

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Curr Opin Organ Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December

#### Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2009 December ; 14(6): 674–682. doi:10.1097/MOT.0b013e328332a489.

## **Islet Assessment for Transplantation**

## Klearchos K. Papas<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas M. Suszynski<sup>1</sup>, and Clark. K. Colton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Schulze Diabetes Institute, Department of Surgery, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

### Abstract

**Purpose of review**—There is a critical need for meaningful viability and potency assays that characterize islet preparations for release prior to clinical islet cell transplantation (ICT). Development, testing, and validation of such assays have been the subject of intense investigation for the past decade. These efforts are reviewed, highlighting the most recent results while focusing on the most promising assays.

**Recent Findings**—Assays based on membrane integrity do not reflect true viability when applied to either intact islets or dispersed islet cells. Assays requiring disaggregation of intact islets into individual cells for assessment introduce additional problems of cell damage and loss. Assays evaluating mitochondrial function, specifically mitochondrial membrane potential, bioenergetic status, and cellular oxygen consumption rate (OCR), especially when conducted with intact islets, appear most promising in evaluating their quality prior to ICT. Prospective, quantitative assays based on measurements of OCR with intact islets have been developed, validated and their results correlated with transplant outcomes in the diabetic nude mouse bioassay.

**Conclusion**—More sensitive and reliable islet viability and potency tests have been recently developed and tested. Those evaluating mitochondrial function are most promising, correlate with transplant outcomes in mice, and are currently being evaluated in the clinical setting.

#### Keywords

Oxygen consumption rate; viability; potency; release criteria; marginal mass; purity

#### Introduction

Islet cell transplantation (ICT) is emerging as a promising approach for the treatment of selected patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus [1–8]. ICT is currently in a phase III multicenter clinical trial [9] to determine if it will become the standard of care. There is an urgent need for reliable assays that characterize the islet product for release prior to transplantation. Development of such assays is mandated by the Federal Drug

Address correspondence to: Klearchos K. Papas, Ph.D., Schulze Diabetes Institute, Department of Surgery, University of Minnesota, 420 Delaware Street SE, Mayo Mail Code 280, Minneapolis MN 55455 USA, Tel: 612-626-0471, Fax: 612-626-5855, papas006@umn.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Administration (FDA) and has been the subject of investigation for the past decade. To provide a framework for understanding the current state of the art, this article first reviews the numerous approaches that have been proposed and tested, than focuses on the most recent results and promising assays for clinical ICT.

#### Current specifications for lot release prior to clinical islet transplantation

The FDA mandates that for any cellular and tissue-based product, the manufacturer must be able to demonstrate that it can be safely and reproducibly manufactured [10]. This is generally done by characterizing the product and establishing specifications for product release. Lot release specifications for islet products include demonstration of safety (i.e., sterility, mycoplasma, pyrogenicity/endotoxin, and freedom from adventitious agents) and assessments of several key product characteristics that include, but are not limited to, identity, purity, viability, and potency. The current specifications for release of islet products within the United States are summarized in Table 1. These specifications function to exclude preparations that are contaminated, highly impure, grossly damaged, or do not contain significant numbers of islets. It is currently accepted that these specifications provide reasonable estimates of islet safety, identity, and purity, but do not provide meaningful measures of viability or potency of the preparation [12–15]. Therefore the establishment and validation of useful islet viability and potency tests is urgently needed. The sections that follow focus on existing and emerging islet viability and potency tests, including those that are based on measurements of oxygen consumption rate (OCR), which appear to be the most promising.

## Limitations of the tests currently used for islet lot release prior to clinical transplantation

Many of the methods currently used to assess islet preparations were developed nearly 20 years ago [11]. The advantages and limitations of tests currently used for islet product release, which were recently discussed in detail [16], are summarized in Table 2A.

#### Sampling from an islet suspension

An important issue in characterizing islet preparations, relevant to all assays, is sampling. Obtaining a sample from a suspension that is representative of the whole preparation is critical [11,16]. Nevertheless, maintaining a homogeneous islet suspension while sampling is challenging, as islets settle rapidly. Differences in size and density of aggregates can lead to significant differences in settling velocity and exacerbate this problem. The extent of the systematic error introduced during this type of sampling is unknown. To minimize random error during sampling, multiple replicates should be collected. However, the additional time and analysis required for collection of multiple samples, the concern about introducing contamination and removing islets that otherwise could be transplanted to benefit the recipient all pose limitations. Currently, only duplicate samples of 100  $\mu$ L (derived from a 100-mL islet suspension) are collected and counted, an amount that may not represent the entirety of the preparation.

#### Measurements of the amount of islet tissue

Quantification of the total amount of islets in an islet preparation is critical because it ultimately determines the islet dose that is transplanted. The method most widely used currently is manual, visual counting of islet equivalents (IE) under a light microscope following dithizone (DTZ) staining to determine the total volume of islet tissue and its purity. This method has advantages and limitations (*See* Table 2A) that are described in detail elsewhere [11,16]. Methods for estimating the total number of cells or volume of

tissue in a preparation include measurements of intracellular deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), cellular nuclei counts, large particle flow cytometry [17], and packed tissue volume. These methods do not provide islet- or  $\beta$ -cell specific information, so they require an independent estimate of the purity (fractional volume of islet tissue or  $\beta$ -cells). Such estimates can be obtained using a variety of methods [16], including morphological analysis with electron and/or light microscopy [18\*], immunohistochemistry with laser scanning confocal microscopy, or laser scanning cytometry [19]. Recent studies indicate that conventional DTZ staining overestimates purity by 20–30% as compared to measurements with electron and light microscopy [20] and total number of IE by as much as 90% [18\*] as compared to recently-developed, more accurate methods that combine nuclei counting with light microscopy.

#### Measurements of viability

The current viability assay used for clinical islet product release is based on assessing membrane integrity with fluorescein diacetate/propidium iodide (FDA/PI) (*See* Table 1). Characteristics and limitations of this assay are outlined in Table 2A and detailed elsewhere [11,16]. A major limitation of this assay is that it does not reflect true viability because it may not account for cells undergoing early apoptosis or dying by other modes of cell death, during which cells have not yet developed damage to their cell membrane. Furthermore, it does not correlate with the diabetic nude mouse bioassay (NMB) or clinical ICT outcomes.

