration, sufficient time was given (>5 min) to allow a steady-state to be attained prior to measurement of the photosynthetic rate. Immediately after gas exchange measurements were completed, the leaf was detached and 3-cm-long segments were excised (excluding the tip and base) and their width measured for calculation of area with an absolute digimatic caliper (Mitutoyo, CD-S15C, Kanagawa, Japan). The dry mass of leaf segments was determined after oven-drying at 70 °C for >72 h, and then the nitrogen content was determined using an NC analyser (Sumigraph NC-80,Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

Models

The photosynthesis curve plotted against intercellular CO_2 concentration $(A-C_i \text{ curve})$ was analysed to determine the maximum rate of RuBP carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) using the biochemical model of photosynthesis (Farquhar *et al.*, 1980). When ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) is saturated, the photosynthetic rate is determined by:

$$P_{\rm c} = \frac{V_{\rm cmax} (C_{\rm i} - \Gamma^*)}{C_{\rm i} + K_{\rm c} (1 + O/K_{\rm o})} - R_{\rm d}$$
(1)

where P_c is the photosynthetic rate limited by the Rubisco activity, C_i is the concentration of CO₂ at intercellular space, Γ^* is the CO₂ compensation point in the absence of day respiration (R_d), K_c and K_o are Michaelis constants of RuBP carboxylase for CO₂ and O₂, respectively, and *O* is the O₂ concentration. When RuBP regeneration limits photosynthesis, the photosynthetic rate is expressed as:

$$P_{\rm r} = \frac{J_{\rm max} \left(C_{\rm i} - \Gamma^* \right)}{4C_{\rm i} + 8\Gamma^*} - R_{\rm d} \tag{2}$$

where P_r is the photosynthetic rate limited by RuBP regeneration. The photosynthetic rate is the minimum of P_c and P_r .

The temperature dependence of kinetic parameters is described by the Arrhenius equation (Harley and Tenhunen, 1991; Bernacchi *et al.*, 2001):

$$f(T_{\rm k}) = f(25) \exp\left[\frac{E_{\rm a}(T_{\rm k} - 298)}{298 {\rm R} T_{\rm k}}\right]$$
(3)

where f is the value of a parameter. f(25) is f at 25 °C, E_a is the activation energy, R is the gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and T_k is leaf temperature in K.

We calculated values of K_c using eqn (3), where K_c at 25 °C and E_a of K_c were assumed to be 404·9 µmol mol⁻¹ and 79·43 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. Similarly, K_o and Γ^* values were calculated assuming that K_o and Γ^* at 25 °C were 278·4 mmol mol⁻¹ and 42·8 µmol mol⁻¹, and E_a of K_o and E_a of Γ^* were 36·38 kJ mol⁻¹ and 37·83 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively (Bernacchi *et al.*, 2001). Using the calculated K_c , K_o and Γ^* values, eqn (1) was fitted to the C_i -response curves of photosynthesis at a lower range of CO₂ ($C_i < 300$ µmol mol⁻¹). R_d was assumed to be 0·02 of V_{cmax} (von Caemmerer, 2000). J_{max} was calculated by fitting eqn (2) to a higher range of CO₂ ($C_i > 600$ µmol mol⁻¹). E_a of V_{cmax} and of J_{max} were obtained from pooled data for each plot as a regression coefficient (eqn 3). Curve fitting was performed with Kaleida graph (Synergy Software, Reading, PA, USA).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means \pm s.e. Statistical tests were performed using SPSS 7.5.1 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). ANOVA (split-plot) was conducted to test the effects of year (main plot), CO₂ (subplot), months (sub-subplot) and their interactions on photosynthetic characteristics. Student's *t*-test was used for the effect of the CO₂ treatments.

RESULTS

The mean daily temperature (T_g) and mean daily PPF during a 2-week period prior to each measurement (Table 1) are considered as the 'growth environment' for the leaves; they varied seasonally. T_g was highest in August and lowest in June in both 2003 and 2004. When compared for the same month, T_g was slightly higher in 2004. PPF was highest in June and lowest in September in 2003 and in July in 2004.

