JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Phase II Trial of Bevacizumab, Thalidomide, Docetaxel, and Prednisone in Patients With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer

Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Philip M. Arlen, Sukyung Woo, Seth M. Steinberg, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Jane B. Trepel, Min-Jung Lee, Yeong Sang Kim, Haihao Sun, Ravi A. Madan, Lea Latham, Elizabeth Jones, Clara C. Chen, William D. Figg, and William L. Dahut

A B S T R A C T

Purpose

We previously demonstrated that thalidomide appears to add to the activity of docetaxel in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Phase II studies combining docetaxel with bevacizumab have had substantial antitumor activity. We hypothesized that the combination of docetaxel plus these antiangiogenic drugs with different targets would have substantial clinical activity. To explore safety and efficacy, this was tested in mice and in human patients.

Patients and Methods

Preclinical efficacy of the combination therapy was evaluated in PC3 xenograft mice. Sixty patients with progressive metastatic CRPC received intravenous docetaxel and bevacizumab plus oral thalidomide and prednisone. The primary end point was a prostate-specific antigen (PSA) decline of \geq 50%. Secondary end points included time to progression, overall survival, and safety.

Results

In the mouse model, combination therapy of docetaxel, bevacizumab, and thalidomide inhibited tumor growth most effectively. In the clinical trial, 90% of patients receiving the combination therapy had PSA declines of \geq 50%, and 88% achieved a PSA decline of \geq 30% within the first 3 months of treatment. The median time to progression was 18.3 months, and the median overall survival was 28.2 months in this group with a Halabi-predicted survival of 14 months. While toxicities were manageable, all patients developed grade 3/4 neutropenia.

Conclusion

The addition of bevacizumab and thalidomide to docetaxel is a highly active combination with manageable toxicities. The estimated median survival is encouraging, given the generally poor prognosis of this patient population. These results suggest that definitive clinical trials combining antiangiogenic agent combinations with docetaxel are warranted to improve treatment outcomes for patients with metastatic CRPC.

J Clin Oncol 28:2070-2076. Published by the American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

Metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) is a leading cause of cancer death in men.¹ The current recommended treatment of patients with CRPC is the chemotherapeutic agent docetaxel.² Previous studies show a median overall survival (OS) of 19.2 months for patients receiving docetaxel and prednisone compared with 16.3 months for patients receiving mitoxantrone and prednisone.³ In an effort to prolong survival in this patient population, various antiangiogenic agents have been studied in combination with docetaxel.⁴⁻⁶

Angiogenesis plays an important role in the progression of prostate cancer and is inversely asso-

ciated with survival rates.⁷⁻¹⁰ In a previous randomized phase II clinical trial of patients with CRPC we found that, compared with docetaxel alone, docetaxel plus thalidomide was associated with a higher prostate-specific antigen (PSA) response rate (51% v 37%) and improved OS (25.9 v 14.7 months; P = .040).⁶ Picus et al¹¹ conducted a phase II study testing docetaxel in combination with bevacizumab and estramustine. The promising activity of this combination led to a phase III study comparing docetaxel and prednisone with docetaxel, bevacizumab, and prednisone. The antitumor activity in these phase II studies suggests a potential role for antiangiogenic therapy in combination with chemotherapy in the treatment of metastatic CRPC.

From the Laboratory of Tumor Immunology and Biology, Clinical Pharmacology Research Program, and Biostatistics and Data Management Section, Medical Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research; Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program, Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis; Division of Cancer Prevention, Preclinical Development Research Core; and Department of Radiology and Imaging Science, Clinical Center, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

Submitted August 11, 2009; accepted December 22, 2009; published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on March 22, 2010.

Supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health.

Presented in part at the 44th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, May 30-June 3, 2008, Chicago, IL.

The results and discussions presented herein do not represent the views of these federal agencies, nor does use of the combined antiangiogenic therapy imply approval of the combination by these agencies.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Clinical Trials repository link available on JCO.org.

