Role of cell cycle regulators in lung carcinogenesis

Beatrice Eymin and Sylvie Gazzeri*

Equipe Bases Moléculaires de la Progression des Cancers du Poumon; Centre de Recherche INSERM U823; Institut Albert Bonniot; Grenoble, France; and Université Joseph Fourier; Grenoble, France

Key words: lung cancer, cell cycle regulators, kinases, checkpoint, therapy

Abbreviations: APC/C, anaphase-promoting-complex or cyclosome; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutated; ATR, ataxia telangiectasia and Rad 3 related; BUB1, budding uninhibited by benzimidazoles 1; BUB1B, BUB1 homologue beta (also known as BUBR1); CDC20, cell division cycle 20 protein; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; CDKI or CKI, CDK inhibitors; CHK, checkpoint kinases; DDR, DNA damage response; E2F, E2F transcription factor; INCENP, inner centromere protein antigens 135/155 kDa; MAD1, mitotic arrest deficient protein; NE, neuroendocrine; NSCLC, non small cell lung carcinoma; PLK, polo-kinase; RB, retinoblastoma; SAC, spindle assembly checkpoint; SCF, SKP1-cullin 1-F box protein; SCLC, small cell lung carcinoma; SKP2, S-phase associated kinase protein 2; TACC3, transforming acidic coiled coil-containing protein 3; TPX2, targeting protein for xenopus kinesin-like protein 2

Cellular division is an ordered, tightly regulated process involving multiple checkpoints that assess extracellular growth signals, cell size and DNA integrity. Progression throughout the cell cycle is based on the activation of different CDK-cyclin complexes that prevent cells from entering into a new phase until thay have successfully complete the previous one. In addition, a series of cell cycle checkpoints are designed to preserve genome integrity and chromosomal stability. Neoplastic lung cells develop the ability to bypass several of these checkpoints, and tumor cell proliferation is frequently associated with genetic or epigenetic alterations in key regulators of the cell cycle. The goal of this review is to summarize the knowledge about the dysregulation of major cell cycle regulators in lung cancer pathogenesis and to discuss the use of these proteins as targets for therapeutic intervention.

Precise regulation of the cell cycle is a fundamental requirement for the homeostasis of eukaryotic cells, and uncontrolled cell proliferation is an invariable characteristic of human cancers. Indeed, the proliferation of cancer cells is sustained in the absence of growth factors and is insensitive to growth-inhibitory signals.¹ In non transformed lung epithelial cells, cellular division is an ordered, tightly regulated process involving multiple checkpoints that assess extracellular growth signals, cell size and DNA integrity. Among the many pathways altered in lung cancer malignancy, the most criticals involve disruption of the normal cell cycle regulation. The goal of this review is to summarize the knowledge about the dysregulation of major cell cycle regulators in lung cancer pathogenesis and to discuss the use of these proteins as targets for therapeutic intervention.

*Correspondence to: Sylvie Gazzeri; Email: Sylvie.Gazzeri@ujf-grenoble.fr Submitted: 11/10/09; Accepted: 12/16/09 Previously published online: www.landesbioscience.com/journals/ celladhesion/article/10977

Overview of the Cell Cycle Regulation

The mammalian cell cycle machinery is comprised of five sequential stages: G₀, G₁, S, G₂ and M. During two of these phases, cells execute the two basic events in cell division: generation of a single and faithful copy of its genetic material (S phase) and partitioning of all the cellular components between two identical daughter cells (mitosis or M phase). The two other phases of the cell cycle, G, and G₂, represent "gap" periods during which cells prepare themselves for the successful completion of the S and M phases respectively. When cells cease proliferation, either due to specific antimitogenic signals or to the absence of proper mitogenic signalling, they exit the cycle and enter a non-dividing quiescent state known as G₀. These last decades, the molecules controlling the successive phases of the cell cycle have been extensively characterized. The central players are the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), a group of serine/threonine kinases whose activity is regulated by their arrangement in a multimeric complex with larger proteins called cyclins, owing to their cyclical expression and proteasomal degradation during the cell cycle. Throughout the cell cycle progression, different CDK-cyclin complexes are formed and are activated by sequential phosphorylation/dephosphorylation with a clear-cut timing, thereby preventing cells from entering into a new phase until they have successfully completed the previous one.² In addition, all along the cell cycle, a series of surveillance pathways named cell cycle checkpoints ensure that cells pass accurate copies of their genome onto the next generation, in order to preserve genome integrity and chromosomal stability.

The Molecular Players of G₁ and S Phases

When cells in the quiescent (G_0) phase enter the cycle in response to extracellular signals such as mitogenic activation, intracellular levels of D-type cyclins (D1, D2, D3) increase, resulting in the formation and nuclear localization of cyclin D-CDK4 and cyclin D-CDK6 complexes that initiate phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein (RB1, also known as p105-RB) and possibly of other members of the "pocket" protein family.^{3,4} The RB pocket

proteins (p105, p107 and p130) negatively modulate the G₁ to S phase transition at least in part through binding and inactivation of the E2F transcription factors that promote transcription of genes required for DNA replication.⁵ Partial phosphorylation of RB proteins by cyclin D-CDK4 and cyclin D-CDK6 complexes in early G₁ inactivates their function as transcriptional repressors and leads to the release of E2F transcription factors, enabling the expression of genes required for G1 to S phase transition and DNA synthesis. In late G₁, levels of E-type cyclins accumulate and these cyclins associate with CDK2 to reinforce RB1 phosphorylation on additional sites, and to irreversibly initiate the gene expression programme of the S phase.⁶ This stage, called the restriction point, is crucial in cancer as alterations of the molecular players involved in the G₁ to S phase transition allow cells to proliferate independently of mitogenic stimuli. It is therefore not surprising that CDK inhibitors (CKI) exist to control the timely activation of cyclin-CDK complexes.⁷ These proteins belong to two different families: the INK4 family of proteins that include INK4A (also known as p16^{INK4a}), INK4B (also known as p15^{INK4b}), INK4C (also known as p18^{INK4c}), INK4D (also known as $p19^{INK4d}$), as well as the kinase inhibitory protein (WAF/KIP) family. The four members of the INK4A family exert their inhibitory activity by binding to the CDK4 and CDK6 kinases and by preventing their association with D-type cyclins. The three members of the WAF/KIP family, WAF1 (also known as p21^{WAF1/CIP1}), KIP1 (also known as p27^{KIP1}) and KIP2 (also known as p57KIP2) can form heterotrimeric complexes with the G₁/S CDKs. However, in stoechiometric amounts, they only inhibit the kinase activity of cyclin E-CDK2 complexes. Beyond the restriction point, RB1 is maintained in a hyperphosphorylated state through the sequential activities of cyclin A-CDK2, cyclin A-CDK1 and cyclin B-CDK1 complexes, thereby ensuring S phase completion.

The Molecular Players of the G₂ and M Phases

In parallel with DNA replication, the centrosome cycle begins. Centrosomes duplicate during late S phase to early G, phase, and separate to form the poles of the mitotic spindle at the beginning of mitosis. At these poles, each centrosome maturates to form its own aster of dynamic microtubules. The centrosome cycle and the formation of the mitotic spindle are controlled by mitotic kinases such as CDK1. During the G₂/M transition, the cyclin A-CDK1 complex is activated to initiate mitosis through regulation of chromosome condensation and microtubule dynamics.8 Then, following destruction of the nuclear membrane, cyclin A is degraded and cyclin B1-CDK1 complexes are activated to allow the progression through the M phase by promoting chromosome condensation and spindle assembly.8 The complete separation of the two daughter cells occur when cyclin B1 is degraded by the anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome (APC-C). Aurora and polo-like kinase (PLK) are also essential regulators of mitosis.9,10 Aurora A localizes to duplicated centrosomes and spindle poles during mitosis and has well-established roles in centrosome function and duplication, mitotic entry and bipolar spindle assembly.¹¹ Aurora B is the catalytic component of the chromosomal passenger complex, which is composed of three additional noncatalytic subunits that direct its activity: survivin, INCENP and borealin. The chromosomal passenger complex orchestrates the accurate segregation of the chromatids, histone modification and cytokinesis.¹² Aurora C does not seem to have a role in mitosis in the majority of normal cells, with expression essentially restricted to the testis.^{13,14} The best characterized member of the mammalian Polo-like family is PLK1. PLK1 specifically localizes to centrosomes, the spindle midzone and the post-mitotic bridge, and participates in both mitotic entry and mitotic progression.^{15,16}