Effects of elevated CO_2 *and seasonal environment on photosynthetic characteristics*

Seasonal changes in temperature dependence of the lightsaturated photosynthetic rates per unit leaf area (P_{growth}) determined at the growth CO_2 concentration (Fig. 1) tended to increase to a maximum with increasing leaf temperature, and then either remained constant or decreased with further increase in leaf temperature. At any given temperature and month, P_{growth} was higher in leaves grown at elevated CO_2 . P_{growth} decreased as the growing season progressed (Table 2).

Stomatal conductance (g_s), determined at 25 °C, was lower in leaves grown at elevated CO₂ (Tables 2, 3). It differed significantly between months, although no seasonal trend was observed. The average intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i) at 25 °C was 79.9 % of C_a at ambient CO₂ and 80.7 % of C_a at elevated CO₂, and increased during the growing season in both ambient and elevated CO₂ (Tables 2, 3). Leaf nitrogen content per unit area (N_{area}) was not affected by CO₂ during growth, but declined during the growing season irrespective of CO₂ treatment (Tables 2, 3).

 J_{max} and V_{cmax} determined at 25 °C (J_{max25} and V_{cmax25} , respectively) decreased during the season (Fig. 2A–D). Since the decrease in V_{cmax25} was greater than that in J_{max25} , the $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio increased (Fig. 2E, F). There was a significant effect of CO₂ on V_{cmax25} (Table 2), but was not on J_{max25} . V_{cmax25} tended to be lower at elevated CO₂ (Fig. 2C, D).

 J_{max} and V_{cmax} increased exponentially with leaf temperature: an example is shown in Fig. 3, with the curve fitted using the Arrhenius equation. The activation energy (E_a) is a measure of temperature dependence of photosynthetic rate. Since deactivation at high temperatures was not observed for either J_{max} or V_{cmax} , we did not use a model characterized by an optimum (peak) (Medlyn et al., 2002a, b). ANOVA suggested that the activation energy of J_{max} (E_{ai}) was not different between leaves grown in different $\dot{CO_2}$ concentrations (Table 2). However, the seasonal change in E_{ai} was not consistent across years and CO_2 conditions (Fig. 4). For example, at elevated CO₂, E_{ai} increased seasonally in 2003 (P = 0.004, Fig. 4A), while it decreased in 2004 (P = 0.003, Fig. 4B). E_{av} was not affected by growth CO₂ but was significantly different among months (Table 2, Fig. 4C, D).

Modelling of temperature dependence of photosynthetic rate at growth CO₂ conditions

Using the above parameters C_i , J_{max} , V_{cmax} , E_{aj} and E_{av} , we reconstructed the temperature dependence of photosynthetic rate at the CO₂ concentrations during leaf growth. There was a strong correlation between measured and estimated rates of photosynthesis (y = 0.95x; r =0.97, P < 0.0001) with the regression was very close to the 1:1 line (Fig. 5). This indicates that the present photosynthesis model gave a fairly good quantitative description of the effect of years and CO₂ on growth. At ambient CO₂, photosynthesis at optimal temperature was limited by P_c in both years, while at elevated CO₂ photosynthesis at optimal temperature was limited by P_r in earlier stages (June, July and August), and by P_c in the latest stage (September; data not shown). Temperature dependence of (relative) photosynthetic rate differed between ambient



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the light-saturated rate of photosynthesis (P_{growth}) for rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown at (A, C) ambient CO₂ (370 µmol mol⁻¹, open symbols) and (B, D) at elevated CO₂ (570 µmol mol⁻¹, closed symbols) in 2003 (A, B) and 2004 (C, D). Measurements were made in June (circles), July (squares), August (triangles) and September (diamonds). Data from two plots are pooled and presented as mean \pm s.e. (n = 6).

TABLE 2. Summary of analysis of variance (ANOVA, presented as F-values) for the effects of month, CO_2 , year, and their interactions on the photosynthetic rate at 25 °C under growth CO_2 concentration ($P_{growth25}$), leaf nitrogen content (N_{area}), stomatal conductance at 25 °C (g_s), intercellular CO_2 concentration at 25 °C (C_i), the maximum rate of electron transport at 25 °C (J_{max25}), the maximum rate of RuBP carboxylation at 25 °C (V_{cmax25}), the J_{max} to V_{cmax} ratio at 25 °C (J/V), activation energy of J_{max} (E_{ay}), and optimum temperature of photosynthesis predicted by the model (T_{opt})

