## Hitherto Unknown [Fe-Fe]-Hydrogenase Gene Diversity in Anaerobes and Anoxic Enrichments from a Moderately Acidic Fen<sup>⊽</sup>†

Oliver Schmidt, Harold L. Drake, and Marcus A. Horn\*

Department of Ecological Microbiology, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany

Received 1 December 2009/Accepted 15 January 2010

Newly designed primers for [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases indicated that (i) fermenters, acetogens, and undefined species in a fen harbor hitherto unknown hydrogenases and (ii) *Clostridium*- and *Thermosinus*-related primary fermenters, as well as secondary fermenters related to sulfate or iron reducers might be responsible for hydrogen production in the fen. Comparative analysis of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase and 16S rRNA gene-based phylogenies indicated the presence of homologous multiple hydrogenases per organism and inconsistencies between 16S rRNA gene- and [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase-based phylogenies, necessitating appropriate qualification of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene data for diversity analyses.

Molecular hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) is important in intermediary ecosystem metabolism (i.e., processes that link input to output) in wetlands (7, 11, 12, 33) and other anoxic habitats like sewage sludges (34) and the intestinal tracts of animals (9, 37).  $H_2$ producing fermenters have been postulated to form trophic links to H<sub>2</sub>-consuming methanogens, acetogens (i.e., organisms capable of using the acetyl-coenzyme A [CoA] pathway for acetate synthesis) (7), Fe(III) reducers (17), and sulfate reducers in a well-studied moderately acidic fen in Germany (11, 12, 16, 18, 22, 33). 16S rRNA gene analysis revealed the presence of *Clostridium* spp. and *Syntrophobacter* spp., which represent possible primary and secondary fermenters, as well as H<sub>2</sub> producers in this fen (11, 18, 33). However, H<sub>2</sub>-producing bacteria are polyphyletic (30, 31, 29). Thus, a structural marker gene is required to target this functional group by molecular methods. [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases catalyze H<sub>2</sub> production in fermenters (19, 25, 29, 30, 31), and genes encoding [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases represent such a marker gene. The objectives of this study were to (i) develop primers specific for highly diverse [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes, (ii) analyze [Fe-Fe]hydrogenase genes in pure cultures of fermenters, acetogens, and a sulfate reducer, (iii) assess [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene diversity in H<sub>2</sub>-producing fen soil enrichments, and (iv) evaluate the limitations of the amplified [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase fragment as a phylogenetic marker.

**Design of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase-specific primers.** Degenerate PCR primers corresponding to the signatures FeFe\_P1 (forward primer HydH1f) and FeFe\_P3 (reverse primer HydH3r) were designed according to the consensus degenerate hybrid oligonucleotide primer (CODEHOP) strategy (Table 1 and Fig. 1) (23). Signatures FeFe\_P1 and FeFe\_P3 represent two of the three most conserved segments within the catalytic domains (H-cluster domains) of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases (30).

The primer pair HydH1f/HydH3r is characterized by a clearly lower number of primer-template mismatches to publicly available [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes than the [Fe-Fe]hydrogenase-specific primer pair hydF1/hydR1 (34) (Table 1). The fragment length amplified with FeFe-272F/FeFe-427R, another [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase-specific primer pair (3), was 66% of that amplified by HydH1f/HydH3r, indicating that the latter primers are better suited for phylogenetic analysis (Table 1). The degeneracy of HydH1f/HydH3r is five- to sevenfold lower than that of FeFe-272F/FeFe-427R (Table 1), suggesting higher amplification efficiencies (20). Eighty-one percent of the available 188 [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene sequences had  $\leq 2$ mismatches to HydH1f and HydH3r (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), indicating that these primers target a large portion of the presently known [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase diversity.

PCR conditions were optimized with DNA of Desulfovibrio vulgaris DSM 644 (see materials and methods in the supplemental material). HydH1f/HydH3r amplified approximately 620-bp fragments. The optimized PCR conditions were as follows: 2 µM each primer, 200 µM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), PCR buffer (1× concentrated), 3 mM magnesium acetate, TaqMaster PCR enhancer ( $1 \times$  concentrated), 0.12 µg bovine serum albumin ml<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02 U Taq DNA polymerase  $\mu l^{-1}$  (all from Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), and approximately 2 ng DNA  $\mu l^{-1}$ . PCR consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles consisting of sequential temperature regimens of 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 90 s; and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Amplified D. vulgaris [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragments were 98% identical (translated amino acid sequence) to the published [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase (GenBank accession no. AAS96246).

