Folate bioavailability: implications for establishing dietary recommendations and optimizing status $1-4$

Marie A Caudill

ABSTRACT

The addition of folic acid to the US food supply, along with the critical role of folate in certain health outcomes, has intensified worldwide interest in the bioavailability of folate. Bioavailability is a function of absorptive and postabsorptive processes, which in turn are influenced by diet, individuality, and complex diet-host interactions. As such, it is unlikely that a single bioavailability figure will accurately reflect food folate bioavailability from every diet for every person. Although there is broad agreement that naturally occurring food folate is not as bioavailable as folic acid, questions remain as to the extent of these differences, particularly within the context of a whole diet. This article 1) summarizes and integrates bioavailability estimates derived from studies that use whole-diet approaches; 2) highlights the influences of genetics, ethnicity-race, and sex as postabsorptive bioavailability modifiers; and 3) discusses the adequacy of the US folate Recommended Dietary Allowance in achieving folate sufficiency in select subpopulations. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;91(suppl):1455S–60S.

INTRODUCTION

Folate is a generic descriptor for a group of chemically heterogeneous vitamers that differ in one-carbon substitutions, the state of oxidation, and the number of conjugated glutamates (1, 2). Folate functions in the transfer of one-carbon moieties in a metabolic network commonly referred to as one-carbon metabolism (1, 2). The folate-derived one-carbon units may be used for interconversions of serine and glycine, nucleotide biosynthesis (thymidine and purines), or methionine production from homocysteine (1). On activation of methionine to S-adenosylmethionine, the methyl group formerly associated with folate may be transferred to numerous acceptor molecules, which yields a diverse array of products that includes neurotransmitters (adrenaline), phospholipids (phosphatidylcholine), energy sources (creatine), and methylated CpG dinucleotides with effects on genome expression and stability (3–5).

Folate insufficiency arising from inadequate dietary folate intake and/or certain genetic variants in one-carbon metabolic enzymes disrupts one-carbon metabolism as evidenced by hyperhomocysteinemia (6, 7). Overt folate deficiency is manifested as megaloblastic anemia due to inadequate nucleic acid synthesis and impaired cellular division. Over the past several decades, numerous chronic and developmental diseases, eg, neural tube defects (8–10), certain cancers (11, 12), stroke (13), osteoporosis (14), and cognitive impairment (15), have been linked to folate.

The wealth of evidence linking supplemental folic acid intake to lower neural tube defect risk led to mandated folic acid enrichment of grain products in the United States (16), Canada (17), and several other countries. In the United States, fortification of the food supply delivers an estimated 200 μ g folic acid/d (18, 19) and has resulted in marked improvements in folate status across sex, age, and ethnic groups (20, 21). Substantial reductions in neural tube defect rate (19–54%) have also been reported in the United States and Canada (22–28) and in other countries with folic acid fortification programs (29, 30). However, because folic acid fortification of staple food items exposes entire populations to extra folate with potentially undesirable effects (31– 37), it is not a strategy that has been embraced by all countries.

BIOAVAILABILITY INEQUITIES BETWEEN FOLATE FORMS

In food, naturally occurring folate is present primarily in the reduced, more labile, polyglutamated form with methyl or formyl as the one-carbon substitution (38, 39). Fortified foods and supplements contain folic acid, the nonnatural, synthetic, and fully oxidized monoglutamate form of folate. Once absorbed, folic acid requires reduction to tetrahydrofolate to enable its participation in metabolic reactions. Relative to folic acid, naturally occurring food folate has a lower bioavailability, which is defined as the proportion of folate that is absorbed and available for metabolic reactions and/or storage. Several luminal factors may hinder the absorption of natural food folate; these factors include partial release from the food matrix (incomplete liberation from cellular structures), destruction within the gastrointestinal tract, and incomplete hydrolysis of glutamates in excess of one (possibly due to partial inhibition of deconjugation by

Nutritional Sciences, 228 Savage Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853. E-mail: mac379@ cornell.edu.

First published online March 10, 2010; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.28674E.

¹ From the Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca,

NY.
² Presented at the workshop ''Micronutrient Bioavailability: Priorities and Challenges for Setting Dietary Reference Values,'' held in Barcelona, Spain, 11–12 June 2009.