The Regulators of the Cell Cycle Checkpoints

The cell cycle checkpoints are designed to preserve genome integrity and chromosomal stability in response to induced or spontaneous DNA lesions that are common events in the life of the cell, as well as upon abnormal chromosomal segregation. They constitute therefore a physiological barrier that guards against progression of tumors from early stages to malignant invasive lesions.^{17,18} Upon DNA damage, these checkpoints give the cell time to repair the DNA lesion by triggering cell cycle arrest in G₁, S or G₂ phase. If lesions are irreparable, the programmed cell death is induced. The crucial regulators of these pathways are the related kinases ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ataxia telangiectasia and RAD3-related protein (ATR) and their downstream effectors, the checkpoint kinases CHK1 and CHK2.19 The ATM/CHK2 pathway regulates mostly the G₁ checkpoint, through activation of the tumor suppressor gene p53, leading to transcriptional activation of the CDKI p21^{WAF1/CIP1} that prevents cells from entering the S phase. Damaged cells that have already passed the transition from G, to S phase can also be halted before entry into mitosis through activation of the ATR/CHK1 pathway that induces the cytoplasmic sequestration of the CDC25C phosphatase required for CDK1 activation. Lastly, the mitotic checkpoint, also known as the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) is activated when chromosomes are not properly attached to the mitotic spindle. This pathway involves the activation of signalling proteins including aurora B, mitotic arrest deficient proteins 1 and 2 (MAD1, MAD2), monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1), budding uninhibited by benzimidazole 1 (BUB1) and its homologous BUB3 and BUB1B. They act by inactivating APC-C, thereby preventing cyclin B1 proteolysis and cytokinesis.

These last decades a growing number of studies have demonstrated the constant invalidation of some of these cell cycle regulators (Fig. 1) and checkpoint proteins (Fig. 2) in human lung tumors. In the second part of this review, we will summarize the principal points of deregulation according to each phase of the cell cycle.

Alteration of the Components of the G₁ to S Phase Transition in Lung Cancers

The p16^{INK4A}/cyclin D1/CDK4-CDK6/RB pathway. The retinoblastoma gene, located on chromosome 13q14, was the first tumor suppressor identified in lung cancer.^{20,21} Loss of RB1 protein



Figure 1. Abnormalities of cell cycle regulators in human lung tumors. Alterations of cell cycle regulators in lung tumors occur all along the different phases of the cell cycle. Their sequential accumulation contributes to uncontrolled cellular proliferation, as well as to genomic instability. Pale grey circles indicate loss of expression of the corresponding protein and dark grey circles indicate overexpression. In all other cases, abnormalities are very unfrequent or have not been studied.

is observed in a high proportion of SCLC tumors (>90%)^{22,23} as a result of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the RB locus²⁰ and inactivation of the remaining allele by several mechanisms including point mutations or decreased mRNA expression.²² By contrast, loss of RB1 protein occurs in only 15% of NSCLC.²⁴⁻²⁶ RB2/ p130 point mutations are also observed in primary NSCLC²⁷ and undetectable levels of RB2/p130 protein are associated with the most aggressive tumor phenotypes, suggesting an independent role of this pocket member in the development and/or progression of NSCLC.^{28,29} In SCLC, dysregulation of *p107* and *p130* has been rarely reported.³⁰

In contrast to neuroendocrine lung tumors in which RB protein is frequently lost, the majority of NSCLC exhibits RB inactivation through the deregulation of upstream regulators of RB pathway, such as p16^{INK4a} and cyclin D1. These events lead "in fine" to RB1 hyperphosphorylation and loss of anti-proliferative control.³¹ Loss of p16^{INK4a} protein is observed in 40–50% of NSCLC as a consequence of gene deletion, promoter hypermethylation or gene mutation.³¹⁻³³ Homozygous deletions of the *INK4a* locus occurs in 30% of NSCLC tumors whereas inactivating mutations of *INK4a* gene are relatively rare. Hypermethylation of the INK4a promoter is observed in about 40% of the cases and is also detected in bronchial epithelium from chronic smokers, suggesting that inactivation of p16^{INK4a} is an early event in lung tumorigenesis.^{32,34} Studies evaluating the effect of p16^{INK4a} expression on prognosis have shown an improved survival of NSCLC patients

with high p16^{INK4a} levels, although not all studies have reached statistical significance.35 Amplification of the cyclin D1 locus is observed in 5–32% of tumors depending on the studies.^{36,37} High levels of the cyclin D1 protein are found in invasive NSCLC³⁸ as well as in a significant fraction of non-invasive lesions of the bronchial epithelia,^{39,40} indicating that, like p16^{INK4a}, increased cyclin D1 expression is an early event. Overexpression of cyclin D1 is associated with a more favorable clinical outcome in some studies, whereas others correlate the upregulation of cyclin D1 with a worse outcome or even do not find any association.³⁸ In a study limited to stages I and II NSCLC in which cyclin D1 expression was associated with shorter survival, a combination of high cyclin D1 levels with loss of p16^{INK4a} was observed in patients with the worst prognosis.⁴¹ In contrast to p16^{INK4a} or cyclin D1 dysregulation, amplification of CDK4 gene leading to CDK4 overexpression is a rare event in NSCLC.⁴² As a whole, these studies demonstrate that inactivation of the RB pathway is a constant event in lung cancer. In NSCLC, p16^{INK4a} loss and cyclin D1 overexpression are always inversely correlated with RB loss indicating that cyclin D1 and p16^{INK4a} act only through RB pathway during lung carcinogenesis. This is also the case in SCLC in which RB is mostly lost and p16^{INK4a} or cyclin D1 alterations are rare events.

The three other members of the CDKI INK4 family: p15^{INK4b}, p18^{INK4c}, p19^{INK4d}. The cell cycle inhibitor p15^{INK4b} is frequently inactivated by homozygous deletions in NSCLC, always together with p16^{INK4a}. ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ In contrast, homozygous deletions of



Figure 2. Alterations in components of the cell cycle checkpoints in human lung tumors. In normal lung epithelial cells, cellular checkpoints preserve genomic integrity and prevent neoplastic transformation. Genotoxic stresses induce the activation of a DNA Damage Response (DDR) that is mediated through ATM- and/or ATR-dependent cell cycle checkpoints. The Spindle Assembly Checkpoint (SAC) is another checkpoint that controls the proper distribution of chromosomes at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition. This scheme depicts some of the DDR and SAC checkpoint proteins which expression is either downregulated (in pale grey) or upregulated (in dark grey) in human lung tumors, likely to abrogate apoptosis, DNA repair and/or cell cycle arrest and to trigger tumor progression.

the p15^{INK4b} gene is never observed in SCLC.^{45,46} Methylation of the 5'end of p15^{INK4b} gene has also been reported in a few lung tumor cell lines,45,46 as well as in pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma,47 but its consequence on p15^{INK4b} expression (mRNA or protein) remains poorly investigated. Mutations of the *p15^{INK4b}* gene are rarely found.^{48,49} To investigate the possibility of a selective deregulation of p15^{INK4b} in lung carcinogenesis, we have studied the status of p15^{INK4b} in NE lung tumors in which deregulation of p16^{INK4a} is rarely observed. We have shown that both p15 and p15.5 protein isoforms, that are generated from two alternative translation initiation codons, display a high heterogeneous pattern of expression (up or downregulation) in both normal and tumor tissues.⁵⁰ In addition, aberrant methylation of *p15^{INK4b}* was detected in 15% of the tumor cases but did not correlate with protein status. Together, these results indicate a complex deregulation of p15^{INK4b} in lung tumors that is independent of p16^{INK4a}. Very few studies have analyzed the status of p18^{INK4c} in lung cancer cell lines and tumors, and neither rearrangements, nor deletions have been detected.48,51 However, it was recently shown in mouse models that p18^{INK4c} collaborates with Men1 to constrain lung stem cell expansion and suppress non small cell lung cancers.⁵² These data reveal an unrecognized function of p18^{INK4c} in lung tumor suppression.