#### Measurement of islet function (potency)

The  $\beta$ -cells within the islets have a specific, dedicated function, the dynamic release of insulin in response to a glucose stimulus. Therefore, one would expect that assessment of islet function should be straightforward, particularly if the insulin secretion rate of a preparation can be easily measured. Measurements of basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) could theoretically be used to provide a meaningful measure of the amount of viable and functional IE (or  $\beta$ -cells) in a preparation if one assumes that insulin secretion from an islet population is relatively constant when normalized on a per viable IE or per viable  $\beta$ -cell basis. Unfortunately, GSIS does not correlate with clinical transplant outcomes [14–15,21]. There are several likely reasons for this persistent finding. Stresses associated with pancreas preservation, islet isolation, and islet purification may lead to extensive degranulation and/or insulin leakage (from dead or dying islet cells). Conceivably, islets that do not secrete insulin at expected rates, but are nonetheless viable, may recover when transplanted into the recipient. In other words, low GSIS may not necessarily imply irreversibly impaired secretory function and, thus, GSIS does not correlate with clinical outcomes. Furthermore, insulin leakage from dead or damaged cells may be difficult to account for (because this contribution to the total insulin cannot be reliably estimated), may interfere with proper calculation of insulin secretion rate and stimulation index, and may complicate the interpretation of the results of the GSIS assay. Insulin secretion is also particularly sensitive to the local partial pressure of oxygen  $(pO_2)$  and assay procedures usually do not account for that [22].

# The mouse bioassay as an *in vivo* islet potency test and a surrogate islet potency validation tool

According to the FDA [10], a suitable potency assay is one that demonstrates that the clinical product possesses the specific ability to provide the desired clinical effect. The diabetes reversal (DR) resulting from islets engrafted under the kidney capsule of immunodeficient nude mice correlates with clinical transplant outcomes and is currently accepted as the gold standard for testing islet potency [14–15,23–24]. However, the time (days to weeks) required for this assay to produce interpretable results renders it

retrospective. Nonetheless, correlation of real-time, *in vitro* tests with transplant outcomes in the NMB can establish other such tests as acceptable surrogate potency tests. Several recently-proposed islet potency tests are therefore being judged based on their ability to predict DR in the NMB [19,25–36\*,\*\*]. Even though the NMB is the premiere method available to researchers for the assessment of islet potency, it suffers from numerous limitations (*See* Table 2B). These limitations include the length of time required to obtain a meaningful outcome, the complexity of the surgical procedure, the difficulties in maintaining diabetic mice and timing diabetes induction with the unpredictable availability of human islets, the negative impact of impurities on outcome [15,37–39], the transplant site (kidney capsule), which may be more prone to the presence of impurities and/or dead tissue than the clinical transplant site (the liver), and the inability to account for immune rejection or the effects of immunosuppressive drugs that are present in the clinical setting. There have been recent attempts [40–41] to provide other *in vivo* islet potency tests that are alternatives to the diabetic NMB. These alternatives can potentially overcome some, but not all of the limitations of the NMB.

#### Desired characteristics of islet potency tests

The assays under consideration for use as potency tests for islet characterization prior to clinical transplantation should be reliable, cost-effective, operator-independent, reproducible, and transferable to other labs, work with relatively small (yet representative) islet numbers (100–500 IE), not require islet handpicking (which may bias the results), and should be able to provide real-time results (i.e., completed within hours). Given the heterogeneity of islet preparations and the intrinsic difficulties in characterizing them, assays that possess all of the desired characteristics may be very difficult to develop. This difficulty is reflected in the fact that, despite the intense effort dedicated to develop, implement, and validate a number of assays over the past decade, consensus behind any single assay has not yet been reached. Key viability and potency assays under consideration for the assessment of clinical islet preparations are described next.

#### Islet viability and potency tests under consideration

Table 2C summarizes some of the more recently explored assays used in islet quality assessment, highlighting their key strengths and identified weaknesses. Despite the landscape of flavors available to researchers, many of these assays are most valuable when used in the study of individual cells rather than cell aggregates.

Islets are three-dimensional, multi-cellular aggregates composed of several different cell types, including the  $\beta$ -,  $\alpha$ -,  $\delta$ - and PP-cells. Most assays used to assess cellular viability, apoptosis, or mitochondrial health, have been designed for suspensions or cultures of individual cells, not aggregates. Consequently, the development of techniques to study the quality of an islet preparation provides unique challenges. Because the diameter of an islet equivalent is 150 µm, it is necessary to consider mass transport limitations, particularly if an assay relies on the availability of molecular oxygen. The relatively large size of the islet makes fluorescence microscopy difficult, subjecting any such analysis to background signal and operator bias that is simply unique to the study of intact multi-cellular clusters. To circumvent some of the islet shape and size limitations, techniques have been developed to break apart the islets. Digestion with serine proteases and mechanical agitation may be used to dissociate islets into suspensions of their constituent cells, but these techniques result in significant damage to the cells and possibly death by anoikis [43–44], leading to the loss of as much as 50% of the original cell populations [45–47]. To minimize the problems associated with islet disaggregation, gentler formulations have been created and used [19]. Yet, it is unclear whether the negative effects of dissociating individual islet cells from one

another can be fully minimized. Furthermore, islet preparations have varying amounts of impurities, which complicates the use of any technique designed with the expectation that the studied tissue is comprised entirely of islets. Differentiating the non-endocrine tissue from the islets poses additional difficulties.

#### **Cell Membrane integrity tests**

These assays interrogate the integrity of the cellular plasma membrane and rely on differential staining using newer combinations of both cell membrane permeable and impermeable dyes [12,16], but have been unable to fully obviate the problems encountered with the current viability stains used prior to product release (i.e., FDA/PI). In fact, some of these proposed stains introduce new issues, such as islet toxicity [16]. 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD, a membrane impermeable dye) has been used on disaggregated cells in combination with flow cytometry (FACS) to enable quantification of the fraction of cells that are viable by membrane integrity, but the method nonetheless requires the undesirable dissociation of the intact islets [16]. An alternative approach relies on sequential staining of membrane compromised cells within intact islets using 7-AAD. After initially staining with 7-AAD, the nuclei of the entire preparation are released from intact islets using a detergent and subsequently counted by hemacytometer or FACS [48–49]. The initial count (of nonviable cells) is divided by the second count (of total nuclei) to present a ratio equivalent to fractional viability (FV). This technique bypasses the limitations associated with islet disaggregation of multi-cellular spheroids, such as islets; however, as a membrane integrity test, it only accounts for dead cells with compromised cell membranes [16,49].

