## Cytochrome c oxidase from Paracoccus denitrificans: Both hemes are located in subunit I

(cytochromes  $a$  and  $a_3$ )

MICHELE MULLER, BEATRICE SCHLAPFER, AND ANGELO AZZI\*

Institut fur Biochemie und Molekularbiologie der Universitat Bern, Buhlstrasse 28, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

Communicated by Britton Chance, May 26, 1988

ABSTRACT The two-subunit cytochrome <sup>c</sup> oxidase from Paracoccus denitrificans has been sequentially digested with chymotrypsin and Staphylococcus aureus V8 protease. The smaller subunit of the enzyme (apparent  $M_r$  32,000) was split into numerous peptides that were removed by anion-exchange HPLC. The larger subunit was only digested to a limited extent (from an apparent  $M_r$ , 45,000 to  $M_r$ , 43,000), and the spectral properties were preserved relative to the native enzyme (a reduced minus oxidized difference spectrum with maxima at 447 and 607 nm in the Soret and  $\alpha$  region, respectively). As judged from CO-reduced spectra this proteolytically digested, one-fragment oxidase was found to contain an equal amount of cytochromes  $a$  and  $a_3$ . The enzymatic activity with reduced cytochrome c as substrate in the presence of Triton X-100 proceeded with equal affinity (apparent  $K_m = 0.5-1.0 \,\mu\text{M}$ ) and with a  $V_{\text{max}}$  of  $\approx 20\%$  (40 s<sup>-1</sup>) of that found with the native enzyme  $(200 \text{ s}^{-1})$ . When the assay system was supplemented with soybean phospholipids, the  $K_{\rm m}$  became 2  $\mu$ M for both enzymes and the  $V_{\text{max}}$  became 730 and 170 s<sup>-1</sup> for the native and the digested enzyme, respectively. Thus subunit <sup>I</sup> of P. denitrificans oxidase, and most probably of the other cytochrome c oxidases as well, contains both hemes and at least one Cu atom and has significant enzymatic activity.

Cytochrome c oxidase, the terminal enzyme of the oxidative chains of many prokaryotes and in all eukaryotes [with the exception of Microsporidium (1)] is composed of multiple polypeptide subunits (2, 3). The two-subunit oxidase isolated from Paracoccus denitrificans (4), Rhodopseudomonas sphaeroides (5), and other prokaryotes, despite their structural simplicity, are qualitatively similar in proton and electron transfer to the 12-subunit mammalian enzyme. The two largest subunits of all cytochrome c oxidases (based on apparent molecular weights estimated by NaDodSO<sub>4</sub>/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) have homologous primary structures and exhibit immune crossreactivity. The cytochrome c oxidase of Thermus thermophylus (6) is, however, composed of two subunits, homologous to subunit <sup>I</sup> and cytochrome  $c_1$ .

The active centers of cytochrome  $c$  oxidase contain two porphyrin iron and two copper atoms, but their location and the time sequence by which these metals participate in the catalytic process have not been resolved. The fact that the metal content and the spectral and kinetic properties of isolated bacterial oxidases are indistinguishable from the mammalian enzymes supports the hypothesis that the two largest subunits play a central role in binding the reactive metals of the enzyme.

Subunit II of cytochrome  $c$  oxidase contains one binding site for copper  $(Cu_A)$ , based on its primary structural similarities with the blue copper proteins (7). One heme (cyto-

chrome a) binding site was also assigned to this subunit on the basis of controlled denaturation studies of the bovine heart enzyme (8, 9). However, the discovery that histidine-24 of bovine heart enzyme is not conserved in the oxidases from Leishmania tarentolae, Trypanosoma brucei, and Crithidia fasciculata (10) is not compatible with subunit II having a heme binding site. In fact, the assignment of precise ligands to the heme of cytochrome  $a$  (two histidine residues) and  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ (two histidine and two cysteine residues), obtained by electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) and extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) (11-14) for bovine heart oxidase, has made it impossible to find enough conserved residues to coordinate both metal centers in subunit II of P. denitrificans oxidase (Fig. 1).

Since the copper center assignment is supported by rather convincing evidence, the remaining alternative, which is consistent with reports in the literature, is that subunit I contains two hemes and one copper. Such a conclusion may be important, since it would mean that subunit II needed to bind only copper and cytochrome  $c$ . The cytochrome  $c$ binding property has been confirmed by several approaches that indicate that subunit II participates with other subunits to create the cytochrome  $c$  binding domain (15-17). The evolutionary variability of subunit II does not negate its involvement in cytochrome  $c$  binding, since the sequence of the binding site may have undergone evolutionary changes to compensate for changes in subunit II.