Source of variation	d.f.	$P_{\rm growth25}$	Narea	$g_{\rm s}$	Ci	$J_{\rm max25}$	$V_{\rm cmax25}$	J/V	$E_{\rm aj}$	$E_{\rm av}$	$T_{\rm opt}$
Year	1	10.11	0.23	19.92*	39.51*	22.58*	14.45	7.06	0.22	2.03	13.44
Main plot error	2	11.74	2.75	6.56	1.48	2.67	7.10	0.59	1.08	3.08	1.44
CO ₂	1	462.73**	4.88	25.45*	1215.25***	3.19	26.71*	3.72	11.16	4.01	78.87*
$CO_2 \times Year$	1	17.19	0.41	12.83	5.44	0.19	7.61	1.88	0.46	5.11	4.16
Subplot error	2	0.10	2.28	0.18	1.72	0.83	0.49	1.25	0.97	0.73	0.80
Month	3	216.26***	175.72***	4.09*	19.87***	229.24***	307.07***	22.33***	0.83	6.41**	3.74*
Month \times CO ₂	3	3.50*	6.72**	0.54	1.61	1.93	2.89	0.23	0.65	0.28	1.34
Month × Year	3	11.01***	18.63***	4.64*	3.21	6.56**	7.91**	2.22	1.79	5.10*	5.66*
$CO_2 \times Month \times Year$	3	1.03	0.26	0.68	0.59	0.86	1.88	0.41	7.71**	10.33**	5.27*
Sub-subplot error	12										

 $P_{\text{growth}25}$, N_{area} , g_{s} and C_{i} are measured values. $J_{\text{max}25}$, $V_{\text{cmax}25}$, J/V, E_{aj} , E_{av} and T_{opt} are calculated values. Significance levels: ***, P < 0.001; **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05.

and elevated CO₂ (Fig. 6). The optimal temperature of photosynthesis (T_{opt} , the value where the photosynthetic rate was maximum) was significantly higher at elevated CO₂ (Table 2): it ranged from 22 to 34.5 °C with an average value of 28.9 °C at ambient CO₂, and from 29.5 to 37 °C with an average value of 33.5 °C at elevated CO₂. Temperature dependence of photosynthesis also showed a large seasonal change. There was a significant effect of month on T_{opt} (Table 2).

Relationship between growth temperature (T_g) and photosynthetic characteristics

There was no significant difference in E_{av} between leaves grown at the two CO₂ concentrations (Table 2). E_{av} was positively correlated with T_g across both CO₂ concentrations (Fig. 7A, P = 0.025). However, E_{aj} was not correlated with T_g (data not shown). There was a significant correlation between T_{opt} and T_g at ambient CO₂ (P = 0.018), but not at elevated CO₂ (P = 0.122; Fig. 7B).

TABLE 3. Stomatal conductance for water vapour (g_s) and intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i) at 25 °C under growth CO_2 concentration, and leaf nitrogen content per unit area (N_{area}) for rice grown at ambient CO_2 (370 µmol mol⁻¹) and at elevated CO_2 (570 µmol mol⁻¹)

Year	Measurement date	g_s (mol	$m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	C _i (µn	nol mol^{-1})	$N_{\rm area}~({\rm g}~{\rm m}^{-2})$		
		Ambient	FACE	Ambient	FACE	Ambient	FACE	
2003	18–24 June 15–25 July 20–30 August 11–15 September	0.23 ± 0.05 0.27 ± 0.09 0.28 ± 0.07 0.27 ± 0.09	0.23 ± 0.07 $0.16 \pm 0.03*$ $0.18 \pm 0.03**$ 0.20 ± 0.07	268 ± 16 283 ± 13 282 ± 12 298 ± 17	$435 \pm 30^{***}$ $420 \pm 15^{***}$ $441 \pm 20^{***}$ $449 \pm 30^{***}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1.91 \pm 0.26 \\ 1.89 \pm 0.19 \\ 2.12 \pm 0.18 \\ 1.33 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	1.95 ± 0.17 1.78 ± 0.14 $1.73 \pm 0.14**$ 1.25 ± 0.12	
2004	23–28 June 21–26 July 21–23 August 14–18 September	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.34 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.32 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.41 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.25 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.32 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.30 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.44 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.20 \pm 0.03 * \end{array}$	$292 \pm 3 293 \pm 12 318 \pm 4 329 \pm 6$	$464 \pm 9^{***} 458 \pm 18^{***} 499 \pm 10^{***} 509 \pm 11^{***}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.55 \pm 0.07 \\ 2.17 \pm 0.17 \\ 1.95 \pm 0.23 \\ 1.88 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.97 \pm 0.08 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \pm 0.12 \\ 2.18 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.94 \pm 0.08 \\ 1.60 \pm 0.15^{**} \\ 0.96 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$	

Means \pm s.e. (n = 6) are shown. Asterisks indicate significant differences between CO₂ treatments: ***, P < 0.0001; **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05.