#### Other cell death and mitochondrial assays

Several assays attempt to characterize the degree of apoptosis within islet preparations [29\*, 31\*\*]. These assays may depend on the timing of the measurement as it relates to the onset of apoptosis. The magnitude and timing of the responses may also vary between cell types and the unique nature, intensity, and duration of encountered stresses [16]. Importantly, these cell death markers may not be reliable indicators of irreversible damage. Even though mechanistic information regarding the cell death process can be obtained, individual assays may not capture all dying cells and still suffer from limitations that are related to islet size and its three-dimensional structure. A recent report describes a method to study several apoptosis and cell death-related markers (including VADFMK, Annexin V, and Fura Red) simultaneously using FACS and shows that this sort of multi-parametric analysis may more reliably characterize the quality of an islet sample [29\*]. Another paper [31\*\*] describes an elegant approach to combine fluorescence imaging of mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) and Ca<sup>2+</sup> leakage with measurements of insulin secretion, determined by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The system involved perfusing a microfluidic chip containing intact islets. The future of islet quality assessment may continue to leverage these types of multimodal techniques in the attempt to map a quality "fingerprint" of islet preparations prior to their consideration for transplantation.

Assays have also been developed to probe the state of mitochondrial health, which span a range of relevant indicators, through assessing the ability of a cell to reduce tetrazolium salts [16,33], to replenish ATP [27\*,28,30\*,42\*\*], or to maintain MMP [16,19,31\*\*]. Tetrazolium assays like MTT have fallen slightly out of favor because many variables or conditions, not limited to mitochondrial activity, can affect the ability of a preparation to reduce tetrazolium salts [16]. In contrast, tests that measure the relative abundance of high energy phosphates (or the ADP/ATP ratio) have reportedly shown promise in predicting ICT outcome in mice [27\*–28]. However, the ADP/ATP ratio must be interpreted with caution, because the concentrations of these metabolites fluctuate rapidly with changing conditions. Furthermore, as recently pointed out [42\*\*], the ADP/ATP ratio does not reflect the true

viability of an islet preparation and unlike the ATP/DNA ratio fails to account for nonviable cells containing no ADP or ATP. Additionally, even though ATP and ADP measurements are simple, inexpensive, and quick to obtain, islet ADP measurements based on luminescence may be unreliable as they have frequently provided negative concentration estimates [42\*\*]. MMP dyes are used as surrogate measures of mitochondrial health, in that they preferentially accumulate in healthy and polarized mitochondria. Both laser scanning cytometry [19] and the microfluidic system described earlier [31\*\*] have been used to correlate MMP with the quality of preparations composed of dissociated and intact islets, respectively.

### **Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR)**

Measurements based on OCR, which is related to mitochondrial function, have been extensively used to assess the viability and health of cells in a variety of fields [50–55], including islets [26,32–34\*\*,56,57\*\*,58] and  $\beta$ -cell lines in tissue engineered constructs [59–61]. Several groups have recently focused their efforts on characterizing islet viability and potency using OCR measurements and in some cases correlating these measurements with outcomes in the NMB [26,32,34\*\*,57\*\*]. Reports on islet respiratory activity include measurements based on scanning electrochemical microscopy [27\*] and oxygen sensitive phosphorescence lifetime or fluorescence intensity in a variety of configurations [26,32–34\*\*,56,57\*\*,58]. The instrumentation and methodologies employed along with the strengths and limitations of each approach are outlined in Table 3. The approach for indirectly measuring OCR using fluorescence intensity in a multi-well plate oxygen biosensor system (OBS) has the distinct advantage of being high-throughput and convenient but in its current form suffers from several major limitations that prohibit its reliable use [16,26]. Recent efforts to bypass some of the inherent limitations of the OBS [62] may enable more reliable and effective use of this method in islet potency assessment.

Recently published data obtained with the most basic approach, using optical  $pO_2$  sensors in stirred microchambers [33], demonstrate that transplanted OCR (OCR<sub>TX</sub>, a measure of the amount of viable tissue) and OCR/DNA (a measure of viability) are sufficient when used in combination to predict outcomes in diabetic mice transplanted with rat [56–57\*\*], porcine [33], and human [26,32,34\*\*,58] islets. These studies suggest that information on the functional capacity of the islets or β-cells is not necessary for predicting transplantation outcomes in mice. In fact, the most recently reported study with rat islets transplanted in immunosuppressed diabetic mice [57\*\*] clearly demonstrated this relationship between OCR<sub>TX</sub> and OCR/DNA of the transplanted islets and diabetes reversal in mice. When the results of these transplantations were plotted such that the ordinate was  $OCR_{TX}$  and the abscissa was OCR/DNA of the transplanted islet sample, the data segregated into three regions: (1) an upper and right-most portion, where diabetes was reversed in all animals, (2) a lower left, where diabetes was not reversed in any animals, and (3) a narrow band in the middle in which both outcomes were represented. In this study, sensitivity and specificity analyses on OCR<sub>TX</sub> and OCR/DNA exhibited values of 93% and 94%, respectively, in predicting diabetes reversal. Importantly, the marginal mass for DR was not fixed [57\*\*] but rather depended on OCR/DNA, and increased from 2,800 to over 100,000 IE per kilogram recipient body weight (KgBW) as OCR/DNA decreased. These findings are consistent with reports that neither OCR<sub>TX</sub> nor OCR/DNA, when used individually, correlated with transplant outcomes in mice [15,63].

Correlation of transplantation outcomes with rat islets was substantially better than that obtained with human islet preparations [32]. There are several likely explanations for this finding, which include: (a) the absence of non-islet tissue in rat preparations, (b) the large fraction of nonviable tissue at low OCR/DNA, and (c) the large number of human islets, in

Data obtained with a porcine-to-non-human primate (xenogeneic) model suggest that sustained insulin independence is dependent on both  $OCR_{TX}$  per KgBW and OCR/DNA (unpublished observations). Interestingly, initial data obtained with pure and impure clinical autologous and single-donor, allogeneic islet transplants suggest that in these cases (especially islet auto-transplants), the OCR dose normalized per KgBW alone may sufficiently correlate with clinical outcomes (unpublished observations).

