# Molecular Pathogenesis of Infections Caused by Legionella pneumophila

Hayley J. Newton,<sup>1</sup> Desmond K. Y. Ang,<sup>2</sup> Ian R. van Driel,<sup>2</sup> and Elizabeth L. Hartland<sup>1\*</sup>

Department of Microbiology and Immunology,<sup>1</sup> and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and the Bio21 Institute,<sup>2</sup> University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

INTRODUCTION	4
An Environmental Organism and Accidental Pathogen	5
REPLICATION OF LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA IN EUKARYOTIC CELLS: A KEY VIRULENCE	
MECHANISM	5
The Unusual Intracellular L. pneumophila-Containing Vacuole275	5
LCV Formation by Other Legionella Species	7
Bacterial Entry and Exit	7
Phagocytosis or invasion?	7
Pore formation, cytotoxicity, and bacterial egress	8
LESSONS FROM LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA GENOMICS	8
Genome Structure and Diversity	8
Preponderance of Eukaryotic Protein Motifs and Domains	9
VIRULENCE FACTORS OF LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA	0
The Dot/Icm Type IV Secretion System	0
The Dot/Icm secretion apparatus	0
Substrates of the Dot/Icm system	1
Host Cell Processes Targeted by Dot/Icm Effector Proteins	1
Vesicular trafficking pathways	1
Regulation of host GTPases	2
Phosphoinositide binding and the LCV	2
Host protein translation and induction of stress responses	2
Inhibition of apoptosis	2
Mimicking host ubiquitination pathways	3
The Lsp Type II Secretion System	3
Substrates of the Lsp Secretion System	4
The Tat, Lss, and Lvh Secretion Systems of L. pneumophila	4
The Peptidylprolyl cis-trans Isomerase Mip	5
Iron Acquisition Mechanisms and Vacuolar Nutrition	5
The Biphasic Replicative Cycle of <i>L. pneumophila</i>	6
IMMUNE RESPONSES TO LEGIONELLA INFECTION	7
Importance of Innate Immunity to Legionella Responses in Mice	7
Innate Immune Responses to Legionella during Human Infection	8
Interactions with the Adaptive Immune System	9
CONCLUDING REMARKS	9
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	0
REFERENCES	0

# INTRODUCTION

The genus *Legionella* was first described following a large outbreak of severe pneumonia among attendees at an American Legion convention in Philadelphia, PA, in 1976. Overall, there were 182 cases that resulted in 29 deaths and the hospitalization of 147 people (131). Following months of intense investigation, the causative agent was identified as a Gramnegative bacillus that was subsequently termed *Legionella pneumophila*, its name reflecting both its victims and the newly

described Legionnaires' disease (53). The discovery of *Legionella* in 1977 as well as the development of successive growth media provided a means for the retrospective study of previously unsolved outbreaks of respiratory disease as well as the environmental isolation of *Legionella*. The ability to isolate and grow the bacteria led to the rapid classification of other *Legionella* species associated with human pneumonia. Human bacterial isolates originally identified as rickettsia in the 1940s through the 1960s were also definitively identified as *Legionella* spp. by using these new techniques (121, 161).

In the relatively short period since *L. pneumophila* was first identified as a human pathogen, more than 50 species of *Legionella* have been recognized, and at least 24 of these have been associated with human disease. It is possible that under the appropriate conditions, immunocompromised people can

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Grattan Street, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia. Phone: 61 3 8344 8041. Fax: 61 3 9347 1540. E-mail: hartland@unimelb.edu.au.

be infected with any species of Legionella. The great majority of Legionnaires' disease, approximately 90%, is caused by L. pneumophila, and despite the description of at least 15 serogroups, L. pneumophila serogroup 1 is responsible for over 84% of cases worldwide (218, 237, 362). The bias toward L. pneumophila as the most prevalent Legionella species to infect humans does not reflect the environmental distribution of the genus. A large study comparing clinical and environmental Legionella isolates in France showed that L. pneumophila serogroup 1 accounted for 28% of environmental Legionella isolates compared to 95% of clinical isolates (106). That study and similar studies provided strong evidence that L. pneumophila is more pathogenic to humans than other Legionella species. The public health impact of L. pneumophila is therefore reflected in the greater research focus on this organism. Legionella bozemanae, L. micdadei, and L. longbeachae are the next most common etiological agents of Legionnaires' disease and together account for approximately 2 to 7% of Legionella infections worldwide (237). Interestingly, this trend does not hold true for Australia and New Zealand, where approximately 30% of Legionnaires' disease is attributed to L. longbeachae (362). The remaining pathogenic species of Legionella are rarely isolated from humans, and some species are classified as pathogenic based on a single reported human infection.

#### An Environmental Organism and Accidental Pathogen

Legionella bacteria are ubiquitous organisms within freshwater environments (128). L. pneumophila has been recovered from a wide range of both human-made and natural aquatic habitats, from lakes and streams to air-conditioning cooling towers, fountains, and spa baths (130, 198, 235, 300, 314). The exception to this ecological niche is L. longbeachae, which resides primarily in soil, and infection is often associated with exposure to commercial potting soil (317, 318). Legionella bacteria are not free-living aquatic bacteria; rather, they parasitize or form a commensal relationship with free-living, freshwater, and soil amoebae (288, 307, 319). Legionella species multiply intracellularly in many types of protozoa, and this relationship is central to the ecology of the organism in both aquatic and soil environments. While providing a niche for Legionella replication, amoebae also protect Legionella from harsh environmental conditions. This relationship increases the resistance of L. pneumophila to biocides, antibiotics, acid, and osmotic and thermal stress (15, 31, 84, 85, 191). Furthermore, some amoebal species expel biocide-resistant vesicles containing large numbers of L. pneumophila bacteria, which may act as airborne agents for the transmission of the bacteria (40).

Within human-made water systems, *Legionella* bacteria are found almost exclusively within complex biofilms (282). The characterization of *Legionella* within these ecosystems is difficult, but model biofilm systems have demonstrated that the replication of *L. pneumophila* within this niche depends on the presence of a protozoan host (239). Legionnaires' disease is most strongly associated with human-made aquatic environments that contain water at elevated temperatures. In particular, many disease outbreaks are linked to air-conditioning cooling towers and evaporative condensers, which can produce contaminated water droplets that are inhaled by passers by. The increased presence of these large-scale, human-made aquatic reservoirs has likely led to the increased human exposure to *Legionella* and subsequently an increased incidence of *Legionella* infection in the latter half of the 20th century. It should be emphasized that accidental human infection is a dead end for *Legionella* replication, and person-to-person transmission has never been reported. Therefore, the evolution of virulence traits in *L. pneumophila* has resulted largely from the organism's need to replicate in an intracellular niche and also avoid predation by environmental protozoa.

# REPLICATION OF *LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA* IN EUKARYOTIC CELLS: A KEY VIRULENCE MECHANISM

The innate ability of Legionella to replicate within different protozoa has equipped the bacteria with the capacity to replicate in human alveolar macrophages. The interaction of L. pneumophila with eukaryotic cells is therefore key to understanding the ability of the pathogen to cause disease. This relationship has been studied for a wide variety of protozoan and mammalian host cells. In particular, Acanthamoeba castellanii, Hartmannella vermiformis, Naegleria spp., and Dictyostelium discoideum have been used to examine the association of L. pneumophila with an environmental host. D. discoideum provides the unique advantage of being genetically tractable so that host factors involved in L. pneumophila pathogenesis can be studied by mutagenesis (320). For mammalian cells, much work has been performed by using macrophage-like tissue culture cells and mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages to characterize the relationship between L. pneumophila and the cell types implicated in human infection. Other tissue culture models such as HeLa, A549, and CHO-K1 epithelial cell derivatives have been used to characterize the intracellular niche of L. pneumophila. While some features are unique to the interactions between L. pneumophila and specific host cells, the primary mechanisms of infection appear to be the same. In all models, following internalization by the host cell, the bacterial vacuole associates transiently with mitochondria and then acquires characteristics of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Fig. 1).

#### The Unusual Intracellular L. pneumophila-Containing Vacuole

Phagosomes traditionally mature into digestive vacuoles via the endocytic pathway. This involves a progressive interaction with the endosomal network, leading to the acidification of the vacuole and the degradation of most microbes (141). The endocytic pathway is characterized by the acquisition of early endosome markers, including Rab5, a small GTPase predicted to confer specificity to membrane fusions, and early endosome antigen 1 (EEA1) (306, 365). Following the recruitment of Rab5 and EEA1, endosomes acquire Rab7 and other late endosomal proteins, including lysosome-associated membrane glycoproteins (LAMPs). The accumulation of LAMPs, cathepsin D, and other acid hydrolases ultimately leads to phagolysosome formation (210). The unusual biogenesis of the Legionella vacuole arises from the simultaneous delay of endosome fusion and the recruitment of vesicles and membrane from the host cell secretory pathway (186, 187, 241). An initial examination of L. pneumophila-containing vacuoles (LCVs) re-



FIG. 1. Biogenesis of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV). Following phagocytosis through PI-3-kinase-dependent and/or -independent mechanisms (a), within minutes, the nascent LCV avoids interactions with endosomes, fuses transiently with mitochondria, and intercepts ER exit vesicles bearing COP II markers (b). For the next several hours, the LCV maintains interactions with ER-derived vesicles, and the bacteria replicate in a vacuole surrounded by a membrane that resembles rough ER (c).

ported the formation of a novel ribosome-studded vacuole that did not undergo acidification (173, 174). Indeed, within 5 min of phagocytosis, LCVs begin to recruit ER vesicles that can be detected by the presence of the resident ER protein BiP and analysis of membrane width by electron microscopy (337). After 15 min, the phagosomal membrane becomes thinner, resembling that of the intimately attached ER vesicles rather than the plasma membrane (328, 337). Most endocytic markers, including LAMP-1, cathepsin D, and Rab5, are absent until 18 to 24 h after LCV formation (88, 171, 325, 328). Interestingly, Rab7, a small GTPase that regulates vesicular traffic from early to late endosomal stages of the endocytic pathway, is also found abundantly on LCVs (89, 339). Therefore, although the LCV does not mature along the classical endocytic pathway, there are nevertheless interactions with vesicles trafficking in both the secretory and endocytic pathways (338).

Soon after phagocytosis, the LCV rapidly acquires proteins from secretory vesicles that cycle between the ER and Golgi apparatus. The LCV specifically recruits Rab1, but not Rab2 or Rab6, to the LCV within minutes of uptake, preceding any remodeling of the vacuole (187). Rab1, Rab2, and Rab6 are small GTPases that recruit factors necessary for the fusion of ER-derived vesicles with the Golgi apparatus (7, 236). The inhibition of Rab1 activity impedes the intracellular replication of L. pneumophila, providing further evidence that its recruitment to the LCV is important for the biogenesis of the L. pneumophila replicative organelle (187). Aside from GTPase activity, vesicle tethering and fusion also involve interactions between soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors (SNAREs) on both the vesicle and target membranes (312). In mammalian cells, the SNARE Sec22b is found on ER-derived vesicles and normally binds with a SNARE complex formed by membrin, syntaxin-5, and Bet1 on

the pre-Golgi intermediate compartments (160, 360). Sec22b is delivered to the LCV membrane, providing clear evidence of fusion between LCVs and ER-derived vesicles (187). While most research examining the biogenesis of the LCV has utilized mammalian tissue culture models of infection, the formation of the replicative niche within protozoan hosts also involves a substantial diversion from the default endosomal pathway and the recruitment of rough ER (1, 49). This finding suggests that the ability of *L. pneumophila* to replicate in protozoa is closely linked to its ability to replicate in alveolar macrophages and that the fundamental processes are conserved between the two hosts.

Apart from Rab1 and Sec22b, double-stranded RNA interference techniques using Drosophila melanogaster Kc167 tissue culture cells have shown that the knockdown of the small GTPases Sar1 and ADP-ribosylating factor 1 (Arf1) decreases the intracellular replication of L. pneumophila (108). Reduced levels of expression of many other transport proteins had no effect on replication despite resulting in a severe disruption of normal host organelle biogenesis. The disruption of multiple pathways in this system, particularly Sec22 and the Golgi transport protein particle (TRAPP), did hinder L. pneumophila replication, suggesting that multiple sources of vesicles supply the LCV (108). Interestingly, the depletion of Cdc48/p97, which functions as an ATPase in a complex that recognizes ubiquitinated proteins targeted for ER-associated degradation, resulted in diminished L. pneumophila replication in both Drosophila and mammalian tissue culture cells (108). This complex was subsequently shown to associate with the LCV. Although the role of Cdc48/p97 in L. pneumophila infection remains unclear, it may assist in the translocation of bacterial effector proteins into the host cell. Overall, the ability of L. pneumophila to establish and maintain this intracellular niche

indicates that the bacteria actively and continually manipulate host cell trafficking from within the replicative vacuole.

Within 1 to 2 h of the recruitment of ER-derived vesicles to the LCV, resident ER proteins such as calnexin also become associated with the LCV, and within 4 to 6 h, the LCV membrane appears to be completely comprised of rough ER (186, 328). Replication of *L. pneumophila* bacteria then commences between 4 and 10 h after phagocytosis, and the bacteria continue to replicate within a vacuole that maintains a neutral pH (356). This finding concurs with the fact that the optimal growth of *L. pneumophila* bacteria *in vitro* occurs between pH 6.3 and 7.2 (2, 278). The nutritional environment of the LCV is poorly defined but presumably supplies the bacteria with amino acids for replication. Indeed, amino acid transporters are important for bacterial viability during intracellular replication (294). The oxidation of amino acids may also contribute to pH balance in the LCV.

Other evidence suggests that the manipulation of autophagy by L. pneumophila is important for bacterial replication. Autophagy is an important process for cellular homeostasis in which double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes) derived from the engulfment of cytoplasmic components and organelles traffic to lysosomes for degradation (114). This commonly occurs in response to nutrient starvation, as the breakdown of macromolecules provides substrates for essential biosynthetic pathways. Within permissive bone marrow-derived macrophages, L. pneumophila appears to activate the autophagy process upon infection (14). The autophagy enzymes Atg7 and Atg8 show a transient association with the LCV that eventually acquires lysosomal markers in a manner similar to that of traditional autophagosomes (14). It is possible that interactions with the autophagic pathway provide a mechanism for supplying replicating L. pneumophila bacteria with a ready source of nutrients (109). However, investigations of L. pneumophila replication within D. discoideum have shown that autophagy is not linked to L. pneumophila replication. Mutations within five different genes involved in autophagy produced defects in the autophagy process but had no impact on LCV development or the replication of L. pneumophila (257). Therefore, the contribution of autophagy to L. pneumophila replication may be a peculiarity of cell type rather than a core element of LCV formation.

#### LCV Formation by Other Legionella Species

Other species of *Legionella* replicate within various protozoan species and human-derived cell lines but with differing levels of efficiency, and very little is known about the intracellular vacuoles of these opportunistic pathogens. The properties of the replicative vacuole have been examined only for *L. micdadei* and *L. longbeachae*. Within mammalian macrophages, alveolar epithelial cells, and *H. vermiformis*, *L. micdadei* multiplies within a spacious vacuole that shows no association with rough ER (138, 353). However, in MM6 human monocytic cells, the *L. micdadei*-containing vacuole colocalizes with calnexin, a resident protein of the ER (145). These differences suggest that different strains of *L. micdadei* may evoke different mechanisms to establish an intracellular niche. Virulent strains of *L. longbeachae* show the same intracellular phenotype as *L. pneumophila*, with the replicative vacuole associated with rough ER and studded with ribosomes (145). The *L. longbeachae*-containing vacuole does, however, acquire LAMP-2, a marker of late endosomes (22). Interestingly, cytoplasmic replication has also been reported for some *Legionella* species, including *L. dumoffii* (181, 219), suggesting that there is great diversity in the mechanisms used by *Legionella* species to multiply in eukaryotic cells.

#### **Bacterial Entry and Exit**

Phagocytosis or invasion? In contrast to our understanding of LCV biogenesis, there is a surprising lack of knowledge surrounding how the bacteria are internalized by eukaryotic cells and ultimately released to infect new cells. Several competing theories regarding Legionella entry and exit differ on whether it is a pathogen-driven or host-directed response. In general, the bacteria appear to be taken up into phagocytes by conventional phagocytosis. A less common, atypical mechanism of coiling phagocytosis has been observed during the uptake of L. pneumophila bacteria in mammalian cells and amoebae (1, 49, 172); however, due to its infrequency, the significance of coiling phagocytosis to Legionella pathogenesis is questionable. Likewise, a form of macropinocytosis observed for bone marrow-derived macrophages (349) appears not to be a universal trait of Legionella uptake, as L. pneumophila Knoxville 1 and L. micdadei are phagocytosed via a classical zipperlike mechanism (271, 353). The relevance of opsonin-dependent phagocytosis to L. pneumophila infection is also dubious, given that complement levels in the lungs are generally very low (274). In addition, the phagocytosis of L. pneumophila is clearly observed in the absence of serum and occurs in cells that express complement receptors at only low levels (146, 281, 354). Furthermore, the ability of L. pneumophila to invade nonprofessional phagocytes such as A549, CHO-K1, and HeLa epithelial cells supports the hypothesis that the uptake of L. pneumophila is a virulence-directed property of the pathogen (112, 139, 222, 250).

The involvement of phosphatidylinositol 3 (PI-3)-kinase in conventional phagocytosis is well established; however, its involvement in the uptake of *L. pneumophila* is controversial. One research group found that the PI-3-kinase inhibitor wortmannin had no significant impact on the uptake of wild-type *L. pneumophila* bacteria by macrophages (189). This finding was supported by a recent study utilizing *Dictyostelium* mutants that lack two PI-3-kinase isoforms (351). However, other recent work has contradicted this finding, reporting that the nonopsonic phagocytosis of *L. pneumophila* does involve PI-3-kinase (330, 351). Regardless of whether PI-3-kinase assists *L. pneumophila* uptake, the mode of entry does not appear to influence LCV biogenesis, although PI-3-kinase-mediated uptake may influence the efficiency of LCV formation (71).

Bacterial factors implicated in *L. pneumophila* host cell invasion include at least five proteins, EnhC, LpnE, RtxA, LvhB2, and HtpB (86, 87, 140, 249, 277), although few of these have been shown definitively to play a direct role in bacterial uptake. For example, EnhC is a periplasmic protein that is required for the maintenance of cell wall integrity, and therefore, its contribution to bacterial invasion is likely to be indirect (205). The best-characterized of the entry proteins is the surface-located chaperonin HtpB or Hsp60. HtpB is upregulated

in the presence of eukaryotic cells and accumulates in the LCV after bacterial uptake. Recent work suggested that HtpB contributes to the recruitment of mitochondria to the nascent LCV, as inert beads coated with HtpB are associated with mitochondria following invasion (77). Similar to LpnE, which contributes to endosome evasion (251), HtpB may play a dual role in bacterial entry and the early development of the LCV, suggesting that these two events are closely linked.

**Pore formation, cytotoxicity, and bacterial egress.** An essential step in the development of infection and disease progression is the ability of intracellular pathogens to exit the host cell once replication has ceased, thereby allowing infection of new host cells. Tissue samples from patients and experimental animals show that *L. pneumophila* is free in the cytoplasm during late stages of replication, and the ability of *L. pneumophila* to escape from the replicative vacuole appears to be mediated by pore formation and membrane lysis (10, 54, 188, 192). Release into the host cell cytoplasm was hypothesized to cause the functional and structural disruption of cytoplasmic organelles and the disintegration of the plasma membrane, probably via further pore formation, leading to host cell osmotic lysis and bacterial egress (227).

Two main observations support a regulated mechanism of egress. First, L. pneumophila, in the postexponential phase, undergoes a number of phenotypic changes, converting to a more virulent or transmissive phenotype (10, 63). These mature, transmissive bacteria show increased levels of expression of virulence-related genes and are highly motile (59). The differentiation of L. pneumophila from a replicative form to a highly infectious mature intracellular form (MIF) occurs during intracellular growth but not in vitro. This development leads to ultrastructural changes in the bacteria, including an altered cell envelope and the presence of large inclusions within an electron-dense cytoplasm (120, 139). MIFs do not undergo cell division and are more resistant to environmental stress than replicative bacteria. MIF formation is enhanced by transient passage through the freshwater ciliate Tetrahymena, which expels live L. pneumophila bacteria as MIFs in a membrane-bound food vacuole (39). Mutants with regulatory defects that are unable to differentiate from the replicative form to the transmissive form are unable to survive within the Tetrahymena food vacuole and show an impaired ability to form MIFs (119). Hence, this regulated developmental biology of L. pneumophila likely contributes to the environmental persistence of the organism by aiding its transit through a variety of eukaryotic hosts.

The second observation is that at high multiplicities of infection with *L. pneumophila* in the transmissive phase, the bacteria induce contact-dependent cell cytotoxicity mediated by the development of pores less than 3 nm in diameter in the host cell membrane (175, 192). Originally, pore formation was thought to result from the insertion of Dot/Icm channels in the host membrane upon bacterial contact (192). Recent evidence suggests, however, that in mammalian cells, the pores are derived from the activation of the host cell inflammasome in response to bacterial flagellin (305). This conclusion is based on the fact that *L. pneumophila* mutants lacking flagellin do not induce pore formation and that caspase-1-deficient murine macrophages are resistant to pore formation regardless of Dot/ Icm activity (305). Thus, contact-dependent cytotoxicity in mammalian cells appears to result from pores formed by the host cell rather than Dot/Icm pores inserted by the bacteria. Whether this mechanism aids bacterial egress in mammalian cells remains to be determined. For both protozoa and macrophages, a curious egress phenotype can be observed for *icmT* (*rib*) mutants that exhibit no contact-dependent lysis of cells (10). *L. pneumophila* bacteria carrying *icmT* mutant alleles become trapped within the host cell, growing to very high numbers, and are only gradually released from macrophages in the late stages of infection (10, 229). Based on mutational analysis of the C terminus, IcmT was described to be a poreforming protein (228); however, no direct biochemical evidence exists yet to support functional pore formation by the IcmT protein, and the mechanism behind the *icmT* mutant phenotype may be indirect.

