

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Nature. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 May 10.

Published in final edited form as: Nature 2008 January 31: 451(7178): 587–590 doi:10.1

Nature. 2008 January 31; 451(7178): 587–590. doi:10.1038/nature06515.

Negative regulation of the deacetylase SIRT1 by DBC1

Wenhui Zhao^{1,*}, Jan-Philipp Kruse^{1,*}, Yi Tang^{1,*}, Sung Yun Jung², Jun Qin², and Wei Gu¹ ¹ Institute for Cancer Genetics, and Department of Pathology College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, 1130 St Nicholas Avenue, New York, New York 10032, USA

² Departments of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, Texas 77030, USA

Abstract

SIRT1 is an NAD-dependent deacetylase critically involved in stress responses, cellular metabolism and, possibly, ageing 1^{1-15} . The tumour suppressor p53 represents the first non-histone substrate functionally regulated by acetylation and deacetylation 16,17 ; we and others previously found that SIRT1 promotes cell survival by deacetylating p53 (refs $^{4-6}$). These results were further supported by the fact that p53 hyperacetylation and increased radiation-induced apoptosis were observed in Sirt1-deficient mice¹⁰. Nevertheless, SIRT1-mediated deacetylase function is also implicated in p53independent pathways under different cellular contexts, and its effects on transcriptional factors such as members of the FOXO family and PGC-1 α directly modulate metabolic responses¹⁻¹⁵. These studies validate the importance of the deacetylase activity of SIRT1, but how SIRT1 activity is regulated in vivo is not well understood. Here we show that DBC1 (deleted in breast cancer 1) acts as a native inhibitor of SIRT1 in human cells. DBC1-mediated repression of SIRT1 leads to increasing levels of p53 acetylation and upregulation of p53-mediated function. In contrast, depletion of endogenous DBC1 by RNA interference (RNAi) stimulates SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of p53 and inhibits p53-dependent apoptosis. Notably, these effects can be reversed in cells by concomitant knockdown of endogenous SIRT1. Our study demonstrates that DBC1 promotes p53-mediated apoptosis through specific inhibition of SIRT1.

To understand the regulation of SIRT1-mediated deacetylation *in vivo*, biochemical purification was used to identify cellular factors that stably interact with SIRT1. We isolated physiologically formed protein complexes containing SIRT1 from cell extracts of native HeLa cells by conducting affinity chromatography with affinity-purified antisera raised against the carboxy (C) terminus (amino acids 480–737) of SIRT1 (Supplementary Fig. 1a). As expected, we identified SIRT1 as the major component of the complexes, but several protein bands were also co-purified with SIRT1. Mass spectrometry of a prominent protein band of approximately 130 kilodaltons (kDa) from the SIRT1 complexes revealed peptide sequences corresponding to the DBC1 protein (Supplementary Fig. 1b, Gi: 24432106). The *DBC1* gene was initially identified as it is localized to a region of chromosome 8p21 that was homozygously deleted in human breast cancer; however, the molecular function of DBC1 is poorly understood^{18,19}.

To examine the interaction between endogenous DBC1 and SIRT1, cell extracts from human osteosarcoma U2OS cells were immunoprecipitated with the anti-SIRT1 antibody or with the

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to W.G. (wg8@columbia.edu). These authors contributed equally to this work.

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

control IgG. As expected, western blot analysis revealed that DBC1 was clearly detected in the immunoprecipitations obtained with the anti-SIRT1 antiserum (lane 3, Fig. 1a) but not with the control antibody (lane 2). Previous studies indicate that HIC1 can also interact with SIRT1 (ref. ¹¹); nevertheless, we failed to detect a strong interaction between HIC1 and SIRT1 in these cells under the same conditions. To prove the specificity of the SIRT1 antibody, we performed the co-immunoprecipitation in SIRT1-depleted U2OS cells treated with *SIRT1*-specific RNAi (lanes 6 and 7). Indeed, we failed to detect any DBC1 from the anti-SIRT1 immunoprecipitation assay. As shown in Fig. 1b, endogenous SIRT1 was readily immunoprecipitated with the DBC1-specific antibody (lane 3), but not with a control antibody (lane 2).

