# Activation-Tagged Suppressors of a Weak Brassinosteroid Receptor Mutant

Bin Kang<sup>a</sup>, Hao Wang<sup>a</sup>, Kyoung Hee Nam<sup>a,b</sup>, Jiayang Li<sup>c</sup> and Jianming Li<sup>a,1</sup>

a Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, 830 N. University, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1048, USA

b Division of Biological Sciences, Sookmyung Woman's University, 52 Hyochangwon-gil, Yongsan-gu Seoul, 140-742, Korea

c State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics and National Center for Plant Gene Research, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

ABSTRACT Brassinosteroids (BRs) are important plant hormones that act synergistically with auxin to regulate a variety of plant developmental and physiological processes. In the past decade, genetic and biochemical studies have revealed a linear signaling pathway that relies on protein phosphorylation to transmit the BR signal into the nucleus, altering expression of hundreds of genes to promote plant growth. We conducted an activation-tagging based suppressor screen to look for *Arabidopsis* genes that, when overexpressed by inserted *355* enhancer elements, could suppress the dwarf phenotype of a weak BR receptor mutant *bri1-301*. This screen identified a total of six dominant *activation-tagged bri1 suppressors* (*atbs-Ds*). Using a plasmid rescue approach, we discovered that the *bri1-301* suppression effect in four *atbs-D* mutants (*atbs3-D* to *atbs6-D*) was caused by overexpression of a *YUCCA* gene thought to be involved in tryptophandependent auxin biosynthesis. Interestingly, the three activation-tagged *YUCCA* genes belong to the *YUCCA IIA* subfamily that includes two other members out of 11 known *Arabidopsis YUCCA* genes. In addition, our molecular studies revealed a T-DNA insertion near a basic helix-loop-helix gene in *atbs1-D* and a T-DNA insertion in a region carrying a BR biosynthetic gene in *atbs2-D*. Further studies of these *atbs-D* mutants could lead to better understanding of the BR signaling process and the BR-auxin interaction.

Key words: Brassinosteroid; BRI1; bri1-301; auxin; YUCCA.

## INTRODUCTION

Brassinosteroids (BRs) are a class of plant-specific polyhydroxylated steroids that play important roles in plant growth and development (Clouse and Sasse, 1998). Mutants defective in either BR biosynthesis or signaling share similar morphological/developmental phenotypes including dwarfed stature, round and dark green rosette leaves, short petioles, delayed flowering and senescence, reduced male fertility, and de-etiolation in the dark (Clouse and Feldmann, 1999). Unlike the animal steroid hormone receptors that function as nuclear transcription factors, the major BR receptor BRASSI-NOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1 (BRI1) is a cell surface-localized leucine-rich-repeat receptor-like kinase (Kinoshita et al., 2005; Li and Chory, 1997) that initiates a phosphorylationmediated signaling cascade to regulate stability, nuclear localization, and/or DNA binding activity of several plant-specific transcription factors (Li, 2005; Li and Jin, 2007). BR binding to BRI1 leads to rapid dissociation of BRI1 Kinase Inhibitor 1 (BKI1) (Wang and Chory, 2006) to allow heterotetramerization and transphosphorylation of BRI1 and its co-receptor BRI1-Associated Receptor Kinase 1 (BAK1) (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002; Wang et al., 2008). The activation of two receptor

kinases leads to activation of a family of BR SIGNALING KINASES (BSKs) (Tang et al., 2008) and a protein phosphatase bri1 SUPPRESSOR 1 (BSU1) (Mora-Garcia et al., 2004) and inhibition of a GSK3-like kinase, BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSI-TIVE 2 (BIN2) (Choe et al., 2002; Li and Nam, 2002), likely via proteasome-mediated protein degradation or tyrosine dephosphorylation (Kim et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2008). As a result, two BIN2 substrates bri1 EMS SUPPRESSOR 1 (BES1) (Yin et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2002) and BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT 1 (BZR1) (Wang et al., 2002) become dephosphorylated and more stable (He et al., 2002), accumulate in the nucleus (Gampala et al., 2007; Ryu et al., 2007), and bind to their corresponding regulatory sequences (He et al., 2005; Yin et al., 2005), to influence expression of many known BR-responsive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail jian@umich.edu, fax 734-647-0884, tel. 734-763-4253.

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2009. Published by the Molecular Plant Shanghai Editorial Office in association with Oxford University Press on behalf of CSPP and IPPE, SIBS, CAS.

doi: 10.1093/mp/ssp099, Advance Access publication 6 December 2009 Received 1 September 2009; accepted 29 October 2009

genes (Vert et al., 2005), thus promoting cellular elongation and plant growth.