#### LESSONS FROM LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA GENOMICS

In the last 5 years, four *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 genome sequences have been completed. The genomes not only are an invaluable reference for molecular epidemiology and the analysis of *L. pneumophila* phylogeny but also have allowed researchers to gain insights into fundamental mechanisms of pathogenesis and pathogen evolution. Most striking is the genetic evidence for the interkingdom transfer of genes from protozoa to the *L. pneumophila* genome (68, 75).

#### Genome Structure and Diversity

The four L. pneumophila genome sequences available are all human clinical isolates with worldwide distributions and include Philadelphia-1, derived from the original Philadelphia outbreak (223); the Paris strain, an endemic strain responsible for around 12% of cases of Legionnaires' disease in France (23); the Lens strain, the causative agent of a large outbreak in France (252); and the Corby strain (184). The general features of the genomes are conserved in size (3.3 to 3.5 Mb), G+C content ( $\sim$ 38%), number of predicted genes (3,001 to 3,259), percentage of coding regions (88 to 90.2%), and average length of the coding regions (68, 75, 147, 150). In general, the genetic order of the chromosome is conserved; however, synteny between the Lens strain and Paris, Philadelphia-1, and Corby is disrupted by a 260-kb inversion. Overall, the strains share around 80% of genes that constitute the core genome, while around 10% of the genome is strain specific (150). Much of the strain-specific genetic content is present in genomic regions associated with different G+C contents that may represent pathogenicity islands (321). For example, a 65-kb Philadelphia-1-specific genomic island harbors a plasmid-like element comprising a new trb/tra region and several efflux pumps and putative membrane transporters (51). Both Paris and Lens harbor plasmids that in the Paris strain include virulence determinants also found on a large L. longbeachae plasmid (111). The very high level of conservation between the sequenced section of the L. longbeachae plasmid and the 132-kb L. pneumophila Paris plasmid suggests recent horizontal transfer between these species (68). Another 60-kb plasmid present in the Lens strain encodes several proteins with homology to the transfer region of the F plasmid of Escherichia coli. Interestingly, the region encoding the Lvh type IVa secretion system of *L. pneumophila* Paris may be present as a multicopy plasmid or integrated in the chromosome (68, 107). This kind of genetic mobility has undoubtedly contributed to the high overall level of plasticity in the *L. pneumophila* genome and diversity among different strains (67, 68, 75, 147).

The core L. pneumophila genome contains many of the factors associated with the ability of the bacteria to infect eukaryotic cells and replicate (67). In fact, a DNA array screen of 217 L. pneumophila strains showed that there is a high degree of conservation among virulence-associated genes (67). Although no specific hybridization pattern was associated with environmental or clinical isolates in that study, those authors identified L. pneumophila Paris as a globally distributed epidemic strain, suggesting that genomic background does contribute to environmental persistence and clinical disease. That work also identified four phylogenetic lineages (lineages I, II, III, and IV) of L. pneumophila, but these lineages were not associated with geographic region or epidemiology (67, 150). In short, it appears that L. pneumophila is a highly diverse species, and the capacity of any one strain to cause human infection cannot be predicted from its gene content.

#### Preponderance of Eukaryotic Protein Motifs and Domains

Perhaps the most intriguing aspect of the *L. pneumophila* genome is the unusual number of genes predicted to encode products with amino acid sequence similarity to eukaryotic proteins and/or containing eukaryotic domains (68, 150, 207). Although genes of apparent eukaryotic origin have also been identified for other intracellular pathogens, including *Coxiella*, *Wolbachia*, *Mycobacterium*, and *Rickettsia* species, *L. pneumophila* possesses the widest variety. Upon subsequent investigation, many of the gene products were found to play a role in host-pathogen interactions, and many of them are translocated into the eukaryotic host cell, where they presumably interfere with pathways through functional mimicry (207).

One interesting set of eukaryote-type enzymes are the three serine/threonine protein kinases (STPKs) identified in all the L. pneumophila genomes. Mycobacterium tuberculosis produces 11 eukaryote-like STPKs, with 1 clearly involved in the inhibition of phagosome-lysosome fusion and the promotion of intracellular survival (91). L. pneumophila may utilize eukaryotic STPKs for a similar purpose. L. pneumophila also secretes two proteins exhibiting a significant level of similarity to the mammalian CD39 family of ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolases (NTPDases) (134, 291). The presence of two NTPDase genes in L. pneumophila is surprising since these enzymes are associated almost exclusively with eukaryotes. In fact, the recently determined crystal structure of Lpg1905 shows that the bacterial protein is a conserved structural mimic of mammalian NTPDases (344). Lpg1905 is a functional NTPDase that hydrolyzes both ATP and GTP with similar efficiencies (291, 292). The inactivation of lpg1905 results in the defective replication of L. pneumophila bacteria in amoebae, epithelial cells, and macrophages (291). Substantial levels of enzyme activity are also required for the full virulence of L. pneumophila in a mouse model of infection, although it is not yet clear if the ATPase or GTPase activity or both activities contribute to L. pneumophila infection (292).

Another eukaryote-type enzyme and a further addition to

the family of secreted metalloproteases predicted for the L. pneumophila genome is an M12 zinc metalloprotease (68, 95). The eukaryotic M12 family of metalloproteases has diverse biological roles and includes members such as adamalysin, which is a component of rattlesnake venom (129), as well as ADAM17, a tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ )-converting enzyme, and ADAMTS13, which cleaves plasma von Willebrand factor (259). Other members of this family target components of the extracellular matrix such as collagen (259). The M12 metalloprotease of L. pneumophila is secreted in vitro and translocated into the host cell, but to date, its substrate specificity and function are unknown (95, 99). Other gene products with eukaryotic similarity include enzymes involved in sphingomyelin degradation, a pathway that is not present in prokaryotic cells. L. pneumophila possesses a sphingomyelinase, sphingosine kinase, and sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase, strongly suggesting that the bacteria interfere with sphingomyelin degradation, although the consequence of this for bacterial replication and LCV formation is not yet known (150). The sphingosine-1phosphate lyase is at least translocated into eukaryotic cells, where it is targeted to mitochondria (100).

Eukaryotic domains found within predicted L. pneumophila proteins include at least 5 proteins with multiple Sel1 repeats and 20 proteins with ankyrin repeat domains (Ank). Sel1 repeat domains are linked to a range of protein-protein interactions in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (149). Three of the Sel1 repeat proteins, EnhC, LidL, and LpnE, are linked to L. pneumophila entry and/or endosome evasion, although it is not yet known if they play a direct role in host-pathogen interactions (87, 92, 205, 249, 251). For example, EnhC function has been linked to the maintenance of cell wall integrity (205). The Ank proteins are the most abundant group of eukaryotetype proteins encoded by the L. pneumophila genome and exhibit significant diversity among different L. pneumophila strains (67). In eukaryotes, ankyrin repeats mediate proteinprotein interactions and are commonly found together with other domains that determine protein function. Therefore, the Ank proteins of L. pneumophila are predicted to have varied activities. The carriage of a large number of Ank proteins is a property shared by the closely related bacterial pathogen Coxiella burnetii (346). In the case of both pathogens, the Ank proteins are translocated into the host cell, where they may interfere with various cell functions, including vesicular transport (258, 346).

Other L. pneumophila proteins carry domains found in eukaryotic guanine exchange factors (GEFs). The Sec7 domain protein RalF is translocated into the host cell and functions as a GEF for the host cell GTPase Arf1, which regulates COPI vesicle trafficking (241). Another protein with a predicted Ras GEF domain (Lpg0276/Lpp0350/Lpl0328/LPC\_0353) has not been characterized. Some L. pneumophila proteins appear to target host ubiquitination pathways though U-box and F-box domains, and these too are translocated into the host cell (6, 195; M. Lomma, D. Dervins-Ravault, H. J. Newton, F. M. Sansom, M. Jules, T. Sahr, T. Nora, M. Bonazzi, E. L. Hartland, and C. Buchrieser, submitted for publication). The carriage of such diverse proteins with eukaryotic similarity and/or eukaryotic domains suggests that a major survival strategy of L. pneumophila is the functional mimicry of multiple eukaryotic pathways.



FIG. 2. Selected host cell processes targeted by Dot/Icm effectors demonstrating the complexity of LCV biogenesis and maintenance as well as the broad range of effectors and pathways involved. *L. pneumophila* effectors attack numerous cellular structures and host proteins; major targets include (clockwise from top left) host GTPases regulating vesicle trafficking, membrane phosphoinositides, host protein synthesis and stress response machinery, cell apoptosis, and host ubiquitination pathways. See the text for details. Larger circles represent ER-derived vesicles.

The origin of the eukaryotic proteins of L. pneumophila is an intriguing issue. The proteins seem unlikely to have emerged through convergent evolution, and hence, the current hypothesis is that genes were acquired by horizontal gene transfer from a range of eukaryotic hosts (150). L. pneumophila is capable of exogenous DNA uptake through natural transformation and may have acquired new genetic information through its long evolutionary association with protozoa. In support of this hypothesis, there is increasing phylogenetic evidence of interkingdom DNA transfer between the intraamoebal bacterium Legionella drancourtii and its amoebal host (225, 226). However, the integration of unrelated DNA would present a significant challenge for the bacterium, and until more protozoan genome sequences become available, the evolution and origin of the eukaryotic genes in L. pneumophila will remain unexplained (150).

## VIRULENCE FACTORS OF LEGIONELLA PNEUMOPHILA

*L. pneumophila* possesses many of the traditional bacterial determinants that are important for pathogenicity in other bacteria, including lipopolysaccharide (LPS), flagella, pili, a type II secretion system (T2SS), and outer membrane proteins.

However, the ability to manipulate host cell processes from within an intracellular vacuole requires a unique arsenal. In the case of *L. pneumophila*, this includes a type IV secretion system (T4SS) that translocates around 200 effector proteins, including many proteins with eukaryotic similarity, into the host cell, where they act on diverse host cell pathways (Fig. 2).

# The Dot/Icm Type IV Secretion System

The Dot/Icm secretion apparatus. Components of the *L. pneumophila* Dot/Icm system appear to be involved in all aspects of the intracellular biology of *L. pneumophila*. Not only is the Dot/Icm system required for intracellular replication and the establishment of the LCV, it is also involved in bacterial entry, the inhibition of host cell apoptosis, and the egress of *L. pneumophila* from host cells (166, 192, 208). The dual name of the type IV secretion system in *Legionella* comes from its codiscovery by two research groups. In one instance, a single genetic locus able to complement an avirulent mutant was termed *icm*, for intracellular multiplication (170, 217). This locus comprised four putative genes, *icmWXYZ* (50). In parallel, another mutant with defective intracellular replication and an inability to establish the ER-derived LCV was comple-

mented with a single genetic locus, designated *dot*, for defect in organelle trafficking (37). This complementing locus contained one open reading frame encoding a 1,048-amino-acid protein that was designated *dotA*, and the split nomenclature for this secretion system has remained (38, 242). These initial discoveries were followed rapidly by the identification of more *dot/icm* genes required for *L. pneumophila* virulence, including *dotH*, *dotI*, and *dotO*, which are essential for intracellular growth and the evasion of the endocytic pathway, and *icmGCDJBF* and *icmTSRQPO*, which were implicated in macrophage cell death (16, 266, 289, 298, 299, 345). The genes are found on two distinct regions of the chromosome, each approximately 20 kb in length. Region I comprises *dotDCB* and *dotA-icmVWX* (221, 345). Region II contains 18 genes, most of which have both *dot* and *icm* designations (298, 345).

While some of the *dot/icm* genes show no sequence homology to other known open reading frames, at least 18 of the genes show similarity to components of bacterial conjugative DNA transfer systems, particularly of the IncI plasmids ColIB-P9, from Shigella flexneri, and R64, from Salmonella enterica (194, 345). Indeed, the Dot/Icm system is ancestrally related to DNA conjugation systems and has retained the ability to mobilize certain plasmids (298, 345). These T4SSs are important for the virulence of several pathogens and may transfer nucleic acids, proteins, or complexes of both to recipient cells. A range of pathogens utilize T4SSs to secrete virulence determinants, including Bordetella pertussis, Helicobacter pylori, Brucella sp., Rickettsia prowazekii, and C. burnetii (78). There are two distinct categories of T4SSs: T4SSa includes those systems that resemble the prototypic Agrobacterium tumefaciens Vir system, and T4SSb comprises systems with homology to IncI plasmids. The Dot/Icm system and the T4SS of C. burnetii are both members of the T4SSb category (78), and recent findings suggest that the T4SS of C. burnetii is functionally related to the Dot/Icm system (364, 368).

Recent evidence suggests that the Dot/Icm components form a multiprotein apparatus that spans the inner and outer membranes of the bacterial cell wall (341). Although there is no experimental evidence yet, a model for protein secretion and translocation exists whereby one or more of the Dot/Icm components form a pilus-like organelle on the bacterial surface that inserts a translocation pore into the host cell plasma membrane and vacuolar membrane of the LCV (179). Thus, the Dot/Icm apparatus forms a translocon that delivers multiple bacterial effector proteins into the host cell in a manner that is functionally analogous to that of a type III secretion system (T3SS). The identity of the translocation pore has not been resolved, but a candidate pore-forming protein, IcmQ, may be involved, along with its cytoplasmic chaperone, IcmR (113, 270). Indeed, similar to a T3SS, the Dot/Icm system is believed to utilize a network of cytoplasmic chaperones, the best-characterized of which are IcmS and IcmW, that bind to effector proteins and facilitate their translocation (254). Unlike T3SS effectors, the secretion signal of Dot/Icm effectors appears to be located in the C terminus of the proteins (64, 240).

Substrates of the Dot/Icm system. A major advance in our understanding of the complexity of *Legionella*-host cell interactions has been the discovery of around 200 proteins that are translocated into the cell by the Dot/Icm secretion system. Dot/Icm effectors therefore constitute around 10% of the *L*.

*pneumophila* proteome, which suggests that the effectors are a major determinant of *L. pneumophila* survival and that the selection for their retention is strong. Nevertheless, there are differences in effector repertoires between strains, suggesting that some are not essential and/or are niche specific (207). In contrast to substrates of the Lsp system described below, few of the Dot/Icm effectors can be detected in culture supernatants of *L. pneumophila* bacteria grown *in vitro*. This may be explained by the observation that effector translocation and phagocytosis are supported by intimate contact between the bacteria and the host cell as well as certain host cell factors, including the cytoskeletal components actin, tubulin, and N-WASP and host tyrosine kinases (71).

Substrates of the Dot/Icm secretion system have been identified through a range of approaches, including the presence of eukaryotic motifs such as the Sec7 domain of RalF that is characteristic of ADP-ribosylation factor (Arf) GEF proteins (74, 241). Dot/Icm substrates have also been identified through screening for Dot/Icm misregulation, interbacterial protein transfer, genes that cause lethality or mistrafficking of vacuolar proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and proteins that can interact with Dot/Icm chaperones (65, 92, 209, 254, 304). A recent bioinformatic machine learning approach also identified multiple new effectors (61). Many of the effector proteins comprise families of paralogues, and the function for the vast majority of these proteins is unknown. In general, the inactivation of genes encoding Dot/Icm effectors leads to, at most, a modest defect in intracellular replication, certainly none as severe as mutations in the Dot/Icm apparatus itself. This has led researchers to conclude that much functional redundancy exists among the Dot/Icm effectors, arising from significant functional redundancy among multiple Dot/Icm effector paralogues and from unrelated Dot/Icm effectors targeting the same host cell pathway (108). Emerging work also supports the idea that L. pneumophila passes through a variety of eukaryotic hosts. Therefore, some effectors may assist in the evasion of killing by different protozoan predators rather than contribute to intracellular replication per se.

#### Host Cell Processes Targeted by Dot/Icm Effector Proteins

Vesicular trafficking pathways. Many studies have sought to identify Dot/Icm effectors that interfere with host cell organelle trafficking (92, 99, 108, 163, 304). Frequently, the involvement of the effectors in subverting vesicle trafficking has been based on their ability to interfere with protein secretion in yeast or to induce yeast lethality (65, 304). The subcellular localization of ectopically expressed Dot/Icm effectors has also been key to understanding their function, and several effectors show distinct Golgi apparatus and ER localizations (99, 253, 258). In one study, a cohort of genes was identified that, when disrupted, led to reduced LAMP-1 avoidance; however, with the exception of LidA (see below), the mechanisms are unknown (58, 92, 103, 205). Similarly, a large number of Dot/Icm effector proteins that interfere with the trafficking of secretory proteins in yeast were identified recently, and while the mechanisms behind this interference are unclear, at least one protein, SetA, mediates its effect through glycosyltransferase activity (163). Another Dot/Icm effector, AnkX, interferes with microtubule-dependent vesicle trafficking, although the mechanism is unknown (258). The precise elucidation of the function of effectors that induce aberrant host vesicle trafficking will be critical to an understanding of the steps involved in LCV biogenesis.

**Regulation of host GTPases.** A key aspect of manipulating trafficking events within eukaryotic cells is the ability of *L. pneumophila* to recruit GTPases and control GTP cycling. Many intracellular bacterial pathogens employ similar approaches, particularly targeting Rab GTPases (60). Rab GTPases are found on membranes of organelles and act as molecular switches depending on whether they are bound to GTP or GDP. There are many additional proteins that regulate this process, including guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs), guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDIs) that bind to cytosolic inactive GTPases, and GDI displacement factors (GDFs).

Specific Dot/Icm effector proteins of *L. pneumophila* influence GTP cycles through competing with endogenous GEFs to enable the rapid recruitment, redirection, and activation of Arf1 and Rab1 on the LCV (102, 187, 241). As mentioned previously, the Dot/Icm effector protein RalF acts as a specific GEF for Arf1, which normally regulates COPI-coated vesicle formation (241). While Arf1 function is required for ER fusion with the LCV, the mutation of *ralF* does not have an impact on the ability of *L. pneumophila* to replicate intracellularly (241, 280). The COPII GTPase SarI also appears to be involved in the establishment of the LCV, as the expression of a dominant inactive form of Sar1 demonstrated that Sar1 function is required for the recruitment and tethering of ER vesicles with LCVs (280).

Rab1, the GTPase that promotes the fusion of ER-derived vesicles with Golgi compartments, is recruited to the LCV within minutes of bacterial uptake (102, 187). Several *L. pneumophila* Dot/Icm effector proteins play distinct roles in enabling the exploitation of Rab1. DrrA (defect in Rab1 recruitment)/SidM (substrate of Icm/Dot) was shown by two laboratories to be the Dot/Icm effector protein essential for Rab1 manipulation (213, 238). This protein is bifunctional, with the ability to act both as a GDF, allowing more Rab1 to become available, and as a GEF (177, 212). In addition, the Dot/Icm effector protein LidA binds Rab1 and acts as an accessory protein for DrrA/SidM, enhancing Rab1 recruitment (213).

The screening of an *L. pneumophila* mutant library for mutants defective in Rab1 recruitment identified several other proteins linked to this process, including the Dot/Icm effector protein LepB (238). Most recently, it was demonstrated that LepB acts as a Rab1 GAP, inactivating Rab1 by stimulating GTP hydrolysis, leading to the removal of Rab1 from the membrane (177). This finding demonstrates that *L. pneumophila* has the capacity to control all aspects of Rab1 cycling on the LCV membrane.

**Phosphoinositide binding and the LCV.** Several Dot/Icm effector proteins localize to the LCV through interactions with phosphatidylinositol (PI) derivatives. PIs are negatively charged glycerolipids that can be phosphorylated via the inositol head group. These molecules play crucial roles in a variety of cellular functions, including cell signaling and membrane trafficking. The surface of the LCV is rich in phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate [PtdIns(4)P] which is preferentially found on

the *trans*-Golgi network and acts as a second messenger to mediate the export of early secretory vesicles from ER exit sites (267).

The Dot/Icm effector protein SidC and its homologue, SdcA, anchor to the membrane of the LCV by binding specifically to PtdIns(4)P (209, 351). The PtdIns(4)P binding portion of SidC has been mapped to the C terminus, while the N-terminal portion of the protein promotes interactions with the ER (267). A mutant strain lacking both *sidC* and *sdcA* is still able to replicate intracellularly; however, the LCV acquires ER markers less efficiently (267). Further screening for other L. pneumophila phosphoinositide binding proteins identified the Dot/Icm effector SidM/DrrA (described above) as a PtdIns(4)P binding protein (58). LidA, the auxiliary protein for SidM/DrrA function, also binds PtdIns(4)P but binds the less abundant PtdIns(3)P with a higher affinity (58). A further PtdIns binding protein, LpnE, is not a classical Dot/Icm effector (our unpublished data), although the exported protein has the ability to bind both PtdIns(4)P and PtdIns(3)P as well as the 4' phosphatase OCRL1. The LpnE-PtdIns interactions may be significant for LCV biogenesis, as lpnE mutant vacuoles exhibit an increased association with LAMP-1, and OCRL-1 restricts intracellular Legionella growth (251, 350). These examples clearly demonstrate that L. pneumophila can manipulate host cell PtdIns to assist in the formation of the LCV, perhaps by providing a means for multiple effector proteins and/or host proteins to anchor to the LCV membrane.

Host protein translation and induction of stress responses. The large GTPase eEF1A acts as a component of the protein synthesis elongation complex and is a target for multiple effector proteins of L. pneumophila. Most recently, the Dot/Icm substrate SidI was shown to bind both eEF1A and eEF1By, which led to the arrest of host protein synthesis (301). The interaction with eEF1A simultaneously induced a stress response in host cells, leading to the activation of the heat shock regulatory protein HSF1 (301). This may make the host cell environment more conducive to bacterial replication. A second effector protein, the L. pneumophila glycosyltransferase Lgt1, glycosylates eEF1A at serine 53, which also results in the inhibition of protein synthesis (35). Furthermore, Lgt1 has the capacity to modify the heat shock protein 70 subfamily B suppressor Hbs1, which may have an added influence on the induction of a stress response in infected cells (36). Interestingly, the deletion of both lgt1 and sidI does not alter the intracellular replication of L. pneumophila bacteria, which suggests either that this property is not important for bacterial replication or that further effectors influence the pathways controlled by eEF1a (301). Nevertheless, this is a clear demonstration of L. pneumophila providing multiple independent mechanisms to influence a common host cell process.

