# Evidence of a myco-heterotroph in the plant family Ericaceae that lacks mycorrhizal specificity

Nicole A. Hynson<sup>1,\*</sup> and Thomas D. Bruns<sup>2</sup>

 $^1$ Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3102, USA

Myco-heterotrophy is one of the longest-studied aspects of the mycorrhizal symbiosis, but there remain many critical, unanswered questions regarding the ecology and physiology of myco-heterotrophic plants and their associated fungi. The vast majority of all myco-heterotrophs studied to date have exhibited specificity towards narrow lineages of fungi, but it is unclear whether the loss of photosynthesis in these plants is contingent upon fungal specialization. Here, we examine the fungal associates of the myco-heterotroph Pyrola aphylla (Ericaceae) and its closest green relative Pyrola picta to determine the pattern of mycorrhizal specialization. Our findings show that both plant species associate with a range of root-inhabiting fungi, the majority of which are ectomycorrhizal taxa. This study provides the first example of a eudicotyledonous myco-heterotroph that is a mycorrhizal generalist, indicating that the loss of photosynthesis in myco-heterotrophs is not contingent upon fungal specialization.

Keywords: Pyrola; Ericaceae; myco-heterotrophy; mycorrhiza; specialization

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The understorey maintains the highest diversity of vascular plants in temperate forests ([Battles](#page-5-0) et al. 2001; [Whigham](#page-6-0) [2004\)](#page-6-0). However, light, one of the critical resources for plant growth and establishment, is most limited in the forest understorey. Understorey plants have evolved numerous traits in order to survive in these light-limited environments, including slow growth, clonal reproduction and evergreen leaves for year-round photosynthesis [\(Whigham 2004](#page-6-0)). Some plants have circumvented this light limitation altogether by becoming partially or fully dependent on associations with fungi to meet their demands for carbon and other essential elements. These plants are referred to as myco-heterotrophs ([Leake](#page-5-0) [1994\)](#page-5-0). Some plants are only myco-heterotrophic for a portion of their life cycle, such as during germination, while others remain entirely dependent on fungi throughout their growth and establishment ([Leake 1994\)](#page-5-0). In the latter group, there are some species that act as carbon sinks and gain carbon via mycorrhizal fungi that they share with surrounding autotrophic plants. This tripartite interaction between a myco-heterotrophic plant, an autotrophic plant and a shared mycorrhizal fungus is an epiparasitism where the potential fitness costs to the fungus are still unknown [\(Bidartondo 2005\)](#page-5-0). All mycoheterotrophic epiparasitisms are thought to have evolved from initial tripartite associations between two autotrophic plants sharing at least one mycorrhizal fungus [\(Bidartondo](#page-5-0) [2005\)](#page-5-0). However, the ordering of the steps that lead to one plant defaulting on the mycorrhizal mutualism remains the subject of debate. One possibility is that prior to the

transition to full myco-heterotrophy, plants may first be capable of partial myco-heterotrophy where the plant's carbon demands are met through both photosynthesis and fungi ([Bidartondo](#page-5-0) et al. 2004; [Selosse](#page-6-0) et al. 2004; Julou et al[. 2005;](#page-5-0) [Abadie](#page-5-0) et al. 2006).

Our current knowledge of the extent of mycoheterotrophy in the plant kingdom includes over 10 families and 400 species of land plants and involves many independent origins of the habit [\(Leake 2004](#page-5-0)). Convergent traits of myco-heterotrophic plants across families include small dust-like seeds, reduction of leaves to scales, few or no stomata, loss of chlorophyll and modified root systems or rhizomes that are heavily colonized with mycorrhizal fungi ([Leake 1994\)](#page-5-0). A hallmark of almost all myco-heterotrophic plants examined thus far is extreme specificity throughout wide geographical ranges to particular families, genera or even species of fungi [\(Bidartondo & Bruns 2002](#page-5-0); Taylor et al[. 2002\)](#page-6-0) that include both saprotrophic ([Ogura-Tsujita](#page-5-0) et al. 2009) and a suite of mycorrhizal fungi in the Basidiomycota, Ascomycota and Glomeromycota [\(Bidartondo](#page-5-0) et al. 2002; Taylor et al[. 2002;](#page-6-0) [Bidartondo & Bruns 2005\)](#page-5-0). This phylogenetic tracking of certain fungal lineages by myco-heterotrophic plants is so strongly coupled that when sister ericaceous myco-heterotrophs (such as Sarcodes sanguinea Torr. and Pterospora andromedea Nutt.) that associate with sister species of Rhizopogon (Fr.) Nordholm are found in sympatry, adult plants' roots only harbour their respective Rhizopogon species [\(Bidartondo & Bruns 2002](#page-5-0)). There are even examples of this high level of specificity at the plant genotypic level between individuals in the myco-heterotrophic species Corallorhiza maculata (Raf.) Raf. where a particular genotype will only associate with specific subclades in the Russulaceae [\(Bidartondo & Bruns 2002](#page-5-0); [Taylor](#page-6-0) et al. [2004\)](#page-6-0). The few known exceptions to this pattern of

**PROCEEDINGS**  $OF$ THE ROYAL **SOCIETY** 

<sup>\*</sup> Author for correspondence ([nhynson@nature.berkeley.edu](mailto:nhynson@nature.berkeley.edu)).