³ Supported by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA)–Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service (CSREES), Special Research Grant 00444528; the National Research Initiative of the USDA– CSREES, grant no. 2001-35200-10678; the National Institutes of Health, grant no. S06GM0539; and the California Agricultural Research Initiative. ⁴ Address correspondence to MA Caudill, Cornell University, Division of

other dietary constituents such as organic acids) (40–47). On the contrary, such factors are negligible in the case of added folic acid that does not require release from cellular structures, is the oxidized form and is less susceptible to destruction within the lumen, and exists as a monoglutamate. Folate bioavailability (both naturally occurring and as folic acid) is also modified by postabsorptive factors that are specific to the individual and include pool sizes of folate and other relevant nutrients (vitamin C, vitamin B-12, and vitamin B-6, niacin, riboflavin, and choline), variations in genetics and homeostatic mechanisms, sex, ethnicity/race, and other biological/physiologic factors (45, 46, 48–52).

Although it is widely recognized that food folate bioavailability is lower than that of folic acid, there is much uncertainty as to their bioequivalence, particularly as part of a whole diet (53). Imprecise estimates of folate bioavailability impede policy development efforts aimed at optimizing folate status to improve health outcomes and hinder revisions of dietary recommendations in countries expressing targeted intake amounts as Dietary Folate Equivalents (DFEs) (46).

DIETARY FOLATE EQUIVALENTS

Introduced in 1998, DFEs are units that attempt to adjust for bioequivalence inequities between folic acid and naturally occurring food folate (54, 55). On the basis of data available at the time of derivation, it was estimated that food folate bioavailability was 50% and that folic acid bioavailability was 85% when taken with food (either as a food fortificant or as a supplement) or 100% when taken on an empty stomach with water (55). Thus, folate derived from fortified foods or from supplements was either 1.7 (85/50) or 2.0 (100/50) times, respectively, as bioavailable as naturally occurring food folate. Expressed differently, the bioavailability of naturally occurring food folate is 60% that of folic acid when consumed with a meal (50/85 \times 100). The bioavailability estimates of folic acid were supported by a well-designed study employing stable isotopes (56) and are consistent with previous anecdotal and empirical findings (47, 57–63). There is less confidence, however, in the precision of the bioavailability estimate for naturally occurring food folate (46).

CONTROLLED FEEDING STUDIES ASSESSING FOLATE BIOAVAILABILITY FROM WHOLE DIETS

At present, 4 controlled feeding studies using whole-diet approaches provide estimates of relative food folate bioavailability. Sauberlich et al (64) conducted a 92-d study of 10 women housed in a metabolic unit. After 28 d of folate depletion, all study participants consumed the basal diet, which provided 20μ g food folate/d plus increasing amounts of either folic acid or food folate (days 29–92). During the adequate repletion phase (days 71–92), the addition of 80 μ g folic acid/d to the basal diet resulted in plasma folate concentrations that did not differ $(P >$ 0.05) from the addition of 180 μ g food folate (\approx 8 compared with 6 nmol/L, respectively; $n = 2-4$ /group). Thus, the relative food folate bioavailability was $\approx 44\%$ (80/180 \times 100), which supports the authors' conclusion that, when compared with synthetic folic acid, dietary folate appeared to be no more than 50% available (64).

Brouwer et al (65) conducted a 4-wk study in 66 healthy adults (aged 18–45 y) in which extra folate was provided from fruits and vegetables (350 μ g/d; n = 22) or from synthetic folic acid (500 μ g given every other day as a tablet; n = 22). A control group in which extra folate was not provided was also included in this study ($n = 22$). On the basis of changes (week 4–week 0) in plasma folate, red blood cell (RBC) folate, and plasma homocysteine in response to the extra folate (ie, either 350μ g dietary folate/d or 250μ g synthetic folic acid/d), the percentage of relative bioavailability of natural folate was 60% with plasma homocysteine, 78% with plasma folate, and 98% with RBC folate. However, given that unmetabolized folic acid is observed in plasma with doses as low as 266 μ g/d (66), it is likely that not all of the supplied folic acid was available for remethylation of homocysteine to methionine (and other reactions). In turn, the incomplete metabolism of the high folic acid dose administered in this study may have resulted in an overestimation of the relative bioavailability of dietary folate, which was recognized by the authors (65). Paradoxically, however, despite the reduced supply of a metabolically usable folate form, plasma homocysteine was more responsive to the administered folic acid than the other measured endpoints. This suggests that the form of folate may affect its metabolic fate as previously reported in a study employing isotopic tracer methodology (67).

