

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Opin Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Microbiol. 2009 December ; 12(6): 599–607. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2009.09.005.

Fungal Meiosis and Parasexual Reproduction – Lessons from Pathogenic Yeast

Racquel K. Sherwood and **Richard J. Bennett**

Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912.

Summary

Meiosis is an integral part of sexual reproduction in eukaryotic species. It performs the dual functions of halving the genetic content in the cell, as well as increasing genetic diversity by promoting recombination between chromosome homologs. Despite extensive studies of meiosis in model yeast, it is now apparent that both the regulation of meiosis and the machinery mediating recombination has significantly diverged, even between closely related species. To highlight this, we discuss new studies on sex in *Candida* species, a diverse collection of hemiascomycetes that are related to *S. cerevisiae* and are important human pathogens. These provide new insights into the most conserved, as well as the most plastic, aspects of meiosis, meiotic recombination, and related parasexual processes.

Introduction

Sexual reproduction is a common attribute of eukaryotic species where it provides an adaptive advantage over species that are strictly asexual. During sex, fusion of gametes results in formation of cells with higher ploidy. Completion of sexual reproduction therefore requires a reductive DNA division, typically mediated by meiosis, in which diploid cells regenerate haploid gametes. Sexual reproduction evolved once very early in evolution in the eukaryotic lineage, and thus all asexual eukaryotes presumably derived from sexual ancestors [1].

Studies in yeast have played a central role in elucidating the regulation, machinery, and significance of sexual reproduction. In particular, experiments on the model ascomycetes, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, have detailed the molecular steps during mating and meiosis. However, despite the wealth of information obtained from these systems, it is now recognized that a surprising plasticity exists in sexual mechanisms from different species. We will review the meiotic programs of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe,* and compare meiosis in these organisms with that recently described in *Candida* species. The latter are a diverse collection of hemiascomyces yeast related to *S. cerevisiae*, and are the most common cause of opportunistic fungal infections in humans. We emphasize differences in sexual differentiation between model and pathogenic yeast, and predict that additional surprises will emerge as genomic and functional studies are completed on these fungi that have important implications for human health.

Correspondence: Richard Bennett. Richard Bennett@brown.edu, Telephone (401) 863-6341., Fax (401) 863-2925. Racquel Sherwood. Racquel_Sherwood@brown.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Regulation of Meiosis in Model Yeast

S. cerevisiae and *S. pombe* show distinct meiotic programs, both in terms of their regulation and the physical structures underpinning nuclear division and recombination. Several reviews have discussed the regulation of meiosis [2–6], the role of cohesins [7,8], and the mechanism of meiotic recombination $[9-12]$, and we will therefore provide a simplified overview.

Meiotic Entry in Budding Yeast

Mating in *S. cerevisiae* occurs between haploid a and α cells producing a/α diploids. The latter are unable to mate, but are competent to undergo meiosis and sporulation in response to environmental cues. A key transcriptional regulator mediating this cell-type specificity is the $a1/\alpha$ 2 heterodimer that represses expression of mating genes [13,14]. In addition, $a1/\alpha$ 2 inhibits expression of *RME1*, which itself encodes a negative regulator of meiosis (Table 1). *RME1* plays an important role in preventing haploid a or α cells from initiating meiosis, a potentially catastrophic event [15]. The direct target of *RME1* is *IME1*, which encodes a transcription factor that, in concert with Ume6, regulates downstream meiotic genes [16] (Figure 1). Multiple pathways converge on *IME1* that are sensitive to cell type and nutritional signals. In particular, the *IME4* gene is induced under starvation conditions and encodes an N^6 -methyladenosine activity that activates *IME1,* and potentially other targets, through modification of their mRNA [17,18]. More recently, it was shown that *IME4* expression is also cell type regulated by a mechanism of transcriptional interference. Thus, haploid a or α cells produce an antisense RNA against *IME4*, whereas in a/ α diploids expression of the antisense RNA is blocked by a1/ α 2, thereby enhancing expression of the sense RNA [19]. This demonstrates a novel mechanism by which cell type specificity in yeast is mediated by a noncoding RNA. Immediately downstream of Ime1 is Ime2, a serine-threonine kinase that positively regulates subsequent events in meiosis [2,20]. Ime2 also negatively regulates Ime1, targeting it for degradation, thereby restricting Ime1 to a narrow window of activity [22]. Profiling of synchronous meioses have revealed a cascade of sequential gene expression involving more than 1000 genes divided between seven temporal groups [23].

