Expression and Immune Recognition of the Conserved MSP4 Outer Membrane Protein of Anaplasma marginalet

SUZAN M. OBERLE,¹ GUY H. PALMER,² AND ANTHONY F. BARBET¹*

Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611-0880,¹ and Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Pathology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164²

Received ¹⁰ May 1993/Returned for modification 25 June 1993/Accepted 10 September 1993

Defining conserved, protective epitopes is essential to the design of an effective vaccine against bovine anaplasmosis. MSP4, one of six initial body proteins recognized by a neutralizing serum, is conserved among Anaplasma marginale isolates at both the protein and the DNA levels. Sera from cattle immunized with an outer membrane fraction of A. marginale and protected from a virulent challenge bind MSP4. The gene for MSP4 has been cloned, and the recombinant protein has been expressed, isolated, and demonstrated to share epitopes with the native protein expressed on initial bodies. MSP4 may have a greater potential to protect cattle from a challenge by heterologous isolates than other A. marginale surface proteins, which vary widely in size and structure.

Despite extensive losses to bovine anaplasmosis throughout the world's tropical regions (36), there currently is not an effective vaccine against the causative rickettsia, Anaplasma marginale (26). Protection against clinical disease by killed organisms or attenuated strains is possible, but variation in the ability to provide cross-protective immunity against heterologous isolates limits the usefulness of these vaccines (20). Current strategies focus on the identification and expression of surface proteins of A . *marginale* initial bodies as the basis for a subunit vaccine. Of six major proteins previously identified with a neutralizing rabbit serum (31), two can induce at least partial protection against clinical disease in cattle (29, 32). However, both of these proteins are encoded by members of multigene families and appear to vary antigenically and structurally among A. marginale isolates (28, 28a, 32). Identification of highly conserved proteins and protective epitopes may be critical for a successful vaccine strategy.

Recent work immunizing cattle with fractionated A . marginale membranes suggested that outer and inner initial body membrane fractions can protect cattle from a virulent challenge (42). Interestingly, the only protein apparently conserved between these two membrane fractions was approximately the same size as the 31-kDa MSP4 protein, one of the six proteins originally recognized by the neutralizing rabbit serum (31). MSP4, if present in both membrane fractions, could be the basis for this protective immunity.

The MSP4 protein has not yet been investigated as ^a vaccine candidate. Detection of the msp4 gene in genomicA. marginale libraries by standard hybridization and other screening procedures has been repeatedly unsuccessful; instead, we have originated a method to sequence the gene without prior molecular cloning by use of only the N-terminal protein sequence and the polymerase chain reaction (27). Consequently, the msp4 gene has been completely sequenced (GenBank accession number L01987) but has not been cloned or expressed, nor have the immunogenic properties of the MSP4 protein been examined. We report here the cloning and direct expression of the recombinant MSP4 protein, the conservation of MSP4 among A. marginale isolates at the DNA and protein levels, and the recognition of the recombinant and native MSP4 proteins by immune cattle sera.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates of A . marginale. The following isolates of A . marginale were used in these studies: Florida; South Idaho; Missouri; Oklahoma; North Texas; Virginia; Clarkston, Wash.; and Okanogan, Wash. Their isolation and characterization have been described elsewhere (21, 25, 37). All experiments were completed with the virulent Florida isolate unless otherwise noted. Isolates were preserved in liquid nitrogen (22), as frozen packed erythrocytes in PBS (15 mM NaCl, 16 mM Na₂HPO₄, 4 mM NaH₂PO₄ [pH 7.2]) at -70° C, or as acetone-fixed blood smears at -70° C.

Monoclonal antibodies and polyclonal sera. The following monoclonal antibodies were used in these studies: AnaR76A1, which specifically recognizes MSP4 (42); Ana22B1 and Ana15D2, which recognize A. marginale initial body protein MSPla (29); AnaO58A2, which recognizes A. marginale initial body protein MSP2 (32); and Tryp1E1, which recognizes a variable surface glycoprotein of Trypanosoma brucei. Polyclonal sera were obtained by immunizing rabbits once with $100 \mu g$ of isolated native MSP4 in complete Freund's adjuvant and then three times more at 2-week intervals with MSP4 in incomplete Freund's adjuvant; control rabbits were similarly immunized with native MSP2.

Immunoprecipitations. A. marginale organisms were metabolically labeled with $[35S]$ methionine (3) or surface labeled with 125 I by use of lactoperoxidase (38). Isolated proteins were dialyzed into 50 mM $(NH_4)_2CO_3$ (pH 8.0) and ¹²⁵I labeled with Iodobeads (Pierce) for 5 min following manufacturer's instructions. Immunoprecipitations were completed as described previously (30). In brief, lysed cells or isolated proteins were incubated with a monoclonal antibody, and the complexes were precipitated with protein

^{*} Corresponding author. Electronic mail address: (INTERNET) ABARBET@NERVM.NERDC.UFL.EDU (BITNET) ABARBET @NERVM.

t Journal series no. R-03403 of the Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations.