Phylogeny of pure-culture [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragments. DNA samples of the H<sub>2</sub>-producing aerotolerant fermenter *Clostridium intestinale* strain RC DSM 16614 (10) and the acetogens *Clostridium magnum* DSM 2767, *Clostridium glycolicum* strain RD-1 DSM 13865, and *Thermoanaerobacter kivui* DSM 2030, which are likewise all capable of H<sub>2</sub> produc-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Ecological Microbiology, University of Bayreuth, 95445 Bayreuth, Germany. Phone: (49) (0)921-555620. Fax: (49) (0)921-555799. E-mail: marcus .horn@uni-bayreuth.de.

<sup>†</sup> Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://aem .asm.org/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 29 January 2010.

Primer	Sequence <sup>a</sup>	Source or reference	Pos. <sup>b</sup>	Deg. <sup>c</sup>	No	. of sequ primer	iences w -templat	ith indic te misma	ated no. atches <sup>d</sup>	of
				U	0	1	2	3	4	≥5
HydH1f	TTIACITSITGYWSYCCIGSHTGG	This study	523	192	85	71	28	3	1	0
HydH3r	CAICCIYMIGGRCAISNCAT	This study	1126	64	138	16	26	8	0	0
hydF1	GCCGACCTKACMATMATGGA	34	445	8	8	19	29	43	43	46
hydR1	ATRCARCCRCCSGGRCAGGCCAT	34	1126	32	11	20	39	40	32	46
FeFe-272F	GCHGAYMTBACHATWATGGARGA	3	445	432	39	47	52	21	10	19
FeFe-427R	GCNGCYTCCATDACDCCDCCNGT	3	895	864	82	48	20	12	7	19

TABLE 1. Sequences and properties of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase-specific primers

<sup>a</sup> I, inosine. IUPAC nomenclature was used for mixed bases (5).

<sup>b</sup> 5' end in the nucleic acid sequence of the D. vulgaris hydrogenase (GenBank accession no. AAS96246) gene.

<sup>c</sup> Degeneracy, i.e., the number of combinations for the degenerate primers.

 $^{d}$  The total number of sequences was 188 (see Table S1 in the supplemental material for the number of mismatches per sequence). Inosine positions were not counted as mismatches (32).

tion during fermentation (2, 6, 15), were chosen to further evaluate the designed primers. With the choice of these species, including the sulfate reducer *D. vulgaris*, which is capable of secondary fermentation (4, 21, 28), most functional groups that are known to contain [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases and that are potentially linked to  $H_2$  production or consumption in the moderately acidic fen (see above) were covered.

Both C. glycolicum and C. intestinale yielded two different [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragments, indicating that these clostridia contain homologous hydrogenase genes (Fig. 2). Only one [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragment was retrieved from C. magnum. Four of the clostridial [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase sequences clustered with known clostridial [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases (Fig. 2). However, [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase 1 of C. intestinale was not closely affiliated to any cluster (Fig. 2). Amino acid identities of in silico-translated [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes 1 and 2 of C. glycolicum to those of Clostridium bartlettii were 75% and 92%, respectively. Identities of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases 1 and 2 from C. intestinale to those of Clostridium botulinum were 56% and 76%, respectively. The [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase from C. magnum was most similar to the [Fe-Fe]hydrogenase of Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum (71% amino acid identity). The [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase of T. kivui was most similar to the [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase of Symbiobacterium thermophilum (73% amino acid identity) and did not cluster with those of other Thermoanaerobacter species, indicating that species within a 16S rRNA gene-defined monophyletic genus can have distantly related [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes (see the discussion below). These data indicate that fermenters and acetogens host phylogenetically closely related as well as distinct and hitherto undetected [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene sequences.