Yang et al (68) conducted a 12-wk intervention study in premenopausal women ($n = 45$; aged 18–45 y) during which study participants were randomly assigned to receive 400 or 800 μ g DFE/d derived from various combinations of folic acid and/ or natural food folate ($n = 6-9$ /group). The 1.7 multiplier from the DFE equation was used to convert folic acid to DFEs; the folic acid was consumed with breakfast. Foods used to increase folate included vegetables, legumes, fruits, nuts, seeds, and whole-wheat flour. RBC folate, serum folate, urinary folate excretion, and plasma homocysteine were the outcome measures used to monitor the response to the various treatments. At the end of the controlled treatment period, no differences ($P > 0.05$) were detected in serum folate or in RBC folate within the 400 DFE groups or within the 800 DFE groups. Specifically, in the 400 DFE group, serum folate concentrations were 23.1 and 22.4 nmol/L (10.2 and 9.9 ng/mL) among those consuming predominantly folic acid or exclusively food folate, respectively. Similar results were observed in the 800-DFE group with serum folate concentrations of 41.0 and 35.8 nmol/L (18.1 and 15.8 ng/ mL) among those consuming predominantly folic acid or exclusively food folate, respectively (68). These data support the validity of the 1.7 multiplier (85/50) in the DFE equation and in turn suggest that the relative bioavailability of food folate is 60% (ie, $50/85 \times 100$) when consumed as part of a mixed diet. Direct calculations of relative bioavailability made by using an adapted equation published by Brouwer et al (65) yielded a relative mean food folate bioavailability of 52% (range: 44–59%) (68). Plasma homocysteine and urinary folate excretion could not be used as bioavailability estimates in this study because of the nonlinear relation between these variables and folate consumption (68). For example, at the end of the study, plasma homocysteine concentrations did not differ between the 400 and 800 DFE groups.

Winkels et al (69) investigated the relative food folate bioavailability at the end of a 4-wk dietary intervention study involving 72 healthy adults. The food folate group consumed 296 μ g extra folate/d, which was derived primarily from vegetables, fruit, and liver paste. The folic acid groups $(n = 3)$ consumed additional folic acid from capsules providing 92, 191, and 289 μ g/d. In addition, all 4 groups consumed 58 μ g [¹³C₁₁]-folic acid/d. On the basis of the percentage of labeled folate in plasma and/or the change in serum folate, the relative food folate bioavailability was either 70% or 80% depending on whether food folate was analyzed microbiologically or by HPLC, respectively. However, as noted by the authors (69), HPLC analysis of food folate underestimates dietary folate, which results in an overestimation of relative food folate bioavailability. Thus, the 70% relative bioavailability estimate is likely a more accurate bioavailability estimate.

Of the markers used in these studies, serum folate is likely the best indicator of bioavailability because it is responsive to a wide range of folate intake, is specific to folate intake/status, and, as the circulating form, reflects the folate available to tissues. Compilation of the data derived from these controlled feeding studies shows that the percentage of relative bioavailability of food folate ranges from \approx 44–80 with a median of 65% when based on serum folate. Assuming that the folic acid supplement was taken with food in these studies, the 65% estimate approximates the 60% value obtained by using the DFE equation when expressed as the bioavailability of food folate relative to folic acid consumed with a meal ($50/85 \times 100$). The wide range in food folate bioavailability estimates likely arises from the fraction administered as folic acid, the different foods used to manipulate folate intake, the presence/absence of genetic factors, and/or the variations in existing pool sizes of folate and other nutrients that may affect folate absorption/metabolism.

GENETIC VARIATION AS A POSTABSORPTIVE MODIFIER OF FOLATE BIOAVAILABILITY

The $677C \rightarrow T$ single nucleotide polymorphism in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene is the best example to date of a genetic variant with robust effects on folate metabolism particularly when folate status is compromised (50, 70–73). The MTHFR protein catalyzes the unidirectional reduction of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, the major form of folate found in circulation and the subtype used for cellular methylation. Individuals with the MTHFR 677TT genotype $\lceil \approx 11\% \rceil$ of the US population with differences among ethnic groups (74)] frequently have lower serum folate concentrations, higher plasma homocysteine concentrations, and increased risk of chronic/developmental abnormalities relative to those with the 677CC genotype. The adverse effect of the 677TT genotype on MTHFR activity appears to be countered with higher folate intakes possibly by increasing the affinity of the MTHFR protein for its flavin adenine dinucleotide coenzyme (75, 76). Under conditions of folate inadequacy, additional nutrients, which include riboflavin, interact with the MTHFR 677TT genotype to affect biomarkers of folate status (77–79).