**Inhibition of apoptosis.** While several early reports suggested that *L. pneumophila* induces the apoptosis of epithelial cells and macrophages through the activation of caspase-3 (137, 247), it is now evident that despite activating caspase-3, *L. pneumophila* inhibits apoptosis in macrophages until the later stages of infection (3, 230). The finding that caspase-3 is important for the intracellular survival of *L. pneumophila* led to the suggestion that caspase-3 activation may be connected to Naip5-mediated protection against *L. pneumophila* infection of mice (see below) (151, 359). A close homologue of Naip5,

XIAP, is an apoptosis inhibitor protein that can bind to caspase-3 and render it inactive (214, 327). Recent data have shown that L. pneumophila also activates caspase-7 downstream of caspase-1 and the Ipaf/Nlrc4 inflammasome (4). The authors of that report suggested that caspase-3 and caspase-7 act differentially to modulate LCV trafficking where caspase-3 inhibits and caspase-7 promotes phagolysosome fusion (13). Clearly, the L. pneumophila-induced activation of the inflammasome and the pathogen-mediated modulation of apoptosis are likely to be closely connected, and the dissection of these pathways and their relative contributions to L. pneumophila replication in macrophages will be an intriguing puzzle to solve. The inhibition of apoptosis may, in part, be due to the activation of NF-kB upon L. pneumophila infection of macrophages (208). L. pneumophila induces an increase in the level of expression of antiapoptotic genes, which is regulated through NF- $\kappa$ B (208). In fact, the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B by L. pneumophila is biphasic, where, following an initial stimulation by flagellin through Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5), NF-KB activation is sustained for several hours in a flagellin-independent and Dot/Icm-dependent manner (32). The inhibition of apoptosis varies with cell type, and recently, it was established that dendritic cells (DCs) limit the replication of L. pneumophila by caspase-3-mediated apoptosis. The rapid induction of apoptosis upon infection in dendritic cells may provide the host with a means to restrict infection while also inducing important innate immune responses (255).

Given that L. pneumophila infection inhibits apoptosis in macrophages, it is not surprising that the Dot/Icm secretion system delivers effectors with specific antiapoptotic properties. The effectors SdhA and SidF play a direct role in preventing host cell apoptosis during infection, although the mechanism of SdhA function is unknown (30, 196). SidF inhibits apoptosis through direct interactions with two proapoptotic members of the Bcl2 protein family, BNIP3 and Bcl-rambo (30). The evolution of these proteins is intriguing, as amoebae do not have the genes required for apoptosis, so their presence suggests either a substantial interaction of L. pneumophila with a higher eukaryotic organism or a fortuitous dual function of the effectors. In support of the former suggestion, L. pneumophila was recently shown to colonize the intestinal tract of Caenorhabditis nematodes and induce worm death (52). This raised the possibility that L. pneumophila evolved with both protozoan and metazoan hosts. In addition, several Dot/Icm effectors have been implicated in the sustained activation of NF-KB, including LegK1, which has been reported to mimic host IKK and directly phosphorylate and induce the degradation of the NF-kB inhibitor IkB in macrophages (142). SdbA and LubX also contribute to sustained NF-kB activation in A549 epithelial cells, and both are essential for L. pneumophila replication, although their mechanism of action is unknown (32).

**Mimicking host ubiquitination pathways.** During infection, the LCV is decorated with polyubiquitinated proteins in a Dot/Icm-dependent manner (108, 180). The *L. pneumophila* genome encodes several putative Dot/Icm effector proteins with eukaryotic motifs that function in the eukaryotic ubiquitination pathway (68). These include three proteins with F-box domains that in eukaryotes recruit specific target proteins to the SCF (Skp1/cullin 1/F-box) ubiquitin ligase complex. Another protein, LubX, has two U-box domains that are impor-

tant for E3 ubiquitin ligase target recognition (167). LubX has been confirmed to be a Dot/Icm substrate and acts as a ubiquitin ligase in the polyubiquitination of Clk1 (Cdc2-like kinase 1) (195). It is not clear how the function of LubX aids L. pneumophila infection, but the inhibition of Clk kinase significantly reduces L. pneumophila intracellular replication (195). Similarly, all three F-box proteins are translocated into host cells via the Dot/Icm system (6; Lomma et al., submitted). One of these, AnkB, or Lpp2082, recruits ubiquitinated proteins to the LCV and is essential for intracellular replication and virulence in mice (265; Lomma et al., submitted). AnkB/Lpp2082 binds Skp1 in the SCF (265; Lomma et al., submitted) and also interferes with the ubiquitination and degradation of the focal adhesion protein ParvB. In cells depleted of ParvB or Skp1, L. pneumophila intracellular replication is diminished, suggesting that host cell-mediated ubiquitination and possibly ParvB itself support LCV biogenesis (265; Lomma et al., submitted).

# The Lsp Type II Secretion System

In addition to the Dot/Icm T4SS, L. pneumophila possesses a type II protein secretion system (T2SS) termed Lsp, for Legionella secretion pathway, which is required for full virulence and environmental persistence (153, 310). T2SSs are highly conserved protein secretion machines of Gram-negative bacteria that play an important role in the disease progression of many bacterial pathogens through the export and directed release of toxins, proteases, and other enzymes (80). Substrates of T2SSs possess an N-terminal signal sequence that mediates their translocation across the inner membrane via Sec or Tat machinery (80). Once in the periplasm, the signal peptide is removed and the folded protein is transported across the outer membrane by a multiprotein complex comprising the T2SS secretin. The L. pneumophila T2SS has 12 components located in five loci throughout the chromosome. These include the prepilin peptidase *pilD*, which processes the pilin of type IV pili and pseudopilins of the T2SS (201); the outer membrane secretin and ATPase *lspDE*; the pseudopilins *lspFGHIJK*; and *lspC* and *lspLM*, which are predicted to promote secretion (287). Mutant strains of L. pneumophila lacking lspDE, lspG, or *lspK* demonstrate drastically impaired replication within A. castellanii and H. vermiformis cells and a more moderate replication defect in macrophages (263, 284). In addition, lsp mutants show a reduced capacity to replicate in the lungs of mice compared to wild-type L. pneumophila (287).

The ability of *L. pneumophila* to remain viable under a wide range of conditions is fundamental to its environmental persistence. A disruption of the Lsp secretion system results in an inability of *L. pneumophila* to survive at low temperatures, both in tap water at temperatures ranging from 4°C to 17°C and in the presence of aquatic amoebae at temperatures of 22°C to 25°C (310, 311). The Lsp system presumably secretes factors that aid *L. pneumophila* persistence at low temperatures, as the growth of *lsp* mutants was stimulated by the addition of culture supernatant from the wild-type strain (310). One of these factors is a secreted peptidyl-prolyl *cis-trans* isomerase present in the culture supernatant (308). Additional factors were recently identified from a transposon mutant screen for growth defects at low temperatures. The screen identified 11 mutants with a compromised ability to replicate at 17°C, and these included mutants with insertions into components of the T2SS. Other major factors included a homologue of the LpxP lipid A acyltransferase; an RNA helicase, CsdA, which was particularly important for bacterial survival; and RNase R, which was implicated previously in replication at low temperatures (70, 309).

Recently, L. pneumophila was observed to undergo sliding motility on agar plates, and this phenotype was linked to the function of the Lsp secretion system (323). Sliding motility was independent of flagella and pili but required a functional Lsp secretion system. Sliding motility appears to be linked to the production of an undefined amphipathic, diffusible surfactant, which may be directly secreted by the T2SS or processed by an Lsp effector protein (323). Surfactant production still occurs in mutants lacking characterized Lsp substrates and in a tatB mutant; therefore, the molecular basis of surfactant production is yet to be identified. Other species of Legionella also demonstrate sliding motility, albeit with various efficiencies, except L. micdadei. This finding is consistent with data from previous reports showing that while L. micdadei harbors the lsp genes, this Legionella species does not express Lsp-dependent phenotypes, including phosphatase, hemolytic and lipolytic activity, and growth at low temperatures (26, 125, 287, 310). It is unclear whether these phenotypic differences are due to a lack of expression of the components of the Lsp secretion system or a specific lack of the Lsp substrates that mediate these phenotypes.

## Substrates of the Lsp Secretion System

The Lsp secretome has been characterized by proteomics and comprises a cohort of at least 27 proteins (95). Curiously, the Dot/Icm component IcmX is among the secreted proteins (95). A chitinase enzyme, ChiA, is also secreted by the Lsp T2SS, and further investigation demonstrated that while this protein is not required for in vitro intracellular growth, it does promote persistence within the mouse model of infection. Infected mice also produce antibodies to ChiA (95). A range of proteins with enzymatic activities are secreted by the Lsp system, including the most abundant secreted protein, the zinc metalloprotease ProA or Msp, as well as acid phosphatases, multiple lipases, phospholipases C and A, lysophospholipase A (LPLA), an RNase, both tartrate-resistant and tartrate-sensitive acid phosphatases, and an endoglucanase (17-19, 153, 201, 260, 284, 287). An analysis of mutants lacking the tartratesensitive acid phosphatase, LipA and LipB lipase, LPLA, phospholipase C, or two aminopeptidases, LapA and LapB, found no impairment in intracellular replication (17, 19, 126, 224, 286, 329). Additionally, these single mutants showed no growth impairment at low temperatures (311). Recently, ProA/Msp and the RNase SrnA were shown to be required for the optimal replication of L. pneumophila bacteria in H. vermiformis (285, 286). This is an interesting finding, as these enzymes are dispensable for growth in all other host cell models tested, which may implicate substrates of the Lsp secretion system in host-specific responses. Indeed, not all Legionella strains have the same Lsp substrate repertoire. For example, the T2SSdependent secreted endoglucanase is not found in all L. pneumophila strains (260).

As with the substrates of the Dot/Icm secretion system, the

vast majority of Lsp substrates confer no phenotype that can be measured by comparing the replications of wild-type and mutant strains. With examples such as ProA and SrnA, this can perhaps be attributed to the substrate playing a specific role in a subset of environmental hosts. However, functional redundancy is also prevalent among Lsp substrates. L. pneumophila encodes at least 15 proteins with phospholipase A (PLA) and LPLA activities, and at least 2 of these proteins, PlaA, the major secreted phospholipase, and PlaC, are secreted via the Lsp system (29, 126; for a review, see reference 28). Phospholipases cleave fatty acids from lipids having a direct impact on host cells through membrane destruction. In the case of a lung pathogen, phospholipases may also act to break down lung surfactant. In addition, by-products of phospholipase activity can act as signaling molecules, influencing important host functions such as inflammation and apoptosis (28). PlaA and PlaC are therefore likely to be important for L. pneumophila virulence, yet single mutants demonstrate no discernible phenotype (29, 126). Further functional redundancy may be expected, as L. pneumophila also has phospholipase activity from a third GDSL-like phospholipase, PlaD (28), and a cell-associated phospholipase, PlaB (127). A cohort of patatin-like phospholipases are also encoded by the L. pneumophila genome, at least two of which are translocated into host cells by the Dot/Icm T4SS (304, 340).

Future studies on the Lsp system will continue to unravel the contribution of Lsp substrates to *Legionella* biology and may also uncover other unexpected roles of the T2SS, such as its possible function in Rab1 recruitment to the LCV and inhibition of interleukin-8 (IL-8) secretion (N. Cianciotto, personal communication). The putative Lsp/Rab1 connection was made when an *lspE* mutant was identified among a small group of transposon mutants that recruited Rab1 poorly to the LCV (238). In addition, there is likely to be cross talk between the Lsp and Dot/Icm secretion systems. For example, the M12 zinc metalloprotease is secreted by the Lsp system and is also translocated into host cells by the Dot/Icm system (95, 99). How these two secretion systems may function together at a molecular level remains to be determined.

## The Tat, Lss, and Lvh Secretion Systems of L. pneumophila

In addition to the Dot/Icm and Lsp protein secretion systems, L. pneumophila harbors a type I secretion system (Lss), a twin-arginine translocation system (Tat), and a second type IV secretion system (Lvh). The *lssXYZABD* locus includes the typical components of a type I secretion system, including an ABC transporter (LssB) and a membrane fusion protein (LssD) (182). Lss may play a role in the biology of L. pneumophila, although the secretion system appears to be largely dispensable for host-pathogen interactions (182). In contrast, the Lvh system may play a role in certain aspects of L. pneumophila intracellular replication by complementing Dot/Icm function (27). Following the incubation of L. pneumophila bacteria in water or the encystment of L. pneumophila bacteria in amoebae, the Lvh region was required to rescue the host cell uptake of Dot/Icm mutants (27). This finding suggests that both the Dot/Icm and Lvh systems are conditionally required for certain virulence attributes such as host cell invasion. Another curious feature of the Lvh system is that the locus may

exist as an extrachromosomal plasmid or as an integrated genomic island (68). The excision and integration of the *lvh* region into a chromosomal tRNA gene depend on the growth phase-dependent expression of genes carried by the plasmid-like element (107). For both Lss and Lvh, the proteins or substrates exported by these secretion systems have not yet been identified.

The Tat system complements the general secretory pathway (Sec) by transporting folded proteins across the inner membrane. In the case of L. pneumophila, the Tat pathway contributes to biofilm formation and intracellular replication in macrophages and amoebae and aids growth under low-iron conditions (98, 283). Several substrates of the Tat pathway have been confirmed, including phospholipase C, LvrE, and cytochrome c oxidase, although the list of likely Tat substrates is much longer and also includes components of the Lss and flagellar biosynthesis systems (96-98, 283). There appears to be little crossover between the Lsp and Tat secretion systems. Very few of the Lsp substrates seem to utilize the Tat pathway to reach the periplasm, and the supernatants of tatB mutants exhibit normal levels of Lsp-dependent enzymatic activity (283). In addition, a mutant lacking both Tat and Lsp systems demonstrates a defect in replication greater than that of either of the single mutants (283), which supports the idea that the Tat and Lsp systems work independently.

## The Peptidylprolyl cis-trans Isomerase Mip

The gene encoding the peptidylprolyl *cis-trans* isomerase (PPIase) Mip was one of the first genes associated with the ability of *L. pneumophila* to replicate in eukaryotic cells, and the *mip* nucleotide sequence has been used extensively as a target for *Legionella* molecular diagnostics and typing for 2 decades (69, 115, 118, 185, 203, 269). Mip stands for macrophage infectivity potentiator, and *mip* mutants of *L. pneumophila* are defective for replication in macrophages, epithelial cells, and amoebae and are attenuated in both guinea pig and mouse models of infection (81, 83, 358).

The *mip* product is a 24-kDa protein with PPIase activity that shares amino acid sequence similarity with the mainly eukaryotic family of FK506 binding proteins, a class of immunophilins (123, 152). Mip is exported to the cell surface, where the protein exists as a stable homodimer (82, 275, 297). The catalytic PPIase domain of the enzyme is located in the C terminus of the protein, which is connected to the N-terminal dimerization domain by a long alpha-helix that acts as a hinge between the two domains (168, 275). The crystal structure of Mip revealed that the fold of the catalytic domain resembles the family of human protein FK506 binding proteins; therefore, Mip is another structural and functional mimic of a eukaryotic protein (275). Recently, the PPIase activity of Mip was associated with the ability of L. pneumophila to penetrate an epithelial barrier and to disseminate from the lung in a guinea pig model of infection (347). Mip binds to collagen and may sensitize the extracellular matrix to proteolysis by converting protease-insensitive cis prolyl bonds to protease-sensitive trans prolyl bonds (347). Mip then acts in concert with a serine protease of either bacterial or host origin to degrade the extracellular matrix. Mip may also act synergistically with other

secreted proteins of *L. pneumophila*, such as a *p*-nitrophenol phosphorylcholine hydrolase (94).

## Iron Acquisition Mechanisms and Vacuolar Nutrition

Many studies have highlighted the importance of iron for *L. pneumophila* virulence and replication by exposing *L. pneumophila* bacteria to iron-limiting conditions *in vitro* (183, 272). Iron is also important during intracellular replication, as monocytes and macrophages that have been treated with an iron chelator do not support *L. pneumophila* replication (143, 342). In addition, a low level of expression of the transferrin receptor in monocytes and macrophages correlates with a non-permissive state (62).

Given that a source of iron is essential for L. pneumophila replication, it is not surprising that the pathogen has developed mechanisms to regulate iron utilization and obtain iron from the environment and from host cells (79). A key development in our understanding of the acquisition of iron by L. pneumophila was the discovery that the bacteria secrete a siderophore although only at particular phases of growth under iron-limiting conditions (202). Representative strains from all L. pneumophila serogroups and other Legionella species also have siderophore activity under defined conditions (316). The production and export of the L. pneumophila siderophore legiobactin have been linked to the genetic locus *lbtAB*. LbtA is the predicted siderophore synthetase, and LbtB exhibits homology to multidrug efflux pumps and is likely responsible for the export of legiobactin (9). Most recently, lbtA or lbtB was confirmed as being essential for the production of legiobactin, and lbtA was identified as being important for infection of mice. Coinfection studies were able to show that legiobactin secreted from wild-type L. pneumophila bacteria can promote the replication of the *lbtA* mutant in *trans* (8).

Once the iron-siderophore complex is internalized across the outer membrane, it is likely acted upon by a periplasmic ferric reductase to yield ferrous iron that is transported across the inner membrane by FeoB (79). L. pneumophila also secretes pyomelanin, which has ferric reductase activity and may supply ferrous iron substrate to FeoB (72). Mutational analysis has shown that *feoB* is important for extracellular growth and promotes replication in H. vermiformis and macrophage cell lines as well as infection of mice (279). L. pneumophila also produces a multicopper oxidase, McoL, that is essential for aerobic extracellular growth under iron-limiting conditions or where ferrous iron is the only iron source (176). Rather than aiding iron acquisition, McoL may help prevent the toxic effects of utilizing ferrous iron during aerobic growth. In addition to these fundamental mechanisms, several other factors appear to be important for iron acquisition and assimilation, most notably the cytochrome c maturation (ccm) locus, which is essential for intracellular multiplication, and *iraAB* (for iron acquisition/assimilation), where iraA is important for intracellular replication and *iraB* contributes to extracellular growth under iron-limiting conditions (244, 264, 342, 343).

While iron is a critical limiting nutrient for many pathogens, other nutrient acquisitions by *L. pneumophila* must also occur intracellularly during the replicative phase of *L. pneumophila* growth. In fact, the nutritional environment of the LCV is quite poorly defined. It is clear that *in vitro*, amino acids are

preferentially utilized by *L. pneumophila* as a source of carbon, nitrogen, and energy in broth culture. Early reports demonstrated that *L. pneumophila* requires the presence of arginine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, serine, threonine, valine, cysteine, and glutamic acid to replicate (144, 332, 333). Interestingly, genome sequencing revealed that *L. pneumophila* does possess genes for the synthesis of cysteine and methionine, suggesting that under certain conditions, the bacterium may not be auxotrophic for these amino acids (68, 75).

Clear evidence for the importance of amino acids for L. pneumophila replication is demonstrated by the discovery of a family of amino acid transporters that are crucial for the ability of L. pneumophila bacteria to differentiate and replicate within host cells (73, 294). These transporters have been termed phagosomal transporters (Pht) and belong to a subfamily of the major facilitator superfamily transporters. The first member of the family to be characterized was PhtA, which was shown to be a threonine transporter (294). L. pneumophila phtA mutants have an inability to replicate in macrophages, as the transmissive bacteria that invade and establish the LCV do not differentiate into a replicative phase (294). This demonstrates that the phagosomal transporters not only act to provide essential nutrients but in doing so can also assess the nutrient supply in a given environment, thereby providing a cue for replication. In addition, host amino acid transporters likely contribute to the vacuolar conditions that support L. pneumophila intracellular replication (357).

Including PhtA, all sequenced *L. pneumophila* strains possess 11 Pht paralogues, with strain Lens also having a strain-specific Pht (73). PhtJ, which transports valine, is at this stage the only additional Pht with a defined function (73); however, recent evidence suggests that other Pht family members, namely, PhtC and PhtD, may act as thymidine nucleoside transporters (M. Swanson, personal communication). Interestingly, several other intracellular human pathogens, including *Chlamydia* and *Coxiella*, possess Pht genes, indicating that this may be a common mechanism for nutrient sensing and acquisition by vacuolar pathogens (73).

#### The Biphasic Replicative Cycle of L. pneumophila

The environmental and intracellular niches of *L. pneumophila* expose the organism to a range of nutrient and temperature conditions that require the bacteria to be highly adaptable. Similar to other intracellular pathogens such as *Chlamydia* and *Coxiella*, *L. pneumophila* has a biphasic growth cycle where, under nutrient-rich conditions, the bacteria are in a replicative phase and express few virulence traits, but under nutrient-limited conditions, the bacteria enter a transmissive phase and become highly motile and resistant to various stresses (63). The transmissive phase allows bacteria to egress from spent host cells and commence the next round of infection, and this coincides with the expression of many virulence determinants.

A comprehensive microarray analysis examining and comparing the transcription profiles of *L. pneumophila* bacteria in replicative and transmissive phases both during infection of *A. castellanii* and *in vitro* highlighted the dramatic changes that differentiate these phases (59). That study found an increased transcription of metabolic genes involved in carbohydrate utilization and amino acid metabolism during the replicative phase (59). In contrast, during the transmissive phase, *L. pneumophila* upregulates the expression of many Dot/Icm substrates, genes involved in flagellar biosynthesis, and many other known virulence determinants. A large class of GGDEF and EAL genes, known to modulate the concentration of the second messenger cyclic di-GMP, are also upregulated during the transmissive phase, suggesting that cyclic di-GMP signaling is important for the regulation of this biphasic cycle (59).

A range of regulatory genes interact in a complex manner to control the transition of *L. pneumophila* between replicative and transmissive forms. Initial studies examining regulation during broth culture growth of *L. pneumophila* bacteria found that amino acid depletion, occurring following exponential growth, triggered the bacteria to release the alarmone guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate (ppGpp) (154). This response has been reported for a variety of microbes and involves uncharged tRNAs binding to ribosomes and activating ppGpp synthetases such as RelA and SpoT in *L. pneumophila* (93, 154). The accumulation of ppGpp stimulates the transition of *L. pneumophila* from its replicative form to its transmissive form via the sigma factors RpoS and FliA and the two-component response regulator LetA/LetS (for *Legionella* transmission activator and sensor) as well as the positive regulator LetE (25, 155, 367).