In the present study, we have proteolytically digested the two-subunit cytochrome c oxidase of P. denitrificans and purified a single polypeptide with a molecular weight slightly less than subunit I. This polypeptide contains two hemes and has spectral and ligand properties indistinguishable from the native enzyme as well as significant enzymatic activity.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

P. denitrificans (strain ATCC 13543) cells were grown on <sup>a</sup> succinate medium and cytochrome c oxidase was purified as described by Ludwig (18). For enzymatic digestion the oxidase stock solution (160  $\mu$ M cytochrome *a*) was diluted to 23  $\mu$ M cytochrome *a* in a medium composed of 25 mM Tris Cl/0.5% dodecyl maltoside, pH 8.3, and chymotrypsin [8 mg/ml in <sup>25</sup> mM Tris Cl (pH 8.3) containing 0.5 mM  $N(-\alpha p\text{-toy})$ L-lysine chloromethyl ketone] was added to the diluted enzyme solution at a 1:1 ratio (wt/wt), and digestion was carried out for 60 min in the dark at 23°C. The digestion was stopped by the addition of <sup>1</sup> mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and the solution was chromatographed on a Whatman DE <sup>52</sup> cellulose column equilibrated with digestion buffer (see above). Cytochrome oxidase was retained by the column, whereas chymotrypsin and fragments produced by the digestion were eluted. The enzyme was eluted with 0.5 M Tris Cl/0.5% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.8, and then desalted on

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom reprint requests should be addressed.



FIG. 1. Schematic model of cytochrome  $c$  oxidase subunit II. The numbers in the diagram correspond to the amino acid residues in the sequence of cytochrome c oxidase subunit II from P. denitrificans. The open circles represent evolutionarily conserved amino acids (one-letter code) or charges (+ and -). Triangles represent conserved hydrophobic amino acids. The dots represent evolutionarily conserved positions without any apparent special function. The two cylinders represent two evolutionarily conserved  $\alpha$ -helices spanning the hydrophobic region of a membrane. The arrow represents the conserved negatively charged amino acid that was protected by cytochrome c against modification by water-soluble carbodiimide (15).

<sup>a</sup> Sephadex G-25 column equilibrated with <sup>10</sup> mM sodium phosphate/2 mM EDTA/0.1% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.8. Further proteolytic fragmentation was carried out by adding S. aureus V8 protease (final concentration of 50 units/ml) to cytochrome oxidase ( $\approx$ 10  $\mu$ M). After 20 min of incubation at 20°C in the dark, the reaction was stopped by the addition of <sup>1</sup> mM diisopropyl fluorophosphate, and the mixture was chromatographed on a Sephadex G-100 column equilibrated with <sup>10</sup> mM sodium phosphate/2 mM EDTA/0.1% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.8. Alternatively, the mixture was absorbed on <sup>a</sup> DE <sup>52</sup> column and the enzyme was eluted with 0.5 MTris Cl/2 mM EDTA/0.1% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.8.

Further purification of the enzyme was achieved by re-

moving the fragments of subunit II (produced by treatment with S. aureus V8 protease) from subunit <sup>I</sup> by loading the solution on a (polyethyleneimine Baker Bond, widebore) HPLC column (4.6  $\times$  250 mm) equilibrated with 20 mM Hepes/0.1% Triton X-1OOR, pH 7.2.

The main fragment of subunit I ( $M_r$ , 43,000) was eluted by <sup>a</sup> linear salt gradient (0-1 M NaCl) in <sup>20</sup> mM Hepes/0.1% Triton X-1OOR, pH 7.2 at a rate of 1.5 ml/min by using a Varian model 5000 liquid chromatograph and a Hewlett-Packard model HP 8541A diode array spectrophotometer equipped with an HPLC flow cell. The enzymatically active fractions were pooled and concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation (50% saturation,  $4^{\circ}$ C). After centrifugation (10 min, 10,000  $\times$  g), the green floating layer was collected, resuspended in <sup>20</sup> mM Hepes/0.1% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.2, and passed through a Sephadex G-25 column equilibrated with <sup>20</sup> mM Hepes/0.1% dodecyl maltoside, pH 7.2. Contamination of the main fragment of subunit I ( $M_r$ , 43,000) by other polypeptides was estimated at  $\approx 5\%$ , as judged from silver-stained NaDodSO<sub>4</sub> gels.

