

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Am J Obstet Gynecol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010 March ; 202(3): 263.e1–263.e5. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2009.10.859.

The Effect of Maternal BMI on Neonatal Outcome in Women Receiving a Single Course of Antenatal Corticosteroids

Jason N HASHIMA, M.D., M.P.H., Yinglei Lai, Ph.D., Ronald J. Wapner, M.D., Yoram Sorokin, M.D., Donald J. Dudley, M.D., Alan Peaceman, M.D., Catherine Y. Spong, M.D., Jay D. Iams, M.D., Kenneth J. Leveno, M.D., Margaret Harper, M.D., Steve N. Caritis, M.D., Michael Varner, M.D., Menachem Miodovnik, M.D., Brian M. Mercer, M.D., John M. Thorp, M.D., Mary J. O'Sullivan, M.D., Susan M. Ramin, M.D., Marshall Carpenter, M.D., Dwight J. Rouse, M.D., and Baha Sibai, M.D. for the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network

Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA; Wayne State University, Detroit, MI; University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX; Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, NC; University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; University of Utah, Utah,

In addition to the authors, the following members also contributed to the protocol development and coordination between clinical research centers (Michelle DiVito, R.N. and Francee Johnson, R.N., B.S.N.), protocol/data management and statistical analysis (Elizabeth Thom, Ph.D. and Lisa Mele, Sc.M.) and guidance and mentorship (Jorge Tolosa, M.D., M.C.S.E.).

The Ohio State University — F. Johnson, M. Landon, S. Meadows, and P. Shubert

- Northwestern University G. Mallett
- University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center D. Weightman, L. Fay-Randall, and P. Mesa

Presentation: Society of Maternal-Fetal Medicine – 2009 San Diego, California January 25 – January 31, 2009 Disclaimers: None

^{© 2009} Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved.

Reprint requests and manuscript correspondence: Jason N Hashima, MD, MPH 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd, L458 Portland, Oregon, 97239 hashimaj@ohsu.edu (503) 494-9000.

In addition to the authors, other members of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network are as follows:

Drexel University - M. DiVito, A. Sciscione, V. Berghella, M. Pollock, and M. Talucci

Wayne State University - M. Dombrowski, G. Norman, A. Millinder, C. Sudz, and D. Driscoll

University of Utah - M. Varner, K. Anderson, A. Guzman, A. Crowley, and M. Fuller

Wake Forest University Health Sciences — P. Meis, M. Swain, and C. Moorefield

University of Pittsburgh - T. Kamon, K. Lain, and M. Cotroneo

Columbia University — F. Malone, V. Pemberton, and S. Bousleiman

Case Western Reserve University - P. Catalano, C. Milluzzi, and C. Santori

University of North Carolina — K. Moise, Jr. and K. Dorman

University of Chicago - A.H. Moawad, P. Jones and G. Mallett

University of Miami — D. Martin and F. Doyle

University of Texas Medical School at Houston - L. Gilstrap and M.C. Day

Brown University — D. Allard and J. Tillinghast

University of Alabama at Birmingham - A. Northern, K. Bailey, and W. Andrews

University of Cincinnati — H. How, N. Elder, B. Alexander, and W. Girdler

University of Tennessee - B. Mabie and R. Ramsey

The George Washington University Biostatistics Center — E. Thom, L. Mele, F. Galbis-Reig, and L. Leuchtenburg

Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development — D. McNellis, K. Howell, and S. Pagliaro MFMU Network Steering Committee Chair (Vanderbilt University Medical Center) – S. Gabbe

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Salt Lake City, UT; Columbia University, New York, NY and University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH; Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH; University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; University of Miami, Miami, FL; The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX; Brown University, Providence, RI; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; University of Tennessee, Memphis, TN; and The George Washington University Biostatistics Center, Washington, DC and the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD

Abstract

OBJECTIVE: To determine the effect of maternal body mass index (BMI) on the incidence of neonatal prematurity morbidities in those who receive corticosteroids.

STUDY DESIGN: Secondary analysis of a trial of corticosteroids in women at risk for preterm birth. Women receiving a single course of corticosteroids were classified by their pre-pregnancy BMI (<25 and \geq 25) and compared on a composite outcome comprised of several neonatal morbidities, and on each individual outcome.

RESULTS: Of 183 eligible women, 96 (52.5%) had a BMI < 25 and 87 (47.5%) had a BMI \ge 25. The composite outcome occurred more frequently in the BMI \ge 25 group (28.7%), compared with those with a BMI < 25 (18.8%), although this was not statistically significant (odds ratio 1.75; 95% confidence interval 0.83-3.72). BMI was not associated with outcomes after adjusting for confounding.