*L. pneumophila* RpoS regulates motility, sodium sensitivity, and evasion of the endocytic pathway (24, 153a). As such, it is not surprising that an isogenic mutant of *rpoS* is unable to replicate within *A. castellanii* (153a). Among other factors, RpoS regulates the expression of *fliA*, which itself is important for regulating contact-dependent cytotoxicity, infectivity, lysosomal avoidance, and biofilm formation (155, 215, 232). In concert or in parallel with RpoS and FliA, other two-component response regulators contribute to the regulation of transmissive traits. Both PmrAB and CpxRA directly regulate the transmissive-phase expression of Dot/Icm components and substrates (5, 11, 135, 366).

Perhaps the most important regulatory switch controlling the replicative- to transmissive-phase cycle is the two-component system LetAS (136, 155, 211). LetAS initiates a global regulatory mechanism that acts through the derepression of CsrA (233). Only recently have the small noncoding RNAs that mediate this process been identified (268, 290). A signal is relayed from LetAS to increase the expression levels of the small RNAs RsmY and RsmZ. These noncoding RNAs possess multiple CsrA binding motifs that act to sequester the transcriptional repressor CsrA and allow the transcription of genes that mediate the transmissive phenotype (268, 290).

An additional layer of complexity is incorporated into this regulatory network by quorum sensing. The response regulator LqsR contributes to virulence processes such as phagocytosis and the formation of the LCV by relaying signals from the adjacent sensor kinase LqsS (334, 335). Neighboring this system is an autoinducer synthase, LqsA, which was recently shown to synthesize 3-hydroxypentadecan-4-one, the small *Legionella* autoinducer 1 (LAI1) (313). Although an *lqsA* mutant of *L. pneumophila* showed only a modest decrease in phagocytosis compared to the wild-type strain, the overexpression of LqsA in an *lqsR* or *lqsS* mutant background restored the more severe uptake defects of these mutants, suggesting that LqsA does have a role in modulating virulence, possibly

287

through regulating the expression of a novel genomic island (336).

# IMMUNE RESPONSES TO LEGIONELLA INFECTION

L. pneumophila is an environmental organism and, therefore, an opportunistic and accidental pathogen of humans. As a result, there has been no selective pressure on the evolution of L. pneumophila from the mammalian immune system. In general, a robust early inflammatory response is believed to control bacterial replication while cell-mediated immunity contributes to the resolution of the infection and bacterial clearance. Different animal models of L. pneumophila infection have been established to examine the host-pathogen relationship. Indeed, the isolation of L. pneumophila from the 1976 outbreak was facilitated by the intraperitoneal inoculation of guinea pigs with lung tissue from infected humans (223). Guinea pigs are highly susceptible to L. pneumophila infection, die within 3 days of aerosol exposure to the bacteria, and exhibit many clinical features associated with Legionnaires' disease of humans (33). In contrast, inbred strains of mice are largely resistant to L. pneumophila infection, with the notable exception of the A mouse strain (often called A/J, although this terminology refers only to mice derived directly from the Jackson Laboratories), which, when inoculated intratracheally with Legionella bacteria, develop acute lung inflammation (54, 124). However, even in A mice, the infection is self-limiting. The exception to the general rule of murine resistance to Legionella infection is L. longbeachae, which is highly virulent for a variety of inbred mouse strains (148). Several other Legionella species also replicate in murine macrophages (181, 355) and, if given a high-enough inoculum, may also replicate in whole animals. Nevertheless, while the guinea pig infection model is superior in replicating much of the clinical course of Legionnaires' disease, the mouse model has been favored by research groups around the world due to the availability of reagents and transgenic animals to study immune responses and pathogenesis.

# Importance of Innate Immunity to Legionella Responses in Mice

Despite the significant shortcomings of the mouse infection model for Legionnaires' disease, the fact that mice are by and large resistant to L. pneumophila infection has allowed investigators to identify elements of the immune response that are critical to the control of L. pneumophila replication in macrophages and in the lung. Crosses between the permissive A strain and highly resistant C57BL/6 mice allowed the unique susceptibility of A mice to be mapped to the lgn1 locus on chromosome 13 (34, 104). Within this region are a series of highly polymorphic repeats of neuronal apoptosis-inhibitory protein (Naip), also known as baculoviral IAP (inhibitor of apoptosis) repeat-containing 1 (Birc1) (151, 361). Variations, either by missense polymorphisms or altered expression levels, in Naip5/Birc1e alleles have been defined as the basis for the susceptibility of the A mouse strain to L. pneumophila (105, 359). Naip5 is an intracellular flagellin recognition molecule that shows homology to plant resistance proteins involved in innate immune responses to secreted bacterial virulence proteins (178). In macrophages, Naip5 activates caspase-1 upon the phagocytosis of *L. pneumophila*, leading to IL-1 $\beta$  production and an increased fusion of the LCV to endosomes, followed by bacterial degradation (363). Although a Naip5 equivalent is not found in humans, a study of Ipaf, another intracellular flagellin recognition molecule, produced similar findings, where Ipaf was shown to restrict *L. pneumophila* replication (12). Both Naip5 and Ipaf detect cytosolic flagellin, and either the deletion of flagellin from *L. pneumophila* or the deletion of Naip5 or Ipaf from nonpermissive macrophages reverses the restriction of *L. pneumophila* intracellular replication (12, 231, 273).

Since the publication of the first *in vivo* mouse model of *L. pneumophila* infection (162), various studies have identified key components of the innate immune response as being important for limiting and eliminating infection. These components include cytokines such as gamma interferon (IFN- $\gamma$ ) (54, 303), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ) (56, 133), IL-12 (57), and IL-18 (55) as well as the cell types that produce these cytokines, namely, neutrophils (101) and natural killer (NK) cells (20, 101).

More recently, investigations of the innate immune response to L. pneumophila have focused on Toll-like receptors (TLRs), a group of receptors that recognize various microbial products that trigger an innate immune response upon binding a specific ligand. Considerable study has also been directed toward myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88), an important adaptor molecule for most TLR signaling. MyD88deficient mice infected with L. pneumophila have an increased bacterial burden in the lung and decreased survival rates, develop more severe lung pathology, and suffer disseminated bacterial infection in the spleen compared to wild-type mice (20, 157). However, investigations of individual TLRs such as TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, or TLR9 have revealed that their contribution to L. pneumophila resistance is negligible or, at best, very modest compared to that of MyD88 (21, 41, 156, 157, 197, 248, 315). For example, in an attempt to determine if multiple TLRs were responsible for the MyD88-dependent immune response to L. pneumophila, TLR2/9-deficient mice were infected with a flagellin-null ( $\Delta flaA$ ) mutant strain of L. pneumophila. By using this strategy, the combined contribution of TLR2, TLR5, and TLR9 to the immune response to L. pneumophila could be assessed. The  $\Delta flaA$  bacterial burden in TLR2/9-deficient mice was similar to that of control mice, suggesting that the MyD88-dependent immune response was not restricted to the actions of TLR signaling (20). MyD88 also functions as an adaptor molecule for IL-1 and IL-18 receptor signaling, both of which are induced upon L. pneumophila infection (12, 216, 293, 363). The fact that L. pneumophila infection of caspase-1-deficient mice results in significantly higher bacterial loads in the lung and significantly less IL-18 production than that in control mice indicates that caspase-1and Ipaf-deficient mice are more susceptible to L. pneumophila infection due to reduced IL-18 (or IL-1 $\beta$ ) signaling that is MyD88 dependent (66).

Apart from the activation of the inflammasome through Ipaf and Naip5, *L. pneumophila* has diverse effects on cytokine signaling pathways. In macrophages, *L. pneumophila* stimulates cytokine activity in a TLR- and RIP2-independent and Dot/Icm-dependent manner (302). In fact, robust mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling (p38 and Jun Nterminal protein kinase [JNK]) is induced in response to the Dot/Icm system in infected macrophages, and the fact that *icmS* and *icmW* mutants do not induce MAPK activation suggests that one or more Dot/Icm effectors are either directly or indirectly responsible (302). The consequences of MAPK activation for *L. pneumophila* replication are unknown, but this activity may constitute an important host response to infection *in vivo*. Certainly, in amoebae, *L. pneumophila* inhibits the equivalent of the MAPK pathway by inducing the expression of DupA, a tyrosine kinase/dual-specificity phosphatase, which is likely to be a negative regulator of MAPK signaling (200). *L. pneumophila* upregulates MAPK phosphatases in macrophages, which supports the idea that this pathway is manipulated during infection (208).

The induction of a robust type I interferon response was also observed during infection of macrophages and epithelial cells with L. pneumophila (204, 256, 295, 302). Exogenous type I interferon restricts L. pneumophila replication in permissive macrophages, while endogenous type I interferon restricts L. pneumophila replication in nonpermissive macrophages (234, 262, 295). As evidence for the latter, macrophages from type I interferon receptor-deficient mice support L. pneumophila replication (90, 234, 262, 295). The type I interferon response is independent of flagellin and results from the transfer of nucleic acid to the cell cytosol through a Dot/Icm-dependent process (90, 234, 322). Until recently, it was thought that bacterial DNA was recognized by a cytosolic DNA sensor (322). However, it has now been shown that RNA stimulates the type I interferon response through the host helicases RIG-I and MDA5 and the adaptor Ips-I, a pathway previously known to respond only to viral infections (76, 234). The source of RNA may originate from the pathogen or from RNA generated by host RNA polymerase III acting on pathogen-derived DNA (76, 234). The latter possibility seems unlikely given that cytosolic L. pneumophila DNA alone does not stimulate an Ips-Idependent type I interferon response in macrophages, even in the presence of RNA polymerase III (234). Therefore, L. pneumophila may translocate RNA into the host cytosol, or alternatively, as-yet-unknown Dot/Icm effectors may stimulate the production of RNA intermediates in the host cell that then stimulate RIG-I/MDA5 signaling (234). Given the currently accepted view that L. pneumophila has not evolved to avoid the mammalian immune system, it is surprising that the Dot/Icm effector SdhA is a potent inhibitor of the type I interferon response (234). Ectopically expressed SdhA inhibits the RIG-I/MDA5 pathway, although it is not yet clear if this occurs through a direct interaction or whether the inhibition results from an indirect effect of SdhA on mitochondria. Since SdhA is also implicated in inhibiting host cell death (196), the two effects of SdhA on macrophages could be linked. Despite the effort that has gone into characterizing the mechanism of type I interferon induction, Ips-I- and type I interferon receptordeficient mice are no more susceptible to L. pneumophila infection, indicating that the type I interferon response is dispensable for limiting L. pneumophila infection in vivo, at least in mice (234; D. K. Y. Ang, E. L. Hartland, and I. R. van Driel, unpublished data).

Few studies have examined other *Legionella* species in animal infection models; however, *L. micdadei* does not infect the lungs of A mice as readily as L. pneumophila, highlighting differences in pathogenicity between L. pneumophila and L. micdadei in mice (138). A study of the ability of L. longbeachae isolates to infect guinea pigs also demonstrated that there was a substantial variation in the virulences of different L. longbeachae strains in this infection model (110). Strains of L. longbeachae serogroup 1 are highly virulent in mice, with the intratracheal inoculation of 10<sup>3</sup> bacteria capable of causing disease (148). Unlike L. pneumophila, mouse infection with L. longbeachae is not restricted to A mice, and both BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice are also susceptible to infection with serogroup 1 strains (148). This difference in virulence may in part be related to the fact that L. longbeachae serogroup 1 strains are nonmotile, as the deletion of flagellin from L. pneumophila also increases the virulence and host range of the pathogen for mice (148, 273).

# Innate Immune Responses to Legionella during Human Infection

Although the characterization of innate immune responses to L. pneumophila infection of mice is well investigated, far less is known about the innate immune response during legionellosis in humans. Certainly, the great majority of people exposed to L. pneumophila remain asymptomatic or suffer only a mild self-limiting infection. Risk factors associated with the development of severe disease include age, smoking status, male gender, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, alcohol intake, and immune suppression (324). A retrospective analysis of Legionnaires' disease patients showed that these individuals released less IFN- $\gamma$  in response to bacterial LPS than non-Legionnaires' disease patients, suggesting that an impairment in the IFN- $\gamma$  response may also increase susceptibility to the disease (199). In general, patients with acute Legionnaires' disease have elevated serum levels of IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-12, which are typical of a Th1-type response (331). This finding is supported by in vitro studies showing that primary human macrophages or cell lines derived from human macrophages or epithelial cells produce a Th1-type cytokine response following L. pneumophila infection that ultimately restricts bacterial replication (42, 220, 243, 348). Thus, an early and robust inflammatory response appears to be critical to limit infection.

Attempts to examine possible genetic susceptibilities to Legionnaires' disease are frustrated by the small numbers of patients in disease cohorts. Nevertheless, the examination of patient genotypes in a large outbreak of Legionnaires' disease at a flower show in the Netherlands correlated some human TLR polymorphisms with the development of disease independently of other risk factors. A common TLR5 polymorphism that introduces a premature stop codon (TLR5<sup>392STOP</sup>) occurs in around 10% of the population and is associated with a small but significantly increased risk of Legionnaires' disease (159). In heterozygotic individuals, the TLR5<sup>392STOP</sup> polymorphism is dominant and impairs the production of proinflammatory cytokines. As the recognition of bacterial flagellin by TLR5 on alveolar epithelial cells is a major driver of an IL-8 and IL-6 response, the TLR5<sup>392STOP</sup> mutation likely increases an individual's risk of Legionnaires' disease by weakening the cytokine response (159). These results support findings from the mouse model of L. pneumophila infection where deficiencies in

the recognition of flagellin by the innate immune system also increase susceptibility to infection. In contrast, a polymorphism in the TLR4 receptor TLR4<sup>A896G</sup> was associated with an increased resistance to Legionnaires' disease despite the fact that *L. pneumophila* LPS does not strongly activate inflammatory signaling through TLR4 (158). Another innate immune factor that has been associated with resistance to *L. pneumophila* infection is mannose binding lectin (MBL). Patients with Legionnaires' disease show impaired MBL-mediated complement activation (116, 164). However, this deficiency does not reflect an underlying genetic predisposition to Legionnaires' disease but rather appears to be a physiological consequence of severe *L. pneumophila* infection (117, 164).

#### Interactions with the Adaptive Immune System

Although a vigorous inflammatory response is critical for limiting bacterial infection of the lung during Legionnaires' disease, T and B cells are ultimately required for the clearance of the infection. Evidence for the role of T cells comes from the depletion of CD4 or CD8 T cells using monoclonal antibodies, which resulted in decreased survival rates for mice infected with high doses of L. pneumophila compared to nontreated animals (326). For mice infected with lower doses of L. pneumophila, CD4 or CD8 T-cell-depleted animals were unable to clear the bacteria from their lungs for up to 11 days after inoculation (326). In all cases, animals depleted of both CD4 and CD8 T cells were more severely affected than mice depleted of only one subset (326). Another study showed that mice immunized with L. pneumophila-pulsed, fractalkine-expressing DCs were protected from a lethal dose of L. pneumophila. This protection was completely abolished in CD4 T-cell-, CD8 T-cell-, and B-cell-deficient animals (190). These results demonstrate that T and B cells are essential for protecting mice against L. pneumophila infection. However, the exact mechanisms by which these cells become activated and confer protection are still largely unexplored.

T cells become activated after recognizing their antigen via the presentation of peptide-major histocompatibility complexes (MHCs) by antigen-presenting cells (APCs). The primary APC is the dendritic cell, and several studies performed with mice have implicated this cell type as the APC responsible for initiating the adaptive immune response to L. pneumophila. For example, murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) infected with L. pneumophila are able to stimulate IFN- $\gamma$  production by CD4 T cells *in vitro* (245). This activation of CD4 T cells was dependent on the successful formation of the LCV and the prevention of lysosome fusion by the bacteria (245). Interestingly, this scenario also occurred with murine bone marrow-derived macrophages, although BMDCs stimulated more IFN-y production in CD4 T cells than did macrophages (245, 246). These data indicate that although L. pneumophila is sequestered in the LCV, this is insufficient to prevent the presentation of bacterial antigens to CD4 T cells. The exact mechanisms by which the bacterial antigens are processed and presented by MHC class II molecules are still unknown. BMDCs that come into contact with L. pneumophila also upregulate fractalkine (CX3CL1), a strong chemoattractant for T cells (190). In contrast to macrophages, murine BMDCs restrict the intracellular growth of L. pneumophila

(245). This and the fact that BMDCs undergo rapid apoptosis upon *L. pneumophila* infection may be a mechanism that not only prevents bacterial dissemination but also delays antigen presentation and the activation of *L. pneumophila*-specific T cells (255).

Early studies showed that a cell-mediated immune response to *L. pneumophila* also occurs in humans (43, 169). Although there is a paucity of information on the nature of this response, patients with immune deficiencies resulting from corticosteroid therapy and some types of leukemia are at an increased risk of severe and persistent Legionnaires' disease (165, 296). *L. pneumophila* infections are uncommon in patients with AIDS (122), although some reports suggested that the disease is more severe (261). The nature of the effector cells controlling *L. pneumophila* infection in humans is not clear, but *in vitro* studies show that Th1-type CD4 T cells respond to *L. pneumophila* by secreting IFN- $\gamma$  (193).

Immune regulation has emerged in recent times as an important concept describing the delicate balance between protective immune responses to pathogens and damaging immune-mediated pathology. During *L. pneumophila* infection of mice, it appears that this balance may be achieved via the production of prostaglandins by infected macrophages, which in turn inhibit IFN- $\gamma$  production in T cells (246). It will be interesting to see if other aspects of immune regulation such as CD4<sup>+</sup> Foxp3<sup>+</sup> T-regulatory cells also play a role in regulating the cell-mediated immune response to *L. pneumophila*.

Both patients and laboratory animals produce antibodies in response to *L. pneumophila* infection. Major characterized antigens include lipopolysaccharide, the Msp/ProA protease, flagellin, Hsp60, and OmpS (45, 48, 132, 276, 352). Early studies investigated the potentials of several of these antigens in the development of vaccines to *L. pneumophila*, and although some antigens showed significant promise in animal infection models, there has been little activity in this area in recent years (44–48, 276). Nevertheless, vaccine studies have informed our understanding of the immune control of *L. pneumophila* infection and support a role for both antibody- and cell-mediated immunity in the clearance of the bacteria from the lung (46, 48, 276).

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

Although L. pneumophila is an environmental organism, its innate ability to replicate inside eukaryotic cells and its capacity to inadvertently avoid regular pathogen control mechanisms in the host have led to its emergence as an important yet accidental cause of community- and hospital-acquired pneumonia. The rapid advances made in the understanding of L. pneumophila molecular pathogenesis have resulted largely from the availability of genome sequences that have uncovered unusual features of the pathogen, such as the presence of virulence determinants with similarity to eukaryotic proteins. This information has been used to gain insights into the most important aspects of Legionella virulence and its potential to manipulate various aspects of eukaryotic cell biology and innate immunity. The ongoing use of genomics to provide reference sequences of more strains and serogroups of L. pneumophila and other Legionella species will no doubt continue to uncover novel virulence effectors of Legionella species and help

us to understand the evolution and emergence of *L. pneumo-phila* as a major respiratory pathogen. In addition, through investigations of host-pathogen interactions, *L. pneumophila* has emerged as an important model for the study of innate immune responses to intracellular pathogens as well as a useful tool for investigations into eukaryotic cellular trafficking events. The ongoing study of *Legionella* virulence proteins and their effects on host cell biology and immunity will continue to deepen our understanding of the roles that various host cell signaling and trafficking pathways play in resistance to infection.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Vicki Bennett-Wood for assistance with transmission electron microscopy.

This work was supported by grants to E.L.H. and I.R.V.D. from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and the Australian Research Council (ARC). H.J.N. is the recipient of an NHMRC overseas training award, and E.L.H. is an ARC future fellow.

#### REFERENCES

- Abu Kwaik, Y. 1996. The phagosome containing *Legionella pneumophila* within the protozoan *Hartmannella vermiformis* is surrounded by the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:2022–2028.
- Abu Kwaik, Y., L. Y. Gao, O. S. Harb, and B. J. Stone. 1997. Transcriptional regulation of the macrophage-induced gene (*gspA*) of *Legionella pneumophila* and phenotypic characterization of a null mutant. Mol. Microbiol. 24:629–642.
- Abu-Zant, A., S. Jones, R. Asare, J. Suttles, C. Price, J. Graham, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2007. Anti-apoptotic signalling by the Dot/Icm secretion system of *L. pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 9:246–264.
- Akhter, A., M. A. Gavrilin, L. Frantz, S. Washington, C. Ditty, D. Limoli, C. Day, A. Sarkar, C. Newland, J. Butchar, C. B. Marsh, M. D. Wewers, S. Tridandapani, T. D. Kanneganti, and A. O. Amer. 2009. Caspase-7 activation by the Nlrc4/Ipaf inflammasome restricts *Legionella pneumophila* infection. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000361.
- Al-Khodor, S., S. Kalachikov, I. Morozova, C. T. Price, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2009. The PmrA/PmrB two-component system of *Legionella pneumophila* is a global regulator required for intracellular replication within macrophages and protozoa. Infect. Immun. 77:374–386.
- Al-Khodor, S., C. T. Price, F. Habyarimana, A. Kalia, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2008. A Dot/Icm-translocated ankyrin protein of *Legionella pneumophila* is required for intracellular proliferation within human macrophages and protozoa. Mol. Microbiol. 70:908–923.
- Allan, B. B., B. D. Moyer, and W. E. Balch. 2000. Rab1 recruitment of p115 into a cis-SNARE complex: programming budding COPII vesicles for fusion. Science 289:444–448.
- Allard, K. A., J. Dao, P. Sanjeevaiah, K. McCoy-Simandle, C. H. Chatfield, D. S. Crumrine, D. Castignetti, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2009. Purification of legiobactin and importance of this siderophore in lung infection by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 77:2887–2895.
- Allard, K. A., V. K. Viswanathan, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2006. lbtA and lbtB are required for production of the *Legionella pneumophila* siderophore legiobactin. J. Bacteriol. 188:1351–1363.
- Alli, O. A., L. Y. Gao, L. L. Pedersen, S. Zink, M. Radulic, M. Doric, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2000. Temporal pore formation-mediated egress from macrophages and alveolar epithelial cells by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 68:6431–6440.
- Altman, E., and G. Segal. 2008. The response regulator CpxR directly regulates expression of several *Legionella pneumophila* icm/dot components as well as new translocated substrates. J. Bacteriol. 190:1985–1996.
- Amer, A., L. Franchi, T. D. Kanneganti, M. Body-Malapel, N. Ozoren, G. Brady, S. Meshinchi, R. Jagirdar, A. Gewirtz, S. Akira, and G. Nunez. 2006. Regulation of *Legionella* phagosome maturation and infection through flagellin and host Ipaf. J. Biol. Chem. 281:35217–35223.
- Amer, A. O. 2010. Modulation of caspases and their non-apoptotic functions by *Legionella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 12:140–147.
- Amer, A. O., and M. S. Swanson. 2005. Autophagy is an immediate macrophage response to Legionella pneumophila. Cell. Microbiol. 7:765–778.
- Anand, C. M., A. R. Skinner, A. Malic, and J. B. Kurtz. 1983. Interaction of L. pneumophilia and a free living amoeba (Acanthamoeba palestinensis). J. Hyg. (Lond.) 91:167–178.
- Andrews, H. L., J. P. Vogel, and R. R. Isberg. 1998. Identification of linked Legionella pneumophila genes essential for intracellular growth and evasion of the endocytic pathway. Infect. Immun. 66:950–958.