F1G. 2. Seasonal change in (A, B) the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max25}), (C, D) the maximum rate of caboxylation (V_{cmax25}), and (E, F) the J_{max25} / V_{cmax25} ratio at 25 °C for rice ($Oryza \ sativa$) grown at ambient CO₂ (370 µmol mol⁻¹, open symbols) and at elevated CO₂ (570 µmol mol⁻¹, closed symbols) in 2003 (A, C, E) and 2004 (B, D, F). Data from two plots are pooled and shown as mean \pm s.e. (n = 6). Asterisks indicate significant differences between CO₂ treatments at P < 0.05.



FIG. 3. An example of the temperature dependence of the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) and the maximum rate of caboxylation (V_{cmax}) of rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown at elevated CO₂ (570 µmol mol⁻¹) in June 2004. Data are fitted with the Arrhenius equation (eqn. 3). Activation energy of J_{max} (E_{aj}) was 19.42 kJ mol⁻¹ and activation energy of V_{cmax} (E_{av}) was 52.93 kJ mol⁻¹.

DISCUSSION

The biochemical model of photosynthesis developed by Farquhar et al. (1980) is useful for predicting carbon exchange by plants under global environmental change because it represents a mechanism for the effects of elevated CO₂ on photosynthetic rates. The model is also useful for analysing temperature dependence of photosynthesis. As photosynthesis-temperature curves are parabolic with a broad peak, many data points are needed to obtain the optimal temperature (e.g. Cunningham and Read, 2002). However, as most of the parameters in the model of Farquhar et al. (1980) follow the Arrhenius equation, it is possible to describe photosynthetic response to temperature with a relatively small number of data points. The similarity between measured and estimated values (Fig. 5) suggests that the model gave a fairly good quantitative description of photosynthetic rates. Several studies have shown changes in temperature dependence of model parameters (such as E_{av} and E_{ai}) under a seasonal environment (Medlyn *et al.*, 2002a; Han et al., 2004), but information is still insufficient when large acclimational change and interspecific differences are considered (Leuning, 2002; Medlyn et al., 2002b). The present study is the first report showing a seasonal change in temperature dependence of photosynthetic parameters at elevated CO₂.

Absolute photosynthetic rate (P_{growth})

Elevated CO₂ significantly increased P_{growth} (Fig. 1). This is simply ascribed to higher C_i (Table 3). However, a slight but significant decrease in V_{cmax25} at elevated CO₂ (Fig. 2, Table 2) partly offset the effect of increased C_i . This down-regulation may be caused by sugar accumulation (Rey and Jarvis, 1998; Seneweera *et al.*, 2002; Rogers *et al.*, 2004) or by accelerated leaf senescence with advanced plant development (Rogers *et al.*, 1996; Ludewing and Sonnewald, 2000; von Caemmerer *et al.*, 2001; Seneweera *et al.*, 2002).

At both CO₂ concentrations, $P_{\text{growth}25}$ (P_{growth} at 25 °C) decreased as the plants grew (Fig. 1), consistent with previous studies for rice (Hasegawa *et al.*, 1996 for ambient CO₂; Seneweera *et al.*, 2002). This is attributed to the seasonal decrease in J_{max} and V_{cmax} (Fig. 2), which is associated with the reduction in N_{area} (Table 3). Seasonal reduction in N_{area} may be related to plant ontogeny rather than environmental change. As plant mass increases, nutrient supply from the soil may become relatively insufficient, leading to a nitrogen deficiency in the plant body. In the later stages of the life cycle, reallocation of nitrogen to reproductive organs may also decrease nitrogen in vegetative parts. Mae and Ohira (1981) showed that about half of the nitrogen in vegetative organs was retranslocated to reproductive organs in rice.