Meiotic Entry in Fission Yeast

Mating in *S. pombe* occurs under conditions of nutritional stress and generates diploid *h* ⁺/*h* − zygotes. Co-operation of h^+ and h^- mating-type proteins (M_c and P_m) induces expression of the *mei3* gene, initiating entry into meiosis [26]. M_c and P_m therefore provide cell-type specific regulation of meiosis analogous to that of a1/α2 in *S. cerevisiae* (Figure 1)[27]. Downstream of Mei3 there is a key interaction between Pat1, a serine/threonine kinase, and Mei2, an RNAbinding protein. Mei2 is a key factor for induction of meiosis, but is normally inhibited due to phosphorylation by Pat1 [28]. Inhibition is relieved upon expression of Mei3, which binds to Pat1 thereby inactivating it [29], although a Mei3-independent pathway may also exist for activation of meiosis [30]. Mei2 is essential for the initiation of meiosis and prevents the degradation of key meiosis-specific transcripts [31]. Transcriptional waves subsequently accompany progression through sporulation, and transcription factors have been identified that regulate specific stages including Rep1 (early genes), Mei4 (middle genes), and Atf21/Atf31 (late genes) [32,33]. Comparison of *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae* transcriptomes reveals relatively little overlap between species, although shared components include those of the anaphase-promoting complex and recombination/cohesin genes, such as *REC8* and *DMC1* [32].

Chromosome Pairing and Meiotic Recombination

The first DNA division in meiosis is reductional, with homologous chromosomes segregating from one another (meiosis I), while the second meiotic division is equational, with separation

of sister chromatids (meiosis II). A conserved complex of cohesins holds sister chromatids together during meiosis I, possibly via a ring structure that encircles the chromatids [34]. In many eukaryotes, including *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe,* Rec8 provides meiosis-specific cohesin activity, and cleavage of this protein is necessary prior to separation of sister chromatids during meiosis II [35,36].

Meiotic recombination also plays an active role in pairing homologous chromosomes in yeast. Central to meiotic recombination is the formation and repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) introduced by the highly conserved Spo11 protein (Table 2) [9]. DSBs are subsequently processed by the MRX complex (Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2) into single-stranded DNA regions in preparation for strand exchange by the RecA homologs, Rad51 and Dmc1 [9,37]. Dmc1, in particular, is a meiosis-specific activity important for homologous recombination in many, but not all, eukaryotes [38]. Accessory factors act to promote DSB formation and strand exchange, although these factors tend to be poorly conserved or even species-specific [9,39].

Higher order structures mediate meiotic chromosome segregation in both *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*. In *S. cerevisiae,* as in most other eukaryotes, *s*ynaptonemal complexes (SC) are formed during meiosis and these proteinaceous structures facilitate pairing of homologous chromosomes [40]. A number of proteins are implicated in SC formation including the ZMM (Zip1-Zip4, Msh4/Msh5 and Mer3) family of proteins [11,40], which play a structural role in the SC (particularly Zip1) while also directing the program of genetic recombination. Thus, ZMM proteins promote the processing of meiotic DSBs into crossover events (where there is an exchange of flanking markers) rather than noncrossover events [41,42]. In contrast, meiosis in *S. pombe* does not involve SC formation, although minimal structures (linear elements) support pairing of homologous chromosomes. These structures share some components with the SC (Table 2), but are not essential for meiotic recombination [8,43].

Sex and Meiosis in *Candida* **species**

Species belonging to the *Candida* genus were historically classified as budding yeast that were asexual and formed either pseudohyphae or true hyphae [44]. Many of these species are human pathogens and cluster in a single clade within the hemiascomycetes (Figure 2)[45,46]. Sexual lifestyles have now been confirmed for several *Candida* species and these reveal a surprising diversity in their programs. Thus, *C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis* have many of the genes necessary for mating and meiosis, and yet sex has never been observed in these species [45]. In contrast, *C. lusitaniae* and *C. guilliermondii* have established sexual cycles including sporulation and ascospore formation [44]. *C. albicans* is somewhere between these two extremes; efficient mating occurs but a conventional meiosis has yet to be demonstrated [47–50]. Molecular studies of sexual differentiation in *C. lusitaniae* and *C. albicans* have now been completed, and these will be contrasted with those in model yeast.

Sexual Reproduction in *Candida lusitaniae*

C. lusitaniae exhibits a surprisingly efficient program of meiosis and sporulation given that this species is lacking many 'key' meiotic components [51]. Missing factors include the recombinase Dmc1, synaptonemal complex proteins Zip1-Zip4, as well as activities that promote crossover formation (Msh4/Msh5 and Mer3). In fact, *C. lusitaniae* is missing most of the top candidate genes identified as hallmarks of meiosis and sexual reproduction in eukaryotic species (the 'meiotic toolkit' genes, Table 2)[52]. Despite the loss of these factors, *C. lusitaniae* undergoes Spo11-mediated meiotic recombination at frequencies similar to that of other sexual fungi [51]. The lack of conserved SC components suggests that meiosis may involve minimal structures for chromosome pairing similar to *S. pombe*. However, while a homolog of Rec8 is present in *C. lusitaniae*, other factors such as Hop1 and Mek1 that contribute to linear elements in *S. pombe* are missing [8,43,45]. It therefore appears that very

rudimentary structures mediate chromosome pairing and recombination during *C. lusitaniae* meiosis.

