Inhibition of SIRT1 deacetylase suppresses estrogen receptor signaling

Yuan Yao, Hongzhe Li¹, Yansong Gu¹, Nancy E.Davidson² and Qun Zhou*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA, ¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA and ²University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA 15232, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 410 706 1615; Fax: +1 410 706 8297; Email: qzhou@som.umaryland.edu

Estrogen receptor α (ER α) mediates estrogen-dependent gene transcription, which plays a critical role in mammary gland development, reproduction and homeostasis. Histone acetyltransferases and class I and class II histone deacetylases (HDACs) cause posttranscriptional modification of histone proteins that participate in ER α signaling. Here, we report that human SIRT1, a class III HDAC, regulates ERa expression. Inhibition of SIRT1 activity by sirtinol suppresses ERa expression through disruption of basal transcriptional complexes at the ERa promoter. This effect leads to inhibition of estrogen-responsive gene expression. Our in vitro observations were further extended that SIRT1 knockout reduces ERa protein in mouse mammary gland. Finally, ERa-mediated estrogen response genes are also decreased in mouse embryonic fibroblasts derived from SIRT1knockout mice. These results suggest that inhibition of SIRT1 deacetylase activity by either pharmacological inhibitors or genetic depletion impairs ER α -mediated signaling pathways.

Introduction

Estrogen, such as 17β-estradiol (E2), is synthesized locally or peripherally via aromatization (1). Compelling evidence demonstrates that estrogen is essential for mammary gland development as well as breast carcinogenesis (1,2). The biological functions of estrogen are elicited through estrogen receptor α (ER α)-mediated signaling pathways. This process involves ligand binding, followed by ERa dimerization and receptor binding to estrogen response elements at the promoter of estrogen-responsive genes such as pS2 and progesterone receptor (PR) (2). ERa acts in conjunction with coactivators important for stimulation of gene expression (3). It has been known that members of the steroid receptor coactivator (SRC) family (SRC-1, SRC-2 and SRC-3/AIB1) participate in the regulation of ER α -dependent gene expression (4). Studies of estrogen action have demonstrated that SRC family proteins are associated with histone acetyltransferases such as p300/CBP, which create histone acetylation affecting the accessibility of the promoter chromatin. This active chromatin subsequently recruits additional nuclear receptor coactivators and transcription factors at the ERa target gene promoters and ultimately leads to activation of gene transcription (5).

Mammalian histone deacetylases (HDACs) can be classified as class I (HDAC1–3 and 8), class II (HDAC4–7 and HDAC9–10), class III (SIRT1–7) or class IV (HDAC11) based on their protein structure and enzymatic activity. Class I, II and IV HDACs use zinc as a cofactor for their enzyme activity. In contrast, class III HDACs require nicotinamide adenosine dinucleotide (NAD+) as their cofactor and are insensitive to class I, II and IV HDAC inhibitors (6). HDAC1 can act as a corepressor at the ERα promoter and silences ERα gene as shown in an ERα-

Abbreviations: ER α , estrogen receptor α ; E2, 17 β -estradiol; HDAC, histone deacetylase; MEF, murine embryonic fibroblast; mRNA, messenger RNA; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PR, progesterone receptor; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SRC, steroid receptor coactivator.

negative breast cancer cell culture model (7). In addition, HDACs can directly interact with ER α protein and regulate its downstream gene transcription (8,9). Class I and II HDACs can reverse p300-mediated acetylation in ER α , thereby inhibiting ER α -dependent gene transcription (10). Several specific class I and II HDAC family members have been shown to modulate ER α function. For example, inhibition of HDAC2 by small interfering RNA (siRNA) downregulates ER α expression, which attenuates estrogen response and potentiates anti-estrogen therapy (11). HDAC4 interacts with the N-terminus of ER α and stimulates its binding to estrogen-responsive gene promoters leading to suppression of ER α transcription (12). HDAC6 is also capable of a direct interaction with ER α in the cytoplasm and facilitates the non-genomic action of estrogens (13). Moreover, inhibition of HDAC6 depletes ER α and downregulates estrogen-induced gene transcription (14).