Next, we tested whether SIRT1 binds DBC1 *in vitro*. As shown in Fig. 1c, ³⁵S-labelled *in-vitro*-translated DBC1 bound the central core domain of SIRT1 (lane 3) but showed no affinity for either its amino (N)-terminal (lane 2) or C-terminal (lane 4) domains. Conversely, we identified the N terminus of DBC1 as the SIRT1-binding domain (Supplementary Fig. 3). Because the enzymatic core sequence represents the most conserved region within the mammalian SIRT protein family, we examined whether DBC1 interacts with other members of this family. Thus, Flag-tagged derivatives of the seven human SIRT polypeptides (SIRT1–7) were each expressed in 293 cells and extracts of the transfected cells were immunoprecipitated with the anti-Flag antibody. Western blots revealed that endogenous DBC1 was clearly detected in the immunoprecipitates of Flag–SIRT1 (lane 2, Fig. 1d). Although similar expression levels for all seven Flag–SIRT polypeptides were detected, none of the other SIRT proteins (SIRT2–7) were able to co-immunoprecipitate DBC1 (lanes 3–8, Fig. 1d). These results demonstrate the specificity of the SIRT1 and DBC1 interaction.

Interestingly, when purified Flag-SIRT1 complexes from human cells were analysed by gelfiltration chromatography on a Superose 12 column, we observed that SIRT1 and DBC1 polypeptides co-eluted in fraction 15 with an apparent molecular mass of 440 kDa (lane 4, Fig. 2a). In contrast, a DBC1-free form of SIRT1 eluted in fractions 19–21 (lanes 6 and 7), suggesting that at least two distinct SIRT1 complexes exist in human cells. As expected, we found that SIRT1 from fraction 21 had a strong NAD-dependent deacetylase activity for p53 (lane 3, Fig. 2b). Surprisingly, however, no activity was detected with fraction 15 (lane 2), raising the notion that SIRT1-mediated deacetylation is inhibited by additional factors in the complexes, such as DBC1. To evaluate a role for DBC1 in regulating SIRT1 function, we examined whether DBC1 can inhibit the deacetylase activity of SIRT1 in a purified system. To this end, Flag-tagged forms of SIRT1 and DBC1 were purified under high-stringency conditions for in vitro deacetylation assays. As indicated in Fig. 2c, deacetylation of p53 was observed when the Flag-SIRT1 protein was incubated with acetylated p53 (lane 2). However, this activity was strongly repressed by Flag-DBC1 in a dose-dependent manner (lanes 3 and 4). DBC-mediated repression is apparently as potent as the effects obtained with 5 mM of nicotinamide (NIA) (lane 5), a known inhibitor of SIRT1-mediated deacetylation⁴.

Moreover, to prove the specificity of DBC-mediated inhibition of SIRT1 deacetylase activity, we examined the effect of DBC1 on SIRT2-mediated deacetylation of tubulin. As shown in Fig. 2d, deacetylation of tubulin was observed when the purified SIRT2 protein was incubated with acetylated tubulin, as previously reported²⁰. This activity was also inhibited by nicotinamide (lane 5); however, tubulin deacetylation by SIRT2 was not affected by purified DBC1 polypeptides (lanes 3 and 4). Finally, p53 could also be deacetylated by purified HDAC1 complexes, as we have previously shown¹⁷ (lane 2, Supplementary Fig. 4); nevertheless, this deacetylase activity was not repressed by DBC1 (lanes 3 and 4). Thus, these data demonstrate that DBC1-mediated inhibitory effects specifically act on SIRT1 deacetylase activity.