Of particular interest are attempts to extract information on islet potency based on glucosestimulated OCR, which may be more representative of  $\beta$ -cells and their functional capacity [26–27\*,34\*\*,56,58]. This index has been represented either as a ratio of the measured OCR in the presence of high glucose divided by the OCR in low glucose (OCR<sub>hglc</sub>/OCR<sub>lglc</sub>) or simply the difference in measured OCR in the presence of high and low glucose ( $\Delta$ OCR<sub>glc</sub>) [34\*\*,56,58]. Publications detailing these procedures report reasonable correlations with the NMB and suggest that there may be an advantage in using these indices for clinical islet potency assessment. It remains to be seen if the challenges associated with widespread implementation and inherent limitations of these complicated methodologies [16] can be overcome and whether the promising results attained with research models will translate into the clinical setting. Work currently under way with clinical auto- and allo- and pre-clinical xeno- transplant models is expected to provide further insight into these issues and help identify and establish islet potency tests that are truly predictive of transplant outcomes.

#### Conclusion

The islet product release criteria that screen preparations before clinical allogeneic ICT are currently unable to predict post-transplant success from failure. More sensitive and reliable islet viability and potency tests have been recently developed and tested. Those assessing mitochondrial function, particularly those that measure the OCR of an islet preparation, appear to be the most promising and correlate with transplant outcomes in the NMB. These tests are currently being evaluated in the clinical setting and preliminary results are encouraging. Assays that characterize cell composition and molecular profiles may be useful in further defining the islet product and may provide useful information on islet immunogenicity and pro-inflammatory potential. The recent clinical success in reversing diabetes with single-donor, allogeneic transplants, will further enhance our ability to define potency tests and islet characteristics that are predictive of transplant outcome.

#### Abbreviations

ICT	Islet cell transplantation
OCR	Oxygen consumption rate
FDA	Federal Drug Administration
EU	Endotoxin unit
IE	Islet equivalent(s)

Papas et al.

DTZ	Dithizone
FDA/PI	Fluorescein diacetate/propidium iodide
NMB	Nude mouse bioassay
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
GSIS	Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion
pO <sub>2</sub>	Partial oxygen partial pressure
DR	Diabetes reversal
SLM	Standard light microscopy
FM	Fluorescence microscopy
С	Calcein AM
EH	Ethidium homodimer
EB	Ethidium bromide
SYTO®	Green membrane permeable fluorescent dye
AO	Acridine orange
FACS	Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (or flow cytometry)
7-AAD	7-aminoactinomycin D
VADFMK	Membrane permeable caspase ligand (inhibitor)
PS	Phosphatidylserine
MTT	Tetrazolium salt, 3-(4;5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2;5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
ADP	Adenosine diphosphate
MMP	Mitochondrial membrane potential
FV	Fractional viability
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
$\Delta OCR_{glc}$	Defined as the measured increment in OCR when stimulated by glucose
OCR <sub>TX</sub>	Transplanted OCR, which represents viable islet dose
OCR/DNA	Measure of OCR normalized to DNA represents the FV of cellular/ islet preparation
OCR <sub>GS</sub>	Glucose-stimulated OCR
OCR <sub>hglc</sub> /OCR <sub>lglc</sub>	Defined as the Stimulation Index a ratio of OCR measured at high glucose concentrations (16.7 or 33.3 mM) to OCR measured at high glucose concentrations (2.8 or 5.6 mM)
$\Delta OCR_{glc}/DNA$	Defined as the OCR Index a ratio of the estimated $\Delta OCR_{glc}$ normalized to DNA
OBS	BD Biosciences Oxygen Biosensor System®
KgBW	Kilogram body weight (of transplant recipient)

#### Acknowledgments

The study was supported by grants from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), National Institutes of Health (U42 RR 016598–01 and RO1-DK063108–01A1), the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (JDRF #4–1999–841), the Iacocca Foundation, the Schott Foundation, and the Carol Olson Memorial Diabetes Research Fund. The authors would like to thank Drs. Stathis Avgoustiniatos and Lou Kidder for critically reviewing the manuscript.

#### References

- Shapiro AM, Ricordi C, Hering BJ, Auchincloss H, Lindblad R, Robertson RP, et al. International trial of the Edmonton protocol for islet transplantation. The New England journal of medicine 2006;355(13):1318–1330. [PubMed: 17005949]
- Bellin MD, Kandaswamy R, Parkey J, Zhang HJ, Liu B, Ihm SH, et al. Prolonged insulin independence after islet allotransplants in recipients with type 1 diabetes. Am J Transplant 2008;8(11):2463–2470. [PubMed: 18808408]
- Benhamou PY, Milliat-Guittard L, Wojtusciszyn A, Kessler L, Toso C, Baertschiger R, et al. Quality of life after islet transplantation: data from the GRAGIL 1 and 2 trials. Diabet Med 2009;26(6):617–621. [PubMed: 19538237]
- Hogan A, Pileggi A, Ricordi C. Transplantation: current developments and future directions; the future of clinical islet transplantation as a cure for diabetes. Front Biosci 2008;13:1192–1205. [PubMed: 17981623]
- Keymeulen B, Gillard P, Mathieu C, Movahedi B, Maleux G, Delvaux G, et al. Correlation between beta cell mass and glycemic control in type 1 diabetic recipients of islet cell graft. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2006;103(46):17444–17449. [PubMed: 17090674]
- Leitao CB, Tharavanij T, Cure P, Pileggi A, Baidal DA, Ricordi C, et al. Restoration of hypoglycemia awareness after islet transplantation. Diabetes care 2008;31(11):2113–2115. [PubMed: 18697903]
- 7. Ricordi C, Hering BJ, Shapiro AM. Beta-cell transplantation for diabetes therapy. Lancet 2008;372(9632):27–28. author reply —30. [PubMed: 18603151]
- Tharavanij T, Betancourt A, Messinger S, Cure P, Leitao CB, Baidal DA, et al. Improved long-term health-related quality of life after islet transplantation. Transplantation 2008;86(9):1161–1167. [PubMed: 19005394]
- 9. Clinical Islet Transplantation Consortium (official website). [cited 2009 August 7th]. Available from: http://www.citisletstudy.org/
- Weber DJ. FDA regulation of allogeneic islets as a biological product. Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics 2004:19–22. [PubMed: 15289639]
- Ricordi C. Quantitative and qualitative standards for islet isolation assessment in humans and large mammals. Pancreas 1991;6(2):242–244. [PubMed: 1679542]
- Barnett MJ, McGhee-Wilson D, Shapiro AM, Lakey JR. Variation in human islet viability based on different membrane integrity stains. Cell transplantation 2004;13(5):481–488. [PubMed: 15565860]
- Gerling IC, Kotb M, Fraga D, Sabek O, Gaber AO. No correlation between in vitro and in vivo function of human islets. Transplantation proceedings 1998;30(2):587–588. [PubMed: 9532188]
- 14. Ricordi C, Lakey JR, Hering BJ. Challenges toward standardization of islet isolation technology. Transplantation proceedings 2001;33(1–2):1709. [PubMed: 11267479]
- Bertuzzi F, Ricordi C. Prediction of clinical outcome in islet allotransplantation. Diabetes care 2007;30(2):410–417. [PubMed: 17259521]
- Colton CK, Papas KK, Pisania A, Rappel MJ, Powers DE, O'Neil JJ, et al. Characterizations of islet preparations. Cellular Transplantation: From Laboratory to Clinic 2007:85–134.
- Fernandez LA, Hatch EW, Armann B, Odorico JS, Hullett DA, Sollinger HW, et al. Validation of large particle flow cytometry for the analysis and sorting of intact pancreatic islets. Transplantation 2005;80(6):729–737. [PubMed: 16210958]
- 18. Pisania A, Papas K, Powers DE, Rappel MJ, Omer A, Bonner-Weir S, et al. Enumeration of islets by nuclei counting and light microscopic analysis. Laboratory Investigation. In press. . This paper

