

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Br J Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Br J Nutr. 2010 April; 103(7): 1049–1055. doi:10.1017/S0007114509992674.

Dietary Glycaemic Index, Dietary Glycaemic Load, and Incidence of Myocardial Infarction in Women

Emily B. Levitan^{1,2,*}, Murray A. Mittleman^{2,3}, and Alicja Wolk⁴

¹ Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, 39294

² Cardiovascular Epidemiology Research Unit, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, 02215

³ Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115

⁴ Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, SE-171 77

Abstract

The association of dietary glycaemic index (GI) and glycaemic load (GL) with cardiovascular diseases has been examined in several populations with varying results. We tested the hypothesis that women with diets high in GI or GL would have higher rates of myocardial infarction (MI) and the associations would be stronger in overweight women. We measured dietary GI and GL in 36,234 Swedish Mammography Cohort participants aged 48–83 using food-frequency questionnaires (FFQ). Cox models were used to calculate incidence rate ratios (RR) and 95% CI for hospitalization or death due to MI assessed using the Swedish inpatient and cause-of-death registers from January 1, 1998 until December 31, 2006. Over 9 years of follow-up, 1,138 women were hospitalized or died due to a first MI. In multivariable-adjusted models, the RR comparing top to bottom quartile of dietary GI was 1.22 (95% CI 0.92–1.35, *P*-trend = 0.24), and the RR comparing top to bottom quartile of dietary GL was 1.22 (95% CI 0.90–1.65, *P*-trend = 0.23). Among overweight women, the RR comparing top to bottom quartile of dietary GL was 1.45 (95% CI 0.93–2.25, *P*-trend = 0.16). There were no statistically significant associations of dietary GL with MI in this population.

Keywords

glycaemic index; glycaemic load; myocardial infarction

Glycaemic index (GI), the relative increase in blood glucose due to carbohydrate in a test food compared to a reference food, was initially developed as a meal planning tool for people with diabetes mellitus (1). More recently, there have been experimental and observational studies examining whether carbohydrate quality influences the risk of cardiovascular diseases.

Randomized diet trials have demonstrated that diets with reduced GI or glycaemic load (GL), the product of GI and carbohydrate content of a food (2,3), influence total cholesterol (4–6), LDL cholesterol (4,6,7), HDL cholesterol (8–10), triacylglycerol concentration (11,12), plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (12), insulin resistance (4,11), and inflammation (11,13,14) in ways that would be expected to reduce cardiovascular risk. A meta-analysis of trials showed that low GI and GL diets reduced body mass index, total body mass, and fat mass more than

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed: Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, RPHB 230K, 1530 3rd Ave S, Birmingham, AL 39294, phone: (205)975-7680, fax: (205)934-8665, elevitan@uab.edu.

control diets (15), and a low GL diet was better than a control diet for maintenance of weight loss (16), possibly because low GI and GL meals result in higher satiety and lower food intake (17,18). In addition, low GI or GL diets improve glucose control in people with diabetes mellitus in randomized trials (9,19) and are associated with lower risk of developing diabetes mellitus in observational studies (20–22).

There have been several previous studies examining the association of dietary GI, the average GI of carbohydrates consumed, and dietary GL, the product of dietary GI and total carbohydrate, with coronary heart disease (3,23–26). A meta-analysis which pooled data from prospective studies of men and women reported a statistically significant association (27). However, dietary GI and GL were associated with increased rates of coronary heart disease in women (3,23), but studies in men, including our previous report on a population of men from central Sweden have not shown an association (24,26).

We therefore conducted a prospective study of the association of dietary GI and GL with incidence of myocardial infarction (MI) in a population of middle-aged and older women from central Sweden. Dietary GI and GL were assessed using the same food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) as in our previous study of men (24). Because the associations of dietary GI and GL with cardiovascular disease were stronger in overweight then normal weight women in some studies (3,23) possibly because of a greater prevalence of insulin resistance among overweight women, we tested for variation by body mass index (BMI) and by physical activity, another determinant of insulin sensitivity. We also examined the possibility of interaction of dietary GI and GL with fiber consumption, because dietary GL was associated with survival among men with established cardiovascular disease only in the context of low fiber intake (28).