Diversity of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases in H<sub>2</sub>-producing fen enrichments. Soil of a moderately acidic methane-emitting fen (25) and preincubated fen soil slurries were serially diluted in anoxic tryptic soy broth (TSB) containing glucose (3 g liter<sup>-1</sup>, pH 5.5; for details see materials and methods in the supplemental material). Growth and glucose consumption occurred at 30°C in  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-7}$  dilutions. Up to 11.0 mM acetate, 3.2 mM formate, 2.9 mM propionate, 1.8 mM butyrate, and 1.3 mM H<sub>2</sub> were found in enrichments, underscoring the importance of acid-tolerant fermenters for the intermediary ecosystem metabolism of this fen (8, 25).

Diverse [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragments from five distantly related clusters were amplified from fen enrichments (Fig. 2). Most hydrogenase gene fragments originated from  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-7}$  dilutions of fen soil (Fig. 2) and were only distantly related to those previously amplified from a saline microbial mat or domestic sewage (data not shown) (3, 34). Hydrogenases were grouped into five distinct clusters and were affiliated with hydrogenases of species from the cellulolytic fermenter Clostridium thermocellum (68 to 69% amino acid sequence identity to enrich clones 2 and 7 [36]), the sulfate and metal reducer Desulfotomaculum reducens (71% identity to enrich clone 6 [27]), the primary fermenter and carboxydotroph Thermosinus carboxydivorans (70% identity to enrichclone 8 [26]), and a sulfate reducer capable of syntrophic H<sub>2</sub> production, D. vulgaris (21, 28) (64% identity to enrich clone 5). Enrich clones 1, 3, and 4 showed highest identities (70 to 71%) to a [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase from the metal reducer Alka*liphilus metalliredigens* (35).

**Specificity of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase primer.** Ninety-eight percent of 74 sequences retrieved from pure cultures and 87% of 90 sequences from fen soil enrichments were related to [Fe-

2	[4Fe4S] c	domain (aa 1-87)	H	H-cluster dom	nain (aa 88-421)	
Ν			5///	////	///////////////////////////////////////	₫c
	FS4B	FS4A		P2	P3	

FIG. 1. Distribution of conserved amino acid (aa) motifs (black rectangles) in bacterial [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases. FS4B and FS4A are [4Fe4S]cluster binding sites (13). P1, P2, and P3 are highly conserved motifs coordinating the H-cluster (30). Amino acid positions are given in parentheses and are according to amino acid residues of the *D. vulgaris* hydrogenase (GenBank accession no. AAS96246). Abbreviations: N, amino terminal; C, carboxy terminal. The fragments used for the [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase trees in Fig. 3 and Fig. S1 in the supplemental material and in Fig. 2 and Fig. S2 in the supplemental material are shaded gray and hatched, respectively.

efficient amplification of diverse [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases. Limitations of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene fragment-based analyses. [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase trees based on either the structural framework (residues 35 to 389 of the *D. vulgaris* hydrogenase) (Fig. 1) (13, 14, 19, 31) or the amplified fragment (residues 183 to 375) showed similar topologies (see Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). Thus, the amplified fragment contained sufficient information for reliable phylogenetic analysis of most presently known [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes.

dsrAB-related inserts (18). Thus, HydH1f/HydH3r facilitated

Topologies of phylogenetic trees based on either the structural framework of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes or the 16S rRNA genes were compared to evaluate whether [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase phylogeny reflects the evolutionary history of its host or not (Fig. 3; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The hydrogenase genes of bacteria from one 16S rRNA cluster formed similar clusters in the hydrogenase tree for *Clostridia*, Thermotoga, Gamma- and Deltaproteobacteria, Dehalococcoides, and Bacteroidetes (Fig. 3; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). For these organisms, hydrogenase clusters often had more multifurcations and lower bootstrap values than did 16S rRNA gene clusters, indicating that the hydrogenase fragment tree had a lower resolution than did the 16S rRNA gene tree. [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases of species within monophyletic 16S rRNA-defined clusters also grouped with hydrogenases of distantly related species (e.g., Pelobacter propionicus hydrogenase clustered next to a hydrogenase of *Victivallis vadensis*) (Fig. 3). Several homologs of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases have been found in a number of bacterial genomes (19) (Fig. 3) and also in C. intestinale and C. glycolicum in this study (Fig. 2). Such homologs can originate from gene duplication and diversification or lateral gene transfer (19) and may be stabilized in the genome by performing different functions in the cell (24). The presence of homologs had negligible effects on phylogenetic inferences for [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases ABB36882 and ABB39078 of Desulfovibrio desulfuricans (Fig. 3). In contrast, a third [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase of D. desulfuricans (GenBank accession no. ABB37276) had a common root with hydrogenases from Clostridium beijerinckii and Clostridium kluyveri, resulting in phylogenetic inconsistencies. Thus, species level diversity of hydrogen producers might be overestimated, and identification of 16S rRNA gene-defined species based on hydrogenase gene fragment analysis is not always possible, necessitating appropriate qualification of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase gene data for diversity analyses.