CONCLUSION: Maternal BMI did not affect neonatal prematurity morbidities in those receiving corticosteroids.

Keywords

antenatal corticosteroids; BMI; neonatal morbidity; obesity; prematurity

Introduction

Obesity is associated with an increased risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, and intrauterine fetal death¹. As a result of these complications, it is not surprising that the rate of induction of labor and preterm delivery is also increased in those who are obese^{2,3,4}. With nearly two-thirds of the adult United States population now being overweight or obese⁵, the complications of prematurity including neonatal morbidity and mortality are of growing concern.

Antenatal corticosteroids have been shown to be effective in reducing the complications associated with prematurity, including respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), and neonatal death⁶. The intramuscular administration of antenatal corticosteroids utilized by Liggins and Howie7, was felt to be the optimal mode of delivery given its ability to cross the human placenta.

The absorption of intramuscular medications depends on a number of factors, including obesity. One study found that the standard needles used to give intramuscular injections were often too short for proper administration of medications, making them less effective⁸. In a study examining the bioavailability of hCG, in obese versus non-obese patients given intramuscular injections undergoing in-vitro fertilization, it was demonstrated that the levels of available hCG were significantly lower for those patients that were obese⁹.

It is the goal of this study to determine the effect of maternal pre-pregnancy BMI on the incidence of neonatal morbidity and mortality in women receiving a single course of antenatal

corticosteroids. Given the rising rates of obesity, we feel that this is an important question that will give us a better understanding of how obesity alters the benefits of antenatal corticosteroid in reducing neonatal complications and whether a weight-based dosing of antenatal corticosteroids should be considered.

Materials and Methods

Design

This study was a secondary analysis of data collected in a study by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network, where 495 women at risk for preterm delivery were randomized to a single course versus repeat weekly courses of antenatal corticosteroids¹⁰.

Inclusion criteria for the primary study included having an entry gestational age between 23 0/7 and 31 6/7 weeks, intact amniotic membranes, being at risk for preterm delivery, and having received a single full course of antenatal corticosteroids between 6 and 10 days prior to enrollment (i.e. two intramuscular injections of 12mg of Betamethasone 24 hours apart or four intramuscular injections of Dexamethasone 12 hours apart). The primary study exclusion criteria included documented fetal lung maturity, chorioamnionitis, non-reassuring fetal monitoring, active preterm labor (cervical dilation ≥ 5 cm or ≥ 6 contractions per hour), insulin requiring diabetes at study enrollment, and concurrent use of systemic corticosteroids. In addition to these criteria, this analysis focused on women with a singleton pregnancy who received only one course of antenatal corticosteroids (i.e. the placebo arm of the study).

Given that this study was utilizing an existing de-identified database, it was considered exempt from full-review by the Institutional Review Board at Oregon Health & Science University.

Data

Maternal data regarding age (less than 35 years old or 35 years and older), race (white or other), pre-pregnancy weight, and height were extracted from the original dataset. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the formula, BMI = pre-pregnancy weight in kilograms / (height in meters)². Patients were initially categorized into the BMI categories of underweight (BMI <18.5), normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI < 25), overweight (25 \leq BMI < 30), obese (30 \leq BMI < 40), and morbidly obese (BMI \geq 40), but secondary to limited numbers of subjects in certain BMI categories (14, 82, 48, 33, and 6, respectively), the decision was made to categorize the groups into BMI < 25 and BMI \geq 25.

Data regarding gestational age at delivery and birth weight were collected in addition to neonatal morbidity and mortality data, which were used as outcomes of interest. The primary outcome was a composite outcome including neonatal RDS, bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), chronic lung disease (CLD), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), periventricular leukomalacia (PVL), necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), sepsis, and stillbirth or neonatal death. These individual neonatal outcomes, which were defined previously in reports of the study¹⁰, were considered as secondary outcomes.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were completed using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Statistical analysis for continuous variables utilized descriptive statistics as well as the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Categorical variables were analyzed with Fisher's exact test; exact confidence intervals were also constructed for odds ratios. Multivariable logistic regression was then used to estimate the effect of pre-pregnancy maternal BMI on the primary outcome, while adjusting for maternal age, maternal race, gestational age at delivery, birth weight, and gestational diabetes. The

analysis was performed with pre-pregnancy maternal BMI as a categorical and continuous variable.

Results were presented as odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), and p-values. A result was considered to have statistical significance if the 95% CI did not include 1.0, or with a p-value < 0.05. No adjustments for multiple comparisons were made.