Methods

Population

This study included 36,234 women who participated in the Swedish Mammography Cohort. The recruitment process, characteristics, and study methods of this prospective cohort have been previously described (29). The Swedish Mammography Cohort includes women born between 1914 and 1948 living in Västmanland and Uppsala counties in central Sweden. The women completed questionnaires with items on demographic, behavioral, and anthropometric factors and consumption of foods and beverages in late 1997. Participants who did not provide or provided incorrect national identification numbers, who reported implausible energy intakes (>3 standard deviations from the natural logarithm-transformed mean), or who had a previous diagnosis of cancer (other than nonmelanoma skin cancer) were excluded (n = 792). Additionally for these analyses, participants who at baseline had a history of heart failure, MI, or diabetes were excluded (n = 2,201). Participants with baseline diabetes were excluded because people who develop diabetes receive dietary counseling and may change both their diet and their reporting of diet. History of heart failure and MI were determined through linkage to the inpatient register; history of diabetes was assessed using self-report and linkage to the inpatient register. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board at Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. Completion and return of the self-administered questionnaire was taken to imply consent.

Diet assessment

Self-administered food-frequency items in the questionnaires asked participants to report usual frequency of consumption of 96 foods and beverages over the previous year. Participants reported servings/d or servings/wk for commonly consumed foods and beverages such as milk, coffee, cheese, and bread. For other foods there were 8 predefined responses ranging from

never to \geq 3 times/d. Portion sizes for most foods were not specified. In validation studies using weighed diet records, habitual portion sizes were found to vary by age. The total consumption of foods and beverages was calculated by multiplying the frequency of consumption by age-specific portion sizes. Nutrient values were calculated using food composition data from the Swedish National Food Administration (30).

A database of GI and GL values with white bread as the reference food was created based primarily on the international GI and GL tables (31). Food items and mixed meals with no reported GI value were assigned the value for a comparable food. We calculated average dietary GI using the formula dietary GI = $\Sigma_{foods} F \times C \times GI/\Sigma_{foods} F \times C$ where *F* represents the frequency of consumption, *C* represents the available carbohydrate content of an age-specific food portion, and *GI* represents the GI of a specific food (32). Dietary GL was calculated as the product of the dietary GI and total carbohydrate intake divided by 100 (32). Using the residuals method (33), nutrient values and dietary GL were adjusted to 7,113 kJ/d, the approximate mean energy intake from the validation study diet records. The correlation between the FFQ used in this study and two one-wk weighed diet records was 0.62 for dietary GI and 0.77 for dietary GL in a population of men from central Sweden (34).

Outcome assessment

Participants were followed for hospitalization due to or death from MI through record linkage to the Swedish inpatient and cause-of-death registers. These registers are more than 99 percent complete (35). We included only hospitalizations or deaths with MI listed as the primary diagnosis and only the first MI event recorded in the registers for each individual. Follow-up time accrued from January 1, 1998 until the date of hospitalization or death from MI, the date of death from other causes, or December 31, 2006, whichever was earliest.

Statistical analysis

Because some of the participants were missing data needed to calculate BMI (1.6%) and a physical activity score (22.1%), we used Markov chain Monte Carlo multiple imputation to simulate 5 complete datasets (36). All statistical analyses described were performed in each of the datasets separately. The results were averaged, and confidence intervals (CI) and *P*-values were calculated accounting for the uncertainty in the imputed estimates (36).

We calculated means and proportions of baseline covariates. To estimate incidence rate ratios (RR) for the association of quartiles of dietary GI and GL with incidence of MI, we used Cox proportional hazards models which accounted for the effect of age by allowing the baseline rate to vary and adjusted for physical activity (linear term), BMI (linear term), cigarette smoking (current, past, never), living alone (yes, no), postmenopausal hormone use (yes, no), aspirin use (yes, no), education (less than high school, high school, university), family history of myocardial infarction before 60 years (yes, no), self-reported history of hypertension (yes, no), self-reported history of high cholesterol (yes, no), total energy intake (linear term), alcohol intake (linear term), fiber intake (linear term), saturated fat (linear term), polyunsaturated fat (linear term), and protein (linear term). The dietary GI models were additionally adjusted for carbohydrate (linear term) to estimate the effect of varying the quality of the carbohydrate while holding the quantity constant. As a sensitivity analysis, we also estimated the RR associated with dietary GI without adjustment for carbohydrate. We tested for linear trend by entering the median in each quartile as a continuous variable. We performed additional analyses examining the association of available carbohydrate with MI using models adjusted for the covariates listed above.