**Conclusions.** These collective data demonstrate that diverse  $H_2$  producers are abundant in the fen and that the newly

		Clostridium difficile, CAJ /0211
		Clostridium beijerinckii, ABR35913
		Clostridium kluyveri, EDK32892
		Symbiobacterium thermophilum. BAD42274
bud I		Desulfovibrio desulfuricans, ABB37276
пуа-т		Desulfotalea psychrophila, CAG35208
		Desultovibrio salexigens, EEC59248
hvd-II	50%	enrich clone 8 C FN391092
,	5578	Thermosinus carboxydivorans. EAX48145
	_	Desulfovibrio vulgaris, AAS96246
	61%	Desulfovibrio vulgaris_clone_1, FN39033
byd-III	100%	Desulfovibrio desulfuricans, AAK11625
nya m	90 %	Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ABB99078
		Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans, ABK16545
		Desulfovibrio salexigens, EEC62363
	50%	enrich_clone_6, C, FN391900
hvd-III	81%	Desulfitobacterium hafniense, BAE86501
nya m	97%	Candidatus Desulforudis audavviator. ACA58722
		<ul> <li>Pelotomaculum thermopropionicum, BAF58849</li> </ul>
	9	6% Clostridium magnum_clone_2, FN393036
	_	Clostridium sacchar operbutylac etonicum, AAV86076
		enrich_clone_2, A, FN391896
	78%	Clostridium thermocellum ABN51668
		Clostridium beijerinckii, ABR36220
		Clostridium botulinum, ACD51579
		100% Clostridium butyricum, EDT75909
		Ciostrialum phytotermentans, ABX40477
hyd-IV		Clostridium cellulolyticum. ACC76640
-		100% Blautia hansenii, EED58806
	73	% Ruminococcus obeum, EDM86254
	18%	99% Clostridium bolteae, EDP12448
	78%	Clostridiumsn L 2-50 EDO58161
	1	00% Anaerostipes caccae. EDR97978
		Ruminococcus gnavus, EDN76601
		67% enrich_clone_5, B, FN391899
hvd-V	100%	94% Hhodopseudomonas palustris, ABJ07787
	100 //	Pelobacter carbinolicus ABA89741
		760% — Cleatridium glucaliaum alana 3 EN202028
		48% Clostridium bartlettii ED097666
		96% Clostridium beijerinckii, ABR33945
		1000/
hyd-VI		100% Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356
hyd-VI	919/	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424
hyd-VI hyd-VII	81%	enrich_clone_3_A, FN391897
hyd-VI hyd-VII	81% 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_4, A, FN391898 – enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_4, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metallirediaens, ABR46866
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_4, A, FN391898 – enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC951790
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 – enricfi_clone_1, A, FN391895 Kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 ostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% <sup>1</sup> 65% A	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_4, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC951790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98%— Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% Al	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 98% Clostridium trobut yricum, ACI42788
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A C 38	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium acetobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium acetobutyricum, AAB03723 Clostridium acetobutyricum, AAB03723
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A Ca 38	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391898 — enrich_clone_1, A, FN391898 Kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 ostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, AAC4788 Glostridium asteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium actobutyricum, AAA23248
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desufidium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium reducens, ABC951790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 % Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium butyricum, ABA2543 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium acetobutylicum, AAB03723 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23785 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23785 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23785 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23785 Clostridium basteurianum, AAA23785 Clostridium basteurianum, Clastras
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391898 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium acetobutylicum, ACI05723 Clostridium acetobutylicum, AAA05785 Clostridium botulinum, ACD51739 Clostridium botulinum, ACD51739 Clostridium botulinum, ACD51739
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A Ca 38	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391898 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium perfingens, EDS78838 Clostridium perfingens, EDS788388
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX	81% 100% 65% A	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC91790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium reducens, ABC91790 lostridium Aluyeri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium butyricum, ACI42543 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII	81% 100% 65% A	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium tkuyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tkuyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tkuyveri, EDK34269 26% Clostridium acetobutylicum, AA485785 Clostridium butyricum, ACA2348 Clostridium butyricum, AAA2348 Clostridium butyricum, AAA2348 Clostridium butyricum, AAA2348 Clostridium butyricum, ACD51739 Clostridium butlinum, ACD51739 Clostridium paraputrificum, BAD29951 Clostridium paraputrificum, BAD29951 Clostridium norti, EDQ97512
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX	81% 100% 65% A 38 38 100%	Clostridium potulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 Kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR48866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 ostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium tyrobutyricum, AA423248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium machabutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium butyricum, ACD51739 Clostridium bottyricum, ACD51739 Clostridium perfringens, EDS78838 Clostridium partienter, BAD29951 Clostridium partienter, BAD29951 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG95512 Clostridium partienter, EDG97512 Clostridium partienter, EDG95512 Clostridium partienter, EDG955 Clostridium partienter, EDG955 Clostridium partie
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX		Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, BAD23951 Clostridium partpringens, EDS78838 Clostridium paraputrificum, BAD29951 Clostridium partpringens, EDS78828 Clostridium partpringens, EDS78828 Clostridium partpringens, EDS78838 Clostridium bartlettii DSM 75752 Clostridium bartlettii DSM 75752