The concentration of cytochrome a was calculated from difference spectra (dithionite-reduced minus air-oxidized) taken with an Aminco model DW-2a or a Hewlett-Packard model HP 8541A diode array spectrophotometer with  $\varepsilon_{605}$  - $\varepsilon_{630} = 11.7 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{·m} \text{M}^{-1}$  (4). Horse heart ferrocytochrome c was prepared by reduction with dithionite and chromatography on a Sephadex G-25 column. Cytochrome  $c$  oxidase activity was measured spectrophotometrically at 550-540 nm and calculated by using the difference extinction coefficient  $\varepsilon_{550} - \varepsilon_{540} = 19.4 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{m} \text{M}^{-1}$ . The protein concentration was determined as described by Gornall et al. (19), Lowry et al. (20), or Smith et al. (21) with bovine serum albumin as standard. NaDodSO<sub>4</sub>/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed by the slightly modified version of the procedure of Laemmli (22) as described by Muller and Azzi (23) without the addition of polymerized 0.5% polyacrylamide. Slab gels were conventionally stained with Coomassie blue or by silver as described by Bio-Rad.

## RESULTS

The enzymatic characteristics of P. denitrificans cytochrome c oxidase are reported in Table 1. Treatment with chymotrypsin resulted in the production of a lower molecular weight fragment from each subunit (called here subunit Ic and IIc, where c stands for chymotrypsin treated; Fig. 2). The chymotrypsin treatment did not change the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  for cytochrome c, but the  $V_{\text{max}}$  was reduced by  $\approx 57\%$  when the activity was assayed in the presence of Triton X-100 and soybean phospholipids. Heme purification after the proteolytic treatments

Table 1. Characterization of cytochrome c oxidase from P. denitrificans after treatment with proteases

	M <sub>r</sub>	$A_{280-310}$ / $A_{424-480}$	$K_{m}$ , M*	$V_{\text{max}}$ $s^{-1}$	Heme A yield, %
Native enzyme					
Subunit I	45,000	$1.8 - 2.1$			
Subunit II	32,000		2.1	731	100
Enzyme treated with chymotrypsin					
Subunit I	43,000	$1.6 - 1.7$	1.7	310	
Subunit II	24,000				
Enzyme treated with S. aureus V8					
protease Subunit I Subunit II	43,000	$1.2 - 1.5$	2.8	168	70

\*Activity was measured in the presence of Triton X-100 supplemented with soybean phospholipids.



FIG. 2. NaDodSO4/polyacrylamide (15%) gel electrophoresis of P. denitrificans cytochrome c oxidase before and after proteolytic treatment. Lanes: 1, native enzyme; 2, chymotrypsin-treated enzyme; 3, enzyme after chymotrypsin and S. aureus V8 protease treatment; 4, same sample as lane <sup>3</sup> purified by HPLC. The one-fragment enzyme migrated at the same level as the ovalbumin used as standard. The gel was stained by silver. 1, subunit I; 1c, subunit Ic; 2, subunit II; 2c, subunit I1c.

was confirmed by the diminution of the absorption ratio at 280 nm versus 424 nm. To permit the determination of the protein concentration at 280 nm, in this experiment, the detergent used was 0.1% dodecyl maltoside (Fig. 3 Inset).

Examination of the polypeptide pattern from NaDod-S04/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2, lane 2) showed that the digestion of the oxidase by chymotrypsin did not proceed beyond the formation of two polypeptides of  $M_r$ 43,000 and  $M_r$ , 24,000, respectively.

Subsequently, the chymotrypsin-treated enzyme was digested with S. aureus V8 protease, an enzyme that cleaves specifically at aspartic and glutamic residues (24). Subunit Ic was not modified by this protease (Fig. 2, lane 3) whereas subunit Ilc was almost completely fragmented. Gel filtration through a Sephadex G-100 removed most of the small fiagments derived from subunit lIc. A better result was obtained by ion-exchange HPLC and is shown in Fig. 2, lane 4. The small contamination observed was probably a fragment of subunit Ic.

The removal of subunit IIc did not affect the spectral properties of the reduced minus oxidized or the CO-reduced minus reduced enzyme, although the absorption ratio at 280 nm versus 424 nm decreased further after treatment with S. aureus V8 protease (Fig. 3). Although some loss of heme occurred after both proteolytic treatments (<30%) the cytochrome  $a$ /cytochrome  $a_3$  ratio (calculated from COdithionite reduced minus dithionite reduced spectra) remained unchanged to the native enzyme and thus was close to 1 (Table 2).