Electronic supplementary material is available at [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1190) [1098/rspb.2009.1190](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1190) or via <http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org>.

specificity are albino mutants of normally green orchids in the genera Cephalanthera and Epipactis that were found to associate with the same suite of ectomycorrhizal (EM) fungi as green individuals ([Selosse](#page-6-0) et al. 2004; [Julou](#page-5-0) et al[. 2005](#page-5-0); Abadie et al[. 2006\)](#page-5-0). However, there are emerging examples of adult non-photosynthetic orchids from the tropics that show a lack of fungal specificity (Roy *et al.* in press).

While the evolutionary processes leading to this level of fungal specificity are unclear, two possible mechanisms are as follows. (i) Similar to partner filtering (selection of the most beneficial mutualist from a community of potential symbionts) by the plant, the myco-heterotroph has 'chosen' from the existing fungal community the best partner to meet its nutrient demands. (ii) Similar to partner filtering by the fungi, the myco-heterotroph, owing to its parasitic-like interaction with fungi, has been 'rejected' by members of the fungal community until a fungus is 'tricked' into associating with the plant [\(Bruns](#page-5-0) et al. [2002](#page-5-0); [Egger & Hibbett 2004](#page-5-0)). These mechanisms are not necessarily mutually exclusive and could act in tandem to determine the mycobiont of adult myco-heterotrophic plants. In either case, the maintenance of a carbon supply is paramount for the survival of the myco-heterotroph, and it has been argued that once an appropriate fungal partner has been found, prior to the loss of photosynthesis, the plant fine-tunes its physiology to adapt to that particular fungus and is therefore incapable of broad host jumps [\(Bidartondo & Bruns 2002\)](#page-5-0).

Within the plant family Ericaceae, the mainly mycoheterotrophic subfamily Monotropoideae currently contains three tribes: Pterosporeae, Monotropeae and Pyroleae [\(Kron & Johnson 1997](#page-5-0); Kron et al[. 2002](#page-5-0)). Most species within this subfamily produce large quantities of dust seeds that lack an endosperm and are therefore fully dependent in the earlier stages of development on myco-heterotrophic nutrition [\(Leake 1994](#page-5-0)). While all species in the tribes Pterosporeae and Monotropeae remain fully myco-heterotrophic throughout their life cycle, most members of Pyroleae form leaves and are capable of fixing carbon through photosynthesis. At least one species in Pyroleae (Ericaceae), Pyrola aphylla Sm., is fully myco-heterotrophic [\(Camp 1940](#page-5-0); [Haber](#page-5-0) [1987;](#page-5-0) [Hynson](#page-5-0) et al. 2009), and there is some evidence that its green relatives may be partially mycoheterotrophic [\(Tedersoo](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Hynson](#page-5-0) et al. 2009). Owing to the similarity of Pyrola aphylla's geographical distribution and floral morphology to P. picta, until recently they were not considered separate species; rather  $P$ . aphylla was thought to be a rare variety of P. picta. However, molecularly based phylogenies have separated the two as distinct species (D. Jolles 2009, personal communication).

All ericaceous myco-heterotrophs studied to date are epiparasites that exhibit specificity towards narrow phylogenetic lineages of EM fungi [\(Bidartondo & Bruns](#page-5-0) [2005](#page-5-0)). However, the fungal associates of  $P$ . aphylla have yet to be determined, and it remains unclear whether the loss of photosynthesis in this family is contingent on specializing on a particular group of ectomycorrhizal (EM) fungi ([Bidartondo](#page-5-0) et al. 2004). From a previous study conducted by [Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. (2007), there is some evidence that the green sister species to  $P$ . aphylla,  $P$ . picta, associates with a broad range of EM fungi, but these data were California. In the current study, we hypothesize that if the loss or reduction of photosynthesis first involves specializing on a particular group of EM fungi, then adults of both the potentially partially myco-heterotrophic  $$ and its sister taxon, the myco-heterotrophic P. aphylla, should associate with a phylogenetically narrow range of fungi throughout a broad geographical area. To address this hypothesis, we collected root systems from  $P$ . picta and P. aphylla plants from throughout their geographical range in northern California and southern Oregon, and used DNA sequence analysis to identify the fungi associated with both species.