Temperature dependence of photosynthesis

The optimal temperature of the photosynthetic rate determined by the model (T_{opt}) was higher at elevated than at ambient CO₂ (Figs 6, 7B). In earlier stages (June, July and August), this is attributed to the difference in the limiting step of photosynthesis: photosynthesis at T_{opt} was limited by P_c at ambient CO₂ and by P_r at elevated CO₂ (data not shown). In many species, $P_{\rm c}$ has a lower optimal temperature than P_r (Kirschbaum and Farquhar, 1984; Hikosaka, 1997; Hikosaka et al., 1999; Onoda et al., 2005b). This is because the increase in the carboxylation rate with increasing temperature is partly offset by the increase in photorespiration rate (Kirschbaum and Farquhar, 1984). In September, on the other hand, photosynthesis at T_{opt} was limited by P_c at both CO₂ concentrations. The increase in T_{opt} at elevated CO₂ is thus attributed to the effect of C_i on temperature dependence of P_c , which is directly influenced by the balance between carboxylation and photorespiration. Increasing CO₂ concentration decreases the contribution of photorespiration, which makes photosynthesis more temperature-dependent and increases the optimal temperature (Kirschbaum and Farquhar, 1984; Long, 1991).

 T_{opt} showed a significant difference between months (Table 2). This may be partly explained by the increase in growth temperature at ambient CO₂ (Fig. 7B). Since P_c limited photosynthesis at ambient CO₂, the change in T_{opt} was attributable to the change in E_{av} . According to the model, an increase in E_{av} by 10 kJ mol⁻¹ leads to an increase in the optimal temperature of P_c by 5.4 °C (Hikosaka *et al.*, 2006). E_{av} actually increased with growth temperature (Fig. 7A), which was consistent with other studies (Hikosaka *et al.*, 1999; Onoda *et al.*, 2005b; Yamori *et al.*, 2005). The increase in E_{av} with increasing growth temperature is a common response in C₃ species (Hikosaka *et al.*, 2006).

In contrast, at elevated CO₂, T_{opt} showed neither a clear seasonal trend nor a dependence on T_g (Fig. 7B). This is because E_{aj} did not change with time (Table 2). In many species the temperature dependence of J_{max} changes with



F1G. 4. (A, B) Seasonal change in the activation energy of $J_{\text{max}}(E_{aj})$, and (C, D) activation energy of $V_{\text{cmax}}(E_{av})$ for rice grown at ambient CO₂ (370 µmol mol⁻¹) and at elevated CO₂ (570 µmol mol⁻¹) in 2003 (A, C) and 2004 (B, D). One point denotes one plot.



FIG. 5. Comparison between measured and estimated photosynthesis of plants grown at ambient CO_2 (370 µmol mol⁻¹, open symbols) and at elevated CO_2 (570 µmol mol⁻¹, closed symbols) in 2003 (circles) and 2004 (squares). The solid line is the regression y = 0.95x (r = 0.97, P < 0.0001). The broken line indicates equivalence between measured and estimated photosynthesis.

growth temperature (Armond *et al.*, 1978; Badger *et al.*, 1982; Hikosaka *et al.*, 1999; Ziska, 2001; Yamasaki *et al.*, 2002), but in some species it does not (Sage *et al.*, 1995). The difference in T_g of less than 5 °C in our study might

have been too small to detect a significant change in E_{aj} , or alternatively E_{aj} of rice was not affected by growth temperature. On the other hand, different seasonal trends in the dependence of E_{aj} between ambient and elevated CO₂ and between the two years (Fig. 4) suggest that factors other than temperature are involved in the change in E_{aj} .

At elevated CO_2 the limiting step of photosynthesis changed between the early (June, July and August) and the late stage (September), caused by a higher $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio in September. We found a positive correlation between the $J_{\rm max}/V_{\rm cmax}$ ratio and $T_{\rm g}$ (data not shown). However, this result is inconsistent with earlier studies: in some species the $J_{\rm max}/V_{\rm cmax}$ ratio increased at low temperature (Hikosaka et al., 1999; Hikosaka, 2005; Onoda et al., 2005a; Yamori et al., 2005) but in others it did not with growth temperature (Bunce, 2000; Hikosaka and Hirose, 2001; Medlyn et al., 2002a; Onoda et al., 2005b). For rice grown under controlled conditions, the $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio was higher at low temperatures (Makino et al., 1994). The inconsistency between earlier studies and ours may be caused by an alteration of the $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio due to factor(s) other than T_g . Seneweera et al. (2002) found that flag leaves of rice had a lower $V_{\rm cmax}$ per unit Rubisco than earlier leaves. A decrease in internal conductance of CO₂ diffusion may be involved in the seasonal change in the $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio (von Caemmerer, 2000; Onoda et al., 2005b).