IMPLICATIONS FOR ESTABLISHING RECOMMENDED INTAKES

Host–diet interactions will play a significant role in determining the amount of folate available for metabolic reactions. Taking such interactions into account is critical when establishing dietary recommendations aimed at meeting the needs of 97% of the target population. For example, controlled feeding studies conducted in premenopausal women have shown that, although the MTHFR 677TT genotype increases the requirement for folate, consumption of the US folate Recommended Dietary Allowance of 400 μ g DFE/d (derived predominantly from folic acid or exclusively from food) is sufficient to achieve serum folate concentrations within the normal range (ie, \geq 3 ng/mL) (50, 80). However, in Mexican American men, consumption of 438 μ g DFE/d derived predominantly from food folate (326 μ g/ d) for 12 wk resulted in subnormal serum folate concentrations (ie, \leq 3 ng/mL) in 14% of the men with the *MTHFR* 677CC genotype and in 34% of those with the 677TT genotype (71). In addition to showing that the US folate Recommended Dietary Allowance is not optimal for men with the MTHFR 677TT genotype, these data suggest that men require more folate than women, a finding that is consistent with recent reports that men need more folic acid than women to achieve comparable RBC folate concentrations (52).

Ethnicity-race may also be an important modifier of folate bioavailability and thus of requirements. Perry et al (49) conducted a 14-wk depletion repletion study involving African American women ($n = 14$), Hispanic American women ($n = 14$), and white American women $(n = 14)$. Despite consuming equivalent intakes of folate, African American women had lower serum folate concentrations throughout depletion and throughout repletion with either 400 or 800 μ g DFE/d. These findings are consistent with epidemiologic studies showing that African American women have lower serum folate concentrations compared with white American women pre- and post–folic acid fortification (81, 82). The reason(s) for the apparent higher folate requirements by African American women is unclear but likely biologically based (ie, genetic variation in a folatemetabolizing enzyme).

INCREASING FOOD FOLATE AS A STRATEGY FOR OPTIMIZING STATUS

Notably, the lower bioavailability of food folate does not imply that increasing food folate consumption is an ineffective strategy for optimizing folate status. On the contrary, the utility of increased consumption of food folate in improving folate status has been documented. In a 12-wk controlled feeding study involving premenopausal women with the *MTHFR* 677CC $(n = 15)$ or TT $(n = 17)$ genotype, consumption of 800 DFE/d derived exclusively from natural sources resulted in serum and RBC folate concentrations that were 67% ($P = 0.005$) and 33\% ($P = 0.001$) higher, respectively, than consumption of 400 DFE/d (80). Importantly, women with the MTHFR 677TT genotype responded to additional food folate as well as those with the 677CC genotype (80). Likewise, other studies providing folate intakes that were \approx 2.5 times the basal intake also reported serum folate increases ranging from 48% to 52% (65, 83, 84). Nonetheless, typical folate intakes in countries without mandated fortification $(\approx 250 \mu g$ DFE/d) are not at the levels needed to optimize folaterelated endpoints especially for certain genetic subgroups. In this regard, Ashfield-Watt et al (85) reported that a mean folate intake of $660 \mu g$ DFE/d that is derived mainly from fortified cereals was needed to achieve near-normal plasma homocysteine concentrations in European adults with the MTHFR 677TT genotype. This amount of intake approximates current consumption

amounts (\approx 700 μ g DFE/d) among women residing in the United States (86).

RESEARCH PRIORITIES

Meta-analyses of dose-response data derived from controlled feeding studies (which have reliable measurements of folate intake) could be used to develop dietary folate recommendations that optimize folate status and to derive reasonably robust folate bioequivalency estimates. For example, serum folate concentrations are directly correlated with intake and thus may be used to ascertain intake levels needed to achieve desirable health outcomes (86, 87). Given the numerous pre- and postabsorptive factors affecting folate bioavailability, large-scale studies conducted in target populations are needed to more fully define the intake amount required to achieve folate sufficiency and to refine dietary folate bioequivalency values. Unfortunately, these types of studies await modernization of food folate composition tables (46) that use state of the art methodology (ie, trienzyme extraction followed by separation and measurements employing liquid chromatography mass spectrometry), which would enable measurements of naturally occurring food folate(s) as well as folic acid.