Among the class III HDACs, SIRT1 deacetylase modulates the activity of histone proteins as well as a number of transcription factors, including p53, FOXO1, nuclear factor kappa B and p300 (15,16). However, the function of SIRT1 remains controversial. For example, studies show that SIRT1 may function as a tumor suppressor gene because SIRT1-deficient mice develop tumors in multiple tissues, whereas SIRT1 overexpression inhibits intestinal tumorigenisis in SIRT1 transgenic mice (17,18). Several studies support the notion that SIRT1 acts as an oncogene since SIRT1 inhibitors reduce tumor cell growth (19-21). SIRT2 predominantly localizes in the cytoplasm and deacetylates α -tubulin (22). The targets of other sirtuin family members are not clear. While much progress has been made in understanding the role of specific class I or class II HDAC family members in ERa-mediated signaling, it remains unclear whether class III HDACs play a key role in regulation of ERa function. We have previously found that SIRT1-deficient female mice display lactation failure due to a development defect in mammary gland development (23). In the present study, we found that inhibition of the SIRT1 deacetylase activity suppresses ERa expression and attenuates estrogen-dependent gene transcription in breast cancer cell lines. These results demonstrate that the enzymatic activity of SIRT1 deacetylase affects the efficacy of ERa-mediated signaling pathways in differentiated epithelial cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

MCF-7, T47D and MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% glutamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Cells were grown at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Primary murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% minimum essential medium (MEM), 1% *N*-2-hydroxyethylpiper-azine-*N*'-2-ethanesulfonic acid, 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% L-glutamine (Invitrogen).

siRNA transfection

Cells were plated in six-well plates at a density of 5×10^5 cells per well. A final concentration of 100 nmol/l siRNA against SIRT1 (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO) was transfected into the cells with Oligofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Seventy-two hours after transfection, cells were then treated with or without the designated drugs.

Luciferase reporter assays

MCF-7 cells were seeded in six-well plates (5 \times 10⁵ cells per well) and transfected with ERP-Luc (24) and SIRT1 constructs (25) by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Forty hours after transfection, cell lysates were prepared in 500 µl 1× passive lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI), and luciferase activity was determined using the dual luciferase assay system (Promega). Luciferase activity was normalized to *Renilla* luciferase activity.

Immunoblotting

Whole cell lysates were prepared by lysing the cells with 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4). The supernatants were collected with

microcentrifugation. Equal amounts of protein were denatured in sodium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer and separated on 10% polyacrylamide gels. Separated proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane and probed with antibodies (SIRT1, cyclin D1, pS2 and ER α) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Protein expression was detected by chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham, Piscataway, NJ). The expression of β -actin was used as a loading control.

Messenger RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Messenger RNA (mRNA) was collected and complementary DNA was synthesized as described previously (24). Levels of $\text{ER}\alpha$, c-Myc, pS2 and PR mRNA were measured by reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or quantitative real-time PCR. Complementary DNA and primers were added into SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Los Angeles, CA) and measured using Lightcycler 480II (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). Data were analyzed and normalized to the GAPDH housekeeping gene.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative PCR

Briefly, cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde at 37°C for 10 min and then sonicated with a microtip ultransonicator on ice three times for 15 s each. Soluble chromatin was collected and incubated on a rotating platform with anti-RNAPII and TATA-binding protein antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) overnight at 4°C. Following immunoprecipitation and reversal of cross-linking, DNA was extracted in phenol–chloroform–isoamyl alcohol, precipitated in ethanol, resuspended in H₂O and diluted before quantitative realtime PCR analysis. The PCR primers were specific for ER α promoter (forward 5'-TGAACCGTCCGCAGCTCAAGATC-3' and reverse 5'-GTCTGACCG-TAGACCTGCGCGTTG-3'). PCR was performed and results were quantified.

Murine embryonic fibroblasts

Timed pregnancy was terminated on embryonic day 13.5, and littermate embryos were isolated in cold phosphate-buffered saline. For each embryo, the head was separated and stored in 10% formalin solution (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) for histology analysis. The torso was used to isolate MEFs, and the tail was digested with proteinase K (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) to extract DNA for genotyping. MEFs were obtained through three cycles of trypsin treatment.