We examined the associations of dietary GI and GL with MI in subpopulations define by BMI (< 25 kg/m² vs \ge 25 kg/m²), by physical activity (active [\ge 40 min/d walking or bicycling and

 \geq 1 h/wk exercising] vs inactive) (37), and by fiber intake (< 18.4 g/d [quartile 1] vs \geq 18.4 g/d [quartiles 2–4]). We tested whether the associations varied across subpopulations using a likelihood ratio test with 4 degrees of freedom. We tested for violation of the assumption of proportional hazards by entering the product of the exposures and the natural logarithm of time in the models; we did not find evidence for violation of the assumption of proportional hazards. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC). A 2-sided *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Over 9 years of follow-up, 1,138 women were hospitalized or died due to a first MI. Compared to women with dietary GI or GL in the lowest quartile, women with higher dietary GI or GL were on average older and were more likely to have a history of hypertension, to have a less than high school education, and to be a nonsmoker (Table 1). They consumed less alcohol and less protein than women with low dietary GI or GL. In addition, women with high dietary GL were more likely to have a history of high cholesterol and consumed more fiber and less saturated and polyunsaturated fat than women with lower dietary GL.

There was a modest association between dietary GI and incidence of MI in age-adjusted analyses (RR comparing top to bottom quartile = 1.16, 95% CI 0.98-1.37, *P*-trend = 0.06), that was attenuated in multivariable-adjusted models (RR comparing top to bottom quartile = 1.12, 95% CI 0.92-1.35, *P*-trend = 0.24) (Table 2). Results were similar when we did not adjust for carbohydrate intake. RR across quartiles were 1 (reference), 1.05 (95% CI 0.88-1.27), 1.10 (95% CI 0.92-1.32), and 1.11 (95% CI 0.92-1.34) (*P*-trend = 0.27). There was a suggestion of a direct association between dietary GL and incidence of MI in multivariable adjusted models that was not statistically significant (RR comparing top to bottom quartile = 1.22, 95% CI 0.90-1.65, *P*-trend = 0.23). RR across quartiles of carbohydrate intake were 1 (reference), 0.90 (95% CI 0.73-1.11), 0.90 (95% CI 0.70-1.17), and 0.95 (95% CI 0.67-1.35) (*P*-trend = 0.82).

Although tests of interaction were not statistically significant, there was a trend towards an association of dietary GI and GL with rates of MI in overweight (BMI $\ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) but not normal weight (BMI < 25 kg/m²) women (P-interaction = 0.20 for dietary GI, P-interaction = 0.14 for dietary GL) (Table 3). However, the associations of dietary GI and GL with MI appeared stronger in active women, though the differences were not statistically significant. The RR comparing top to bottom quartile of dietary GI in the active women was 1.29 (95% CI 0.86–1.95, P-trend = 0.22) and 1.06 (95% CI 0.85–1.31, P-trend = 0.61) among inactive women (P-interaction = 0.18). The RR comparing top to bottom quartile of dietary GL was 1.42 (95%) CI 0.72-2.79, P-trend = 0.38) in the active women and 1.14 (95% CI 0.82-1.60, P-trend = (0.46) in inactive women (*P*-interaction = 0.40). The association between dietary GI and MI was similar across levels of fiber intake (RR comparing top to bottom quartile among those with fiber < 18.4 g/d= 1.05, 95% CI 0.74–1.49, P-trend = 0.73; RR comparing top to bottom quartile among those with fiber $\ge 18.4 \text{ g/d} = 1.14, 95\%$ CI 0.91–1.42, *P*-trend = 0.28; *P*-tren interaction = 0.53). The association between dietary GL and MI also did not vary significantly by fiber intake (RR comparing top to bottom quartile among those with fiber < 18.4 g/d = 0.85, 95% CI 0.49-1.48, P-trend = 0.63; RR comparing top to bottom quartile among those with fiber ≥ 18.4 g/d = 1.41, 95% CI 0.98–2.05, *P*-trend = 0.09; *P*-interaction = 0.39).