We then tested whether DBC1 expression rescues p53 from SIRT1-mediated deacetylation and repression in human cells. As expected, co-expression of SIRT1 induced p53 deacetylation (lane 4, Fig. 3a); however, the steady-state levels of acetylated p53 were restored by DBC1 expression in a dose-dependent manner (lanes 5–7). To elucidate the mechanism of DBCmediated effects on SIRT1, we conducted a co-immunoprecipitation assay to test whether the interaction between SIRT1 and p53 is regulated by DBC1. As shown in Fig. 3b, p53 was coimmunoprecipitated with SIRT1 (lane 2). Notably, the p53–SIRT1 interaction was significantly abrogated by DBC1 expression in a dose-dependent fashion (lanes 3–5). These results suggest that DBC1 represses SIRT1 activity in human cells and that these effects may act, in part, through blocking the interactions between SIRT1 and substrates (p53).

To explore the functional consequences of these interactions further, we tested whether DBC1 can influence SIRT1-mediated repression of p53 transcriptional activation. As shown in Fig. 3c, SIRT1 strongly suppressed p53-mediated transactivation of the PUMA reporter in a luciferase assay. Again, this SIRT1-mediated suppression was abrogated by DBC1 expression in a dose-dependent manner. These data indicate that DBC1 can enhance p53-dependent transactivation of PUMA by inhibiting SIRT1. Because homozygous deletion of the *DBC1* gene was reported in human cancers^{18,21}, inactivation of *DBC1* may enhance the deacetylase activity of SIRT1 and thereby lead to inhibition of p53 function (Fig. 3d).

To test the above hypothesis, we first examined whether short interfering RNA (siRNA)mediated knockdown of endogenous *DBC1* has any effect on p53 function. To avoid possible off-target effects caused by the *DBC1* RNAi, we used two different RNAi sequences that target different regions of the *DBC1* messenger RNA (mRNA). Thus, human osteosarcoma U2OS cells were transfected with the *DBC1*-specific siRNA#1 (*DBC1*-RNAi#1), *DBC1*-specific siRNA#2 (*DBC1*-RNAi#2) or a control siRNA (Control-RNAi). As shown in Fig. 4a, RNAimediated knockdown of *DBC1* expression had no obvious effect on p53 stability (lanes 2 and 3) but significantly reduced the expression levels of PUMA and BAX, two major transcriptional targets of p53. As expected, knockdown of *p53* expression by *p53*-specific siRNA (*p53*-RNAi) completely abolished the expression of both PUMA and BAX (lane 4), validating that expression of these two targets is indeed p53-dependent. These experiments demonstrate that inactivation of endogenous DBC1 leads to down-regulation of p53 activity.

Moreover, to demonstrate that DBC1 acts on p53 by repressing SIRT1 deacetylase activity, we tested whether inactivation of DBC1 indeed reduces acetylation levels of endogenous p53 by SIRT1 and, more importantly, whether these effects are reversed by inactivation of SIRT1 expression. Thus, these cells were transfected with the *DBC1*-specific siRNA#1 (*DBC1*-RNAi#1), *SIRT1*-specific siRNA (*SIRT1*-RNAi) or a control siRNA (Control-RNAi). As shown in Fig. 4b, RNAi-mediated knockdown of *DBC1* expression significantly reduced the acetylation levels of endogenous p53 (Ac-p53, bottom panel, lane 3). Notably, the reduction of p53 acetylation was completely reversed by concomitant knockdown of SIRT1 (Ac-p53, bottom panel, lane 4). Similar results were also observed with DBC1-mediated effects on PUMA and BAX by concomitant knockdown of *SIRT1* (PUMA and BAX, middle panel, lanes 3 and 4). Thus our data demonstrate that DBC1-mediated effects on p53 activation act mainly through SIRT1 *in vivo*.