Immunofluorescence imaging

Paraffin-embedded sections from mammary fat pads were prepared and incubated with anti-ER α antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Following washing, the slides were incubated with the secondary antibody, Texas Red (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and then washed with fetal bovine serum washing buffer. Finally, the slides were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Prolong Gold, Molecular Probes) and mounted with a glass coverslip. Microscopy was carried out on an AxioVert 200M microscope with AxioVision 4.5 software (Carl Zeiss).

SIRT1-knockout mouse development

SIRT1-knockout mice were generated as described previously (23). Briefly, the breeding of SIRT1^{co/co} mice and CMV-Cre transgenic mice results in mice harboring a germ line-transmitted deletion of exon 4 of the SIRT1 gene (SIRT1^{+/ko} mice). Both SIRT1^{co/co} mice and SIRT1^{ko/ko} mice were in a mixed 129SvJ/C57B6 background. Mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free facility and all procedures were approved by the University Animal Care and Use Committee. A PCR-based genotyping method was established to identify wild-type, co and ko loci of the SIRT1 gene.



Fig. 1. Effect of class III HDAC inhibitors on ER α expression. (A and B) Class III HDAC inhibitors suppress ER α expression. T47D and MCF-7 cells were treated with SN or nicotinamide (NIA) for 48 h, and mRNA levels of ER α were measured by reverse transcription–PCR and quantitative real-time PCR analysis. Columns represent mean real-time PCR results of four independent experiments. Error bars represent SEM. (C) SN downregulates protein levels of ER α . T47D cells were treated with SN for 48 h, and whole cell lysates were immunoblotted using anti-ER α antibodies. (D) SN inhibits ER α expression in estrogen-stimulated cells. T47D cells were treated with 10 nM E2, 100 μ M SN or combination both for 48 h. Whole cell lysates were immunoblotted using anti-ER α antibodies. Three experiments showed similar results.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni's *t*-test for independent samples, and the data are expressed as mean \pm SE.

Results

Inhibition of SIRT1 activity suppresses ERa transcription

To address the role of SIRT1 in ERa-mediated transcription, the ERapositive breast cancer cells MCF-7 and T47D were used as cell culture models to monitor effect of class III HDACs on ERa expression. Cells were treated with nicotinamide, a non-specific sirtuin inhibitor for class III HDAC enzymatic activity (26,27), or sirtinol (SN), a specific inhibitor for SIRT1 without affecting the other sirtuin members (28). Reverse transcription–PCR analysis showed that the level of $ER\alpha$ mRNA in T47D cells was reduced after 48 h of 100 µM SN treatment (Figure 1A). The level of ERa mRNA in MCF-7 cells was also reduced after treatment with SN (100 µM) and nicotinamide (20 mM) (Figure 1B). A quantitative real-time PCR analysis confirmed the reduction of ERa mRNA. These results demonstrated that inhibition of SIRT1 deacetylase activity reduces the steady-state level of ERa mRNA. To examine if downregulation of ERa is correlated with its protein level, western blot analysis showed that the ER α protein level was completely abolished while the level of SIRT1 protein remains unchanged in T47D cells after 48 h of treatment with 100 µM SN (Figure 1C). To further investigate the effect of nicotinamide and SN treatments on ERa, MCF-7 and T47D cells were grown in the same media but in the absence of estrogen for 72 h followed by the treatment with 10 nM E2, 100 µM SN or a combination of both for 48 h (Figure 1D). Although E2 stimulation slightly reduced ERa expression, treatment with either SN alone or SN with E2 caused a significant reduction of ERa protein in both cell lines tested. These data suggest that inhibition of SIRT1, a class III HDAC, suppresses $ER\alpha$ expression at both mRNA and protein levels.

SIRT1 regulates ERa promoter activity

To determine how the activity of SIRT1 affects ER α promoter activity, MCF-7 cells were transfected with ER α promoter reporter gene construct (1.0 kb upstream of the start site) that has been used to characterize effects of transcription regulators on ER α promoter activity (29). In the present study, the ER α promoter report construct was co-transfected into MCF-7 cell with either wild-type or mutant SIRT1 constructs. As shown in Figure 2A, co-transfection with wild-type SIRT1 increased the ER α promoter luciferase activity, whereas co-transfection with a catalytically inactive SIRT1 (SIRT1H355A that contains a mutation of histidine to arginine at position 355 in the SIRT1 protein) failed to alter the basal ER α promoter activity (Figure 2A). These findings demonstrated that SIRT1 deacetylase activity could enhance ER α promoter activity.