- Aragon, V., S. Kurtz, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2001. Legionella pneumophila major acid phosphatase and its role in intracellular infection. Infect. Immun. 69:177–185.
- Aragon, V., S. Kurtz, A. Flieger, B. Neumeister, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2000. Secreted enzymatic activities of wild-type and *pilD*-deficient *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 68:1855–1863.
- Aragon, V., O. Rossier, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2002. Legionella pneumophila genes that encode lipase and phospholipase C activities. Microbiology 148: 2223–2231.
- Archer, K. A., L. Alexopoulou, R. A. Flavell, and C. R. Roy. 2009. Multiple MyD88-dependent responses contribute to pulmonary clearance of *Legio-nella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 11:21–36.
- Archer, K. A., and C. R. Roy. 2006. MyD88-dependent responses involving Toll-like receptor 2 are important for protection and clearance of *Legionella pneumophila* in a mouse model of Legionnaires' disease. Infect. Immun. 74:3325–3333.
- Asare, R., and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2007. Early trafficking and intracellular replication of *Legionella longbeachaea* within an ER-derived late endosome-like phagosome. Cell. Microbiol. 9:1571–1587.
- Aurell, H., J. Etienne, F. Forey, M. Reyrolle, P. Girardo, P. Farge, B. Decludt, C. Campese, F. Vandenesch, and S. Jarraud. 2003. *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 strain Paris: endemic distribution throughout France. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:3320–3322.
- Bachman, M. A., and M. S. Swanson. 2001. RpoS co-operates with other factors to induce *Legionella pneumophila* virulence in the stationary phase. Mol. Microbiol. 40:1201–1214.
- Bachman, M. A., and M. S. Swanson. 2004. The LetE protein enhances expression of multiple LetA/LetS-dependent transmission traits by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 72:3284–3293.
- Baine, W. B. 1985. Cytolytic and phospholipase C activity in *Legionella* species. J. Gen. Microbiol. 131:1383–1391.
- Bandyopadhyay, P., S. Liu, C. B. Gabbai, Z. Venitelli, and H. M. Steinman. 2007. Environmental mimics and the Lvh type IVA secretion system contribute to virulence-related phenotypes of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 75:723–735.
- Banerji, S., P. Aurass, and A. Flieger. 2008. The manifold phospholipases A of *Legionella pneumophila*—identification, export, regulation, and their link to bacterial virulence. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 298:169–181.
- Banerji, S., M. Bewersdorff, B. Hermes, N. P. Cianciotto, and A. Flieger. 2005. Characterization of the major secreted zinc metalloprotease-dependent glycerophospholipid:cholesterol acyltransferase, PlaC, of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 73:2899–2909.
- Banga, S., P. Gao, X. Shen, V. Fiscus, W. X. Zong, L. Chen, and Z. Q. Luo. 2007. *Legionella pneumophila* inhibits macrophage apoptosis by targeting pro-death members of the Bcl2 protein family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104:5121–5126.
- 31. Barker, J., M. R. Brown, P. J. Collier, I. Farrell, and P. Gilbert. 1992. Relationship between *Legionella pneumophila* and *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*: physiological status and susceptibility to chemical inactivation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:2420–2425.
- Bartfeld, S., C. Engels, B. Bauer, P. Aurass, A. Flieger, H. Bruggemann, and T. F. Meyer. 2009. Temporal resolution of two-tracked NF-kappaB activation by *Legionella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 11:1638–1651.
- Baskerville, A., R. B. Fitzgeorge, M. Broster, P. Hambleton, and P. J. Dennis. 1981. Experimental transmission of Legionnaires' disease by exposure to aerosols of *Legionella pneumophila*. Lancet ii:1389–1390.
- Beckers, M. C., S. Yoshida, K. Morgan, E. Skamene, and P. Gros. 1995. Natural resistance to infection with *Legionella pneumophila*: chromosomal localization of the Lgn1 susceptibility gene. Mamm. Genome 6:540–545.
- Belyi, Y., R. Niggeweg, B. Opitz, M. Vogelsgesang, S. Hippenstiel, M. Wilm, and K. Aktories. 2006. *Legionella pneumophila* glucosyltransferase inhibits host elongation factor 1A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103:16953–16958.
- 36. Belyi, Y., M. Stahl, I. Sovkova, P. Kaden, B. Luy, and K. Aktories. 2009. Region of elongation factor 1A1 involved in substrate recognition by *Legionella pneumophila* glucosyltransferase LGT1—identification of LGT1 as a retaining glucosyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. 284:20167–20174.
- Berger, K. H., and R. R. Isberg. 1993. Two distinct defects in intracellular growth complemented by a single genetic locus in *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 7:7–19.
- Berger, K. H., J. J. Merriam, and R. R. Isberg. 1994. Altered intracellular targeting properties associated with mutations in the *Legionella pneumophila dotA* gene. Mol. Microbiol. 14:809–822.
- 39. Berk, S. G., G. Faulkner, E. Garduno, M. C. Joy, M. A. Ortiz-Jimenez, and R. A. Garduno. 2008. Packaging of live *Legionella pneumophila* into pellets expelled by *Tetrahymena* spp. does not require bacterial replication and depends on a Dot/Icm-mediated survival mechanism. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:2187–2199.
- Berk, S. G., R. S. Ting, G. W. Turner, and R. J. Ashburn. 1998. Production of respirable vesicles containing live *Legionella pneumophila* cells by two *Acanthamoeba* spp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:279–286.
- Bhan, U., G. Trujillo, K. Lyn-Kew, M. W. Newstead, X. Zeng, C. M. Hogaboam, A. M. Krieg, and T. J. Standiford. 2008. Toll-like receptor 9

regulates the lung macrophage phenotype and host immunity in murine pneumonia caused by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. **76**:2895–2904.

- Bhardwaj, N., T. W. Nash, and M. A. Horwitz. 1986. Interferon-gammaactivated human monocytes inhibit the intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Immunol. 137:2662–2669.
- 43. Blanchard, D. K., W. E. Stewart II, T. W. Klein, H. Friedman, and J. Y. Djeu. 1987. Cytolytic activity of human peripheral blood leukocytes against *Legionella pneumophila*-infected monocytes: characterization of the effector cell and augmentation by interleukin 2. J. Immunol. 139:551–556.
- 44. Blander, S. J., R. F. Breiman, and M. A. Horwitz. 1989. A live avirulent mutant *Legionella pneumophila* vaccine induces protective immunity against lethal aerosol challenge. J. Clin. Invest. 83:810–815.
- 45. Blander, S. J., and M. A. Horwitz. 1993. Major cytoplasmic membrane protein of *Legionella pneumophila*, a genus common antigen and member of the hsp 60 family of heat shock proteins, induces protective immunity in a guinea pig model of Legionnaires' disease. J. Clin. Invest. 91:717–723.
- 46. Blander, S. J., and M. A. Horwitz. 1991. Vaccination with Legionella pneumophila membranes induces cell-mediated and protective immunity in a guinea pig model of Legionnaires' disease. Protective immunity independent of the major secretory protein of Legionella pneumophila. J. Clin. Invest. 87:1054–1059.
- Blander, S. J., and M. A. Horwitz. 1991. Vaccination with the major secretory protein of *Legionella* induces humoral and cell-mediated immune responses and protective immunity across different serogroups of *Legionella pneumophila* and different species of *Legionella*. J. Immunol. 147:285–291.
- Blander, S. J., and M. A. Horwitz. 1989. Vaccination with the major secretory protein of *Legionella pneumophila* induces cell-mediated and protective immunity in a guinea pig model of Legionnaires' disease. J. Exp. Med. 169:691–705.
- Bozue, J. A., and W. Johnson. 1996. Interaction of *Legionella pneumophila* with *Acanthamoeba castellanii*: uptake by coiling phagocytosis and inhibition of phagosome-lysosome fusion. Infect. Immun. 64:668–673.
- Brand, B. C., A. B. Sadosky, and H. A. Shuman. 1994. The Legionella pneumophila icm locus: a set of genes required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Mol. Microbiol. 14:797–808.
- 51. Brassinga, A. K., M. F. Hiltz, G. R. Sisson, M. G. Morash, N. Hill, E. Garduno, P. H. Edelstein, R. A. Garduno, and P. S. Hoffman. 2003. A 65-kilobase pathogenicity island is unique to Philadelphia-1 strains of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 185:4630–4637.
- Brassinga, A. K., J. M. Kinchen, M. E. Cupp, S. R. Day, P. S. Hoffman, and C. D. Sifri. 2010. Caenorhabditisis a metazoan host for *Legionella*. Cell. Microbiol. 12:343–361.
- Brenner, D. J., A. G. Steigerwalt, and J. E. McDade. 1979. Classification of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium: *Legionella pneumophila*, genus novum, species nova, of the family *Legionellaceae*, familia nova. Ann. Intern. Med. 90:656–658.
- Brieland, J., P. Freeman, R. Kunkel, C. Chrisp, M. Hurley, J. Fantone, and C. Engleberg. 1994. Replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection in intratracheally inoculated A/J mice. A murine model of human Legionnaires' disease. Am. J. Pathol. 145:1537–1546.
- 55. Brieland, J. K., C. Jackson, S. Hurst, D. Loebenberg, T. Muchamuel, R. Debets, R. Kastelein, T. Churakova, J. Abrams, R. Hare, and A. O'Garra. 2000. Immunomodulatory role of endogenous interleukin-18 in gamma interferon-mediated resolution of replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection. Infect. Immun. 68:6567–6573.
- 56. Brieland, J. K., D. G. Remick, P. T. Freeman, M. C. Hurley, J. C. Fantone, and N. C. Engleberg. 1995. In vivo regulation of replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection by endogenous tumor necrosis factor alpha and nitric oxide. Infect. Immun. 63:3253–3258.
- Brieland, J. K., D. G. Remick, M. L. LeGendre, N. C. Engleberg, and J. C. Fantone. 1998. In vivo regulation of replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection by endogenous interleukin-12. Infect. Immun. 66:65–69.
- Brombacher, E., S. Urwyler, C. Ragaz, S. S. Weber, K. Kami, M. Overduin, and H. Hilbi. 2009. Rab1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor SidM is a major phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate-binding effector protein of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Biol. Chem. 284:4846–4856.
- 59. Bruggemann, H., A. Hagman, M. Jules, O. Sismeiro, M. A. Dillies, C. Gouyette, F. Kunst, M. Steinert, K. Heuner, J. Y. Coppee, and C. Buchrieser. 2006. Virulence strategies for infecting phagocytes deduced from the in vivo transcriptional program of *Legionella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 8:1228–1240.
- Brumell, J. H., and M. A. Scidmore. 2007. Manipulation of rab GTPase function by intracellular bacterial pathogens. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 71:636–652.
- Burstein, D., T. Zusman, E. Degtyar, R. Viner, G. Segal, and T. Pupko. 2009. Genome-scale identification of *Legionella pneumophila* effectors using a machine learning approach. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000508.
- Byrd, T. F., and M. A. Horwitz. 2000. Aberrantly low transferrin receptor expression on human monocytes is associated with nonpermissiveness for *Legionella pneumophila* growth. J. Infect. Dis. 181:1394–1400.
- 63. Byrne, B., and M. S. Swanson. 1998. Expression of Legionella pneumophila

virulence traits in response to growth conditions. Infect. Immun. 66:3029-3034.

- Cambronne, E. D., and C. R. Roy. 2007. The Legionella pneumophila IcmSW complex interacts with multiple Dot/Icm effectors to facilitate type IV translocation. PLoS Pathog. 3:e188.
- 65. Campodonico, E. M., L. Chesnel, and C. R. Roy. 2005. A yeast genetic system for the identification and characterization of substrate proteins transferred into host cells by the *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm system. Mol. Microbiol. 56:918–933.
- Case, C. L., S. Shin, and C. R. Roy. 2009. Asc and Ipaf inflammasomes direct distinct pathways for caspase-1 activation in response to *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 77:1981–1991.
- Cazalet, C., S. Jarraud, Y. Ghavi-Helm, F. Kunst, P. Glaser, J. Etienne, and C. Buchrieser. 2008. Multigenome analysis identifies a worldwide distributed epidemic *Legionella pneumophila* clone that emerged within a highly diverse species. Genome Res. 18:431–441.
- 68. Cazalet, C., C. Rusniok, H. Bruggemann, N. Zidane, A. Magnier, L. Ma, M. Tichit, S. Jarraud, C. Bouchier, F. Vandenesch, F. Kunst, J. Etienne, P. Glaser, and C. Buchrieser. 2004. Evidence in the *Legionella pneumophila* genome for exploitation of host cell functions and high genome plasticity. Nat. Genet. 36:1165–1173.
- Chang, H. R., L. H. Loo, B. G. Kuah, and B. H. Heng. 1995. Comparison of multiplex PCR and culture for detection of legionellae in cooling tower water samples. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 26:258–262.
- Charpentier, X., S. P. Faucher, S. Kalachikov, and H. A. Shuman. 2008. Loss of RNase R induces competence development in *Legionella pneumo-phila*. J. Bacteriol. 190:8126–8136.
- Charpentier, X., J. E. Gabay, M. Reyes, J. W. Zhu, A. Weiss, and H. A. Shuman. 2009. Chemical genetics reveals bacterial and host cell functions critical for type IV effector translocation by *Legionella pneumophila*. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000501.
- Chatfield, C. H., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2007. The secreted pyomelanin pigment of *Legionella pneumophila* confers ferric reductase activity. Infect. Immun. 75:4062–4070.
- Chen, D. E., S. Podell, J. D. Sauer, M. S. Swanson, and M. H. Saier, Jr. 2008. The phagosomal nutrient transporter (Pht) family. Microbiology 154: 42–53.
- 74. Chen, J., K. S. de Felipe, M. Clarke, H. Lu, O. R. Anderson, G. Segal, and H. A. Shuman. 2004. *Legionella* effectors that promote nonlytic release from protozoa. Science 303:1358–1361.
- 75. Chien, M., I. Morozova, S. Shi, H. Sheng, J. Chen, S. M. Gomez, G. Asamani, K. Hill, J. Nuara, M. Feder, J. Rineer, J. J. Greenberg, V. Steshenko, S. H. Park, B. Zhao, E. Teplitskaya, J. R. Edwards, S. Pampou, A. Georghiou, I. C. Chou, W. Iannuccilli, M. E. Ulz, D. H. Kim, A. Geringer-Sameth, C. Goldsberry, P. Morozov, S. G. Fischer, G. Segal, X. Qu, A. Rzhetsky, P. Zhang, E. Cayanis, P. J. De Jong, J. Ju, S. Kalachikov, H. A. Shuman, and J. J. Russo. 2004. The genomic sequence of the accidental pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Science 305:1966–1968.
- Chiu, Y. H., J. B. Macmillan, and Z. J. Chen. 2009. RNA polymerase III detects cytosolic DNA and induces type I interferons through the RIG-I pathway. Cell 138:576–591.
- Chong, A., C. A. Lima, D. S. Allan, G. K. Nasrallah, and R. A. Garduno. 2009. The purified and recombinant *Legionella pneumophila* chaperonin alters mitochondrial trafficking and microfilament organization. Infect. Immun. 77:4724–4739.
- Christie, P. J., and J. P. Vogel. 2000. Bacterial type IV secretion: conjugation systems adapted to deliver effector molecules to host cells. Trends Microbiol. 8:354–360.
- Cianciotto, N. P. 2007. Iron acquisition by Legionella pneumophila. Biometals 20:323–331.
- Cianciotto, N. P. 2005. Type II secretion: a protein secretion system for all seasons. Trends Microbiol. 13:581–588.
- Cianciotto, N. P., J. M. Bangsborg, B. I. Eisenstein, and N. C. Engleberg. 1990. Identification of mip-like genes in the genus *Legionella*. Infect. Immun. 58:2912–2918.
- Cianciotto, N. P., B. I. Eisenstein, C. H. Mody, G. B. Toews, and N. C. Engleberg. 1989. A *Legionella pneumophila* gene encoding a species-specific surface protein potentiates initiation of intracellular infection. Infect. Immun. 57:1255–1262.
- Cianciotto, N. P., and B. S. Fields. 1992. Legionella pneumophila mip gene potentiates intracellular infection of protozoa and human macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89:5188–5191.
- Cirillo, J. D., S. L. Cirillo, L. Yan, L. E. Bermudez, S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 1999. Intracellular growth in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* affects monocyte entry mechanisms and enhances virulence of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 67:4427–4434.
- Cirillo, J. D., S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 1994. Growth of Legionella pneumophila in Acanthamoeba castellanii enhances invasion. Infect. Immun. 62:3254–3261.
- Cirillo, S. L., L. E. Bermudez, S. H. El-Etr, G. E. Duhamel, and J. D. Cirillo. 2001. *Legionella pneumophila* entry gene rtxA is involved in virulence. Infect. Immun. 69:508–517.

- Cirillo, S. L., J. Lum, and J. D. Cirillo. 2000. Identification of novel loci involved in entry by *Legionella pneumophila*. Microbiology 146(Pt. 6):1345– 1359.
- Clemens, D. L., B. Y. Lee, and M. A. Horwitz. 2000. Deviant expression of Rab5 on phagosomes containing the intracellular pathogens *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Legionella pneumophila* is associated with altered phagosomal fate. Infect. Immun. 68:2671–2684.
- Clemens, D. L., B. Y. Lee, and M. A. Horwitz. 2000. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Legionella pneumophila phagosomes exhibit arrested maturation despite acquisition of Rab7. Infect. Immun. 68:5154–5166.
- Coers, J., R. E. Vance, M. F. Fontana, and W. F. Dietrich. 2007. Restriction of *Legionella pneumophila* growth in macrophages requires the concerted action of cytokine and Naip5/Ipaf signalling pathways. Cell. Microbiol. 9:2344–2357.
- 91. Cole, S. T., R. Brosch, J. Parkhill, T. Garnier, C. Churcher, D. Harris, S. V. Gordon, K. Eiglmeier, S. Gas, C. E. Barry III, F. Tekaia, K. Badcock, D. Basham, D. Brown, T. Chillingworth, R. Connor, R. Davies, K. Devlin, T. Feltwell, S. Gentles, N. Hamlin, S. Holroyd, T. Hornsby, K. Jagels, A. Krogh, J. McLean, S. Moule, L. Murphy, K. Oliver, J. Osborne, M. A. Quail, M. A. Rajandream, J. Rogers, S. Rutter, K. Seeger, J. Skelton, R. Squares, S. Squares, J. E. Sulston, K. Taylor, S. Whitehead, and B. G. Barrell. 1998. Deciphering the biology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from the complete genome sequence. Nature 393:537–544.
- Conover, G. M., I. Derre, J. P. Vogel, and R. R. Isberg. 2003. The Legionella pneumophila LidA protein: a translocated substrate of the Dot/Icm system associated with maintenance of bacterial integrity. Mol. Microbiol. 48:305–321.
- Dalebroux, Z. D., R. L. Edwards, and M. S. Swanson. 2009. SpoT governs *Legionella pneumophila* differentiation in host macrophages. Mol. Microbiol. 71:640–658.
- 94. Debroy, S., V. Aragon, S. Kurtz, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2006. Legionella pneumophila Mip, a surface-exposed peptidylproline cis-trans-isomerase, promotes the presence of phospholipase C-like activity in culture supernatants. Infect. Immun. 74:5152–5160.
- DebRoy, S., J. Dao, M. Soderberg, O. Rossier, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2006. Legionella pneumophila type II secretome reveals unique exoproteins and a chitinase that promotes bacterial persistence in the lung. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103:19146–19151.
- De Buck, E., D. Hoper, E. Lammertyn, M. Hecker, and J. Anne. 2008. Differential 2-D protein gel electrophoresis analysis of *Legionella pneumophila* wild type and Tat secretion mutants. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 298:449–461.
- De Buck, E., I. Lebeau, L. Maes, N. Geukens, E. Meyen, L. Van Mellaert, J. Anne, and E. Lammertyn. 2004. A putative twin-arginine translocation pathway in *Legionella pneumophila*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 317: 654–661.
- De Buck, E., L. Maes, E. Meyen, L. Van Mellaert, N. Geukens, J. Anne, and E. Lammertyn. 2005. *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia-1 *tatB* and *tatC* affect intracellular replication and biofilm formation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 331:1413–1420.
- de Felipe, K. S., R. T. Glover, X. Charpentier, O. R. Anderson, M. Reyes, C. D. Pericone, and H. A. Shuman. 2008. *Legionella* eukaryotic-like type IV substrates interfere with organelle trafficking. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000117.
- Degtyar, E., T. Zusman, M. Ehrlich, and G. Segal. 2009. A Legionella effector acquired from protozoa is involved in sphingolipids metabolism and is targeted to the host cell mitochondria. Cell. Microbiol. 11:1219–1235.
- Deng, J. C., K. Tateda, X. Zeng, and T. J. Standiford. 2001. Transient transgenic expression of gamma interferon promotes *Legionella pneumophila* clearance in immunocompetent hosts. Infect. Immun. 69:6382–6390.
- Derre, I., and R. R. Isberg. 2004. Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole formation involves rapid recruitment of proteins of the early secretory system. Infect. Immun. 72:3048–3053.
- Derre, I., and R. R. Isberg. 2005. LidA, a translocated substrate of the Legionella pneumophila type IV secretion system, interferes with the early secretory pathway. Infect. Immun. 73:4370–4380.
- 104. Dietrich, W. F., D. M. Damron, R. R. Isberg, E. S. Lander, and M. S. Swanson. 1995. Lgn1, a gene that determines susceptibility to *Legionella pneumophila*, maps to mouse chromosome 13. Genomics 26:443–450.
- 105. Diez, E., S. H. Lee, S. Gauthier, Z. Yaraghi, M. Tremblay, S. Vidal, and P. Gros. 2003. Birc1e is the gene within the Lgn1 locus associated with resistance to *Legionella pneumophila*. Nat. Genet. 33:55–60.
- 106. Doleans, A., H. Aurell, M. Reyrolle, G. Lina, J. Freney, F. Vandenesch, J. Etienne, and S. Jarraud. 2004. Clinical and environmental distributions of *Legionella* strains in France are different. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:458–460.
- 107. Doleans-Jordheim, A., M. Akermi, C. Ginevra, C. Cazalet, E. Kay, D. Schneider, C. Buchrieser, D. Atlan, F. Vandenesch, J. Etienne, and S. Jarraud. 2006. Growth-phase-dependent mobility of the *lvh*-encoding region in *Legionella pneumophila* strain Paris. Microbiology 152:3561–3568.
- Dorer, M. S., D. Kirton, J. S. Bader, and R. R. Isberg. 2006. RNA interference analysis of *Legionella* in *Drosophila* cells: exploitation of early secretory apparatus dynamics. PLoS Pathog. 2:e34.
- Dorn, B. R., W. A. Dunn, Jr., and A. Progulske-Fox. 2002. Bacterial interactions with the autophagic pathway. Cell. Microbiol. 4:1–10.
- 110. Doyle, R. M., N. P. Cianciotto, S. Banvi, P. A. Manning, and M. W.