collected from only a few plants at a single site in northern

# 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### (a) Sample collection and locations

Root systems from 12 Pyrola aphylla and 11 P. picta plants were collected over a period of approximately one and a half years from seven forest sites throughout northern California and southern Oregon. All forests are dominated by second-growth conifer species. Collected plants and their locations are summarized in the electronic supplementary material, table S1. In the field, entire plants were dug up, loose soil was removed and the remaining above- and below-ground plant parts were then placed in plastic bags on ice and transported back to the University of California Berkeley where they were washed and examined under a microscope for colonized roots. Root colonization was identified based on the presence of at least one of the following features known in pyroloid mycorrhizas: presence of a Hartig net, presence of intracellular hyphae and/or coils in epidermal root cells, and/or presence of a fungal sheath ([Lar-](#page-5-0)gent et al[. 1980;](#page-5-0) [Read 1983;](#page-6-0) [Robertson & Robertson 1985](#page-6-0); [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al. 2008). Fungal colonization was mainly found on second- and third-order roots ([figure 1](#page-2-0)). All colonized root fragments from a single plant were washed thoroughly in a series of de-ionized  $H_2O$  baths, put in 300  $\mu$ l CTAB buffer and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until molecular analysis. This procedure was repeated for each root system of every plant collected, resulting in 72 colonized root sections of P. aphylla and 70 of P. picta; each root fragment was approximately 5-6 mm in length. To examine rootlevel fungal specificity in coordination with root development, two colonized root pieces were sectioned from a single P. aphylla plant sampled in October of 2007 from El Dorado National Forest. These two root pieces were sectioned from the root tip back every 15 epidermal root cells (similar to [Selosse](#page-6-0) et al. 2002). The root pieces varied in total length, not in diameter; hence every section contained approximately the same number of cells, but the total number of sections made per root differed depending on total colonized root length. In total, one root had a total of 10 sections (RT1) and the other four (RT2). In the molecular analyses, these samples were treated slightly differently from the other relatively larger root fragments and will be referred to as the root-scape samples.

#### (b) Molecular identification of Pyrola root fungi

Individual colonized root fragments suspended in CTAB buffer were thawed at  $65^{\circ}$ C and frozen in liquid nitrogen three times to soften the tissue before grinding with a micropestle. DNA was then extracted from each root fragment using the Qiagen DNeasy kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. A cross section at  $40\times$  magnification of a colonized root of P. aphylla showing hyphal coils within the plant's para-epidermal root cells. Photo courtesy of Martin I. Bidartondo.

following the manufacturer's instructions. Using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified with the fungalspecific primer combination ITS1F [\(Gardes & Bruns 1993](#page-5-0)) and ITS4 (White et al[. 1990\)](#page-6-0) and PCR conditions described in [Gardes & Bruns \(1993\).](#page-5-0) Despite our efforts to thoroughly wash root sections, it is possible that fungal hyphae attached to the surface of Pyrola roots that did not have an existing fungal mantle were detected in our PCR reactions and that these hyphae are not necessarily mycorrhizal with  $P$ . picta or P. aphylla. For all samples except the root-scape ones, positive PCR products from the root systems of individual P. picta or P. aphylla plants were then pooled. These pooled single-plant products were purified using the StrataPrep PCR Purification Kit (Stratagen, La Jolla, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and eluting the cleaned PCR products into 50 ml of Nuclease Free Water (ISC BioExpress, Kaysville, UT, USA). Pooled PCR products were cloned using TOPO TA Kit for sequencing (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Positive transformants were screened using X-Gal (BioVectra dcl, Charlottetown, P.E.I., Canada), picked and then amplified with plasmid primers M13 forward and M13 reverse. Positive clones were then cleaned for sequencing using  $0.5 \mu l$  of Exosap-IT (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and  $1 \mu l$ of  $dH_2O$  combined with 3.5  $\mu$ l of PCR product. Clean PCR products were then unidirectionally sequenced using the plasmid primer T3. DNA sequencing was performed on an ABI3100 Genetic Analyser using BigDye v. 3.1 chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and absolute ethanol/EDTA precipitation. All sequences from each singleplant clone library were aligned at 98 per cent similarity using SEQUENCHER v. 4.2.2 (Gene Codes Corporation). Each 98 per cent minimum similarity clone pool was defined as a single fungal operational taxonomic unit (OTU). The pools of clone sequences were checked for chimeras by blasting the ITS1 and ITS2 regions separately, and any identified chimeric sequences were excluded from further analyses. The longest fragment of the dominant haplotype of each OTU was BLASTed in NCBI's GenBank to ascertain taxonomic affinity.