Inhibition of SIRT1 by SN disrupts transcription complexes at the ER α promoter

To investigate the effect of SIRT1 on chromatin organization at the ER α promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation assay and found that there is no association of SIRT1 with the ER α promoter (data not shown). To determine the possibility of SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of histone proteins at the promoter, we measured the acetylated H3-K9 and H4-K16 using chromatin immunoprecipitation assay assay since these two sites are known to be direct targets of SIRT1 in human cells (30,31) (Figure 2B). We found that inhibition of SIRT1 after SN treatment did not change the levels of acetylated H3-K9 and H4-K16 at the promoter in MCF-7 cells. However, treatment with SN depleted the occupancy of RNAPII and TBP (TATA-binding protein) at the ER α promoter (Figure 2C). These results indicate that,



Fig. 2. SIRT1 deacetylase regulates ER α transcription. (**A**) Effect of SIRT1 on ER α promoter reporter gene activity. MCF-7 cells (5 × 10⁵ cells per well in sixwell plates) were transfected with ERP and SIRT1 (wild-type or mutant SIRT1) constructs. After 40 h, the ERP luciferase activity was normalized renila luciferase activity and is shown as the mean value of three experiments; **P* < 0.05. (**B–D**) Recruitment of basal transcription factors at the ER α promoter can be disrupted by SN treatment. Formaldehyde cross-linked chromatin from MCF-7 cells treated with 100 μ M SN for 48 h was immunoprecipitated with antibodies specific for acetylated H3-K9, acetylated H4-K16, RNAPII and TATA-binding protein (TBP). The immune complexes were pulled down with protein A agarose/salmon sperm DNA beads and washed extensively as described in Materials and Methods, and cross-linking was reversed. The purified DNA was analyzed by PCR using specific primers spanning ER α promoter (B and C). IP, input. Mean values from quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation assay from three independent experiments is shown (D); **P* < 0.05.

while SIRT1 may not directly participate in the modification of histone protein at the promoter, inhibition of SIRT1 by treatment with SN disrupts the formation of RNAPII transcription complexes at the promoter and attenuates ER α transcription.

Treatment with SN suppresses ERa-mediated gene expression

To determine if depletion of ER α by SN alters estrogen-dependent gene expression, reverse transcription–PCR analysis of estrogenresponsive genes was performed. As shown in Figure 3A, treatment with E2 increased the mRNA levels of estrogen-responsive genes, PR, c-Myc and pS2, whereas treatment with SN alone reduced the basal levels of these genes. We observed that co-treatment with SN decreased the expression of estrogen-responsive genes. Consistent with the changes of gene expression at mRNA levels, treatment with SN alone markedly reduced protein levels of ER α and estrogenresponsive genes including pS2 and cyclin D1 (Figure 3B). The effect of SN on estrogen-responsive genes was further examined in MCF-7



Fig. 3. SK downregulates estrogen receptor signaling. (A) SN inhibits ER α mediated gene expression. MCF-7 cells were treated as indicated for 48 h and mRNA levels of PR, c-Myc and pS2 were measured by reverse transcription– PCR. (B) SN inhibits protein levels of pS2 and cyclin D1. MCF-7 cells were treated as indicated for 48 h and whole cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-ER α , pS2 or cyclin D1 antibodies. β -Actin was used as a loading control. (C) Effect of SN on pS2 and cyclinD1 in E2-treated cells. MCF-7 cells were treated with 10 nM E2, 100 μ M SN or combination of SN with increased concentrations of E2 (10–30 nM) for 48 h. Western blot analysis was performed using antibodies against cyclin D1, c-myc and pS2. Shown are representative blots from three independent experiments that gave similar results.

cells (Figure 3C). Co-treatment with SN attenuated E2-stimulated expression of pS2 and cyclinD1, and the SN inhibitory effect was not rescued by increased E2 concentration, suggesting that reduction of ER α expression leads to insensitivity of cells to estrogen stimulation.

