

Open Access

A short synthesis of (±)-cherylline dimethyl ether

Bhima Y. Kale¹, Ananta D. Shinde¹, Swapnil S. Sonar¹, Bapurao B. Shingate¹, Sanjeev Kumar², Samir Ghosh², Soodamani Venugopal² and Murlidhar S. Shingare^{*1}

Full Research Paper

Address:

¹Organic Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad – 431 004 (M.S.), India and ²Chemistry Section, Applied Sciences and Humanities Department, SVNIT, Surat – 395 007, India

Email:

Murlidhar S. Shingare* - prof_msshingare@rediffmail.com

* Corresponding author

Keywords:

Curtius rearrangement; Michael reaction; Pictet–Spengler cyclization; radical azidonation

Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry **2009**, *5*, No. 80. doi:10.3762/bjoc.5.80

Received: 29 August 2009 Accepted: 17 November 2009 Published: 16 December 2009

Editor-in-Chief: J. Clayden

© 2009 Kale et al; licensee Beilstein-Institut. License and terms: see end of document.

Abstract

A synthesis of (\pm) -cherylline dimethyl ether is reported. The key steps involved are Michael-type addition, radical azidonation of an aldehyde, Curtius rearrangement, and reduction of an isocyanate intermediate followed by Pictet–Spengler cyclization.

Introduction

Aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines have attracted much attention from the synthetic community owing to the potential biological activities of this class of compounds and their increasing medicinal interest. Among these heterobicyclic compounds cherylline (1) (Figure 1), a rare phenolic 4-phenyltetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloid, and its dimethyl ether 5 (Scheme 1). Their structures are unique among the *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids [1,2] and they have long been fascinating targets for organic chemists as witnessed by a number of articles dealing with biogenesis, isolation, characterization and synthesis. Cherylline (1) and latifine (2) are the two 4-aryltetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids isolated from *Amaryllidaceae* plants. Apart from their natural occurence, 4-aryltetrahydroisoquinolines are of interest due to

various pharmacological activities [3]. For example, nomifensine (3) [3] and dichlofensine (4) [4] exhibit CNS activity and inhibit serotonin and dopamine uptake mechanisms.

There are several reports on the syntheses [5-15] of (\pm)-cherylline and of (\pm)-latifine [13,16,17] which include some efficient asymmetric syntheses. Most of the reported methods for the synthesis of (\pm)-cherylline are lengthy. We report herein an alternative efficient synthesis of (\pm)-cherylline dimethyl ether. The key steps involved are Michael addition, radical azidonation of aldehydes [18], Curtius rearrangement, and reduction of an isocyanate intermediate followed by Pictet–Spengler cyclization.

Figure 1: Aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines

Scheme 1: Retrosynthetic analysis of 5

Results and Discussion

Our retrosynthetic analysis of (\pm) -cherylline dimethyl ether (5) is depicted in Scheme 1. It can be anticipated that 5 could be constructed via a Pictet-Spengler ring annulation from amine 6 which, in turn, could be obtained by reduction of the corresponding isocyanate. The required isocyanate would arise from the aldehyde 7 via radical azidonation followed by Curtius reaction. Lastly, aldehyde 7 could be assembled by Michael addition of 1,2-dimethoxybenzene to p-methoxycinnamonitrile.

1,2-Dimethoxybenzene (veratrole) was subjected to a Michaeltype reaction with p-methoxycinnamonitrile (8) in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid to obtain 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4methoxyphenyl)propanenitrile (9) in 90% yield (Scheme 2). The formation of compound 9 was confirmed by physical spectroscopic data. Reduction of the nitrile group in compound 9 with DIBAL-H in dichloromethane at -78 °C, gave 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanal (7) in 70% yield. The conversion of the nitrile to an aldehyde group was confirmed by its IR spectroscopy in which the peak at 1715 cm⁻¹ was observed. Radical azidonation of aldehyde 7 with iodine azide generated in situ by the reaction of sodium azide with ICl) at room temperature gave an acyl azide and subsequent Curtius rearrangement provided isocyanate 10 in moderate yield. Immediate reduction of the isocyanate 10 by lithium aluminium hydride in tetrahydrofuran gave N-methylamine 6 in 90% yield. The crude amine 6, on Pictet-Spengler reaction with formaldehyde in acetic acid, gave (±)-cherylline dimethyl ether [15] 5 in 45% yield.

In short, we have devised a short and efficient method for the synthesis of (\pm) -cherylline dimethyl ether. The simple and facile nature of this tetrahydroisoquinoline synthesis should allow the construction of a wide variety of interesting and useful analogous molecules.

Experimental

Materials and Instruments

All solvents and reagents were purchased from the suppliers and used without further purification. All non-aqueous reactions were performed in dry glassware under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Organic solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure. Thin layer chromatography was performed on Merck precoated Silica-gel 60F₂₅₄ plates. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d₆ and CDCl₃ using 400 MHz, on a Varian Gemini 400 MHz FT NMR spectrometer. The chemical shifts were reported in δ ppm relative to TMS. The IR spectra were recorded in the solid state as KBr dispersion using Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrophotometer. The mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu LCMS-QP 800 LC-MS and AB-4000 Q-trap LC-MS/MS. Melting points were obtained by using the open capillary method and are uncorrected.