G-Sepharose beads (Sigma). The beads were washed six times in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.6)-10 mM NaCl-5 mM EDTA-1% Nonidet P-40-0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (TEN buffer); the first four washes also contained ² M NaCl (32). The precipitated proteins were eluted from the beads with protein sample buffer (15% glycerol, 2% SDS, ²⁵ mM Tris [pH 6.8], 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.002% bromophenol blue) and separated on 7.5 to 17.5% gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gels. ³⁵S-labeled gels were impregnated with En³Hance (NEN), and all gels were dried and exposed to XAR-5 film (Kodak).

Detection of MSP4 among A . marginale isolates. A . marginale initial bodies were isolated from cryogenically preserves organisms (31) and biotin labeled with sulfosuccinimidobiotin (sulfo-NHS-biotin; Pierce) (13). Following immunoprecipitation (30) with AnaR76A1 or TryplEl, the proteins were isolated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TBS (0.5 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris [pH 7.5]), incubated with horseradish peroxidase-labeled avidin (1:3,000 in TBS-1% BSA), and detected with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide after three TBS-0.02% Tween 20 washes (41). To verify the conservation of the epitope recognized by AnaR76A1 among the isolates, acetone-fixed blood smears were stained by direct immunofluorescence as described previously (6) with AnaR76A1, Ana22B1 (positive control), or TryplEl (negative control) as the primary antibody.

Southern blots. A. marginale genomic DNA was isolated from infected blood (4), and $1-\mu g$ aliquots were digested with one or more restriction enzymes as recommended by the manufacturers. Digested DNA was separated on 1% agarose gels containing $0.1 \mu g$ of ethidium bromide per ml and transferred to GeneScreen filters (NEN). The filters were prehybridized in ¹ M NaCl-10% dextran sulfate-0.1 mg of sheared herring sperm DNA per ml-1% SDS at 65°C for ⁴ h. The gene encoding MSP4 has been sequenced (27); to detect only polymorphisms within the *msp4* coding sequence, the DNA probe consisted of ^a central 580-base segment of msp4 within the sites recognized by the restriction enzymes. The probe was polymerase chain reaction amplified from genomic DNA, labeled with $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ ATP by use of random hexanucleotide primers and the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase ^I (9), and allowed to incubate overnight at 65°C with the prehybridized filters. The filters were washed four times in $2 \times$ SSC ($1 \times$ SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate), the last two washes at 65°C and with 1% SDS added, and then washed twice more in $0.1 \times$ SSC at room temperature. To ascertain the presence of msp4 in organisms other than A . marginale, dot blots were hybridized as described above, except that $1-\mu l$ aliquots of serial dilutions of DNA from different organisms were spotted onto filters which had been rinsed in $2 \times$ SSC and air dried. The probe for these dot blots extended from bases 88 to 790 of the msp4 gene, including all but the last 56 bases of the sequence encoding the mature MSP4 protein. Control blots were probed with a 1.7-kb fragment of the sequence encoding the highly conserved 16S rRNA of Babesia bigemina (35) as ^a positive control for DNA.

MSP4 cloning and expression. The $msp4$ gene (27) was amplified from genomic A . marginale DNA by use of Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene). The ⁵' primer was constructed from ^a BamHI restriction site (underlined) immediately followed by the msp4 sequence encoding the ⁵' terminus of mature MSP4 (CCAATGGATCCCCCATGAGTC ACGAAGTGGC) (27); the other primer corresponded to ^a region ³' to the estimated end of transcription for msp4 joined to an EcoRI site (underlined) (TAATACGACTCAC TATA<u>GAATTC</u>CCCTGCTCGTGCCTCGTGCTGAAAC). Isolated DNA was digested with BamHI and EcoRI and ligated to BamHI-EcoRI-double-digested plasmid pGEX-2T (40). The above-described primers were constructed so that the expressed fusion protein, when digested at the thrombin cleavage site, is divided into the entire, mature MSP4 protein, containing only a single extra glycine at the ⁵' end, and the glutathione-S-transferase protein.

Sure (Stratagene) Escherichia coli was transformed with the recombinant plasmid and screened by hybridization with a radiolabeled polymerase chain reaction-amplified msp4 sequence (bases 211 to 790) as a probe. Several positive colonies were selected by autoradiography; plasmid DNA was isolated and sequenced by use of the Sequenase version 2.0 enzyme (17). One clone (D33) was isolated, grown in Luria-Bertani medium containing 100μ g of ampicillin per ml to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.5, and then induced with 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) to form an MSP4-glutathione-S-transferase fusion protein. Control, uninduced cells were grown identically, except for the addition of 0.04% glucose to the medium to maintain repression of the promoter. After 4 h, the cells were collected by centrifugation, lysed in protein sample buffer, and subjected to SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining in 10% acetic acid-50% methanol.