Corresponding author: William D. Figg, PharmD, Bldg 10/Rm 5A01, 10 Center Dr, Bethesda, MD 20892; e-mail: wdfigg@ helix.nih.gov.

Published by the American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/10/2812-2070/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.25.4524

On the basis of these studies and the knowledge that a complex array of genes control tumor activity, it can be inferred that an optimal antiangiogenic approach will require a combination of different types of treatments.¹²⁻¹⁵ Since thalidomide and bevacizumab act through different mechanisms, it has been hypothesized that these two drugs would be excellent candidates for a treatment cocktail. Thalidomide appears to inhibit the action of basic fibroblast growth factors, endothelial cell proliferation, circulating endothelial cells, and expression of tumor necrosis factor α , while bevacizumab acts selectively by neutralizing vascular endothelial growth factor.¹⁶ Thus, we evaluated the combination of bevacizumab, thalidomide, and docetaxel first for safety in mouse models and then for efficacy in patients with metastatic CRPC as a phase II clinical trial.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Xenograft Mouse Model

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) is accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) International and follows the Public Health Service (PHS) Policy for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animal care was provided in accordance with the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*.¹⁷ The study protocol was approved by the NCI Animal Care and Use Committee.

Six-week-old, male, severe combined immunodeficiency mice were obtained from the NCI-Frederick Animal Production Area. PC3 cells, grown to 80% confluence, were washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline buffer, and 1 million cells/100 μ L were injected subcutaneously into the rear flank of each mouse. Mice were monitored and weighed three times per week. When tumors became palpable, mice were randomly assigned to eight groups (n = 5to 8) and treated with single agents or with combinations of two or three agents. Mice receiving 100 µL of saline intraperitoneally (IP) 5 days/wk served as a vehicle control. Three groups of mice received a single agent alone: bevacizumab (5 mg/kg IP twice a week), docetaxel (10 mg/kg intravenously once a week), or thalidomide (100 mg/kg IP 5 days/wk). Three groups of mice were treated as follows at the same doses and schedules as mice receiving single agents: (1) docetaxel plus bevacizumab, (2) docetaxel plus thalidomide, or (3) docetaxel plus bevacizumab and thalidomide. Three weeks of treatment were followed by 1 week of follow-up, and treatment response was monitored by measuring tumor volume.

Patient Criteria

Eligible patients had castration-resistant metastatic adenocarcinoma of the prostate. Standard Prostate-Specific Antigen Working Group eligibility criteria were used.¹⁸ Patients must have had adequate organ functions (Appendix, online only). They were ineligible if they had grade ≥ 2 peripheral neuropathy, uncontrolled persistent systolic blood pressure ≥ 170 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥ 100 mmHg, or were taking anticoagulant drugs. Patients were also excluded if they had brain and/or leptomeningeal metastases confirmed by imaging, or any other active malignancy within the past 2 years, excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer and superficial bladder carcinoma.

Study Design

This was an open-label, single-arm, phase II study of bevacizumab and thalidomide plus docetaxel and prednisone in patients with progressive metastatic CRPC. The NCI's institutional review board approved the study, and all patients provided signed consent. The primary objective was to evaluate whether this combination regimen resulted in substantial antitumor activity. The trial followed a two-stage Min-Max design¹⁹ to rule out an undesirably low PSA response rate of 65% ($P_0 = .65$) and to target an 80% PSA response rate ($P_1 = .80$). The 65% response rate was based on the reported activity of the combination of bevacizumab with docetaxel and estramustine in the same disease setting, which showed 65% of patients experiencing a decrease in PSA of $\geq 50\%$.¹¹ Secondary objectives included evaluation of progression-free survival (PFS), OS, pharmacokinetics of the study agents, circulating apoptotic endothelial cells (CAECs) before and after treatment, and safety.