The kinetic analysis of the single-fragment enzyme (subunit Ic) indicated that the  $K<sub>m</sub>$  for cytochrome c was nearly identical to that of the native oxidase, whereas  $V_{\text{max}}$  was attenuated to  $\approx$  54% relative to the chymotrypsin-treated preparation (Table 1).

## DISCUSSION

The comparison of the amino acid sequences of cytochrome c oxidase subunit II from human, bovine, and mouse heart, Drosophila melanogaster, Oenothera, maize, and yeast (25), Leishmania tarantolae, Trypanosoma brucei, and Crithidia fasciculata (10), and P. denitrificans (26, 27) identifies various evolutionarily conserved amino acids (Fig. 1), which is inconsistent with the model proposed by Millet et al. (15) and Wikström et al.  $(3)$ , suggesting that subunit II of cytochrome oxidase has binding sites for the heme, for  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ , and for cytochrome  $c$  (a negatively charged region). Sequence



FIG. 3. Spectral analysis of P. denitrificans oxidase after digestion with chymotrypsin and S. aureus V8 protease. The air-oxidized spectrum of P. denitrificans oxidase after digestion with chymotrypsin and S. aureus V8 protease has maxima at 425 and 601 nm, and the dithionite-reduced spectrum has maxima at 446 and 607 nm. These values are identical to the native enzyme (cf. ref. 4). The air-oxidized spectrum, extended to the UV region (Inset), shows a protein absorption band/Soret band ratio of 1.2, comparable to that of the native enzyme (1.8-2.1), indicating protein loss not associated to heme loss after the proteolytic treatments. Soret band, maximum at <sup>425</sup> nm. A = 0.05 refers to the spectra traces starting at 400 nm;  $A = 0.005$  refers to the magnified recording of the spectra starting at 500 nm.

Table 2. Reduced and CO difference spectral data of P. denitrificans oxidase

Wavelength pair	Δε, $mM^{-1}$ -cm <sup>-1</sup>	Native enzyme		Subunit Ic	
		ΔА	Heme $A, \mu M$	ΔΑ	Heme $A, \mu M$
447-480	90.5	0.1520	1.68	0.0910	1.007
605-630	15.5	0.0260	1.68	0.0150	0.970
592-608	3.5	0.0052	1.57	0.0039	1.100
Soret/CO*		1.07		0.915	
$\alpha$ -band/CO <sup>†</sup>		1.07		0.880	

The experiments were carried out in <sup>50</sup> mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) and 0.1% dodecyl maltoside. The extinction coefficients for P. denitrificans are from Ludwig and Schatz (4). The ratios Soret/CO and  $\alpha$ -band/CO show that the native and S. aureus V8 proteasetreated enzyme have essentially the same values indicating no change in the cytochrome  $a/a_3$  ratio.  $\Delta A$ , absorbance difference at the given wavelength pair;  $\Delta \varepsilon$ , extinction coefficient at the first wavelength of the pair minus the extinction coefficient at the second wavelength.

- \*Ratio between the concentration of the heme A [measured in the Soret band (447-480 nm)] after dithionite reduction and that of the (dithionite reduced + CO) minus (dithionite reduced) enzyme measured at the  $\alpha$ -band.
- tRatio between the concentration of the heme A [measured in the  $\alpha$ -band (605-630 nm)] after dithionite reduction and that of the (dithionite reduced + CO) minus (dithionite reduced) enzyme measured at the  $\alpha$ -band.

analyses in fact show the absence of conserved histidines (the heme A ligand) other than those needed for complexing  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ ; the number of conserved negatively charged amino acids residues is also reduced to only three. Two sequences, the first from aspartate-178 to histidine-181 (where histidine is a putative copper ligand) and the second containing three more putative copper ligands, cysteine-216, cysteine-220 and histidine-224, were confirmed to be highly conserved, in agreement with Millet et al. (15).