Recombinant protein isolation. D33 cells were induced as described above and collected by centrifugation. Since recombinant MSP4 was insoluble, the cells were washed in TEN buffer three times at 19,000 rpm and 4°C; the pellet was solubilized in 0.1 M glycine (pH 9.0)-8 M urea. The pellet was dialyzed against 0.1 M glycine (pH 9.0), and recombinant MSP4 was separated by affinity chromatography with AnaR76A1 as described previously (27). The eluted product was dialyzed against 50 mM (NH₄)₂CO₃ (pH 8.0) and quantified by the micro BCA test (Pierce). Digestion of recombinant MSP4 with 3 U of thrombin per ml in $(NH_4)_2CO_3$ for 30 min at room temperature removed the glutathione-S-transferase portion. Native MSP4 was isolated from infected erythrocytes by affinity chromatography as described previously (27).

Direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). Isolated native or recombinant MSP4 [100 ng per well in PBS or 150 ng per well in 50 mM $(NH₄)₂CO₃$ (pH 8.0), respectively] was bound overnight onto Immulon 2 microtiter plates at 4°C (14). Other plates were similarly coated with isolated whole initial bodies in PBS $(5 \times 10^6$ per well). Plates were processed as described previously (14) but with the following modifications: normal or immune bovine sera at 1:40, 1:200, and 1:1,000 dilutions in PBS-1% BSA were used as primary antibodies, and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat antibovine immunoglobulin G at ^a 1:3,000 dilution in PBS was used as the secondary antibody. Plates were read at ⁴⁰⁵ nm after 30 min (recombinant MSP4) or 90 min (native MSP4 and initial bodies).

ELISA test sera. Sera tested by ^a direct ELISA included five serum samples from cattle immunized with an outer membrane fraction of the Norton (Zimbabwe) isolate of A. marginale (42); five serum samples from cattle immunized with an inner membrane fraction of the Norton isolate (42); three serum samples from cattle infected with the Okanogan, Wash., isolate (24); three Food and Agricultural Organization reference serum samples from cattle infected with the Florida, Venezuela, and Argentina isolates (43); eight serum

samples from cattle infected with the Israel A. marginale isolate (43); six serum samples from cattle infected with the Florida isolate (43); and six serum samples from cattle immunized with the live Anaplasma centrale vaccine for anaplasmosis (43).

Competitive ELISAs. Competitive ELISAs were performed with plates coated with initial bodies as described above (14). No different rabbit sera recognizing native MSP4 were used as test sera, diluted 1:300 in PBS-1% BSA. Normal rabbit serum was used to determine the background, and alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (1:20,000 dilution) was used as the secondary antibody. Antisera were preincubated overnight at 4°C with native or recombinant MSP4 in PBS-1% BSA. Percent inhibition was calculated as the $OD₄₀₅$ {[control (no MSP4 added) - sample]/OD₄₀₅ [control]} \times 100.

RESULTS

MSP4 is a surface-exposed parasite protein. MSP4 originally was defined by use of a neutralizing rabbit serum reacting with isolated whole initial bodies (31), which may be contaminated with erythrocyte stroma; thus, it was not known whether MSP4 actually was a parasite protein. Although MSP4 constitutes only ^a small percentage of the total initial body proteins, immunoprecipitation of ³⁵S-labeled initial bodies with monoclonal antibody AnaR76A1 precipitated a 31-kDa protein, while that with negative control antibody TryplEl did not (Fig. 1A). Since erythrocyte proteins are not metabolically labeled with methionine (3), MSP4 must be of parasite origin.

MSP4 possesses a signal peptide (27); however, whether MSP4 is exposed on the initial body surface is unknown. Radiolabeling of initial body proteins by the lactoperoxidase method may suggest surface exposure of these antigens. Immunoprecipitation of ¹²³I-labeled initial bodies with AnaR76A1 also precipitated a 31-kDa protein (Fig. 1B).

MSP4 is conserved among A. marginale isolates. Because A. marginale isolates vary widely antigenically $(5, 18, 25)$, conservation of the MSP4 protein was determined by immunoprecipitation and Western blotting (immunoblotting) (Fig. 2). Unlike the MSP2, MSPla, and MSPlb initial body proteins, which vary widely in size among A. marginale isolates (28, 32), the 31-kDa MSP4 protein did not change in apparent molecular size in all the isolates tested. MSP4 also was detected in A. centrale, a related rickettsia that infects cattle, but not in the sheep Anaplasma species Anaplasma ovis (data not shown). Preservation of the MSP4 epitope recognized by monoclonal antibody AnaR76A1 also was established by use of acetone-fixed smears of A . marginaleinfected blood. Smears of the Florida, South Idaho, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Clarkston, Wash., isolates were found positive by immunofluorescence with the monoclonal antibodies AnaR76A1 and Ana22B1 (positive control) but not with the negative control monoclonal antibody TryplEl (data not shown).