Heuzenroeder. 2001. Comparison of virulence of *Legionella longbeachae* strains in guinea pigs and U937 macrophage-like cells. Infect. Immun. **69**:5335–5344.

- Doyle, R. M., and M. W. Heuzenroeder. 2002. A mutation in an *ompR*-like gene on a *Legionella longbeachae* serogroup 1 plasmid attenuates virulence. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 292:227–239.
- Dreyfus, L. A. 1987. Virulence associated ingestion of *Legionella pneumo-phila* by HeLa cells. Microb. Pathog. 3:45–52.
- 113. Dumenil, G., T. P. Montminy, M. Tang, and R. R. Isberg. 2004. IcmR-regulated membrane insertion and efflux by the *Legionella pneumophila* IcmQ protein. J. Biol. Chem. 279:4686–4695.
- Dunn, W. A., Jr. 1990. Studies on the mechanisms of autophagy: formation of the autophagic vacuole. J. Cell Biol. 110:1923–1933.
- Edwards, M. T., N. K. Fry, and T. G. Harrison. 2008. Clonal population structure of *Legionella pneumophila* inferred from allelic profiling. Microbiology 154:852–864.
- 116. Eisen, D. P., J. Stubbs, D. Spilsbury, J. Carnie, J. Leydon, and B. P. Howden. 2007. Low mannose-binding lectin complement activation function is associated with predisposition to Legionnaires' disease. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 149:97–102.
- 117. Endeman, H., B. L. Herpers, B. A. de Jong, G. P. Voorn, J. C. Grutters, H. van Velzen-Blad, and D. H. Biesma. 2008. Mannose-binding lectin genotypes in susceptibility to community-acquired pneumonia. Chest 134:1135–1140.
- 118. Engleberg, N. C., C. Carter, D. R. Weber, N. P. Cianciotto, and B. I. Eisenstein. 1989. DNA sequence of mip, a *Legionella pneumophila* gene associated with macrophage infectivity. Infect. Immun. 57:1263–1270.
- 119. Faulkner, G., S. G. Berk, E. Garduno, M. A. Ortiz-Jimenez, and R. A. Garduno. 2008. Passage through *Tetrahymena tropicalis* triggers a rapid morphological differentiation in *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 190: 7728–7738.
- Faulkner, G., and R. A. Garduno. 2002. Ultrastructural analysis of differentiation in *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 184:7025–7041.
- 121. Feeley, J. C., R. J. Gibson, G. W. Gorman, N. C. Langford, J. K. Rasheed, D. C. Mackel, and W. B. Baine. 1979. Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 10:437–441.
- Feldman, C. 2005. Pneumonia associated with HIV infection. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 18:165–170.
- 123. Fischer, G., H. Bang, B. Ludwig, K. Mann, and J. Hacker. 1992. Mip protein of *Legionella pneumophila* exhibits peptidyl-prolyl-cis/trans isomerase (PPlase) activity. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1375–1383.
- 124. Fitzgeorge, R. B., A. Baskerville, M. Broster, P. Hambleton, and P. J. Dennis. 1983. Aerosol infection of animals with strains of *Legionella pneumophila* of different virulence: comparison with intraperitoneal and intranasal routes of infection. J. Hyg. (Lond.) 90:81–89.
- 125. Flieger, A., S. Gong, M. Faigle, H. Northoff, and B. Neumeister. 2001. In vitro secretion kinetics of proteins from *Legionella pneumophila* in comparison to proteins from non-*pneumophila* species. Microbiology 147:3127– 3134.
- 126. Flieger, A., B. Neumeister, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2002. Characterization of the gene encoding the major secreted lysophospholipase A of *Legionella pneumophila* and its role in detoxification of lysophosphatidylcholine. Infect. Immun. 70:6094–6106.
- 127. Flieger, A., K. Rydzewski, S. Banerji, M. Broich, and K. Heuner. 2004. Cloning and characterization of the gene encoding the major cell-associated phospholipase A of *Legionella pneumophila*, plaB, exhibiting hemolytic activity. Infect. Immun. 72:2648–2658.
- 128. Fliermans, C. B., W. B. Cherry, L. H. Orrison, S. J. Smith, D. L. Tison, and D. H. Pope. 1981. Ecological distribution of *Legionella pneumophila*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 41:9–16.
- Fox, J. W., and S. M. Serrano. 2005. Structural considerations of the snake venom metalloproteinases, key members of the M12 reprolysin family of metalloproteinases. Toxicon 45:969–985.
- Fraser, D. W., D. C. Deubner, D. L. Hill, and D. K. Gilliam. 1979. Nonpneumonic, short-incubation-period legionellosis (Pontiac fever) in men who cleaned a steam turbine condenser. Science 205:690–691.
- 131. Fraser, D. W., T. R. Tsai, W. Orenstein, W. E. Parkin, H. J. Beecham, R. G. Sharrar, J. Harris, G. F. Mallison, S. M. Martin, J. E. McDade, C. C. Shepard, and P. S. Brachman. 1977. Legionnaires' disease: description of an epidemic of pneumonia. N. Engl. J. Med. 297:1189–1197.
- 132. Friedman, H., C. Newton, D. K. Blanchard, T. W. Klein, R. Widen, and K. H. Wong. 1987. Immunogenicity and adjuvanticity of lipopolysaccharide from *Legionella pneumophila*. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 184:191–196.
- 133. Fujita, M., S. Ikegame, E. Harada, H. Ouchi, I. Inoshima, K. Watanabe, S. Yoshida, and Y. Nakanishi. 2008. TNF receptor 1 and 2 contribute in different ways to resistance to *Legionella pneumophila*-induced mortality in mice. Cytokine 44:298–303.
- 134. Galka, F., S. N. Wai, H. Kusch, S. Engelmann, M. Hecker, B. Schmeck, S. Hippenstiel, B. E. Uhlin, and M. Steinert. 2008. Proteomic characterization of the whole secretome of *Legionella pneumophila* and functional analysis of outer membrane vesicles. Infect. Immun. 76:1825–1836.
- 135. Gal-Mor, O., and G. Segal. 2003. Identification of CpxR as a positive

regulator of icm and dot virulence genes of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. **185**:4908–4919.

- 136. Gal-Mor, O., and G. Segal. 2003. The Legionella pneumophila GacA homolog (LetA) is involved in the regulation of icm virulence genes and is required for intracellular multiplication in Acanthamoeba castellanii. Microb. Pathog. 34:187–194.
- 137. Gao, L. Y., and Y. Abu Kwaik. 1999. Activation of caspase 3 during *Legionella pneumophila*-induced apoptosis. Infect. Immun. 67:4886–4894.
- 138. Gao, L. Y., M. Susa, B. Ticac, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 1999. Heterogeneity in intracellular replication and cytopathogenicity of *Legionella pneumophila* and *Legionella micdadei* in mammalian and protozoan cells. Microb. Pathog. 27:273–287.
- 139. Garduno, R. A., E. Garduno, M. Hiltz, and P. S. Hoffman. 2002. Intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* gives rise to a differentiated form dissimilar to stationary-phase forms. Infect. Immun. 70:6273–6283.
- 140. Garduno, R. A., E. Garduno, and P. S. Hoffman. 1998. Surface-associated hsp60 chaperonin of *Legionella pneumophila* mediates invasion in a HeLa cell model. Infect. Immun. 66:4602–4610.
- 141. Garin, J., R. Diez, S. Kieffer, J. F. Dermine, S. Duclos, E. Gagnon, R. Sadoul, C. Rondeau, and M. Desjardins. 2001. The phagosome proteome: insight into phagosome functions. J. Cell Biol. 152:165–180.
- 142. Ge, J., H. Xu, T. Li, Y. Zhou, Z. Zhang, S. Li, L. Liu, and F. Shao. 2009. A Legionella type IV effector activates the NF-kappaB pathway by phosphorylating the IkappaB family of inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106:13725–13730.
- 143. Gebran, S. J., C. Newton, Y. Yamamoto, R. Widen, T. W. Klein, and H. Friedman. 1994. Macrophage permissiveness for *Legionella pneunophila* growth modulated by iron. Infect. Immun. 62:564–568.
- 144. George, J. R., L. Pine, M. W. Reeves, and W. K. Harrell. 1980. Amino acid requirements of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 11:286–291.
- 145. Gerhardt, H., M. J. Walz, M. Faigle, H. Northoff, H. Wolburg, and B. Neumeister. 2000. Localization of *Legionella* bacteria within ribosome-studded phagosomes is not restricted to *Legionella pneumophila*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 192:145–152.
- 146. Gibson, F. C., III, A. O. Tzianabos, and F. G. Rodgers. 1994. Adherence of *Legionella pneumophila* to U-937 cells, guinea-pig alveolar macrophages, and MRC-5 cells by a novel, complement-independent binding mechanism. Can. J. Microbiol. 40:865–872.
- 147. Glockner, G., C. Albert-Weissenberger, E. Weinmann, S. Jacobi, E. Schunder, M. Steinert, J. Hacker, and K. Henner. 2008. Identification and characterization of a new conjugation/type IVA secretion system (trb/tra) of *Legionella pneumophila* Corby localized on two mobile genomic islands. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 298:411–428.
- Gobin, I., M. Susa, G. Begic, E. L. Hartland, and M. Doric. 2009. Experimental *Legionella longbeachae* infection in intratracheally inoculated mice. J. Med. Microbiol. 58:723–730.
- Goebl, M., and M. Yanagida. 1991. The TPR snap helix: a novel protein repeat motif from mitosis to transcription. Trends Biochem. Sci. 16:173–177.
- Gomez-Valero, L., C. Rusniok, and C. Buchrieser. 2009. Legionella pneumophila: population genetics, phylogeny and genomics. Infect. Genet. Evol. 9:727–739.
- 151. Growney, J. D., and W. F. Dietrich. 2000. High-resolution genetic and physical map of the Lgn1 interval in C57BL/6J implicates Naip2 or Naip5 in *Legionella pneumophila* pathogenesis. Genome Res. 10:1158–1171.
- Hacker, J., and G. Fischer. 1993. Immunophilins: structure-function relationship and possible role in microbial pathogenicity. Mol. Microbiol. 10: 445–456.
- 153. Hales, L. M., and H. A. Shuman. 1999. *Legionella pneumophila* contains a type II general secretion pathway required for growth in amoebae as well as for secretion of the Msp protease. Infect. Immun. 67:3662–3666.
- 153a.Hales, L. M., and H. A. Shuman. 1999. The Legionella pneumophila rpoS gene is required for growth within Acanthamoeba castellanii. J. Bacteriol. 181:4879–4889.
- Hammer, B. K., and M. S. Swanson. 1999. Co-ordination of *Legionella pneumophila* virulence with entry into stationary phase by ppGpp. Mol. Microbiol. 33:721–731.
- 155. Hammer, B. K., E. S. Tateda, and M. S. Swanson. 2002. A two-component regulator induces the transmission phenotype of stationary-phase *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 44:107–118.
- 156. Hawn, T. R., W. R. Berrington, I. A. Smith, S. Uematsu, S. Akira, A. Aderem, K. D. Smith, and S. J. Skerrett. 2007. Altered inflammatory responses in TLR5-deficient mice infected with *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Immunol. 179:6981–6987.
- 157. Hawn, T. R., K. D. Smith, A. Aderem, and S. J. Skerrett. 2006. Myeloid differentiation primary response gene (88)- and Toll-like receptor 2-deficient mice are susceptible to infection with aerosolized *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Infect. Dis. **193**:1693–1702.
- 158. Hawn, T. R., A. Verbon, M. Janer, L. P. Zhao, B. Beutler, and A. Aderem. 2005. Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms are associated with resistance to Legionnaires' disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:2487–2489.
- 159. Hawn, T. R., A. Verbon, K. D. Lettinga, L. P. Zhao, S. S. Li, R. J. Laws, S. J. Skerrett, B. Beutler, L. Schroeder, A. Nachman, A. Ozinsky, K. D. Smith,

and A. Aderem. 2003. A common dominant TLR5 stop codon polymorphism abolishes flagellin signaling and is associated with susceptibility to Legionnaires' disease. J. Exp. Med. **198**:1563–1572.

- 160. Hay, J. C., D. S. Chao, C. S. Kuo, and R. H. Scheller. 1997. Protein interactions regulating vesicle transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus in mammalian cells. Cell 89:149–158.
- 161. Hebert, G. A., C. W. Moss, L. K. McDougal, F. M. Bozeman, R. M. Mc-Kinney, and D. J. Brenner. 1980. The rickettsia-like organisms TATLOCK (1943) and HEBA (1959): bacteria phenotypically similar to but genetically distinct from *Legionella pneumophila* and the WIGA bacterium. Ann. Intern. Med. 92:45–52.
- 162. Hedlund, K. W., V. G. McGann, D. S. Copeland, S. F. Little, and R. G. Allen. 1979. Immunologic protection against the Legionnaires' disease bacterium in the AKR/J. mouse. Ann. Intern. Med. 90:676–679.
- 163. Heidtman, M., E. J. Chen, M. Y. Moy, and R. R. Isberg. 2009. Large-scale identification of *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm substrates that modulate host cell vesicle trafficking pathways. Cell. Microbiol. 11:230–248.
- 164. Herpers, B. L., E. P. Yzerman, B. A. de Jong, J. P. Bruin, K. D. Lettinga, S. Kuipers, J. W. Den Boer, E. J. van Hannen, G. T. Rijkers, H. van Velzen-Blad, and B. M. de Jongh. 2009. Deficient mannose-binding lectin-mediated complement activation despite mannose-binding lectin-sufficient genotypes in an outbreak of *Legionella pneumophila* pneumonia. Hum. Immunol. 70:125–129.
- 165. Higa, F., J. Fujita, M. Koide, S. Haranaga, and M. Tateyama. 2008. Clinical features of two cases of Legionnaires' disease with persistence of *Legionella* urinary antigen excretion. Intern. Med. 47:173–178.
- 166. Hilbi, H., G. Segal, and H. A. Shuman. 2001. icm/dot-dependent upregulation of phagocytosis by *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 42:603– 617.
- Hirsch, C., R. Gauss, S. C. Horn, O. Neuber, and T. Sommer. 2009. The ubiquitylation machinery of the endoplasmic reticulum. Nature 458:453– 460.
- 168. Horstmann, M., P. Ehses, K. Schweimer, M. Steinert, T. Kamphausen, G. Fischer, J. Hacker, P. Rosch, and C. Faber. 2006. Domain motions of the Mip protein from *Legionella pneumophila*. Biochemistry 45:12303–12311.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1983. Cell-mediated immunity in Legionnaires' disease. J. Clin. Invest. 71:1686–1697.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1987. Characterization of avirulent mutant *Legionella pneu-mophila* that survive but do not multiply within human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 166:1310–1328.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1983. Formation of a novel phagosome by the Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) in human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 158:1319–1331.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1984. Phagocytosis of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneunophila*) occurs by a novel mechanism: engulfment within a pseudopod coil. Cell 36:27–33.
- Horwitz, M. A. 1983. The Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneu-mophila*) inhibits phagosome-lysosome fusion in human monocytes. J. Exp. Med. 158:2108–2126.
- Horwitz, M. A., and F. R. Maxfield. 1984. Legionella pneumophila inhibits acidification of its phagosome in human monocytes. J. Cell Biol. 99:1936– 1943.
- Husmann, L. K., and W. Johnson. 1994. Cytotoxicity of extracellular Legionella pneumophila. Infect. Immun. 62:2111–2114.
- Huston, W. M., J. Naylor, N. P. Cianciotto, M. P. Jennings, and A. G. McEwan. 2008. Functional analysis of the multi-copper oxidase from Legionella pneumophila. Microbes Infect. 10:497–503.
- 177. Ingmundson, A., A. Delprato, D. G. Lambright, and C. R. Roy. 2007. Legionella pneumophila proteins that regulate Rab1 membrane cycling. Nature 450:365–369.
- Inohara, N., and G. Nunez. 2001. The NOD: a signaling module that regulates apoptosis and host defense against pathogens. Oncogene 20: 6473–6481.
- Isberg, R. R., T. J. O'Connor, and M. Heidtman. 2009. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole: making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:13–24.
- Ivanov, S. S., and C. R. Roy. 2009. Modulation of ubiquitin dynamics and suppression of DALIS formation by the *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm system. Cell. Microbiol. 11:261–278.
- 181. Izu, K., S. Yoshida, H. Miyamoto, B. Chang, M. Ogawa, H. Yamamoto, Y. Goto, and H. Taniguchi. 1999. Grouping of 20 reference strains of *Legionella* species by the growth ability within mouse and guinea pig macrophages. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 26:61–68.
- Jacobi, S., and K. Heuner. 2003. Description of a putative type I secretion system in *Legionella pneumophila*. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 293:349–358.
- 183. James, B. W., W. S. Mauchline, R. B. Fitzgeorge, P. J. Dennis, and C. W. Keevil. 1995. Influence of iron-limited continuous culture on physiology and virulence of *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 63:4224–4230.
- 184. Jepras, R. I., R. B. Fitzgeorge, and A. Baskerville. 1985. A comparison of virulence of two strains of *Legionella pneumophila* based on experimental aerosol infection of guinea-pigs. J. Hyg. (Lond.) 95:29–38.
- 185. Joly, P., P. A. Falconnet, J. Andre, N. Weill, M. Reyrolle, F. Vandenesch, M.

Maurin, J. Etienne, and S. Jarraud. 2006. Quantitative real-time *Legionella* PCR for environmental water samples: data interpretation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **72**:2801–2808.