describes a new more quantiative technique for measuring the amount of islet tissue in a preparation

- Ichii H, Inverardi L, Pileggi A, Molano RD, Cabrera O, Caicedo A, et al. A novel method for the assessment of cellular composition and beta-cell viability in human islet preparations. Am J Transplant 2005;5(7):1635–1645. [PubMed: 15943621]
- 20. Pisania A, Weir GC, O'Neil JJ, Omer A, Tchipashvili V, Lei J, et al. Quantitative analysis of cell composition and purity of human pancreatic islet preparations. Laboratory Investigation. In press.
- Street CN, Lakey JR, Shapiro AM, Imes S, Rajotte RV, et al. Islet graft assessment in the Edmonton Protocol: implications for predicting long-term clinical outcomes. Diabetes 2004;53(12):3107–3114. [PubMed: 15561940]
- 22. Dionne KE, Colton CK, Yarmush ML. Effect of hypoxia on insulin secretion by isolated rat and canine islets of Langerhans. Diabetes 1993;42(1):12–21. [PubMed: 8420809]
- 23. London NJ, Thirdborough SM, Swift SM, Bell PR, James RF. The diabetic "human reconstituted" severe combined immunodeficient (SCID-hu) mouse: a model for isogeneic, allogeneic, and xenogeneic human islet transplantation. Transplantation proceedings 1991;23(1 Pt 1):749. [PubMed: 1990677]
- Ricordi C, Scharp DW, Lacy PE. Reversal of diabetes in nude mice after transplantation of fresh and 7-day-cultured (24 degrees C) human pancreatic islets. Transplantation 1988;45(5):994–996. [PubMed: 3130702]
- Armann B, Hanson MS, Hatch E, Steffen A, Fernandez LA. Quantification of basal and stimulated ROS levels as predictors of islet potency and function. Am J Transplant 2007;7(1):38–47. [PubMed: 17227556]
- 26. Fraker C, Timmins MR, Guarino RD, Haaland PD, Ichii H, Molano D, et al. The use of the BD oxygen biosensor system to assess isolated human islets of langerhans: oxygen consumption as a potential measure of islet potency. Cell transplantation 2006;15(8–9):745–758. [PubMed: 17269445]
- 27. Goto M, Abe H, Ito-Sasaki T, Goto M, Inagaki A, Ogawa N, et al. A novel predictive method for assessing the quality of isolated pancreatic islets using scanning electrochemical microscopy. Transplantation proceedings 2009;41(1):311–313. [PubMed: 19249542] This paper proposes scanning electrochemical microscopy as a novel tool for the interrogation of the metabolic quality of islets prior to transplantation.
- Goto M, Holgersson J, Kumagai-Braesch M, Korsgren O. The ADP/ATP ratio: A novel predictive assay for quality assessment of isolated pancreatic islets. Am J Transplant 2006;6(10):2483–2487. [PubMed: 16869808]
- 29. Hanson MS, Steffen A, Danobeitia JS, Ludwig B, Fernandez LA. Flow cytometric quantification of glucose-stimulated beta-cell metabolic flux can reveal impaired islet functional potency. Cell transplantation 2008;17(12):1337–1347. [PubMed: 19364071] This paper is an example of a multi-parametric approach used in assessing the quality of an islet preparation after disaggregation into single cells.
- 30. Kim JH, Park SG, Lee HN, Lee YY, Park HS, Kim HI, et al. ATP measurement predicts porcine islet transplantation outcome in nude mice. Transplantation 2009;87(2):166–169. [PubMed: 19155969] This paper presents correlations between ATP measurements and transplant outcomes in mice. However, the technique presented in this paper appears to depend heavily on the amount of islets in the sample (significant differences were observed between pooled ATP normalized to 10 hand-picked islets versus 1000 IE).
- 31. Mohammed JS, Wang Y, Harvat TA, Oberholzer J, Eddington DT. Microfluidic device for multimodal characterization of pancreatic islets. Lab on a chip 2009 Jan 7;9(1):97–106. [PubMed: 19209341] This paper combines the measurement of several viability parameters in the assessment of the quality of a preparation. This microfluidic approach, involving intact and not dissociated islets, may prove particularly useful in representing the quality of a small number of islets.
- Papas KK, Colton CK, Nelson RA, Rozak PR, Avgoustiniatos ES, Scott IIIWE, et al. Human islet oxygen consumption rate and DNA measurements predict diabetes reversal in nude mice. American Journal of Transplantation 2007;7(3):707–713. [PubMed: 17229069]