CONCLUSIONS

Bioavailability is a function of absorptive and postabsorptive processes that in turn are influenced by diet, individuality, and complex diet–host interactions. As such, it is unlikely that a single bioavailability figure will accurately reflect food folate bioavailability from every diet for every person. However, there is broad agreement that food folate is less bioavailable than folic acid with a median relative bioavailability of 65% (range: 44– 80%), an estimate that approximates the 60% value derived from the DFE equation. As new data emerge, the precision of this estimate may be improved to better account for dietary and/or individual factors.

The author had no personal or financial conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shane B. Folate chemistry and metabolism. In: Bailey LB, ed. Folate in health and disease. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, 1995:1–22.
- 2. Wagner C. Biochemical role of folate in cellular metabolism. In: Bailey LB, ed. Folate in health and disease. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, 1995:23–42.
- 3. Stead LM, Brosnan JT, Brosnan ME, Vance DE, Jacobs RL. Is it time to reevaluate methyl balance in humans? Am J Clin Nutr 2006;83:5–10.
- 4. McCabe DC, Caudill MA. DNA methylation, genomic silencing, and links to nutrition and cancer. Nutr Rev 2005;63:183–95.
- 5. Clarke S, Banfield K. S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methyltransferases. In: Carmel R, Jacobsen DW, eds. Homocysteine in health and disease. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2001:63–78.
- 6. Caudill M. The role of folate in reducing chronic and developmental disease risk: an overview. J Food Sci 2008;69:SNQ55–60.
- 7. Rozen R. Folate and Genetics. J Food Sci 2008;69:SNQ65–7.
- 8. Czeizel AE, Dudas I. Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptional vitamin supplementation. N Engl J Med 1992;327:1832–5.
- 9. Berry RJ, Li Z, Erickson JD, et al. Prevention of neural-tube defects with folic acid in China. China-U.S. Collaborative Project for Neural Tube Defect Prevention. N Engl J Med 1999;341:1485–90.
- 10. MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. Prevention of neural tube defects: results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. Lancet 1991; 338:131–7.
- 11. Ericson U, Sonestedt E, Gullberg B, Olsson H, Wirfalt E. High folate intake is associated with lower breast cancer incidence in postmenopausal women in the Malmo Diet and Cancer cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86:434–43.
- 12. Maruti SS, Ulrich CM, White E. Folate and one-carbon metabolism nutrients from supplements and diet in relation to breast cancer risk. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:624–33.
- 13. Wang X, Qin X, Demirtas H, et al. Efficacy of folic acid supplementation in stroke prevention: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2007;369:1876–82.
- 14. Sato Y, Honda Y, Iwamoto J, Kanoko T, Satoh K. Effect of folate and mecobalamin on hip fractures in patients with stroke: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2005;293:1082–8.
- 15. Durga J, van Boxtel MP, Schouten EG, et al. Effect of 3-year folic acid supplementation on cognitive function in older adults in the FACIT trial: a randomised, double blind, controlled trial. Lancet 2007;369:208–16.
- 16. FDA. Food standards: amendment of standards of identity for enriched grain products to require addition of folic acid. Final rule. 21 CFR Parts 136, 137 and 139. Fed Regist 1996;61:8781–807.
- 17. Health Canada. Regulations amending the Food and Drug Regulations (1066). Canada Gazette, Part 1 1997;131:3702–37.
- 18. Quinlivan EP, Gregory JF III. Effect of food fortification on folic acid intake in the United States. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77:221–5.
- 19. Choumenkovitch SF, Selhub J, Wilson PW, Rader JI, Rosenberg IH, Jacques PF. Folic acid intake from fortification in United States exceeds predictions. J Nutr 2002;132:2792–8.
- 20. Pfeiffer CM, Johnson CL, Jain RB, et al. Trends in blood folate and vitamin B-12 concentrations in the United States, 1988–2004. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86:718–27.
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Folate status in women of childbearing age–United States, 1999. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2000;49:962–5.