Treatment Plan and Dose Modifications

Patients received docetaxel (Taxotere, Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Bridgewater, NJ) intravenously at 75 mg/m² over 60 minutes and bevacizumab (Avastin, Genentech, South San Francisco, CA) at 15 mg/kg over 30 minutes on day 1 of each 21-day cycle, plus oral thalidomide (Celgene, Warren, NJ) at 200 mg/d and prednisone at 10 mg/d. Patients also received enoxaparin at 1 mg/kg/d subcutaneously beginning on day 1 to prevent thrombosis^{6,20} and received pegfilgrastim, zoledronic acid, and ongoing androgen deprivation therapy as indicated.

Patients were evaluated every 3 weeks, including PSA levels. Staging radiographic studies, including computed tomography scans of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis as well as technetium-99m (^{99m}Tc) bone scintigraphy, were performed at baseline, after the second cycle, and every three cycles thereafter.

Docetaxel was withheld for grade 4 hematologic toxicity or grade ≥ 3 nonhematologic toxicity at time of treatment. For grade 3 or 4 neutropenia, docetaxel was continued at the regular dose with the addition of pegfilgrastim administered 24 hours after docetaxel infusion. If patients receiving pegfilgrastim experienced grade 4 or febrile neutropenia, docetaxel was decreased by 25%. Thalidomide was withheld for any grade 3 or 4 nonhematologic toxicity related to thalidomide or for grade 2 peripheral neuropathy. Thalidomide was resumed at 50% when toxicities resolved to grade 1 or baseline, unless grade ≥ 2 peripheral neuropathy recurred, in which case thalidomide was discontinued. For patients with grade 2 thalidomide-related toxicities, thalidomide was reduced 50 to 100 mg at a time to alleviate adverse effects, and then slowly escalated to maximum-tolerated dose.

Patients with thalidomide-related toxicities were allowed to continue docetaxel and bevacizumab, whereas no dose reduction was allowed for bevacizumab. Bevacizumab was withheld if urine dipstick protein was ≥ 2 g, was resumed when 24-hour protein was < 2g, and was discontinued for grade 4 hypertension, grade ≥ 2 thrombosis, a nonhealing wound, or persistent (≥ 3 weeks) grade 3 or 4 adverse events. Patients withdrawn from bevacizumab were allowed to continue docetaxel and thalidomide unless thrombosis developed, in which case thalidomide and bevacizumab were simultaneously discontinued.

Evaluation of Response and Toxicity and Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Tumor response was based on the Prostate-Specific Antigen Working Group criteria and standard Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) for measurable disease.^{18,21} Adverse events were assessed according to the NCI Common Toxicity Criteria, version 3.

Initially, disease progression was determined by PSA criteria or tumor progression was determined by RECIST, new lesion on bone scan, or symptomatic deterioration. After 22 patients were enrolled, the protocol was amended to eliminate the use of PSA criteria alone for study discontinuation, because changes in overall clinical status were not well reflected by these criteria. Before this amendment, 12 patients had been removed from the study on the basis of PSA progression. For those 12 patients, the disease progression was based on Prostate-Specific Antigen Working Group I criteria (including PSA-only progression). Thereafter, patients continued treatment until there was evidence of clinical progression or radiographic progression.

Plasma bevacizumab concentrations were measured using a modified enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, as previously described,^{22,23} and the CAEC analysis was performed using flow cytometry (LSRII, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ; Appendix).

Statistical Analysis

For the primary end point, percentage of change in PSA from baseline to nadir was calculated and reported in a waterfall plot. Proportions of patients with PSA declines of \geq 50% at any point, \geq 75% at any point, and \geq 30% within the first 3 months of treatment were tabulated.