By using two proteolytic enzymes, chymotrypsin and S. aureus V8 protease, in sequence it was possible to reduce the protein mass of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I to  $M_r$ , 43,000 without losing at least three of the metal centers of the enzyme. The presence of cytochrome  $a_1$  and cytochrome  $a_2$  in equal quantities was demonstrated by spectroscopic analysis in presence and absence of CO as reported in Table <sup>2</sup> by using the specific extinction coefficients calculated by Ludwig and Schatz (4) for the P. denitrificans oxidase. These coefficients are slightly different from the classical values reported for the bovine enzyme by Vanneste  $(28)$ . Since Cu<sub>B</sub> is strongly associated with cytochrome  $a_3$  (29) and since its spectral properties are not modified, it can be concluded that  $Cu<sub>B</sub>$  is also present in the one-subunit enzyme.

It has been suggested that subunit II is the binding site of  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ ; however, the evidence is not definitive and, in fact,  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ may be located in subunit I. Since this center is detected spectroscopically and by electron paramagnetic resonance (12, 29), it should be possible to confirm this hypothesis by using our one-subunit preparation.

Gelles and Chan  $(30)$  reported that a p-hydroxymercuribenzoate modification of the  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$  binding site in bovine heart cytochrome  $c$  oxidase resulted in a reduction of its electron transfer activity to  $\approx$  25%, which compares favorably with the activity remaining (20%) after removal of subunit II by proteolytic digestion. The experiment of Gelles and Chan (30), the evidence for a one-subunit cytochrome  $c$  oxidase (6), and our present results indicate that  $Cu_A$  may not be absolutely necessary for the reduction of oxygen by cytochrome c oxidases. It has been also suggested by Nicholls and Chanady (31) that electrons can be delivered directly to cytochrome  $a_3$  from cytochrome c without passing through  $Cu<sub>A</sub>$ .

Another important feature conserved by the one-subunit enzyme is the presence of a kinetically demonstrable highaffinity reaction site for cytochrome  $c$ . The location of this site on subunit <sup>I</sup> has been indicated by experiments in which cytochrome c has shielded amino acid residues located in this subunit from the modification by water-soluble carbodiimides (although little attention was given to it; cf. refs. 15- 17).

The experimental conclusion that two heme A and at least one copper have to be located in subunit <sup>I</sup> cannot ignore the problem of their coordination by evolutionarily conserved ligands. The sequences of cytochrome  $c$  oxidase from human, bovine, mouse, Neurospora crassa, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Drosophila melanogaster (25), Oenothera (32), and maize (33) and of  $P$ . denitrificans (34) cytochrome  $c$  oxidase subunit <sup>I</sup> have been compared, and the evolutionarily conserved amino acids were identified. The limits of the hydrophobic transmembrane segments are those calculated by Lundeen et al. (35). It was observed that enough ligands are present in this subunit for two hemes and one copper atom, and possibly for a second copper atom as well, whose existence was proposed (36).

With the publication of the gene sequences of P. denitrificans oxidase genes (34), a tentative model of the oxidase active sites was proposed (37), consistent with the present experimental evidence indicating that two hemes and one copper can be bound to subunit <sup>I</sup> and also consistent with the somewhat different model proposed by Lundeen et al. (35).

In conclusion, the data presented here are consistent with a great deal of available information on cytochrome c oxidases and with a model in which subunit <sup>I</sup> of the enzyme contains two hemes and at least one copper and is able to catalyze the reduction of oxygen to water.

We thank Dr. B. Ludwig (Medical University of Lübeck) for sending us a manuscript before publication and Dr. C. Mahoney for discussions. This work was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (3.525.086).