- Papas KK, Pisania A, Wu H, Weir GC, Colton CK. A stirred microchamber for oxygen consumption rate measurements with pancreatic islets. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 2007;98(5):1071–1082. [PubMed: 17497731]
- 34. Sweet IR, Gilbert M, Scott S, Todorov I, Jensen R, Nair I, et al. Glucose-stimulated increment in oxygen consumption rate as a standardized test of human islet quality. Am J Transplant 2008;8(1): 183–192. [PubMed: 18021279] This paper reports on differences between measured basal and glucose-stimulated oxygen consumption rates (ΔOCR<sub>glc</sub>) and their ability to predict transplant outcome in the NMB. Eventhough it is reported that an advantage of this technique is the ability to distingush islet potency in impure preparations the correlations with the NMB were established using pure (hand-picked islets) preparations.
- 35. Yamamoto T, Horiguchi A, Ito M, Nagata H, Ichii H, Ricordi C, et al. Quality control for clinical islet transplantation: organ procurement and preservation, the islet processing facility, isolation, and potency tests. Journal of hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery 2009;16(2):131–136. [PubMed: 19242650]
- 36. Koulmanda M, Papas KK, Qipo A, Wu H, Smith RN, Weir GC, et al. Islet oxygen consumption rate as a predictor of in vivo efficacy post-transplantation. Xenotransplantation 2003;10(5):484.
- Gray DW. The role of exocrine tissue in pancreatic islet transplantation. Transpl Int 1989;2(1):41–
  45. [PubMed: 2504181]
- Hesse UJ, Sutherland DE, Gores PF, Sitges-Serra A, Najarian JS. Comparison of splenic and renal subcapsular islet autografting in dogs. Transplantation 1986;41(2):271–274. [PubMed: 3003979]
- Gray DW, Morris PJ. Developments in isolated pancreatic islet transplantation. Transplantation 1987;43(3):321–331. [PubMed: 3103272]
- 40. Caiazzo R, Gmyr V, Kremer B, Hubert T, Soudan B, Lukowiak B, et al. Quantitative in vivo islet potency assay in normoglycemic nude mice correlates with primary graft function after clinical transplantation. Transplantation 2008;86(2):360–363. [PubMed: 18645503]
- Yonekawa Y, Okitsu T, Wake K, Iwanaga Y, Noguchi H, Nagata H, et al. A new mouse model for intraportal islet transplantation with limited hepatic lobe as a graft site. Transplantation 2006;82(5):712–715. [PubMed: 16969298]
- 42. Suszynski TM, Wildey GM, Falde EJ, Cline GW, Maynard KS, Ko N, et al. The ATP/DNA ratio is a better indicator of islet cell viability than the ADP/ATP ratio. Transplantation proceedings 2008;40(2):346–350. [PubMed: 18374063] This paper demonstrates that the ATP/DNA ratio is a better measure of viability than the ADP/ATP ratio in preparations containing varying proportions of viable and non-viable cells and islets. Despite these findings, ATP/DNA suffers from similar limitations as the ADP/ATP ratio, in that, ATP levels fluctuate rapidly and often reversibly.
- 43. Thomas F, Wu J, Contreras JL, Smyth C, Bilbao G, He J, et al. A tripartite anoikis-like mechanism causes early isolated islet apoptosis. Surgery 2001;130(2):333–338. [PubMed: 11490368]
- Cattan P, Berney T, Schena S, Molano RD, Pileggi A, Vizzardelli C, et al. Early assessment of apoptosis in isolated islets of Langerhans. Transplantation 2001;71(7):857–862. [PubMed: 11349716]
- 45. Pipeleers DG, Pipeleers-Marichal M, Hannaert JC, Berghmans M, In't Veld PA, et al. Transplantation of purified islet cells in diabetic rats. I. Standardization of islet cell grafts. Diabetes 1991;40(7):908–919. [PubMed: 2060727]
- 46. Pipeleers DG, Pipeleers-Marichal MA. A method for the purification of single A, B and D cells and for the isolation of coupled cells from isolated rat islets. Diabetologia 1981;20(6):654–663. [PubMed: 6114890]
- Weir GC, Halban PA, Meda P, Wollheim CB, Orci L, Renold AE. Dispersed adult rat pancreatic islet cells in culture: A, B, and D cell function. Metabolism 1984;33(5):447–453. [PubMed: 6201694]
- Papas KK, Constantinidis I, Sambanis A. Cultivation of recombinant, insulin-secreting AtT-20 cells as free and entrapped spheroids. Cytotechnology 1993;13(1):1–12. [PubMed: 7764602]
- 49. Pisania, A. Development of quantitative methods for quality assessment of islets of Langerhans. Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology; 2007.