Many strategies have been employed in the past decade to identify the aforementioned BR signaling components and proteins that regulate BR biosynthesis and signaling. These strategies include forward genetic screens for BR-insensitive dwarf mutants, which has so far identified over 30 loss-offunction alleles of BRI1 (Bouquin et al., 2001; Clouse et al., 1996; Li and Chory, 1997; Noguchi et al., 1999; Tanaka et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2008) and eight gain-of-function alleles of BIN2 (Choe et al., 2002; Li and Nam, 2002; Perez-Perez et al., 2002), suppressor screens for mutations that revert the dwarf phenotype or resistant to a BR biosynthesis inhibitor (BAK1, BES1, BZR1, and BSU1) (Li et al., 2002; Mora-Garcia et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2002; Yin et al., 2002), and yeast two-hybrid screen coupled with reverse genetics (BAK1, BKI1, BES1, and BZR1) (Nam and Li, 2002, 2004; Wang and Chory, 2006; Zhao et al., 2002). Among them, the most successful approach is the suppressor screen using the activation-tagging approach (Weigel et al., 2000). The insertion of a T-DNA that carries four copies of an enhancer element derived from the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter into an Arabidopsis genome could lead to significant overexpression of a T-DNA flanking gene, resulting in a desirable morphological/developmental/ physiological phenotype and easy identification of the responsible gene. The activation-tagging-based suppressor screens were responsible for the identification of BAK1 (Li et al., 2002), BSU1 (Mora-Garcia et al., 2004), bri1 SUPPRESSOR 1 (BRS1, a secreted carboxypeptidase) (Li et al., 2001a), BRI1-LIKE 1 (BRL1, a BRI1 homolog specifically expressed in vascular tissue) (Zhou et al., 2004), and bri1-5 ENHANCED 1 (BEN1, a dihydroflavonol 4-reductase-like protein regulating the levels of active BR) (Yuan et al., 2007).

One requirement for a successful activation tagging-based suppressor screen is that the primary mutant should produce many seeds from which to select T1 transgenic plants. The majority of known bri1 mutants are male sterile, explaining why all the activation-tagging screens for potential BR signaling were carried out in bri1-5, a weak BR receptor mutant with bri1-5 retained in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Hong et al., 2008). Interestingly, the other known weak allele of BRI1, bri1-9, is also caused by ER retention of a mutated BR receptor (Jin et al., 2009, 2007). Suppressor screens using ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenized bri1-9 seeds led to identification of three identical mutations in BES1 (Zhao et al., 2002) and mutations in key components of the bri1-9 ER retention mechanism (Jin et al., 2009, 2007). The weakest, possibly the most interesting, known bri1 mutant so far is bri1-301 that carries a two-nucleotide change (GG-AT) in the BRI1 gene, causing conversion of Gly989 to Ile in the cytoplasmic kinase domain of BRI1 (Xu et al., 2008). Interestingly, while the Gly989Ile mutation completely inhibits the in vitro kinase activity of a BRI1 fusion kinase, bri1-301 can grow as tall and produce as many seeds as the wild-type control yet exhibits many characteristic phenotypes of known BR mutants, including a compact rosette with rounder leaves and short petioles (Xu et al., 2008). We expected that an activation-taggingbased suppressor screen in this mutant background might be able to uncover additional components/regulators of the BR signaling pathway.

It is well known that BRs act synergistically with another plant hormone auxin to promote plant growth and regulate plant development (Hardtke, 2007). Auxin is synthesized from tryptophan or its precursor via multiple biosynthetic routes (Chandler, 2009). One of the major breakthroughs in studying auxin biosynthesis was the discovery of the Arabidopsis YUCCA gene by activation tagging, which encodes a flavin monooxygenase-like protein catalyzing the hydroxylation of tryptamine, a key step in the tryptamine route (Zhao et al., 2001). The Arabidopsis genome encodes 11 YUCCA genes that can be grouped into three subfamilies: YUCCA I, YUCCA IIA, and YUCCA IIB (Cheng et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2009), and overexpression of YUCCA3, 4, 5, or 6 results in similar morphological phenotypes and elevated auxin levels (Kim et al., 2007; Marsch-Martinez et al., 2002; Woodward et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2001). A previous study suggested that the auxinoverproduction yucca phenotype requires a functional BR receptor, since a yucca bri1 double mutant still exhibits a dwarf phenotype (Nemhauser et al., 2004). Interestingly, neither auxin nor BR mutant was identified by genetic screens for mutants defective in the biosynthesis or response of the other hormone.

Here, we report isolation of six dominant activation-tagged bri1 suppressors (atbs-Ds) from a collection of 25,000 activation-tagged transgenic bri1-301 lines. DNA blot analysis revealed that four of them carry a single T-DNA, with the other two containing two T-DNA insertions. Using a plasmid rescue approach, we recovered seven T-DNA/genome junction fragments and discovered that the bri1-301 suppression phenotype in four atbs-D mutants was caused by overproduction of one of the three closely related members of the YUCCA family. Our discoveries thus provided additional genetic support for the interaction between the plant steroid hormone and auxin. These atbs-D mutants will be excellent tools in studying the BR signaling process and the biochemical mechanisms of the BR/auxin interaction.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### The Full-Length bri1-301 Is a Kinase-Dead Protein

Among all studied alleles of the BR receptor gene *BR11*, *bri1-301* is one of the weakest alleles (Figure 1A). A recent study, using an *E. coli*-expressed fusion protein between glutathione-*S*-transferase and the cytoplasmic kinase domain of BR11, showed that the *bri1-301* mutation completely inactivates the kinase activity of the BR receptor *in vitro* (Xu et al., 2008). To test whether this was just an artifact of the *E. coli*-expressed fusion protein, we decided to use our previously established yeast expression system, which was used to show that activation of the full-length receptor kinases of BR11 and





Figure 1. *bri1-301* Is a Weak *bri1* Allele but the Mutation Inhibits BRI1 Kinase Activity.

(A) Shown here are 4-week-old soil-grown plants of three allelic *bri1* mutants.