- Kagan, J. C., and C. R. Roy. 2002. Legionella phagosomes intercept vesicular traffic from endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. Nat. Cell Biol. 4:945–954.
- 187. Kagan, J. C., M. P. Stein, M. Pypaert, and C. R. Roy. 2004. Legionella subvert the functions of Rab1 and Sec22b to create a replicative organelle. J. Exp. Med. 199:1201–1211.
- Katz, S. M., and S. Hashemi. 1982. Electron microscopic examination of the inflammatory response to *Legionella pneumophila* in guinea pigs. Lab. Invest. 46:24–32.
- Khelef, N., H. A. Shuman, and F. R. Maxfield. 2001. Phagocytosis of wild-type *Legionella pneumophila* occurs through a wortmannin-insensitive pathway. Infect. Immun. 69:5157–5161.
- 190. Kikuchi, T., S. Andarini, H. Xin, K. Gomi, Y. Tokue, Y. Saijo, T. Honjo, A. Watanabe, and T. Nukiwa. 2005. Involvement of fractalkine/CX3CL1 expression by dendritic cells in the enhancement of host immunity against *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 73:5350–5357.
- 191. King, C. H., E. B. Shotts, Jr., R. E. Wooley, and K. G. Porter. 1988. Survival of coliforms and bacterial pathogens within protozoa during chlorination. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54:3023–3033.
- Kirby, J. E., J. P. Vogel, H. L. Andrews, and R. R. Isberg. 1998. Evidence for pore-forming ability by *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 27:323– 336.
- 193. Kitsukawa, K., A. Nakamoto, H. Koito, Y. Matsuda, A. Saito, and H. Yamamoto. 1995. Interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma) production by human T lymphocytes upon *Legionella pneunophila* stimulation in vitro. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 99:76–81.
- 194. Komano, T., T. Yoshida, K. Narahara, and N. Furuya. 2000. The transfer region of IncI1 plasmid R64: similarities between R64 *tra* and *Legionella icm/dot* genes. Mol. Microbiol. 35:1348–1359.
- 195. Kubori, T., A. Hyakutake, and H. Nagai. 2008. Legionella translocates an E3 ubiquitin ligase that has multiple U-boxes with distinct functions. Mol. Microbiol. 67:1307–1319.
- 196. Laguna, R. K., E. A. Creasey, Z. Li, N. Valtz, and R. R. Isberg. 2006. A Legionella pneumophila-translocated substrate that is required for growth within macrophages and protection from host cell death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103:18745–18750.
- 197. Lettinga, K. D., S. Florquin, P. Speelman, R. van Ketel, T. van der Poll, and A. Verbon. 2002. Toll-like receptor 4 is not involved in host defense against pulmonary *Legionella pneumophila* infection in a mouse model. J. Infect. Dis. 186:570–573.
- 198. Lettinga, K. D., A. Verbon, G. J. Weverling, J. F. Schellekens, J. W. Den Boer, E. P. Yzerman, J. Prins, W. G. Boersma, R. J. van Ketel, J. M. Prins, and P. Speelman. 2002. Legionnaires' disease at a Dutch flower show: prognostic factors and impact of therapy. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:1448–1454.
- Lettinga, K. D., S. Weijer, P. Speelman, J. M. Prins, T. Van Der Poll, and A. Verbon. 2003. Reduced interferon-gamma release in patients recovered from Legionnaires' disease. Thorax 58:63–67.
- 200. Li, Z., A. S. Dugan, G. Bloomfield, J. Skelton, A. Ivens, V. Losick, and R. R. Isberg. 2009. The amoebal MAP kinase response to *Legionella pneumophila* is regulated by DupA. Cell Host Microbe 6:253–267.
- Liles, M. R., P. H. Edelstein, and N. P. Cianciotto. 1999. The prepilin peptidase is required for protein secretion by and the virulence of the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 31:959–970.
- Liles, M. R., T. A. Scheel, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2000. Discovery of a nonclassical siderophore, legiobactin, produced by strains of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 182:749–757.
- Lindsay, D. S., W. H. Abraham, and R. J. Fallon. 1994. Detection of *mip* gene by PCR for diagnosis of Legionnaires' disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. 32:3068–3069.
- 204. Lippmann, J., S. Rothenburg, N. Deigendesch, J. Eitel, K. Meixenberger, V. van Laak, H. Slevogt, P. D. N'Guessan, S. Hippenstiel, T. Chakraborty, A. Flieger, N. Suttorp, and B. Opitz. 2008. IFNbeta responses induced by intracellular bacteria or cytosolic DNA in different human cells do not require ZBP1 (DLM-1/DAI). Cell. Microbiol. 10:2579–2588.
- 205. Liu, M., G. M. Conover, and R. R. Isberg. 2008. Legionella pneumophila EnhC is required for efficient replication in tumor necrosis factor alphastimulated macrophages. Cell. Microbiol. 10:1906–1923.
- 206. Reference deleted.
- Lomma, M., L. Gomez Valero, C. Rusniok, and C. Buchrieser. 2009. Legionella pneumophila-host interactions: insights gained from comparative genomics and cell biology. Genome Dyn. 6:170–186.
- Losick, V. P., and R. R. Isberg. 2006. NF-kappaB translocation prevents host cell death after low-dose challenge by *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Exp. Med. 203:2177–2189.
- Luo, Z. Q., and R. R. Isberg. 2004. Multiple substrates of the *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm system identified by interbacterial protein transfer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101:841–846.
- Luzio, J. P., B. M. Mullock, P. R. Pryor, M. R. Lindsay, D. E. James, and R. C. Piper. 2001. Relationship between endosomes and lysosomes. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 29:476–480.

- 211. Lynch, D., N. Fieser, K. Gloggler, V. Forsbach-Birk, and R. Marre. 2003. The response regulator LetA regulates the stationary-phase stress response in *Legionella pneumophila* and is required for efficient infection of *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **219**:241–248.
- Machner, M. P., and R. R. Isberg. 2007. A bifunctional bacterial protein links GDI displacement to Rab1 activation. Science 318:974–977.
- Machner, M. P., and R. R. Isberg. 2006. Targeting of host Rab GTPase function by the intravacuolar pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. Dev. Cell 11:47–56.
- 214. Maier, J. K., Z. Lahoua, N. H. Gendron, R. Fetni, A. Johnston, J. Davoodi, D. Rasper, S. Roy, R. S. Slack, D. W. Nicholson, and A. E. MacKenzie. 2002. The neuronal apoptosis inhibitory protein is a direct inhibitor of caspases 3 and 7. J. Neurosci. 22:2035–2043.
- 215. Mampel, J., T. Spirig, S. S. Weber, J. A. Haagensen, S. Molin, and H. Hilbi. 2006. Planktonic replication is essential for biofilm formation by *Legionella pneumophila* in a complex medium under static and dynamic flow conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:2885–2895.
- Mariathasan, S., and D. M. Monack. 2007. Inflammasome adaptors and sensors: intracellular regulators of infection and inflammation. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 7:31–40.
- 217. Marra, A., S. J. Blander, M. A. Horwitz, and H. A. Shuman. 1992. Identification of a *Legionella pneumophila* locus required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89:9607–9611.
- 218. Marston, B. J., J. F. Plouffe, T. M. File, Jr., B. A. Hackman, S. J. Salstrom, H. B. Lipman, M. S. Kolczak, and R. F. Breiman. 1997. Incidence of community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization. Results of a population-based active surveillance study in Ohio. The Community-Based Pneumonia Incidence Study Group. Arch. Intern. Med. 157:1709–1718.
- Maruta, K., M. Ogawa, H. Miyamoto, K. Izu, and S. I. Yoshida. 1998. Entry and intracellular localization of *Legionella dumoffii* in Vero cells. Microb. Pathog. 24:65–73.
- Matsiota-Bernard, P., C. Lefebre, M. Sedqui, P. Cornillet, and M. Guenounou. 1993. Involvement of tumor necrosis factor alpha in intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in human monocytes. Infect. Immun. 61:4980–4983.
- Matthews, M., and C. R. Roy. 2000. Identification and subcellular localization of the *Legionella pneumophila* IcmX protein: a factor essential for establishment of a replicative organelle in eukaryotic host cells. Infect. Immun. 68:3971–3982.
- 222. McCusker, K. T., B. A. Braaten, M. W. Cho, and D. A. Low. 1991. Legionella pneumophila inhibits protein synthesis in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Infect. Immun. 59:240–246.
- 223. McDade, J. E., C. C. Shepard, D. W. Fraser, T. R. Tsai, M. A. Redus, and W. R. Dowdle. 1977. Legionnaires' disease: isolation of a bacterium and demonstration of its role in other respiratory disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 297:1197–1203.
- 224. Moffat, J. F., P. H. Edelstein, D. P. Regula, Jr., J. D. Cirillo, and L. S. Tompkins. 1994. Effects of an isogenic Zn-metalloprotease-deficient mutant of *Legionella pneumophila* in a guinea-pig pneumonia model. Mol. Microbiol. 12:693–705.
- Moliner, C., D. Raoult, and P. E. Fournier. 2009. Evidence of horizontal gene transfer between amoeba and bacteria. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 15: 178–180.
- Moliner, C., D. Raoult, and P. E. Fournier. 2009. Evidence that the intraamoebal *Legionella drancourtii* acquired a sterol reductase gene from eukaryotes. BMC Res. Notes 2:51.
- 227. Molmeret, M., and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2002. How does Legionella pneumophila exit the host cell? Trends Microbiol. 10:258–260.
- Molmeret, M., O. A. Alli, M. Radulic, M. Susa, M. Doric, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2002. The C-terminus of IcmT is essential for pore formation and for intracellular trafficking of *Legionella pneumophila* within *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*. Mol. Microbiol. 43:1139–1150.
- Molmeret, M., O. A. Alli, S. Zink, A. Flieger, N. P. Cianciotto, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2002. icmT is essential for pore formation-mediated egress of *Legionella pneumophila* from mammalian and protozoan cells. Infect. Immun. 70:69–78.
- 230. Molmeret, M., S. D. Zink, L. Han, A. Abu-Zant, R. Asari, D. M. Bitar, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2004. Activation of caspase-3 by the Dot/Icm virulence system is essential for arrested biogenesis of the *Legionella*-containing phagosome. Cell. Microbiol. 6:33–48.
- 231. Molofsky, A. B., B. G. Byrne, N. N. Whitfield, C. A. Madigan, E. T. Fuse, K. Tateda, and M. S. Swanson. 2006. Cytosolic recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts *Legionella pneumophila* infection. J. Exp. Med. 203:1093–1104.
- 232. Molofsky, A. B., L. M. Shetron-Rama, and M. S. Swanson. 2005. Components of the *Legionella pneumophila* flagellar regulon contribute to multiple virulence traits, including lysosome avoidance and macrophage death. Infect. Immun. 73:5720–5734.
- Molofsky, A. B., and M. S. Swanson. 2003. Legionella pneumophila CsrA is a pivotal repressor of transmission traits and activator of replication. Mol. Microbiol. 50:445–461.
- 234. Monroe, K. M., S. M. McWhirter, and R. E. Vance. 2009. Identification of

host cytosolic sensors and bacterial factors regulating the type I interferon response to *Legionella pneumophila*. PLoS Pathog. **5:**e1000665.

- 235. Morris, G. K., C. M. Patton, J. C. Feeley, S. E. Johnson, G. Gorman, W. T. Martin, P. Skaliy, G. F. Mallison, B. D. Politi, and D. C. Mackel. 1979. Isolation of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium from environmental samples. Ann. Intern. Med. 90:664–666.
- Moyer, B. D., B. B. Allan, and W. E. Balch. 2001. Rab1 interaction with a GM130 effector complex regulates COPII vesicle cis-Golgi tethering. Traffic 2:268–276.
- 237. Muder, R. R., and V. L. Yu. 2002. Infection due to *Legionella* species other than *L. pneumophila*. Clin. Infect. Dis. 35:990–998.
- 238. Murata, T., A. Delprato, A. Ingmundson, D. K. Toomre, D. G. Lambright, and C. R. Roy. 2006. The *Legionella pneumophila* effector protein DrrA is a Rab1 guanine nucleotide-exchange factor. Nat. Cell Biol. 8:971–977.
- 239. Murga, R., T. S. Forster, E. Brown, J. M. Pruckler, B. S. Fields, and R. M. Donlan. 2001. Role of biofilms in the survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable-water system. Microbiology 147:3121–3126.
- 240. Nagai, H., E. D. Cambronne, J. C. Kagan, J. C. Amor, R. A. Kahn, and C. R. Roy. 2005. A C-terminal translocation signal required for Dot/Icm-dependent delivery of the *Legionella* RalF protein to host cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:826–831.
- Nagai, H., J. C. Kagan, X. Zhu, R. A. Kahn, and C. R. Roy. 2002. A bacterial guanine nucleotide exchange factor activates ARF on *Legionella* phagosomes. Science 295:679–682.
- Nagai, H., and C. R. Roy. 2001. The DotA protein from Legionella pneumophila is secreted by a novel process that requires the Dot/Icm transporter. EMBO J. 20:5962–5970.
- 243. Nash, T. W., D. M. Libby, and M. A. Horwitz. 1984. Interaction between the Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) and human alveolar macrophages. Influence of antibody, lymphokines, and hydrocortisone. J. Clin. Invest. 74:771–782.
- Naylor, J., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2004. Cytochrome c maturation proteins are critical for in vivo growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 241:249–256.
- Neild, A. L., and C. R. Roy. 2003. *Legionella* reveal dendritic cell functions that facilitate selection of antigens for MHC class II presentation. Immunity 18:813–823.
- Neild, A. L., S. Shin, and C. R. Roy. 2005. Activated macrophages infected with *Legionella* inhibit T cells by means of MyD88-dependent production of prostaglandins. J. Immunol. 175:8181–8190.
- Neumeister, B., M. Faigle, K. Lauber, H. Northoff, and S. Wesselborg. 2002. Legionella pneumophila induces apoptosis via the mitochondrial death pathway. Microbiology 148:3639–3650.
- 248. Newton, C. A., I. Perkins, R. H. Widen, H. Friedman, and T. W. Klein. 2007. Role of Toll-like receptor 9 in *Legionella pneumophila*-induced interleukin-12 p40 production in bone marrow-derived dendritic cells and macrophages from permissive and nonpermissive mice. Infect. Immun. 75:146–151.
- 249. Newton, H. J., F. M. Sansom, V. Bennett-Wood, and E. L. Hartland. 2006. Identification of *Legionella pneumophila*-specific genes by genomic subtractive hybridization with *Legionella micdadei* and identification of *lpnE*, a gene required for efficient host cell entry. Infect. Immun. 74:1683–1691.
- 250. Newton, H. J., F. M. Sansom, J. Dao, C. Cazalet, H. Bruggemann, C. Albert-Weissenberger, C. Buchrieser, N. P. Cianciotto, and E. L. Hartland. 2008. Significant role for *ladC* in initiation of *Legionella pneumophila* infection. Infect. Immun. 76:3075–3085.
- 251. Newton, H. J., F. M. Sansom, J. Dao, A. D. McAlister, J. Sloan, N. P. Cianciotto, and E. L. Hartland. 2007. Sel1 repeat protein LpnE is a *Legionella pneumophila* virulence determinant that influences vacuolar trafficking. Infect. Immun. 75:5575–5585.
- 252. Nguyen, T. M., D. Ilef, S. Jarraud, L. Rouil, C. Campese, D. Che, S. Haeghebaert, F. Ganiayre, F. Marcel, J. Etienne, and J. C. Desenclos. 2006. A community-wide outbreak of Legionnaires disease linked to industrial cooling towers—how far can contaminated aerosols spread? J. Infect. Dis. 193:102–111.
- Ninio, S., J. Celli, and C. R. Roy. 2009. A Legionella pneumophila effector protein encoded in a region of genomic plasticity binds to Dot/Icm-modified vacuoles. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000278.
- 254. Ninio, S., D. M. Zuckman-Cholon, E. D. Cambronne, and C. R. Roy. 2005. The *Legionella* IcmS-IcmW protein complex is important for Dot/Icmmediated protein translocation. Mol. Microbiol. 55:912–926.
- 255. Nogueira, C. V., T. Lindsten, A. M. Jamieson, C. L. Case, S. Shin, C. B. Thompson, and C. R. Roy. 2009. Rapid pathogen-induced apoptosis: a mechanism used by dendritic cells to limit intracellular replication of *Legionella pneumophila*. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000478.
- 256. Opitz, B., M. Vinzing, V. van Laak, B. Schmeck, G. Heine, S. Gunther, R. Preissner, H. Slevogt, P. D. N'Guessan, J. Eitel, T. Goldmann, A. Flieger, N. Suttorp, and S. Hippenstiel. 2006. Legionella pneumophila induces IF-Nbeta in lung epithelial cells via IPS-1 and IRF3, which also control bacterial replication. J. Biol. Chem. 281:36173–36179.
- 257. Otto, G. P., M. Y. Wu, M. Clarke, H. Lu, O. R. Anderson, H. Hilbi, H. A. Shuman, and R. H. Kessin. 2004. Macroautophagy is dispensable for in-

tracellular replication of *Legionella pneumophila* in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Microbiol. **51**:63–72.

- Pan, X., A. Luhrmann, A. Satoh, M. A. Laskowski-Arce, and C. R. Roy. 2008. Ankyrin repeat proteins comprise a diverse family of bacterial type IV effectors. Science 320:1651–1654.
- Pardo, A., M. Selman, and N. Kaminski. 2008. Approaching the degradome in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 40:1141–1155.
- Pearce, M. M., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2009. Legionella pneumophila secretes an endoglucanase that belongs to the family-5 of glycosyl hydrolases and is dependent upon type II secretion. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 300:256–264.
- 261. Pedro-Botet, M. L., N. Sopena, A. Garcia-Cruz, L. Mateu, M. Garcia-Nunez, C. Rey-Joly, and M. Sabria. 2007. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Legionella pneumophila* pneumonia in HIV-infected patients. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 39:122–128.
- 262. Plumlee, C. R., C. Lee, A. A. Beg, T. Decker, H. A. Shuman, and C. Schindler. 2009. Interferons direct an effective innate response to *Legionella pneumophila* infection. J. Biol. Chem. 284:30058–30066.
- 263. Polesky, A. H., J. T. Ross, S. Falkow, and L. S. Tompkins. 2001. Identification of *Legionella pneumophila* genes important for infection of amoebae by signature-tagged mutagenesis. Infect. Immun. 69:977–987.
- 264. Pope, C. D., W. O'Connell, and N. P. Cianciotto. 1996. Legionella pneumophila mutants that are defective for iron acquisition and assimilation and intracellular infection. Infect. Immun. 64:629–636.
- 265. Price, C. T., S. Al-Khodor, T. Al-Quadan, M. Santic, F. Habyarimana, A. Kalia, and Y. A. Kwaik. 2009. Molecular mimicry by an F-box effector of *Legionella pneumophila* hijacks a conserved polyubiquitination machinery within macrophages and protozoa. PLoS Pathog. 5:e1000704.
- 266. Purcell, M., and H. A. Shuman. 1998. The Legionella pneumophila icm-GCDJBF genes are required for killing of human macrophages. Infect. Immun. 66:2245–2255.
- 267. Ragaz, C., H. Pietsch, S. Urwyler, A. Tiaden, S. S. Weber, and H. Hilbi. 2008. The *Legionella pneumophila* phosphatidylinositol-4 phosphate-binding type IV substrate SidC recruits endoplasmic reticulum vesicles to a replication-permissive vacuole. Cell. Microbiol. 10:2416–2433.
- Rasis, M., and G. Segal. 2009. The LetA-RsmYZ-CsrA regulatory cascade, together with RpoS and PmrA, post-transcriptionally regulates stationary phase activation of *Legionella pneumophila* Icm/Dot effectors. Mol. Microbiol. 72:995–1010.
- 269. Ratcliff, R. M., J. A. Lanser, P. A. Manning, and M. W. Heuzenroeder. 1998. Sequence-based classification scheme for the genus *Legionella* targeting the *mip* gene. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:1560–1567.
- 270. Raychaudhury, S., J. D. Farelli, T. P. Montminy, M. Matthews, J. F. Menetret, G. Dumenil, C. R. Roy, J. F. Head, R. R. Isberg, and C. W. Akey. 2009. Structure and function of interacting IcmR-IcmQ domains from a type IVb secretion system in *Legionella pneumophila*. Structure 17:590–601.
- Rechnitzer, C., and J. Blom. 1989. Engulfment of the Philadelphia strain of Legionella pneumophila within pseudopod coils in human phagocytes. Comparison with other Legionella strains and species. APMIS 97:105–114.
- Reeves, M. W., L. Pine, S. H. Hutner, J. R. George, and W. K. Harrell. 1981. Metal requirements of *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:688–695.
- 273. Ren, T., D. S. Zamboni, C. R. Roy, W. F. Dietrich, and R. E. Vance. 2006. Flagellin-deficient *Legionella* mutants evade caspase-1- and Naip5-mediated macrophage immunity. PLoS Pathog. 2:e18.
- Reynolds, H. Y., and H. H. Newball. 1974. Analysis of proteins and respiratory cells obtained from human lungs by bronchial lavage. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 84:559–573.
- 275. Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, A., B. Konig, S. Jessen, M. S. Weiss, J. Rahfeld, J. Hacker, G. Fischer, and R. Hilgenfeld. 2001. Crystal structure of Mip, a prolylisomerase from *Legionella pneumophila*. Nat. Struct. Biol. 8:779–783.
- Ricci, M. L., A. Torosantucci, M. Scaturro, P. Chiani, L. Baldassarri, and M. C. Pastoris. 2005. Induction of protective immunity by *Legionella pneumophila* flagellum in an A/J. mouse model. Vaccine 23:4811–4820.
- Ridenour, D. A., S. L. Cirillo, S. Feng, M. M. Samrakandi, and J. D. Cirillo. 2003. Identification of a gene that affects the efficiency of host cell infection by *Legionella pneumophila* in a temperature-dependent fashion. Infect. Immun. 71:6256–6263.
- Ristroph, J. D., K. W. Hedlund, and S. Gowda. 1981. Chemically defined medium for *Legionella pneumophila* growth. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:115–119.
- Robey, M., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2002. Legionella pneumophila feoAB promotes ferrous iron uptake and intracellular infection. Infect. Immun. 70: 5659–5669.
- Robinson, C. G., and C. R. Roy. 2006. Attachment and fusion of endoplasmic reticulum with vacuoles containing *Legionella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 8:793–805.
- Rodgers, F. G., and F. C. Gibson III. 1993. Opsonin-independent adherence and intracellular development of *Legionella pneumophila* within U-937 cells. Can. J. Microbiol. 39:718–722.
- 282. Rogers, J., A. B. Dowsett, P. J. Dennis, J. V. Lee, and C. W. Keevil. 1994. Influence of temperature and plumbing material selection on biofilm formation and growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable water system containing complex microbial flora. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60: 1585–1592.