Discussion

In this study of middle-aged and older women, we did not find statistically significant associations of dietary GI and GL with MI. However, women in the top quartile of dietary GI had a nonsignificant 12% higher rate of MI than those in the bottom quartile and women in the top quartile of dietary GL had a nonsignificant 22% higher rate of MI than those in the bottom

quartile. The associations may have been stronger in overweight women. The results are consistent with those observed in other populations of women.

In the Nurses' Health Study, women with dietary GI in the highest quintile had a 31% higher rate of coronary heart disease, and women with dietary GL in the highest quintile had a 98% higher rate of coronary heart disease (3). The highest compared to lowest quartile of dietary GI and GL were associated with 44% higher rates of coronary heart disease in a study of Dutch women (23). The associations tended to be stronger in heavier women in both studies. In contrast, the two previous prospective studies of men did not find associations of dietary GI or GL with coronary heart disease (24,26). The associations between dietary GI and GL and MI appeared to be stronger in women than men in a case-control study conducted in Italy (25), but to our knowledge the interaction between dietary GI and GL and gender has not been evaluated in a prospective setting.

In the current study the median dietary GL ranged from 131 in the lowest quartile to 184 in the highest. By comparison the median dietary GL ranged from 117 in the lowest quartile to 206 in the highest in the Nurses' Health Study (3) and from 78.5 in the lowest quartile to 121.8 in the highest using a glucose standard in a study of Dutch women (approximately 112 and 174 converted to a white bread standard) (23). It is possible that the limited range of dietary GL in this study prevented us from detecting a significant association. Populations also vary in which foods contribute most to the dietary GL. Boiled or mashed potatoes and cold breakfast cereals were the most important contributors to the dietary GL in the Nurses' Health Study (3); among Swedish men, white bread contributed the most to dietary GL, followed by boiled potatoes, crispbread, and whole grain bread (34).

Experimental studies comparing diets with high and low GI or GL support a link with cardiovascular diseases. Feeding studies have shown numerous metabolic effects of high GI or GL diets which could increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases including alternations in lipid profiles (4–12), inflammation (11,13,14), and insulin resistance (4,11). The data on the effects of GI and GL on HDL cholesterol and triacylglycerol concentration suggest a possible explanation for the differences observed in men and women (24). Diet trials have suggested that the decrease in HDL cholesterol and increase in triacylglycerol concentration caused by increased carbohydrate consumption may be greater in women than men (38). Additionally, elevated triacylglycerol concentration may be a stronger cardiovascular risk factor for women than for men (39).

There are several important limitations of this study. Our assessment of medical history and other covariates was based on self-report, which is inherently less reliable than clinical measurement. Correlations between the FFQ and weighed diet records were 0.62 for dietary GI and 0.77 for dietary GL in a population of Swedish men from the same region (34). However, dietary GI and GL were measured using FFQ with a simple procedure to match FFQ items to values in the published tables. A more sophisticated algorithm has been described which is based on the frequency of consumption of the foods underlying the queried items (40). Using questionnaires to assess diet resulted in some exposure misclassification. If the misclassification of diet was unrelated to MI incidence, the results would likely be biased towards the null. However, this assumption was not verifiable with available data. As with all observational studies, we cannot rule out residual or unmeasured confounding that could account for the association of dietary GI and GL with MI.

In summary, there was no statistically significant association of dietary GI or GL with MI in women in this middle-aged and older population. However, there was a suggestion of a higher rate of MI among women with high dietary GI or GL which was consistent with previous reports.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by grants from the Swedish Research Council/Committee for Infrastructure and the Committee for Medicine, a grant from the Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education (STINT), and National Institutes of Health grant F32 HL091683 (supporting E. B. L.). The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. E. B. L. and A. W. will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. E. B. L., M. A. M., and A. W. were responsible for the study concept and design, the statistical analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting of the manuscript. A. W. was responsible for the data collection.