For key secondary objectives, PFS was defined from on-study date to date of first observation of disease progression or last follow-up. OS was defined



Fig 1. Efficacy of therapeutic agents in PC3 xenograft mouse models following vehicle (solid circle), docetaxel (solid square), thalidomide (solid triangle), or bevacizumab (inverted solid triangle) alone; a dual combination of docetaxel and thalidomide (solid diamond), docetaxel and bevacizumab (open circle), thalidomide and bevacizumab (open square); and a combination of docetaxel, thalidomide, and bevacizumab (open triangle). Values are means from five to eight mice. Doc, docetaxel; Thal, thalidomide; BV, bevacizumab.

from on-study date to date of death from any cause. Patients remaining on-study or alive at time of analyses were censored at date of last follow-up. The probability of PFS and OS was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

RESULTS

Efficacy Study in Mice

Treatment with thalidomide or bevacizumab alone inhibited tumor growth by 16% in PC3 xenograft mice compared with vehicletreated mice (Fig 1). Combining these two agents did not further enhance antitumor activity. Docetaxel alone reduced tumor volume approximately 52% after 2 weeks of treatment. Bevacizumab plus docetaxel led to an earlier onset of tumor reduction than thalidomide plus docetaxel, although tumor shrinkage was similar for both treatment groups after 2 weeks. Mice receiving docetaxel with dual antiangiogenic therapy showed the greatest decrease in tumor volume (71%).

Clinical Study

The characteristics of the 60 patients enrolled in the first- and second-stage cohorts are summarized in Table 1. Many patients had unfavorable prognostic factors, as evidenced by involvement of soft tissue and visceral diseases, a high Gleason score at diagnosis, and short prestudy PSA doubling time. Two of the 60 patients had no detectable PSA activity. Based on the Halabi nomogram,²⁴ the estimated median survival for this patient population was approximately 14 months.

Table 1. Demographics and Disease Characteristics of EnrolledPatients (n = 60)				
Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics	No.		%	
Age, years Median Range		66 44-79		
Race White African American Hispanic	49 9 2		82 15 3	
Gleason score at diagnosis Median Range ≥ 8 ≤ 7 Unclassified	39 20 1	8 5-10	65 33 2	
Prior treatment Prostatectomy Radiotherapy Neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy Secondary hormonal therapy	56 6 6 57		93 10 10 95	
On-study PSA, ng/mL Median Range	(99).9-4,399.0*		
Prestudy PSA doubling time, months Median Range		1.6 0.3-18.2		
Metastases Bone only Soft tissue only Bone and soft tissue Visceral involvement Patients with measurable disease according to RECIST	23 6 31 8 33		38 10 52 13 55	
Hemoglobin, g/dL Median Range		12.7 8.3-14.3		
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L Median Range		191 112-1,280		
Alkaline phosphatase, U/L Median Range		107 45-720		
ECOG performance status 0 1 2	8 48 4		13 80 7	
Pain at baseline Predicted survival, months† Median Range	29	14 < 6-27	48	
Criteria in Solid Tumors; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.				

*One patient had no detectable PSA activity. †Based on the Halabi survival nomogram.²⁴

At the time data were evaluated, six patients remained on study. Of the remaining 54 patients, 41 had disease progression, seven withdrew voluntarily, five discontinued for toxicity, and one died of accidental aspiration. A total of 1,278 treatment cycles were administered, with a median of 20 cycles (range, 2 to 55). During treatment,



Fig 2. Maximum percent change in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) from baseline in patients.

41 patients (68%) required dose modifications of thalidomide, including 33 (55%) with dose reductions and eight patients (13%) who discontinued thalidomide; 16 patients had a 25% dose reduction in docetaxel and seven had bevacizumab discontinued for toxicity. All patients were eligible for safety and efficacy analyses.

Response and Survival

Of the 58 patients with PSA-active disease (Fig 2), 52 had PSA declines of \geq 50% (89.6%; 95% CI, 78.8% to 96.1%), four had PSA declines of < 50%, two had rising PSA while on study, 44 (76%) had \geq 75% PSA decline, and 51 (87.9%) achieved PSA declines of \geq 30% in the first 3 months of treatment. Among the 33 patients with measurable disease, there were two confirmed complete responses and 19 confirmed partial responses, with an overall response rate of 64%. Eleven patients had stable disease as their best response and one had disease progression.