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-IX	81% 100% 65% A C 38 100%	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC91790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 98% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 % Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium butyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium parteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium machinestinale_clone_2, FN393040 Clostridium parteuringens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium batulenti, EDQ97512 Clostridium bartlettii, EDQ97512 Clostridium bartletti
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-IX 66%	81% 100% 65% A C 38 38 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium neducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium tuyoeni, EDK34269 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium botulyricum, AAA85785 Clostridium botulyricum, AAA85785 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78837 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium botulinum, ACD51739 Clostridium botulinum, ACD51739 Clostridium partinigens, EDS78838 Clostridium partinigens, EDS7883037 stridium difficile, CAJ70310 % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EEB76457 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X 66%	81% 100% 65% A C 38 38 100% 100% 100% 76% 100%	Clostridium polytolinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 Kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 ostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium trobutyricum, AAA03723 Clostridium asteurianum, AAA25248 Clostridium asteurianum, AAA25248 Clostridium macholutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium macholutyricum, AAA85785 Clostridium parteringens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium motulinum, ACD51739 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS78837 Stridium difficile, CAJ70310 % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EB76457 Thermoanaerobacter pseugethanolicus, ABY95106
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X 66%	81% 100% 65% 20 38 38 38 38 38 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABO51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 26% Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium parteurigens, EDS78838 Clostridium parteurificum, BAD29951 Clostridium parteurificum, BAD29951 Clostridium parteurificum, BAD29951 Clostridium bartlettii, EDG97512 Clostridium bartlettii, DG97512 Clostridium gasteuriano % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EEB76457 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150 Thermoanaerobacter sevuelshainoi.cus, ABY95106 Thermoanaerobacter sevuelshainoi.cus, ABY95106
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X 66%	81% 100% 65% A C 38 38 100% 100% 100% 100% 76% 87% Th	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC91790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium parteuringens, EDS78838 Clostridium parteuringens, EDS78838 Clostridium parteutificum, BADC951739 Clostridium parteutificum, BADC99511 Clostridium parteutificum, BADC99512 Clostridium battletti, EDQ97512 Clostridium parteutificum, EBT6457 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150 Thermoanaerobacter sp. X514, ABY93106 Thermoanaerobacter sp. X514, ABY9310
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X hyd-XI	81% 100% 65% A C 38 38 100% 1	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium reducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium turyobutyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium actobutyricum, AA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium batulerisinge, EDS78838 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium pasteurianum, ACD51739 Clostridium parturificum, BDQ29513 Clostridium parturificum, BAD29951 Clostridium batulettii, EDQ97512 Clostridium battlettii, EDQ97512 Clostridium difficile, CAJ70310 % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EEB76457 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150 Thermoanaerobacter pseudethanolicus, ABY95106 Thermoanaerobacter pseudethanolicus, ABY95106 Thermoanaerobacter pseudethanolicus, ABY95106 Thermoanaerobacter pseudethanolicus, ABY95106 Thermoanaerobacter proteolyticus, ACI17295 noanaerobacter proteolyticus, ACI51661 se mirabile RAER2037
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X 66%	81% 100% 65% A 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	Clostridium polyticum, AAD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 Kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 ostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium tyrobutyricum, AA423788 Clostridium tyrobutyricum, AAA2788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium macholutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium macholutyricum, AAA85785 Clostridium partingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partingens, EDS7883037 stridium dificile, CAJ70310 % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EB76457 Thermoanaerobacter proteolyticus, AAM2150 Thermoanaerobacter proteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter posteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter proteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter posteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter pasteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter proteolyticus, AC117295 topaarerobacter BAF82038
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-VIII hyd-X 66% hyd-XI 15% 94%	81% 100% 65% 4 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium reducens, ABC51790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium tuyveri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium partietii, EDQ951739 Clostridium partietii, EDQ9512 Clostridium bartletii, EDQ9512 Clostridium pasteurianum, EEB76457 Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis, AAM24150 Thermoanaerobacter proteolyticus, AC170310 % Carboxydibrachium pacificum, EEB76457 Thermoanaerobacter proteolyticus, ACA51661 as mirabile, BAF82037 rabasalid eukaryote, BAF82038 bonas vaginalis, EAY23026 Outgroup
hyd-VI hyd-VII hyd-VIII hyd-IX hyd-X 66% hyd-XI 25% 94%	81% 100% 65% Au 65% Au Ca 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	Clostridium botulinum, ACD53356 Clostridium phytofermentans, ABX42424 enrich_clone_3, A, FN391897 enrich_clone_1, A, FN391895 kaliphilus metalliredigens, ABR46866 Desulfotomaculum reducens, ABC91790 lostridium intestinale_clone_1, FN393039 98% Clostridium kluyeri, EDK34269 96% Clostridium thytoputyricum, ACI42788 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium pasteurianum, AAA23248 Clostridium saccharobutylicum, AAA85785 Clostridium partiettingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partiettingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partifingens, EDS78838 Clostridium partiettingens, EDS78858 Clostridium partiettingens, EDS7888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888 Partiettingens, EDS78888