References

- 1. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Taylor RH, et al. Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. Am J Clin Nutr 1981;34:362–366. [PubMed: 6259925]
- Brand-Miller JC, Thomas M, Swan V, Ahmad ZI, Petocz P, Colagiuri S. Physiological validation of the concept of glycemic load in lean young adults. J Nutr 2003;133:2728–2732. [PubMed: 12949357]
- 3. Liu S, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, et al. A prospective study of dietary glycemic load, carbohydrate intake, and risk of coronary heart disease in US women. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1455–1461. [PubMed: 10837285]
- 4. Rizkalla SW, Taghrid L, Laromiguiere M, et al. Improved plasma glucose control, whole-body glucose utilization, and lipid profile on a low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic men: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1866–1872. [PubMed: 15277409]
- Bouche C, Rizkalla SW, Luo J, et al. Five-week, low-glycemic index diet decreases total fat mass and improves plasma lipid profile in moderately overweight nondiabetic men. Diabetes Care 2002;25:822– 828. [PubMed: 11978675]
- 6. McMillan-Price J, Petocz P, Atkinson F, et al. Comparison of 4 diets of varying glycemic load on weight loss and cardiovascular risk reduction in overweight and obese young adults: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:1466–1475. [PubMed: 16864756]
- Sloth B, Krog-Mikkelsen I, Flint A, et al. No difference in body weight decrease between a lowglycemic-index and a high-glycemic-index diet but reduced LDL cholesterol after 10-wk ad libitum intake of the low-glycemic-index diet. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80:337–347. [PubMed: 15277154]
- Maki KC, Rains TM, Kaden VN, et al. Effects of a reduced-glycemic-load diet on body weight, body composition, and cardiovascular disease risk markers in overweight and obese adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:724–734. [PubMed: 17344493]
- 9. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, McKeown-Eyssen G, et al. Effect of a low-glycemic index or a high-cereal fiber diet on type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. JAMA 2008;300:2742–2753. [PubMed: 19088352]
- Luscombe ND, Noakes M, Clifton PM. Diets high and low in glycemic index versus high monounsaturated fat diets: effects on glucose and lipid metabolism in NIDDM. Eur J Clin Nutr 1999;53:473–478. [PubMed: 10403584]
- Pereira MA, Swain J, Goldfine AB, et al. Effects of a low-glycemic load diet on resting energy expenditure and heart disease risk factors during weight loss. JAMA 2004;292:2482–2490. [PubMed: 15562127]
- Ebbeling CB, Leidig MM, Sinclair KB, et al. Effects of an ad libitum low-glycemic load diet on cardiovascular disease risk factors in obese young adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81:976–982. [PubMed: 15883418]
- Wolever TM, Gibbs AL, Mehling C, et al. The Canadian Trial of Carbohydrates in Diabetes (CCD), a 1-y controlled trial of low-glycemic-index dietary carbohydrate in type 2 diabetes: no effect on glycated hemoglobin but reduction in C-reactive protein. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:114–125. [PubMed: 18175744]
- Dickinson S, Hancock DP, Petocz P, et al. High-glycemic index carbohydrate increases nuclear factorkappaB activation in mononuclear cells of young, lean healthy subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:1188–1193. [PubMed: 18469238]
- 15. Thomas DE, Elliott EJ, Baur L. Low glycaemic index or low glycaemic load diets for overweight and obesity. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007:CD005105. [PubMed: 17636786]

