Presence of the Listeria Tetracycline Resistance Gene tet(S) in *Enterococcus faecalis*

EMMANUELLE CHARPENTIER,* GUY GERBAUD, AND PATRICE COURVALIN

Unité des Agents Antibactériens, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, EP J0058, Institut Pasteur, ⁷⁵⁷²⁴ Paris Cedex 15, France

Received 14 April 1994/Returned for modification 22 June 1994/Accepted 4 August 1994

Two hundred thirty-eight tetracycline- and minocycline-resistant clinical isolates of *Enterococcus* and Streptococcus spp. were investigated by dot blot hybridization for the presence of nucleotide sequences related to tet(S) (first detected in Listeria monocytogenes BM4210), tet(K), tet(L), tet(M), tet(O), tet(P), and tet(Q) genes. The tet(S) determinant was found in 22 strains of *Enterococcus faecalis*, associated with tet(M) in 9 of these isolates and further associated with $tet(L)$ in 3 of these strains. $tet(M)$ was detected in all strains of Streptococcus spp. and in all but 10 isolates of Enterococcus spp.; tet(L) was found in 93 enterococci and tet(O) was found in single isolates of E. faecalis and Streptococcus milleri. No hybridization with the tet(K), tet(P), and tet(Q) probes was observed. Transfer of tet(S) by conjugation to E. faecalis or to E. faecalis and L. monocytogenes was obtained from 8 of the 10 E. faecalis strains harboring only this tet gene. Hybridization experiments with DNAs of four donors and of the corresponding transconjugants suggested that $tet(S)$ was located in the chromosome. These results indicate that the genetic support of $tet(S)$ in E. faecalis is different from that in L. monocytogenes, where it is carried by self-transferable plasmids, and confirm the notion of exchange of genetic information between Enterococcus and Listeria spp. in nature.

Extensive clinical use of tetracyclines has led to an increase in organisms resistant to these antibiotics in a wide range of gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial species (17). A minimum of 15 hybridization classes of tetracycline resistance determinants that can be assigned to three groups on the basis of the biochemical mechanism of resistance have been described (6). The first group mediates energy-dependent efflux of tetracycline from the cells and includes 10 classes of genes: tet(A) to tet(E) (33), tet(K) (15), tet(G) (36), tet(H) (12), tet(L) (13), and $tet(P)$ (31). The second mechanism confers tetracycline and minocycline resistance by ribosomal protection and comprises four gene classes: tet(M) (18), tet(\overline{O}) (32), tet(\overline{Q}) (20) , and $tet(S)$ (5). Recently, a third mechanism, chemical modification of tetracycline, has been discovered in the genus Bacteroides and the resistance gene has been designated $tet(X)$ (33).

Active efflux seems to be confined to gram-negative bacteria and to a few gram-positive species, whereas ribosomal protection is, by far, the most common tetracycline resistance mechanism in nature (17, 33). Genes $tet(K)$ and $tet(L)$ have been detected only in gram-positive bacteria (2, 3, 9, 25, 27, 28, 37). The $tet(P)$ determinant, which is constituted of two overlapping genes, tet $A(P)$ and tet $B(P)$, has been found only in *Clostridium* spp. (29, 31). Originally discovered in Streptococcus agalactiae (4), tet(M) has been subsequently detected in a large variety of aerobic and anaerobic gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial genera, including Enterococcus (2, 27, 37), Streptococcus (27, 37), Peptostreptococcus (26, 27), Staphylococcus (3), Listeria (9, 25), Clostridium (11, 19), Neisseria (16), Kingella (16), Gardnerella (27), Haemophilus (26), and Bacteroides (1), and in cell wall-less organisms such as Mycoplasma and Ureaplasma spp. (26). It is the most widely disseminated antibiotic resistance determinant. The $tet(O)$ gene, initially found in the

gram-negative genus Campylobacter (32, 35), was later detected in the gram-positive Enterococcus (2, 37), Streptococcus (27, 37), Peptostreptococcus (27), Lactobacillus (27), and Mobiluncus spp. (28) . So far, the tet (Q) gene is apparently confined to Bacteroides spp. (20, 33).