Results

Two hundred and forty-three of the original 495 study subjects were randomized to the placebo arm of the primary study, where they only received a single full course of antenatal corticosteroids. The 183 women (75.3%) that were considered eligible for inclusion in this study (53 were excluded for having a multiple gestation and 7 were excluded for missing BMI data), were categorized into two groups of BMI < 25 (n = 96, 52.5%) and BMI \geq 25 (n = 87, 47.5%). The two groups were similar to each other with respect to maternal, fetal, and obstetric parameters, with the exception of maternal pre-pregnancy weight and gestational age at randomization (see Table 1).

The primary composite outcome occurred more often in the BMI \geq 25 group (28.7%), compared with those with a BMI < 25 (18.8%), although this was not statistically significant (p=0.120). There were also no visual differences in the proportion of individuals with the primary composite outcome by more specific BMI categories (see Figure 1). The frequencies of the secondary outcomes were also similar between the two BMI groups (see Table 2). After adjusting for the confounding variables, there continued to be no effect of maternal prepregnancy BMI on the primary composite outcome (OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.24-2.06). Secondary outcomes were too rare to allow for the adjusted analysis. When considering maternal prepregnancy BMI as a continuous variable, we found no significant associations with the primary outcome whether or not the confounding variables were adjusted. Although non-significant (without adjustment of the confounding variables), a five-unit increase in BMI was found to be associated with a 22.8% increase in the primary outcome (OR 1.228, 95% CI 0.959-1.573).

Comment

As the prevalence of obesity continues to increase in our society, health care providers have to be concerned about the potential medical ramifications. It has been demonstrated in obstetrics that adverse outcomes, including both indicated and spontaneous birth, are more frequent in those considered to be overweight or obese. We currently use antenatal corticosteroids to reduce the risk of neonatal morbidities of prematurity, however the current intramuscular dose was established decades ago, when obesity was not as prevalent.

In this study, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was not associated with either the primary composite or secondary outcomes. Given this finding, it appears that maternal BMI does not affect the incidence of neonatal morbidity or mortality in those receiving a single course of antenatal corticosteroids.

While this study has the strength of being a novel topic with potential clinical implications, it also has limitations that must be considered in interpreting the results. First, being a secondary analysis, this study was restricted to the sample size that was available, which affected the power to detect a true difference. Although lacking statistical significance, the frequency of the composite outcome, BPD, CLD, IVH, ROP, and sepsis were higher in the BMI ≥ 25 group, with corresponding OR's ranging from 1.75 to 3.58. Also, when BMI was considered as a continuous variable, we found a non-significant increase of 22.8% in the primary outcome with each five-unit increase in maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. Thus, the lack of power must be considered when interpreting these results. Second, our limited sample size precluded our

ability to analyze the effect of BMI by the common categories of underweight, normal weight, overweight, obese, and morbidly obese. Even though the limited sample sizes restricted our ability to accurately evaluate for any trends in outcomes by increasing or decreasing BMI categories, Figure 1 showed the lack of a significant trend or association by more narrow BMI categories. Third, as with any other secondary analysis, this study was limited to the data that were collected in the primary study. Lastly, it is necessary to consider the possibility of selection bias introduced by the selection criteria of the original study. In the study, women were enrolled and randomized to antenatal corticosteroids or placebo, one week after receiving their initial course of antenatal corticosteroids. If women with a BMI < 25 had a higher rate of delivery within that initial week after antenatal corticosteroids (i.e. before enrollment and randomization), this would exclude those outcomes from our analyses and skew our results to show that more women in the BMI \geq 25 group had the primary and secondary outcomes. Unfortunately, information about delivery rates after initial antenatal corticosteroid administration was not available.

Based on the findings of this study, it appears that a BMI-based dosing of antenatal corticosteroids may not be necessary. While this finding supports the current use of a standard dosing regimen for antenatal corticosteroids, the lack of power to detect a true difference in this study, suggests that additional data regarding the effect of maternal BMI on the benefit of antenatal corticosteroids are necessary to preclude any possible differences. With the continued world-wide rise of obesity and its associated risk for adverse perinatal outcome, the need for maximizing preventive measures such as the use of antenatal corticosteroids has never been more important.