- 16. Due A, Larsen TM, Mu H, et al. Comparison of 3 ad libitum diets for weight-loss maintenance, risk of cardiovascular disease, and diabetes: a 6-mo randomized, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88:1232–1241. [PubMed: 18996857]
- Stevenson EJ, Astbury NM, Simpson EJ, et al. Fat oxidation during exercise and satiety during recovery are increased following a low-glycemic index breakfast in sedentary women. J Nutr 2009;139:890–897. [PubMed: 19321590]
- Flint A, Gregersen NT, Gluud LL, et al. Associations between postprandial insulin and blood glucose responses, appetite sensations and energy intake in normal weight and overweight individuals: a meta-analysis of test meal studies. Br J Nutr 2007;98:17–25. [PubMed: 17524176]
- Brand-Miller J, Hayne S, Petocz P, Colagiuri S. Low-glycemic index diets in the management of diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes Care 2003;26:2261–2267. [PubMed: 12882846]
- Krishnan S, Rosenberg L, Singer M, et al. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and cereal fiber intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in US black women. Arch Intern Med 2007;167:2304–2309. [PubMed: 18039988]
- Schulze MB, Liu S, Rimm EB, et al. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and dietary fiber intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in younger and middle-aged women. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80:348–356. [PubMed: 15277155]
- 22. Villegas R, Liu S, Gao YT, et al. Prospective study of dietary carbohydrates, glycemic index, glycemic load, and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in middle-aged Chinese women. Arch Intern Med 2007;167:2310–2316. [PubMed: 18039989]
- 23. Beulens JW, de Bruijne LM, Stolk RP, et al. High dietary glycemic load and glycemic index increase risk of cardiovascular disease among middle-aged women: a population-based follow-up study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:14–21. [PubMed: 17601539]
- Levitan EB, Mittleman MA, Hakansson N, Wolk A. Dietary glycemic index, dietary glycemic load, and cardiovascular disease in middle-aged and older Swedish men. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:1521– 1526. [PubMed: 17556687]
- 25. Tavani A, Bosetti C, Negri E, et al. Carbohydrates, dietary glycaemic load and glycaemic index, and risk of acute myocardial infarction. Heart 2003;89:722–726. [PubMed: 12807839]
- van Dam RM, Visscher AW, Feskens EJ, et al. Dietary glycemic index in relation to metabolic risk factors and incidence of coronary heart disease: the Zutphen Elderly Study. Eur J Clin Nutr 2000;54:726–731. [PubMed: 11002385]
- Mente A, de Koning L, Shannon HS, Anand SS. A systematic review of the evidence supporting a causal link between dietary factors and coronary heart disease. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:659–669. [PubMed: 19364995]
- Levitan EB, Mittleman MA, Wolk A. Dietary glycemic index, dietary glycemic load and mortality among men with established cardiovascular disease. Eur J Clin Nutr 2009;63:552–557. [PubMed: 18091767]
- 29. Wolk A, Larsson SC, Johansson JE, Ekman P. Long-term fatty fish consumption and renal cell carcinoma incidence in women. JAMA 2006;296:1371–1376. [PubMed: 16985229]
- 30. Bergström L, Kylberg E, Hagman U, et al. The food composition database KOST: the National Administration's information system for nutritive values of food. Var Foda 1991;43:439–447.
- Foster-Powell K, Holt SH, Brand-Miller JC. International table of glycemic index and glycemic load values: 2002. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;76:5–56. [PubMed: 12081815]
- 32. Salmeron J, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, et al. Dietary fiber, glycemic load, and risk of non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus in women. JAMA 1997;277:472–477. [PubMed: 9020271]
- 33. Willett, WC. Nutritional Epidemiology. 2. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.
- Levitan EB, Westgren CW, Liu S, Wolk A. Reproducibility and validity of dietary glycemic index, dietary glycemic load, and total carbohydrate intake in 141 Swedish men. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:548–553. [PubMed: 17284756]
- 35. The Nation Board of Health and Welfare. The Swedish Hospital Discharge Registry 1964–2003. Stockholm; 2005. p. 1-28.
- 36. Schafer, JL. Analysis of Incomplete Multivariate Data. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1997.

- Knopp RH, Paramsothy P, Retzlaff BM, et al. Gender differences in lipoprotein metabolism and dietary response: basis in hormonal differences and implications for cardiovascular disease. Curr Atheroscler Rep 2005;7:472–479. [PubMed: 16256006]
- Austin MA, Hokanson JE, Edwards KL. Hypertriglyceridemia as a cardiovascular risk factor. Am J Cardiol 1998;81:7B–12B. [PubMed: 9462597]
- Flood A, Subar AF, Hull SG, et al. Methodology for adding glycemic load values to the National Cancer Institute Diet History Questionnaire database. J Am Diet Assoc 2006;106:393–402. [PubMed: 16503230]

Levitan et al.