Genomic DNA was extracted, amplified, cloned and sequenced from the root-scape samples as described above, except that positive PCR products were not pooled and a larger fragment that included part of the nuclear large subunit rRNA gene was amplified from the original DNA extracts using the primer pair ITS 1F [\(Gardes & Bruns](#page-5-0) [1993](#page-5-0)) and TW13 [\(Taylor & Bruns 1999](#page-6-0)). Clone libraries for these samples represent individual root fragments rather than entire root systems. Clone sequences were edited in a similar fashion as above and once pools of clone sequences were resolved at 98 per cent or more similarity, we used SEQUENCHER to compare them with sequences derived from other root sections to determine whether there was any OTU overlap among root sections. From all final OTU sequence pools, the longest fragment of the dominant haplotype was selected for submission to GenBank (accession numbers FJ440860-FJ440949), except for those matching the fungi of  $P$  *picta* sampled in 2005, which were already submitted by Zimmer et al[. \(2007\)](#page-6-0).

# 3. RESULTS

## (a) Morphology of pyroloid mycorrhizas

Both Pyrola picta and P. aphylla were found to associate with a suite of root-inhabiting and mycorrhizal fungi [\(table 1](#page-3-0); electronic supplementary material, table S1) and, unlike all other ericaceous fully myco-heterotrophic plants studied to date,  $P$ . aphylla shows no specificity towards any particular EM fungus ([table 1\)](#page-3-0). The root systems of all 12 P. aphylla plants produced a total of 204 positive clones matching root-inhabiting fungi, resulting in 47 OTUs ([figure 2;](#page-4-0) electronic supplementary material, table S1). Pyrola picta roots from 11 plants produced a total of 203 positive clones matching rootinhabiting fungi, resulting in 61 OTUs [\(figure 2;](#page-4-0) electronic supplementary material, table S1). The root morphology of both Pyrola species was basically identical, with colonized roots found either off the plants' long white rhizome or axial buds in the dark first-, secondand third-order lateral roots ([Holm 1898](#page-5-0); [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al[. 2008\)](#page-5-0). The overall root morphology of both species was similar to what [Leake \(1994\)](#page-5-0) referred to as filiform a root system that is poorly developed and lacks root hairs, which is typical of autotrophic herbs. Similar to other pyroloid mycorrhizas, colonized roots of both species had various morphotypes: some had fungal mantles or hyphal mats surrounding the roots; others had distinct Hartig nets, but lacked a mantle [\(Robertson &](#page-6-0) [Robertson 1985;](#page-6-0) [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al. 2008; [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al. [2008](#page-6-0)). However, the clearest indicator of mycorrhization was the presence of intracellular fungal coils within the para-epidermal cells of the roots ([Robertson & Robertson](#page-6-0) [1985](#page-6-0); [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al. 2008; figure 1).

#### (b) Identities of fungal associates of Pyrola aphylla and Pyrola picta

Of the 72 root fragments of Pyrola aphylla plants from which DNA was extracted, 72 per cent had positive PCR products, whereas 85 per cent of the 70 root <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1. Summary of ectomycorrhizal (EM) associates of Pyrola aphylla and P. picta. Additional fungal associates of both species such as endophytic, ericoid, saprophytic and fungi of unknown trophic status can be the found in the electronic supplementary material, table S1.





 ${}^{a}$ EM taxa shared between *P. picta* and *P. aphylla.*  ${}^{b}I$  inequal the contain EM taxe all other

**EXECUTE CONTROLLER CONTROLLER CONTROLLER CONTROL** EM fungi.

fragments of P. picta had successful PCR reactions. From all clone sequences of both plant species, a total of 91 OTUs were determined; 31 were unique to P. aphylla roots, 44 to P. picta roots and 16 were shared between the two plant species ([figure 2](#page-4-0); electronic supplementary material, tables S1 and S2). The two most abundant fungal OTUs were a fungal endophyte in the genus Phialocephala and an EM fungus in the genus Piloderma [\(figure 2](#page-4-0); electronic supplementary material, tables S1 and S2). Based on best BLAST matches, 44 per cent of the OTUs from all  $P$ . aphylla roots are fungi known to form ectomycorrhizas, 6 per cent are fungi traditionally thought to strictly form ericoid mycorrhizas, 6 per cent are saprotrophic or necrotrophic fungi, 19 per cent are root endophytes and 24 per cent are fungi of unknown trophic status. Of all the fungal OTUs from the root systems of  $P$ . *picta* plants, a total of 56 per cent are

known EM fungi, 5 per cent are ericoid mycorrhizal fungi, 3 per cent are saprotrophic or necrotrophic fungi, 19 per cent are root endophytes and 17 per cent are fungi of unknown trophic status. In one  *aphylla plant,* we failed to detect any mycorrhizal fungi from the pooled PCR products of 12 colonized root fragments. However, this happened to be the same plant that was sampled for the root-scape study, and from these root fragments EM fungi were detected (electronic supplementary material, table S3), indicating that there may have been some bias for non-mycorrhizal taxa such as ascomycetous endophytes in the pooling/cloning technique. The mycorrhizal OTUs identified from the roots of P. aphylla and P. picta fell into a total of 20 fungal families, 10 with each plant species, and the largest percentage (19% in  $P$ . *aphylla* and 35% in  $P$ . *picta*) belonged to the Russulaceae. There was little intra- or interspecific overlap between the observed fungi from Pyrola roots (even from the same site and sampling time), reflecting the high diversity of fungi associated with both species in our limited sampling [\(figure 2\)](#page-4-0).