**(B)** In vitro phosphorylation assay of immunoprecipitated BRI1/ BAK1 complex. Expression of full-length HA-tagged BRI1 and MYC-tagged BAK1 in yeast cells was monitored by immunoblot analysis with anti-HA and anti-MYC antibodies, respectively. The BRI1/BAK1 complex immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody was incubated in 50  $\mu$ L kinase assay buffer with [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]-ATP at 25°C for 30 min, separated by SDS-PAGE, and visualized by autoradiography.

its partner BAK1 requires their heterodimerization and transphosphorylation (Nam and Li, 2002). If one of the kinases was inactivated by single amino acid changes, no phosphorylation activity could be detected on either protein. As shown in Figure 1B, co-expression of wild-type BRI1 and BAK1 led to strong phosphorylation of the two full-length proteins. However, co-expression of the wild-type BAK1 with bri1-101 or bri1-301 resulted in no detectable phosphorylation on either receptor-like kinase. Our results thus confirmed that activation of BRI1 or BAK1 requires transphosphorylation by their partners and that the bri1-301 mutation inhibits the kinase activity of BRI1. Although it remains to be tested whether bri1-301 is a kinase-dead BR receptor in Arabidopsis, bri1-301 is certainly an interesting BR receptor mutant that can be used for an activation-tagging-based genetic screen to look for additional regulators of BR signaling.



Figure 2. The Activation-Tagging Screen Discovered Six *atbs-D* Mutants.

(A–F) Shown here are 3-week-old soil-grown seedlings of *bri1-301* and 6 *atbs-D* mutants. Each *atbs-D/bri1-301* pair was grown under the exact same conditions.

### Generation of 25 000 Activation-Tagged bri1-301 Lines

We thus transformed a total of 10 trays (~150 plants/tray) of *bri1-301* mutants via a vacuum infiltration method with *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101 carrying the activation-tagging vector pSKI015 that contains the BASTA-resistance gene and the pUC19 plasmid sequence (Weigel et al., 2000). Seeds collected from infiltrated *bri1-301* plants were directly sown into soil and 2–4-week-old growing seedlings were sprayed with BASTA to select herbicide-resistant lines. A total of ~25,000 BASTA-resistant *bri1-301* plants were generated and screened for plants exhibiting wild-type-like rosette, leading to identification of six *activation-tagged bri1 suppressor-Dominant* (*atbs-D*) mutants (Figure 2A–2F).

#### DNA Blot Analysis of T-DNA Insertions in atbs-D Mutants

To determine how many copies of the activation-tagging T-DNA were inserted into each *atbs-D* mutant, total genomic DNAs isolated from these six *atbs-D* mutants and *bri1-301* were digested with either *EcoR*I or *Hind*III and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled pUC19 plasmid-derived DNA probe in several DNA blot experiments. Because both restriction enzymes cut the inserted T-DNA once near the left border while the pUC19 plasmid-derived fragment is near the T-DNA right border (Figure 3A), the hybridizing DNA fragments detected in each mutant must contain flanking genomic DNA of the *bri1-301*. As shown in Figure 3B–3E, four *atbs-D* mutants, *atbs1-D*, *2-D*, *5-D*, and *6-D*, contain a single hybridizing band, while both *atbs3-D* and *atbs4-D* contain at least two copies of the pUC19-carrying T-DNA inserts. Consistent with the DNA blot results, *atbs3-D* segregated out BASTA-resistant

*bri1-301*-looking plants in its T2 generation. However, no BASTA-resistant *bri1-301*-like seedlings were found in the T2 generation of *atbs4-D* (data not shown), suggesting that one of the inserted T-DNAs might be truncated and lack the intact BASTA-resistance gene that is located near the left border, since integration of a T-DNA into plant chromosomes is a polar process, with the right border integrating first.

#### Overexpression of YUCCA8 in the atbs3-D Mutant

To understand the underlying biochemical mechanisms by which these *atbs-D* mutations suppress *bri1-301*, one has to know where the T-DNAs are inserted and which flanking gene(s) are overexpressed. Since each pUC19-hybridizing *EcoRI* or *Hind*III-digested fragment is smaller than 16 kb in size (Figure 3B–3E) and carries the pUC19 plasmid DNA with a bacterial replication origin and an ampicillin resistance gene (Weigel et al., 2000), we decided to use the plasmid rescue approach (Weigel et al., 2000) to recover the T-DNA flanking genomic DNA fragments. Consistent with the DNA blot result, two flanking genomic DNA fragments were rescued from



Figure 3. DNA Blot Analysis of T-DNA Insertions.

(A) Schematic presentation of the T-DNA being inserted into the *Arabidopsis* chromosomes. Black moon symbols the T-DNA borders, black bar represents the pUC19 plasmid sequence, open arrow indicates the BASTA-resistance gene, and 4 repeated arrows denote 4 copes of the 35S enhancer element. Black vertical bars show the positions of EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites and the numbers indicate length of DNA fragments.

**(B–E)** Shown here are results of 4 independent DNA blot experiments. Total genomic DNAs were digested overnight with EcoRI or HindIII, separated by 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to nylon filter, hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe of linearized pUC19 plasmid, and analyzed by autoradiography. Shown on the left of each panel are the positions and sizes of DNA molecular markers. E and H stand for EcoRI and HindIII, respectively, while Vec indicates vector control.

atbs3-D (Figure 4A and 4B). Sequencing analysis of a rescued  $\sim$ 6.3-kb *EcoR*I fragment revealed that one T-DNA was inserted  $\sim$ 270 bp upstream of the predicted transcriptional initiation site of *At5g55050* that encodes a GDSL-motif lipase/hydrolase-like protein (Figure 4A). Based on the orientation of the inserted T-DNA, the 1.4-kb-long *35S* enhancer sequence was located  $\sim$ 80 bp upstream of the predicted TATA-box of *At5g55050* but was  $\sim$ 12 kb away from the predicted initiation site of its upstream neighbor *At5g55040* encoding a 145-amino-acid polypeptide of no known function. RNA blot analysis indicated that *At5g55050* was overexpressed in the *atbs3-D* mutant (Figure 4C); however, PCR-based genotyping analysis showed that this insertion was not co-segregated with the *atbs3-D* phenotype (Figure 4D), since the T-DNA-specific



Figure 4. Molecular Analysis of T-DNA Insertions in *atbs3-D* and *atbs4-D* Mutants.