FIG. 2. Phylogenetic tree of amplified [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genes (boldface) and closely related sequences (residues 183 to 375 of the *D. vulgaris* hydrogenase [see Fig. 1]). The origin of enrichment-derived sequences (enrich\_clone) is indicated by the following capital letters: A,  $10^{-4}$  dilution of fen soil; B,  $10^{-7}$  dilution of preincubated, non-supplemented fen soil slurry; C,  $10^{-2}$  dilution of preincubated, TSB (0.3 g liter<sup>-1</sup>)-supplemented fen soil slurry (for details see materials and methods in the supplemental data). The consensus tree was drawn based on neighbor-joining, maximum-parsimony, and maximum-like-lihood trees. Incongruencies of tree topologies between the three methods were indicated by multifurcations. Branch lengths and bootstrap values (500 resamplings) were based on the maximum-parsimony

analysis. Bootstrap values are displayed for nodes supported by all three analyses. The bar indicates 0.1 estimated change per amino acid. See Fig. S2 in the supplemental material for a tree that includes all presently available pure-culture [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases.

## [FeFe]-hydrogenase genes

## 16S rRNA genes



FIG. 3. Comparison of [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase- and 16S rRNA gene-based phylogenetic trees (residues 35 to 389 of the *D. vulgaris* hydrogenase) (see Fig. 1). Both trees are consensus trees calculated and displayed as described for Fig. 2. Consistent monophyletic groups between both trees are shaded in gray. Examples of hydrogenases and hosts with inconsistent phylogenies are in boldface. Bars indicates 0.1 estimated change per amino acid or nucleotide. See Fig. S1 in the supplemental material for trees that include all presently available pure-culture [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases and corresponding 16S rRNA genes.

designed primer pair for [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenases is an efficient tool for molecular detection of  $H_2$  producers.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** Sequences obtained in this study were deposited in the EMBL nucleotide sequence database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk) under accession numbers FN391895 to FN391902 and FN393031 to FN393040.