To investigate the role of DBC1 in the stress response, we tested whether inactivation of DBC1 leads to inhibition of p53-dependent apoptosis on DNA damage. For this, U2OS cells were first transfected with either control or *DBC1*-specific siRNAs and then exposed to etoposide. Thirty hours later, the cells were stained with DAPI and apoptosis was examined by TUNEL staining. The DBC1-depleted cells were highly resistant to apoptosis, displaying only 8.8% apoptotic cells compared with 20.5% of cells transfected with the control siRNA (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 11). To confirm further the role of DBC1 in regulating p53-mediated

apoptosis, we performed an apoptosis assay by using Annexin V staining followed by flowcytometry analysis. Again, p53-mediated apoptosis was repressed in *DBC1* knockdown cells (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 12). As expected, inactivation of p53 in these cells completely abolished the apoptotic response by DNA damage. Notably, concomitant knockdown of *SIRT1* reversed the inhibitory effects on p53-dependent apoptosis by DBC inactivation. These data demonstrate that DBC1 is critically involved in regulating the p53-mediated apoptotic response by repressing SIRT1 function.

Our findings may have significant implications in the treatment of both metabolic-related disorders and cancer. Small molecule inhibitors of the SIRT1 deacetylase have been proposed as novel anticancer agents^{22–24}, presumably through activating the apoptotic response in cancer cells. On the other hand, activation of SIRT1 in mice also protects them against diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance, mainly through regulating metabolic pathways^{25,26}. Although a role for mammalian SIRT1 in the regulation of lifespan has not been directly determined, the ability of resveratrol, a chemical found in red wine and other foods, to enhance SIRT1 activity and increase lifespan in lower organisms supports the feasibility of this approach in mammals^{3,27–30}. Therefore, both inhibitors and activators of SIRT1 could be therapeutically beneficial by affecting different SIRT1-mediated regulatory pathways. It will be intriguing to know whether DBC1 has differential effects in regulating apoptotic responses versus metabolic pathways and whether manipulations of the DBC1–SIRT1 activity.

METHODS SUMMARY

H1299, U2OS, 293 and HeLa cells were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. H1299 and 293 cells were transfected with plasmid DNA by the calcium phosphate protocol or the siRNA RNA duplex, as indicated in the relevant figures, by Lipofectamine2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol. In vitro deacetylation assays were performed as previously described. Purified acetylated p53 was incubated with purified SIRT1 and DBC1 as indicated at 30 °C for 1 h in the presence of 50 µM NAD, and reactions were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and analysed by western blot. To immunoprecipitate the ectopically expressed Flag-tagged proteins, transfected cells were lysed 24 h post-transfection in Flag-lysis buffer and precipitated using anti-Flag monoclonal antibody-conjugated M2 agarose beads. The eluted material was resolved by SDS-PAGE and detected by antibodies as indicated. The endogenous SIRT1 complex was purified from HeLa cells by using the SIRT1-CT-specific antibody for immunoprecipitation. Interacting proteins were identified by mass spectrometry. To analyse the SIRT1 complex, 50 µl of M2-eluted Flag-SIRT1 containing approximately 12.5 µg of total purified Flag-SIRT1 was fractionated by size-exclusion chromatography. GST pull-downs were performed as previously described, using recombinant fragments of GST-SIRT1 or GST-control to pulldown ³⁵S-methionine-labelled DBC1. Direct in vitro interaction was detected by autoradiation after the reactions were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Dual luciferase reporter assays to determine transcriptional activity were performed in H1299 cells according to the manufacturer's guidelines 24 h post-transfection. The ablation of DBC1 was performed by transfection of the U2OS cells with either of two siRNA duplex oligonucleotides which covered mRNA regions of nucleotides 582-602 (amino acids 55-61) and nucleotides 2097-2115 (amino acids 560-565) of DBC1, respectively, by using Lipofectamine2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol. SIRT1 RNAi, p53 RNAi and Control RNAi were used and transfected according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Annexin V-FITC and TUNNEL staining were performed according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

METHODS

Cell culture and transfections

H1299, U2OS, 293 and HeLa cells were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. H1299 and 293 cells were transfected with the plasmid DNA by the calcium phosphate protocol. U2OS cells were transfected with siRNA duplexes by Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