Acknowledgments

Supported by grants (HD21410, HD21414, HD27869, HD27917, HD27905, HD27860, HD27861, HD27915, HD34122, HD34116, HD34208, HD34136, HD40500, HD40485, HD40544, HD40545, HD40560, HD40512, HD40485, HD36801) from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and M01-RR-000080 from the National Center for Research Resources

References

- 1. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287,213 pregnancies in London. Int J Obesity 2001;25:1175–82.
- 2. Cedergren MI. Maternal Morbid Obesity and the Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcome. Obstet Gynecol 2004;103:219–24. [PubMed: 14754687]
- Smith GCS, Shah I, Pell JP, et al. Maternal Obesity in Early Pregnancy and Risk of Spontaneous and elective Preterm Deliveries: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Am J Pub Health 2007;97:157–162. [PubMed: 17138924]
- 4. Baeten JM, Bukus EA, Lambe M. Pregnancy Complications and Outcomes Among Overweight and Obese Nulliparous Women. Am J Pub Health 2001;91(3):436–440. [PubMed: 11236410]
- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in obesity among U.S. adults, 1999-2000. JAMA 2002;288:1723–27. [PubMed: 12365955]
- NIH Consensus Development Panel. Effect of corticosteroids for fetal maturation on perinatal outcomes. JAMA 1995;273:413–18. [PubMed: 7823388]
- Liggins GC, Howie RN. A controlled trial of antepartum glucocorticoid treatment for prevention of the respiratory distress syndrome in premature infants. Pediatrics 1972;50:515–25. [PubMed: 4561295]
- Nisbet AC. Intramuscular gluteal injections in the increasingly obese population: retrospective study. Br Med Jr 2006;332:637–38.
- Chan CC, Ng EH, Chan MM, et al. Bioavailability of hCG after intramuscular or subcutaneous injection in obese and non-obese women. Hum Reprod 2003;18(11):2294–97. [PubMed: 14585876]

10. Wapner RJ, Sorokin Y, Thom EA, et al. Single versus weekly courses of antenatal corticosteroids: Evaluation of safety and efficacy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195:633–42. [PubMed: 16846587]

HASHIMA et al.



BMI categories

Figure 1.

The proportion of women with the composite primary outcome by BMI category

Table 1

Patient characteristics by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI

Characteristic	BMI < 25 (n= 96)	$\begin{array}{l} BMI \geq 25 \\ (n=87) \end{array}$	p-value
Maternal age (years old, SD)	25.5 (5.9)	26.7 (5.4)	0.09
Maternal race – Caucasian (n, %)	34 (35.4)	20 (23.0)	0.08
Pre-pregnancy weight (kilograms, SD)	56.3 (7.7)	81.1 (17.6)	< 0.001
Gestational age at randomization (weeks, SD)	28.5 (2.3)	27.6 (2.3)	0.01
Gestational age at delivery (weeks, SD)	35.7 (3.4)	34.4 (4.4)	0.06
Latency from randomization to delivery (days, SD)	50.0 (25.2)	47.7 (29.7)	0.52
Birthweight (grams, SD)	2549.3 (734.3)	2366.2 (905.5)	0.14
Chorioamniotis (n, %)	4 (4.2)	1 (1.2)	0.37
Gestational diabetes (n, %)	0 (0.0)	3 (3.5)	0.11
Preeclampsia/Gestational hypertension (n, %)	1 (1.0)	3 (3.5)	0.35
PPROM (n, %)	11 (11.5)	15 (17.2)	0.29
Cesarean delivery (n, %)	25 (26.0)	27 (31.0)	0.51

SD = standard deviation

Table 2

Frequency of outcomes and univariate analyses

Outcome	Frequenc	y – n (%)			7020
	BMI < 25 $(n = 96)$	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{BMI} \geq 25 \\ (\mathbf{n}=87) \end{array}$	p-value	Odds Ratio	Confidence Linterval (CI)
PRIMARY					
Composite	18 (18.8)	25 (28.7)	0.12	1.75	0.83-3.72
SECONDARY					
RDS	12 (12.5)	10 (11.5)	1.00	0.91	0.33-2.45
BPD	6 (6.3)	11 (12.6)	0.20	2.17	0.69-7.47
CLD	3 (3.1)	6 (6.9)	0.31	2.30	0.47-14.58
*HVI	4 (4.5)	9 (10.7)	0.15	2.55	0.67-11.74
PVL*	0	0	1	ı	ı
NEC	4 (4.2)	2 (2.3)	0.69	0.54	0.05-3.90
ROP	3 (3.1)	9 (10.3)	0.07	3.58	0.85-21.11
Sepsis	1 (1.0)	2 (2.3)	0.61	2.24	0.11-133.2
Stillbirth or Neonatal death	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	1.00		-
	.		,	,	

Am J Obstet Gynecol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 1.

RDS = respiratory distress syndrome; BPD = bronchopulmonary dysplasia; CLD = chronic lung disease; IVH = intraventricular hemorrhage; PVL = periventricular leukomalacia; NEC = necrotizing enterocolitis; ROP = retinopathy of prematurity.

* Results are based on 89 patients with BMI < 25 and 84 patients with BMI \geq 25.