Sexual differentiation in *C. lusitaniae* is also unusual in that, unlike the related hemiascomycete *S. cerevisiae*, it is no longer under control of the a1/α2 complex. *C. lusitaniae* has retained the a1 gene, and this gene is necessary for meiosis and sporulation, but the α 2 gene is missing from the sequenced *MTL*α locus (Figure 1) [45,51]. Presumably, another α-specific gene is required for determining cell type as only a/α (not a/a or α/a diploids sporulate. One candidate is the α1 gene, although how a1 cooperates with α1 to specify cell type and promote meiosis is an intriguing question for the future. Furthermore, while *C. lusitaniae* contains homologs of many regulatory factors involved in meiosis (including *IME2, IME4, RME1*, and *NDT80*) there is no homolog of *IME1*, the master regulator in *S. cerevisiae* (Table 2) [45,51]. The transcriptional circuitry regulating meiosis has therefore diverged since *S. cerevisiae* and *C. lusitaniae* last shared a common ancestor. Similar rewiring of the mating type circuitry has also occurred between *S. cerevisiae* and the *Candida* species [53–55], again illustrating the highly plastic nature of sexual processes.

Sexual reproduction *C. lusitaniae* involves a haploid-diploid-haploid cycle similar to that in model yeast. Surprisingly, however, while the products of meiosis are mainly haploid, about one-third of meiotic products are diploid or aneuploid [51]. The latter may be due to inefficient chromosome segregation and meiotic nondisjunction, particularly given the limited repertoire of meiotic components present in the *C. lusitaniae* genome. Interestingly, similar levels of aneuploidy (7–35%) also occur during human oogenesis and can lead to miscarriage and birth defects [56], although it is not clear what consequences aneuploidy may have for *C. lusitaniae* biology. In addition, *C. lusitaniae* asci typically contain two ascospores (dyads) rather than four ascospores (tetrads) as often formed during meiosis in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*. Chromosome missegregation during *C. lusitaniae* meiosis could generate many nonviable forms, and reducing the number of spores may help limit investment in dead-end products [51]. A parallel exists with *S. cerevisiae,* as meiosis performed in limiting acetate conditions produces dyads rather than tetrads, again preserving cell resources while allowing sporulation to proceed [57–59]. In this case, unpackaged nuclei get degraded during ascus maturation leaving two intact, haploid ascospores.

Parasexual Reproduction in *Candida albicans*

In contrast to *C. lusitaniae,* which is rarely encountered in the clinic, *C. albicans* is a prevalent human pathogen that causes both mucosal and systemic infections [60]. Despite its clinical importance, the sexual lifestyle of *C. albicans* has remained an enigma. Long thought to be an obligate asexual organism, mating of diploid strains has been demonstrated both *in vitro* and *in vivo,* and is regulated by a unique mechanism of phenotypic switching [48–50,61]. *MTL*a and *MTL*α cells can switch between white and opaque forms, but only cells in the opaque state are competent for mating [50]. The key regulator of the opaque state is Wor1, as high levels of this protein are sufficient to drive cells to the opaque form, although a complex network of positive and negative feedback loops regulates heritable Wor1 expression [61]. White-opaque switching is inhibited in a/α cells as the a1/α2 complex represses expression of the *WOR1* gene, locking cells in the white state (Figure 1)[50]. The white-opaque switch therefore adds an additional layer of sophistication to *C. albicans* mating, presumably to regulate sexual reproduction in response to environmental cues from the mammalian host or other, yet to be discovered, niches.

Despite efficient mating between opaque a and α cells, and the presence of many 'meiosisspecific' genes in the sequenced genome (Table 2), a conventional meiosis has yet to be uncovered in *C. albicans* [45,62]. In its place, a parasexual mechanism has been described

involving efficient and cooperative chromosome loss [63]. Thus, culture of tetraploids on certain laboratory media causes genomic instability and loss of chromosomes from the tetraploid, resulting in the formation of diploid (and numerous aneuploid) forms of *C. albicans* [63,64]. One potential advantage of the parasexual program over a traditional meiosis is that it does not culminate in the production of spores. This may be significant given that spores are often highly antigenic and could therefore minimize detection of mating products by the host immune system [65].

