

COMMENTARY

Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor γ Dances with Different Partners in Macrophage and Adipocytes[∇]

Elena Scotti and Peter Tontonoz*

*Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine,
University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California*

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR α , γ , and β/δ) are ligand-activated nuclear receptors that influence metabolism, differentiation, and immune response (4, 17). PPAR γ has been especially well studied and is recognized to be important for metabolic homeostasis in a number of cell types. Early work focused on the role of this nuclear receptor in adipose tissue. PPAR γ is highly expressed in adipocytes and plays a crucial role in adipocyte differentiation (14, 15). PPAR γ directly controls the expression of many genes that define the adipocyte phenotype, and its expression is essential for the development of adipose tissue *in vivo* (1, 17). Subsequent work revealed distinct but equally interesting roles for PPAR γ signaling in macrophage biology and inflammation (2). PPAR γ ligands exert both receptor-dependent and -independent effects on metabolic and inflammatory gene expression in human and murine monocytes/macrophages (3, 12, 16). PPAR-dependent repression of inflammatory gene expression is postulated to occur through interference with the action of NF- κ B via a mechanism known as transrepression (5, 11). Furthermore, PPAR signaling has been reported to affect macrophage subtype specification, with PPAR γ activation promoting the less inflammatory, alternatively activated M2 phenotype (9).

An important gap in our understanding of PPAR biology is the question of how the cell-type-selective effects of PPARs are achieved at the level of the chromatin. It is well documented that PPAR γ regulates the expression of certain target genes in some cell types but not others. However, it has been unclear whether this reflects differential binding of PPAR γ to regulatory regions of DNA, differential action on the DNA, or other mechanisms. In this issue of *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, Lefterova et al. (6) focus on PPAR γ in adipocytes and macrophages and provide new insight into the molecular basis of cell-type-specific gene expression. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation and high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis, the authors compared the PPAR γ cisomes in primary mouse macrophages and adipocytes and found that they were only partly overlapping. They identified distinct macro-

phage- and adipose-specific PPAR γ -binding events in the genome, as well as those that occurred in both cell types.

Previous studies by Lefterova et al. and Nielsen et al. (7, 8) had shown that PPAR γ binding in adipocytes occurs largely in tandem with the binding of members of the C/EBP family. One of the most provocative findings of the current work by Lefterova et al. (6) is that PPAR γ appears to cooperate with discrete factors to achieve macrophage-selective expression. The authors showed that PPAR γ colocalized with the transcription factor PU.1 in open chromatin regions near macrophage-specific target genes. PU.1 is an Ets family member required for the development of monocytes that is not expressed in adipocytes (10). Another macrophage transcription factor, C/EBP β , was found to be enriched at PPAR γ -binding regions common to both adipocytes and macrophages. In adipocytes, C/EBP β was bound to common PPAR γ -binding regions but not to macrophage-unique ones. Thus, the transcription factors with which PPAR γ dances at regulatory regions of the genome appear to vary by cell type.

In order to establish the functional significance of these differential PPAR-binding events, Lefterova et al. went on to link PPAR binding with target gene expression. The authors correlated the function of putative PPAR γ target genes with the transcription factor complement at adjacent PPAR γ -binding regions. Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that genes near common PPAR γ -binding regions were linked to biological processes related to lipid metabolism, whereas genes near macrophage-unique PPAR γ -binding sites were enriched in those linked to immunity and defense.

The authors also provided evidence that macrophage PPAR γ binding was functionally tied to gene activation through histone modification and chromatin remodeling. In adipocytes, macrophage-selective PPAR γ -binding sites showed repressive chromatin marks such as dimethyl lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9Me2) and trimethyl lysine 27 of histone 3 (H3K27Me3). These observations suggest that the lack of appropriate macrophage transcription factors in adipocytes restricts the ability of PPAR γ to access the regulatory regions of macrophage genes. Consistent with this model, the authors showed that acetyl lysine 9 of histone 3 (H3K9Ac), a mark of active chromatin, accompanies PPAR γ binding in the regulatory regions of adipocyte-expressed PPAR γ target genes. In contrast, in macrophages, H3K9 acetylation was enriched at PPAR γ -binding regions in macrophage-selective but not adipocyte-selective genes.

Finally, Lefterova et al. established a causal relationship

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1662. Phone: (310) 206-4546. Fax: (310) 267-0382. E-mail: ptontonoz@mednet.ucla.edu.

[∇] Published ahead of print on 22 February 2010.

between PPAR γ binding and histone activation marks by introducing PPAR γ into preadipocytes with a retroviral vector. Ectopically expressed PPAR γ bound to adipocyte-selective regulatory regions and was associated with markedly increased H3K9 acetylation at these regions, but it was unable to access the macrophage-selective regulatory regions.

This paper provides new evidence for how cell-type specific gene expression by a single nuclear receptor may be achieved: tissue-specific regulatory regions employ cell-type-specific transcription factors in combination with the nuclear receptor to restrict its action to appropriate genes. Furthermore, the results imply a hierarchy of chromatin modifications that lead to gene activation. The first requirement may be the binding of tissue-selective factors and/or the removal repressive histone marks. This may be followed by the binding of PPAR γ , the opening of the chromatin, the establishment of histone activation marks, and ultimately transcription. The work of Lefterova and colleagues suggests that PPAR γ is unable to activate macrophage-selective targets in adipocytes due to the absence of PU.1 expression in this cell type. In the future, it would be interesting to test whether forced expression of PU.1 in adipocytes might be sufficient to permit PPAR γ activation of these genes.