In vitro deacetylation assays

In vitro deacetylation assays were performed as previously described. Purified acetylated p53 was incubated with purified SIRT1 and DBC1 as indicated at 30 °C for 1 h in the presence of 50 μ M NAD. Reactions were performed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 50 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, 0.02% NP-40 and 5% glycerol. Nicotinamide (Sigma) was added to 5 mM as indicated to inhibit all deacetylase activity of the Sirtuins. The reactions were resolved on SDS–PAGE and analysed by western blot using antibodies specific for acetylated p53, total p53 (DO-1, sc-126, Santa Cruz), Sir2-CT and DBC1 (Bethyl, BL1924).

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot

Cells were transfected with Flag-tagged expression constructs for p53, SIRT1 and DBC1 using the calcium phosphate method as previously described. To immunoprecipitate the ectopically expressed Flag-tagged proteins, transfected cells were lysed 24 h post-transfection in Flaglysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 137 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2% Sarkosyl, 10% glycerol and fresh proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)) or for high stringency in BC500 (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100 and fresh proteinase inhibitor cocktail). The whole-cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with the monoclonal anti-Flag antibody-conjugated M2 agarose beads (Sigma) at 4 °C overnight. After three washes with either BC500 or Flag-lysis buffer, followed by two washes with BC100 (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100), the bound proteins were eluted using Flag-Peptide (Sigma)/BC100 for 3 h at 4 °C. The eluted material was resolved by SDS-PAGE and detected by antibodies as indicated. The endogenous SIRT1 complex was purified from HeLa cells with the SIRT1-CTspecific antibody for immunoprecipitation. Interacting proteins were identified by mass spectrometry. For analysis of the SIRT1 complex, 50 µl of M2-eluted Flag-SIRT1 containing approximately 12.5 µg of total purified Flag-SIRT1 was fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Sepharose 12 Column on the SMART System (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's protocol. To co-immunoprecipitate SIRT1 and DBC1 with specific antibodies, cells were lysed in BC100 and cell lysates were first incubated with 20 µl protein G agarose beads for 2 h with gentle rotation. The cleared supernatants were incubated with DBC1- or SIRT1-specific antibodies overnight before addition of 20 µl of protein G agarose beads for 4 h. After four washes with the lysis buffer, the immunoprecipitated materials were eluted with the SDS sample buffer with boiling, resolved by SDS-PAGE and detected with antibodies as indicated.

GST pull-down

pCIN4-DBC1 or pCIN4-SIRT1 were labelled by incorporation of ³⁵S-labelled methionine during *in vitro* translation (TNT Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System, Promega Corporation).

Five microlitres of ³⁵S-labelled protein was incubated with 3 μ g of the purified GST protein fragments as indicated in the presence of 0.2% BSA in BC100 on a rotator overnight at 4 °C. The proteins were pulled down using GST beads, and the beads were washed five times with

siRNA-mediated ablation of DBC1, SIRT1 and p5

The ablation of *DBC1* was performed by transfection of the U2OS cells with either of two siRNA duplex oligonucleotides (*DBC1*-RNAi#1: 5'-CAGCGGGUCUUCACUGGUAUU-3'; or *DBC1*-RNAi#2: 5'-CUACUGAGCCUUCCUGAAUU-3' (synthesized by Dharmacon)), which covered mRNA regions of nucleotides 582–602 (amino acids 55–61) and nucleotides 2097–2115 (amino acids 560–565) of *DBC1*, respectively, by using Lipofectamine2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol. *SIRT1* RNAi (SiGenome Smartpool M-003540-01 (Dharmacon)), *p53* RNAi (SiGenome Smartpool M-003329-01-0010 (Dharmacon)) and Control RNAi (On-target plus siControl non-targeting pool D-001810-10-20 (Dharmacon)) were used and transfected according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

Luciferase reporter gene assay

H1299 cells were transfected at 70% confluence in six-well plates with plasmid DNA as indicated in the relevant figures. After incubation for 24 h, cells were harvested and the luciferase activity was measured by the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System Kit from Promega according the manufacturer's protocol. Activity was assayed in three separate experiments and shown as the mean \pm s.d.