## (c) Pyrola aphylla root-scape fungi

The two root pieces collected from a single root system of P. aphylla resulted in ten root sections from RT1 that produced nine positive PCR products, while RT2 produced three from the four total root sections. When each of these products was cloned in individual cloning reactions, RT1 produced 98 positive clone sequences matching rootinhabiting fungi and RT2 produced 30. At 98 per cent sequence similarity, RT1 contained 15 distinct OTUs: two were EM fungi, seven were root endophytes, three were plant pathogens, two were saprophytes and one was an ascomycete of unknown trophic status (electronic supplementary material, table S3). Five distinct OTUs were found in RT2: one endophyte, three saprophytes and one Atheliaceae of unknown trophic status (electronic supplementary material, table S3). No OTUs were shared between the two roots. Interestingly, RT1 contained two fungal endophyte OTUs (Phialocephala species 4 and 5) that matched those of other  $P$ . aphylla roots from the same plant and from a neighbouring  *picta plant collected* during the same sampling period. RT2 contained one OTU (Cadophora species 2) that matched P. aphylla roots collected in Umpqua National Forest (electronic supplementary material, table S3).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Pyrola aphylla represents the first ericaceous mycoheterotroph to lack adult fungal specificity. In all previous studies on the mycorrhizal fungi associated with mycoheterotrophs, the only other plants that have been found to associate with multiple families of EM fungi are albino orchids in the otherwise mainly green genera Cephalanthera and Epipactis [\(Selosse](#page-6-0) et al. 2004; [Julou](#page-5-0) et al[. 2005](#page-5-0); [Abadie](#page-5-0) et al. 2006). The lack of fungal specificity in P. aphylla indicates that it is not strictly necessary for a myco-heterotroph to specialize on a particular group of fungi to meet its carbon demands, nor is the loss of photosynthesis contingent upon mycorrhizal specialization. Both  $P$ , picta and  $P$ , aphylla were found to associate with mainly EM fungi from a diversity of fungal families that also form ectomycorrhizae with

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 2. Ranked abundance curve of fungal OTUs associated with individual Pyrola aphylla (grey bars) and P. picta (black bars) plants. OTU number identities can be found in electronic supplementary material, table S2.

overstorey trees [\(table 1](#page-3-0)). The association with EM fungi in both Pyrola species provides further evidence for potential epiparasitism in this group, especially in the case of P. aphylla owing to its lack of photosynthetic tissues and the similarity of its carbon stable isotope signature to other ericaceous myco-heterotrophic epiparasites [\(Hynson](#page-5-0) et al. 2009). However, it remains unknown which of these EM fungi are functionally responsible for carbon transfer from overstorey host trees to P. aphylla. Owing to the dust-seed morphology of pyroloids, it is clear that they require fungal nutrition in their early stages of development but, which, if any, of the fungi identified from adult plants are responsible for stimulating seed germination remains unknown. However, there is some indication that seedlings of green Pyrola species harbour sebacinoid fungi ([Smith & Read 2008\)](#page-6-0). It is possible that pyroloids exhibit higher fungal specificity at germination than adult plants, and this could explain the rarity of such species as  $P$ . aphylla [\(Bidartondo &](#page-5-0) [Read 2008](#page-5-0)). This has been somewhat demonstrated through an ongoing seed burial experiment by the authors where small packets containing hundreds of  $P$ . aphylla seeds buried in situ have not germinated after 4 years (data not included).

Previous studies support our findings that Pyroleae associate with a suite of endophytic, ericoid and EM species belonging to both the Basidiomycota and Ascomycota ([Largent](#page-5-0) et al. 1980; [Read 1983;](#page-6-0) [Robertson &](#page-6-0) [Robertson 1985;](#page-6-0) [Tedersoo](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. [2007;](#page-6-0) [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al. 2008; [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al. 2008). Even though adult pyroloid plants have shown little to no specialization towards particular groups of EM fungi,

7

some fungal genera such as Tomentella [\(Tedersoo](#page-6-0) et al. [2007;](#page-6-0) [Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Massicotte](#page-5-0) et al. 2008; [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al. 2008; this study), Sebacina, Wilcoxina and Inocybe [\(Tedersoo](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al. 2008; this study) appear to be common symbionts of Pyroleae species. Fungal endophytes in the genus Phialocephala and the order Helotiales, which may contain some EM species (Vrålstad et al. 2002), also appear to be common associates of Pyroleae, though their functional role within the plant roots is unclear. Pyroloids also associate with EM fungal genera and species such as Russula ([Zimmer](#page-6-0) et al. 2007; [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al[. 2008](#page-6-0) and this study), Tricholoma [\(Tedersoo](#page-6-0) et al. [2007;](#page-6-0) [Vincenot](#page-6-0) et al. 2008) and Rhizopogon salebrosus (this study) that are known to form mycorrhizas with other ericaceous myco-heterotrophs [\(Bidartondo 2005\)](#page-5-0).