Despite the apparent absence of a conventional meiosis in *C. albicans,* several meiosis-specific genes have been shown to encode functional products. For example, a homolog of Dmc1 is present in *C. albicans* and can successfully substitute for *S. cerevisiae* Dmc1 function in meiosis [66]. In addition, Spo11 is required for the initiation of meiotic recombination in many eukaryotes, and was recently implicated in mediating recombination during the parasexual cycle of *C. albicans* [64]. It therefore remains to be seen whether other meiosis-specific genes have also been reprogrammed to function in the parasexual program. If so, the parasexual cycle may represent a *bona fide* alternative to a conventional meiosis. Alternatively, the parasexual cycle may simply signify a particularly inefficient meiosis, especially given the high rates of aneuploidy now reported during meiosis in *C. lusitaniae* [51]. Finally, an exciting possibility is that a cryptic meiosis still exists for *C. albicans,* and that the appropriate conditions have not been identified to initiate this program. In this regard, it is interesting to note that a novel mode of same-sex mating has recently been demonstrated for *C. albicans* [67]. While the products of same-sex mating can undergo the parasexual pathway, further experimentation will determine if a conventional meiosis/sporulation can be performed by these cells.

Conclusions

An emerging theme is that programs of sexual reproduction exhibit a remarkable degree of plasticity. This is particularly true of meiosis, where recent genomic and functional studies have revealed marked differences even between closely related species. Thus, while much has been learned about sexual reproduction from studies in model yeast, it is now apparent that differences between species are likely to be almost as striking as the similarities. A striking example of this sexual diversity is provided by *C. lusitaniae*, which is able to undergo efficient sporulation and homologous recombination yet is apparently lacking much of the molecular machinery associated with meiosis in other organisms. Additional studies will be necessary to reveal how this is achieved with such a limited repertoire of meiotic components.

Finally, while we have focused on recent experiments in *Candida*, exciting developments have been made concerning sex and meiosis in other pathogenic fungi. In particular, a complete sexual cycle has now been demonstrated for *Aspergillus neoformans* [68], the most prevalent airbourne fungal pathogen, and novel modes of same-sex mating and meiosis have been shown to occur during 'haploid fruiting' in *Cryptococcus neoformans* [69], a causative agent of meningoencephalitis. As additional genomes are sequenced, the ability to analyze the role of putative mating and meiosis genes will accelerate, and will undoubtedly lead to a clearer picture of the evolution and consequences of sex in fungi and higher eukaryotes.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Kevin Alby for discussions and reading of the manuscript. We apologize to authors whose work could not be cited due to space restrictions. RS was supported by an F31 predoctoral fellowship (#F31 AI075607-02) from the NIH. RJB holds an investigator in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease Award from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. This work was also supported by the NIH (R21 AI081560).