CONCLUSIONS

There was an increase in the absolute value of P_{growth} and in the optimal temperature of the P_{growth} -temperature curve



FIG. 6. Modelled temperature dependence of photosynthesis at (A, C) ambient CO₂ (370 μmol mol⁻¹), and (B, D) elevated CO₂ (570 μmol mol⁻¹) in 2003 (A, B) and 2004 (C, D) growing seasons (June, July, August and September). Values are normalized to 1 at 25 °C. Circles indicate the maximum photosynthesis.



FIG. 7. Relationship between growth temperature (T_g , the mean daily temperature in the 2 weeks prior to measurements) and photosynthetic characteristics: (A) activation energy of V_{cmax} (E_{av}) and (B) the optimal temperature of photosynthesis at growth CO₂ (T_{opt}). Plants were grown at ambient CO₂ (370 µmol mol⁻¹, open symbols) and at elevated CO₂ (570 µmol mol⁻¹, closed symbols) in 2003 (circles) and 2004 (squares). Regression lines: (A) y = 23.96 + 1.98x (r = 0.40, P = 0.025) and (B) y = 4.86 + 1.21x (r = 0.58 P = 0.018, ambient), and y = 20.92 + 0.64x (r = 0.40, P = 0.122, FACE). One point denotes one plot.

caused by elevated CO₂ concentration during growth and seasonal environment. Seasonal decrease in P_{growth} was associated with decrease in nitrogen status with plant growth, which decreased N_{area} and thus J_{max} and V_{cmax} . The seasonal change in the T_{opt} differed between the two CO₂ concentrations. At ambient CO₂, T_{opt} increased with increasing growth temperature due mainly to increasing activation energy of V_{cmax} . At elevated CO₂, T_{opt} did not show clear seasonal changes. This was partly caused by the seasonal increase in the $J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$ ratio. Thus, the temperature dependence of photosynthesis was influenced by seasonal environment and reduction in nitrogen with plant growth, which was different between ambient and elevated CO₂.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Yusuke Onoda for advice with the photosynthetic measurements, and Aki Shigeno and Michio Oguro for their technical assistance with the experiment. We also acknowledge the technical assistance of Hiroyuki Shimono, Hirofumi Nakamura and Keiko Iwabuchi (National Agricultural Research Organization). This study was conducted in part under the Global Environment Research Coordination System funded by the Ministry of the Environment, Japan. This work was also supported by a Grant-in-aid from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ainsworth EA, Davey PA, Hymus GJ, Osborne CP, Rogers A, Blum H, Nösberger J, Long SP. 2003. Is stimulation of leaf photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide concentration maintained in the long term? A test with *Lolium perenne* grown for 10 years at two nitrogen fertilization levels under free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 26: 705–714.
- Anten NPR, Hirose T, Onoda Y, Kinugasa T, Kim HY, Okada M, Kobayashi K. 2003. Elevated CO₂ and nitrogen availability have interactive effects on canopy carbon gain in rice. *New Phytologist* 161: 459–472.
- Armond PA, Schreiber U, Björkman O. 1978. Photosynthetic acclimation to temperature in the desert shrub, *Larrea divaricata*. *Plant Physiology* 61: 411–415.
- Badger M, Björkman O, Armond P. 1982. An analysis of photosynthetic response and adaptation to temperature in higher plants; temperature acclimation in the desert evergreen *Nerium oleander* L. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 5: 85–99.
- Bernacchi CJ, Singsaas EL, Pimentel C, Portis JrAR, Long SP. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubiscolimited photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 24: 253–259.
- Berry JA, Björkman O. 1980. Photosynthetic response and adaptation to temperature in higher plant. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 31: 491–543.
- **Bunce JA. 2000.** Acclimation of photosynthesis to temperature in eight cool and warm climate herbaceous C₃ species: temperature dependence of parameters of a biochemical photosynthesis model. *Photosynthesis Research* **63**: 59–67.
- von Caemmerer S. 2000. Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis. Canberra: CSIRO Publishing.
- von Caemmerer S, Ghannoum O, Conroy JP, Clark H, Newton PCD. 2001. Photosynthetic responses of temperate species to free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) in a grazed New Zealand *pasture*. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 28: 439–450.
- Chen GY, Yong ZH, Liao Y, Zhang DY, Chen Y, Zhang HB, Chen J, Zhu JG, Xu DQ. 2005. Photosynthetic acclimation in rice leaves to