MSP4 is encoded by a single conserved gene. Recently, several A. marginale surface proteins were determined to be encoded by members of polymorphic multigene families (4, 28a). Southern blots of A . marginale genomic DNA digested with restriction enzymes that cut outside the msp4 open reading frame (ORF) revealed a single band when msp4 was used as a probe, suggesting that one copy of the *msp4* gene is present in the A . *marginale* genome (Fig. 3). The two bands in the HindIII lane resulted from a known Hindlll site within $msp4$ (27). The msp4 gene was conserved among the

FIG. 1. (A) Immunoprecipitation of [³⁵S]methionine metabolically labeled initial bodies. (B) Immunoprecipitation of 12 I surfacelabeled initial bodies. Lanes: 1 and 10, ¹⁴C-labeled molecular size standards (in kilodaltons); 3, total labeled antigen; 5 and 6, positive control precipitation with Ana22Bl and Anal5D2, respectively; 7, negative control precipitation with antibody TryplEl; 8, precipitation with AnaR76A1; 2, 4, and 9, blanks.

five A . marginale isolates tested; no restriction length polymorphisms were detected when restriction enzymes that cleave within the msp4 ORF were used (Fig. ⁴ and unpublished data). The expected fragment lengths in the Florida isolate were 698 bases (Fig. 4, lane 1) when digestion was done with *BpmI* and *SfaNI* or 573 and 263 bases when digestion was done with DraII, HindIII, and A_fIII (lane 6).

Southern blots also suggested that the msp4 gene may be A. marginale specific; the gene was detected in A . marginale DNA but not in the DNAs of other rickettsiae (A. ovis, Cowdria ruminantium, Rickettsia typhi, and Haemobartonella felis), gram-negative bacteria $(E. \; coli$ and Haemophilus influenzae), or blood-borne cattle parasites (Babesia bovis, B. bigemina, and T. brucei) (data not shown). The hybridization conditions used were moderately stringent; hybridization experiments with lower-stringency washes might detect more distantly related sequences. Searching the GenBank and EMBL data bases with the msp4 sequence did not reveal significant similarities with any other DNA sequences or proteins.

Expression and isolation of recombinant MSP4. The gene

FIG. 2. Detection of MSP4 in different A. marginale isolates. Proteins were immunoprecipitated with control antibody TryplE1 (odd-numbered lanes) or AnaR76A1 (even-numbered lanes). Isolates or samples are designated as follows: 0, Okanogan, Wash.; C, Clarkston, Wash.; V, Virginia; T, North Texas; M, Missouri; I, South Idaho; F, Florida; and N, normal uninfected bovine erythrocytes. Biotin-labeled molecular size standards (Sigma) (in kilodaltons) are on the right.

encoding the MSP4 protein was not detected in expression libraries or by hybridization screening of A. marginale genomic libraries (27), possibly because of genetic rearrangements or deletions due to the host, E. coli (7). The sequences ³' to the coding region of the msp4 gene contain several imperfect inverted repeats that likely exhibit abundant secondary structures and may have contributed to the previous difficulties in cloning this gene (27); therefore, the msp4 gene was amplified from genomic DNA by use of primers that excluded these sequences. The isolated msp4 gene was successfully cloned into a high-level expression plasmid and transformed into a recombinase-deficient E. coli strain (Sure). The growth rate of the bacteria did not appear to be slowed by the introduction of the msp4 gene, and induction of the recombinant clone with IPTG resulted in the

FIG. 3. Southern blot of genomic A. marginale DNA digested with restriction enzymes cutting outside the ORF and probed with the msp4 gene. One microgram of Florida isolate A. marginale DNA was digested per well. Bovine DNA digested with HindIII (rightmost lane) was not recognized by msp4. DNA size standards (in kilobases) are on the left.

expression of an insoluble MSP4-glutathione-S-transferase fusion protein. Following urea solubilization and renaturation, the isolated protein was recognized by anti-MSP4 monoclonal antibody AnaR76A1 (Fig. 5), demonstrating the conservation of a conformation-sensitive epitope between the native and the recombinant proteins. Furthermore, when digested with thrombin to remove the glutathione-S-transferase moiety, the recombinant MSP4 protein comigrated with the native protein in SDS-PAGE (Fig. 5).

Native and recombinant MSP4 share epitopes. Preincubation of rabbit sera recognizing native MSP4 with 250 ng of either recombinant or native MSP4 inhibited 70 to 80% of

FIG. 4. Southern blot of DNAs from different A. marginale isolates digested with restriction enzymes cutting within the ORF and probed with msp4. Samples in lanes ¹ to 5 were digested with BpmI and SfaNI; samples in lanes 6 to 10 were digested with DraII, HindIII, and $A\text{fIII}$. Isolates are designated as follows: F, Florida; I, South Idaho; T, North Texas; V, Virginia; and W, Okanogan, Wash. DNA size standards (in kilobases) are on the left.

FIG. 5. Immunoprecipitation of labeled native and recombinant MSP4 proteins. ¹²⁵I-labeled MSP4 protein was precipitated with AnaO58A2 as a negative control (odd-numbered lanes) or AnaR76A1 (even-numbered lanes). Lanes: ¹ and 2, recombinant MSP4 fusion protein; ³ and 4, recombinant MSP4 fusion protein after thrombin digestion to remove the glutathione-S-transferase portion; 5 and 6, native MSP4 protein isolated from initial bodies. Molecular size standards (in kilodaltons) are on the right.

binding to initial bodies in competitive ELISAs (Fig. 6). Inhibition by recombinant MSP4 was comparable on ^a molar basis to inhibition by native MSP4. The level of inhibition of control serum (recognizing MSP2) by either native or recombinant MSP4 was less than 3%. This result demonstrates that recombinant and native MSP4 share epitopes as presented on initial bodies.