Despite the small sample sizes, the results from the root-scape root fragments of P. aphylla provide further insight into pyroloid mycorrhizas. In the root-scape samples, both root apices harboured multiple EM species and different fungi were found in both tips. This result indicates that even at the fine spatial scale of a few root cells, there is no evidence of fungal specialization in P. aphylla. For example, within the first 30 epidermal root cells back from the actively growing meristem of RT1, we identified a species of *Hysterangium*, which has been previously recorded from morphological studies of Pyrola secunda (= Orthilia secunda) roots by [Robertson &](#page-6-0) [Robertson \(1985\)](#page-6-0); also found in the same root section was a species of Rhizopogon and an unknown ascomycete. A fungus in the family Atheliaceae, which is known to contain some EM taxa [\(Plamboeck](#page-5-0) et al. 2007), was

<span id="page-5-0"></span>found in the first 15 epidermal root cells of RT2, the shorter root piece collected from the same plant.

The lack of fungal specificity in *, aphylla reveals new* information on the ordering of the steps from autotrophy to full myco-heterotrophy. Excepting two potentially fully myco-heterotrophic orchids in the genus Aphyllorchis (Roy et al[. in press](#page-6-0)), all myco-heterotrophic plants that have not specialized on a set of EM fungi either exhibit some normally green individuals, as in the albino orchids within the tribe Neottieae, or some individuals with small basal leaves and green flowering stalks, as in  $P$ . aphylla (Hynson et al. 2009). This phenotypic plasticity could potentially be due to more recent losses of photosynthetic abilities among these species compared with the consistently achlorophyllous myco-heterotrophic species in the Monotropoideae and Neottieae. Therefore, though the loss of photosynthetic abilities may not be contingent on fungal specialization, once photosynthesis is lost, there may be strong selective pressures to specialize on a particular fungal group (Bronstein 2001; Bidartondo & Bruns 2002). The tribe Pyroleae provides a unique group among the Ericaceae for studying the transition from autotrophy to myco-heterotrophy owing to the range of trophic strategies among closely related species. Now that the identities of the fungal associates of  $P$ . aphylla have been surveyed, what is of pressing interest is which of these fungi are responsible for nutrient exchange between overstorey trees  $P$ . aphylla and possibly  $P$ . picta at various stages of plant growth and establishment.

The authors would like to thank Rachel Adams for field assistance, Shannon Schechter for laboratory assistance, and Martin Bidartondo, Kabir Peay, Peter Kennedy and David Read for many valuable conversations regarding this project, as well as Marc-Andre Selosse and one anonymous reviewer for valuable comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

## **REFERENCES**

- Abadie, J. C., Puttsepp, U., Gebauer, G., Faccio, A., Bonfante, P. & Selosse, M. A. 2006 Cephalanthera longifolia (Neottieae, Orchidaceae) is mixotrophic: a comparative study between green and nonphotosynthetic individuals. Can. J. Bot. 84, 1462-1477. ([doi:10.1139/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/B06-101) [B06-101](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/B06-101))
- Battles, J. J., Shlisky, A. J., Barrett, R. H., Heald, R. C. & Allen-Diaz, B. H. 2001 The effects of forest management on plant species diversity in a Sierran conifer forest. Forest Ecol. Manage. 146, 211 –222. [\(doi:10.1016/S0378-](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00463-1) [1127\(00\)00463-1\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00463-1)
- Bidartondo, M. I. 2005 The evolutionary ecology of mycoheterotrophy. New Phytol. 167, 335–352. ([doi:10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01429.x) [j.1469-8137.2005.01429.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01429.x)
- Bidartondo, M. I. & Bruns, T. D. 2002 Fine-level mycorrhizal specificity in the Monotropoideae (Ericaceae): specificity for fungal species groups. Mol. Ecol. 11, 557 –569. ([doi:10.1046/j.0962-1083.2001.01443.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.0962-1083.2001.01443.x)
- Bidartondo, M. I. & Bruns, T. D. 2005 On the origins of extreme mycorrhizal specificity in the Monotropoideae (Ericaceae): performance trade-offs during seed germination and seedling development. Mol. Ecol. 14, 1549–1560. ([doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02503.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02503.x)
- Bidartondo, M. I. & Read, D. J. 2008 Fungal specificity bottlenecks during orchid germination and development. Mol. Ecol. 17, 3707–3716.
- Bidartondo, M. I., Redecker, D., Hijri, I., Wiemken, A., Bruns, T. D., Dominguez, L., Sersic, A., Leake, J. R. & Read, D. J. 2002 Epiparasitic plants specialized on

exceptions tell us? Integr. Comp. Biol. 42, 352-359. [\(doi:10.1093/icb/42.2.352\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1093/icb/42.2.352)