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanenitrile (9). A solution of p-methoxycinnamonitrile (6.0 g, 0.037 mol) and veratrole (10.4 g, 0.75 mol) in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (30 mL) was refluxed for 3 h. TFA was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure and saturated NaHCO₃ (50 mL) was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl

Scheme 2: (a) 1,2-Dimethoxybenzene, TFA, reflux, 3 h, 90%; (b) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 3 h, 65%; (c) (i) NaN₃, ICI, acetonitrile, CH₂Cl₂, 0–5 °C (ii) toluene, 110 °C, 1 h, 65%; (d) LiAIH₄, THF, reflux, 24 h, 90% (e) HCHO, acetic acid, 90 °C, 2 h, 45%.

acetate (3 × 30 mL) and combined extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (7:3 hexane and ethyl acetate) to yield **9** as a residue (10 g, 90 %); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2240 (CN); 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) (3 Ppm): 2.96 (2H, d, 2 P = 8.0 Hz, 2 PCH 2 P), 3.76 (3H, s, 2 PCH₃), 3.79 (3H, s, 2 PCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, 2 PCH₃), 4.25 (1H, t, 2 P = 8.0 Hz, 2 PCH 2 PCH₂), 6.65 2 PCH₃, 4.25 (1H, t, 2 PPCH₃) (3 Ppm); 23.6, 44.5, 55.1, 55.8, 56.1, 110.9, 114.9, 119.4, 121.4, 128.4, 132.9, 133.0, 148.3, 148.8, 157.6; MS (m/z): 298 [M $^{+}$ P1].

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanal (7).

To a solution of 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4methoxyphenyl)propanenitrile (4.0 g, 0.012 mol) in dichloromethane (40 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise DIBAL-H (1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂, 36.0 mL, 0.036 mol). The reaction mixture stirred at -78 °C for 2-3 h. Saturated aqueous solution of Rochelle's salt was added and it was stirred vigorously at room temperature until the two layers became clear. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL) and combined extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (7:3 hexane and ethyl acetate) to give aldehyde 7 as yellow oil (2.5 g, 65%); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1725; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) $(\delta \text{ ppm})$: 3.09 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, $-\text{CH-C}H_2-$), 3.77 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 3.84 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 4.52 (1H, $t, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, CH-CH_2), 6.69-7.14 (7H, m, ArH), 9.72 (1H, t, t)$ -CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) (δ ppm); δ 43.5 (CH₂), 49.0

(Ar–CH–Ar), 55.4 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 111.9 (CH_{ar}), 112.1 (CH_{ar}), 114.1 (2 × CH_{ar}), 119.6 (CH_{ar}) 128.8 (2 × CH_{ar}), 136.6 (C_{ar}), 137.2 (C_{ar}), 147.6 (OC_{ar}), 149.0 (OC_{ar}), 158.0 (OC_{ar}), 202.8 (CHO). MS (m/z): 299 [M⁺– H], 323 [M⁺ + Na].

2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-*N*-methylethanamine (6). To a stirred slurry of sodium azide (1.3 g, 0.02 mol) in acetonitrile (30 mL) in an ice bath was added slowly iodine monochloride (2.16 g, 0.01 mol) in acetonitrile (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 5–10 min and 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanal (2.0 g, 0.006 mol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was added. The reaction was allowed to reach room temperature and was stirred for 2.5 h. The red-brown slurry was poured over water (50 mL), and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (100 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with 3 × 50 mL of 5% sodium thiosulfate leaving a colorless solution, which was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure produced acyl azide.

Curtius rearrangement. The acyl azide was dissolved in dry toluene (30 mL) and heated to reflux for 1 h. Concentration of reaction mixture under vacuum gave isocyanate **10** (1.25 g, 65%). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2260 (N=C=O). Isocyanate **10** (1.25 g, 0.003 mol) in dry THF (15 mL) was slowly added to the suspension of lithium aluminium hydride (LAH) (0.6 g,

0.015 mol) in THF (15 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. After refluxing the reaction mixture for 24 h, it was cooled to 5 °C and cold water was slowly added to it. The aluminium hydroxide formed was filtered over celite and washed with chloroform. The filtrate was extracted with chloroform (3 × 20 mL). All the organic extracts and washings were combined, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, concentrated to obtain 6 as a brown residue 1.0 g (90%); IR (film, cm⁻¹): 3120 (NH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) (δ ppm): 2.44 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.12 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, HCH-N-CH₃), 3.78 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH_3), 3.84 (3H, s, OCH_3), 4.08 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar-CH-Ar), 6.74-7.26 (7H, m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) (δ ppm); 43.9 (NCH₃), 49.4 (ArCHAr), 55.3 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.9 (OCH₃), 56.6 (NCH₂Ar), 112.1 (CH_{ar}), 112.2 (CH_{ar}) , 114.1 (2 × CH_{ar}), 119.8 (C_{ar}) , 129.0 (2 × C_{ar}), 136.2 (C_{ar}), 136.8 (C_{ar}), 147.5 (OC_{ar}), 148.9 (OC_{ar}),157.9 (OC_{ar}). HRMS m/z calculated for C₁₈H₂₃NO₃ 302.3801 [M+1], found: 302.37.