References

- 1. Ramesh MA, Malik SB, Logsdon JM Jr. A phylogenomic inventory of meiotic genes; evidence for sex in *Giardia* and an early eukaryotic origin of meiosis. Curr Biol 2005;15:185–191. [PubMed: 15668177]
- 2. Kassir Y, Adir N, Boger-Nadjar E, Raviv NG, Rubin-Bejerano I, Sagee S, Shenhar G. Transcriptional regulation of meiosis in budding yeast. Int Rev Cytol 2003;224:111–171. [PubMed: 12722950]
- 3. Mitchell AP. Control of meiotic gene expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Rev 1994;58:56–70. [PubMed: 8177171]
- 4. Davey J. Fusion of a fission yeast. Yeast 1998;14:1529–1566. [PubMed: 9885154]
- 5. Yamamoto M. The molecular control mechanisms of meiosis in fission yeast. Trends Biochem Sci 1996;21:18–22. [PubMed: 8848833]
- 6. Harigaya Y, Yamamoto M. Molecular mechanisms underlying the mitosis-meiosis decision. Chromosome Res 2007;15:523–537. [PubMed: 17674143]
- 7. Sakuno T, Watanabe Y. Studies of meiosis disclose distinct roles of cohesion in the core centromere and pericentromeric regions. Chromosome Res 2009;17:239–249. [PubMed: 19308704]
- 8. Wells JL, Pryce DW, McFarlane RJ. Homologous chromosome pairing in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 2006;23:977–989. [PubMed: 17072890]
- 9. Keeney, S. Spo11 and the formation of DNA double-strand breaks in meiosis. In: Lankenau, D., editor. Recombination and Meiosis. Vol. 2. Springer; Berlin/Heidelberg: 2007. Genome Dynamics and Stability
- 10. Symington LS. Role of RAD52 epistasis group genes in homologous recombination and doublestrand break repair. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2002;66:630–670. table of contents. [PubMed: 12456786]
- 11. Lynn A, Soucek R, Borner GV. ZMM proteins during meiosis: Crossover artists at work. Chromosome Res 2007;15:591–605. [PubMed: 17674148]
- 12. Cromie GA, Smith GR. Branching out: meiotic recombination and its regulation. Trends Cell Biol 2007;17:448–455. [PubMed: 17719784]
- 13. Galgoczy DJ, Cassidy-Stone A, Llinas M, O'Rourke SM, Herskowitz I, DeRisi JL, Johnson AD. Genomic dissection of the cell-type-specification circuit in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:18069–18074. [PubMed: 15604142]
- 14. Sprague, GFJ.; Thorner, JT. Pheromone Response and Signal Transduction during the Mating Process of S. cerevisiae. In: Pringle, JR.; Broach, JR.; Jones, EW., editors. Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast Saccharomyces. CSH Laboratory Press; 1992. p. 657-744.
- 15. Mitchell AP, Herskowitz I. Activation of meiosis and sporulation by repression of the RME1 product in yeast. Nature 1986;319:738–742. [PubMed: 3513021]
- 16. Bowdish KS, Yuan HE, Mitchell AP. Positive control of yeast meiotic genes by the negative regulator UME6. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:2955–2961. [PubMed: 7760793]
- 17. Clancy MJ, Shambaugh ME, Timpte CS, Bokar JA. Induction of sporulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae leads to the formation of N6-methyladenosine in mRNA: a potential mechanism for the activity of the IME4 gene. Nucleic Acids Res 2002;30:4509–4518. [PubMed: 12384598]
- 18. Shah JC, Clancy MJ. IME4, a gene that mediates MAT and nutritional control of meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 1992;12:1078–1086. [PubMed: 1545790]
- 19*. Hongay CF, Grisafi PL, Galitski T, Fink GR. Antisense transcription controls cell fate in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell 2006;127:735–745. The *IME4* gene is a key regulator of entry into meiosis in *S. cerevisiae*. This paper shows that expression of the *IME4* gene transcript is suppressed in haploid cells via an antisense transcript from the same gene. [PubMed: 17110333]
- 20. Yoshida M, Kawaguchi H, Sakata Y, Kominami K, Hirano M, Shima H, Akada R, Yamashita I. Initiation of meiosis and sporulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae requires a novel protein kinase homologue. Mol Gen Genet 1990;221:176–186. [PubMed: 2196430]
- 21. Smith HE, Mitchell AP. A transcriptional cascade governs entry into meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 1989;9:2142–2152. [PubMed: 2664470]