- 22. Honein MA, Paulozzi LJ, Mathews TJ, Erickson JD, Wong LY. Impact of folic acid fortification of the US food supply on the occurrence of neural tube defects. JAMA 2001;285:2981–6.
- 23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Spina bifida and anencephaly before and after folic acid mandate–United States, 1995– 1996 and 1999–2000. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2004;53:362–5.
- 24. Mills JL, Signore C. Neural tube defect rates before and after food fortification with folic acid. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2004; 70:844–5.
- 25. De Wals P, Rusen ID, Lee NS, Morin P, Niyonsenga T. Trend in prevalence of neural tube defects in Quebec. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2003;67:919–23.
- 26. Ray JG. Folic acid food fortification in Canada. Nutr Rev 2004;62: S35–9.
- 27. Ray JG, Meier C, Vermeulen MJ, Boss S, Wyatt PR, Cole DE. Association of neural tube defects and folic acid food fortification in Canada. Lancet 2002;360:2047–8.
- 28. Gucciardi E, Pietrusiak MA, Reynolds DL, Rouleau J. Incidence of neural tube defects in Ontario, 1986–1999. CMAJ 2002;167:237–40.
- 29. Lopez-Camelo JS, Orioli IM, da Graca Dutra M, et al. Reduction of birth prevalence rates of neural tube defects after folic acid fortification in Chile. Am J Med Genet A 2005;135:120–5.
- 30. Sayed AR, Bourne D, Pattinson R, Nixon J, Henderson B. Decline in the prevalence of neural tube defects following folic acid fortification and its cost-benefit in South Africa. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2008; 82:211–6.
- 31. Smith AD, Kim YI, Refsum H. Is folic acid good for everyone? Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:517–33.
- 32. Mason JB, Dickstein A, Jacques PF, et al. A temporal association between folic acid fortification and an increase in colorectal cancer rates may be illuminating important biological principles: a hypothesis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16:1325–9.
- 33. Morris MS, Jacques PF, Rosenberg IH, Selhub J. Folate and vitamin B-12 status in relation to anemia, macrocytosis, and cognitive impairment in older Americans in the age of folic acid fortification. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:193–200.
- 34. Selhub J, Morris MS, Jacques PF, Rosenberg IH. Folate-vitamin B-12 interaction in relation to cognitive impairment, anemia, and biochemical indicators of vitamin B-12 deficiency. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89: 702S–6S.
- 35. Morris MC, Evans DA, Bienias JL, et al. Dietary folate and vitamin B12 intake and cognitive decline among community-dwelling older persons. Arch Neurol 2005;62:641–5.
- 36. Luebeck EG, Moolgavkar SH, Liu AY, Boynton A, Ulrich CM. Does folic acid supplementation prevent or promote colorectal cancer? Results from model-based predictions. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17:1360–7.
- 37. Troen AM, Mitchell B, Sorensen B, et al. Unmetabolized folic acid in plasma is associated with reduced natural killer cell cytotoxicity among postmenopausal women. J Nutr 2006;136:189–94.
- 38. Perry J, Chanarin I. Intestinal absorption of reduced folate compounds in man. Br J Haematol 1970;18:329–39.
- 39. Scott JM, Weir DG. Folate composition, synthesis and function in natural materials. Clin Haematol 1976;5:547–68.
- 40. Gregory JF III. Case study: folate bioavailability. J Nutr 2001;131: 1376S–82S.
- 41. Gregory JF III, Bhandari SD, Bailey LB, Toth JP, Baumgartner TG, Cerda JJ. Relative bioavailability of deuterium-labeled monoglutamyl and hexaglutamyl folates in human subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 1991;53: 736–40.
- 42. Wei MM, Bailey LB, Toth JP, Gregory JF III. Bioavailability for humans of deuterium-labeled monoglutamyl and polyglutamyl folates is affected by selected foods. J Nutr 1996;126:3100–8.
- 43. van het Hof KH, Tijburg LB, Pietrzik K, Weststrate JA. Influence of feeding different vegetables on plasma levels of carotenoids, folate and vitamin C. Effect of disruption of the vegetable matrix. Br J Nutr 1999; 82:203–12.
- 44. McNulty H, Pentieva K. Folate bioavailability. Proc Nutr Soc 2004;63: 529–36.