> Camp, W. H. 1940 Aphyllous forms in Pyrola. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 67, 453 –465. [\(doi:10.2307/2480967\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.2307/2480967)

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Nature 419, 389 –392.

Bidartondo, M. I., Burghardt, B., Gebauer, G., Bruns, T. D. & Read, D. J. 2004 Changing partners in the dark: isotopic and molecular evidence of ectomycorrhizal liaisons between forest orchids and trees. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271,

Bronstein, J. L. 2001 The exploitation of mutualisms. Ecol. Lett. 4, 277–287. [\(doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00218.x](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00218.x)) Bruns, T. D., Bidartondo, M. I. & Taylor, D. L. 2002 Host specificity in ectomycorrhizal communities: what do the

1799–1806. [\(doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2807\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2807)

[\(doi:10.1038/nature01054](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1038/nature01054))

- Egger, K. N. & Hibbett, D. S. 2004 The evolutionary implications of exploitation in mycorrhizas. Can. J. Bot. 82, 1110–1121. ([doi:10.1139/b04-056](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/b04-056))
- Gardes, M. & Bruns, T. D. 1993 ITS primers with enhanced specificity for Basidiomycetes—application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Mol. Ecol. 2, 113-118. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x))
- Haber, E. 1987 Variability distribution and systematics of Pyrola picta sensu-lato Ericaceae in western North America. Syst. Bot. 12, 324–335. ([doi:10.2307/2419328](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.2307/2419328))
- Holm, T. 1898 Pyrola aphylla: a morphological study. Bot. Gazette 25, 246–254. [\(doi:10.1086/327666](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1086/327666))
- Hynson, N. A., Preiss, K., Gebauer, G. & Bruns, T. D. 2009 Isotopic evidence of full and partial myco-heterotrophy in the plant tribe Pyroleae (Ericaceae). New Phytol. 182, 719 –726. ([doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02781.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02781.x)
- Julou, T., Burghardt, B., Gebauer, G., Berveiller, D., Damesin, C. & Selosse, A. 2005 Mixotrophy in orchids: insights from a comparative study of green individuals and nonphotosynthetic individuals of Cephalanthera damasonium. New Phytol. 166, 639–653. ([doi:10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01364.x) [j.1469-8137.2005.01364.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01364.x)
- Kron, K. A. & Johnson, S. L. 1997 Phylogenetic analysis of the monotropoids and pyroloids (Ericaceae) using nrITS and 18s sequence data. Am.  $\tilde{f}$ . Bot. 84, 205-206.
- Kron, K. A., Judd, W. S., Stevens, P. F., Crayn, D. M., Anderberg, A. A., Gadek, P. A., Quinn, C. J. & Luteyn, J. L. 2002 Phylogenetic classification of Ericaceae: molecular and morphological evidence. Bot. Rev. 68, 335–423. ([doi:10.1663/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1663/0006-8101(2002)068[0335:PCOEMA]2.0.CO;2) [0006-8101\(2002\)068\[0335:PCOEMA\]2.0.CO;2\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1663/0006-8101(2002)068[0335:PCOEMA]2.0.CO;2)
- Largent, D. L., Sugihara, N. & Wishner, C. 1980 Occurrence of mycorrhizae on ericaceous and pyrolaceous plants in northern California. Can. J. Bot. 58, 2274–2279. ([doi:10.1139/b80-262](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/b80-262))
- Leake, J. R. 1994 Tansley review No. 69. The biology of myco-heterotrophic ('saprophytic') plants. New Phytol. 127, 171–216. ([doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb04272.x](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb04272.x))
- Leake, J. R. 2004 Myco-heterotroph/epiparasitic plant interactions with ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7, 422 –428. ([doi:10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2004.04.004) [j.pbi.2004.04.004\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2004.04.004)
- Massicotte, H. B., Melville, L. H., Tackaberry, L. E. & Peterson, R. L. 2008 A comparative study of mycorrhizas in several genera of Pyroleae (Ericaceae) from western Canada. Botany 86, 610–622. [\(doi:10.1139/B08-027\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/B08-027)
- Ogura-Tsujita, Y., Gebauer, G., Hashimoto, T., Umata, H. & Yukawa, T. 2009 Evidence for novel and specialized mycorrhizal parasitism: the orchid Gastrodia confusa gains carbon from saprotrophic Mycena. Proc. R. Soc. B 276, 761–767. [\(doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1225\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1225)