(A, B) Schematic presentation of T-DNA insertion sites on chromosomal DNAs represented by black lines. Open arrows indicate annotated genes with gene names shown inside and below the arrows. The big open triangle symbols the inserted T-DNA containing 4 copies of the 355 enhancer (small black arrows), the pUC19 DNA (black bar) and the BASTA-resistance gene (open arrow). The numbers indicate the distances between T-DNA insertions and flanking genes or EcoRI/HindIII sites (indicated by short vertical bars).

(C, E, F) RNA blot analysis of T-DNA flanking genes. Total RNAs isolated from 4-week-old soil-grown plants were separated by agarose gel, transferred to nylon membrane, and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>Plabeled probe of PCR-amplified fragment of a T-DNA flanking gene (upper panel) or a 18S rDNA fragment (lower panel), and analyzed by autoradiography.

(D) PCR-based segregation analysis of the T-DNA insertion near *At5g55050*. Total genomic DNAs isolated from 11 *atbs3-D*-like and 10 *bri1-301*-like T2 segregants of *atbs3-D* were used to amplify a T-DNA/genome junction fragment. The resulting PCR fragments were separated by agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. Arrow indicates the amplified junction fragment while star denotes a nonspecific band.

junction fragment could be amplified from both *atbs3-D*-like and *bri1-301*-like T2 segregants of *atbs3-D*.

It is thus likely that the atbs3-D phenotype is caused by the other T-DNA insertion. Rescue and sequencing analysis of a ~8.0-kb HindIII fragment revealed that the second T-DNA was inserted ~3.5 kb upstream of the predicted initiation site of At4g28720 and ~7.5 kb downstream of the predicted 3'-end of At4g28710 (Figure 4B). The sequence data also indicated that the 35S enhancer was located ~3.5 kb upstream of At4g28720 but was ~22.6 kb downstream of the predicted initiation site of At4g28710. At4g28710 is annotated as the Arabidopsis MYOSIN XIH involved in actin-based movement (Avisar et al., 2009), while At4q28720 is known as a member (YUCCA8) of the Arabidopsis YUCCA family involved in tryptophan-dependent auxin biosynthesis (Cheng et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2001). RNA blot analysis revealed that the YUCCA8 gene was overexpressed in the atbs3-D mutant (Figure 4E), explaining its narrow and epinastic leaves also observed with other known YUCCA-overexpressing mutants (Kim et al., 2007; Marsch-Martinez et al., 2002; Woodward et al., 2005).

## Identification of the Second T-DNA Insertion Near YUCCA8 in atbs4-D

The effect of YUCCA8 overexpression on bri1-301 was confirmed by discovery of the T-DNA insertion site in atbs4-D. Sequencing analysis of a rescued ~12.5-kb HindIII fragment from atbs4-D revealed the presence of a T-DNA insertion between At4g28710 and At4g28720 with the 35S enhancer sequence located ~8.5 kb upstream and ~16.6 kb downstream of the initiation sites of YUCCA8 and MYOSIN XIH genes, respectively (Figure 4B). Consistent with our hypothesis of the second T-DNA being truncated, the two  $\sim$ 6-kb pUC19-hybridizing EcoRI/HindIII fragments seen on the DNA blot (Figure 3D) were not recovered in our repeated plasmid-rescue experiments (likely caused by truncation of the pUC19 plasmid) and both the ~12.5-kb HindIII and ~13-kb EcoRI fragments were derived from the first T-DNA insertion. As expected, RNA blot analysis showed that the YUCCA8 gene was indeed overexpressed in atbs4-D (Figure 4F). The identification of two T-DNA insertions upstream of the YUCCA8 gene in two independent atbs-D mutants argued strongly for the causal relationship between YUCCA8 overexpression and the suppressed bri1-301 phenotype.

# Overexpression of Close Homologs of *YUCCA8* in Two Other *atbs* Mutants

The bri1-301-rescuing effect of an overexpressed YUCCA gene was further confirmed by recovery of flanking genomic DNA fragments from two additional *atbs-D* mutants, *atbs5-D* and *atbs6-D*. As shown in Figure 5A and 5B, sequencing analysis of a rescued ~7.7-kb *EcoRI* fragment from *atbs5-D* revealed that the 35S enhancers were located ~3.3 kb upstream and ~18.6 kb downstream of the predicted transcription sites of *At5g43890* and *At5g43900* genes, respectively, while the se-



Figure 5. Molecular Analysis of T-DNA Insertions in *atbs5-D* and *atbs6-D* Mutants.

(A, B) Schematic presentation of T-DNA insertion sites on chromosomal DNAs in *atbs5-D* (A) and *atbs6-D* (B).

**(C, D)** RNA blot analysis of *YUCCA* gene expression. Total RNAs isolated from 4-week-old soil-grown *atbs5-D* (C) or *atbs6-D* (D) were separated by agarose gel, transferred to nylon membrane, and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe of PCR-amplified *YUCCA* fragment (upper panel) or a 18S rDNA fragment (lower panel), and analyzed by autoradiography.

(E) Phylogenetic tree of 11 Arabidopsis YUCCA proteins. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis were conducted with the Clustal W2 program at http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html. The 3 YUCCAs identified in this study were shaded black.

quence information of a rescued ~7.2-kb EcoRI fragment from atbs6-D predicted that the 355 enhancers were located  $\sim$ 5.5 kb upstream and  $\sim$ 17 kb downstream of initiation sites of At1g04610 and At1g04600 genes, respectively. Interestingly, the genes closer to the 355 sequence of the inserted T-DNAs encode close homologs of YUCCA8, YUCCA5 [also known as SUPER1 (Woodward et al., 2005)] in atbs5-D, and YUCCA3 in atbs6-D, while the other flanking genes far away from the 35S enhancers encode homologs of MYOSIN XIH, MYOSIN XI 6 in atbs5-D, and MYOSIN XIA in atbs6-D. Consistent with locations of the 35S enhancers, RNA blot analysis showed that YUCCA5 and YUCCA3 genes were overexpressed in atbs5-D and atbs6-D, respectively. Taken together, our study demonstrated that overexpression of a YUCCA gene was able to suppress the compact rosette phenotype of the weak BR receptor bri1-301 and provided additional genetic support for the well known interaction between BR and auxin. Our discoveries coupled with a previous finding that YUCCA overexpression was not able to suppress a severe bri1 dwarf mutant (Nemhauser et al., 2004) strongly support an earlier hypothesis that auxin response requires a functional BR signaling pathway.

It is interesting to note that the three YUCCA genes that were tagged in the four atbs-D mutants are clustered together with two other YUCCAs, YUCCA7 (At2g33230) and YUCCA9 (At1g04180), in phylogenetic analysis, forming the YUCCA IIA subfamily out of 11 Arabidopsis YUCCA genes that were previously classified into three groups: YUCCA I, YUCCA IIA, and YUCCA IIB (Cheng et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2009) (Figure 5E). Besides sequence similarity, the five YUCCA IIA genes all have a MYOSIN XI-type gene as their neighbors on chromosomes. One of the differences between the three tagged YUCCA IIA genes and two other YUCCA IIA genes is the presence of additional genes between YUCCA and MYOSIN: At2g33233 between YUCCA7 and MYOSIN XID and At1g04170 plus At1g04171 between YUCCA9 and MYOSIN XIB. It is thus quite possible that an insertion of the activation-tagging T-DNA could produce other morphological phenotypes that would prevent their discovery as activation-tagged bri1 suppressors. This likely explanation might also be responsible for our failure to tag other YUCCA genes in our genetic screen, although the activation-tagged YUCCA6 (also known as HYPERTALL1) was shown to produce long, narrow leaves with elongated petiole (Kim et al., 2007)—a phenotype often associated with enhanced BR signaling (Nam and Li, 2002; Yan et al., 2009).

## Molecular Analysis of T-DNA Insertions in *atbs1-D* and *atbs2-D* Mutants

Our plasmid rescue experiments also identified the T-DNA insertions in both *atbs1-D* and *atbs2-D* mutants. As shown in Figure 6A, the *355* enhancer-carrying T-DNA was inserted 5.9 and 1.5 kb upstream of *At1g74500* and *At1g74510*, respec-



Figure 6. Molecular Analysis of T-DNA Insertions in *atbs1-D* and *atbs2-D*.

(A, B) Schematic presentation of the T-DNA insertion in *atbs1-D* (A) and *atbs2-D* (B).

(C–E) RNA blot analysis of At1g74500 (C), At1g74510 (D), and DET2 (E). Total RNAs isolated from 4-week-old soil-grown mutants of bri1301, atbs1-D, or atbs2-D were separated by agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide for loading control (lower panel in E), transferred to nylon membrane, and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled cDNA fragment of At1g74500, At1g74510, DET2 or 18S rDNA (used as a loading control in C and D), and analyzed by autoradiography. tively, with four copies of the 355 enhancer pointing towards the predicted start codon of At1g74500. RNA blot analysis using probes derived from the two flanking genes indicated that At1g74500 was overexpressed in atbs1-D (Figure 6C and 6D), suggesting that the suppressor phenotype is likely caused by At1g74500 overexpression. Interestingly, At1g74500 encodes a 93-amino-acid basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein that is similar in sequence to the rice BRASSINOSTEROID UPREGU-LATED 1 that was recently implicated in BR signaling (Tanaka et al., 2009). Further experiments are needed to confirm whether At1g74500 is indeed the ATBS1 (activation-tagged bri1 suppressor 1) gene and, if so, to investigate how this small bHLH protein regulates BR signaling in Arabidopsis.

Figure 6B shows that the T-DNA in *atbs2-D* was inserted into a region on chromosome 2 that contains *DET2* encoding a steroid 5 $\alpha$ -reductase catalyzing a critical step of BR biosynthesis (Li et al., 1997, 1996). However, the clustered *355* enhancers are located very close to the TATA-box of the annotated *At2g38070* gene encoding a member of the DUF740 family, but is ~11.8 kb away from the *DET2* transcriptional initiation site. Besides, there is another coding sequence between the T-DNA and *DET2*. Therefore, it is unlikely that the *atbs2-D* phenotype was caused by *DET2* overexpression. Indeed, RNA blot analysis indicated that the *DET2* expression level was not affected by the T-DNA insertion (Figure 6E). Further experiments are underway to investigate the underlying mechanism by which the *atbs2-D* mutation suppresses the weak BR receptor mutant.

## **METHODS**

#### **Plant Materials and Growth Condition**

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as the wild-type control for phenotypic comparison, while *bri1-301* (Xu et al., 2008) was used as the starting genetic materials for the activation-tagging suppressor screen. Seed germination and plant growth conditions were described previously (Li et al., 2001b).

## Generation of Activation-Tagged *bri1-301* Transgenic Plants

The activation-tagging plasmid pSKI015 was previously described (Weigel et al., 2000) and transformed into GV3101 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* cells. Due to instability of the clustered *35S* enhancers in *Agrobacterial* cells when kept at 4°C, the pSKI015 plasmid was freshly transformed into competent GV3101 cells for each plant transformation experiment. *Agrobacterial* cells of stationary phase were collected by centrifugation at 5,000 g at 4°C, re-suspended in 3 vol. of liquid half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 2% sucrose, 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup> benzylaminopurine, and 0.15% (v/v) Silwet L-77 (Lehle Seeds, Round Rock, TX), and used to transform 6–7-week-old flowering *bri1-301* mutants via the vacuum infiltration method (Bechtold and Pelletier, 1998). Seeds collected from infiltrated *bri1-301* plants were sterilized as previously described (Li et al., 2001b), mixed with 0.08% (w/v) Phytagar (Invitrogen), stored at 4°C for 48 h, and sown directly into soil. Transgenic *bri1-301* mutants were selected by spraying Finale (AgrEvo, Montvale, NJ) at 1:1000 dilution once every 3 d for half a month and visually inspected for plants exhibiting wild-type-like morphology. A potential *atbs-D* mutant was PCR-genotyped to eliminate any pollen or seed contaminant using the following CAPS primers: 5'-CTGGCGATAGAACTGC-TAAC-3' and 5'-GCTGTTTCACCCATCCAAC-3' (the restriction enzyme *Dpn*II cuts the PCR fragment from wild-type twice but cuts that from *bri1-301* only once).

## Plasmid Rescue to Recover the Flanking Genomic DNA of T-DNA Insertions

Approximately 1 µg total genomic DNA was digested overnight at 37°C in a 40-µL reaction with EcoRI or HindIII. After denaturing enzymes by 10 min heating at 65°C and phenol/ chloroform extraction, the digested DNAs were ethanol precipitated and ligated overnight in a 200-µL reaction volume with 80 U T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) at 16°C. Ligated DNAs were extracted with phenol/chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, re-suspended in water, and transformed into E. coli competent cells by electroporation with a Micro-Pulser Electroporator (BIO-RAD) following the manufacturer's suggested protocol. Electroporated cells were selected on ampicillin-containing medium; and the rescued plasmids were purified using the Qiagen's Plasmid Mini Kit, sequenced at the University of Michigan's sequencing core facility, and compared with the published Arabidopsis genome to determine T-DNA/genome junctions.

### **Nucleic Acid Analyses**

Total genomic DNAs were isolated either by the Qiagen's DNeasy Plant Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol (for DNA blot analysis and plasmid rescue) or by a previously described 'miniprep' method (Li and Chory, 1998) for genotyping analysis. Approximately 0.5-µg genomic DNAs of bri1-301 or an atbs-D mutant was digested with EcoRI or HindIII overnight at 37°C and separated by 0.8% agarose gel. A previously described protocol (Lincoln et al., 1990) was used to transfer DNAs to Hybond-N membrane (Pharmacia) and hybridized with a <sup>32</sup>P-labed probe derived from the pUC19 plasmid. Total RNAs were isolated from shoots of 4-week-old soil-grown plants using the Qiagen's RNeasy Plant Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's recommended procedure and analyzed by Northern blot following a previously described protocol (Li et al., 2001b) and a <sup>32</sup>P-labeled DNA probe amplified from a gene of interest.

#### Yeast Expression and Phosphorylation Assay

The plasmids *pYES2-BRI1:HA* and *pESC-BAK1:MYC* and detailed methods for expressing the full-length BRI1 and its co-receptor BAK1 in yeast cells, immunoprecipitating the BRI1/BAK1 complex, extraction of total yeast proteins, and immunoblot analysis of BRI1 or BAK1 were previously described (Nam and Li, 2002). PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis was conducted to introduce *bri1-101* or *bri1-301* mutation into *pYES2-BRI1:HA* using the QuickChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). The *in vitro* phosphorylation assay using immunoprecipitated BRI1/BAK1 proteins was performed as previously described (Nam and Li, 2002).

### **Accession Number**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the EMBL/ GenBank data libraries under the following accession numbers: NP\_194980 (YUCCA1, At4g32540), NP\_193062 (YUCCA2, At4g13260), NP\_171955 (YUCCA3, At1g04610), NP\_850808 (YUCCA4, At5g11320), NP\_199202 (YUCCA5, At5g43890), NP\_197944 (YUCCA6, At5g25620), NP\_180881 (YUCCA7, At2g33230), NP\_194601 (YUCCA8, At4g28720), NP\_171914 (YUCCA9, At1g04180), NP\_175321 (YUCCA10, At1g48910), and NP\_173564 (YUCCA11, At1g21430).

## FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM060519 to J.L.).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank members of the Li lab for helpful discussion. No conflict of interest declared.

## REFERENCES

- Avisar, D., Abu-Abied, M., Belausov, E., Sadot, E., Hawes, C., and Sparkes, I.A. (2009). A comparative study of the involvement of 17 *Arabidopsis* myosin family members on the motility of Golgi and other organelles. Plant Physiol. **150**, 700–709.
- **Bechtold, N., and Pelletier, G.** (1998). *In planta Agrobacterium*mediated transformation of adult *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants by vacuum infiltration. Methods Mol. Biol. **82**, 259–266.
- Bouquin, T., Meier, C., Foster, R., Nielsen, M.E., and Mundy, J. (2001). Control of specific gene expression by gibberellin and brassinosteroid. Plant Physiol. 127, 450–458.
- Chandler, J.W. (2009). Local auxin production: a small contribution to a big field. Bioessays. **31**, 60–70.
- Cheng, Y., Dai, X., and Zhao, Y. (2006). Auxin biosynthesis by the YUCCA flavin monooxygenases controls the formation of floral organs and vascular tissues in *Arabidopsis*. Genes Dev. 20, 1790–1799.
- Choe, S., et al. (2002). Arabidopsis brassinosteroid-insensitive dwarf12 mutants are semidominant and defective in a glycogen synthase kinase 3beta-like kinase. Plant Physiol. 130, 1506–1515.
- Clouse, S.D., and Feldmann, K.A. (1999). Molecular genetics of brassinosteroid action. In Brassinosteroids: Steroidal Plant Hormones, Sakurai, A., Yokota, T., and Clouse, S.D., eds (Tokyo: Springer-Verlag), pp. 163–189.
- Clouse, S.D., and Sasse, J.M. (1998). BRASSINOSTEROIDS: essential regulators of plant growth and development. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 49, 427–451.

- Clouse, S.D., Langford, M., and McMorris, T.C. (1996). A brassinosteroid-insensitive mutant in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits multiple defects in growth and development. Plant Physiol. **111**, 671–678.
- Gampala, S.S., et al. (2007). An essential role for 14–3–3 proteins in brassinosteroid signal transduction in *Arabidopsis*. Dev. Cell. 13, 177–189.
- Hardtke, C.S. (2007). Transcriptional auxin-brassinosteroid crosstalk: who's talking? Bioessays. 29, 1115–1123.
- He, J.X., et al. (2005). BZR1 is a transcriptional repressor with dual roles in brassinosteroid homeostasis and growth responses. Science. 307, 1634–1638.
- He, J.X., Gendron, J.M., Yang, Y., Li, J., and Wang, Z.Y. (2002). The GSK3-like kinase BIN2 phosphorylates and destabilizes BZR1, a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway in *Arabidopsis*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U S A. 99, 10185–10190.
- Hong, Z., Jin, H., Tzfira, T., and Li, J. (2008). Multiple mechanismmediated retention of a defective brassinosteroid receptor in the endoplasmic reticulum of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 20, 3418–3429.
- Jin, H., Hong, Z., Su, W., and Li, J. (2009). A plant-specific calreticulin is a key retention factor for a defective brassinosteroid receptor in the endoplasmic reticulum. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U S A. 106, 13612–13617.
- Jin, H., Yan, Z., Nam, K.H., and Li, J. (2007). Allele-specific suppression of a defective brassinosteroid receptor reveals a physiological role of UGGT in ER quality control. Mol. Cell. 26, 821–830.
- Kim, J.I., et al. (2007). yucca6, a dominant mutation in Arabidopsis, affects auxin accumulation and auxin-related phenotypes. Plant Physiol. 145, 722–735.
- Kim, T.W., et al. (2009). Brassinosteroid signal transduction from cell-surface receptor kinases to nuclear transcription factors. Nat. Cell Biol. 11, 1254–1260.
- Kinoshita, T., et al. (2005). Binding of brassinosteroids to the extracellular domain of plant receptor kinase BRI1. Nature. 433, 167–171.
- Li, J. (2005). Brassinosteroid signaling: from receptor kinases to transcription factors. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8, 526–531.
- Li, J., and Chory, J. (1997). A putative leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase involved in brassinosteroid signal transduction. Cell. 90, 929–938.
- Li, J., and Chory, J. (1998). Preparation of DNA from Arabidopsis. Methods Mol. Biol. 82, 55–60.
- Li, J., and Jin, H. (2007). Regulation of brassinosteroid signaling. Trends Plant Sci. 12, 37–41.
- Li, J., and Nam, K.H. (2002). Regulation of brassinosteroid signaling by a GSK3/SHAGGY-like kinase. Science. **295**, 1299–1301.
- Li, J., Biswas, M.G., Chao, A., Russell, D.W., and Chory, J. (1997). Conservation of function between mammalian and plant steroid 5alpha-reductases. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U S A. 94, 3554–3559.
- Li, J., Lease, K.A., Tax, F.E., and Walker, J.C. (2001a). BRS1, a serine carboxypeptidase, regulates BRI1 signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U S A. **98**, 5916–5921.
- Li, J., Nagpal, P., Vitart, V., McMorris, T.C., and Chory, J. (1996). A role for brassinosteroids in light-dependent development of *Arabidopsis*. Science. 272, 398–401.

- Li, J., Nam, K.H., Vafeados, D., and Chory, J. (2001b). *BIN2*, a new brassinosteroid-insensitive locus in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 127, 14–22.
- Li, J., Wen, J., Lease, K.A., Doke, J.T., Tax, F.E., and Walker, J.C. (2002). BAK1, an *Arabidopsis* LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and modulates brassinosteroid signaling. Cell. **110**, 213–222.
- Lincoln, C., Britton, J.H., and Estelle, M. (1990). Growth and development of the *axr1* mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell. 2, 1071–1080.
- Marsch-Martinez, N., Greco, R., Van Arkel, G., Herrera-Estrella, L., and Pereira, A. (2002). Activation tagging using the *En-I* maize transposon system in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. **129**, 1544–1556.
- Mora-Garcia, S., Vert, G., Yin, Y., Cano-Delgado, A., Cheong, H., and Chory, J. (2004). Nuclear protein phosphatases with Kelch-repeat domains modulate the response to brassinosteroids in *Arabidopsis*. Genes Dev. **18**, 448–460.
- Nam, K.H., and Li, J. (2002). BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. Cell. 110, 203–212.
- Nam, K.H., and Li, J. (2004). The Arabidopsis transthyretin-like protein is a potential substrate of BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 1. Plant Cell. 16, 2406–2417.
- Nemhauser, J.L., Mockler, T.C., and Chory, J. (2004). Interdependency of brassinosteroid and auxin signaling in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Biol. **2**, E258.
- Noguchi, T., et al. (1999). Brassinosteroid-insensitive dwarf mutants of *Arabidopsis* accumulate brassinosteroids. Plant Physiol. 121, 743–752.
- Peng, P., Yan, Z., Zhu, Y., and Li, J. (2008). Regulation of the Arabidopsis GSK3-like kinase BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 2 through proteasome-mediated protein degradation. Mol. Plant. 1, 338–346.
- Perez-Perez, J.M., Ponce, M.R., and Micol, J.L. (2002). The UCU1 Arabidopsis gene encodes a SHAGGY/GSK3-like kinase required for cell expansion along the proximodistal axis. Dev. Biol. 242, 161–173.
- Ryu, H., Kim, K., Cho, H., Park, J., Choe, S., and Hwang, I. (2007). Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of BZR1 mediated by phosphorylation is essential in *Arabidopsis* brassinosteroid signaling. Plant Cell. **19**, 2749–2762.
- Tanaka, A., et al. (2009). *BRASSINOSTEROID UPREGULATED 1*, encoding a helix-loop-helix protein, is a novel gene involved in brassinosteroid signaling and controls bending of the lamina joint in rice. Plant Physiol. **151**, 669–680.
- Tanaka, K., Asami, T., Yoshida, S., Nakamura, Y., Matsuo, T., and Okamoto, S. (2005). Brassinosteroid homeostasis in *Arabidopsis* is ensured by feedback expressions of multiple genes involved in its metabolism. Plant Physiol. **138**, 1117–1125.
- Tang, W., et al. (2008). BSKs mediate signal transduction from the receptor kinase BRI1 in *Arabidopsis*. Science. **321**, 557–560.
- Vert, G., Nemhauser, J.L., Geldner, N., Hong, F., and Chory, J. (2005). Molecular mechanisms of steroid hormone signaling in plants. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 177–201.
- Wang, X., and Chory, J. (2006). Brassinosteroids regulate dissociation of BKI1, a negative regulator of BRI1 signaling, from the plasma membrane. Science. 313, 1118–1122.

- Wang, X., et al. (2008). Sequential transphosphorylation of the BRI1/BAK1 receptor kinase complex impacts early events in brassinosteroid signaling. Dev. Cell. 15, 220–235.
- Wang, Z.Y., et al. (2002). Nuclear-localized BZR1 mediates brassinosteroid-induced growth and feedback suppression of brassinosteroid biosynthesis. Dev. Cell. 2, 505–513.
- Weigel, D., et al. (2000). Activation tagging in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. **122**, 1003–1013.
- Woodward, C., Bemis, S.M., Hill, E.J., Sawa, S., Koshiba, T., and Torii, K.U. (2005). Interaction of auxin and ERECTA in elaborating *Arabidopsis* inflorescence architecture revealed by the activation tagging of a new member of the YUCCA family putative flavin monooxygenases. Plant Physiol. **139**, 192–203.
- Xia, Y., Kang, B., Osburn, L.D., Li, Y., and Cheng, Z.-M. (2009). Identification of the flavin-dependent monooxygenaseencoding YUCCA gene family in *Populus trichocarpa* and their expression in vegetative tissues and in response to hormone and environmental stresses. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 97, 271–283.
- Xu, W., Huang, J., Li, B., Li, J., and Wang, Y. (2008). Is kinase activity essential for biological functions of BRI1? Cell Res. 18, 472–478.

- Yan, Z., Zhao, J., Peng, P., Chihara, R.K., and Li, J. (2009). BIN2 functions redundantly with other *Arabidopsis* GSK3-like kinases to regulate brassinosteroid signaling. Plant Physiol. 150, 710–721.
- Yin, Y., et al. (2002). BES1 accumulates in the nucleus in response to brassinosteroids to regulate gene expression and promote stem elongation. Cell. 109, 181–191.
- Yin, Y., Vafeados, D., Tao, Y., Yoshida, S., Asami, T., and Chory, J. (2005). A new class of transcription factors mediates brassinosteroidregulated gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Cell. **120**, 249–259.
- Yuan, T., et al. (2007). BEN1, a gene encoding a dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR)-like protein, regulates the levels of brassinosteroids in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 51, 220–233.
- Zhao, J., Peng, P., Schmitz, R.J., Decker, A.D., Tax, F.E., and Li, J. (2002). Two putative BIN2 substrates are nuclear components of brassinosteroid signaling. Plant Physiol. **130**, 1221–1229.
- Zhao, Y., et al. (2001). A role for flavin monooxygenase-like enzymes in auxin biosynthesis. Science. 291, 306–309.
- Zhou, A., Wang, H., Walker, J.C., and Li, J. (2004). BRL1, a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase, is functionally redundant with BRI1 in regulating *Arabidopsis* brassinosteroid signaling. Plant J. 40, 399–409.