- 45. Brouwer IA, van Dusseldorp M, West CE, Steegers-Theunissen RP. Bioavailability and bioefficacy of folate and folic acid in man. Nutr Res Rev 2001;14:267–94.
- 46. Gregory JF III, Quinlivan EP, Davis SR. Integrating the issues of folate bioavailability, intake and metabolism in the era of fortification. Trends Food Sci Technol 2005;16:229–40.
- 47. Halsted CH. Intestinal absorption and malabsorption of folates. Annu Rev Med 1980;31:79–87.
- 48. Said HM, Chatterjee N, Haq RU, et al. Adaptive regulation of intestinal folate uptake: effect of dietary folate deficiency. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2000;279:C1889–95.
- 49. Perry CA, Renna SA, Khitun E, Ortiz M, Moriarty DJ, Caudill MA. Ethnicity and race influence the folate status response to controlled folate intakes in young women. J Nutr 2004;134:1786–92.
- 50. Guinotte CL, Burns MG, Axume JA, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase $677C \rightarrow T$ variant modulates folate status response to controlled folate intakes in young women. J Nutr 2003;133:1272–80.
- 51. Selhub J, Seyoum E, Pomfret EA, Zeisel SH. Effects of choline deficiency and methotrexate treatment upon liver folate content and distribution. Cancer Res 1991;51:16–21.
- 52. Winkels RM, Brouwer IA, Verhoef P, van Oort FV, Durga J, Katan MB. Gender and body size affect the response of erythrocyte folate to folic acid treatment. J Nutr 2008;138:1456–61.
- 53. Sanderson P, McNulty H, Mastroiacovo P, et al. Folate bioavailability: UK Food Standards Agency workshop report. Br J Nutr 2003;90: 473–9.
- 54. Bailey LB. Dietary reference intakes for folate: the debut of dietary folate equivalents. Nutr Rev 1998;56:294–9.
- 55. Institute of Medicine. National Academy of Sciences USA. Dietary reference intakes for thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin B6, folate, vitamin B12, pantothenic acid, biotin and choline. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 1998.
- 56. Pfeiffer CM, Rogers LM, Bailey LB, Gregory JF III. Absorption of folate from fortified cereal-grain products and of supplemental folate consumed with or without food determined by using a dual-label stableisotope protocol. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;66:1388–97.
- 57. Clifford AJ, Arjomand A, Dueker SR, Schneider PD, Buchholz BA, Vogel JS. The dynamics of folic acid metabolism in an adult given a small tracer dose of 14C-folic acid. Adv Exp Med Biol 1998;445: 239–51.
- 58. Gregory JF III. Bioavailability of folate. Eur J Clin Nutr 1997;51(suppl 1):S54–9.
- 59. Daly S, Mills JL, Molloy AM, et al. Minimum effective dose of folic acid for food fortification to prevent neural-tube defects. Lancet 1997; 350:1666–9.
- 60. Daly S, Mills JL, Molloy AM, et al. Low-dose folic acid lowers plasma homocysteine levels in women of child-bearing age. QJM 2002;95: 733–40.
- 61. Cuskelly GJ, McNulty H, Scott JM. Effect of increasing dietary folate on red-cell folate: implications for prevention of neural tube defects. Lancet 1996;347:657–9.
- 62. Scott JM, Orsi BA. Folic acid absorption in man. Lancet 1968;2:775.
- 63. Godwin HA, Rosenberg IH. Comparative studies of the intestinal absorption of [3H]pteroylmonoglutamate and [3H]pteroylheptaglutamate in man. Gastroenterology 1975;69:364–73.
- 64. Sauberlich HE, Kretsch MJ, Skala JH, Johnson HL, Taylor PC. Folate requirement and metabolism in nonpregnant women. Am J Clin Nutr 1987;46:1016–28.
- 65. Brouwer IA, van Dusseldorp M, West CE, et al. Dietary folate from vegetables and citrus fruit decreases plasma homocysteine concentrations in humans in a dietary controlled trial. J Nutr 1999;129: 1135–9.
- 66. Kelly P, McPartlin J, Goggins M, Weir DG, Scott JM. Unmetabolized folic acid in serum: acute studies in subjects consuming fortified food and supplements. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65:1790–5.
- 67. Gregory JF III, Bhandari SD, Bailey LB, Toth JP, Baumgartner TG, Cerda JJ. Relative bioavailability of deuterium-labeled monoglutamyl tetrahydrofolates and folic acid in human subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 1992; 55:1147–53.
- 68. Yang TL, Hung J, Caudill MA, et al. A long-term controlled folate feeding study in young women supports the validity of the 1.7 multiplier in the dietary folate equivalency equation. J Nutr 2005;135:1139–45.