- 22. Guttmann-Raviv N, Martin S, Kassir Y. Ime2, a meiosis-specific kinase in yeast, is required for destabilization of its transcriptional activator, Ime1. Mol Cell Biol 2002;22:2047–2056. [PubMed: 11884593]
- 23. Chu S, DeRisi J, Eisen M, Mulholland J, Botstein D, Brown PO, Herskowitz I. The transcriptional program of sporulation in budding yeast. Science 1998;282:699–705. [PubMed: 9784122]
- 24. Xu L, Ajimura M, Padmore R, Klein C, Kleckner N. NDT80, a meiosis-specific gene required for exit from pachytene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:6572–6581. [PubMed: 8524222]
- 25. Horie S, Watanabe Y, Tanaka K, Nishiwaki S, Fujioka H, Abe H, Yamamoto M, Shimoda C. The Schizosaccharomyces pombe mei4+ gene encodes a meiosis-specific transcription factor containing a forkhead DNA-binding domain. Mol Cell Biol 1998;18:2118–2129. [PubMed: 9528784]
- 26. McLeod M, Stein M, Beach D. The product of the mei3+ gene, expressed under control of the matingtype locus, induces meiosis and sporulation in fission yeast. EMBO J 1987;6:729–736. [PubMed: 3034608]
- 27. Van Heeckeren WJ, Dorris DR, Struhl K. The mating-type proteins of fission yeast induce meiosis by directly activating mei3 transcription. Mol Cell Biol 1998;18:7317–7326. [PubMed: 9819418]
- 28. Watanabe Y, Shinozaki-Yabana S, Chikashige Y, Hiraoka Y, Yamamoto M. Phosphorylation of RNA-binding protein controls cell cycle switch from mitotic to meiotic in fission yeast. Nature 1997;386:187–190. [PubMed: 9062192]
- 29. McLeod M, Beach D. A specific inhibitor of the ran1+ protein kinase regulates entry into meiosis in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nature 1988;332:509–514. [PubMed: 3357510]
- 30. Kjaerulff S, Lautrup-Larsen I, Truelsen S, Pedersen M, Nielsen O. Constitutive activation of the fission yeast pheromone-responsive pathway induces ectopic meiosis and reveals ste11 as a mitogenactivated protein kinase target. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:2045–2059. [PubMed: 15713656]
- 31*. Harigaya Y, Tanaka H, Yamanaka S, Tanaka K, Watanabe Y, Tsutsumi C, Chikashige Y, Hiraoka Y, Yamashita A, Yamamoto M. Selective elimination of messenger RNA prevents an incidence of untimely meiosis. Nature 2006;442:45–50. This paper reveals that *MEI2*, a master regulator of meiosis in *S. pombe*, acts by preventing the degradation of meiosis-specific transcripts by the DSR-Mmi1 system. These results provide a striking example of the surprising complexity in mechanisms regulating meiosis in eukaroytes. [PubMed: 16823445]
- 32. Mata J, Lyne R, Burns G, Bahler J. The transcriptional program of meiosis and sporulation in fission yeast. Nat Genet 2002;32:143–147. [PubMed: 12161753]
- 33. Mata J, Wilbrey A, Bahler J. Transcriptional regulatory network for sexual differentiation in fission yeast. Genome Biol 2007;8:R217. [PubMed: 17927811]
- 34. Haering CH, Farcas AM, Arumugam P, Metson J, Nasmyth K. The cohesin ring concatenates sister DNA molecules. Nature 2008;454:297–301. [PubMed: 18596691]
- 35. Klein F, Mahr P, Galova M, Buonomo SB, Michaelis C, Nairz K, Nasmyth K. A central role for cohesins in sister chromatid cohesion, formation of axial elements, and recombination during yeast meiosis. Cell 1999;98:91–103. [PubMed: 10412984]
- 36. Watanabe Y, Nurse P. Cohesin Rec8 is required for reductional chromosome segregation at meiosis. Nature 1999;400:461–464. [PubMed: 10440376]
- 37. Gerton JL, Hawley RS. Homologous chromosome interactions in meiosis: diversity amidst conservation. Nat Rev Genet 2005;6:477–487. [PubMed: 15931171]
- 38. Neale MJ, Keeney S. Clarifying the mechanics of DNA strand exchange in meiotic recombination. Nature 2006;442:153–158. [PubMed: 16838012]
- 39. Richard GF, Kerrest A, Lafontaine I, Dujon B. Comparative genomics of hemiascomycete yeasts: genes involved in DNA replication, repair, and recombination. Mol Biol Evol 2005;22:1011–1023. [PubMed: 15647519]
- 40. Page SL, Hawley RS. The genetics and molecular biology of the synaptonemal complex. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2004;20:525–558. [PubMed: 15473851]
- 41. Borner GV, Kleckner N, Hunter N. Crossover/noncrossover differentiation, synaptonemal complex formation, and regulatory surveillance at the leptotene/zygotene transition of meiosis. Cell 2004;117:29–45. [PubMed: 15066280]