SIRT1 deficiency leads to suppression of $ER\alpha$ expression in mouse mammary gland and MEF cells

To extend our *in vitro* observations into an *in vivo* system, we examined the effect of SIRT1 on ER α expression in SIRT1-deficient mice that harbor an in-frame mutation at the exon 4 encoding a part of SIRT1 catalytic domain. Similar to SIRT1-null mutant mice, this strain of SIRT1-deficient mice displays several characteristic phenotypes, such as increased perinatal death (about two-third of newborns) and growth retardation in surviving mice (23,32). However, it is not clear if ER α expression is altered in SIRT1-deficient mice. We examined ER α expression by staining mammary gland with anti-ER α antibody. We found that SIRT1-knockout mice show reduction of ER α expression in mammary gland (Figure 4A), suggesting that ER α was downregulated, which may relate to growth retardation in SIRT1-deficient mice.

To further evaluate the effect of SIRT1 deficiency on ER α signaling, we treated MEFs derived from SIRT1-deficient littermate embryos with E2. Treatment with E2 enhanced cyclin D1 expression in wild-type MEF cells, suggesting that ER α is functional and responsive to E2 (Figure 4B). We found that the ER α expression was markedly decreased as determined by western blot analysis in two independently derived SIRT1^{-/-} MEF cell lines, and induction of cyclin D1 by E2 was impaired in SIRT1^{-/-} MEFs (Figure 4B). While the wild-type MEF cells were sensitive to estrogen stimulation, SIRT1^{-/-} MEF cells showed less sensitivity to estrogen stimulation in 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-biphenyl tetrazolium bromide assay (Figure 4C). These data again demonstrated that SIRT1 plays a critical role for ER α -mediated estrogen response in ER α -expressing cells.

Discussion

In this study, we explored the role of SIRT1 in ER α -mediated signaling in human breast cancer cells, as well as in wild-type and SIRT1-deficient mice. The results of our studies indicate that the pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 leads to suppression of ERa expression by disrupting the basal transcription factor complex at the ERa promoter. As a result, the expression of estrogen-responsive genes including PR, cyclinD1 and pS2 is reduced. Supporting these observations, mice harboring an in-frame mutation in the SIRT1 gene have reduced levels of $ER\alpha$ expression in the mammary gland. SIRT1-/- MEF cells derived from this line of SIRT1-deficient mice are less sensitive to estrogen stimulation as compared with wild-type MEF cells. In mouse models, the altered ERa signaling may partially contribute to the SIRT1-deficient phenotypes of blunt mammary ductal morphogenesis (23). The results from our current studies provide evidence that inhibition of SIRT1 activity suppresses ERa expression and its mediated gene expression.

The role of SIRT1 in hormone receptor-mediated gene transcription is controversial. SIRT1 can act as a corepressor or coactivator to regulate androgen receptor nuclear signaling in prostate cancer cells (21,33–35). We observed that treatment with SN suppresses activation of estrogen-dependent genes. However, increased concentrations of estrogens are unable to overcome the SN action since treatment with SN depletes ER α expression and subsequently leads to insensitivity of cells to estrogen stimulation. Consistent with these observations, SIRT1–/– MEF cells derived from SIRT1-knockout mice show suppression of ER α -mediated estrogen response. Our studies suggest that the presence of SIRT1 deacetylase activity is necessary for ER α mediated signaling and support finding from other laboratories showing a positive role of SIRT1 in ER α signaling (10,20,36).

 $ER\alpha$ interacts with several coactivators and many nuclear proteins in response to estrogen stimulation. Our studies demonstrate that



Fig. 4. (A) Knockdown of SIRT1 decreases ER α expression in mammary gland. Mammary fat pads were fixed and stained with anti-ER α antibodies. The slides were incubated with the secondary antibody and counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Immunofluorescent staining ER α was visualized by an AxioVert 200M microscope (Carl Zeiss); magnification ×60. (B) SIRT1 deficiency inhibits estrogen-induced cyclin D1 in MEF cells. Protein levels of ER α were measured in two wild-type MEF cell lines (S1 and S2) and two SIRT1–/–MEF cell lines (D1 and D2) derived from SIRT1–/– animals (top panel). Wild-type and SIRT1–/– MEF cells were treated with increased concentrations of E2 for 48 h, and cyclin D1 from whole cell lysates was measured by western blot analysis. (C) SIRT1 deficiency impairs estrogen response in MEF cells. Wild-type and SIRT1–/– MEF cells (3 × 10⁴ cells per well in 96-well plates) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% charcoal–dextran-stripped fetal bovine serum for 72 h prior to start of the experiment. Cells were treated with E2 for 72 h and analyzed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-biphenyl tetrazolium bromide assay. Bars (mean ± SEM) indicate the average of three independent experiments.

SIRT1 is not directly associated with the ER α promoter, and inhibition of SIRT1 deacetylase activity is unable to alter H3-K9 and H4-K16, two specific markers modified by SIRT1 in humans. We also confirmed that inhibition of SIRT1 activity disrupts the association of RNAPII with the ER α promoter. Our data suggest that SIRT1 may not participate in histone modification but is involved in the formation of basal transcription complexes at the ER α promoter, which contributes to ER α downstream gene transcription (36).

It is important to note that our findings do not exclude the possibility of a SIRT1 effect on other components of ER α signaling that could also lead to estrogen-dependent gene activation, such as PR, pS2 and cyclin D1. We observed that a downregulation of ER α protein expression occurs in SIRT1 inhibitor-treated cells and SIRT1-/-mice. However, knockdown of SIRT1 by siRNA does not alter ER α expression in MCF-7 and T47D cells (data not shown), suggesting that other members of SIRT family may compensate SIRT1 depletion in a cell culture model. This compensatory effect may be sufficient to maintain ER α expression. Of note, knockdown of SIRT1 by siRNA does impair estrogen response (data not shown), suggesting it may have effects on other aspects of ER α signaling.

In conclusion, the results of our present study clearly show that SIRT1, a member of class III HDACs, is required for modulation of ER α -signaling pathways. Inhibition of SIRT1 activity leads to suppression of ER α and its downstream gene transcription.

Funding

Flight Attendants Medical Research Institute (YCSA072084 to Q.Z.); American Cancer Society (to Y.G.); National Institutes of Health (CA88843 to N.E.D.); Breast Cancer Research Foundation (N.E.D.).

Acknowledgements

Conflict of Interest Statement: None declared.

References

- Yager, J.D. et al. (2006) Estrogen carcinogenesis in breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med., 354, 270–282.
- Nilsson, S. et al. (2001) Mechanisms of estrogen action. Physiol. Rev., 81, 1535–1565.
- McKenna, N.J. et al. (2002) Combinatorial control of gene expression by nuclear receptors and coregulators. Cell, 108, 465–474.
- Xu, J. et al. (2003) Review of the *in vivo* functions of the p160 steroid receptor coactivator family. *Mol. Endocrinol.*, 17, 1681–1692.
- Chen, H. *et al.* (1999) Regulation of hormone-induced histone hyperacetylation and gene activation via acetylation of an acetylase. *Cell*, **98**, 675–686.
- Imai, S. et al. (2000) Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2 is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase. Nature, 403, 795–800.

- Zhou, Q. *et al.* (2007) Histone deacetylase inhibitor LBH589 reactivates silenced estrogen receptor alpha (ER) gene expression without loss of DNA hypermethylation. *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 6, 64–69.
- Duong, V. et al. (2004) Histone deacetylase inhibition and estrogen signalling in human breast cancer cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 68, 1239–1246.
- Reid, G. *et al.* (2005) Multiple mechanisms induce transcriptional silencing of a subset of genes, including oestrogen receptor alpha, in response to deacetylase inhibition by valproic acid and trichostatin A. *Oncogene*, 24, 4894–4907.
- 10. Kim, M.Y. *et al.* (2006) Acetylation of estrogen receptor alpha by p300 at lysines 266 and 268 enhances the deoxyribonucleic acid binding and transactivation activities of the receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.*, **20**, 1479–1493.
- Biçaku, E. et al. (2008) Selective inhibition of histone deacetylase 2 silences progesterone receptor-mediated signaling. Cancer Res., 68, 1513–1519.
- Leong, H. et al. (2005) Recruitment of histone deacetylase 4 to the Nterminal region of estrogen receptor alpha. Mol. Endocrinol., 19, 2930– 2942.
- Azuma, K. *et al.* (2009) Association of estrogen receptor alpha and histone deacetylase 6 causes rapid deacetylation of tubulin in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res.*, **69**, 2935–2940.
- Saji, S. et al. (2005) Significance of HDAC6 regulation via estrogen signaling for cell motility and prognosis in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Oncogene, 24, 4531–4539.
- Bouras, T. *et al.* (2005) SIRT1 deacetylation and repression of p300 involves lysine residues 1020/1024 within the cell cycle regulatory domain 1. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 280, 10264–10276.
- Balestrieri, M.L. et al. (2008) High glucose downregulates endothelial progenitor cell number via SIRT1. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1784, 936–945.
- Firestein, R. et al. (2008) The SIRT1 deacetylase suppresses intestinal tumorigenesis and colon cancer growth. PLoS One, 163, e2020.
- Wang,R.H. et al. (2008) Impaired DNA damage response, genome instability, and tumorigenesis in SIRT1 mutant mice. Cancer Cell, 14, 312–23.
- Pagans, S. et al. (2005) SIRT1 regulates HIV transcription via Tat deacetylation. PLoS Biol., 3, e41.
- Ota,H. *et al.* (2006) Sirt1 inhibitor, Sirtinol, induces senescence-like growth arrest with attenuated Ras-MAPK signaling in human cancer cells. *Oncogene*, 25, 176–85.
- Jung-Hynes, B. *et al.* (2009) Role of sirtuin histone deacetylase SIRT1 in prostate cancer. A target for prostate cancer management via its inhibition? *J. Biol. Chem.*, 284, 3823–3832.

- 22. North, B.J. *et al.* (2003) The human Sir2 ortholog, SIRT2, is an NAD+dependent tubulin deacetylase. *Mol. Cell*, **11**, 437–444.
- 23. Li,H. et al. (2007) SirT1 modulates the estrogen-insulin-like growth factor-1 signaling for postnatal development of mammary gland in mice. Breast Cancer Res., 9, R1.
- 24. Yao, Y. et al. (2009) A novel antiestrogen agent Shikonin inhibits estrogen dependent gene transcription in human breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer Res. Treat, doi: 10.1007/s10549-009-0547-2.
- 25. Rodgers, J.T. *et al.* (2005) Nutrient control of glucose homeostasis through a complex of PGC-1alpha and SIRT1. *Nature*, **434**, 113–118.
- Denu, J.M. (2005) Vitamin B3 and sirtuin function. *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 30, 479–483.
- 27. Grozinger, C.M. *et al.* (2001) Identification of a class of small molecule inhibitors of the sirtuin family of NAD-dependent deacetylases by phenotypic screening. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **276**, 38837–38843.
- 28. de Graffenried, L.A. et al. (2002) Sp1 is essential for estrogen receptor alpha gene transcription. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 82, 7–18.
- Keen, J.C. *et al.* (2005) Protein phosphatase 2A regulates estrogen receptor alpha (ER) expression through modulation of ER mRNA stability. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 280, 29519–29524.
- Blander, G. et al. (2004) The Sir2 family of protein deacetylases. Annu. Rev. Biochem., 73, 417–435.
- Vaquero, A. et al. (2004) Human SirT1 interacts with histone H1 and promotes formation of facultative heterochromatin. Mol. Cell, 16, 93–105.
- Cheng,H.L. *et al.* (2003) Developmental defects and p53 hyperacetylation in Sir2 homolog (SIRT1)-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 100, 10794–10799.
- Fu,M. et al. (2006) Hormonal control of androgen receptor function through SIRT1. Mol. Cell. Biol., 26, 8122–8135.
- 34. Dai, Y. et al. (2007) Sirtuin 1 is required for antagonist-induced transcriptional repression of androgen-responsive genes by the androgen receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.*, 21, 1807–1821.
- 35. Kojima, K. *et al.* (2008) A role for SIRT1 in cell growth and chemoresistance in prostate cancer PC3 and DU145 cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, **373**, 423–428.
- Aoyagi, S. *et al.* (2008) Nicotinamide uncouples hormone-dependent chromatin remodeling from transcription complex assembly. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 28, 30–39.

Received September 30, 2009; revised November 16, 2009; accepted December 1, 2009