Support for this study was provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG DR 310/3-3 and HO 4020/2-2) and the University of Bayreuth.

## REFERENCES

- Benson, D. A., I. Karsch-Mizrachi, D. J. Lipman, J. Ostell, B. A. Rapp, and D. L. Wheeler. 2000. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 28:15–18.
- Bomar, M., H. Hippe, and B. Schink. 1991. Lithotrophic growth and hydrogen metabolism. FEMS Micriobiol. Lett. 83:347–350.
- Boyd, E. S., J. R. Spear, and J. W. Peters. 2009. [Fe-Fe]-hydrogenase genetic diversity provides insight into molecular adaption in a saline microbial mat community. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:4620–4623.
- Carepo, M., J. F. Baptista, A. Pamplona, G. Fauque, J. J. G. Moura, and M. A. M. Reis. 2002. Hydrogen metabolism in *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* strain New Jersey (NCIMB 8313)—comparative study with *D. vulgaris* and *D. gigas* species. Anaerobe 8:325–332.

- Cornish-Bowden, A. 1985. IUPAC-IUB symbols for nucleotide nomenclature. Nucleic Acids Res. 13:3021–3030.
- Daniel, S. L., T. Hsu, S. I. Dean, and H. L. Drake. 1990. Characterization of the H<sub>2</sub>- and CO-dependent chemolithotrophic potentials of the acetogens *Clostridium thermoaceticum* and *Acetogenium kivui*. J. Bacteriol. 172:4464– 4471.
- Drake, H. L., K. Küsel, and C. Matthies. 2006. Acetogenic prokaryotes, p. 354–420. *In* M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K.-H. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (ed.), The prokaryotes, 3rd ed., vol. 2. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- Drake, H. L., M. A. Horn, and P. K. Wüst. 2009. Intermediary ecosystem metabolism as a main driver of methanogenesis in acidic wetland soil. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 1:307–318.
- Flint, H. J. 1997. The rumen microbial ecosystem-some recent developments. Trends Microbiol. 5:483–488.
- Gößner, A. S., K. Küsel, D. Schulz, S. Tenz, G. Acker, C. R. Lovell, and H. L. Drake. 2006. Trophic interactions of the aerotolerant anaerobe *Clostridium intestinale* and the acetogen *Sporomusa rhizae* sp. nov. isolated from roots of the black needlerush *Juncus roemerianus*. Microbiology 152:1209–1219.
- Hamberger, A., M. A. Horn, M. G. Dumont, J. C. Murrell, and H. L. Drake. 2008. Anaerobic consumers of monosaccharides in a moderately acidic fen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:3112–3120.
- Horn, M. A., C. Matthies, K. Küsel, A. Schramm, and H. L. Drake. 2003. Hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis by moderately acid-tolerant methanogens of a methane-emitting acidic peat. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:74–83.
- Horner, D. S., P. G. Foster, and T. M. Embley. 2000. Iron hydrogenases and the evolution of anaerobic eukaryotes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17:1695–1709.
- Horner, D. S., B. Heil, T. Happe, and T. M. Embley. 2002. Iron hydrogenases—ancient enzymes in modern eukaryotes. Trends Biochem. Sci. 27: 148–153.
- Küsel, K., A. Karnholz, T. Trinkwalter, R. Devereux, G. Acker, and H. L. Drake. 2001. Physiological ecology of *Clostridium glycolicum* RD-1, an aerotolerant acetogen isolated from sea grass roots. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:4734–4741.
- Küsel, K., M. Blöthe, D. Schulz, M. Reiche, and H. L. Drake. 2008. Microbial reduction of iron and porewater biogeochemistry in acidic peatlands. Biogeosciences 5:1537–1549.
- Lovley, D. R., E. J. P. Philips, D. J. Lonergan, and P. K. Widman. 1995. Fe(III) and S<sup>0</sup> reduction by *Pelobacter carbinolicus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:2132–2138.
- Loy, A., K. Küsel, A. Lehner, H. L. Drake, and M. Wagner. 2004. Microarray and functional gene analyses of sulfate-reducing prokaryotes in low-sulfate, acidic fens reveal co-occurrence of recognized genera and novel lineages. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:6998–7009.
- Meyer, J. 2007. [FeFe] hydrogenases and their evolution: a genomic perspective. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 64:1063–1084.
- Pan, Z., R. Barry, A. Lipkin, and M. Soloviev. 2007. Selection strategy and the design of hybrid oligonucleotide primers for RACE-PCR: cloning a family of toxin-like sequences from *Agelena orientalis*. BMC Mol. Biol. 8:32.
- Pereira, P. M., Q. He, F. M. A. Valente, A. V. Xavier, J. Zhou, I. A. C. Pereira, and R. O. Louro. 2008. Energy metabolism in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hilden-

borough: insights from transcriptome analysis. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 93:347–362.