In vitro acetylation assay

In vitro acetylation assays were performed as described previously¹⁶. Recombinant GST–p53 was incubated with Flag-purified SIRT1 and DBC1 as indicated in the presence of ¹⁴C-labelled acetyl-CoA in reaction buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF and 10 mM sodium butyrate. Reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 1 h and resolved on SDS–PAGE. Protein levels were detected by Coomassie blue staining, and *in vitro* acetylation was detected by autoradiography.

Immunofluorescent staining

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min on ice, rehydrated for 5 min in serumfree DMEM, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 (Fisher) for 10 min on ice. Cells were incubated in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma)/phosphate buffered salt solution (PBS) (Cellgro) for 30 min. Primary antibodies (as indicated) were added in 1% BSA/PBS for 45 min at room temperature. After washing with 1% BSA/PBS, secondary antibodies were added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were counterstained with DAPI to visualize the nuclei essentially as described before.

Annexin V-FITC staining

The apoptosis assay was performed with the BD-Bioscience Annexin V-FITC staining kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. After siRNA-mediated knockdown, U2OS cells were treated with Etoposide (30 h) before fixing and TUNEL staining or Annexin V assays. Apoptoses observed in TUNEL and Annexin V assays were quantified for three separate experiments and presented as the mean \pm s.d.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Baer for suggestions on this manuscript. We also thank E. White, M. Wigler and E. Verdin for reagents. This work was supported in part by Ellison Medical Foundation and grants from the National Institutes of Health/ National Cancer Institute to J.Q. and W.G.