- 42. Mazina OM, Mazin AV, Nakagawa T, Kolodner RD, Kowalczykowski SC. Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mer3 helicase stimulates 3′–5′ heteroduplex extension by Rad51; implications for crossover control in meiotic recombination. Cell 2004;117:47–56. [PubMed: 15066281]
- 43. Loidl J. S. pombe linear elements: the modest cousins of synaptonemal complexes. Chromosoma 2006;115:260–271. [PubMed: 16532354]
- 44. Heitman, J.; Kronstad, JW.; Taylor, JW.; Casselton, LA. Sex in Fungi. Washington: ASM Press; 2007.
- 45**. Butler G, Rasmussen MD, Lin MF, Santos MA, Sakthikumar S, Munro CA, Rheinbay E, Grabherr M, Forche A, Reedy JL, et al. Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight Candida genomes. Nature 2009;459:657–662. Genomic analysis of multiple *Candida* species demonstrated that there is an enormous diversity between their programs of sexual reproduction. In particular, the configuration of the mating type locus is highly variable, and many of the genes necessary for meiosis in *S. cerevisiae* are absent from the *Candida* species. [PubMed: 19465905]
- 46. Diezmann S, Cox CJ, Schonian G, Vilgalys RJ, Mitchell TG. Phylogeny and evolution of medical species of Candida and related taxa: a multigenic analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:5624–5635. [PubMed: 15583292]
- 47. Bennett RJ, Johnson AD. Mating in *Candida albicans* and the Search for a Sexual Cycle. Annu Rev Microbiol 2005;59:233–255. [PubMed: 15910278]
- 48. Hull CM, Raisner RM, Johnson AD. Evidence for mating of the "asexual" yeast *Candida albicans* in a mammalian host. Science 2000;289:307–310. [PubMed: 10894780]
- 49. Magee BB, Magee PT. Induction of mating in *Candida albican*s by construction of *MTLa* and *MTLalpha* strains. Science 2000;289:310–313. [PubMed: 10894781]
- 50. Miller MG, Johnson AD. White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* is controlled by mating-type locus homeodomain proteins and allows efficient mating. Cell 2002;110:293–302. [PubMed: 12176317]
- 51**. Reedy JL, Floyd AM, Heitman J. Mechanistic plasticity of sexual reproduction and meiosis in the Candida pathogenic species complex. Curr Biol 2009;19:891–899. This paper reports the first detailed study of sexual reproduction in *Candida lusitaniae*. The authors demonstrate that, despite lacking many key meiosis genes, this species undergoes efficient meiosis and sporulation, and that genetic recombination is mediated by Spo11. [PubMed: 19446455]
- 52*. Schurko AM, Logsdon JM Jr. Using a meiosis detection toolkit to investigate ancient asexual "scandals" and the evolution of sex. Bioessays 2008;30:579–589. The presence of a core set of eight highly conserved meiosis-specific genes is proposed as evidence that a species is capable of undergoing sexual reproduction. However, several *Candida* species are lacking many of these 'key' meiotic components. [PubMed: 18478537]
- 53. Tsong AE, Miller MG, Raisner RM, Johnson AD. Evolution of a combinatorial transcriptional circuit: a case study in yeasts. Cell 2003;115:389–399. [PubMed: 14622594]
- 54. Tsong AE, Tuch BB, Li H, Johnson AD. Evolution of alternative transcriptional circuits with identical logic. Nature 2006;443:415–420. [PubMed: 17006507]
- 55. Butler G, Kenny C, Fagan A, Kurischko C, Gaillardin C, Wolfe KH. Evolution of the MAT locus and its Ho endonuclease in yeast species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:1632–1637. [PubMed: 14745027]
- 56. Hunt PA, Hassold TJ. Human female meiosis: what makes a good egg go bad? Trends Genet 2008;24:86–93. [PubMed: 18192063]
- 57. Knop M. Evolution of the hemiascomycete yeasts: on life styles and the importance of inbreeding. Bioessays 2006;28:696–708. [PubMed: 16929561]
- 58. Taxis C, Keller P, Kavagiou Z, Jensen LJ, Colombelli J, Bork P, Stelzer EH, Knop M. Spore number control and breeding in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a key role for a self-organizing system. J Cell Biol 2005;171:627–640. [PubMed: 16286509]
- 59. Neiman AM. Ascospore formation in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2005;69:565–584. [PubMed: 16339736]
- 60. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 2007;20:133–163. [PubMed: 17223626]

- 61*. Zordan RE, Miller MG, Galgoczy DJ, Tuch BB, Johnson AD. Interlocking Transcriptional Feedback Loops Control White-Opaque Switching in Candida albicans. PLoS Biol 2007;5:e256. The whiteopaque switch regulates entry into the program of sexual reproduction in *C. albicans*, as only opaque cells are mating-competent. This paper reveals how a series of positive and negative feedback loops regulates bistability and inheritance of the white and opaque states. [PubMed: 17880264]
- 62. Tzung KW, Williams RM, Scherer S, Federspiel N, Jones T, Hansen N, Bivolarevic V, Huizar L, Komp C, Surzycki R, et al. Genomic evidence for a complete sexual cycle in *Candida albicans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:3249–3253. [PubMed: 11248064]
- 63. Bennett RJ, Johnson AD. Completion of a parasexual cycle in *Candida albicans* by induced chromosome loss in tetraploid strains. Embo J 2003;22:2505–2515. [PubMed: 12743044]
- 64*. Forche A, Alby K, Schaefer D, Johnson AD, Berman J, Bennett RJ. The parasexual cycle in Candida albicans provides an alternative pathway to meiosis for the formation of recombinant strains. PLoS Biol 2008;6:e110. This paper shows that the parasexual cycle in *C. albicans* generates recombinant forms of the organism, and that the Spo11 protein is implicated in these parasexual recombination events. [PubMed: 18462019]
- 65. Nielsen K, Heitman J. Sex and virulence of human pathogenic fungi. Adv Genet 2007;57:143–173. [PubMed: 17352904]
- 66. Diener AC, Fink GR. *DLH1* is a functional *Candida albicans* homologue of the meiosis-specific gene *DMC1*. Genetics 1996;143:769–776. [PubMed: 8725225]
- 67. Alby K, Schaefer D, Bennett RJ. Homothallic and Heterothallic Mating in the Opportunistic Pathogen Candida albicans. Nature 2009;460:890–894. [PubMed: 19675652]
- 68*. O'Gorman CM, Fuller HT, Dyer PS. Discovery of a sexual cycle in the opportunistic fungal pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus. Nature 2009;457:471–474. This paper provides the first demonstration of a complete sexual cycle in this important human pathogen. These results also provide hope that sex will eventually be identified in other fungi, particularly given that almost one-fifth of all fungi have no recognized sexual stage. [PubMed: 19043401]
- 69. Lin X, Hull CM, Heitman J. Sexual reproduction between partners of the same mating type in Cryptococcus neoformans. Nature 2005;434:1017–1021. [PubMed: 15846346]