free-air CO₂ enrichment related to both ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylation limitation and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate regeneration limitation. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **46**: 1036–1045.

- Cunningham SC, Read J. 2002. Comparison of temperate and tropical rainforest tree species: photosynthetic responses to growth temperature. *Oecologia* 133: 112–119.
- Farquhar G, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149: 78–90.
- Ferrar P, Slatyer R, Vranjic J. 1989. Photosynthetic temperature acclimation in *Eucalypus* species from diverse habitats, and a comparison with *Nerium oleander*. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 16: 199–217.
- Han Q, Kawasaki T, Nakano T, Chiba Y. 2004. Spatial and seasonal variability of temperature responses of biochemical photosynthesis parameters and leaf nitrogen content within a *Pinus densiflora* crown. *Tree Physiology* 24: 737–744.
- Harley PC, Tenhunen JD. 1991. Modeling the photosynthetic response of C₃ leaves to environmental factors. In: Boote KJ, Loomis RS, eds. *Modeling crop photosynthesis—from biochemistry to canopy*. Madison: Crop Science Society of America, Inc. and American Society of Agronomy, Inc. 17–39.
- Hasegawa T, Horie T. 1996. Rice leaf photosynthesis as a function of nitrogen content and crop developmental stage. *Japanese Journal* of Crop Science 65: 553–554.
- Hikosaka K. 1997. Modeling optimal temperature acclimation of the photosynthetic apparatus in C₃ plants with respect to nitrogen use. *Annals* of Botany 80: 721–730.
- Hikosaka K. 2005. Nitrogen partitioning in the photosynthetic apparatus of *Plantago asiatica* leaves grown at different temperature and light conditions: similarities and differences between temperature and light acclimation. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 46: 1283–1290.
- Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2001. Temperature acclimation of the photosynthetic apparatus in an evergreen shrub, *Nerium oleander*. In: *Proceedings of 12th International Congress on Photosynthesi*. Melbourne: CSRIO Publishing.
- Hikosaka K, Murakami A, Hirose T. 1999. Balancing carboxylation and regeneration of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate in leaf photosynthesis: temperature acclimation of an evergreen tree, *Quercus myrsinaefolia*. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22: 841–849.
- Hikosaka K, Ishikawa K, Borjigidai A, Muller O, Onoda Y. 2006. Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis: mechanisms involved in the changes in temperature dependence of photosynthetic rates. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57: 291–302.
- **IPCC. 2001.** Climate change 2001: Synthesis Report (Watson RT and the Core Writing Team eds.) *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- Kim HY, Lieffering M, Kobayashi K, Okada M, Miura S. 2003. Seasonal changes in the effects of elevated CO₂ on rice at three levels of nitrogen supply: a free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiment. *Global Change Biology* 9: 826–837.
- Kirschbaum MUF, Farquhar G. 1984. Temperature dependence of whole-leaf photosynthesis in *Eucalyptus pauciflora* Sieb. ex Spreng. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 11: 519–538.
- Kobayashi K, Lieffering M, Kim HY. 2001. Growth and yield of paddy rice under Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment. In: Shiyomi M, Koizumi H, eds. *The structure and function in agroecosystem design and management*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 371–395.
- Leuning R. 2002. Temperature dependence of two parameters in a photosynthesis model. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25: 1205–1210.
- **Long SP. 1991.** Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO₂ concentration: has its importance been underestimated? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **14**: 729–739.
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR. 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants FACE the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 55: 591–628.
- Ludewig F, Sonnewald U. 2000. High CO₂-mediated down-regulation of photosynthetic gene transcripts is caused by accelerated leaf senescence rather than sugar accumulation. *FEBS Letters* **479**: 19–24.
- Mae T, Ohira K. 1981. The remobilization of nitrogen related to leaf growth and senescence in rice plants (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant and Cell Physi*ology 22: 1067–1074.