PFS was a secondary end point (Fig 3A). Estimated median time to progression was 18.3 months. This number includes the 12 patients who came off the study for PSA progression and the remaining patients who were required to have clinical or radiographic progression. Thirty-eight of the 60 patients (63%) died after a median potential follow-up of 34 months. The estimated OS curve is shown in Figure 3B. The median survival time from study entry was 28.2 months.

Toxicity

The most common and clinically significant toxicities are summarized in Table 2. All of the patients developed grade 3/4 neutropenia, while only 20% had grade 3/4 anemia or thrombocytopenia. Grade 3/4 nonhematologic toxicities with an incidence of > 10%included hypertension and syncope. Significant grade 2 thalidomiderelated adverse reactions included constipation (55%), fatigue (35%), peripheral neuropathy (13%, sensory in most cases), and depression (10%). In addition, grade 2 osteonecrosis of the jaw was observed in 18.3% of patients, which was considerably higher than the previously reported rate. 25,26

Severe adverse events possibly related to the use of bevacizumab included death (n = 1) due to myocardial infarction that was complicated by the development of aortic dissection, grade 4 aortic dissection (n = 1) that resolved after conservative management, grade 3 GI perforation amenable to repair (n = 2), grade 3/4 rectal fistula or ulcer (n = 3), grade 4 nephrotic syndrome (n = 1), grade 3/4 thrombosis (n = 4), and grade 3 bleeding (n = 5). Except for the patient with myocardial infarction, all of these patients recovered and the majority were able to remain on study with discontinuation of bevacizumab.

Pharmacokinetics

Bevacizumab pharmacokinetics were assessed in 57 of 60 patients for cycle 1 and 50 of 60 patients for cycle 2. On average, plasma concentrations remained above 52.84 µg/mL (range, 11.94 to 96.09 μ g/mL) at 3 weeks after the first dose and above 90.57 μ g/mL (range, 39.63 to 194.08 μ g/mL) before the third dose. Area under the plasma concentration-time curve up to the last sampling (AUC_{last}) was calculated based on plasma concentrations collected approximately 21 to 23 days after each dose. The mean AUC_{last} from the first dose was 2,805 μ g/mL/d, with a percent coefficient of variation of 20%. The median accumulation ratio after the second dose, calculated on the basis of AUClast, was 1.22 (range, 1.01 to 1.72). As with other immunoglobulin G antibodies,27,28 a low clearance and limited volume of distribution were seen in bevacizumab pharmacokinetics. The mean bevacizumab clearance (0.401 L/d) was slightly higher than previously reported values.²⁹ This was not attributed to concomitant docetaxel and/or thalidomide, since studies have shown that bevacizumab disposition displays linearly over a wide range of doses, and chemotherapy has a minimal effect on bevacizumab pharmacokinetics.^{29,30}



Fig 3. Kaplan-Meier analysis of (A) progression-free survival and (B) overall survival for all patients on study.

Changes in CAECs

CAECs may serve as a marker for assessing a treatment's antiangiogenic activity. CAEC levels were measured at baseline and again at 6 weeks (after two cycles of treatment) in 17 study patients. CAEC levels were compared by using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, categorizing patients with < 75% PSA decline in one group and > 75% PSA decline in another group (Fig 4). Patients with \ge 75% PSA decline had a significant increase in CAEC levels compared with those who had < 75% PSA decline (P = .02). There was also a strong inverse correlation between relative change in PSA over 6 weeks and the absolute difference in CAECs (r = -0.82; P < .001).

DISCUSSION

We evaluated the efficacy of an antiangiogenic therapy combining bevacizumab and thalidomide, plus a conventional regimen of docetaxel and prednisone, in both a preclinical model of prostate cancer and in patients with CRPC. PC3 xenograft experiments showed that this triple regimen was more effective in reducing tumor volumes throughout the treatment period compared with docetaxel alone or docetaxel plus either one of the antiangiogenic agents. Docetaxel alone elicited significant antitumor activity, but its effect was not seen until 5 days after initiation of treatment.