- Reiche, M., G. Torburg, and K. Küsel. 2008. Competition of Fe(III) reduction and methanogenesis in an acidic fen. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 65:88–101.
- Rose, T. M., E. R. Schultz, J. G. Henikoff, S. Pietrokovski, C. M. McCallum, and S. Henikoff. 1998. Consensus-degenerate hybrid oligonucleotide primers for amplification of distantly-related sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 26:1628– 1635.
- Schut, G. I., and M. W. W. Adams. 2009. The iron-hydrogenase of *Thermo-toga maritima* utilizes ferredoxin and NADH synergistically: a new perspective on anaerobic hydrogen production. J. Bacteriol. 191:4451–4457.
- Schwartz, E., and B. Friedrich. 2006. The H<sub>2</sub>-metabolizing prokaryotes, p. 496–563. *In* A. Balows, H. G. Trüper, M. Dworkin, W. Harder, and K.-H. Schleifer (ed.), The prokaryotes, 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- 26. Sokolova, T. G., J. M. Gonzalez, N. A. Kostrikina, N. A. Chernyh, T. V. Slepova, E. A. Bonch-Osmolovskaya, and F. T. Robb. 2004. *Thermosinus carboxydivorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new anaerobic, thermophilic, carbonmonoxide-oxidizing, hydrogenotrophic bacterium from a hot pool of Yellowstone National Park. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:2353–2359.
- Tebo, B. M., and A. Y. Obraztsova. 1998. Sulfate-reducing bacterium grows with Cr(VI), U(VI), Mn(IV), and Fe(III) as electron acceptors. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 162:193–198.
- Traore, A. S., M.-L. Fardeau, C. E. Hatchikian, J. Le Gall, and J.-P. Belaich. 1983. Energetics of growth of a defined mixed culture of *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* and *Methanosarcina barkeri*: interspecies hydrogen transfer in batch and continuous cultures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 46:1152–1156.
- Vignais, P. M. 2008. Hydrogenases and H<sup>+</sup>-reduction in primary energy conservation. Results Probl. Cell Differ. 45:223–252.
- Vignais, P. M., and B. Billoud. 2007. Occurrence, classification, and biological function of hydrogenases: an overview. Chem. Rev. 107:4206–4272.
- Vignais, P. M., B. Billoud, and J. Meyer. 2001. Classification and phylogeny of hydrogenases. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 25:455–501.
- Watkins, N. E., and J. SantaLucia. 2005. Nearest-neighbour thermodynamics of deoxyinosine pairs in DNA duplexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 33:6258–6267.
- Wüst, P. K., M. A. Horn, and H. L. Drake. 2009. Trophic links between fermenters and methanogens in a moderately acidic fen soil. Environ. Microbiol. 11:1395–1409.
- Xing, D., N. Ren, and B. E. Rittmann. 2008. Genetic diversity of hydrogenproducing bacteria in an acidophilic ethanol-H<sub>2</sub>-coproducing system, analyzed using the [Fe]-hydrogenase gene. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:1232– 1239.
- Ye, Q., Y. Roh, S. L. Carroll, B. Blair, J. Zhou, C. L. Zhang, and M. W. Fields. 2004. Alkaline anaerobic respiration: isolation and characterization of a novel alkaliphilic and metal-reducing bacterium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:5595–5602.
- Zhang, Y.-H. P., and L. R. Lynd. 2005. Cellulose utilization by *Clostridium thermocellum*: bioenergetics and hydrolysis product assimilation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:7321–7325.
- Zimmermann, P. R., J. P. Greenberg, S. O. Wandiga, and P. J. Crutzen. 1982. Termites: a potentially large source of atmospheric methane, carbon dioxide, and molecular hydrogen. Science 218:563–565.