Immune cattle sera recognize native and recombinant MSP4. Previous work showed that cattle immunized with fractionated A. marginale initial body membranes were protected from a virulent challenge (42). Sera from protected cattle immunized with the outer membrane fraction bound strongly to both native and recombinant MSP4 (Table 1), indicating that MSP4 is located in the outer initial body membrane and substantiating a surface location for MSP4. Sera from cattle immunized with the inner membrane fraction did not recognize MSP4.

Postinfection sera varied in their ability to detect native or recombinant MSP4 in ELISAs; only 20 of the 26 cattle serum samples tested had detectable antibody titers to MSP4, and many of these samples recognized MSP4 only at very low titers, suggesting that MSP4 is not immunodominant. Serum samples from five of six A. centrale-immunized cattle weakly bound MSP4 in these assays, corroborating the results of Western blotting showing the recognition of a 31-kDa A. centrale antigen by anti-MSP4 sera (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Despite severe economic losses due to anaplasmosis, current vaccine and diagnostic strategies are inadequate. Obstacles include difficulties in the diagnosis of persistently infected carrier animals (23, 46) and the antigenic variability of A. marginale isolates (21, 25). Animals which recover from an A. marginale infection are protected from a homologous, but not necessarily a heterologous, challenge; the inability of current vaccines to protect against heterologous isolates (20) results in occasional outbreaks of severe clinical disease.

FIG. 6. Inhibition of binding of rabbit anti-MSP4 sera to initial bodies by native or recombinant MSP4 protein. The micromoles of MSP4 protein used for inhibition are listed on the horizontal axis; the percent inhibition of binding is given on the vertical axis. Symbols: \times and \blacksquare , anti-native MSP4 rabbit sera incubated with native MSP4; \diamond and $+$, anti-native MSP4 rabbit sera incubated with recombinant MSP4; $*$ and \square , anti-MSP2 rabbit serum incubated with native MSP4 or recombinant MSP4, respectively.

Although the mechanism of immunity against anaplasmosis is not known, humoral immunity is probably important, since bovine erythrocytes do not express class ^I major histocompatibility complex antigens on their surfaces (8). Our strategy for the development of an effective vaccine is the identification of protective epitopes on surface-exposed

TABLE 1. Recognition of A. marginale initial bodies, native MSP4, and recombinant MSP4 by bovine immune sera a

Serum	Membrane fraction	Titer for:		
		Initial bodies	Native MSP4	Recombinant MSP4
2	Inner	1,000	n	
6	Inner	1,000		O
10	Inner	1.000		0
14	Inner	200		Λ
20	Inner	200		0
3	Outer	1,000	1,000	1,000
7	Outer	1,000	1,000	1,000
11	Outer	1,000	1,000	1,000
15	Outer	200	1,000	1,000
22	Outer	200	200	1,000

^a The serum numbers are the inner and outer membrane fraction-immunized cattle described by Tebele et al. (42). The antigens used in the ELISAs are listed across the top (initial bodies or native or recombinant MSP4 protein); values listed under the antigens represent the highest positive titers found in direct ELISAs. Sera were tested at dilutions of 1:40, 1:200, and 1:1,000.

proteins of blood-stage initial bodies. We previously demonstrated that antibodies to A . marginale initial bodies can neutralize infectivity (31) and that immunization with isolated surface proteins of A . marginale can induce protection (29, 32). Initial work focusing on the MSP2 protein and the MSPla-MSPlb protein complex demonstrated the ability of these proteins to induce protection against a virulent homologous or one heterologous A. marginale challenge (29, 32). The optimal subunit vaccine for anaplasmosis probably will contain several initial body proteins in combination.

Genetic and antigenic conservation of protective proteins may be of critical importance for effective prophylaxis, since A. marginale isolates vary widely in their protein structure, antigenicity, morphology, and virulence (3, 16, 19, 21, 25). The MSP2, MSPla, and MSPlb proteins can vary in apparent size and structure among isolates by as much as 50% on acrylamide gels (28, 32); the antigenic variability of these proteins among isolates may limit their usefulness as potential vaccine candidates. Unlike the MSP1 and MSP2 proteins, MSP4 does not vary among isolates in its apparent molecular mass in SDS-PAGE. Furthermore, the MSP4 protein is apparently conserved among all isolates of A. marginale examined, as well as in the related rickettsia A. centrale; significantly, A. centrale is used effectively as a live vaccine against A. marginale in Israel and South Africa (1, 33, 34).