Adverse Event	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Constitutional			
Fatigue	21	2	0
Weight loss	5	0	0
Hematologic			
Neutropenia*	0	39	21
Lymphopenia	15	19	1
Anemia	23	6	2
Thrombocytopenia	12	3	1
Nonhematologic			
Cardiovascular/pulmonary system			
Epistaxis	3	3	0
Dysarthria/changes in voice	2	0	0
Dyspnea	11	0	0
Pleural effusion	3	1	0
Thrombosis	0	2	2
Hypertension	8	7	0
Aortic dissection	0	0	1
Myocardial infarction	0	0	1
Dermatology/skin system			
Ulcer/cellulitis	4	3	0
Hand-foot reaction	3	1	0
Digestive/hepatic system			
Taste alteration	3	0	0
Diarrhea	4	2	0
Constipation	33	0	0
Hypoalbuminemia	26	4	0
Increased ALT/AST	5	3	0
Increased bilirubin	3	0	0
GI hemorrhage	2	2	0
GI perforation	0	2	0
Rectal ulcer/fistula	0	1	2
Infection			
Neutropenic fever	N/A	5	0
Musculoskeletal system			
Osteonecrosis of the jaw	10	1	0
Nervous system			
Depression	6	0	0
Syncope	N/A	10	0
Peripheral neuropathy	8	0	0
Ocular/visual system			
Tearing or dryness	4	1	0
Renal/urinary system			
Proteinuria	3	1	0
Nephrotic syndrome	0	0	1

Abbreviation: N/A, not applicable.

*Initiation of prophylactic pegfilgrastim required for grade \geq 3 neutropenia.

However, adding an antiangiogenic agent such as bevacizumab or thalidomide to docetaxel induced inhibition of tumor growth as early as 1 day after initial treatment. Adding both bevacizumab and thalidomide to docetaxel therapy further potentiated this early onset of antitumor activity. These preclinical results provided the impetus for the clinical investigation in patients with CRPC.

In the phase II study of CRPC patients, the addition of both bevacizumab and thalidomide to docetaxel resulted in 90% of all patients having PSA declines of \geq 50% and a 64% overall response rate in those with measurable disease. Bearing in mind the limitations



Fig 4. Changes in circulating apoptotic endothelial cells (CAECs) at 6 weeks. PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

of using PSA response to predict survival, the antitumor activity, as measured by PSA response, is compelling.

Results of this study suggest that using bevacizumab and thalidomide in combination with docetaxel is more effective than a double combination employing either antiangiogenic agent with docetaxel. The single arm and small sample size of these trials clearly limit the significance of this conclusion; nevertheless, the patients in this study represent a population with a predicted median OS of 14 months. The current estimated median survival of 28.2 months after treatment almost doubles the predicted value. Furthermore, the estimated survival might increase with further follow-up, since there were only 38 deaths included in the analysis and most patients remaining alive are 18 to 36 months from their on-study dates. The Cancer and Leukemia Group B is conducting a phase III trial (CALGB-90401) assessing docetaxel with or without bevacizumab in patients with metastatic CRPC. Results of this CALGB trial validate the concept of adding an antiangiogenic agent with chemotherapy in prostate cancer. We are continuing to develop this treatment and to test the activity that lenalidomide may have over thalidomide in this regimen.

The addition of combined antiangiogenic therapy to docetaxel was generally well tolerated in most patients with expected and manageable toxicities observed. Serious adverse events such as thrombosis and other vascular complications were consistent with known adverse reactions to bevacizumab and may be related to a prolonged time on treatment (median 20 cycles, equivalent to 13.8 months). The single myocardial infarction 39 months after treatment and the episode of aortic dissection 18 months after treatment is consistent with increased risk of thromboembolic events with prolonged use of this drug. Additional positive effects of thalidomide and bevacizumab can be seen since none of the thrombotic events led to permanent disability or death despite prophylactic use of enoxaparin.