We recently determined the sequence of ^a gene belonging to a new class, tet(S), carried by the self-transferable plasmid pIP811 in Listeria monocytogenes BM4210 (5). The Tet(S) protein shares 79 and 72% amino acid identities with Tet(M) and Tet(O), respectively, and is more distantly related to Tet(Q) (40% identity). In previous studies, it has been proposed that emergence of multiple-antibiotic resistance in L. monocytogenes BM4210 was secondary to acquisition of a replicon originating in the Enterococcus-Streptococcus genera (22). Demonstration of a direct exchange of genetic information between *Enterococcus faecalis* and *L. monocytogenes* in the digestive tract of gnotobiotic mice (8) and detection in L. monocytogenes of tet(M) associated with $int-Tn$, the gene encoding the protein required for the movements of enterococcal conjugative transposons, constitute additional support for this suggestion (25) . The tet(S) gene was detected in two other clinical isolates of L. monocytogenes isolated in France and Switzerland (5) but its occurrence in enterococci and streptococci has not been reported.

To gain an insight into the origin of $tet(S)$, we searched for the presence of this gene in tetracycline-minocycline-resistant clinical isolates of Enterococcus and Streptococcus spp. by DNA-DNA hybridization using ^a specific probe. In addition, we investigated these strains for the presence of $tet(K)$, $tet(L)$, tet(M), and tet(O), already characterized in enterococci and streptococci. Probes specific for $tetA(P)$, $tetB(P)$, $tet(Q)$, and int-Tn were also included in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. A total of ²²⁹ enterococcal and ⁹ streptococcal clinical isolates resistant to tetracycline and minocycline were collected in 10 hospitals in France. The strains were

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Unite des Agents Antibactériens, Institut Pasteur, 28, rue du Docteur Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France. Phone: (33) (1) 45 68 83 61. Fax: (33) (1) 45 68 83 19. Electronic mail address: echarpen@pasteur-fr.

isolated in different geographical locations between 1986 and 1993. Enterococci harboring tet(S) were identified by Gram staining, the absence of catalase, the inability to produce gas, the presence of Lancefield antigen group D, and growth in 40% bile, in 6.5% sodium chloride, in 0.1% methylene blue, and at pH 9.6. Species identification (10) was based on the following tests: potassium tellurite reduction, pigmentation, motility at 30°C, and biochemical tests in API ⁵⁰ CH galleries (bioMerieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France). L. monocytogenes L017 RF (21) and E. faecalis JH2-2 (14), both resistant to fusidic acid and rifampin, were used as recipients in conjugation experiments.

Media, culture conditions, and determination of in vitro susceptibility to antibiotics. The bacterial strains were grown in brain heart infusion broth and agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.). Antibiotic susceptibility was tested by diffusion on Mueller-Hinton agar with disks impregnated with antibiotics (Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur, Marnes-La-Coquette, France). The MICs of antibiotics were determined on brain heart infusion agar with an inoculum of $10⁴$ CFU per spot by the method of Steers et al. (34). All incubations were done at 37°C.

Genetic techniques. Mating on filters was performed as described earlier (22), and transfer frequencies were expressed as the number of transconjugants per donor CFU after the mating period. The antibiotics and concentrations used for selection of transcipients were as follows: fusidic acid, 10 μ g/ml; rifampin, 20 μ g/ml; and tetracycline, 5 μ g/ml.

Preparation of DNA. Total DNAs from Enterococcus and Streptococcus spp. were prepared as described previously (25). The presence of DNA was monitored by agarose gel electrophoresis. Aliquots (10 μ l) of the crude extracts were denatured for 10 min at 100°C before being spotted onto Nytran membranes (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany). Purification of plasmid DNA from *Escherichia coli* (30) and *E. faecalis* (7) was performed by ultracentrifugation in cesium chlorideethidium bromide as described previously.