The status of $p19^{INK4d}$ has never been adressed in lung tumors.

The CIP/KIP family. The CDK inhibitor $p21^{WAF1/CIP1}$ inhibits the progression through the cell cycle via several mechanisms including inhibition of the cyclinD1/CDK4 and cyclin E/CDK2 complexes in early G₁, and inhibition of the cyclinA/ CDK2 complex prior to the S/G₂ transition. In NSCLC, a positive expression of p21^{WAF1/CIP1} is detected more frequently in patients with stage I or II disease than in those with stage IIIa disease.^{53,54} In multivariate analyses, patients with tumors expressing p21^{WAF1/CIP1} survive longer than do those with tumors negative for p21^{WAF1/CIP1} expression.^{53,54} Therefore, positive expression of p21^{WAF1/} CIP1 appears to be a significant factor for predicting a favorable prognosis. In addition, NSCLC patients who are negative for both p21^{WAF1/CIP1} and p16^{INK4a} proteins have a significantly shorter overall survival,55 indicating that both CDKIs do not act on the same targets to inhibit lung tumorigenesis.

p27^{KIP1} is also a strong inhibitor of cell cycle progression through its ability to inhibit cyclin D/CDK4, cyclin D/CDK6, cyclin E/CDK2 and cyclinA/CDK2 complexes. Low levels of p27^{KIP1} are observed in NSCLC as compared to normal counterparts, and correlate with reduced cancer cell differentiation^{56,57} and high proliferative index.⁵⁶ Downregulation of p27^{KIP1} associates with a poor outcome in NSCLC patients and is a significant prognostic factor in multivariate analyses.⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ In contrast, SCLC exhibit increased p27^{KIP1}

epithelium.⁶¹ Together, these data suggest that p27KIP1 might play distinct biological roles in the pathogenesis of SCLC and NSCLC. In favor of such hypothesis, overexpression of p27KIP1 in SCLC cell lines have been reported to protect the cells from apoptosis in unfavourable microenvironments.⁶² In NSCLC, the low levels of p27KIP1 are associated with a high p27KIP1 proteolytic activity.63 p27KIP1 degradation is mediated at least in part by SKP2, an F-box-protein of the SCF complex. SKP2 has oncogenic properties and increased SKP2 protein levels have been observed in lung cancers of all histological types.^{64,65} Although low level of p27KIP1 do not always correlate with high SKP2 overexpression, high SKP2 protein levels have been associated with reduced p27KIP1 expression in NSCLC suggesting that dysregulation of SKP2 contributes to the altered expression of p27KIP1 in that case. 66 In contrast, we showed that SKP2 and $p27^{\mbox{\scriptsize KIP1}}$ are directly correlated in NE lung tumors⁶⁵ confirming that both proteins might have distinct functions according to the histological types of lung tumors.

Only few studies have investigated the status of $p57^{KIP2}$ in lung tumors. A significant reduced expression of $p57^{KIP2}$ associated with a decrease of $p27^{KIP1}$ has been reported in NSCLC as compared to normal counterparts, and was correlated with increased cellular proliferation.⁶⁶ For both KIPs, SKP2-mediated proteolysis was the most important mechanism for downregulation although correlation between decreased level of p57^{KIP2} mRNA and promoter methylation, allelic loss or imprinting has been reported in some cases. Aberrant methylation of p57^{KIP2} promoter has been also observed in another study on lung cancer cell lines and tumors.⁶⁷ As p57^{KIP2} expression could be restored in methylated cell lines following 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine treatment, these data indicate that methylation contributes to p57^{KIP2} inactivation in lung cancer.

The transcription factors of E2F family. It is currently admitted that loss of RB function contributes to uncontrolled cell proliferation by unleashing E2F transcription factors activity. In this respect, abnormal expression of the E2F1-E2F3 proteins is observed in a growing number of tumors.⁶⁸ We previously showed that E2F1 is overexpressed in SCLC while it is undetectable in NSCLC as compared to corresponding normal lung, thereby identifying a differential pattern of E2F1 protein expression in lung tumors.⁶⁹ By contrast, other studies have reported amplification of the E2F1 gene locus at 20q11.2,70 as well as increased E2F1 protein level in NSCLC,71-74 and have shown that E2F1 is an adverse prognostic factor in these tumors.⁷¹ The reasons of such discrepancies remain unknown. More recently, E2F1 expression was reported to correlate with expression of its transcriptional targets thymidilate synthase and survivin in NSCLC and to tumor proliferation.⁷² Amplification of the E2F2 gene locus at 1p36 has also been observed in SCLC75 and increased expression of E2F3 protein has been found in NSCLC and SCLC.74 Overall, these studies indicate that E2F factors likely contribute to lung carcinogenesis. However, as E2Fs and notably E2F1 have multiple biological functions, it remains to determine whether each protein contributes to lung tumorigenesis only through deregulation of cell cycle progression, or extands beyond the framework of RB and cell cycle control.

Cyclin E. Deregulation of cyclin E is considered as a major actor of tumorigenesis. Indeed, increased cyclin E levels are associated with various malignancies, and its prolonged expression induces chromosomal instability.76 High levels of cyclin E are observed in lung tumors^{65,77,78} and transgenic cyclin E triggers dysplasia and lung carcinoma in mouse models.⁷⁹ Increased expression of cyclin E is also detected in preinvasive lesions indicating that it is an early event during lung tumorigenesis.⁴⁰ Cyclin E expression is consistently associated with shorter survival among stage I to IIIa NSCLC patients undergoing curative resection.^{35,78,80,81} High level of cyclin E is also a poor prognostic factor in lung adenocarcinoma patients when associated with p27KIP1 reduction.⁵⁷ In high grade NE lung tumors, overexpression of cyclin E is directly associated with high E2F1 and SKP2 protein levels⁶⁵ indicating that dysregulation of the three proteins may cooperate to the aggressive phenotype of these tumors. Altogether, these data are in favor of cyclin E being an important contributor to lung carcinogenesis.

In conclusion, all these data demonstrate that many components of the G_1 phase are abnormally expressed in lung tumors, indicating that disruption of the G_1/S transition is a crucial event during lung carcinogenesis. Furthermore, they show that the mechanisms of G_1 escape is different between NSCLC and

SCLC. In NSCLC, the lack of CDKi such as $p16^{INK4a}$, $p15^{INK4b}$, $p21^{WAF1/CIP1}$ and $p57^{KIP2}$ and the overexpression of cyclin D1 and cyclin E are some of the main mechanisms of G_1 escape, whereas SCLC frequently display loss of RB and increase of E2F1, cyclin E and $p27^{KIP1}$ (Fig. 3).