- Plamboeck, A. H., Dawson, T. E., Egerton-Warburton, L. M., North, M., Bruns, T. D. & Querejeta, J. I. 2007 Water transfer via ectomycorrhizal fungal hyphae to conifer seedlings. Mycorrhiza 17, 439-447. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00572-007-0119-4) [1007/s00572-007-0119-4](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00572-007-0119-4))
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Read, D. J. 1983 The biology of mycorrhiza in the Ericales. Can. *J. Bot.* 61, 985-1004. ([doi:10.1139/b83-107\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/b83-107)
- Robertson, D. & Robertson, J. 1985 Ultrastructural aspects of Pyrola mycorrhizae. Can.  $\tilde{f}$ . Bot. 63, 1089-1098. [\(doi:10.1139/b85-150](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/b85-150))
- Roy, M., Watthana, S., Stier, A., Richard, F., Vessabutr, S. & Selosse, M.-A. In press. Two mycoheterotrophic orchids from Thailand tropical dipterocarpacean forests associate with a broad diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi. BMC Ecology.
- Selosse, M.-A., Weiss, M., Jany, J.-L. & Tillier, A. 2002 Communities and populations of sebacinoid basidiomycetes associated with the achlorophyllous orchid Neottia nidus-avis (L.) L.C.M. Rich. and neighbouring tree ectomycorrhizae. Mol. Ecol. 11, 1831–1844. ([doi:10.1046/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01553.x) [j.1365-294X.2002.01553.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01553.x)
- Selosse, M. A., Faccio, A., Scappaticci, G. & Bonfante, P. 2004 Chlorophyllous and achlorophyllous specimens of Epipactis microphylla (Neottieae, Orchidaceae) are associated with ectomycorrhizal Septomycetes, including truffles. Microb. Ecol. 47, 416–426. ([doi:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00248-003-2034-3) [s00248-003-2034-3](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00248-003-2034-3))
- Smith, S. E. & Read, D. J. 2008 Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 3rd edn. London, UK: Academic Press.
- Taylor, D. L. & Bruns, T. D. 1999 Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Pinus muricata forest: minimal overlap between the mature forest and resistant propagule communities. Mol. Ecol. 8, 1837–1850. ([doi:10.1046/](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00773.x) [j.1365-294x.1999.00773.x](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00773.x))
- Taylor, D. L., Bruns, T. D., Leake, J. R. & Read, D. J. 2002 Mycorrhizal specificity and function in mycoheterotrophic plants. In Ecological studies. Mycorrhizal ecology (eds I. R. Sanders & M. van der Heijden), pp. 375–414. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag.
- Taylor, D. L., Bruns, T. D. & Hodges, S. A. 2004 Evidence for mycorrhizal races in a cheating orchid. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271, 35–43. ([doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2557](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2557))
- Tedersoo, L., Pellet, P., Koljalg, U. & Selosse, M. A. 2007 Parallel evolutionary paths to mycoheterotrophy in understorey Ericaceae and Orchidaceae: ecological evidence for mixotrophy in Pyroleae. Oecologia 151, 206-217. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00442-006-0581-2) [1007/s00442-006-0581-2\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00442-006-0581-2)
- Vincenot, L., Tedersoo, L., Richard, F., Horcine, H., Kõljalg, U. & Selosse, A. 2008 Fungal associates of Pyrola rotundifolia, a mixotrophic Ericaceae, from two Estonian boreal forests. Mycorrhiza 19, 15–25. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00572-008-0199-9) [1007/s00572-008-0199-9\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s00572-008-0199-9)
- Vrålstad, T., Schumacher, T. & Taylor, A. F. S. 2002 Mycorrhizal synthesis between fungal strains of the Hymenoscyphus ericae aggregate and potential ectomycorrhizal and ericoid hosts. New Phytol. 153, 143–152. [\(doi:10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00290.x](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00290.x))
- Whigham, D. 2004 Ecology of woodland herbs in temperate deciduous forests. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 35, 583 –621. ([doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105708) [105708](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105708))
- White, T., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. 1990 Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In PCR protocols—a guide to methods and applications (eds M. Innis, D. Gelfand, J. Sninsky & T. White), pp. 315 –322. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Zimmer, K., Hynson, N. A., Gebauer, G., Allen, E. B., Allen, M. F. & Read, D. J. 2007 Wide geographical and ecological distribution of nitrogen and carbon gains from fungi in pyroloids and monotropoids (Ericaceae) and in orchids. New Phytol. 175, 166-175. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02065.x) [1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02065.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02065.x)