The exploratory translational study showed a strong inverse correlation between changes in CAECs and PSA level, suggesting that the drug combination may effectively inhibit tumor angiogenesis, and the antitumor activity of the combination may be caused by early changes in CAECs. This is consistent with observations from preclinical studies in prostate cancer models³¹ that suggest CAECs may serve as a biomarker for assessing antiangiogenic activity for metastatic CRPC.

Combined antiangiogenic therapy represents a new approach in the treatment of metastatic CRPC. The addition of both bevacizumab and thalidomide to docetaxel and prednisone resulted in unprecedented high response rates based on PSA changes and measurable disease, with expected and manageable toxicities. As with many combination regimens, increased toxicity (eg, GI bleeding/perforation, hypertension, syncope, and thrombosis) is noticed with this treatment, which may raise concerns regarding tolerability in the elderly CRPC patient population. However, the impressive response rate for this treatment warrants definitive evaluation. The high response rate was accompanied by an encouraging estimated median survival rate in this patient population. This warrants further studies of multiple antiangiogenic agents with different acting mechanisms combined with docetaxel.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Seth M. Steinberg, Jane B. Trepel, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut **Financial support:** Jane B. Trepel, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut **Provision of study materials or patients:** Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Philip M. Arlen, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Ravi A. Madan, Elizabeth Jones, Clara C. Chen, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut

Collection and assembly of data: Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Philip M. Arlen, Sukyung Woo, Seth M. Steinberg, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Jane B. Trepel, Min-Jung Lee, Yeong Sang Kim, Haihao Sun, Ravi A. Madan, Lea Latham, Elizabeth Jones, Clara C. Chen, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut

Data analysis and interpretation: Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Philip M. Arlen, Sukyung Woo, Seth M. Steinberg, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Jane B. Trepel, Min-Jung Lee, Yeong Sang Kim, Haihao Sun, Ravi A. Madan, Lea Latham, Elizabeth Jones, Clara C. Chen, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut

Manuscript writing: Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Sukyung Woo, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Jane B. Trepel, Ravi A. Madan, Elizabeth Jones, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut

Final approval of manuscript: Yang-Min Ning, James L. Gulley, Philip M. Arlen, Sukyung Woo, Seth M. Steinberg, John J. Wright, Howard L. Parnes, Jane B. Trepel, Min-Jung Lee, Yeong Sang Kim, Haihao Sun, Ravi A. Madan, Lea Latham, Elizabeth Jones, Clara C. Chen, William D. Figg, William L. Dahut

REFERENCES

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al: Cancer statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 59:225-249, 2009

2. Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, et al: Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 351:1502-1512, 2004

3. Berthold DR, Pond GR, Soban F, et al: Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer: Updated survival in the TAX 327 study. J Clin Oncol 26:242-245, 2008

4. Petrylak D: Therapeutic options in androgenindependent prostate cancer: Building on docetaxel. BJU Int 96:41-46, 2005 (suppl 2)

5. Di Lorenzo G, Figg WD, Fossa SD, et al: Combination of bevacizumab and docetaxel in docetaxelpretreated hormone-refractory prostate cancer: A phase 2 study. Eur Urol 54:1089-1094, 2008

6. Dahut WL, Gulley JL, Arlen PM, et al: Randomized phase II trial of docetaxel plus thalidomide in androgen-independent prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 22:2532-2539, 2004

7. Cox MC, Permenter M, Figg WD: Angiogenesis and prostate cancer: Important laboratory and clinical findings. Curr Oncol Rep 7:215-219, 2005

8. Bok RA, Halabi S, Fei DT, et al: Vascular endothelial growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor urine levels as predictors of outcome in hormone-refractory prostate cancer patients: A Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. Cancer Res 61:2533-2536, 2001

9. Crawford ED, Eisenberger MA, McLeod DG, et al: A controlled trial of leuprolide with and without flutamide in prostatic carcinoma. N Engl J Med 321:419-424, 1989