Table 1

~
loac
and
index
glycemic
of dietary
quartile
by
characteristics
Baseline

			Quarti	lle dietar	y glycemi	c index					Quarti	le dietary	y glycemi	c load		
	1			5		~	4	_	1		7		ю		4	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age, y	60.2	8.8	60.5	8.8	61.8	9.1	64.0	9.4	59.9	8.9	60.8	8.9	62.0	9.1	63.7	9.3
Physical activity, MET h/d	42.3	4.7	42.4	4.7	42.6	4.7	42.4	4.9	42.0	4.7	42.3	4.7	42.6	4.8	42.8	4.9
BMI, kg/m^2	25.0	3.9	24.9	3.8	24.9	3.9	25.0	4.1	24.8	4.0	24.9	3.8	24.9	3.8	25.2	4.0
Cigarette smoking, %																
Never	47	Ľ	5	3.7	56	8.	56	6.9	43.	4	52	6.	57.	L	61.	.0
Past	27	εi	5	4.5	21	Ľ.	18	4.	25.	×,	24	г.	22.	-	19.	×
Current	24	6	2	1.8	21	5.	24	<i>L.</i> 1	30.	×.	23	0.	20.	2	18.	6
Living alone, %	25	œ	2	1.8	22	0.	26	8.0	25.	2	22	4.	22.	Ľ	26.	5
Post menopausal hormone use, $\%$	54	S	5	3.3	51	0.	47	4.	52.	S	52	.3	51.	6	49.	5
Aspirin use, %	43	Ľ	4	3.6	4	0.	41	6.	44	×.	43	8.	42.	4	42.	_
Education, %																
Less than high school	64	ω.	9	8.9	76	0.	85	.2	99	4	71	2	75.	×	81.	_
High school	9.	10	5	1.	7.	5	5.	Ľ	9.	5	×.	5	7.5	10	9.7	
University	26	5	6	2.0	16	46	.6		24.	г.	20.	37	16.	L	12.4	9
Family history of myocardial infarction, %	17	9	Ţ	7.0	16	6.	16	9.6	17.	4	16	4.	17.	2	17.	_
Hypertension, %	18	ω	H	9.0	20	57	21	6.	16.	6	19	4.	20.	L	22.	×
High cholesterol, %	7.	_	æ	.2	7	8	×	S	5.9	80	7.	1	8.4	4	10.	5
Energy intake, kJ/d	7,188	2,268	7,238	2,075	7,385	2,151	7,297	2,280	7,376	2,406	7,217	2,100	7,222	2,038	7,293	2,218
Alcohol, g/d	5.6	6.4	4.7	5.3	3.8	4.6	2.6	3.9	6.0	6.8	4.6	5.1	3.6	4.2	2.4	3.4
Fiber, $\dot{\tau}$ g/d	22.4	6.0	22.6	5.1	22.1	5.1	21.1	5.6	19.0	4.5	21.6	4.5	23.1	4.9	24.5	6.3
Saturated fat, $^{\dagger}g/d$	27.5	6.7	26.9	6.0	27.3	6.1	27.6	6.6	32.8	6.1	28.4	4.7	25.9	4.5	22.1	4.7
Polyunsaturated fat, $\dot{\tau}_{g/d}$	7.9	2.1	8.1	1.8	8.1	1.8	8.0	1.8	8.4	2.3	8.2	1.8	8.0	1.6	7.4	1.6
Carbohydrate, $^{\dagger} g/d$	203	26	210	24	212	25	218	28	180	17	204	11	218	11	241	17
Protein, $\dagger g/d$	76.7	12.3	71.7	10.2	68.9	9.6	64.5	9.8	78.5	12.6	72.2	9.2	68.6	8.4	62.7	8.7
Dietary glycemic index	68.6	3.1	73.3	0.8	76.1	0.9	80.5	2.4	71.1	4.8	73.8	3.7	75.5	3.6	78.2	3.9

			Quartile	dietary	y glycemic	index					Quartile	dietary	y glycemic	load		
	1		7		3		4		1		7		ŝ		4	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Dietary glycemic load †	140	20	154	18	162	19	176	24	128	12	150	4	164	4	188	15
Values are means \pm SD or %.																

Levitan et al.

 $\stackrel{f}{\tau} Values$ are adjusted for energy using the residuals method.