- Makino A, Nakano H, Mae T. 1994. Effects of growth temperature on the responses of ribulose-1, 5-biophosphate carboxylase, electron transport components, and sucrose synthesis enzymes to leaf nitrogen in rice, and their relationships to photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 105: 1231–1238.
- Medlyn BE, Loustau D, Delzon S. 2002a. Temperature response of parameters of a biochemically based model of photosynthesis. I. Seasonal changes in mature maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster Ait.*). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25: 1155–1165.
- Medlyn BE, Dreyer E, Ellsworth D, Forstreuter M, Harley PC, Kirschbaum MUF, et al. 2002b. Temperature response of parameters of a biochemically based model of photosynthesis. II. A review of experimental data. Plant, Cell and Environment 25: 1167–1179.
- Mitchell RAC, Lawlor DW, Mitchell VJ, Gibbard CL, White EM, Porter JR. 1995. Effects of elevated CO₂ concentration and increased temperature on winter wheat: test of ARCWHEAT1 simulation model. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 18: 736–748.
- Norby RJ, Luo YQ. 2004. Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO₂ and global warming in a multi-factor world. *New Phytologist* 162: 281–293.
- Okada M, Lieffering M, Nakamura H, Yoshimoto M, Kim HY, Kobayashi K. 2001. Free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) with pure CO₂ injection: rice FACE system design and performance. *New Phytologist* 150: 251–260.
- Onoda Y, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2005a. Seasonal change in the balance between capacities of RuBP carboxylation and RuBP regeneration affects CO₂ response of photosynthesis in *Polygonum cuspidatum*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56: 755–763.
- Onoda Y, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2005b. The balance between capacities of RuBP carboxylation and RuBP regeneration: a mechanism underlying the interspecific variation in acclimation of photosynthesis to seasonal change in temperature. *Functional Plant Biology* 32: 903–910.
- Rey A, Jarvis PG. 1998. Long-term photosynthetic acclimation to increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration in young birch (*Betula pendula*) trees. *Tree Physiology* 18: 441–450.
- Rogers A, Allen DJ, Davey PA, Morgan PB, Ainsworth EA, Bernnachi CJ, et al. 2004. Leaf photosynthesis and carbohydrate dynamics of soybeans grown though out their life-cycle under freeair carbon dioxide enrichment. Plant, Cell and Environment 27: 449–458.
- Rogers GS, Milham PJ, Gillings M, Conroy JP. 1996. Sink strength may be the key to growth and nitrogen responses in N-deficient wheat at elevated CO₂. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 23: 253–264.
- Sage RF. 1994. Acclimation of photosynthesis to increasing atmospheric CO₂: the gas exchange perspective. *Photosynthesis Research* 39: 351–368.
- Sage RF, Santrucek J, Grise DJ. 1995. Temperature effects on the photosynthetic response of C₃ plants to long-term CO₂ enrichment. *Vegetatio* 121: 67–77.
- Seneweera SP, Conroy JP, Ishimaru K, Ghannoum O, Okada M, Lieffering M, Kim HY, Kobayashi K. 2002. Changes in source-sink relations during development influence photosynthetic acclimation of rice to free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE). *Functional Plant Biology* 29: 945–953.
- Slatyer R. 1977. Altitudinal variation in the photosynthetic characteristics of snow gum, *Eucalyptus Pauciflora* Sieb. ex Spreng. IV. Temperature response of four populations grown at different temperature. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 4: 583–594.
- Yamasaki T, Yamakawa T, Yamane Y, Koike H, Satoh K, Katoh S. 2002. Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis and related changes in photosystem II electron transport in winter wheat. *Plant Physiology* 128: 1087–1097.
- Yamori W, Noguchi K, Terashima I. 2005. Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis in spinach leaves: analyses of photosynthetic components and temperature dependencies of photosynthetic partial reactions. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28: 536–547.
- Ziska LH. 2001. Growth temperature can alter the temperature dependent stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated carbon dioxide in *Abutilon theophrasti*. *Physiologia Plantarum* 111: 322–328.
- Ziska LH, Weerakoon W, Namuco OS, Pamplona R. 1996. The influence of nitrogen on the elevated CO₂ response in field-grown rice. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23: 45–52.