10. Hudes GR, Greenberg R, Krigel RL, et al: Phase II study of estramustine and vinblastine, two microtubule inhibitors, in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 10:1754-1761, 1992

11. Picus J, Halabi S, Rini B, et al: The use of bevacizumab (B) with docetaxel (D) and estramustine (E) in hormone refractory prostate cancer

(HRPC): Initial results of CALGB 90006. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 22:393, 2003 (abstr 1578)

12. Kotoh T, Dhar DK, Masunaga R, et al: Antiangiogenic therapy of human esophageal cancers with thalidomide in nude mice. Surgery 125:536-544, 1999

13. Fox WD, Higgins B, Maiese KM, et al: Antibody to vascular endothelial growth factor slows growth of an androgen-independent xenograft model of prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 8:3226-3231, 2002

14. Gerber HP, Ferrara N: Pharmacology and pharmacodynamics of bevacizumab as monotherapy or in combination with cytotoxic therapy in preclinical studies. Cancer Res 65:671-680, 2005

15. Dorrell MI, Aguilar E, Scheppke L, et al: Combination angiostatic therapy completely inhibits ocular and tumor angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:967-972, 2007

16. Ferrara N, Chen H, Davis-Smyth T, et al: Vascular endothelial growth factor is essential for corpus luteum angiogenesis. Nat Med 4:336-340, 1998

17. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Washington, DC, National Academies Press, 1996

18. Bubley GJ, Carducci M, Dahut W, et al: Eligibility and response guidelines for phase II clinical trials in androgen-independent prostate cancer: Recommendations from the Prostate-Specific Antigen Working Group. J Clin Oncol 17:3461-3467, 1999

19. Simon R: Optimal two-stage designs for phase II clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 10:1-10, 1989

20. Zangari M, Fink LM, Elice F, et al: Thrombotic events in patients with cancer receiving antiangiogenesis agents. J Clin Oncol 27:4865-4873, 2009

21. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, et al: New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 92:205-216. 2000

22. Yoeruek E, Ziemssen F, Henke-Fahle S, et al: Safety, penetration and efficacy of topically applied bevacizumab: Evaluation of eyedrops in corneal neovascularization after chemical burn. Acta Ophthalmol 86:322-328, 2008

23. Bakri SJ, Snyder MR, Pulido JS, et al: Sixmonth stability of bevacizumab (Avastin) binding to vascular endothelial growth factor after withdrawal into a syringe and refrigeration or freezing. Retina 26:519-522, 2006

24. Halabi S, Small EJ, Kantoff PW, et al: Prognostic model for predicting survival in men with hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 21:1232-1237, 2003

25. Aragon-Ching JB, Ning YM, Chen CC, et al: Higher incidence of osteonecrosis of the Jaw (ONJ) in patients with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer treated with anti-angiogenic agents. Cancer Invest 27:221-226, 2009

26. Woo SB, Hellstein JW, Kalmar JR: Narrative [corrected] review: Bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaws. Ann Intern Med 144:753-761, 2006

27. Bruno R, Washington CB, Lu JF, et al: Population pharmacokinetics of trastuzumab in patients with HER2+ metastatic breast cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 56:361-369, 2005

28. Trang J: Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of therapeutic and diagnostic antibodies, in Ferraiolo B, Mohler M, Gloff C (eds): Protein Pharmacokinetics and Metabolism: Pharmaceutical Biotechnology. New York, NY, Plenum Press, 1992, pp 223-270

29. Lu JF, Bruno R, Eppler S, et al: Clinical pharmacokinetics of bevacizumab in patients with solid tumors. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 62:779-786, 2008

30. Gordon MS, Margolin K, Talpaz M, et al: Phase I safety and pharmacokinetic study of recombinant human anti-vascular endothelial growth factor in patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol 19:843-850, 2001

31. Li H, Raia V, Bertolini F, et al: Circulating endothelial cells as a therapeutic marker for thalidomide in combined therapy with chemotherapy drugs in a human prostate cancer model. BJU Int 101:884-888, 2008