Alteration of the Components of the S Phase in Lung Tumors

The progression through S phase is principally regulated by the cyclin A/CDK2 complex. Elevated levels of cyclin A are observed in primary NSCLC, in lymph node metastasis and in some bronchial precursor lesions as compared to normal bronchial epithelium.⁸²⁻⁸⁵ Several studies have reported that overexpression of cyclin A is consistently associated with an unfavourable outcome in patients with NSCLC.⁸³⁻⁸⁵ Moreover, elevated levels of the licensing factors hCdt1 and hCdc6 that are key elements for DNA replication, are observed in NSCLC and correlate to increased tumor growth and aneuploidy in p53-defective tumors.⁷³

Alteration of the Components of G₂ and M Phases in Lung Tumors

CyclinB1/CDK1 is the classic M phase-promoting factor that drives entry into mitosis. High levels of cyclin B1 are observed in NSCLC, especially in stage I squamous cell cancers.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ Increased expression of cyclin B1 is also detected in some precursor lesions.⁸³ High cyclin B1 expression correlates with differentiation, invasion and high proliferative index.83,86,88 Cyclin B1 has also been reported as a significant prognostic factor in NSCLC in multivariate analysis.⁸⁶ In other studies, cyclin B1 was not found to be an independent prognostic parameter although its overexpression seems to be an adverse prognostic factor.^{87,88} Elevated levels of cyclin B1 have been associated with poor outcome in patients with early stage squamous cell carcinoma of the lung,⁸⁸ suggesting that cyclin B1 expression may be a prognostic marker for these patients. Although the role of cyclin B1 in lung tumor development has been the subject of many studies, the implication of CDK1 has not been properly evaluated. However, in a genome profiling study high levels of CDK1 have been reported in early stage lung adenocarcinoma.⁸⁹ CDK1 activity is controlled by phosphorylation, a process finely regulated by the WEE1 and PLK1 kinases. WEE1 delays mitosis by suppressing the activity of the cyclinB1/CDK1 complex whereas PLK1 favors mitosis by allowing the activation of cyclinB1/CDK1 complex. Downregulation of WEE1 expression has been reported in lung tumors. Patients with lack of WEE1 have a higher recurrence rate and a poorer prognosis and WEE1 expression is a significant prognostic factor in multivariate analysis.86 Therefore, the loss of WEE1 may have a potential role in promoting tumor progression and may be a significant prognostic indicator in NSCLC. By contrast, elevated levels of PLK1 are observed in NSCLC and overexpression of PLK1 is a negative prognostic factor in NSCLC patients.⁹⁰ Interestingly, mice heterozygous for PLK4, another member of PLK family involved in centrosome separation and mitotic fidelity, develop lung tumors due to high frequency of



Figure 3. Alterations of the G_1/S transition regulators in NSCLC versus SCLC. Disruption of the G_1/S transition is a crucial event during lung carcinogenesis. Striking differences are observed regarding the mechanisms of G_1 escape in NSCLC versus SCLC. Pale grey circles indicate loss of expression of the corresponding protein and dark grey circles indicate overexpression. E2F1 and p15^{IINK4b} are depicted by gradual color in NSCLC and SCLC respectively, as controversial results have been reported regarding their status in these tumors. In all other cases, abnormalities are very unfrequent or have not been studied. The percentage of dysregulation is presented next to each molecule.

mitotic errors.⁹¹ Although the status of PLK4 has not been yet studied in lung tumors, these data suggest that that PLKs might have opposite roles in lung tumorigenesis.

As defects in mitosis can lead to genomic instability, deregulation of the expression and activity of Aurora kinase family members has been implicated in tumorigenesis. A certain number of studies indicate that dysregulation of Aurora kinases plays a key role during lung carcinogenesis. Overexpression of Aurora A transcript and protein has been reported in NSCLC as compared to normal lung tissue and was correlated with poor differentiation.92 Association between Aurora A polymorphisms and lung cancer risk has also been described.93 Moreover, a general upregulation of Aurora B mRNA levels has been observed in NSCLC compared with normal epithelium, and was correlated with the level of genetic instability of the tumors.94,95 Overexpression of Aurora B protein has also been found in NSCLC and was significantly correlated with expression of survivin, a component of the chromosomal passenger complex.96 In this study high Aurora B expression levels were significantly associated with squamous cell carcinoma histology, poor tumor differentiation and lymph node invasion and predicted shorter survival for the patients with adenocarcinoma histology.

Although less extensively studied than *Aurora* genes, other mitotic genes display lung cancer-associated altered expression. They include microtubule-associated proteins such as TPX2 and

TACC3 which overexpression has been associated with poor clinical outcome.^{97,98} Another example is the APC/C activator, CDC20, which upregulation has been observed in early stage lung adenocarcinoma.⁸⁹

Alteration of the Components of Cell Cycle Checkpoints in Lung Tumors

The cell cycle checkpoints are designed to preserve genome integrity. Therefore, abnormalities of components of these networks likely play a role in the development of tumors. For instance, mutations and methylation that reduce activity of CHFR, a mitotic checkpoint gene that delays chromosome condensation in response to microtubule poisons have been described in NSCLC.^{99,100} Somatic mutations of ATM that correlate with smoking history and the presence of DNA repair defects are also detected in lung adenocarcinoma¹⁰¹ and a significant association between ATM polymorphisms and lung cancer risk has been described.¹⁰² Moreover, the Thr68-phosphorylated activated form of the checkpoint kinase CHK2, the downstream effector of ATM, also accumulates in lung carcinoma.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ High levels of activated CHK2 are observed in pre-invasive lesions together with phospho-ATM, histone H2AX and p53.17,18,106 Based on these studies, it has been proposed that the DNA damage response acts as a barrier against cancer that must be abbrogated for cancer

Table 1. Selected inhibitors of cell cycle regulators used in clinical trials for lung cancer therapy

Inhibitor	Main targets	Clinical trials
Inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases		
Flavopiridol also known as alvocidib	CDK1, CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, CDK7 and CDK9	Phase I: NSCLC in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin (ref. 115)
Aminothiazole SNS-032 also known as BMS- 387032 (Sunesis)	CDK2, CDK7 and CDK9 (CDK1 and CDK4)	Phase I: NSCLC Sensitized radioresistant NSCLC cells to ionizing radiations (ref. 116)
R-roscovitine also known as CYC202 and seliciclib (Cyclacel)	CDK1, CDK2, CDK5, CDK7 and CDK9	Phase I-II: NSCLC (ref. 117)
Indisulam, also known as E7070	Not Assigned	Phase I: lung cancer in combination with irinotecan
SCH 727965	CDK1, CDK2, CDK5 and CDK9	Phase II: NSCLC
Inhibitors of mitotic checkpoint kinases		
VX-680, also known as MK-0457	Pan-aurora	Phase I-II: NSCLC Trials discontinued owing to QT prolongation (ref. 118)
Inhibitors of DNA Damage Checkpoint kinases		
7-hydroxy-staurosporine, also known as UCN-01	CHK1 and MARK3 (PKC, PDK1, GSK3 β , CDK1, CDK2 and CHK2)	Phase II: SCLC (with topotecan)

progression. Consistently, downregulation or absence of CHK2 expression has been reported in NSCLC, mainly due to hypermethylation of the *CHK2* gene promoter.¹⁰⁷ Methylation of *CHK2* is more frequent in squamous carcinoma and higher in neversmokers.¹⁰⁸ Somatic mutations of CHK2 have been found in a small subset of lung cancer and a shorter isoform of *CHK1* mRNA lacking part of the catalytic domain has also been detected in a subset of SCLC.¹⁰⁹ Further studies are required to assess whether such abnormalities affect the activity of these kinases.

Defects in the SAC can lead to premature separation of sister chromatids and could facilitate chromosomal instability, which may favors tumor progression. Somatic mutations of several SAC regulators such as *Bub1*,¹¹⁰ and *Mad1*,¹¹¹ have been reported in lung tumors but the effect of these mutations on mitotic checkpoint signalling has not been examined. Genetically engineered mice have also provided evidence of the critical role of other cell cycle checkpoint regulators in lung tumorigenesis although the demonstration of the genetic or epigenetic alteration of these genes has not been yet done in lung tumors. As an example, mice heterozygous for *Mad2* display an increased incidence of papillary lung adenocarcinoms when compared with control animals¹¹² and *Bub3* heterozygous mice are more susceptible to 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene (DMBA)-induced lung adenocarcinomas than their wild-type littermates.¹¹³

Cell Cycle Regulators as Targets for Lung Cancer Therapy

Aberrations in cell cycle control are a hallmark of lung tumors. Therefore, modulation of cell cycle regulators may have an important use for the treatment of these cancers. In this last part, we discuss the strategies that are currently developped to target the cell cycle machinery in lung tumors (**Table 1**).

Inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases. As discussed above, CDKs are often overactive in lung cancer resulting in loss of checkpoint integrity and uncontrolled proliferation. Therefore, selective inhibitors of CDKs may limit the progression of a tumor cell through the cell cycle and facilitate the induction of apoptotic pathways. The therapeutic value of small molecules CDK inhibitors that modulate CDK by competing with ATP binding is the subject of intense work.¹¹⁴ First generation compounds to be evaluated in clinical trials included the pan-CDK inhibitor Flavopiridol which induced partial responses or stable disease in patients with NSCLC in a phase I study, when using in combination with the cytotoxic agents paclitaxel and carboplatin.¹¹⁵ A second-generation CDK inhibitor, the aminothiazole SNS-032 which was recently shown to sensitize radiotherapy-resistant NSCLC cells to ionizing radiation,¹¹⁶ is currently in phase I clinical trials as an intravenous agent. R-roscovitine (CYC202, seliciclib) is another pan-CDK inhibitor which has antitumor activity against a broad range of cancer cell lines and human cancer xenografts including NSCLC. This molecule is currently undergoing phase I-II trials in patients with NSCLC.¹¹⁷ Other inhibitors undergoing clinical trials in advanced cancers including NSCLC are indisulam (E7070) which is in phase I trial in combination with irinotecan and SCH727965 which is in phase II trial. Of note Indisulam is not a direct CDK inhibitor but it cause a depletion of cyclin E which reduces CDK2 activity.

Inhibitors of mitotic checkpoint kinases. Many patients with cancer receive antimitotic agents that act as microtubule toxins as first-line therapy. However, because of the side effects of these drugs, these last years much work has focused on the identification of new mitotic targets that could block spindle assembly without affecting microtubules. In this respect, aurora kinases and PLKs have received particular attention and a diverse array of inhibitors have been developped. Preliminary clinical data from phase I trials have largely been consistent with cytostatic effects, with disease stabilization as the best response achieved in solid tumors. As an example, the pan-aurora inhibitor MK-0457 (VX-680) blocks tumor xenograft growth and induces tumor regressions in preclinical models.¹¹⁸ In phase I-II trials, MK-0457 was given to patients with previously treated tumors and disease stabilization

was observed in one patient with lung tumor. However, owing to QT prolongation in 1 in 100 patients, trials have been discontinued. Numerous other compounds targeting Aurora and PLK kinases are currently in clinical development.¹¹⁴ Their use in lung tumors therapy has not been yet evaluated.

Inhibitors of DNA damage checkpoint kinases. Two small molecules ATM inhibitors have been described (KU55933 and CP466722) that target ATM by blocking its ATP-binding site and display high specificity. Both compounds prevent phosphorylation of ATM effectors and sensitize cells to drugs that induce DNA double-strand breaks.¹¹⁹ They also specifically and reversibly disrupt ATM-dependent cell cycle checkpoint in response to DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation.¹²⁰ Although this has to be fully investigated "in vivo," the "in vitro" effects of these novel lead chemotypes are promising. At present, no specific ATR inhibitors have been identified. However, several CHK1 and CHK2 inhibitors have been developped and are currently in clinical evaluation. One of them, 7-hydroxystaurosporine (UCN-01) is undergoing Phase II trials in SCLC patients in combination with topotecan cytotoxic agent. This compound efficiently abrogates DNA damage checkpoint in cancer cells that lack p53 and have been treated with DNA-damaging drugs, resulting in mitotic catastrophe.

Concluding Remarks

These last decades, numerous studies have been conducted to examine the status of cell cycle regulators in human lung tumors. They have led to the conclusion that all the signaling networks controlling cell cycle progression are deregulated in these cancers. Based on these studies, independent prognostic factors have been identified that may be important in predicting patient outcome as well as clinical response to therapy. In addition, potential therapeutic targets have been identified and several therapeutic approaches have been developped. In

References

- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 2000; 100:57-70.
- Hartwell LH, Weinert TA. Checkpoints: controls that ensure the order of cell cycle events. Science 1989; 246:629-34.
- Giacinti C, Giordano A. RB and cell cycle progression. Oncogene 2006; 25:5220-7.
- Weinberg RA. The retinoblastoma protein and cell cycle control. Cell 1995; 81:323-30.
- Lam EW, La Thangue NB. DP and E2F proteins: coordinating transcription with cell cycle progression. Curr Opin Cell Biol 1994; 6:859-66.
- Harbour JW, Luo RX, Dei Santi A, Postigo AA, Dean DC. Cdk phosphorylation triggers sequential intramolecular interactions that progressively block Rb functions as cells move through G₁. Cell 1999; 98: 859-69.
- Sherr CJ, Roberts JM. CDK inhibitors: positive and negative regulators of G₁-phase progression. Genes Dev 1999; 13:1501-12.
- 8. Malumbres M, Barbacid M. Mammalian cyclin-dependent kinases. Trends Biochem Sci 2005; 30:630-41.
- 9. Barr AR, Gergely F. Aurora-A: the maker and breaker of spindle poles. J Cell Sci 2007; 120:2987-96.
- Archambault V, Glover DM. Polo-like kinases: conservation and divergence in their functions and regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2009; 10:265-75.

- Marumoto T, Zhang D, Saya H. Aurora-A—a guardian of poles. Nat Rev Cancer 2005; 5:42-50.
- Vader G, Medema RH, Lens SM. The chromosomal passenger complex: guiding Aurora-B through mitosis. J Cell Biol 2006; 173:833-7.
- Tang CJ, Lin CY, Tang TK. Dynamic localization and functional implications of Aurora-C kinase during male mouse meiosis. Dev Biol 2006; 290:398-410.
- Sasai K, Katayama H, Stenoien DL, Fujii S, Honda R, Kimura M, et al. Aurora-C kinase is a novel chromosomal passenger protein that can complement Aurora-B kinase function in mitotic cells. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 2004; 59:249-63.
- 15. Strebhardt K, Ullrich A. Targeting polo-like kinase 1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6:321-30.
- Barr FA, Sillje HH, Nigg EA. Polo-like kinases and the orchestration of cell division. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2004; 5:429-40.
- Bartkova J, Horejsi Z, Koed K, Kramer A, Tort F, Zieger K, et al. DNA damage response as a candidate anti-cancer barrier in early human tumorigenesis. Nature 2005; 434:864-70.
- Gorgoulis VG, Vassiliou LV, Karakaidos P, Zacharatos P, Kotsinas A, Liloglou T, et al. Activation of the DNA damage checkpoint and genomic instability in human precancerous lesions. Nature 2005; 434:907-13.
- Shiloh Y. ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. Nat Rev Cancer 2003; 3:155-68.

this setting, the search of synthetic inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinase as anticancer drugs is currently a growing field of research, and new generation of CDK inhibitors with superior activity and specificity is the subject of intense exploration. Moreover, there have been recent advances in the development of drugs that target checkpoints and mitotic kinases, and several ATP-competitive inhibitors are currently in clinical evaluation. Current research goals also include combination of cell cycle kinases inhibitors with classical chemotherapy to enhance clinical efficacy. Indeed, in most human lung tumors, the function of the DNA damage checkpoint in G₁ is impaired owing to the loss of p53/RB function. Treatment of these cells with chemotherapeutic agents often results in S or G₂ checkpointmediated arrest. Therefore, abrogation of these DNA damage checkpoints is an attractive strategy currently being explored in chemotherapeutic-combined clinical trials as it could result in mitotic catastrophe and cell death. In addition, inhibitors targeting Aurora kinases have given very promising results and the knock-down of Aurora A by RNA interference have been found to sensitize lung cancer cells to chemotherapy. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms by which targeting Aurora kinase interacts with chemotherapy at the molecular and cell cycle levels but these results are very encouraging. Moreover, as cell cycle regulators are also involved in important molecular pathways with therapeutic cues in lung cancer (EGFR, Kras, Braf...), uncovering the potential usefulness of combined therapies would probably provide novel insights into lung cancer treatment.

Aknowledgments

Supported by the Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (Equipe labellisée Ligue 2007), the Conseil Scientifique National d'AGIR à dom. and INCa (Programme National d'Excellence Spécialisé, 2005–2007).