(±)-Cherylline dimethyl ether (5). A mixture of 6 (2.0 g, 0.006 mol), formaldehyde (0.64 g, 0.007 mol) and acetic acid (5 mL) was stirred at 90 °C under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was basified using saturated NaHCO3 solution. This basified solution was extracted ethyl acetate (3 × 25 mL), dried, and concentrated to obtain 1.1 g of crude product. Purification of crude product by column chromatography using 1% methanol in dichloromethane as an eluent afforded compound 5 (0.93 g, 45%); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1610, 1514. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) (δ ppm) : 2.41 (3H, s, NCH₃), 2.48 (1H, s (br), Ar-HCH-N), 2.98 (1H, s (br), Ar–HCH–N), 3.56 (2H, s (br), CH–CH₂–N), 3.68 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.82 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.12 (1H, s (br), Ar-CH-Ar), 6.31 (1H, s, 5-CH), 6.52 (1H, s, 8-CH), 6.82 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2'-CH), 7.08 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, 3'-CH).¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) (δ ppm); 43.9 (NCH₃), 45.9 (ArCHAr), 55.3 (OCH₃), 55.8 (OCH₃), 55.9 (OCH₃), 57.7 (NCH₂-), 61.6 (NCH_2Ar) , 109.7 (CH_{ar}) , 112.5 (CH_{ar}) , 113.9 $(2 \times CH_{ar})$, 127.7 (C_{ar}) , 129.2 (C_{ar}) , 130.0 $(2 \times C_{ar})$, 137.7 (C_{ar}) , 147.5 (OC_{ar}) , 147.6 (OC_{ar}), 158.0 (OC_{ar}). HRMS m/z calculated for C₁₉H₂₃NO₃ 314.1756 [M+1], found: 314.175.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to the Head, Department of Chemistry, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India for providing laboratory facilities.

References

- Brossi, A.; Grethe, G.; Teitel, S.; Wildman, W. C.; Bailey, D. T. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1100–1104. doi:10.1021/jo00829a052
- Kobayashi, S.; Tokumoto, T.; Taira, Z. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 1043–1044. doi:10.1039/C39840001043

- Zára-Kaczián, E.; György, L.; Deák, G.; Seregi, A.; Dóda, M.
 J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 1189–1195. doi:10.1021/jm00157a012
- Cherpillod, C.; Omer, L. M. Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. Toxicol. 1982, 20. 324.
- Brossi, A.; Teitel, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 417–419. doi:10.1016/0040-4039(70)80063-6
- Schwartz, M. A.; Scott, S. W. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1827–1829. doi:10.1021/jo00812a023
- Kametani, T.; Takahashi, K.; Van Loc, C. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 235–238. doi:10.1016/0040-4020(75)85072-1
- Hart, D. J.; Cain, P. A.; Evans, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1548–1557. doi:10.1021/ja00473a037
- Irie, H.; Shiina, A.; Fushimi, T.; Katakawa, J.; Fujii, N.; Yajima, H. Chem. Lett. 1980, 9, 875–878. doi:10.1246/cl.1980.875
- Kessar, S. V.; Singh, P.; Chawla, R.; Kumar, P.
 J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1074–1075. doi:10.1039/C39810001074
- Kametani, T.; Higashiyama, K.; Honda, T.; Otomasu, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 2935–2937. doi:10.1039/P19820002935
- Couture, A.; Deniau, E.; Lebrun, S.; Grandclaudon, P.
 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 789–794. doi:10.1039/a809925a
- Honda, T.; Namiki, H.; Satoh, F. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 631–633. doi:10.1021/ol0155337
- Couture, A.; Deniau, E.; Grandclaudon, P.; Lebrun, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 1309–1316. doi:10.1016/S0957-4166(03)00175-7
- Reshma, K.; Suvidaha, K.; Santosh, T.; Janardan, K. ARKIVOC 2008, No. xii, 256–261.
- Takano, S.; Akiyama, M.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Lett. 1985, 14, 505–506. doi:10.1246/cl.1985.505
- Gore, V. G.; Narashimhan, N. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 481–483. doi:10.1039/P19880000481
- Marinescu, L.; Thinggaard, J.; Thomsen, I. B.; Bols, M. J. Org. Chem.
 2003, 68, 9453–9455. doi:10.1021/jo035163v

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions:

(http://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at:

doi:10.3762/bjoc.5.80