The paper also raises new questions related to the identification of other remodeling complexes that may contribute to PPAR γ action in different contexts. For example, Takada and colleagues (13) identified a histone lysine methyltransferase activated by noncanonical Wnt signaling that suppresses PPAR γ action. It will be interesting to know if this or other methyltransferases are involved in determining the methylation status of macrophage-unique PPAR γ -binding regions in adipocytes. It will also be important to determine which cell-specific coactivators/corepressors are recruited by PPARs in different cell types and how these may contribute to chromatin modification and differential gene expression.

Finally, it is worth noting that the development of new drugs targeting PPAR γ for intervention in diabetes and inflammation has been hampered in part by side effects due to the simultaneous activation of PPAR γ in many cell types in the body. It is possible that a better understanding of the molecular basis for PPAR γ action in different cell types might facilitate the development of cell-type-restricted PPAR modulators or combinational therapeutic strategies.

REFERENCES

1. Barak, Y., M. C. Nelson, E. S. Ong, Y. Z. Jones, P. Ruiz-Lozano, K. R. Chien, A. Koder, and R. M. Evans. 1999. PPAR gamma is required for placental, cardiac, and adipose tissue development. *Mol. Cell* 4:585–595.
2. Castrillo, A., and P. Tontonoz. 2004. Nuclear receptors in macrophage biology: at the crossroads of lipid metabolism and inflammation. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 20:455–480.
3. Chawla, A., Y. Barak, L. Nagy, D. Liao, P. Tontonoz, and R. M. Evans. 2001. PPAR-gamma dependent and independent effects on macrophage-gene expression in lipid metabolism and inflammation. *Nat. Med.* 7:48–52.
4. Desvergne, B., and W. Wahli. 1999. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism. *Endocr. Rev.* 20:649–688.
5. Glass, C. K., and S. Ogawa. 2006. Combinatorial roles of nuclear receptors in inflammation and immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 6:44–55.
6. Lefterova, M. I., D. J. Steger, D. Zhuo, M. Qatanani, S. E. Mullican, G. Tuteja, E. Manduchi, G. R. Grant, and M. A. Lazar. 2010. Cell-specific determinants of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ function in adipocytes and macrophages. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 30:2078–2089.
7. Lefterova, M. I., Y. Zhang, D. J. Steger, M. Schupp, J. Schug, A. Cristancho, D. Feng, D. Zhuo, C. J. Stoeckert, Jr., X. S. Liu, and M. A. Lazar. 2008. PPARgamma and C/EBP factors orchestrate adipocyte biology via adjacent binding on a genome-wide scale. *Genes Dev.* 22:2941–2952.
8. Nielsen, R., T. A. Pedersen, D. Hagenbeek, P. Moulos, R. Siersbaek, E. Megens, S. Denissov, M. Borgeesen, K. J. Francoijs, S. Mandrup, and H. G. Stunnenberg. 2008. Genome-wide profiling of PPAR γ :RXR and RNA polymerase II occupancy reveals temporal activation of distinct metabolic pathways and changes in RXR dimer composition during adipogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 22:2953–2967.
9. Odegaard, J. I., R. R. Ricardo-Gonzalez, M. H. Goforth, C. R. Morel, V. Subramanian, L. Mukundan, A. R. Eagle, D. Vats, F. Brombacher, A. W. Ferrante, and A. Chawla. 2007. Macrophage-specific PPARgamma controls alternative activation and improves insulin resistance. *Nature* 447:1116–1120.
10. Olson, M. C., E. W. Scott, A. A. Hack, G. H. Su, D. G. Tenen, H. Singh, and M. C. Simon. 1995. PU.1 is not essential for early myeloid gene expression but is required for terminal myeloid differentiation. *Immunity* 3:703–714.
11. Pascual, G., A. L. Fong, S. Ogawa, A. Gamlie, A. C. Li, V. Perissi, D. W. Rose, T. M. Willson, M. G. Rosenfeld, and C. K. Glass. 2005. A SUMOylation-dependent pathway mediates transrepression of inflammatory response genes by PPAR-gamma. *Nature* 437:759–763.
12. Ricote, M., A. C. Li, T. M. Willson, C. J. Kelly, and C. K. Glass. 1998. The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma is a negative regulator of macrophage activation. *Nature* 391:79–82.
13. Takada, I., M. Mihara, M. Suzawa, F. Ohtake, S. Kobayashi, M. Igarashi, M. Y. Youn, K. Takeyama, T. Nakamura, Y. Mezaki, S. Takezawa, Y. Yogiashi, H. Kitagawa, G. Yamada, S. Takada, Y. Minami, H. Shibuya, K. Matsumoto, and S. Kato. 2007. A histone lysine methyltransferase activated by non-canonical Wnt signalling suppresses PPAR-gamma transactivation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 9:1273–1285.
14. Tontonoz, P., E. Hu, R. A. Graves, A. I. Budavari, and B. M. Spiegelman. 1994. mPPAR gamma 2: tissue-specific regulator of an adipocyte enhancer. *Genes Dev.* 8:1224–1234.
15. Tontonoz, P., E. Hu, and B. M. Spiegelman. 1994. Stimulation of adipogenesis in fibroblasts by PPAR gamma 2, a lipid-activated transcription factor. *Cell* 79:1147–1156.
16. Tontonoz, P., L. Nagy, J. G. Alvarez, V. A. Thomazy, and R. M. Evans. 1998. PPARgamma promotes monocyte/macrophage differentiation and uptake of oxidized LDL. *Cell* 93:241–252.
17. Tontonoz, P., and B. M. Spiegelman. 2008. Fat and beyond: the diverse biology of PPARgamma. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 77:289–312.

The views expressed in this Commentary do not necessarily reflect the views of the journal or of ASM.