Construction of probes and DNA-DNA hybridization. The DNA fragments to be used as probes for hybridization experiments were the 870-bp HincII fragment of pT181 for $tet(K)$ (15), the 310-bp ClaI-HpaII fragment of pBC16 for $tet(L)$ (13), the 850-bp ClaI-HindIII fragment of TnJS45 for tet(M) (18), the 1,458-bp HindIII-NdeI fragment of pIP1433 for tet(O) (32), the 900-bp SphI-EcoRI fragment of pCW3 for $tetA(P)$ (31), the 1,100-bp PstI-EcoRI fragment of pCW3 for tetB(P) (31), the 900-bp EcoRI-EcoRV fragment of pNFD13-2 for tet(Q) (20), the 590-bp fragment of pIP811 for $tet(S)$ (5), and the 830-bp TaqI fragment of Tn1545 for int-Tn (23). Restriction endonuclease-generated fragments were separated by electrophoresis in 0.8% low-melting-temperature agarose type VII (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) as described earlier (30) and extracted from the gel by using a commercially available kit (Gene Clean II; Bio 101, Inc., La Jolla, Calif.). The purified fragments were labeled in vitro by nick translation (30) with $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dCTP. For Southern hybridization, restriction fragments obtained from digestion of plasmid and total DNAs with EcoRI endonuclease were separated by 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and transferred to a Nytran membrane (Schleicher & Schuell) (30). Dot blot and Southern hybridizations under stringent conditions were performed as follows: prehybridization and hybridization at 68°C for 5 and 18 h, respectively, in $6 \times$ SSC ($1 \times$ SSC is 0.15 M sodium chloride plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) containing 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 0.05% nonfat dry milk. Membranes were washed in $2 \times$ SSC-0.1% SDS at room temperature for 30 min each, and in $0.2 \times$ SSC-0.1% SDS at 68°C for 45 min. In dot blot experiments, self-hybridization of the probe and hybridization

TABLE 1. Distribution of strains of Enterococcus and Streptococcus spp. according to their susceptibilities to tetracycline and minocycline and hybridization with tet probes

Group or species (no. of strains)		MIC range $(\mu g/ml)$ of:	
	Hybridization with tet probes ^a	Tetra- cycline	Mino- cycline
E. faecalis JH2-2	None		0.125
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (117)	tet(M)	$64 - 256$	32 ^b
Streptococcus group B (7)	tet(M)	$32 - 256$	$16 - 32$
Streptococcus sanguis (1)	tet(M)	256	32
Enterococcus spp. (89)	$tet(L) + tet(M)$	$128 - 256c$	$16 - 32^{c}$
$S.$ milleri (1)	$tet(M) + tet(O)$	128	16
$E.$ faecalis (1)	$tet(L) + tet(M)$ $+$ tet(O)	256	32
$E.$ faecalis (10)	tet(S)	128-256	$32 - 64$
E. faecalis (9)	$tet(M) + tet(S)$	128-256	$32 - 64$
$E.$ faecalis (3)	$tet(L) + tet(M)$ $+$ tet(S)	128-256	$32 - 64$

^a No hybridization was observed with tet(K), tet $A(P)$, tet $B(P)$, and tet(Q) probes.

 b MICs for 5 of the 117 isolates were determined.</sup>

 c MICs for 3 of the 89 isolates were determined.

of the probe with total DNA from E. faecalis JH2-2 were included as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Enzymes and chemicals. Restriction endonucleases (Pharmacia Biotech S.A., Saint-Quentin-Yvelines, France) were used according to the recommendations of the manufacturer. Lysozyme was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. The nick translation reagent kit was obtained from Bethesda Research Laboratories, Inc. (Gaithersburg, Md.). $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ dCTP was purchased from the Amersham Radiochemical Center (Amersham, England). The Raoul DNA molecular weight marker was obtained from Appligene (Illkirch, France). The following antibiotics were provided by the indicated laboratories: chloramphenicol and erythromycin, Roussel-Uclaf (Romainville, France); fusidic acid, Leo (Montigny-Le-Bretonneux, France); minocycline, Lederle (Oullins, France); rifampin, Sigma Chemical Co.; streptomycin, Diamant (Puteaux, France); tetracycline, Rhone-Poulenc Rorer (Vitry-sur-Seine, France).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Properties of the strains studied. The antibiotic susceptibilities of the 229 enterococcal and 9 streptococcal clinical isolates were determined by disk agar diffusion. All the strains were resistant to tetracycline and minocycline and appeared unrelated since they had different antibiotic resistance phenotypes and were isolated in various hospitals in France and at different times between 1986 and 1993.

Distribution of the tet determinants. The presence of sequences related to tet(K), tet(L), tet(M), tet(O), tetA(P), tetB(P), $tet(Q)$, $tet(S)$, and $int-Th$ was determined by dot blot hybridization under high-stringency conditions by using intragenic probes (Table 1). Total DNAs from ¹¹⁷ (51%) enterococcal and 8 (89%) streptococcal isolates displayed homology with the $tet(M)$ probe. Sequences related to $int-Tn$ were also observed in 105 (90%) and 7 (88%) of these isolates, respectively. Hybridization of both $tet(L)$ and $tet(M)$ was detected with total DNAs from 89 (39%) enterococcal isolates. In 69 (78%) of these strains, homology with the $int-In$ probe was also found. DNA from a Streptococcus milleri isolate hybridized with tet(M), int-Tn, and tet(O); and that from an E. faecalis isolate showed homology with $tet(L)$, $tet(M)$, and $tet(O)$. Ho-

Strain designation	tet determinant(s) in donor	Resistance	Tc-Mc resistance transferable to ^b :		tet gene
		phenotype ^a	L017	JH2-2	transferred
BM4234	tet(S)	Cm Em Sm Tc Mc	$^{+}$	$+$	tet(S)
BM4235					
BM4236			$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	tet(S)
BM4237	tet(S)	Cm Sm Tc Mc		$^{+}$	tet(S)
BM4238	tet(S)	Sm Tc Mc			
BM4239				$+$	tet(S)
BM4240	tet(S)	Tc Mc	-	$^{+}$	tet(S)
BM4241				$+$	tet(S)
BM4242				$^{+}$	tet(S)
BM4243				$+$	tet(S)
BM4244	$tet(M) + tet(S)$	Cm Em Sm Tc Mc	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	tet(M)
BM4245			$^{+}$	$+$	tet(M)
BM4246			$\boldsymbol{+}$	$+$	tet(M)
BM4247			$^{+}$	$^{+}$	tet(M)
BM4248	$tet(M) + tet(S)$	Cm Em Tc Mc	\ddag	$^{+}$	tet(M)
BM4249	$tet(M) + tet(S)$	Sm Tc Mc	$\ddot{}$		tet(M)
BM4250			$\, +$		tet(M)
BM4251			$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	tet(M)
BM4252	$tet(M) + tet(S)$	Tc Mc		$+$	tet(M)
BM4253	$tet(L) + tet(M) + tet(S)$	Cm Em Sm Tc Mc	$\ddot{}$	$\,^+$	tet(M)
BM4254			$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	tet(M)
BM4255			$^{+}$	$^{+}$	tet(M)

TABLE 2. Properties of E . faecalis strains containing tet(S)

^a Abbreviations: Cm, chloramphenicol resistance; Em, erythromycin resistance; Mc, minocycline resistance; Sm, streptomycin resistance; Tc, tetracycline resistance. b +, positive transfer; -, negative transfer.</sup>

mology with the $tet(S)$ probe was observed in 22 (10%) E. *faecalis* isolates. In nine (41%) of these strains, tet(S) was associated with tet(M) and int-Tn, while in three (14%) strains it was also associated with $tet(L)$. The finding of the $tet(S)$ gene in strains of E. faecalis constitutes additional support for the notion that plasmid pIP811 from L. monocytogenes BM4210 originates in enterococci (22). None of the strains of Enterococcus and Streptococcus studied displayed homology with the tet(K), tet $A(P)$, tet $B(P)$, and tet(Q) probes. Susceptible E. faecalis JH2-2 did not hybridize with the probes tested.

Among the strains tested, the $tet(L)$ and $tet(M)$ genes were widespread, $tet(O)$ was rare, and $tet(K)$ was absent. The $tet(K)$ gene is widely disseminated in Staphylococcus spp. (3), rarely detected in Listeria spp. (9), and rarely encountered in Enterococcus-Streptococcus spp. isolates from Europe (37), whereas it is common in the United States (27) . tet(L) [which, like tet(K), confers resistance to tetracycline but not to minocycline] was found associated with $tet(M)$ and, in rare cases, with $tet(M)$ and $tet(O)$ or $tet(M)$ and $tet(S)$. In contrast to $tet(K)$, the $tet(L)$ gene is more common among enterococci and streptococci (37) than among Staphylococcus spp. (3) or L. monocytogenes (9, 25). The distributions of $tet(M)$ and $tet(O)$ among gram-positive bacteria differed: the $tet(M)$ gene was found, alone or associated with other tet genes, in all of the nine streptococcal isolates and in 219 (96%) of the enterococcal isolates analyzed, whereas tet(O) was detected associated with other tet genes in single isolates of E . faecalis and of S . milleri. The tet(M) gene is common among enterococci and streptococci, where it is part of broad-host-range conjugative transposons and is thus usually associated with $int-In$, the gene encoding the integrase of these elements $(23, 24)$. In contrast, tet (O) is rarely encountered in these genera, where it is carried by conjugative plasmids (33, 35, 37) or occasionally present in the chromosome of Streptococcus spp. (28). In this study, DNAs of 33 of

the 219 Enterococcus strains and of one of the nine Streptococcus strains harboring $tet(M)$ alone or associated with other tet determinants did not hybridize with the $int-In$ probe. It is noteworthy that in the genus *Clostridium tet*(M) is carried by at least two types of unrelated conjugative transposons. One type shares extensive homology with Tn916 (11), but the other does not (19). Lack of association of $tet(M)$ with int-Tn could be due to deletions in conjugative transposons or to the existence of genetic support(s) other than Tn916-related elements for this resistance determinant in gram-positive cocci. The tet(P) and tet(Q) genes were not detected in the strains tested. To date, these genes have been detected only in Clostridium spp. (29, 31) and Bacteroides spp. (20), respectively. The finding of tet(K), tet(L), and tet(\hat{M}) in *Listeria* spp. (9, 25) as well as the finding of the tet(S) gene in E . faecalis (in this study) confirms the notion of easy genetic exchange between gram-positive bacteria under natural conditions. Since DNAs of all the isolates studied hybridized with probes specific for the tet genes already described for gram-positive bacteria, we did not detect a strain harboring alone a tetracycline resistance gene not yet characterized.

Susceptibility to tetracycline and minocycline. The MICs of tetracycline and minocycline for 40 strains of Enterococcus and Streptococcus spp. representative of the various tet gene combinations were determined (Table 1). The MICs of tetracycline (32 to 256 μ g/ml) and minocycline (16 to 64 μ g/ml) were similar for all strains irrespective of their tet gene content. No cooperativity of the resistance determinants was observed, as opposed to results in previous studies of Staphylococcus aureus (3) and Enterococcus-Streptococcus spp. (37).

Antibiotic resistance phenotypes of E. faecalis strains harboring tet(S). The 22 enterococcus isolates harboring the $tet(S)$ determinant, alone or associated with $tet(L)$, $tet(M)$, and int-Tn, were specified as E. faecalis. Plasmid pIP811 also

FIG. 1. Analysis of DNA by agarose gel electrophoresis (A) and by hybridization (B). Plasmid and total DNAs were digested with EcoRI. The resulting fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred to a Nytran membrane, and hybridized to the in vitro 32P-labeled tet(S) probe. Lanes: 1, total DNA of JH2-2 (negative control); 2, pIP811 DNA (positive control); 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, and 16, total DNAs of strains BM4236, BM4256, BM4237, BM4257, BM4239, BM4258, BM4243, and BM4259, respectively; 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, and 18, plasmid DNAs of strains BM4236, BM4256, BM4237, BM4257, BM4239, BM4258, BM4243, and BM4259, respectively. Bacteriophage ^X DNA digested with PstI (A) and Raoul DNA molecular weight marker (R) served as internal standards.

confers resistance to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, and streptomycin (22), and these isolates can be assigned to five groups according to their resistance to these antibiotics in addition to tetracycline-minocycline (Table 2). One group consisted of 10 strains that were resistant to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, and streptomycin and contained tet(S) alone or associated with tet(M) and int-Tn or with tet(M), int-Tn, and $tet(L)$. A strain harboring $tet(S)$ was resistant to chloramphenicol and streptomycin. One isolate was resistant to chloramphenicol and erythromycin and contained the $tet(M)$, int-Tn, and tet(S) genes. Another group was composed of five strains resistant to streptomycin that carried $tet(S)$ alone or in association with $tet(M)$ and $int-In$. The last group consisted of five isolates harboring tet(S), alone or with tet(M) and int-Tn, that were tetracycline-minocycline resistant only.

Filter mating experiments. Transfer of tetracycline resistance from the 22 E. faecalis strains harboring $tet(S)$ was studied by filter mating. The strains fell into three groups according to the combinations of tet genes they harbored and to their resistance phenotypes (Table 2). Twenty strains transferred tetracycline-minocycline resistance to one of the plasmid-free strains L. monocytogenes L017 and E. faecalis JH2-2, or to both (Table 2), at frequencies ranging from 10^{-4} to 10^{-9} . Selection for transfer of tetracycline resistance did not reveal cotransfer of any other resistance. The conjugation frequencies from E. faecalis containing tet(S) alone or associated with other tet determinants to E. faecalis JH2-2 and to L. monocytogenes L017 were similar. The MICs of tetracycline and minocycline for E. faecalis and L. monocytogenes transconjugants were similar to those for the wild strains (data not shown).

Among the nine strains harboring tet(S), tet(M), and int-Tn, six transferred tetracycline-minocycline resistance to both L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis, two transferred resistance to L. monocytogenes only, and one isolate transferred resistance to *E. faecalis* but not to *L. monocytogenes* $(<10^{-9})$. The three isolates containing $tet(S)$ associated with $tet(L)$, $tet(M)$, and int -Tn transferred resistance to both L. monocytogenes and E. faecalis. Total DNAs extracted from the ²¹ transconjugants hybridized with $tet(M)$ and $int-Th$ probes but not to $tet(S)$. The acquisition of tet(M) and int-Tn but not of tet(S) by these transconjugants suggests that $tet(M)$ was transferred more efficaciously than $tet(S)$.

Of the 10 isolates containing $tet(S)$ alone, 2 strains transferred tetracycline-minocycline resistance to both L. monocytogenes and \dot{E} . faecalis and 6 strains transferred resistance to E . faecalis only (Table 2). Transfer from the two remaining strains to either recipient was not detected in three independent experiments. In the 10 transconjugants obtained, acquisition of tetracycline resistance correlated with acquisition of the $tet(S)$ gene, as revealed by dot blot hybridization. Four donors (BM 4236, BM4237, BM4239, and BM4243) and the corresponding E. faecalis transconjugants (BM4256, BM4257, BM4258, and BM4259) were selected for further studies. Plasmid and total DNAs of these strains were purified, digested with EcoRI, and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and by Southern hybridization with a $tet(S)$ intragenic probe (Fig. 1). Comparative analysis revealed that the EcoRI-generated restriction profiles of plasmid DNA of ^a donor and of the corresponding transconjugant were indistinguishable but that they differed between the various donor-transconjugant pairs. The plasmids that varied from approximately 40 to 160 kb did not hybridize with the tet(S) probe. In contrast, two restriction fragments of total DNA of similar size in all the donors and the E. faecalis transconjugants hybridized with the probe, suggesting that tet(S) was located in the chromosome. The tet(S) fragment used as a probe contains an EcoRI site (5), and the presence in each strain of two hybridizing fragments indicated that a single copy of the resistance determinant was present. Total DNAs

FIG. 2. Analysis of DNA by agarose gel electrophoresis (A) and by hybridization (B). Plasmid and total DNAs were digested with EcoRI. The resulting fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred to a Nytran membrane, and hybridized to the in vitro $32P$ -labeled tet(S) probe. Lanes: 1, total DNA of JH2-2 (negative control); 2, total DNA of L017 (negative control); 3, pIP811 DNA (positive control); ⁴ to 6, total DNAs of strains BM4236, BM4256, and BM4286, respectively. Bacteriophage λ DNA digested with PstI (λ) was used as an internal standard.

from E. faecalis BM4236 and from the corresponding E. faecalis BM4256 and L. monocytogenes BM4286 transconjugants were analyzed similarly (Fig. 2). One of the two hybridizing fragments in BM4286 differed in size from those in BM4236 and in BM4256, confirming that transfer of tet(S) was not due to transfer of a replicon en bloc. The stability of tetracycline resistance in BM4236, BM4256, and BM4286 was assessed by replica plating after growth for 100 generations in antibiotic free broth. All the clones tested (approximately 300 colonies of each strain) were found to be resistant, a finding which is compatible with a chromosomal rather than a plasmid location of tet(S). Further studies are required to characterize the putative mobile genetic element(s) carrying this resistance determinant. The sizes of the two hybridizing restriction fragments in the various donors and transconjugants were different from those of the two bands of pIP811, suggesting that the genetic environment of $tet(S)$ in E . faecalis studied here and in L. monocytogenes BM4210 (5) differed.

L. monocytogenes is a frequent inhabitant of the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and animals, where various Enterococcus-Streptococcus species harboring conjugative resistance plasmids and transposons are also common. It has thus been proposed that the intestinal ecosystem is the most probable site for genetic transfer between these bacterial genera (8). Our findings constitute further support that emergence of antibiotic resistance in *Listeria* spp. represents an extension of the gene pool of enterococci and streptococci.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. Andremont, J. L. Avril, Y. Costa, F. Goldstein, T. Lambert, D. Lesage, M. P. Nikolich, A. Philippon, M. C. Ploy, J. I. Rood, D. Sirot, and J. Tankovic for their gifts of strains and E. Derlot for identification of enterococci.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barbeyrac, B., B. Dutilh, C. Quentin, H. Renaudin, and C. Bebear. 1991. Susceptibility of Bacteroides ureolyticus to antimicrobial agents and identification of a tetracycline resistance determinant related to tetM. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 27:721-731.
- 2. Bentorcha, F., G. de Cespedes, and T. Horaud. 1991. Tetracycline resistance heterogeneity in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob.

Agents Chemother. 35:808-812.

- 3. Bismuth, R, R. Zilhao, H. Sakamoto, J. L. Guesdon, and P. Courvalin. 1990. Gene heterogeneity for tetracycline resistance in Staphylococcus spp. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 34:1611-1614.
- 4. Burdett, V., J. Inamine, and S. Rajagopalan. 1982. Heterogeneity of tetracycline resistance determinants in Streptococcus. J. Bacteriol. 149:995-1004.
- 5. Charpentier, E., G. Gerbaud, and P. Courvalin. 1993. Characterization of a new class of tetracycline-resistance gene $tet(S)$ in Listeria monocytogenes BM4210. Gene 131:27-34.
- 6. Chopra, I., P. M. Hawkey, and M. Hinton. 1992. Tetracyclines, molecular and clinical aspects. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 29:245- 277.
- 7. Courvalin, P., and C. Carlier. 1986. Transposable multiple antibiotic resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 205:291-297.
- 8. Doucet-Populaire, F., P. Trieu-Cuot, I. Dosbaa, A. Andremont, and P. Courvalin. 1991. Inducible transfer of conjugative transposon Tn1545 from Enterococcus faecalis to Listeria monocytogenes in the digestive tracts of gnotobiotic mice. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:185-187.
- 9. Facinelli, B., M. C. Roberts, E. Giovanetti, C. Casolari, U. Fabio, and P. E. Varaldo. 1993. Genetic basis of tetracycline resistance in food-borne isolates of Listeria innocua. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:614-616.
- 10. Facklam, R. R., and M. D. Collins. 1989. Identification of Enterococcus species isolated from human infections by a conventional test scheme. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27:731-734.
- 11. Hachler, H., F. H. Kayzer, and B. Berger-Bachi. 1987. Homology of a transferable tetracycline resistance determinant of Clostridium difficile with Streptococcus (Enterococcus) faecalis transposon Tn916. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 31:1033-1038.
- 12. Hansen, L. M., L. M. McMurry, S. B. Levy, and D. C. Hirsh. 1993. A new tetracycline resistance determinant, Tet H, from Pasteurella multocida specifying active efflux of tetracycline. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37:2699-2705.
- 13. Hoshino, T., T. Ikeda, N. Tomizuka, and K. Furukawa. 1985. Nucleotide sequence of the tetracycline resistance gene of pTHT15, a thermophilic Bacillus plasmid: comparison with staphylococcal TcR controls. Gene 37:131-138.
- 14. Jacob, A. E., and S. J. Hobbs. 1974. Conjugal transfer of plasmidborne multiple antibiotic resistance in Streptococcus faecalis var. zymogenes. J. Bacteriol. 117:360-372.
- 15. Khan, S. A., and R. P. Novick. 1983. Complete nucleotide sequence of pT181, a tetracycline-resistance plasmid from Staphylococcus aureus. Plasmid 10:251-259.
- 16. Knapp, J. S., S. R. Johnson, J. M. Zenilman, M. C. Roberts, and S. A. Morse. 1988. High-level tetracycline resistance resulting from TetM in strains of Neisseria spp., Kingella denitrificans, and Eikenella corrodens. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 32:765-767.
- 17. Levy, S. B. 1989. Evolution and spread of tetracycline resistance determinants. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 24:1-7.
- Martin, P., P. Trieu-Cuot, and P. Courvalin. 1986. Nucleotide sequence of the tetM tetracycline resistance determinant of the streptococcal conjugative shuttle transposon Tn1545. Nucleic Acids Res. 14:7047-7058.
- 19. Mullany, P., M. Wilks, I. Lamb, C. Clayton, B. Wren, and S. Tabaqchali. 1990. Genetic analysis of a tetracycline resistance element from Clostridium difficile and its conjugal transfer to and from Bacillus subtilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 136:1343-1349.
- 20. Nikolich, M. P., N. B. Shoemaker, and A. A. Salyers. 1992. A Bacteroides tetracycline resistance gene represents a new class of ribosome protection tetracycline resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 36:1005-1012.
- 21. Perez-Diaz, J. C., M. F. Vicente, and F. Baquero. 1982. Plasmids in Listeria. Plasmid 8:112-118.
- 22. Poyart-Salmeron, C., C. Carlier, P. Trieu-Cuot, A. L. Courtieu, and P. Courvalin. 1990. Transferable plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance in Listeria monocytogenes. Lancet 335:1422-1426.
- 23. Poyart-Salmeron, C., P. Trieu-Cuot, C. Carlier, and P. Courvalin. 1989. Molecular characterization of two proteins involved in the excision of the conjugative transposon Tn1545: homologies with other site-specific recombinases. EMBO J. 8:2425-2433.
- 24. Poyart-Salmeron, C., P. Trieu-Cuot, C. Carlier, and P. Courvalin. 1991. Nucleotide sequences specific for $Tn1545$ -like conjugative transposons in pneumococci and staphylococci resistant to tetracycline. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:1657-1660.
- 25. Poyart-Salmeron, C., P. Trieu-Cuot, C. Carlier, A. MacGowan, J. McLauchlin, and P. Courvalin. 1992. Genetic basis of tetracycline resistance in clinical isolates of Listeria monocytogenes. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 36:463-466.
- 26. Roberts, M. C. 1990. Characterization of the TetM determinants in urogenital and respiratory bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 34:476-478.
- 27. Roberts, M. C., and S. L. Hillier. 1990. Genetic basis of tetracycline resistance in urogenital bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 34:261-264.
- 28. Roberts, M. C., B. J. Moncla, and S. L. Hillier. 1991. Characterization of unusual tetracycline-resistant gram-positive bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:2655-2657.
- 29. Saksena, N. K., and N. Trufaut. 1992. Cloning of tetracyclineresistance genes from various strains of Clostridium perfringens and expression in Escherichia coli. Can. J. Microbiol. 38:215-221.
- 30. Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 31. Sloan, J., L M. McMurry, D. Lyras, S. B. Levy, and J. I. Rood.

1994. The *Clostridium perfringens* Tet P determinant comprises two overlapping genes: $tetA(P)$, which mediates active tetracycline efflux, and $tetB(P)$, which is related to the ribosomal protection family of tetracycline-resistance determinants. Mol. Microbiol. 11:403-415.

- 32. Sougakoff, W., B. Papadopoulou, P. Nordmann, and P. Courvalin. 1987. Nucleotide sequence and distribution of gene tetO encoding tetracycline resistance in Campylobacter coli. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 44:153-159.
- 33. Speer, B. S., N. B. Shoemaker, and A. A. Salyers. 1992. Bacterial resistance to tetracycline: mechanisms, transfer, and clinical significance. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 5:387-399.
- 34. Steers, E., E. L. Foltz, B. S. Graves, and J. Riden. 1959. An inocula replicating apparatus for routine testing of bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics. Antibiot. Chemother. (Basel) 9:307-311.
- 35. Taylor, D. E., and P. Courvalin. 1988. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in Campylobacter species. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 32:1107-1112.
- 36. Zhao, J., and T. Aoki. 1992. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the class G tetracycline resistance determinant from Vibrio anguillarum. Microbiol. Immunol. 36:1051-1060.
- 37. Zilhao, R., B. Papadopoulou, and P. Courvalin. 1988. Occurrence of the Campylobacter resistance gene tetO in Enterococcus and Streptococcus spp. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 32:1793-1796.