- 69. Winkels RM, Brouwer IA, Siebelink E, Katan MB, Verhoef P. Bioavailability of food folates is 80% of that of folic acid. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:465–73.
- 70. Jacques PF, Bostom AG, Williams RR, et al. Relation between folate status, a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, and plasma homocysteine concentrations. Circulation 1996;93:7–9.
- 71. Solis C, Veenema K, Ivanov AA, et al. Folate intake at RDA levels is inadequate for Mexican American men with the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677TT genotype. J Nutr 2008;138:67–72.
- 72. Shelnutt KP, Kauwell GP, Chapman CM, et al. Folate status response to controlled folate intake is affected by the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase $677C \rightarrow T$ polymorphism in young women. J Nutr 2003;133: 4107–11.
- 73. Kauwell GP, Wilsky CE, Cerda JJ, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutation $(677C \rightarrow T)$ negatively influences plasma homocysteine response to marginal folate intake in elderly women. Metabolism 2000;49:1440–3.
- 74. Yang QH, Botto LD, Gallagher M, et al. Prevalence and effects of gene-gene and gene-nutrient interactions on serum folate and serum total homocysteine concentrations in the United States: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey DNA Bank. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88:232–46.
- 75. Guenther BD, Sheppard CA, Tran P, Rozen R, Matthews RG, Ludwig ML. The structure and properties of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase from Escherichia coli suggest how folate ameliorates human hyperhomocysteinemia. Nat Struct Biol 1999;6:359–65.
- 76. Yamada K, Chen Z, Rozen R, Matthews RG. Effects of common polymorphisms on the properties of recombinant human methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98: 14853–8.
- 77. McNulty H, Dowey le RC, Strain JJ, et al. Riboflavin lowers homocysteine in individuals homozygous for the MTHFR $677C \rightarrow T$ polymorphism. Circulation 2006;113:74–80.
- 78. Caudill MA, Dellschaft N, Solis C, et al. Choline intake, plasma riboflavin, and the phosphatidylethanolamine N-methyltransferase G5465A genotype predict plasma homocysteine in folate-deplete Mexican-American men with the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677TT genotype. J Nutr 2009;139:727–33.
- 79. Hustad S, Midttun O, Schneede J, Vollset SE, Grotmol T, Ueland PM. The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase $677C \rightarrow T$ polymorphism as a modulator of a B vitamin network with major effects on homocysteine metabolism. Am J Hum Genet 2007;80:846–55.
- 80. Hung J, Yang TL, Urrutia TF, et al. Additional food folate derived exclusively from natural sources improves folate status in young women with the MTHFR 677 CC or TT genotype. J Nutr Biochem 2006;17: 728–34.
- 81. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Folate status in women of childbearing age, by race/ethnicity–United States, 1999-2000, 2001-2002, and 2003-2004. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2007;55: 1377–80.
- 82. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Folate status in women of childbearing age, by race/ethnicity–United States, 1999– 2000. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2002;51:808–10.
- 83. Riddell LJ, Chisholm A, Williams S, Mann JI. Dietary strategies for lowering homocysteine concentrations. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71: 1448–54.
- 84. Silaste ML, Rantala M, Sampi M, Alfthan G, Aro A, Kesaniemi YA. Polymorphisms of key enzymes in homocysteine metabolism affect diet responsiveness of plasma homocysteine in healthy women. J Nutr 2001; 131:2643–7.
- 85. Ashfield-Watt PA, Pullin CH, Whiting JM, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase $677C \rightarrow T$ genotype modulates homocysteine responses to a folate-rich diet or a low-dose folic acid supplement: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;76: 180–6.
- 86. Quinlivan EP, Gregory JF III. Reassessing folic acid consumption patterns in the United States (1999–2004): potential effect on neural tube defects and overexposure to folate. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86:1773–9.
- 87. Dary O. Nutritional interpretation of folic acid interventions. Nutr Rev 2009;67:235–44.