Importantly, MSP4 is conserved not only at the protein level but also at the genetic level. Cyclic parasitemia levels in chronic infections suggest that antigenic variation also may occur within isolates (15). The MSP2 and MSP1b A. marginale proteins are encoded by multigene families (4, 28a), which may be involved in intragenic recombination. Differential expression of distinct gene copies further complicates analyses of these proteins. MSP4, on the other hand, is encoded by a single gene, restricting the potential for genetic recombination. Although the exact mechanism(s) of antigenic variation in A . *marginale* is unknown, antigens serving critical functions for parasite survival are unlikely to vary extensively under immune pressure. A conserved protein, such as MSP4, if protective, would appear to be the ideal basis for a subunit vaccine against bovine anaplasmosis.

Previous research demonstrated that immunization of cattle with isolated inner and outer membranes of A . marginale initial bodies can each induce protective immunity (42). These membrane fractions differed in their morphology and peptide composition, and different antigenic specificities of antibodies were produced by cattle immunized with either fraction (42). These data established a significant correlation between antibody titers to outer membrane fractions and protective immunity; animals with titers of $10⁵$ or more against outer membrane proteins were completely protected from microscopically detectable parasitemia. Recognition of MSP4 by sera from outer membrane protein-immunized cattle establishes ^a membrane location for MSP4 and suggests that MSP4 may be involved in the induction of immunity in these animals. However, the lack of reactivity of sera from inner membrane-protein-immunized cattle suggests that the 31-kDa inner membrane protein may not be MSP4.

Sera from cattle that have been infected with \vec{A} . marginale organisms exhibited variable titers to MSP4. These sera did not consistently recognize either native or recombinant MSP4 in an ELISA or Western blots. Consequently, the native MSP4 antigen may be unrecognized or only weakly immunogenic in some cattle and would not be useful as a diagnostic tool. A high-titer antibody response in infected individuals does not necessarily correlate with protective capability, as clearly illustrated by the immunodominant circumsporozoite protein of Plasmodium falciparum (2, 11, 12, 39). Similarly, immunizations with nonimmunodominant proteins were highly protective in B. bovis infections (44, 45), while the proteins most strongly recognized by infection sera were not prophylactic (10, 44). Generating an antibody response to ^a conserved protein such as MSP4 might provide protection against heterologous A. marginale isolates.

The gene for MSP4 has now been cloned, and the recombinant protein has been expressed, isolated, and demonstrated to comigrate in SDS-PAGE with the native protein. Both native and recombinant MSP4 proteins are recognized by a conformation-dependent monoclonal antibody and by infected cattle sera, and recombinant MSP4 shares epitopes with the native protein, as expressed on initial bodies. Evidence also suggests that MSP4 is located on the initial body surface, as illustrated by lactoperoxidase labeling and recognition by sera from cattle immunized with outer, but not inner, initial body membranes. Both native and recombinant MSP4 proteins can be recognized by these immune cattle sera. MSP4 is structurally and genetically conserved among A. marginale and A. centrale isolates and therefore may have greater potential to protect cattle from challenge by heterologous isolates than other A . marginale surface proteins, which vary widely in size and structure. We are currently testing the ability of the MSP4 protein to protect cattle from homologous and heterologous A. marginale challenges.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jennifer Calder, Sondra Kamper, Gregory McDonald, and Bigboy Simbi for donations of B. bovis and B. bigemina, T. brucei, E. coli, and H. influenzae, R. typhi, and C. ruminantium DNA samples, respectively. We also thank G. Roman Reddy for providing the probe for genes coding for rRNA, Jack Gaskin for providing the H. felis-infected cat blood, and Terry McElwain, Eugene Pipano, Varda Shkap, and Ntando Tebele for providing cattle sera. Additional thanks are due to Sondra Kamper for critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by USAID cooperative agreements DAN-4178-A-00-7056-00 and DHR-5600-G-00-1035-00 and USDA BARD project US-1561-88.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abdala, A. A., E. Pipano, D. H. Aguirre, A. B. Gaido, M. A. Zurbriggen, A. J. Mangold, and A. A. Guglielmone. 1990. Frozen and fresh Anaplasma centrale vaccine in the protection of cattle against Anaplasma marginale infection. Rev. Elev.
- Med. Vet. Pays Trop. 43:155-158. 2. Ballou, W. R, S. L. Hoffman, J. A. Sherwood, M. R. Hollingdale, F. A. Neva, W. T. Hockmeyer, D. M. Gordon, I. Schneider, R. A. Wirtz, J. F. Young, G. F. Wasserman, P. Reeve, C. L. Diggs, and J. D. Chulay. 1987. Safety and efficacy of a recombinant DNA Plasmodium falciparum sporozoite vaccine. Lancet i:1277-1281.
- 3. Barbet, A. F., L. W. Anderson, G. H. Palmer, and T. C. McGuire. 1983. Comparison of proteins synthesized by two different isolates of *Anaplasma marginale*. Infect. Immun. 40:1068-1074.
- 4. Barbet, A. F., G. H. Palmer, P. J. Myler, and T. C. McGuire. 1987. Characterization of an immunoprotective complex of Anaplasma marginale by cloning and expression of the gene coding for polypeptide Am1O5L. Infect. Immun. 55:2428-2435.
- 5. Carson, C. A., L. G. Adams, and R. A. Todorovic. 1970. An antigenic and serologic comparison of two virulent strains and an attenuated strain of Anaplasma marginale. Am. J. Vet. Res. 31:1071-1078.
- 6. Davis, W. D., T. C. McGuire, L. W. Anderson, K. L. Banks, S. D. Seifert, and M. I. Johnson. 1981. Development of mono-

clonal antibodies to Anaplasma marginale and preliminary studies on their application, p. 285-305. In R. J. Hidalgo and E. W. Jones (ed.), Proceedings of the 7th National Anaplasmosis Conference. Mississippi State University Press, Starkville, Miss.

- 7. Ehrlich, S. D. 1989. Illegitimate recombination in bacteria, p. 799-832. In D. E. Berg and M. M. Howe (ed.), Mobile DNA. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- 8. Everett, E. T., K. J. Kao, and J. C. Scornick. 1987. Class 1 HLA molecules on human erythrocytes. Transplantation 44:123-129.
- 9. Feinberg, A. P., and B. Vogelstein. 1984. A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity (addendum). Anal. Biochem. 137:266-267.
- 10. Goodger, B. V., M. A. Commins, I. G. Wright, G. B. Mirre, D. J. Waltisbuhl, and M. White. 1986. Babesia bovis: vaccination trial with a dominant immunodiffusion antigen in splenectomized calves. Z. Parasitenkd. 72:715-722.
- 11. Herrington, D. A., D. F. Clyde, G. Losonsky, M. Cortesia, J. R. Murphy, J. Davis, S. Baqar, A. M. Felix, E. P. Heimer, D. Gellesseen, E. Nardin, R. S. Nussenzweig, V. Nussenzweig, M. R. Hollingdale, and M. M. Levine. 1987. Safety and immunogenicity in man of a synthetic peptide malaria vaccine against Plasmodium falciparum sporozoites. Nature (London) 328:257-259.
- 12. Hoffman, S. L., C. N. Oster, C. V. Plowe, G. R. Woollett, J. C. Beier, J. D. Chulay, R. A. Wirtz, M. R. Hollingdale, and M. Mugambi. 1987. Naturally acquired antibodies to sporozoites do not prevent malaria: vaccine development implications. Science 237:639-642.
- 13. Hurley, W. L., E. Finkelstein, and B. D. Holst. 1985. Identification of surface proteins on bovine leukocytes by a biotin-avidin protein blotting technique. J. Immunol. Methods 85:195-202.
- 14. Kao, K. J., and P. A. Klein. 1986. A monoclonal antibody-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for quantitation of plasma thrombospondin. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 86:317-323.
- 15. Kieser, S. T., I. S. Eriks, and G. H. Palmer. 1990. Cyclic rickettsemia during persistent Anaplasma marginale infection in cattle. Infect. Immun. 58:1117-1119.
- 16. Kocan, K. M., J. H. Venable, and W. E. Brock. 1978. Ultrastructure of anaplasmal inclusions (Pawhuska isolate) and their appendages in intact and hemolyzed erythrocytes and in complement fixation antigen. Am. J. Vet. Res. 39:1123-1129.
- 17. Kraft, R., J. Tardiff, K. S. Krauter, and L. A. Leinwand. 1988. Using miniprep plasmid DNA for sequencing double stranded templates with Sequenase. BioTechniques 6:544-546.
- 18. Kreier, J. P., and M. Ristic. 1963. Immunoserologic characterization of the parasites present in the blood of calves infected with the Oregon strain of Anaplasma marginale. Am. J. Vet. Res. 24:688-696.
- 19. Kreier, J. P., and M. Ristic. 1963. Morphologic characteristics of the parasite present in the blood of calves infected with the Oregon strain of Anaplasma marginale. Am. J. Vet. Res. 24:676-687.
- 20. Kuttler, K. L., and R. A. Todorovic. 1973. Techniques of preimmunization for the control of anaplasmosis, p. 106-112. In E. Jones (ed.), Proceedings of the 6th National Anaplasmosis Conference. Heritage Press, Stillwater, Okla.
- 21. Kuttler, K. L., and L. D. Winward. 1984. Serologic comparison of 4 Anaplasma isolates as measured by the complementfixation test. Vet. Microbiol. 9:181-186.
- 22. Love, J. N. 1972. Cryogenic preservation of Anaplasma marginale with dimethyl sulfoxide. Am. J. Vet. Res. 32:2557-2560.
- 23. Luther, D. G., H. U. Cox, and W. 0. Nelson. 1980. Comparison of serotests with calf inoculations for detection of conversion in anaplasmosis-vaccinated cattle. Am. J. Vet. Res. 41:2085-2086.
- 24. McGuire, T. C., W. C. Davis, A. L. Brassfield, T. F. McElwain, and G. H. Palmer. 1991. Identification of Anaplasma marginale long-term carrier cattle by detection of serum antibody to isolated MSP3. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29:788-793.
- 25. McGuire, T. C., G. H. Palmer, W. L. Goff, M. I. Johnson, and W. C. Davis. 1984. Common and isolate-restricted antigens of Anaplasma marginale detected with monoclonal antibodies. Infect. Immun. 45:697-700.
- 26. National Research Council. 1982. Priorities in biotechnology research for international development-proceedings of a work-

shop, p. 1. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

- 27. Oberle, S. M., and A. F. Barbet. Derivation of the complete msp4 gene sequence of Anaplasma marginale without molecular cloning. Gene, in press.
- 28. Oberle, S. M., G. H. Palmer, A. F. Barbet, and T. C. McGuire. 1988. Molecular size variations in an immunoprotective protein complex among isolates of Anaplasma marginale. Infect. Immun. 56:1567-1573.
- 28a.Palmer, G. H. Unpublished data.
- 29. Palmer, G. H., A. F. Barbet, W. C. Davis, and T. C. McGuire. 1986. Immunization with an isolate-common surface protein protects cattle against anaplasmosis. Science 231:1299-1302.
- 30. Palmer, G. H., K. M. Kocan, S. J. Barron, J. A. Hair, A. F. Barbet, W. C. Davis, and T. C. McGuire. 1985. Presence of common antigens, including major surface epitopes, between the cattle (intraerythrocytic) and tick stages of Anaplasma marginale. Infect. Immun. 50:881-886.
- 31. Palmer, G. H., and T. C. McGuire. 1984. Immune serum against Anaplasma marginale initial bodies neutralizes infectivity for cattle. J. Immunol. 133:1010-1015.
- 32. Palmer, G. H., S. M. Oberle, A. F. Barbet, and T. C. McGuire. 1988. Immunization of cattle with a 36-kilodalton surface protein induces protection against homologous and heterologous Anaplasma marginale challenge. Infect. Immun. 56:1526-1531.
- 33. Pipano, E., Y. Krigel, M. Frank, A. Markovics, and E. Mayer. 1986. Frozen Anaplasma centrale vaccines against anaplasmosis in cattle. Br. Vet. J. 142:553-556.
- 34. Potgieter, F. T., and L. Van Rensburg. 1986. Infectivity, virulence, and immunogenicity of Anaplasma centrale live blood vaccine. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res. 50:29-31.
- 35. Reddy, G. R., D. Chakrabarti, C. A. Yowell, and J. B. Dame. 1991. Sequence microheterogeneity of the three small subunit ribosomal RNA genes of Babesia bigemina: expression in erythrocyte culture. Nucleic Acids Res. 19:3641-3645.
- 36. Ristic, M. 1968. Anaplasmosis, p. 478-572. In D. Weinman and M. Ristic (ed.), Infectious blood diseases of man and animals, vol. 2. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- 37. Ristic, M., and C. A. Carson. 1977. Methods of immunoprophylaxis against bovine anaplasmosis with emphasis on use of the attenuated Anaplasma marginale vaccine, p. 151-188. In L. H. Miller, J. A. Pino, and J. J. McKelvey (ed.), Immunity to blood parasites of animals and man. Plenum Publishing Corp., New York.
- 38. Rovis, L., A. F. Barbet, and R. 0. Williams. 1978. Characterization of the surface coat of Trypanosoma congolense. Nature (London) 271:654-656.
- 39. Schofield, L., J. Veliaquiran, A. Ferreira, H. Schellekens, R. Nussenzweig, and V. Nussenzweig. 1987. Gamma interferon, CD8+ T cells, and antibodies required for immunity to malaria sporozoites. Nature (London) 341:323-326.
- 40. Smith, D. B., and K. S. Johnson. 1988. Single-step purification of polypeptides expressed in Escherichia coli as fusions with glutathione-S-transferase. Gene 67:31-40.
- 41. Straus, W. 1982. Imidazole increases the sensitivity of the cytochemical reaction for peroxidase with diaminobenzidine at a neutral pH. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 30:491-493.
- 42. Tebele, N., T. C. McGuire, and G. H. Palmer. 1991. Induction of protective immunity by using Anaplasma marginale initial body membranes. Infect. Immun. 59:3199-3204.
- 43. Visser, E. S., T. C. McGuire, G. H. Palmer, W. C. Davis, V. Shkap, E. Pipano, and D. P. Knowles. 1992. Anaplasma marginale mspS gene encodes a 19-kilodalton protein conserved in all Anaplasma species. Infect. Immun. 60:5139-5144.
- 44. Wright, I. G. 1991. Towards a synthetic Babesia vaccine. Int. J. Parasitol. 21:156-159.
- 45. Wright, I. G., M. White, P. D. Tracey-Patte, R A. Donaldson, B. V. Goodger, D. J. Waltisbuhl, and D. F. Mahoney. 1983. Babesia bovis: isolation of a protective antigen using monoclonal antibodies. Infect. Immun. 41:244-250.
- 46. Zaugg, J. L., D. Stiller, M. E. Coan, and S. D. Lincoln. 1986. Transmission of Anaplasma marginale Theiler by males of Dermacentor andersoni Stiles fed on an Idaho field-infected, chronic carrier cow. Am. J. Vet. Res. 47:2269-2271.