- Rossier, O., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2005. The *Legionella pneumophila* tatB gene facilitates secretion of phospholipase C, growth under iron-limiting conditions, and intracellular infection. Infect. Immun. 73:2020–2032.
- Rossier, O., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2001. Type II protein secretion is a subset of the PilD-dependent processes that facilitate intracellular infection by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 69:2092–2098.
- Rossier, O., J. Dao, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2009. A type II secreted RNase of Legionella pneumophila facilitates optimal intracellular infection of Hartmannella vermiformis. Microbiology 155:882–890.
- 286. Rossier, O., J. Dao, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2008. The type II secretion system of *Legionella pneumophila* elaborates two aminopeptidases, as well as a metalloprotease that contributes to differential infection among protozoan hosts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:753–761.
- 287. Rossier, O., S. R. Starkenburg, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2004. Legionella pneumophila type II protein secretion promotes virulence in the A/J. mouse model of Legionnaires' disease pneumonia. Infect. Immun. 72:310–321.
- Rowbotham, T. J. 1980. Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legio-nella pneumophila* for freshwater and soil amoebae. J. Clin. Pathol. 33: 1179–1183.
- Sadosky, A. B., L. A. Wiater, and H. A. Shuman. 1993. Identification of *Legionella pneumophila* genes required for growth within and killing of human macrophages. Infect. Immun. 61:5361–5373.
- 290. Sahr, T., H. Bruggemann, M. Jules, M. Lomma, C. Albert-Weissenberger, C. Cazalet, and C. Buchrieser. 2009. Two small ncRNAs jointly govern virulence and transmission in *Legionella pneumophila*. Mol. Microbiol. 72: 741–762.
- 291. Sansom, F. M., H. J. Newton, S. Crikis, N. P. Cianciotto, P. J. Cowan, A. J. d'Apice, and E. L. Hartland. 2007. A bacterial ecto-triphosphate diphosphohydrolase similar to human CD39 is essential for intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila*. Cell. Microbiol. 9:1922–1935.
- 292. Sansom, F. M., P. Riedmaier, H. J. Newton, M. A. Dunstone, C. E. Muller, H. Stephan, E. Byres, T. Beddoe, J. Rossjohn, P. J. Cowan, A. J. d'Apice, S. C. Robson, and E. L. Hartland. 2008. Enzymatic properties of an ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase from *Legionella pneumophila*: substrate specificity and requirement for virulence. J. Biol. Chem. 283: 12909–12918.
- 293. Santic, M., R. Asare, M. Doric, and Y. Abu Kwaik. 2007. Host-dependent trigger of caspases and apoptosis by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 75:2903–2913.
- 294. Sauer, J. D., M. A. Bachman, and M. S. Swanson. 2005. The phagosomal transporter A couples threonine acquisition to differentiation and replication of *Legionella pneumophila* in macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:9024–9929.
- 295. Schiavoni, G., C. Mauri, D. Carlei, F. Belardelli, M. C. Pastoris, and E. Proietti. 2004. Type I IFN protects permissive macrophages from *Legionella pneumophila* infection through an IFN-gamma-independent pathway. J. Immunol. **173**:1266–1275.
- Schlossberg, D., and J. Bonoan. 1998. Legionella and immunosuppression. Semin. Respir. Infect. 13:128–131.
- 297. Schmidt, B., J. Rahfeld, A. Schierhorn, B. Ludwig, J. Hacker, and G. Fischer. 1994. A homodimer represents an active species of the peptidylprolyl cis/trans isomerase FKBP25mem from *Legionella pneumophila*. FEBS Lett. 352:185–190.
- 298. Segal, G., M. Purcell, and H. A. Shuman. 1998. Host cell killing and bacterial conjugation require overlapping sets of genes within a 22-kb region of the *Legionella pneumophila* genome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95:1669–1674.
- 299. Segal, G., and H. A. Shuman. 1997. Characterization of a new region required for macrophage killing by *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 65:5057–5066.
- 300. Sethi, K. K., and H. Brandis. 1983. Direct demonstration and isolation of Legionella pneumophila (serogroup 1) from bathroom water specimens in a hotel. Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Mikrobiol. Hyg. B 177:402–405. (In German.)
- 301. Shen, X., S. Banga, Y. Liu, L. Xu, P. Gao, I. Shamovsky, E. Nudler, and Z. Q. Luo. 2009. Targeting eEF1A by a *Legionella pneumophila* effector leads to inhibition of protein synthesis and induction of host stress response. Cell. Microbiol. 11:911–926.
- 302. Shin, S., C. L. Case, K. A. Archer, C. V. Nogueira, K. S. Kobayashi, R. A. Flavell, C. R. Roy, and D. S. Zamboni. 2008. Type IV secretion-dependent activation of host MAP kinases induces an increased proinflammatory cytokine response to *Legionella pneumophila*. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000220.
- 303. Shinozawa, Y., T. Matsumoto, K. Uchida, S. Tsujimoto, Y. Iwakura, and K. Yamaguchi. 2002. Role of interferon-gamma in inflammatory responses in murine respiratory infection with *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Med. Microbiol. 51:225–230.
- 304. Shohdy, N., J. A. Efe, S. D. Emr, and H. A. Shuman. 2005. Pathogen effector protein screening in yeast identifies *Legionella* factors that interfere with membrane trafficking. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:4866–4871.
- 305. Silveira, T. N., and D. S. Zamboni. 2010. Pore formation triggered by *Legionella* spp. is an NIrc4 inflammasome-dependent host cell response that precedes pyroptosis. Infect. Immun. 78:1403–1413.
- 306. Simonsen, A., R. Lippe, S. Christoforidis, J. M. Gaullier, A. Brech, J.

Callaghan, B. H. Toh, C. Murphy, M. Zerial, and H. Stenmark. 1998. EEA1 links PI(3)K function to Rab5 regulation of endosome fusion. Nature **394**:494–498.

- Skaliy, P., and H. V. McEachern. 1979. Survival of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium in water. Ann. Intern. Med. 90:662–663.
- Soderberg, M. A., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2008. A Legionella pneumophila peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase present in culture supernatants is necessary for optimal growth at low temperatures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:1634–1638.
- Soderberg, M. A., and N. P. Cianciotto. 2010. Mediators of lipid A modification, RNA degradation, and central intermediary metabolism facilitate the growth of *Legionella pneumophila* at low temperatures. Curr. Microbiol. 60:59–65.
- 310. Soderberg, M. A., J. Dao, S. R. Starkenburg, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2008. Importance of type II secretion for survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in tap water and in amoebae at low temperatures. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:5583–5588.
- 311. Soderberg, M. A., O. Rossier, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2004. The type II protein secretion system of *Legionella pneumophila* promotes growth at low temperatures. J. Bacteriol. 186:3712–3720.
- 312. Sogaard, M., K. Tani, R. R. Ye, S. Geromanos, P. Tempst, T. Kirchhausen, J. E. Rothman, and T. Sollner. 1994. A rab protein is required for the assembly of SNARE complexes in the docking of transport vesicles. Cell 78:937–948.
- 313. Spirig, T., A. Tiaden, P. Kiefer, C. Buchrieser, J. A. Vorholt, and H. Hilbi. 2008. The *Legionella* autoinducer synthase LqsA produces an alpha-hydroxyketone signaling molecule. J. Biol. Chem. 283:18113–18123.
- 314. Spitalny, K. C., R. L. Vogt, L. A. Orciari, L. E. Witherell, P. Etkind, and L. F. Novick. 1984. Pontiac fever associated with a whirlpool spa. Am. J. Epidemiol. 120:809–817.
- 315. Sporri, R., N. Joller, U. Albers, H. Hilbi, and A. Oxenius. 2006. MyD88dependent IFN-gamma production by NK cells is key for control of *Legio-nella pneumophila* infection. J. Immunol. **176:**6162–6171.
- Starkenburg, S. R., J. M. Casey, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2004. Siderophore activity among members of the *Legionella* genus. Curr. Microbiol. 49:203–207.
- Steele, T. W., J. Lanser, and N. Sangster. 1990. Isolation of *Legionella longbeachae* serogroup 1 from potting mixes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:49–53.
- Steele, T. W., C. V. Moore, and N. Sangster. 1990. Distribution of *Legionella longbeachae* serogroup 1 and other legionellae in potting soils in Australia. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:2984–2988.
- 319. Steinert, M., L. Emody, R. Amann, and J. Hacker. 1997. Resuscitation of viable but nonculturable *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia JR32 by *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:2047–2053.
- Steinert, M., and K. Heuner. 2005. Dictyostelium as host model for pathogenesis. Cell. Microbiol. 7:307–314.
- 321. Steinert, M., K. Heuner, C. Buchrieser, C. Albert-Weissenberger, and G. Glockner. 2007. *Legionella* pathogenicity: genome structure, regulatory networks and the host cell response. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 297:577–587.
- Stetson, D. B., and R. Medzhitov. 2006. Recognition of cytosolic DNA activates an IRF3-dependent innate immune response. Immunity 24:93–103.
- 323. Stewart, C. R., O. Rossier, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2009. Surface translocation by *Legionella pneumophila*: a form of sliding motility that is dependent upon type II protein secretion. J. Bacteriol. 191:1537–1546.
- 324. Stout, J. E., and V. L. Yu. 1997. Legionellosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 337:682-687.
- Sturgill-Koszycki, S., and M. S. Swanson. 2000. Legionella pneumophila replication vacuoles mature into acidic, endocytic organelles. J. Exp. Med. 192:1261–1272.
- 326. Susa, M., B. Ticac, T. Rukavina, M. Doric, and R. Marre. 1998. Legionella pneumophila infection in intratracheally inoculated T cell-depleted or -nondepleted A/J. mice. J. Immunol. 160:316–321.
- 327. Suzuki, Y., Y. Nakabayashi, K. Nakata, J. C. Reed, and R. Takahashi. 2001. X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP) inhibits caspase-3 and -7 in distinct modes. J. Biol. Chem. 276:27058–27063.
- Swanson, M. S., and R. R. Isberg. 1995. Association of *Legionella pneumo-phila* with the macrophage endoplasmic reticulum. Infect. Immun. 63:3609–3620.
- 329. Szeto, L., and H. A. Shuman. 1990. The Legionella pneumophila major secretory protein, a protease, is not required for intracellular growth or cell killing. Infect. Immun. 58:2585–2592.
- 330. Tachado, S. D., M. M. Samrakandi, and J. D. Cirillo. 2008. Non-opsonic phagocytosis of *Legionella pneumophila* by macrophages is mediated by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. PLoS One 3:e3324.
- 331. Tateda, K., T. Matsumoto, Y. Ishii, N. Furuya, A. Ohno, S. Miyazaki, and K. Yamaguchi. 1998. Serum cytokines in patients with *Legionella* pneumonia: relative predominance of Th1-type cytokines. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. 5:401–403.
- 332. Tesh, M. J., and R. D. Miller. 1981. Amino acid requirements for *Legionella pneumophila* growth. J. Clin. Microbiol. 13:865–869.
- 333. Tesh, M. J., S. A. Morse, and R. D. Miller. 1983. Intermediary metabolism in *Legionella pneumophila*: utilization of amino acids and other compounds as energy sources. J. Bacteriol. 154:1104–1109.

- 334. Tiaden, A., T. Spirig, P. Carranza, H. Bruggemann, K. Riedel, L. Eberl, C. Buchrieser, and H. Hilbi. 2008. Synergistic contribution of the *Legionella pneumophila* lqs genes to pathogen-host interactions. J. Bacteriol. 190: 7532–7547.
- 335. Tiaden, A., T. Spirig, S. S. Weber, H. Bruggemann, R. Bosshard, C. Buchrieser, and H. Hilbi. 2007. The *Legionella pneumophila* response regulator LqsR promotes host cell interactions as an element of the virulence regulatory network controlled by RpoS and LetA. Cell. Microbiol. 9:2903–2920.
- 336. Tiaden, A., T. Spirig, T. Sahr, M. A. Walti, K. Boucke, C. Buchrieser, and H. Hilbi. 2010. The autoinducer lqsA and cognate sensor kinase lqsS regulate phagocyte interactions, extracellular filaments and a genomic island of *Legionella pneumophila*. Environ. Microbiol. [Epub ahead of print.] doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02167.x.
- 337. Tilney, L. G., O. S. Harb, P. S. Connelly, C. G. Robinson, and C. R. Roy. 2001. How the parasitic bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* modifies its phagosome and transforms it into rough ER: implications for conversion of plasma membrane to the ER membrane. J. Cell Sci. 114:4637–4650.
- Urwyler, S., E. Brombacher, and H. Hilbi. 2009. Endosomal and secretory markers of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole. Commun. Integr. Biol. 2:107– 109.
- Urwyler, S., Y. Nyfeler, C. Ragaz, H. Lee, L. N. Mueller, R. Aebersold, and H. Hilbi. 2009. Proteome analysis of *Legionella* vacuoles purified by magnetic immunoseparation reveals secretory and endosomal GTPases. Traffic 10:76–87.
- 340. VanRheenen, S. M., Z. Q. Luo, T. O'Connor, and R. R. Isberg. 2006. Members of a *Legionella pneumophila* family of proteins with ExoU (phospholipase A) active sites are translocated to target cells. Infect. Immun. 74:3597–3606.
- 341. Vincent, C. D., J. R. Friedman, K. C. Jeong, E. C. Buford, J. L. Miller, and J. P. Vogel. 2006. Identification of the core transmembrane complex of the *Legionella* Dot/Icm type IV secretion system. Mol. Microbiol. 62:1278– 1291.
- 342. Viswanathan, V. K., P. H. Edelstein, C. D. Pope, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2000. The Legionella pneumophila iraAB locus is required for iron assimilation, intracellular infection, and virulence. Infect. Immun. 68:1069–1079.
- 343. Viswanathan, V. K., S. Kurtz, L. L. Pedersen, Y. Abu-Kwaik, K. Krcmarik, S. Mody, and N. P. Cianciotto. 2002. The cytochrome c maturation locus of *Legionella pneumophila* promotes iron assimilation and intracellular infection and contains a strain-specific insertion sequence element. Infect. Immun. 70:1842–1852.
- 344. Vivian, J. P., P. Riedmaier, H. Ge, J. Le Nours, F. M. Sansom, M. C. J. Wilce, E. Byres, M. Dias, P. J. Cowan, A. J. F. d'Apice, E. L. Hartland, J. Rossjohn, and T. Beddoe. 2010. Crystal structure of a *Legionella pneumophilia* ecto-triphosphate diphosphohydrolase, a structural and functional homologue of the eukaryotic NTPDases. Structure 18:228–238.
- 345. Vogel, J. P., H. L. Andrews, S. K. Wong, and R. R. Isberg. 1998. Conjugative transfer by the virulence system of *Legionella pneumophila*. Science 279: 873–876.
- 346. Voth, D. E., D. Howe, P. A. Beare, J. P. Vogel, N. Unsworth, J. E. Samuel, and R. A. Heinzen. 2009. The *Coxiella burnetii* ankyrin repeat domaincontaining protein family is heterogeneous, with C-terminal truncations that influence Dot/Icm-mediated secretion. J. Bacteriol. 191:4232–4242.
- 347. Wagner, C., A. S. Khan, T. Kamphausen, B. Schmausser, C. Unal, U. Lorenz, G. Fischer, J. Hacker, and M. Steinert. 2007. Collagen binding protein Mip enables *Legionella pneumophila* to transmigrate through a barrier of NCI-H292 lung epithelial cells and extracellular matrix. Cell. Microbiol. 9:450–462.
- 348. Watanabe, M., Y. Shimamoto, S. Yoshida, K. Suga, Y. Mizuguchi, O. Kohashi, and M. Yamaguchi. 1993. Intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in HL-60 cells differentiated by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and the effect of interferon gamma. J. Leukoc. Biol. 54:40–46.
- 349. Watarai, M., I. Derre, J. Kirby, J. D. Growney, W. F. Dietrich, and R. R. Isberg. 2001. Legionella pneumophila is internalized by a macropinocytotic uptake pathway controlled by the Dot/Icm system and the mouse Lgn1 locus. J. Exp. Med. 194:1081–1096.
- 350. Weber, S. S., C. Ragaz, and H. Hilbi. 2009. The inositol polyphosphate

5-phosphatase OCRL1 restricts intracellular growth of *Legionella*, localizes to the replicative vacuole and binds to the bacterial effector LpnE. Cell. Microbiol. **11**:442–460.

- 351. Weber, S. S., C. Ragaz, K. Reus, Y. Nyfeler, and H. Hilbi. 2006. Legionella pneumophila exploits PI(4)P to anchor secreted effector proteins to the replicative vacuole. PLoS Pathog. 2:e46.
- 352. Weeratna, R., D. A. Stamler, P. H. Edelstein, M. Ripley, T. Marrie, D. Hoskin, and P. S. Hoffman. 1994. Human and guinea pig immune responses to *Legionella pneumophila* protein antigens OmpS and Hsp60. Infect. Immun. 62:3454–3462.
- 353. Weinbaum, D. L., R. R. Benner, J. N. Dowling, A. Alpern, A. W. Pasculle, and G. R. Donowitz. 1984. Interaction of *Legionella micdadei* with human monocytes. Infect. Immun. 46:68–73.
- 354. Weissgerber, P., M. Faigle, H. Northoff, and B. Neumeister. 2003. Investigation of mechanisms involved in phagocytosis of *Legionella pneumophila* by human cells. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 219:173–179.
- 355. Whitfield, N. N., B. G. Byrne, and M. S. Swanson. 2010. Mouse macrophages are permissive to motile *Legionella* species that fail to trigger pyroptosis. Infect. Immun. 78:423–432.
- 356. Wieland, H., F. Goetz, and B. Neumeister. 2004. Phagosomal acidification is not a prerequisite for intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in human monocytes. J. Infect. Dis. 189:1610–1614.
- 357. Wieland, H., S. Ullrich, F. Lang, and B. Neumeister. 2005. Intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* depends on host cell amino acid transporter SLC1A5. Mol. Microbiol. 55:1528–1537.
- 358. Wintermeyer, E., B. Ludwig, M. Steinert, B. Schmidt, G. Fischer, and J. Hacker. 1995. Influence of site specifically altered Mip proteins on intracellular survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in eukaryotic cells. Infect. Immun. 63:4576–4583.
- 359. Wright, E. K., S. A. Goodart, J. D. Growney, V. Hadinoto, M. G. Endrizzi, E. M. Long, K. Sadigh, A. L. Abney, I. Bernstein-Hanley, and W. F. Dietrich. 2003. Naip5 affects host susceptibility to the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. Curr. Biol. 13:27–36.
- 360. Xu, D., A. P. Joglekar, A. L. Williams, and J. C. Hay. 2000. Subunit structure of a mammalian ER/Golgi SNARE complex. J. Biol. Chem. 275:39631–39639.
- 361. Yaraghi, Z., E. Diez, P. Gros, and A. MacKenzie. 1999. cDNA cloning and the 5' genomic organization of Naip2, a candidate gene for murine *Legio-nella* resistance. Mamm. Genome 10:761–763.
- 362. Yu, V. L., J. F. Plouffe, M. C. Pastoris, J. E. Stout, M. Schousboe, A. Widmer, J. Summersgill, T. File, C. M. Heath, D. L. Paterson, and A. Chereshsky. 2002. Distribution of *Legionella* species and serogroups isolated by culture in patients with sporadic community-acquired legionellosis: an international collaborative survey. J. Infect. Dis. 186:127–128.
- 363. Zamboni, D. S., K. S. Kobayashi, T. Kohlsdorf, Y. Ogura, E. M. Long, R. E. Vance, K. Kuida, S. Mariathasan, V. M. Dixit, R. A. Flavell, W. F. Dietrich, and C. R. Roy. 2006. The Bircle cytosolic pattern-recognition receptor contributes to the detection and control of *Legionella pneumophila* infection. Nat. Immunol. 7:318–325.
- 364. Zamboni, D. S., S. McGrath, M. Rabinovitch, and C. R. Roy. 2003. Coxiella burnetii express type IV secretion system proteins that function similarly to components of the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm system. Mol. Microbiol. 49:965–976.
- 365. Zerial, M., and H. McBride. 2001. Rab proteins as membrane organizers. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2:107–117.
- 366. Zusman, T., G. Aloni, E. Halperin, H. Kotzer, E. Degtyar, M. Feldman, and G. Segal. 2007. The response regulator PmrA is a major regulator of the icm/dot type IV secretion system in *Legionella pneumophila* and *Coxiella burnetii*. Mol. Microbiol. 63:1508–1523.
- 367. Zusman, T., O. Gal-Mor, and G. Segal. 2002. Characterization of a *Legio-nella pneumophila* relA insertion mutant and roles of RelA and RpoS in virulence gene expression. J. Bacteriol. 184:67–75.
- Zusman, T., G. Yerushalmi, and G. Segal. 2003. Functional similarities between the *icm/dot* pathogenesis systems of *Coxiella burnetii* and *Legionella pneumophila*. Infect. Immun. 71:3714–3723.

# 298 NEWTON ET AL.

Hayley J. Newton completed a Bachelor of Biomedical Science (Hons) at Monash University before undertaking a Ph.D. in the Department of Microbiology, Monash University. Her Ph.D. studies involved the identification and characterization of virulence determinants of *L. pneumophila* that contribute to its pathogenic status. In 2009, Dr. Newton commenced an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Training Fellowship at Yale



University to study the obligate intracellular pathogen *Coxiella burnetii*. She has a keen interest in the mechanisms used by intracellular pathogens, such as *L. pneumophila* and *C. burnetii*, to manipulate eukaryotic cell function and how this relates to disease in humans.

**Desmond K. Y. Ang** received his B.Sc. (Hons) degree from the Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne. He subsequently undertook his Ph.D. investigating the genetics of autoimmune gastritis at the Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, University of Melbourne. After completion of his Ph.D. in 2008, Dr. Ang took a postdoctoral position in Associate Professor van Driel's laboratory, continuing the project on auto-



immune gastritis. Concurrently, he has developed a project to investigate immune responses to *Legionella pneumophila* infection. He has an interest in how different populations of immune cells control *Legionella pneumophila* infection. In particular, he has keen interest in the interactions of different subsets of dendritic cells with *Legionella pneumophila* and the immunological outcomes of those interactions. Ian R. van Driel completed his science degree at the University of Western Australia before embarking upon a Ph.D. at the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute for Medical Research. He was then awarded a C. J. Martin Postdoctoral Fellowship and worked at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre and Monash University. He was appointed a Faculty member at Monash University before moving to his current position as Associate Professor in the Depart-



ment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, University of Melbourne, in 2001. Associate Professor van Driel has a long-standing interest in immune responses and their regulation with a more recent interest in host responses to bacteria.

Elizabeth L. Hartland obtained her B.Sc. (Hons) majoring in Microbiology and Biochemistry and subsequently her Ph.D. in Microbiology from the University of Melbourne, Australia. She has held a Royal Society/NHMRC Howard Florey Fellowship in the Department of Biochemistry, Imperial College London, United Kingdom, and Lecturer/Senior Lecturer positions in the Department of Microbiology, Monash University, Australia. She is currently an Asso-



ciate Professor and Reader in the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of Melbourne and an Australian Research Council Future Fellow. She has a long-standing research interest in the pathogenesis of infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and *Legionella*, with a focus on mechanisms of bacterial colonization and immune evasion.