- Yokota J, Mori N, Akiyama T, Shimosato Y, Sugimura T, Terada M. Multiple genetic alterations in smallcell lung carcinoma. Princess Takamatsu Symp 1989; 20:43-8.
- Harbour JW, Lai SL, Whang-Peng J, Gazdar AF, Minna JD, Kaye FJ. Abnormalities in structure and expression of the human retinoblastoma gene in SCLC. Science 1988; 241:353-7.
- Gouyer V, Gazzeri S, Bolon I, Drevet C, Brambilla C, Brambilla E. Mechanism of retinoblastoma gene inactivation in the spectrum of neuroendocrine lung tumors. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 1998; 18:188-96.
- Gouyer V, Gazzeri S, Brambilla E, Bolon I, Moro D, Perron P, et al. Loss of heterozygosity at the RB locus correlates with loss of RB protein in primary malignant neuro-endocrine lung carcinomas. Int J Cancer 1994; 58:818-24.
- Haga Y, Hiroshima K, Iyoda A, Shibuya K, Shimamura F, Iizasa T, et al. Ki-67 expression and prognosis for smokers with resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2003; 75:1727-32.
- Reissmann PT, Koga H, Takahashi R, Figlin RA, Holmes EC, Piantadosi S, et al. Inactivation of the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene in non-small-cell lung cancer. The Lung Cancer Study Group. Oncogene 1993; 8:1913-9.

- 26. D'Amico TA, Massey M, Herndon JE, 2nd, Moore MB, Harpole DH Jr. A biologic risk model for stage I lung cancer: immunohistochemical analysis of 408 patients with the use of ten molecular markers. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1999; 117:736-43.
- Claudio PP, Howard CM, Pacilio C, Cinti C, Romano G, Minimo C, et al. Mutations in the retinoblastomarelated gene RB2/p130 in lung tumors and suppression of tumor growth in vivo by retrovirus-mediated gene transfer. Cancer Res 2000; 60:372-82.
- Baldi A, Esposito V, De Luca A, Fu Y, Meoli I, Giordano GG, et al. Differential expression of Rb2/ p130 and p107 in normal human tissues and in primary lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 1997; 3:1691-7.
- Baldi A, Esposito V, De Luca A, Howard CM, Mazzarella G, Baldi F, et al. Differential expression of the retinoblastoma gene family members pRb/p105, p107 and pRb2/p130 in lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 1996; 2:1239-45.
- Helin K, Holm K, Niebuhr A, Eiberg H, Tommerup N, Hougaard S, et al. Loss of the retinoblastoma protein-related p130 protein in small cell lung carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94:6933-8.
- Shapiro GI, Edwards CD, Kobzik L, Godleski J, Richards W, Sugarbaker DJ, et al. Reciprocal Rb inactivation and p16^{INK4} expression in primary lung cancers and cell lines. Cancer Res 1995; 55:505-9.
- Gazzeri S, Gouyer V, Vour'ch C, Brambilla C, Brambilla E. Mechanisms of p16^{INK4A} inactivation in non smallcell lung cancers. Oncogene 1998; 16:497-504.
- 33. Wiest JS, Franklin WA, Otstot JT, Forbey K, Varella-Garcia M, Rao K, et al. Identification of a novel region of homozygous deletion on chromosome 9p in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung: the location of a putative tumor suppressor gene. Cancer Res 1997; 57:1-6.
- 34. Belinsky SA, Nikula KJ, Palmisano WA, Michels R, Saccomanno G, Gabrielson E, et al. Aberrant methylation of p16(INK4a) is an early event in lung cancer and a potential biomarker for early diagnosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998; 95:11891-6.
- Singhal S, Vachani A, Antin-Ozerkis D, Kaiser LR, Albelda SM. Prognostic implications of cell cycle, apoptosis and angiogenesis biomarkers in non-small cell lung cancer: a review. Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11:3974-86.
- Marchetti A, Doglioni C, Barbareschi M, Buttitta F, Pellegrini S, Gaeta P, et al. Cyclin D1 and retinoblastoma susceptibility gene alterations in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 1998; 75:187-92.
- Betticher DC, Heighway J, Hasleton PS, Altermatt HJ, Ryder WD, Cerny T, et al. Prognostic significance of CCND1 (cyclin D1) overexpression in primary resected non-small-cell lung cancer. Br J Cancer 1996; 73:294-300.
- Gautschi O, Ratschiller D, Gugger M, Betticher DC, Heighway J. Cyclin D1 in non-small cell lung cancer: a key driver of malignant transformation. Lung Cancer 2007; 55:1-14.
- Brambilla E, Gazzeri S, Moro D, Lantuejoul S, Veyrenc S, Brambilla C. Alterations of Rb pathway (Rb-p16^{INK4}cyclin D1) in preinvasive bronchial lesions. Clin Cancer Res 1999; 5:243-50.
- Jeanmart M, Lantuejoul S, Fievet F, Moro D, Sturm N, Brambilla C, et al. Value of immunohistochemical markers in preinvasive bronchial lesions in risk assessment of lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9:2195-203.
- 41. Jin M, Inoue S, Umemura T, Moriya J, Arakawa M, Nagashima K, et al. Cyclin D1, p16 and retinoblastoma gene product expression as a predictor for prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer at stages I and II. Lung Cancer 2001; 34:207-18.
- Wikman H, Nymark P, Vayrynen A, Jarmalaite S, Kallioniemi A, Salmenkivi K, et al. CDK4 is a probable target gene in a novel amplicon at 12q13.3-q14.1 in lung cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2005; 42:193-9.

- Packenham JP, Taylor JA, White CM, Anna CH, Barrett JC, Devereux TR. Homozygous deletions at chromosome 9p21 and mutation analysis of p16 and p15 in microdissected primary non-small cell lung cancers. Clin Cancer Res 1995; 1:687-90.
- Xiao S, Li D, Corson JM, Vijg J, Fletcher JA. Codeletion of p15 and p16 genes in primary non-small cell lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 1995; 55:2968-71.
- Hamada K, Kohno T, Kawanishi M, Ohwada S, Yokota J. Association of CDKN2A(p16)/CDKN2B(p15) alterations and homozygous chromosome arm 9p deletions in human lung carcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 1998; 22:232-40.
- Herman JG, Jen J, Merlo A, Baylin SB. Hypermethylation-associated inactivation indicates a tumor suppressor role for p15^{INK4B}. Cancer Res 1996; 56:722-7.
- Furonaka O, Takeshima Y, Awaya H, Ishida H, Kohno N, Inai K. Aberrant methylation of p14(ARF), p15(INK4b) and p16(INK4a) genes and location of the primary site in pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma. Pathol Int 2004; 54:549-55.
- Okamoto A, Hussain SP, Hagiwara K, Spillare EA, Rusin MR, Demetrick DJ, et al. Mutations in the p16^{INK4}/MTS1/CDKN2, p15^{INK4B}/MTS2 and p18 genes in primary and metastatic lung cancer. Cancer Res 1995; 55:1448-51.
- Rusin MR, Okamoto A, Chorazy M, Czyzewski K, Harasim J, Spillare EA, et al. Intragenic mutations of the p16(INK4), p15(INK4B) and p18 genes in primary non-small-cell lung cancers. Int J Cancer 1996; 65:734-9.
- Chaussade L, Eymin B, Brambilla E, Gazzeri S. Expression of p15 and p15.5 products in neuroendocrine lung tumours: relationship with p15(INK4b) methylation status. Oncogene 2001; 20:6587-96.
- Kawamata N, Miller CW, Koeffler HP. Molecular analysis of a family of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor genes (p15/MTS2/INK4b and p18/INK4c) in nonsmall cell lung cancers. Mol Carcinog 1995; 14:263-8.
- Pei XH, Bai F, Smith MD, Xiong Y. p18^{lnk/c} collaborates with Men1 to constrain lung stem cell expansion and suppress non-small-cell lung cancers. Cancer Res 2007; 67:3162-70.
- Komiya T, Hosono Y, Hirashima T, Masuda N, Yasumitsu T, Nakagawa K, et al. p21 expression as a predictor for favorable prognosis in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. Clin Cancer Res 1997; 3:1831-5.
- Shoji T, Tanaka F, Takata T, Yanagihara K, Otake Y, Hanaoka N, et al. Clinical significance of p21 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20:3865-71.
- Esposito V, Baldi A, Tonini G, Vincenzi B, Santini M, Ambrogi V, et al. Analysis of cell cycle regulator proteins in non-small cell lung cancer. J Clin Pathol 2004; 57:58-63.
- Catzavelos C, Tsao MS, DeBoer G, Bhattacharya N, Shepherd FA, Slingerland JM. Reduced expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p27^{Kip1} in non-small cell lung carcinoma: a prognostic factor independent of Ras. Cancer Res 1999; 59:684-8.
- Hayashi H, Ogawa N, Ishiwa N, Yazawa T, Inayama Y, Ito T, et al. High cyclin E and low p27/Kip1 expressions are potentially poor prognostic factors in lung adenocarcinoma patients. Lung Cancer 2001; 34:59-65.
- Takahashi S, Kamata Y, Tamo W, Koyanagi M, Hatanaka R, Yamada Y, et al. Relationship between postoperative recurrence and expression of cyclin E, p27 and Ki-67 in non-small cell lung cancer without lymph node metastases. Int J Clin Oncol 2002; 7:349-55.
- Tsukamoto S, Sugio K, Sakada T, Ushijima C, Yamazaki K, Sugimachi K. Reduced expression of cell cycle regulator p27(Kip1) correlates with a shortened survival in non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2001; 34:83-90.

- 60. Ishihara S, Minato K, Hoshino H, Saito R, Hara F, Nakajima T, et al. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 as a prognostic factor in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: its immunohistochemical evaluation using biopsy specimens. Lung Cancer 1999; 26:187-94.
- Yatabe Y, Masuda A, Koshikawa T, Nakamura S, Kuroishi T, Osada H, et al. p27^{KIP1} in human lung cancers: differential changes in small cell and non-small cell carcinomas. Cancer Res 1998; 58:1042-7.
- Masuda A, Osada H, Yatabe Y, Kozaki K, Tatematsu Y, Takahashi T, et al. Protective function of p27(KIP1) against apoptosis in small cell lung cancer cells in unfavorable microenvironments. Am J Pathol 2001; 158:87-96.
- Esposito V, Baldi A, De Luca A, Groger AM, Loda M, Giordano GG, et al. Prognostic role of the cyclindependent kinase inhibitor p27 in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 1997; 57:3381-5.
- 64. Yokoi S, Yasui K, Saito-Ohara F, Koshikawa K, Iizasa T, Fujisawa T, et al. A novel target gene, SKP2, within the 5p13 amplicon that is frequently detected in small cell lung cancers. Am J Pathol 2002; 161:207-16.
- Salon C, Merdzhanova G, Brambilla C, Brambilla E, Gazzeri S, Eymin B. E2F-1, Skp2 and cyclin E oncoproteins are upregulated and directly correlated in high-grade neuroendocrine lung tumors. Oncogene 2007; 26:6927-36.
- Pateras IS, Apostolopoulou K, Koutsami M, Evangelou K, Tsantoulis P, Liloglou T, et al. Downregulation of the KIP family members p27(KIP1) and p57(KIP2) by SKP2 and the role of methylation in p57(KIP2) inactivation in nonsmall cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 2006; 119:2546-56.
- Kobatake T, Yano M, Toyooka S, Tsukuda K, Dote H, Kikuchi T, et al. Aberrant methylation of p57^{KIP2} gene in lung and breast cancers and malignant mesotheliomas. Oncol Rep 2004; 12:1087-92.
- Chen HZ, Tsai SY, Leone G. Emerging roles of E2Fs in cancer: an exit from cell cycle control. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9:785-97.
- Eymin B, Gazzeri S, Brambilla C, Brambilla E. Distinct pattern of E2F1 expression in human lung tumours: E2F1 is upregulated in small cell lung carcinoma. Oncogene 2001; 20:1678-87.
- Tonon G, Wong KK, Maulik G, Brennan C, Feng B, Zhang Y, et al. High-resolution genomic profiles of human lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102:9625-30.
- Gorgoulis VG, Zacharatos P, Mariatos G, Kotsinas A, Bouda M, Kletsas D, et al. Transcription factor E2F-1 acts as a growth-promoting factor and is associated with adverse prognosis in non-small cell lung carcinomas. J Pathol 2002; 198:142-56.
- Huang CL, Liu D, Nakano J, Yokomise H, Ueno M, Kadota K, et al. E2F1 overexpression correlates with thymidylate synthase and survivin gene expressions and tumor proliferation in non small-cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13:6938-46.
- 73. Karakaidos P, Taraviras S, Vassiliou LV, Zacharatos P, Kastrinakis NG, Kougiou D, et al. Overexpression of the replication licensing regulators hCdt1 and hCdc6 characterizes a subset of non-small-cell lung carcinomas: synergistic effect with mutant p53 on tumor growth and chromosomal instability—evidence of E2F-1 transcriptional control over hCdt1. Am J Pathol 2004; 165:1351-65.
- '4. Imai MA, Oda Y, Oda M, Nakanishi I, Kawahara E. Overexpression of E2F1 associated with LOH at RB locus and hyperphosphorylation of RB in non-small cell lung carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2004; 130:320-6.
- Ried T, Petersen I, Holtgreve-Grez H, Speicher MR, Schrock E, du Manoir S, et al. Mapping of multiple DNA gains and losses in primary small cell lung carcinomas by comparative genomic hybridization. Cancer Res 1994; 54:1801-6.

- Spruck CH, Won KA, Reed SI. Deregulated cyclin E induces chromosome instability. Nature 1999; 401:297-300.
- Mishina T, Dosaka-Akita H, Hommura F, Nishi M, Kojima T, Ogura S, et al. Cyclin E expression, a potential prognostic marker for non-small cell lung cancers. Clin Cancer Res 2000; 6:11-6.
- Fukuse T, Hirata T, Naiki H, Hitomi S, Wada H. Prognostic significance of cyclin E overexpression in resected non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 2000; 60:242-4.
- Ma Y, Fiering S, Black C, Liu X, Yuan Z, Memoli VA, et al. Transgenic cyclin E triggers dysplasia and multiple pulmonary adenocarcinomas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104:4089-94.
- Muller-Tidow C, Metzger R, Kugler K, Diederichs S, Idos G, Thomas M, et al. Cyclin E is the only cyclindependent kinase 2-associated cyclin that predicts metastasis and survival in early stage non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 2001; 61:647-53.
- Dosaka-Akita H, Hommura F, Mishina T, Ogura S, Shimizu M, Katoh H, et al. A risk-stratification model of non-small cell lung cancers using cyclin E, Ki-67 and ras p21: different roles of G₁ cyclins in cell proliferation and prognosis. Cancer Res 2001; 61:2500-4.
- Kosacka M, Piesiak P, Porebska I, Korzeniewska A, Dyla T, Jankowska R. Cyclin A and Cyclin E expression in resected non-small cell lung cancer stage I-IIIA. In Vivo 2009; 23:519-25.
- Cooper WA, Kohonen-Corish MR, McCaughan B, Kennedy C, Sutherland RL, Lee CS. Expression and prognostic significance of cyclin B1 and cyclin A in non-small cell lung cancer. Histopathology 2009; 55:28-36.
- Dobashi Y, Shoji M, Jiang SX, Kobayashi M, Kawakubo Y, Kameya T. Active cyclin A-CDK2 complex, a possible critical factor for cell proliferation in human primary lung carcinomas. Am J Pathol 1998; 153:963-72.
- Volm M, Koomagi R, Mattern J, Stammler G. Cyclin A is associated with an unfavourable outcome in patients with non-small-cell lung carcinomas. Br J Cancer 1997; 75:1774-8.
- Yoshida T, Tanaka S, Mogi A, Shitara Y, Kuwano H. The clinical significance of Cyclin B1 and Wee1 expression in non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol 2004; 15:252-6.
- Arinaga M, Noguchi T, Takeno S, Chujo M, Miura T, Kimura Y, et al. Clinical implication of cyclin B1 in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol Rep 2003; 10:1381-6.
- Soria JC, Jang SJ, Khuri FR, Hassan K, Liu D, Hong WK, et al. Overexpression of cyclin B1 in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer and its clinical implication. Cancer Res 2000; 60:4000-4.
- Singhal S, Amin KM, Kruklitis R, DeLong P, Friscia ME, Litzky LA, et al. Alterations in cell cycle genes in early stage lung adenocarcinoma identified by expression profiling. Cancer Biol Ther 2003; 2:291-8.
- Wolf G, Elez R, Doermer A, Holtrich U, Ackermann H, Stutte HJ, et al. Prognostic significance of polo-like kinase (PLK) expression in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 1997; 14:543-9.
- Ko MA, Rosario CO, Hudson JW, Kulkarni S, Pollett A, Dennis JW, et al. Plk4 haploinsufficiency causes mitotic infidelity and carcinogenesis. Nat Genet 2005; 37:883-8.
- Xu HT, Ma L, Qi FJ, Liu Y, Yu JH, Dai SD, et al. Expression of serine threonine kinase 15 is associated with poor differentiation in lung squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. Pathol Int 2006; 56:375-80.

- Gu J, Gong Y, Huang M, Lu C, Spitz MR, Wu X. Polymorphisms of STK15 (Aurora-A) gene and lung cancer risk in Caucasians. Carcinogenesis 2007; 28:350-5.
- 94. Smith SL, Bowers NL, Betticher DC, Gautschi O, Ratschiller D, Hoban PR, et al. Overexpression of aurora B kinase (AURKB) in primary non-small cell lung carcinoma is frequent, generally driven from one allele, and correlates with the level of genetic instability. Br J Cancer 2005; 93:719-29.
- Heighway J, Knapp T, Boyce L, Brennand S, Field JK, Betticher DC, et al. Expression profiling of primary non-small cell lung cancer for target identification. Oncogene 2002; 21:7749-63.
- Vischioni B, Oudejans JJ, Vos W, Rodriguez JA, Giaccone G. Frequent overexpression of aurora B kinase, a novel drug target, in non-small cell lung carcinoma patients. Mol Cancer Ther 2006; 5:2905-13.
- Jung CK, Jung JH, Park GS, Lee A, Kang CS, Lee KY. Expression of transforming acidic coiled-coil containing protein 3 is a novel independent prognostic marker in non-small cell lung cancer. Pathol Int 2006; 56:503-9.
- Ma Y, Lin D, Sun W, Xiao T, Yuan J, Han N, et al. Expression of targeting protein for xklp2 associated with both malignant transformation of respiratory epithelium and progression of squamous cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12:1121-7.
- Corn PG, Summers MK, Fogt F, Virmani AK, Gazdar AF, Halazonetis TD, et al. Frequent hypermethylation of the 5' CpG island of the mitotic stress checkpoint gene Chfr in colorectal and non-small cell lung cancer. Carcinogenesis 2003; 24:47-51.
- 100. Mariatos G, Bothos J, Zacharatos P, Summers MK, Scolnick DM, Kittas C, et al. Inactivating mutations targeting the chfr mitotic checkpoint gene in human lung cancer. Cancer Res 2003; 63:7185-9.
- Ding L, Getz G, Wheeler DA, Mardis ER, McLellan MD, Cibulskis K, et al. Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma. Nature 2008; 455:1069-75.
- 102. Landi S, Gemignani F, Canzian F, Gaborieau V, Barale R, Landi D, et al. DNA repair and cell cycle control genes and the risk of young-onset lung cancer. Cancer Res 2006; 66:11062-9.
- 103. Eymin B, Claverie P, Salon C, Leduc C, Col E, Brambilla E, et al. p14^{ARF} activates a Tip60-dependent and p53-independent ATM/ATR/CHK pathway in response to genotoxic stress. Mol Cell Biol 2006; 26:4339-50.
- 104. Raynaud CM, Mercier O, Commo F, Dartevelle P, Gomez-Roca C, de Montpreville V, et al. Telomere length, telomeric proteins and DNA damage repair proteins are differentially expressed between primary lung tumors and their adrenal metastases. Lung Cancer 2009; 65:144-9.
- 105. DiTullio RA Jr, Mochan TA, Venere M, Bartkova J, Sehested M, Bartek J, et al. 53BP1 functions in an ATM-dependent checkpoint pathway that is constitutively activated in human cancer. Nat Cell Biol 2002; 4:998-1002.
- 106. Nuciforo PG, Luise C, Capra M, Pelosi G, d'Adda di Fagagna F. Complex engagement of DNA damage response pathways in human cancer and in lung tumor progression. Carcinogenesis 2007; 28:2082-8.
- 107. Zhang P, Wang J, Gao W, Yuan BZ, Rogers J, Reed E. CHK2 kinase expression is downregulated due to promoter methylation in non-small cell lung cancer. Mol Cancer 2004; 3:14.

- 108. Kim DS, Kim MJ, Lee JY, Lee SM, Choi JE, Lee SY,et al. Epigenetic inactivation of checkpoint kinase 2 gene in non-small cell lung cancer and its relationship with clinicopathological features. Lung Cancer 2009; 65:247-50.
- 109. Haruki N, Saito H, Tatematsu Y, Konishi H, Harano T, Masuda A, et al. Histological type-selective, tumor-predominant expression of a novel CHK1 isoform and infrequent in vivo somatic CHK2 mutation in small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 2000; 60:4689-92.
- 110. Gemma A, Seike M, Seike Y, Uematsu K, Hibino S, Kurimoto F, et al. Somatic mutation of the hBUB1 mitotic checkpoint gene in primary lung cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2000; 29:213-8.
- 111. Nomoto S, Haruki N, Takahashi T, Masuda A, Koshikawa T, Fujii Y, et al. Search for in vivo somatic mutations in the mitotic checkpoint gene, hMAD1, in human lung cancers. Oncogene 1999; 18:7180-3.
- 112. Michel LS, Liberal V, Chatterjee A, Kirchwegger R, Pasche B, Gerald W, et al. MAD2 haplo-insufficiency causes premature anaphase and chromosome instability in mammalian cells. Nature 2001; 409:355-9.
- 113. Babu JR, Jeganathan KB, Baker DJ, Wu X, Kang-Decker N, van Deursen JM. Rael is an essential mitotic checkpoint regulator that cooperates with Bub3 to prevent chromosome missegregation. J Cell Biol 2003; 160:341-53.
- Lapenna S, Giordano A. Cell cycle kinases as therapeutic targets for cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8:547-66.
- 115. George SL. Statistical issues in translational cancer research. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14:5954-8.
- 116. Kodym E, Kodym R, Reis AE, Habib AA, Story MD, Saha D. The small-molecule CDK inhibitor, SNS-032, enhances cellular radiosensitivity in quiescent and hypoxic non-small cell lung cancer cells. Lung Cancer 2009; 66:37-47.
- 117. Benson C, White J, De Bono J, O'Donnell A, Raynaud F, Cruickshank C, et al. A phase I trial of the selective oral cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor seliciclib (CYC202; R-Roscovitine), administered twice daily for 7 days every 21 days. Br J Cancer 2007; 96:29-37.
- 118. Harrington EA, Bebbington D, Moore J, Rasmussen RK, Ajose-Adeogun AO, Nakayama T, et al. VX-680, a potent and selective small-molecule inhibitor of the Aurora kinases, suppresses tumor growth in vivo. Nat Med 2004; 10:262-7.
- 119. Hickson I, Zhao Y, Richardson CJ, Green SJ, Martin NM, Orr AI, et al. Identification and characterization of a novel and specific inhibitor of the ataxiatelangiectasia mutated kinase ATM. Cancer Res 2004; 64:9152-9.
- 120. Rainey MD, Charlton ME, Stanton RV, Kastan MB. Transient inhibition of ATM kinase is sufficient to enhance cellular sensitivity to ionizing radiation. Cancer Res 2008; 68:7466-74.