Table 2

Dietary glycemic index, dietary glycemic load and incidence of myocardial infarction

	Median	Cases	Person-years	Age-adjusted incidence rate ratio (95% $\mathrm{CI})^{*}$	Multivariable-adjusted incidence rate ratio (95% CI) $^{\mathring{r}}$
Dietary glycer	nic index				
Quartile 1	69.5	229	78,498	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
Quartile 2	73.3	246	78,575	$1.04\ (0.87 - 1.25)$	1.06 (0.88–1.27)
Quartile 3	76.1	295	77,914	1.10 (0.93–1.31)	1.11 (0.92–1.33)
Quartile 4	79.9	368	76,515	1.16 (0.98–1.37)	$1.12\ (0.92-1.35)$
P-trend				0.06	0.24
Dietary glycer	nic load				
Quartile 1	131	229	78,423	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
Quartile 2	150	264	78,187	1.06 (0.89–1.27)	$1.14\ (0.93-1.39)$
Quartile 3	164	296	77,858	1.07 (0.90–1.27)	$1.18\ (0.94{-}1.50)$
Quartile 4	184	349	77,035	1.09 (0.92–1.29)	1.22 (0.90–1.65)
P-trend				0.34	0.23

postmenopausal hormone use (yes, no), aspirin use (yes, no), total energy intake (linear term), alcohol intake (linear term), fiber intake (linear term), saturated fat (linear term), polyunsaturated fat ⁷ Additionally adjusted for education (less than high school, high school, university), BMI (linear term), physical activity (linear term), cigarette smoking (current, past, never), living alone (yes, no), history of high cholesterol (yes, no) Levitan et al.

Table 3

5		
2	/	2
۴		ł
1	1	١
ŀ	-	1
	~	
	~	
_	<u>c</u>	1
	⊆	1
	۲	1
	≃	1
	÷	j
	Ċ.)
	ĩ	
	à	1
¢	É	
	-	
	Ξ	
	-	
	-	1
	3	2
:	-	1
1	С	J
	٢	
	3	1
	¢ :)
	۲	5
	5	•
	2	•
	C	1
	₽	1
,	17	Ì
•	5	
	C	2
	2	ĺ
	C,)
	Ċ)
	ć	í
	7	2
_	ď	2
1	С	J
•	-	
	C)
	Ć	
•	Ξ	
		į
	С	1
	õ	
	Ξ	5
	~	
-	_	
	2	Ś
	3	2
	С	2
-	-	
	C)
•		
	Ξ	
	F	
	C,	1
		•
	C)
	5	5
_		
-	5215	5
-	020	1
-		1
-		
-	arv olve	
-	TATV O VC	
	PTATV OVC	
	PETATV O VC	
	TIPETARV O VC	
	Chetary olvo	
-	Chetary olvo	
-	x dietary olvo	
-	A CHETARV OLVC	
	ex dietary olvo	
-	Dex diefary olvo	
	ndex dietarv olvo	
	INCEX CLETARV OLVC	
	TINDEX CLETARY OVC	
	CINCEX CIETATV OLVC	
	1C INCEX CLETARY OLVC	
	nic index dietary olvo	
	micindex dietary olvo	
	emicindex dietary olvo	
	Cemic Index dietary olvo	
	VCEMIC INCEX CLETARY OLVC	
	VCEMIC INCEX CLETARY OLVC	
	VIVCEMIC INDEX CLETARY OLVC	
	olvcemic index diefary olvc	
	/ olvcemic index diefary olvc	
	V OVCEMIC INCEX CIETARV OVC	
	ITV OLVERNIC INCEX CLEARV OLVE	
	arv olveemie index diefarv olve	
	etary olycemic index dietary olyc	
	etary olycemic index dietary olyc	Ctul 7 El 7 COLLIC ILICOVA CUCUL 7 EL 7 C
	hetary olycemic index dietary olyc	
	Dietary olycemic index dietary olyc	

	Normal weight Incidence rate ratio (95% CI)	Overweight Incidence rate ratio (95% CI)	r-interaction
Dietary glyce	emic index		
Quartile 1	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
Quartile 2	0.94 (0.73–1.23)	1.19 (0.91–1.54)	
Quartile 3	0.99 (0.76–1.28)	1.23 (0.94–1.60)	0.20
Quartile 4	1.03 (0.79–1.34)	1.20 (0.91–1.58)	
P-trend	0.68	0.22	
Dietary glyce	emic load		
Quartile 1	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
Quartile 2	0.99 (0.74–1.32)	1.34(1.00-1.80)	
Quartile 3	1.11 (0.80–1.53)	1.30 (0.92–1.85)	0.14
Quartile 4	1.07 (0.70–1.62)	1.45 (0.93–2.25)	
<i>P</i> -trend	0.67	0.16	