Sherwood and Bennett Page 10

Figure 1. Regulation of Sexual Programs in Yeast

Meiotic entry is induced by nutritional starvation and the presence of mating type alleles from both sexes for *S. cerevisiae, S. pombe* and *C. lusitaniae*. Note that meiosis in *S. pombe* requires all four genes encoded at the mating locus; Pm and Mc subsequently activate expression of the meiotic regulator, Mei3. Meiosis has recently been investigated in *C. lusitaniae* and requires the presence of a_1 , possibly in combination with a_1 , but the downstream effectors of meiosis have not been identified. *C. albicans* does not undergo a conventional meiosis yet efficient mating of diploid **a** and α strains occurs and is regulated by Wor1 and the white-opaque phenotypic switch. Tetraploid cells can undergo a parasexual program of random and concerted chromosome loss to return to the diploid state.

Figure 2. Phylogeny of Sequenced *Candida* **Species**

Phylogenetic tree of the hemiascomycetes (*S. cerevisiae* and sequenced *Candida* species) as well as the related ascomycete, *S. pombe*. Heterothallic organisms are out-crossing species, whereas homothallic organisms are self-fertile. Note that *C. albicans* exhibits both homothallic and heterothallic modes of reproduction, but undergoes a parasexual cycle rather than a conventional meiosis. Most natural isolates of *S. cerevisiae* are homothallic although heterothallic isolates have also been described. Figure adapted from the Broad Institute (www.broadinstitute.org).

Table 1 List of Genes Regulating Meiosis and Sporulation in *S. cerevisiae*

Genes involved in meiosis and sporulation in *S. cerevisiae* are listed, as well as homologous genes from *C. lusitaniae* and *C. albicans* genomes. Although a meiotic program has been identified in *C. lusitaniae*, functional tests of these putative meiosis genes have not been performed. In the case of *C. albicans*, a conventional meiosis has not been observed*,* and several genes that function in meiosis in *S. cerevisiae* have been shown to have an unrelated function in *C. albicans*. Homologous genes for *C. albicans* and *C. lusitaniae* were identified by the Broad Institute using reciprocal BLAST against *S. cerevisiae* meiotic and sporulation genes (www.broadinstitute.org). Absence of a homolog is designated as (−) in this table.

Table 2
Meiotic Genes Involved in Homologous Recombination and Synaptonemal Complex Formation **Meiotic Genes Involved in Homologous Recombination and Synaptonemal Complex Formation**

Homologs of S. cerevisiae genes required for homologous recombination and synaptonemal complex formation were compared across a subset of eukaryotic Homologs of *S. cerevisiae* genes required for homologous recombination and synaptonemal complex formation were compared across a subset of eukaryotic culminating in the formation of recombinant spores yet is lacking six of the eight meiotic toolkit genes, as well as homologs of most of the genes associated culminating in the formation of recombinant spores yet is lacking six of the eight meiotic toolkit genes, as well as homologs of most of the genes associated presence of a meiotic pathway ("meiotic toolkit" genes, [52]) are also indicated (*). Note that S. pombe does not form synaptonemal complexes but uses presence of a meiotic pathway ("meiotic toolkit" genes, [52]) are also indicated (*). Note that *S. pombe* does not form synaptonemal complexes but uses species. The presence of a gene homolog is designated by $(+)$ and absence of a homolog by $(-)$. Genes representing the best markers for predicting the −). Genes representing the best markers for predicting the linear elements that involve Rec8, Hop1, Hop2, Mek1, and Rec10 (homolog of S. cerevisiae Red1). C. lusitaniae demonstrates meiotic competence linear elements that involve Rec8, Hop1, Hop2, Mek1, and Rec10 (homolog of *S. cerevisiae* Red1). *C. lusitaniae* demonstrates meiotic competence species. The presence of a gene homolog is designated by $(+)$ and absence of a homolog by (with synaptonemal complex/linear element formation. with synaptonemal complex/linear element formation.

Curr Opin Microbiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 1.

Meiosis specific protein, localizes to axial elements of the synaptonemal complex. Required for homologous recombination. *HOP1** − *+ + +*

Meiosis specific protein, localizes to axial elements of the synaptonemal complex. Required for homologous recombination.

 $\overline{1}$

 $HOPI^*$

L

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript