

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 April 8.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2007 September 19; 129(37): 11421–11430. doi:10.1021/ja073204p.

Water-Soluble Phosphinothiols for Traceless Staudinger Ligation and Integration with Expressed Protein Ligation

Annie Tam†, **Matthew B. Soellner**†,‡, and **Ronald T. Raines**†,§,*

† Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706.

§ Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706.

Abstract

The traceless Staudinger ligation is an effective means to synthesize an amide bond between two groups of otherwise orthogonal reactivity: a phosphinothioester and an azide. An important application of the Staudinger ligation is in the ligation of peptides at a variety of residues. Here, we demonstrate that the traceless Staudinger ligation can be achieved in water with a water-soluble reagent. Those reagents that provide a high yield of amide product discourage protonation of the nitrogen in the key iminophosphorane intermediate. The most efficacious reagent, bis(*p*dimethylaminoethylphenyl)phosphinomethanethiol, mediates the rapid ligation of equimolar substrates in water. This reagent is also able to perform a transthioesterification reaction with the thioester intermediate formed during intein-mediated protein splicing. Hence, the traceless Staudinger ligation can be integrated with expressed protein ligation, extending the reach of modern protein chemistry.

Introduction

Proteins are becoming accessible targets for synthetic chemistry.¹ This development could enhance access to natural and nonnatural proteins, as well as accelerate the use of proteins as chemotherapeutic agents.^{2,3} Still, hurdles exist. Solid-phase peptide synthesis⁴ is limited to peptides of ≤ 40 residues,^{2,5} and thus cannot be used to mimic protein biosynthesis, which occurs by a sequential route. The convergent synthesis of proteins by the coupling of protected peptides is compromised by low yields due to the limited solubility and aggregation of the fragments.²

Efforts to overcome these obstacles have achieved notable success. An especially powerful approach is "native chemical ligation", which employs a transthioesterification reaction between two deprotected peptides, one with a *C*-terminal thioester and the other with an *N*terminal cysteine residue, to form a native amide bond.⁶ An extension of this strategy, "expressed protein ligation", employs recombinant DNA technology to produce the *C*-terminal thioester.⁷⁻⁹ Although these methods enable the convergent synthesis of proteins, they rely on a cysteine residue being at the *N* terminus of a reactant and retain that cysteine at each junction of the product. Cysteine is the second least common amino acid, comprising only 1.7% of all residues in proteins.10 This requirement limits access to both synthetic and semisynthetic proteins.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison, 433 Babcock Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1544; raines@biochem.wisc.edu..

[‡]Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

Supporting Information Available: NMR spectra of the novel compounds reported herein. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

Recently, the "traceless Staudinger ligation" has emerged as a new peptide ligation strategy for the chemical synthesis of proteins.^{1,11,12} This method is based on the Staudinger reduction, wherein a phosphine reduces an azide via an iminophosphorane intermediate.¹³ The iminophosphorane can be acylated to yield, ultimately, an amide (Scheme 1).14 An important feature of this reaction is its potential to mediate the nonengrammic ligation of peptides at virtually any residue.1 $¹⁵$ The Staudinger ligation is chemoselective (especially towards the</sup> azide), 16 , 17 retains stereochemistry, 18 and has been used in the orthogonal assembly of a protein19 and for the site-specific immobilization of peptides and proteins to a surface.²⁰

(Diphenylphosphino)methanethiol (**1**) is the most efficient known phosphinothiol for ligating peptides to form a junction at a glycine residue.12,17 Recently, the *p*-methoxy-substituted phosphinothiol **2** was shown to afford high yields at non-glycyl residues.15 Though having desirable attributes, neither of these phosphinothiols is soluble in water. Indeed, all traceless Staudinger ligations have been performed in organic solvents or organic/aqueous mixtures.

The ability to effect the traceless Staudinger ligation in water could expand the utility of the Staudinger ligation. In particular, we envisioned that transthioesterification with a watersoluble phosphinothiol could enable the generation of phosphinothioesters at the *C* terminus of proteins generated by expressed protein ligation. That ability would overcome the requirement for a cysteine residue at the ligation junction.²¹

Here, we describe the development of water-soluble phosphinothiol reagents that enable the first traceless Staudinger ligations in water. Doing so requires careful consideration of the mechanism of the ligation reaction. We go on to demonstrate that this water-soluble phosphinothiol can be integrated with expressed protein ligation to generate an intact protein with a *C*-terminal phosphinothioester, poised for Staudinger ligation.

Results and Discussion

We first explored bis(*p*-carboxyphenyl)phosphinomethanethiol **3** as a water-soluble phosphinothiol. Our notion was to retain the aryl groups of phosphinothiols **1** and **2**, which increase the yield of peptide ligations, 22 while adding two hydrophilic carboxyl groups. 23 The synthetic route to phosphinothiol **3** is shown in Scheme 2. Briefly, Grignard reagent **4** was added to (chloromethyl)phosphonic dichloride to yield diester **5**. Potassium thioacetate displacement of the chloro group gave thioester **6** in high yield. Its phosphine oxide group was reduced with excess trichlorosilane, and its two carboxyl groups were unmasked with trifluoroacetic acid. Finally, deprotection of the thiol group was achieved in basic MeOH to give phosphinothiol **3** in 23% overall yield.

Unfortunately, phosphinothiol **3** was barely soluble in water, remaining as a viscous residue that was unable to mediate a traceless Staudinger ligation (data not shown). Inspired by hippuric acid being more soluble than benzoic acid, 24 we sought to increase the water-solubility of phosphinothiol **3** by condensing glycine with its two carboxyl groups. The synthetic route to phosphinothiol **9** is shown in Scheme 3. Briefly, cleavage of the esters in diester **5**, followed by treatment with excess thionyl chloride generated the diacid chloride. Reaction with glycine *t*-butyl ester gave diamide **11**, which was carried on as in Scheme 2 to give phosphinothiol **9** in 33% overall yield.

The solubility of phosphinothiol **9** was greater than that of phosphinothiol **3**, approaching 50 mM in 0.4 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The utility of phosphinothiol **9** was determined by monitoring its ability to mediate a traceless Staudinger ligation in water with a 13 C NMR assay developed in our laboratory.¹⁷ The major product of the reaction of phosphinothioester **14** with azide **15** was the amine byproduct, glycinamide, which is formed by the reduction of the azido group. The yield of the desired product, amide **16**, was strongly dependent on the pH

of the reaction mixture, increasing at high pH (Figure 1). Accordingly, a means of achieving a high yield would be to perform reactions with phosphinothiol **9** at high pH. Unfortunately, the hydrolysis of thioesters is rapid at high pH. 25 In addition, it would be desirable to perform traceless Staudinger ligations near neutral pH, where proteins themselves tend to be most stable.

The pH dependence of amide formation in the reaction of phosphinothioester **14** with azide **15** suggested to us that a key atom must be unprotonated to effect the traceless Staudinger ligation in water. We reasoned that this atom is the nitrogen of the iminophosphorane. Path A in Scheme 4 (like Scheme 1) shows the putative mechanism for a typical Staudinger ligation to form an amide product. In a protic solvent, the nitrogen of the iminophosphorane could become protonated, as in Path B. That protonation would prevent the desired *S*→*N* acyl transfer, as well as make the adjacent phosphorous more electrophilic. The attack of water on that phosphorous would ultimately lead to the amine byproduct (Path B). 26

We tested our hypothesis by using intramolecular Coulombic interactions to modulate the acidity of the iminophosphorane intermediate.27 Specifically, we synthesized bis(*p*dimethylaminoethylphenyl)phosphinomethanethiol (**17**), which has dimethyl amino groups that could not only impart water solubility but also (when protonated) serve to discourage protonation of the iminophosphorane nitrogen. We chose tertiary amino groups, rather than primary or secondary ones, to obviate intramolecular *S*→*N* acyl transfer in ensuing thioesters.

The synthetic route to phosphinothiol **17** is shown in Scheme 5. Briefly, 4-bromophenethyl alcohol was converted to mesylate **18**, and then amine **19**. Addition of its Grignard reagent to diethyl phosphite gave phosphine oxide **20** in moderate yields. Phosphine oxide **20** was reduced by treatment with diisobutyl aluminum hydride ($DIBAI-H$)²⁸ and then reacted with borane to protect the phosphino group from oxidation. (Three equivalents of borane were required due to its high affinity for the two amino groups.) Complexation with borane was otherwise beneficial, making compounds **21**–**24** less polar and hence easier to purify. Phosphine–borane complex **21** was reacted with formaldehyde to generate alcohol **22**, which was converted to mesylate **23** and then reacted with potassium thioacetate to yield protected phosphinothioester **24**. 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO; 3 equiv) was used to remove the borane groups. Deprotection of the thiol group was achieved in basic MeOH, followed by acidification in 4 N HCl/dioxanes to give phosphinothiol **17** in 17% overall yield. As a chloride salt, phosphinothiol **17** was an easy-to-handle, odor-free white solid that was stable in air for several days and soluble at >1 M in 0.4 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8).

We evaluated the ability of phosphinothiol **17** to mediate a traceless Staudinger ligation in water. As with phosphinothiol **9**, phosphinothiol **17** provided amide **16** with a yield that was dependent on the pH of the reaction mixture, increasing at high pH (Figure 2). At each pH, however, the ligation yield with basic phosphinothiol **17** was much greater than that with acidic phosphinothiol **9**, as expected from a consideration of the relevant Coulombic interactions. It is noteworthy that the yields with phosphinothiol **17** reached 94% at high pH and exceeded 80% in all solutions with $pH \ge 7.5$. Moreover, these yields are for reactions performed with equimolar reactants, which contrasts with the auspicious excess of nucleophile typically used in solid-phase peptide synthesis, native chemical ligation, and expressed protein ligation.

Phosphinothiol **17** is not only cationic rather than anionic, but also has a different type of substituent in the *para* position than does phosphinothiol **9**. The Hammett constants for a secondary amide and a protonated dimethylaminoethyl group are $\sigma_p = 0.36$ and 0.14, respectively.²⁹ A higher σ_p value (like a positive charge) would serve to decrease the p K_a of the iminophosphorane nitrogen. Despite this favorable attribute, phosphinothiol **9** is still an inferior reagent than is phosphinothiol **17**, indicating that Coulombic effects are more important

than inductive and resonance effects in the ability of phosphinothiols **9** and **17** to mediate the traceless Staudinger ligation in water.

We then determined the efficacy of phosphinothiol **17** in effecting the Staudinger ligation to form peptides in water. Phosphiniothiol **17** was condensed with *N*-acetyl glycine by standard methods using DIC and HOBt. Yields for the ligation of the resulting phosphinothioester **26** and azide **15** exceeded 50% at pH 8**,** but diminished somewhat at higher pH (Figure 3). This decrease and the concomitant increase in the formation of the glycinamide byproduct could arise from hydrolysis of the thioester group of **26** to regenerate phosphinothiol **17**, which could reduce azide **15**.

The efficacy of the traceless Staudinger ligation in water using phosphinothiol **17** is not limited to the ligation of two glycyl residues. Phosphinothiol **17** was condensed with *N*-acetyl alanine by standard methods using DIC and HOBt to give phosphinothioester **28** and then reacted with azide **15** in 0.40 M sodium phosphate buffer at pH 8.0, which produced a high yield in the Gly + Gly coupling. The yield of amide **29** in this Ala + Gly coupling was 59%, which is similar to that observed in the Gly + Gly coupling (Figure 3). Thus, the yield of the traceless Staudinger ligation in water was not diminished by the additional steric encumbrance imposed by a nonglycyl residue.

The traceless Staudinger ligation mediated by phosphinothiol **17** is expeditious in water. An NMR-based assay15,17 was used to monitor the rate of the reaction of phosphinothioester **28** and azide **15**. This Ala + Gly coupling was found to proceed with a second-order rate constant of $k_2 = 7.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ in water. This rate constant, which is similar to that reported previously for a Gly + Gly coupling mediated by phosphinothiol **1** in DMF/D₂O (6:1) (k_2 = 7.7 × 10⁻³ M⁻¹s⁻¹),¹⁷ corresponds to $t_{1/2} = 10$ min for a reaction with 0.16 M azide.

Having a proximal negative charge on the azide rather than the phosphinothioester also has deleterious consequences. We found that the Staudinger ligation with azide **30**, which has a carboxyl group (rather than the carboxyamide group of azide **15**) provides a low yield of amide **31** at each pH (Figure 2).³⁰ Again, these data are consistent with protonation of the iminophosphorane nitrogen being undesirable.

Finally, we determined whether our water-soluble phosphinothiol could be integrated with expressed protein ligation. This ability would highlight an intrinsic advantage of using a phosphinothiol11 rather than a phosphinoalcohol31 to mediate the Staudinger ligation, as only a phosphinothiol can effect the requisite acyl transfer from a protein–intein thioester.⁹ To do so, we used a model protein, bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A), which has been the object of much seminal work in protein chemistry³² and has been manipulated previously with expressed protein ligation.8[,]19[,]33^{,34} The *C*-terminal residue of RNase A is valine, which is known to diminish the cleavage efficiency of protein–intein thioesters.³⁵ To avert this problem, we used recombinant DNA technology to insert a glycine residue between the *C* terminus of RNase A and the *N* terminus of the *mxe* intein. The resulting Met(−1)RNase A–Gly–*mxe* intein– chitin-binding domain fusion protein was produced in *Escherichia coli*, and the cell lysate was loaded onto a chitin resin (Scheme 6), as described previously.³⁴ The chitin resin was then incubated with phosphinothiol **17** (40 mM). The column eluate contained the desired product, phosphinothioester **32**, as verified by MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry (*m/z* 14225, expected: 14224). It is noteworthy that phosphinothiol **17** was able to perform the transthioesterification directly—without the need for a catalytic small-molecule thiol.³⁶ The lowering of the thiol p*K*^a of phosphinothiol **17** upon protonation of its two dimethylamino groups could enhance its reactivity.

Conclusions

A water-soluble phosphinothiol has been developed that enables efficient traceless Staudinger ligations. The use of dimethylamino groups on the phosphinothiol apparently serves not only to facilitate its water-solubility but also to discourage protonation of the nitrogen in the iminophosphorane intermediate, which enables *S*→*N* acyl transfer to compete with hydrolysis. As a proof-of-principle for its utility in the integration of Staudinger ligation and expressed protein ligation, the water-soluble phosphinothiol and recombinant DNA technology were used to generate a protein with a *C*-terminal phosphinothioester, which is poised for undergoing Staudinger ligation. Studies are ongoing in our laboratory to further apply such phosphinothioesters in the semisynthesis of enzymes.

Experimental Methods

General

Reagent chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers, and reagent grade solvents were used without further purification. Procedures were performed at room temperature \langle <23 °C) unless indicated otherwise. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography with visualization by ultraviolet light or staining with $KMnO₄$, ninhydrin, PMA, or I₂. Compound purification was carried out with flash chromatography on silica gel, which had a mesh of 230– 400 (ASTM) and a pore size of 60 Å. The removal of solvents and other volatile materials "under reduced pressure" refers to the use of a rotary evaporator at water-aspirator pressure (\leq 20 torr) and a water bath of \leq 40 °C.

Instrumentation

NMR spectra were acquired at ambient temperature with a Bruker DMX-400 Avance spectrometer (1H, 400 MHz; 13C, 100.6 MHz; 31P, 161 MHz) or Bruker Avance DMX-500 spectrometer (¹H, 500 MHz; ¹³C, 125.7 MHz; ³¹P, 202 MHz) at the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison (NMRFAM) or a Varian Inova 500 (¹H, 500 MHz; ¹³C, 125.7 MHz; 31P, 202 MHz) spectrometer at the University of Wisconsin Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Facility. Carbon-13 and phosphorus-31 spectra were proton-decoupled, and phosphorus-31 spectra were referenced against an external standard of deuterated phosphoric acid (0 ppm).

Mass spectrometry was performed with a Micromass LCT (electrospray ionization, ESI) in the Mass Spectrometry Facility in the Department of Chemistry or a Voyager DE-Pro mass spectrometer (matrix-assisted laser desorption–time-of-flight, MALDI–TOF) in the Biophysics Instrumentation Facility.

Phosphine oxide 5

4-Iodo-*t*-butyl benzoate (6.98 g, 22.9 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (45 mL), and cooled to −20 °C with an isopropanol/dry ice bath. Freshly prepared isopropylmagnesium bromide $(1.0 M$ solution in THF, 25 mL, 25 mmol) was added to this solution dropwise.³⁷ After stirring for 1.5 h at −20 °C, the reaction mixture containing Grignard reagent **4** was added dropwise slowly to a cooled solution of chloromethylphosphonic dichloride (1.57 g, 11.5 mmol) in THF (45 mL) at −20 °C. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. After quenching with 2 mL of water, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , and this solution was washed with brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO4(s) and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to yield an orange oil that was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 2% v/v MeOH in CH2Cl2) to give phosphine oxide **5** as a white solid in 63% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.15–8.11 (m, 4H), 7.92–7.85 (m, 4H), 4.12 (d, $J = 6.7$

Hz, 2H), 1.61 (s, 18H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 164.71, 136.20, 133.09, 131.71 $(d, J = 10.8 \text{ Hz})$, 129.79 (d, $J = 13.2 \text{ Hz}$), 82.31, 37.48 (d, $J = 74.4 \text{ Hz}$), 28.33 ppm; ³¹P NMR $(CDCl_3, 161 MHz)$ δ 27.88 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 473.1273 (MNa⁺ [C₂₃H₂₈ClO₅PNa⁺] = 473.1261).

Phosphine oxide 6

Potassium thioacetate (432 mg, 3.78 mmol) was added to a solution of phosphine oxide **5** (1.42 g, 3.15 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (25 mL) under Ar(g). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (15 mL) and the resulting solution was washed with water and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 50% v/v ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give phosphine oxide **6** as a light amber solid in 98% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 8.09–8.07 (m, 4H), 7.84–7.80 (m, 4H), 3.80 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 18H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 193.07, 164.84, 135.88, 134.82, 131.25 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz), 129.26 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz), 82.24, 30.35, 28.37, 27.24 (d, *J* = 71.3 Hz) ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* 28.36 ppm; MS (ESI) *m/* z 513.1487 (MNa⁺ [C₂₅H₃₁O₆PSNa⁺] = 513.1477).

Phosphinothioester 7

Phosphine oxide **6** (1.52 g, 3.09 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous chloroform (25 mL) under $Ar(g)$. Trichlorosilane (4.7 mL, 46.3 mmol) was added to this solution, and the resulting solution was stirred under $Ar(g)$ for 72 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. (CAUTION: Excess trichlorosilane in the removed solvent was quenched by the slow addition of saturated sodium bicarbonate in a well-ventilated hood.) The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 50% v/v ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give phosphinothioester **7** as a pale yellow oil in 38% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.97–7.95 (m, 4H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 4H), 3.53 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 18H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 194.12, 165.21, 141.78 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz) 132.77, 132.72 (d, *J* = 18.7 Hz), 129.42 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 81.77, 30.32, 25.30 (d, *J* = 24.7 Hz) 20.12 ppm; ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161) MHz) δ –14.19 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 497.1508 (MNa⁺ [C₂₅H₃₄BO₅PSNa⁺] = 497.1528).

Phosphinothioester 8

Phosphinothioester **7** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in 1:3 trifluoroacetic acid/ dichloromethane (2.5 mL) under Ar(g), and the resulting solution was stirred for 4 h or until the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure as an azeotrope with toluene to give phosphinothioester **8** as an off-white solid in quantitative yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.94–7.92 (m, 4H), 7.56– 7.52 (m, 4H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 193.66, 166.48, 141.54 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz), 132.26 (d, *J* = 18.6 Hz), 130.95, 128.84 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 29.92, 23.49 (d, $J = 21.4$ Hz) ppm; ³¹P NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 161 MHz) δ –13.52 ppm; MS (ESI) *m/z* 361.0293 (MH− [C17H15O5PSH−] = 361.0300).

Phosphinothiol 3

Phosphinothioester **8** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in degassed MeOH (1 mL), and degassed 2 N NaOH (1.5 mL) was added to this solution under $Ar(g)$. The resulting solution was stirred for 1.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was acidified with 4 N HCl and extracted into EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO_4(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give phosphinothiol **3** a pale yellow oil in 76% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.93–7.90 (m, 4H), 7.56–7.52 (m, 4H), 3.30 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H)

ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 166.97, 142.53 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz), 132.74 (d, *J* = 18.0 Hz), 131.26, 129.25 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz), 18.55 (d, $J = 13.1$ Hz) ppm; ³¹P NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 161 MHz) δ −8.48 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 319.0181 (MH⁻ [C₁₅H₁₃O₄PSH⁻] = 319.0194).

Phosphine oxide 10

Phosphine oxide $5(2.0 \text{ g}, 4.44 \text{ mmol})$ was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL), and TFA (8.75 mL) was added to this solution. The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give phosphine oxide **10** as an off-white solid in quantitative yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ 8.22–8.20 (m, 4H), 8.00–7.95 (m, 4H), 4.53 $(d, J = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ ppm; ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 125 MHz) δ 168.48, 136.35, 135.38, 132.77 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 131.18 (d, $J = 12.9$ Hz), 38.19 (d, $J = 73.8$ Hz) ppm; ³¹P NMR (CD₃OD, 161 MHz) δ 31.60 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 337.0020 (MNa⁺ [C₂₅H₃₄BO₅PSNa⁺] = 337.0033).

Phosphine oxide 11

Phosphine oxide 10 (2.54 g, 7.5 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (65 mL) and anhydrous DMF (5 mL) under Ar(g). Thionyl chloride (3.28 mL, 45 mmol) was added dropwise to this solution, and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 h. The solvent and all traces of excess thionyl chloride were removed under reduced pressure. In a separate flask, glycine *t*butyl ester–HCl (4.88 g, 29.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (65 mL) and *N,N*diisoprolylethylamine (DIEA, 10.0 mL, 58.2 mmol) was added to this solution. The resulting solution was cooled to 0° C with an ice bath. The incipient acid chloride was added dropwise slowly and with stirring, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 N HCl (35 ml) and brine, dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$, and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 4% v/v MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give phosphine oxide 11 as a white solid in 66% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) *δ* 9.05–9.02 (m, 2H), 8.01–7.95 (m, 8H), 4.72 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H), 1.42 (s, 18H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 169.23, 166.42, 138.31, 132.99, 131.99, 127.80, 82.84, 42.72, 37.39 (d, *J* = 75.1 Hz), 28.25 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* 27.94 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 587.1675 (MNa⁺ [C₂₇H₃₄ClN₂O₇PNa⁺] = 587.1690).

Phosphine oxide 12

Potassium thioacetate (677 mg, 5.93 mmol) was added to a solution of phosphine oxide **11** $(2.79 \text{ g}, 4.94 \text{ mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF (45 mL) under $Ar(g)$. The resulting solution was stirred overnight, after which the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (25 mL), and the resulting solution was washed with water and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO_4(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 5% v/v MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) to give phosphine oxide 12 as a yellow oil in 85% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.90–7.79 (m, 4H), 7.71–7.65 (m, 4H), 4.12 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 18H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 192.69, 169.19, 166.58, 137.90, 134.30, 130.76, 127.68, 82.51, 42.61, 30.16, 28.16, 26.84 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* 29.04 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 627.1923 (MNa⁺ [C₂₉H₃₇N₂O₈PSNa⁺] = 627.1906).

Phosphinothioester 13

Phosphine oxide **12** (2.54 g, 4.2 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous chloroform (38 mL) under Ar(g). Trichlorosilane (6.35 mL, 62.9 mmol) was added to this solution, and the resulting solution was stirred under Ar(g) for 72 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. (CAUTION: Excess trichlorosilane in the removed solvent was quenched by the slow addition of saturated sodium bicarbonate in a well-ventilated hood.) The residue was purified by flash

chromatography (silica gel, 2% v/v MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give phosphinothioester **13** as a white solid in 52% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.80–7.78 (m, 4H), 7.48– 7.44 (m, 4H), 6.73 (bs, 2H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 18H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 194.39, 169.29, 166.84, 140.94 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz), 134.84, 133.10 (d, *J* = 20.3 Hz), 127.30 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 82.84, 42.69, 30.50, 20.25, 25.45 (d, $J = 24.4$ Hz) ppm; ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161 MHz) δ –14.42 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 611.1937 $(MNa⁺ [C₂₉H₃₇N₂O₇PSNa⁺] = 611.1957).$

Phosphinothioester 14

Phosphinothioester **13** (100 mg, 0.17 mmol) was dissolved in 25% v/v trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane (2 mL) under $Ar(g)$, and the resulting solution was stirred for 4 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure as an azeotrope with toluene to give di-acid **14** as an offwhite solid in quantitative yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 400 MHz) *δ* 8.92–8.88 (m, 2H), 7.87–7.85 (m, 4H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 4H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 4H), 3.66 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 194.02, 171.26, 166.05, 140.34 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz), 134.51, 132.56 (d, *J* = 19.3 Hz), 127.39 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz), 41.25, 30.26, 24.01 (d, *J* = 23 Hz) ppm; 31P NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 161 MHz) *δ* −14.55 ppm.

Phosphinothiol 9

Phosphinothioester **14** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in degassed MeOH (1 mL), and degassed 2 N NaOH (1.5 mL) was added to this solution under $Ar(g)$. The resulting solution was stirred for 1.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was acidified with 4 N HCl and extracted into EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give phosphinothiol **9** as an off-white solid quantitative yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 8.98 (bs, 2H), 7.97–7.85 (m, 4H), 7.59–7.46 (m, 4H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 3.50 (bs, 2H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 171.19, 166.05, 134.33, 132.47, 131.09, 127.43, 41.27, 18.78 (d, *J* = 21.5 Hz) ppm; ³¹P NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 161 MHz) δ – 14.47 ppm.

[2-13C]-2-Azido-acetamide (15)

[2-¹³C]-2-Azido-acetic acid was synthesized from [¹³C^{α}]glycine by a procedure described previously.³⁸ [2⁻¹³C]-2-Azido-acetic acid (300 mg, 2.94 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml). 1,1′-Carbonyldiimidazole (477 mg, 2.94 mmol) was added to this solution, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min. Ammonia (25 ml, 0.5 M in dioxane, 14.7 mmol) was then added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the amber residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 10% v/v MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give $[2^{-13}C]$ -2-azido-acetamide (15) as a white solid in 76% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.20 (bs, 1H), 5.40 (bs, 1H), 4.00 (d, *J* = 143.7 Hz, 2H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 170.13, 52.55 ppm; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 101.0417 $(MH^+[C_2H_4N_4OH^+] = 101.0419$.

Ac[13Cα]GlyNH2 (16)

AcSCH₂PPh₂ (ref 17 ; 258 mg, 0.62 mmol) and azide **15** (63 mg, 0.62 mmol) were dissolved in THF (6.5 mL). This solution was allowed to stir for 12 h. The white precipitate that formed was isolated by filtration, and judged to be identical to commercial AcGlyNH2 by NMR spectroscopy.

Mesylate 18

Triethylamine (5.2 mL, 37.3 mmol) was added to a solution of 4-bromophenethyl alcohol (5.0 g, 24.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), and the resulting solution was cooled to 0 \degree C with an ice

bath. Methanesulfonyl chloride (2.7 mL, 34.8 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature overnight. The solution was washed with 0.1 N HCl and brine, and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude yellow solid was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 70% v/v hexanes in CH₂Cl₂) to give mesylate **18** as a white solid in 98% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 135.55, 132.52, 130.92, 121.70, 69.83, 37.68, 35.31 ppm.

Dimethylamine 19

Mesylate **18** (6.82 g, 24.4 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (150 mL) under Ar(g), and dimethylamine (2 M in THF, 50 mL, 100 mmol) was added to this solution. The resulting solution was heated at 45 °C for 16 h. The white solid that formed was removed by filtration, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 10% v/v MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give dimethylamine **19** as a pale yellow oil in 66% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.40 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 6H) ppm; 13C NMR (CD3OD, 125 MHz) *δ* 139.35, 132.87, 131.85, 121.40, 61.34, 44.94, 33.23 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 228.0387 (MNa⁺ [C₁₀H₁₄BrNNa⁺] = 228.0388).

Phosphine oxide 20

Dimethylamine **19** (7.66 g, 33.6 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (72 mL) under Ar(g) in a flame-dried roundbottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser. To facilitate generation of the Grignard reagent, a catalytic amount of I_2 was added to the solution. Crushed magnesium turnings (971 mg, 40.3 mmol) were then added to this solution, and the resulting solution was heated at reflux for 2 h to generate the Grignard reagent.

In a separate flamed-dried flask, diethyl phosphite (1.3 mL, 10.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (30 mL), and cooled to 0° C with an ice bath. The solution of Grignard reagent was added dropwise to this solution, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then quenched with water (2 mL), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $CH₂Cl₂$, and the resulting solution was washed with water and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO_4(s)$ and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 20% v/v MeOH in CH2Cl2) to give phosphine oxide **20** as a colorless oil in 75% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 8.03 (d, *J* = 1.2 ppm, 1H), 7.64–7.59 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.33 (m, 4H), 2.83 $(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H})$, 2.54 $(t, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H})$, 2.29 $(s, 12\text{H})$ ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) *δ* 145.72, 131.08 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz), 129.41 (*J* = 12.4 Hz), 128.71, 61.11, 45.64, 34.56 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* 21.75 ppm; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 345.2090 (MNa⁺ $[C_{20}H_{29}N_2OPNa^+] = 345.2096$.

Phosphine–borane Complex 21

A solution of phosphine oxide 20 (3.18 g, 9.24 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added dropwise slowly to a solution of DIBAL (1 M in CH₂Cl₂, 46.2 mL, 46 mmol) under Ar(g) in a flame-dried three-neck round-bottom flask. The resulting solution was stirred for 20 min, then cooled to 0 °C with an ice bath. The solution was then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and a sparge needle of $Ar(g)$ was allowed to blow through the solution for 5 min. A solution of 2 N NaOH (20 mL) was added dropwise slowly to the reaction mixture (CAUTION: Gas evolution!) followed by a saturated solution of Rochelle's salt (20 mL) to dissipate the emulsion that forms. The resulting biphasic solution was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the

organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to ~75 mL. The resulting solution was cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C with an ice bath under $Ar(g)$, and borane dimethyl sulfide complex (10 M, 2.96 mL, 29.6 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude oil was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, CH_2Cl_2) to give phosphine–borane complex 21 as a white solid in 82% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.62–7.57 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 4H), 6.30 (d, *J* = 378.8 Hz, 1H), 3.13–3.09 (m, 4H), 2.95–2.91 (m, 4H), 2.67 (s, 12H), 2.30– 0.70 (m, 9H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 142.42, 133.54 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz), 129.79 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 124.66 (d, $J = 58.7$ Hz), 65.83, 52.12, 31.53 ppm; ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161 MHz) δ 0.00 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 343.2474 (MH⁺ −2BH₃ [C₂₀H₃₂BN₂PH⁺] = 343.2474).

Phosphine–borane Complex 22

Phosphine–borane complex 21 (2.32 g, 6.27 mmol) was dissolved in 1:1 THF/CH₂Cl₂ (60) mL). Formaldehyde (37% v/v in H₂O; 3.83 mL) was added to this solution, followed by potassium hydroxide (358 mg, 6.39 mmol). The resulting biphasic solution was stirred overnight at room temperature, after which the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (30 mL), and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO4(s), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 5% v/v ethyl acetate in CH₂Cl₂) to give phosphine–borane complex **22** as a white solid in 80% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.69–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 4H), 4.42 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.15– 3.11 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.93 (m, 4H), 2.67 (s, 12H), 2.20–0.50 (m, 9H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 142.46, 133.38 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 129.72 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz), 125.16 (d, *J* = 56.1 Hz) 65.90, 60.60 (d, $J = 42.1$ Hz), 52.18, 31.11 ppm; ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, 161 MHz) δ 16.92 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 423.3047 (MNa⁺ [C₂₁H₄₀B₃N₂OPNa⁺] = 423.3055).

Phosphine–borane Complex 23

Triethylamine (650 μL, 4.67 mmol) was added to a solution of phosphine–borane complex **22** (1.25 g, 3.11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), and this solution was cooled to 0 °C with an ice bath. Methanesulfonyl chloride (337 μL, 4.36 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature overnight. The solution was washed with 0.1 N HCl and brine, and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 2% v/v ethyl acetate in CH_2Cl_2) to give phosphine–borane complex **23** as a white solid in 95% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.69–7.64 (m, 4H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 4H), 4.87 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.17–3.13 (m, 4H), 2.98–2.94 (m, 4H), 2.95 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 12H), 2.20–0.50 (m, 9H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 143.20, 133.51 (d, *J* = 10.4 Hz), 129.88 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz), 123.51 (d, *J* = 57.8 Hz) 65.76, 64.58 (d, *J* = 37.4 Hz), 52.19, 37.71, 31.11 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* 17.82 ppm; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 501.2811 $(MNa⁺ [C₂₂H₄₂B₃N₂O₃PSNa⁺] = 501.2831).$

Phosphine–borane Complex 24

Potassium thioacetate (404 mg, 3.54 mmol) was added to a solution of phosphine–borane complex **23** (1.41 g, 2.95 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (29 mL) under Ar(g). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature, after which the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (20 mL), and the resulting solution was washed with water and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄(s)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 2% v/v ethyl acetate and 28% hexanes in CH₂Cl₂) to give phosphine–borane complex **24** as a white solid in 60% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR

(CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.65–7.60 (m, 4H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 4H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.14– 3.10 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.93 (m, 4H), 2.67 (s, 12H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.20–0.60 (m, 9H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 193.32, 142.50, 133.00 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz), 129.55 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz), 126.03 (d, *J* = 56.2 Hz), 65.75, 54.09, 30.98, 30.27, 23.88 (d, *J* = 35.6 Hz) ppm; 31P NMR $(CDCl_3, 161 MHz)$ δ 18.69 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 481.2929 (MNa⁺ [C₂₃H₄₂B₃N₂OPSNa⁺] = 481.2932).

Phosphinothioester 25

Phosphine–borane complex **24** (250 mg, 0.55 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (5 mL) under $Ar(g)$. DABCO (190 mg, 1.69 mmol) was added, and the resulting solution was heated to 40 °C for 4 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 20% v/v MeOH in CH2Cl2) to give phosphinothioester **25** as a colorless oil in 86% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) *δ* 7.36–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.18 (m, 4H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 2.79–2.75 (m, 4H), 2.55–2.51 (m, 4H), 2.29 (s, 15H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 194.89, 141.76, 134.25 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz), 132.95 (d, *J* = 19.5 Hz), 129.03 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 61.36, 45.61, 34.29, 30.46, 26.13 (d, *J* = 21.1 Hz) ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* −16.84 ppm; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 417.2135 (MH⁺ $[C_{23}H_{33}N_2OPSH^+] = 417.2129$.

Phosphinothiol 17

Phosphinothioester **25** (323 mg, 0.78 mmol) was dissolved in degassed MeOH (8 mL), and NaOH (31 mg) was added to this solution under $Ar(g)$. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was acidified with 4 N HCl in dioxane and filtered to give phophosphinothiol **17** as a white solid in quantitative yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 11.05 (bs, 1H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.30 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 4.25 (bs, 2H), 3.26–3.22 (m, 4H), 3.20–3.18 (m, 2H), 3.05–3.01 (m, 4H), 2.77 (s, 12H), 1.20 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 138.12, 135.50, 132.93, 128.97, 56.83, 41.87, 45.22, 29.47 ppm; 31P NMR $(DMSO-d_6, 161 MHz)$ δ −10.60 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 375.2039 (MH⁺ [C₂₁H₃₁N₂PSH⁺] = 375.2024).

Phosphinothioester 26

N-Acetyl glycine (95.3 mg, 0.81 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (6 mL) under Ar(g). Hydroxybenzotriazole (105 mg, 0.78 mmol) was then added to the solution, followed by *N*,*N* ′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 121 μL, 0.78 mmol). After allowing the reaction mixture to stir for 20 min, a solution of phosphinothiol **17** (293 mg, 0.78 mmol) was added, followed by DIEA (564 μL, 3.24 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at room temperature under Ar(g). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 20% v/v MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give phosphinothioester **26** as a colorless oil in 75% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 Hz) *δ* 7.35–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.18 (m, 4H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 4.16 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 2H), 2.81–2.77 (m, 4H), 2.59–2.55 (m, 4H), 2.32 (s, 12H), 2.03 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 196.45, 170.38, 141.79, 133.99, 132.99, 129.11, 61.29, 49.25, 45.58, 34.18, 25.60, 23.14 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* −16.04 ppm; MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 474.2335 (MH⁺ $[C_{25}H_{36}N_3O_2PSH^+]$ = 474.2344).

AcGly[13Cα]GlyNH2 (27)

AcGlySCH₂PPh₂ (ref 17 ; 207 mg, 0.62 mmol) and $[2¹³C]$ -2-azido-acetamide (15) (63 mg, 0.62 mmol) were dissolved in THF (6.5 mL). The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 12 h. The white precipitate that formed was isolated by filtration to give AcGly $[^{13}C^{\alpha}]G$ lyNH₂ (27) as a white solid in 61% yield. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz) δ 8.17 (bs,

1H), 8.07 (bs, 1H), 7.21 (bs, 1H), 7.08 (bs, 1H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (dd, *J* = 138.6 Hz, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 171.19, 170.68, 169.90, 169.29, 50.52, 41.83, 22.50 ppm; MS (ESI) m/z 197.0728 (MNa⁺ [C₆H₁₁N₃O₃Na⁺] = 197.0732).

Phosphinothioester 28

N-Acetyl alanine (20.6 mg, 0.16 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) under Ar(g). Hydroxybenzotriazole (20.3 mg, 0.15 mmol) was then added to the solution, followed by *N*,*N* $'$ -diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 23.5 μ L, 0.15 mmol). After allowing the reaction mixture to stir for 20 min, a solution of phosphinothiol **17** (62.4 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added, followed by DIEA ($104 \mu L$, 0.6 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at room temperature under Ar(g). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 20% v/v MeOH in CH_2Cl_2) to give phosphinothioester **28** as a colorless oil in 78% yield. **Spectral data.** 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 Hz) *δ* 7.33 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 6.10 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.47–3.44 (m, 2H), 2.81–2.77 (m, 4H), 2.57–2.53 (m, 4H), 2.31 (s, 12H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 125 MHz) *δ* 200.05, 169.74, 141.68, 134.26, 133.03 (d, *J* = 18.4 Hz), 129.11, 61.25, 55.04, 45.51, 34.11, 25.74 (d, *J* = 23.6 Hz), 23.29, 19.04 ppm; 31P NMR (CDCl3, 161 MHz) *δ* −15.93 ppm.

AcAlaGlyNH2 (unlabeled 29)

N-Acetyl-alanyl-pentafluorophenol ester (100 mg, 0.34 mmol) and glycinamide–HCl salt (37 mg, 0.34 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous THF (3 mL). DIEA (146 μL, 0.84 mmol) was added to this solution, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir for 12 h. The solution was filtered to give AcAlaGlyNH₂ (unlabeled 29) as a white solid in 50% yield. AcAla[¹³C^a] GlyNH₂ (29) was prepared in a similar maner. **Spectral data.** ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz) *δ* 8.16–8.13 (m, 1H), 7.16 (bs, 1H), 7.08 (bs, 1H), 4.17–4.14 (q, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.64–3.52 (m, 2H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.19 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H) ppm; 13C NMR (DMSO-*d*6, 125 MHz) *δ* 172.65, 171.03, 169.60, 48.71, 41.95, 22.49, 17.65 ppm.

Staudinger Ligations of 14 + 15, 25 + 15, 26 + 15, 28 + 15, and 30 + 25

The respective phosphinothioester (0.1 mmol) and azide (10.7 mg, 0.1 mmol) were dissolved in 0.40 M sodium phosphate buffers (0.6 mL) of various pH. The resulting reaction mixtures were stirred for 16 h and the reaction was monitored with our 13 C NMR assay.¹⁷

Production of Met(−1)RNase A–Gly–*mxe* **Intein–Chitin-binding Domain**

Plasmid pJK01, which directs the expression of the Met(−1)RNase A–Gly–*mxe* intein–chitinbinding domain fusion protein,34 was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells. Luria–Bertani (LB) medium (5 mL) containing ampicillin (0.10 mg/mL) was inoculated with a single colony, and the resulting culture was grown for 16 h at 37 °C. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 2000*g* for 2 min and resuspended in LB medium (4 mL). Four 4-L flasks, each containing 1 L of LB medium with ampicillin (0.10 mg/mL), were each inoculated with 1 mL of the resuspended cells. The resulting cultures were grown with shaking at 37 °C until *OD* = 0.5 at 600 nm. Gene expression was then induced by the addition of isopropyl β- $p-$ thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, to 0.5 mM), and the cultures were grown for an additional 3–4 h at 25 °C. Cells were collected by centrifugation, and stored at −20 °C.

Loading of Fusion Protein onto Chitin

Frozen cells (0.25 g from 0.1 L of *E. coli* culture) were thawed and suspended in lysis and column buffer (LCB, 5 mL), which was 20 mM 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS)–NaOH buffer (pH 6.8) containing NaCl (0.5 M), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

(EDTA; 0.1 mM), and Triton X-100 (0.1% w/w). Cells were lysed by sonication, and the cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 15,000*g* for 30 min. Chitin resin (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA; 1-mL bed volume) was loaded into a column, and equilibrated with degassed LCB (5 mL). The clarified cell lysate was applied slowly to the column of chitin resin. The loaded resin was washed thoroughly with LCB (8 mL), and then LCB containing 0.5 M NaCl (2 mL).

Protein Phosphinothioester 32

The chitin column was washed with degassed cleavage buffer (CB, 5 mL), which was 50 mM MOPS–NaOH buffer (pH 6.8) containing NaCl (0.50 M) and EDTA (0.10 mM). A solution of CB (2.5 mL) containing phosphinothiol **17** (45 mg, 40 mM) was added to the chitin resin, and 2 mL of buffer was allowed to elute by gravity. The column was sealed with a stopper, and left under Ar(g) overnight. Protein phosphinothioester **32** was eluted from the column by the addition of 2 mL of a solution of NaCl (0.5 M), and precipitated by the addition of 300 μL of a solution of sodium deoxycholate (1% w/v) followed by 60 μL of a solution of trichloroacetic acid (50% w/v). The precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 5,000*g* for 5 min, resuspended in acetone to extract small molecules including excess phosphinothiol **17**, and subjected to centrifugation again. Analysis of the precipitate by MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry showed the mass of protein phosphinothioester **32** to be *m*/*z* = 14225 (expected for Met(−1)RNase A–Gly–SCH2(P(C6H4-*p*-CH2CH2NMe2)2), C603H950N175O194PS14, 14224).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. L. L. Kiessling for contributive discussions, Dr. B. L. Nilsson for initial studies relevant to this work, J. Kalia for plasmid pJK01, and R. J. Johnson and Dr. M. T. Borra for advice on protein purification. M.B.S. was supported by an ACS Division of Organic Chemistry Fellowship, sponsored by Abbott Laboratories. This work was supported by Grant GM44783 (NIH). NMRFAM was supported by Grant P41RR02301 (NIH); the University of Wisconsin Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Facility was supported by Grants CHE-9208463 (NSF) and RR08389 (NIH).

References

- 1. Nilsson BL, Soellner MB, Raines RT. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct 2005;34:91–118. [PubMed: 15869385]
- 2. Bray BL. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov 2003;2:587–593. [PubMed: 12815383]
- 3. (a) Niazi, SK. Handbook of Biogeneric Therapeutic Proteins. CRC Press; Boca Raton, FL: 2005. (b) Banga, AK. Therapeutic Peptides and Proteins. CRC Press; Boca Raton, FL: 2005.
- 4. Merrifield B. Science 1986;232:341–347. [PubMed: 3961484] Merrifield B. Protein Sci 1996;5:1947– 1951. [PubMed: 8880923]
- 5. Albericio F. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol 2004;8:211–221. [PubMed: 15183318]
- 6. Kent S. J. Peptide Sci 2003;9:574–593. [PubMed: 14552420]
- 7. Muir TW, Sondhi D, Cole PA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 1998;95:6705–6710. [PubMed: 9618476]
- 8. Evans TC, Benner J, Xu MQ. Protein Sci 1998;7:2256–2264. [PubMed: 9827992]
- 9. Muir TW. Annu. Rev. Biochem 2003;72:249–289. [PubMed: 12626339]
- 10. McCaldon P, Argos P. Proteins 1988;4:99–122. [PubMed: 3227018]
- 11. Nilsson BL, Kiessling LL, Raines RT. Org. Lett 2000;2:1939–1941. [PubMed: 10891196]
- 12. Nilsson BL, Kiessling LL, Raines RT. Org. Lett 2001;3:9–12. [PubMed: 11429880]
- 13. Meyer J, Staudinger H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1919;2:635–646.
- 14. Köhn M, Breinbauer R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2004;43:3106–3116. [PubMed: 15199557]

- 15. Soellner MB, Tam A, Raines RT. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:9824–9830. [PubMed: 17168602]
- 16. (a) Bräse S, Gil C, Knepper K, Zimmermann V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2005;44:5188–5240. [PubMed: 16100733] (b) Agard NJ, Baskin JM, Prescher JA, Lo A, Bertozzi CR. ACS Chem. Biol 2006;1:644–648. [PubMed: 17175580]
- 17. Soellner MB, Nilsson BL, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:8820–8828. [PubMed: 16819875]
- 18. Soellner MB, Nilsson BL, Raines RT. J. Org. Chem 2002;67:4993–4996. [PubMed: 12098322]
- 19. Nilsson BL, Hondal RJ, Soellner MB, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:5268–5269. [PubMed: 12720426]
- 20. (a) Soellner MB, Dickson KA, Nilsson BL, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:11790–11791. [PubMed: 14505380] (b) Watzke A, Kohn M, Gutierrez-Rodriguez M, Wacker R, Schroder H, Breinbauer R, Kuhlmann J, Alexandrov K, Niemeyer CM, Goody RS, Waldmann H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2006;45:1408–1412. [PubMed: 16440394]
- 21. Liu L, Hong ZY, Wong CH. ChemBioChem 2006;7:429–432. [PubMed: 16444769]
- 22. Nilsson, BL.; Soellner, MB.; Raines, RT. Chemical Probes in Biology (NATO ASI Series). Schneider, MP., editor. Kluwer Academic; Boston, MA: 2003. p. 359-369.
- 23. We were inspired initially by the common water-soluble disulfide reducing agent, tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) (Houk J, Whitesides GM. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1987;109:6825–6836.). Accordingly, we synthesized the water-soluble bis(2-carboxyethyl) thiomethyl phosphine but found its mediation of traceless Staundinger ligations in water to yield a preponderance of amine byproduct (data not shown).
- 24. Windholz M, Budavari S, Blumetti RF, Otterbein ES. The Merck Index: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals (10th ed.). 1983 entry 4611.
- 25. Noda LH, Kuby SA, Lardy HA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1953;75:913–917.
- 26. Like the iminophosphorane phosphorus, the thioester carbon becomes more electrophilic and hence susceptible to hydrolysis upon protonation of the iminophosphorane. Thioester hydrolysis would decrease the yield of amide **16** in a pH-dependent manner and is thus consistent with the data in Figure 1.
- 27. (a) Kirkwood JG, Westheimer FH. J. Chem. Phys 1938;6:506–512. (b) Kirkwood JG, Westheimer FH. J. Chem. Phys 1938;6:513–517.
- 28. Busacca CA, Lorenz JC, Grinberg N, Haddad N, Hrapchak M, Latli B, Lee H, Sabila P, Saha A, Sarvestani M, Shen S, Varsolona R, Wei XD, Senanayake CH. Org. Lett 2005;7:4277–4280. [PubMed: 16146406]
- 29. (a) Hammett, LP. Chem. Rev. Vol. 17. 1935. p. 125-136.Hammett, LP. Physical Organic Chemistry: Reaction Rates, Equilibria, and Mechanisms. McGraw-Hill; New York: 1940. (b) Hansch C, Leo A, Taft RW. Chem. Rev 1991;91:165–195.
- 30. Lee and co-workers have reported that couplings of azide **30** as well as 14 similar azido acids mediated by (diphenylphosphino) methanethiol proceed in "quantitative yield" (Kim H, Cho JK, Aimoto S, Lee Y-S. Org. Lett 2006;8:1149–1151. [PubMed: 16524290]). These results could not be reproduced in our laboratory.
- 31. Saxon E, Bertozzi CR. Science 2000;287:2007–2010. [PubMed: 10720325]
- 32. Raines RT. Chem. Rev 1998;98:1045–1066. [PubMed: 11848924]
- 33. (a) Hondal RJ, Nilsson BL, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:5140–5141. [PubMed: 11457362] (b) Arnold U, Hinderaker MP, Nilsson BL, Huck BR, Gellman SH, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:8522–8523. [PubMed: 12121081] (c) Arnold U, Hinderaker MP, Köditz J, Golbik R, Ulbrich-Hoffmann R, Raines RT. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:7500–7501. [PubMed: 12812474]
- 34. Kalia J, Raines RT. ChemBioChem 2006;7:1375–1383. [PubMed: 16897799]
- 35. (a) Yee CS, Seyedsayamdost MR, Chang MC, Nocera DG, Stubbe J. Biochemistry 2003;42:14541– 14552. [PubMed: 14661967] (b) Lue RY, Chen GY, Hu Y, Zhu Q, Yao SQ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:1055–1062. [PubMed: 14746473]
- 36. Johnson ECB, Kent SBH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:6640–6646. [PubMed: 16704265]
- 37. Dohle W, Lindsay DM, Knochel P. Org. Lett 2001;3:2871–2873. [PubMed: 11529778]
- 38. Lundquist JT, Pelletier JC. Org. Lett 2001;3:781–783. [PubMed: 11259061]

Scheme 2. Route for the Synthesis of Acidic Phosphinothiol **3**

Scheme 3. Route for the Synthesis of Acidic Phosphinothiol **9**

Figure 1.

Dependence of the yield of amide **16** on the solution pH in a traceless Staudinger ligation mediated by an acidic phosphinothiol. Reactions were performed with equimolar amounts of **14** and **15** (0.16 M) in 0.40 M sodium phosphate buffers of varying pH. ¹³C-Labeled glycinamide ($\left[\frac{13}{\text{C}}\right]$ GlyNH₂) is the major product of this reaction at each pH. Data are the mean values $(SE = \pm 2\%)$ from two or three experiments.

Scheme 4.

Putative Mechanism of the Traceless Staudinger Ligation Mediated a Phosphinothiol in Aqueous Solution

Scheme 5. Route for the Synthesis of Basic Phosphinothiol **17**

Figure 2.

Dependence of amide yield on the solution pH in a traceless Staudinger ligation mediated by a basic phosphinothiol. Reactions were performed with equimolar amounts of **25** and **15** (or **30**) (0.16 M) in 0.40 M sodium phosphate buffers of varying pH. Data are the mean values $(SE = \pm 2\%)$ from two or three experiments.

Figure 3.

Dependence of peptide yield on the solution pH in a traceless Staudinger ligation mediated by a basic phosphinothiol. Reactions were performed with equimolar amounts of **26** (or **28**) and **15** (0.16 M) in 0.40 M sodium phosphate buffers of varying pH. Data are the mean values $(SE = \pm 2\%)$ from two or three experiments.