- 1. Cavalier-Smith, T. (1987) Nature (London) 326, 332–333.<br>2. Azzi, A., Bill, K., Bolli, R., Casev, R. P., Nalecz, K.
- 2. Azzi, A., Bill, K., Bolli, R., Casey, R. P., Nalecz, K. A. & OShea, P. (1985) in Structure and Properties of Cell Membranes, ed. Benga, G. (CRC, Boca Raton, FL), Vol. 2, pp. 105- 138.
- 3. Wikström, M., Saraste, M. & Penttilä, T. (1985) in The Enzymes of Biological Membranes, ed. Martonosi, A. N. (Plenum, New York), Vol. 4, pp. 111-148.
- 4. Ludwig, B. & Schatz, G. (1980) Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 196-200.
- 5. Gennis, R. B., Casey, R. P., Azzi, A. & Ludwig, B. (1982) Eur. J. Biochem. 125, 189-195.
- 6. Yoshida, T., Lorence, R. M., Choc, M. G., Tarr, G. E., Findling, K. L. & Fee, J. A. (1984)J. Biol. Chem. 259,112-123.
- 7. Steffens, G. & Buse, G. (1979) Hoppe-Seylers Z. Physiol. Chem. 360, 613-619.
- 8. Winter, D. B., Bruynickx, W. J., Foulke, F. G., Grinich, N. P. & Mason, H. S. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 11408-11414.
- 9. Corbley, M. & Azzi, A. (1984) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 139, 535–540.<br>10. Benne, R., Van Den Burg, J., Brakenhoff, J. P. J., Sloof, P. Benne, R., Van Den Burg, J., Brakenhoff, J. P. J., Sloof, P.,
- VanBoom, J. H. & Tromp, M. C. (1986) Cell 46, 819-826. 11. Martin, C. T., Scholes, C. P. & Chan, S. l. (1985) J. Biol.
- Chem. 260, 2857-2861. 12. Stevens, T. H., Martin, C. T., Wang, H., Brudvig, G. W., Scholes, C. P. & Chan, S. I. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 12106-
- 12113. 13. Scott, R. A. & Schwartz, J. R. (1986) Biochemistry 25, 5546- 5555.
- 14. Li, P. M., Gelles, J., Chan, S. I., Sullivan, R. J. & Scott, R. A. (1987) Biochemistry 26, 2091-2095.
- 15. Millet, F., de Jong, C., Paulson, L. & Capaldi, R. A. (1983) Biochemistry 22, 546-552.
- 16. Bisson, R., Gutweniger, H., Montecucco, C., Colonna, R., Zanotti, A. & Azzi, A. (1977) FEBS Lett. 81, 147-150.
- 17. Kadenbach, B. & Stroh, A. (1984) FEBS Lett. 173, 374-380.<br>18. Ludwig, B. (1986) Methods Enzymol. 126, 153-159.
- 18. Ludwig, B. (1986) Methods Enzymol. 126, 153-159.<br>19. Gornall, A. G., Bardawill, C. J. & David, M. M. (19-
- Gornall, A. G., Bardawill, C. J. & David, M. M. (1949) J. Biol. Chem. 177, 751-766.
- 20. Lowry, 0. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951) J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275.
- 21. Smith, P. K., Krohn, R. I., Hermanson, G. T., Mallia, A. K., Gartner, F. K., Provenzano, M. D., Fujimoto, E. K., Goeke, M. M., Olson, B. J. & Klenk, D. C. (1985) Anal. Biochem. 150, 76-85.
- 22. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 277, 680-685.
- 23. Muller, M. & Azzi, A. (1986) in Membrane Proteins, eds. Azzi, A., Masotti, L. & Vecli, A. (Springer, Berlin), pp. 109-118.
- 24. Drapeau, G. R. (1977) Methods Enzymol. 47, 189–191.<br>25. Rao, J. K. M. & Argos, P. (1986) Biochim. Biophys. Ac.
- 25. Rao, J. K. M. & Argos, P. (1986) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 869, 197-214.
- 26. Ludwig, B. (1987) FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 46, 41-56.
- 27. Steinrücke, P., Steffens, G. C. M., Panskus, G., Buse, G. &

Ludwig, B. (1987) Eur. J. Biochem. 167, 431-439.

- 28. Vanneste, W. H. (1966) Biochemistry 5, 838-848.<br>29. Chance, B., Kumar, C., Powers, L. & Ching,
- Chance, B., Kumar, C., Powers, L. & Ching, Y. C. (1983) Biophys. J. 44, 353-363.
- 
- 30. Gelles, J. & Chan, S. I. (1985) Biochemistry 24, 3963-3972.<br>31. Nicholls, P. & Chanady, G. A. (1982) Biochem. J. 203, 541-54 31. Nicholls, P. & Chanady, G. A. (1982) Biochem. J. 203, 541–549.<br>32. Hiesel, R., Schobel, W., Schuster, W. & Brennicke, A. (1987)
- Hiesel, R., Schobel, W., Schuster, W. & Brennicke, A. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 29-34.
- 33. Isaac, P. G., Jones, V. P. & Leaver, C. J. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1617-1623.
- 34. Raitio, M., Jalli, T. & Saraste, M. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 2825- 2833.
- 35. Lundeen, M., Chance, B. & Powers, L. (1987) Biophys. J. 51, 693-695.
- 36. Steffens, G. C. M., Biewald, R. & Buse, G. (1987) Eur. J. Biochem. 164, 295-300.
- 37. Holm, L., Saraste, M. & Wikström, M. (1987) EMBO J. 6, 2819-2823.