- 50. Stubenitsky BM, Booster MM, Brasile L, Green EM, Haisch CE, Singh HK, et al. II: Ex vivo viability testing of kidneys after postmortem warm ischemia. ASAIO J 2000;46(1):62–64. [PubMed: 10667719]
- Yang H, Jia XM, Acker JP, Lung G, McGann LE. Routine assessment of viability in splitthickness skin. J Burn Care Rehabil 2000;21(2):99–104. [PubMed: 10752741]
- Zhang Y, Ohkohchi N, Oikawa K, Sasaki K, Satomi S. Assessment of viability of the liver graft in different cardiac arrest models. Transplantation proceedings 2000;32(7):2345–2347. [PubMed: 11120194]
- Ricciardi R, Foley DP, Quarfordt SH, Vittimberga FJ, Kim RD, Donohue SE, et al. Hemodynamic and metabolic variables predict porcine ex vivo liver function. J Surg Res 2001;96(1):114–119. [PubMed: 11181004]
- Schwitalla S, Heres F, Rohl FW, Pfau G, Kessling C. [Intraoperative oxygen consumption and organ function in liver transplantation]. Anaesthesiol Reanim 2001;26(4):88–94. [PubMed: 11552435]
- Martin J, Yerebakan C, Goebel H, Benk C, Krause M, Derjung G, et al. Viability of the myocardium after twenty-four-hour heart conservation--a preliminary study. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2003;51(4):196–203. [PubMed: 14502456]
- 56. Sweet IR, Gilbert M. Contribution of calcium influx in mediating glucose-stimulated oxygen consumption in pancreatic islets. Diabetes 2006;55(12):3509–3519. [PubMed: 17130499]
- 57. Papas KK, Colton CK, Qipo A, Wu H, Nelson RA, Hering BJ, et al. Prediction of marginal mass required for successful islet transplantation. J Invest Surg. In press. . This paper describes a correlation between OCR<sub>TX</sub>, OCR/DNA and transplantation outcome in diabetic mice. The strength of the correlations indicates that islet functional data may not be required for predicting transplantation outcome in mice.
- Wang W, Upshaw L, Strong DM, Robertson RP, Reems J. Increased oxygen consumption rates in response to high glucose detected by a novel oxygen biosensor system in non-human primate and human islets. The Journal of endocrinology 2005;185(3):445–455. [PubMed: 15930171]
- Papas KK, Long RC Jr, Sambanis A, Constantinidis I. Development of a bioartificial pancreas: I. long-term propagation and basal and induced secretion from entrapped betaTC3 cell cultures. Biotechnol Bioeng 1999;66(4):219–230. [PubMed: 10578092]
- Papas KK, Long RC Jr, Sambanis A, Constantinidis I. Development of a bioartificial pancreas: II. Effects of oxygen on long-term entrapped betaTC3 cell cultures. Biotechnol Bioeng 1999;66(4): 231–237. [PubMed: 10578093]
- Mukundan NE, Flanders PC, Constantinidis I, Papas KK, Sambanis A. Oxygen consumption rates of free and alginate-entrapped beta TC3 mouse insulinoma cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995;210(1):113–118. [PubMed: 7741729]
- 62. Low, CA. Transient oxygen consumption rate measurements with the BD<sup>™</sup> Oxygen Biosensor System. Cambridge: Massachussetts Institute of Technology; 2008.
- 63. Migliavacca B, Nano R, Antonioli B, Marzorati S, Davalli AM, Di Carlo V, et al. Identification of in vitro parameters predictive of graft function: a study in an animal model of islet transplantation. Transplantation proceedings 2004;36(3):612–613. [PubMed: 15110611]

#### TABLE 1

#### Product release criteria for clinical islet preparation

TYPE OF TEST	PRODUCT TEST	SPECIFICATION	TYPE OF SAMPLE
Safety	Endotoxin	< 5 EU/kg	6
	Gram stain	No organisms detected within limits of assay	Supernatant of islet suspension in transplant media
Identity	Islet count (IE/kg)	5,000–20,000 (1 <sup>st</sup> transplant) 3,000–20,000 (Re-transplants)	Islets in transplant media
	Purity	≥ 30%	
Viability	Dye exclusion (FDA/PI)	≥ 70%	Islets after overnight culture and in transplant media
Potency	Glucose stimulated insulin release (ELISA)	Stimulation Index >1	Islets after overnight culture

EU = Endotoxin unit

IE = Islet equivalent, defined as a volume of islet tissue equal to that of a sphere having a 150  $\mu$ m diameter [11] DTZ = Dithizone

FDA/PI = Fluorescein diacetate/propidium iodide

#### TABLE 2

#### TABLE 2A

ASSAY	STRENGTHS	LIMITATIONS
Islet count (IE)	Relatively easy to perform Counts Experienced islet isolation centers have standardized procedures	Visual assessment of 3D islet in 2D planes contributes to error Sample may not be representative of whole preparation Presence of contaminant tissue (e.g., exocrine cells, ganglia, etc) may complicate counts
Purity (DTZ)	Stain differentiates between exocrine and islet tissue Relative ease of use Rapid assessment	Visual assessment of 3D islet in 2D planes contributes to error Provides no information regarding viability of preparation
Cell membrane integrity (FDA/PI)	Relative ease of use Can be performed prospectively Fractional viability can be estimated by dye exclusion	Visual assessment of 3D islet in 2D planes contributes to error Impossible to identify irreversibly damaged cells whose plasma membranes have not yet been permeabilized FDA may be additionally cleaved by lipases or esterases from non-endocrine tissue, over- estimating the true islet viability Visual counting is operator dependent Background fluorescence (with certain combinations or high concentrations of dyes) can obscure approximations Counterstain may not provide enough contrast Dyes rely on diffusion to penetrate into islet core Lack of correlation with mitochondrial function assays, NMB and clinical outcomes Does not discriminate endocrine (islet) from exocrine (contaminant) tissue
Glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS)	May provide information regarding potency of islet preparation	Unable to predict true islet potency or transplant outcome Islets may not be as responsive to glucose stimulus <i>in vitro</i> but may still reverse diabetes <i>in vivo</i> Difficult to account for degranulation of $\beta$ cells following glucose stimulus or "leaky" cells with damaged plasma membranes

#### TABLE 2B

Strengths and limitations of the diabetic nude mouse bioassay

ASSAY	STRENGTHS	LIMITATIONS
Nude mouse bioassay (NMB)	Most reliable <i>in vivo</i> assessment of islet potency Results correlate with clinical outcome	Assay can only be used retrospectively (days to weeks for outcomes) Impure preparations may yield false negative transplant outcomes The severity and duration of the diabetic state of the mouse affects the predictive outcome of the assay Islets are transplanted into the kidney capsule, not into the hepatic portal system (thereby not fully representing the current clinical protocol) Mice are susceptible to developing other conditions (e.g., infection) that can also affect outcome Does not account for immunologic rejection or the effect of immunosuppressive agents on islets The assay carries several practical challenges (e.g., induction of diabetes needs to be timed with islet isolation)

Advantages and disadvantage	of assays being under consideration f	or clinical islet quality assessment

ASSAY	REFERENCES	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
Membrane integrity tests			
SLM/FM C/EH SYTO®/EB AO/PI	[12,15,16]	Similar advantages as FDA/PI (See Table 2A) Some stains may exhibit greater sensitivity in detecting islet cell membrane damage	Similar disadvantages as FDA/PI (See Table 2A) Certain dyes are chemically unstable or form crystals which can manifest as visual artifacts Some dyes can exhibit islet toxicity (fragmentation, e.g., C)
FACS 7-AAD <sup>†</sup> Topro3 <sup>†</sup>	[16,19,29]	Minimizes diffusion limitations More quantitative Minimizes operator dependence Allows possibility of $\beta$ -cell specificity Membrane integrity can be approximated using the 7-AAD sequential staining procedure	Requires dissociation (except sequential staining with 7-AAD) of islet aggregates, resulting in irreversible cell damage and loss (i.e., anoikis) Relative subjectivity in gating cellular subpopulations in FACS Requires expensive equipment and training
Other cell death and mitochone	drial assays		
Caspase activation (VADFMK <sup>†</sup> )	[25,29]	Detects early apoptotic events Rapid measurement	Provides "snapshot" of early apoptotic events, bu may not detect late apoptotic or necrotic cells May not account for caspase independent mechanisms of cell death May require dissociation of islets
PS Externalization (Annexin V <sup>†</sup> ) DNA Fragmentation (TUNEL <sup>†</sup> ) Ca <sup>2+</sup> Leakage(Fura Red <sup>†</sup> )	[25,29,31]	May detect both apoptosis and necrosis	Difficult to use prospectively, because the assay may require histological staining and subsequent analysis (i.e., Annexin V) May require dissociation of islets
Reduction potential Tetrazolium salts	[16,33]	Detects reducing capacity of islets Relative ease of use Inexpensive Useful in comparing effects of single variables on the oxidative state of a preparation Can be performed on intact islets	Reduction of salts involves complex reactions and may reflect local $pO_2$ changes or differences in the compositions of cell culture media Accumulation of insoluble byproduct of reduction reaction (in MTT assay) is toxic to assayed cell preparation
Bioenergetic status ADP/ATP ATP/DNA ATP/protein ATP/IE	[27-28,30,42]	Relative ease of use Inexpensive Low islet requirement (~100 IE) ATP and ADP play a particularly critical role in insulin secretion (ie, islet function) Can be performed on intact islets	ATP concentrations fluctuate rapidly (short half- life) and are sensitive to transient changes in loca conditions (i.e., glucose levels, pO <sub>2</sub> , pH) Islets are difficult to assay because of differences between environmental conditions experienced by cells located in the core versus the periphery ADP/ATP measurements do not account for non- viable cells ADP measurements by luminescence assay may be unreliable
Mitochondrial membrane potential JC-1 TMRE <sup>†</sup> Rh123	[16,19,31]	Detects loss of mitochondrial polarization, which occurs during early apoptosis and during necrosis	Difficult to quantify absolute changes in MMP May require dissociation of islets

IE = Islet equivalent, defined as a volume of islet tissue equal to that of a sphere having a 150  $\mu m$  diameter [11]

DTZ = Dithizone

FDA/PI = Fluorescein diacetate/propidium iodide

NMB = Nude mouse bioassay

#### Abbreviations and Legend

SLM = Standard light microscopy FM = Fluorescence microscopy C = Calcein AM, green membrane permeable fluorescent dye EH/EB= Ethidium homodimer or ethidium bromide, red-orange membrane impermeable fluorescent dye FDA = Fluorescein diacetate, membrane permeable dye that fluoresces green after cleavage by non-specific esterases PI = Propidium iodide, red membrane impermeable fluorescent dye SYTO® = Green membrane permeable fluorescent dye AO = Acridine orange, green membrane-permeable fluorescent dye IE = Islet equivalent, defined as a spherical aggregate of pancreatic endocrine cells of 150  $\mu$ m diameter FACS = Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (or flow cytometry) 7-AAD = 7-aminoactinomycin D, membrane-impermeable fluorescent dye VADFMK = Membrane permeable caspase ligand (inhibitor) PS = PhosphatidylserineMTT = Tetrazolium salt, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide DNA = Deoxyribonucleic acid, measured using commercial fluorimetric assay ATP = Adenosine triphosphate

ADP = Adenosine diphosphate

MMP = Mitochondrial membrane potential

 $^{\dagger}$  Assay has been used on islets in conjunction with FACS, which requires the dispersal of islet clusters. Dissociating islets typically involves harsh enzymatic digestion with serine proteases that results in the disruption of cell-matrix interactions, cellular damage and death (e,g., anoikis). It is important to note that FACS analysis in itself is associated with inherent limitations, including the relative subjectivity of gating cell subpopulations, the large sample required for analysis (~1000s IE), high cost of equipment, extensive training and complex methodology that is susceptible to error

Z	
Ŧ	
× ×	
Author	
or N	
lan	
nuscrip	
īpt	

Papas et al.

mption rate
en consui
of oxyg
ent methodologies used in the measurement of oxygen consumption rate
th
Ξ.
used
ogies
5
þ
methc
Current

SYSTEM	METHOD	KEFEKENCE	QUANTITIES	TISSUE		
Perifusion bioreactor	Phosphorescence lifetime	[56]	$\Delta OCR_{glc}$	Rat islets	Real-time assessment of transient dynamics (e.g., glucose	Complex system with limited to use in research
		[34]	ΔΟCR <sub>glc</sub> ΟCR <sub>TX</sub>	Human islets	responsiveness, c.a prockade, protein synthesis inhibition) May provide β-cell specific information	
Stirred microchamber	Fluorescence quenching	[33]	OCR/DNA OCR/cell	βTC3 cells Rat islets Porcine islets	Quantitative, rapid and prospective assessment of an islet preparation Operator independent	May not differentiate between OCR attributed to islets or other cells in a preparation
		[32]	OCR <sub>TX</sub> OCR/DNA	Human islets	-	
		[57]	OCR <sub>TX</sub> OCR/DNA	Rat islets		
Static culture	Fluorescence microplate reader	[58]	OCR <sub>GS</sub> OCR <sub>hgle</sub> /OCR <sub>lgle</sub>	NHP islets Human islets	Simple, inexpensive and rapid assessment	May not provide accurate estimates of OCR Limited experience with its use in this application
		[26]	OCR AOCR <sub>gic</sub> /DNA	Human islets		Complex theoretical estimation of pO <sub>2</sub>

Curr Opin Organ Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 1.

OCRhglc/OCRlglc = Defined by the authors of the paper<sup>37</sup> as the Stimulation Index, a ratio of OCR measured at high glucose concentrations (16.7 or 33.3 mM) to OCR measured at basal concentrations

 $\Delta OCR_{glc}/DNA = Defined as the OCR Index$ , a ratio of the estimated  $\Delta OCR_{glc}$  normalized to DNA

DNA = Deoxyribonucleic acid, measured using commercial fluorimetric assay OCR/DNA = Measure of OCR normalized to DNA, represents the fractional viability of a cellular/islet preparation

OCRGS = Glucose-stimulated OCR

(2.8 or 5.6 mM)