References

- Bordone L, Guarente L. Calorie restriction, SIRT1 and metabolism: understanding longevity. Nature Rev Mol Cell Biol 2005;6:298–305. [PubMed: 15768047]
- North BJ, Verdin E. Sirtuins: Sir2-related NAD-dependent protein deacetylases. Genome Biol 2004;5:224.1–224.12. [PubMed: 15128440]
- 3. Baur JA, Sinclair DA. Therapeutic potential of resveratrol: the *in vivo* evidence. Nature Rev Drug Discov 2006;5:493–506. [PubMed: 16732220]
- 4. Luo J, et al. Negative control of p53 by Sir2alpha promotes cell survival under stress. Cell 2001;107:137–148. [PubMed: 11672522]
- Langley E, et al. Human SIR2 deacetylates p53 and antagonizes PML/p53-induced cellular senescence. EMBO J 2002;21:2383–2396. [PubMed: 12006491]
- Vaziri H, et al. hSIR2(SIRT1) functions as an NAD-dependent p53 deacetylase. Cell 2001;107:149– 159. [PubMed: 11672523]
- 7. Motta MC, et al. Mammalian SIRT1 represses forkhead transcription factors. Cell 2004;116:551–563. [PubMed: 14980222]
- Brunet A, et al. Stress-dependent regulation of FOXO transcription factors by the SIRT1 deacetylase. Science 2004;303:2011–2015. [PubMed: 14976264]
- Kitamura YI, et al. FoxO1 protects against pancreatic beta cell failure through NeuroD and MafA induction. Cell Metab 2005;2:153–163. [PubMed: 16154098]
- Cheng HL, et al. Developmental defects and p53 hyperacetylation in Sir2 homolog (SIRT1)-deficient mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:10794–10799. [PubMed: 12960381]
- Chen WY, et al. Tumor suppressor HIC1 directly regulates SIRT1 to modulate p53-dependent DNAdamage responses. Cell 2005;123:437–448. [PubMed: 16269335]
- Yeung F, et al. Modulation of NF-kappaB-dependent transcription and cell survival by the SIRT1 deacetylase. EMBO J 2004;23:2369–2380. [PubMed: 15152190]
- Greene WC, Chen LF. Regulation of NF-kappaB action by reversible acetylation. Novartis Found Symp 2004;259:208–217. discussion 218–225. [PubMed: 15171256]
- Rodgers JT, et al. Nutrient control of glucose homeostasis through a complex of PGC-1α and SIRT1. Nature 2005;434:113–118. [PubMed: 15744310]
- Cohen HY, et al. Acetylation of the C terminus of Ku70 by CBP and PCAF controls Bax-mediated apoptosis. Mol Cell 2004;13:627–638. [PubMed: 15023334]
- Gu W, Roeder RG. Activation of p53 sequence-specific DNA binding by acetylation of the p53 Cterminal domain. Cell 1997;90:595–606. [PubMed: 9288740]
- Luo J, Su F, Chen D, Shiloh A, Gu W. Deacetylation of p53 modulates its effect on cell growth and apoptosis. Nature 2000;408:377–381. [PubMed: 11099047]
- Hamaguchi M, et al. DBC2, a candidate for a tumor suppressor gene involved in breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002;99:13647–13652. [PubMed: 12370419]
- Sundararajan R, Chen G, Mukherjee C, White E. Caspase-dependent processing activates the proapoptotic activity of deleted in breast cancer-1 during tumor necrosis factor-alpha-mediated death signaling. Oncogene 2005;24:4908–4920. [PubMed: 15824730]
- 20. North BJ, Marshall BL, Borra MT, Denu JM, Verdin E. The human Sir2 ortholog, SIRT2, is an NAD +-dependent tubulin deacetylase. Mol Cell 2003;11:437–444. [PubMed: 12620231]
- Knowles MA, Aveyard JS, Taylor CF, Harnden P, Bass S. Mutation analysis of the 8p candidate tumour suppressor genes DBC2 (RHOBTB2) and LZTS1 in bladder cancer. Cancer Lett 2005;225:121–130. [PubMed: 15922864]
- 22. Heltweg B, et al. Antitumor activity of a small-molecule inhibitor of human silent information regulator 2 enzymes. Cancer Res 2006;66:4368–4377. [PubMed: 16618762]

- Olaharski AJ, et al. The flavoring agent dihydrocoumarin reverses epigenetic silencing and inhibits sirtuin deacetylases. PLoS Genet 2005;1:e77. [PubMed: 16362078]
- 24. Mai A, et al. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of sirtinol analogues as class III histone/ protein deacetylase (Sirtuin) inhibitors. J Med Chem 2005;48:7789–7795. [PubMed: 16302818]
- 25. Lagouge M, et al. Resveratrol improves mitochondrial function and protects against metabolic disease by activating SIRT1 and PGC-1alpha. Cell 2006;127:1109–1122. [PubMed: 17112576]
- 26. Baur JA, et al. Resveratrol improves health and survival of mice on a high-calorie diet. Nature 2006;444:337–342. [PubMed: 17086191]
- 27. Howitz KT, et al. Small molecule activators of sirtuins extend *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lifespan. Nature 2003;425:191–196. [PubMed: 12939617]
- 28. Kaeberlein M, et al. Substrate-specific activation of sirtuins by resveratrol. J Biol Chem 2005;280:17038–17045. [PubMed: 15684413]
- Borra MT, Smith BC, Denu JM. Mechanism of human SIRT1 activation by resveratrol. J Biol Chem 2005;280:17187–17195. [PubMed: 15749705]
- Viswanathan M, Kim SK, Berdichevsky A, Guarente L. A role for SIR-2.1 regulation of ER stress response genes in determining *C. elegans* life span. Dev Cell 2005;9:605–615. [PubMed: 16256736]





a, Co-immunoprecipitation of DBC1 with SIRT1. Western blot analysis of U2OS whole-cell extracts and SIRT1-antibody, IgG and mock IP (lane 4) immunoprecipitates by DBC1 and SIRT1-antibody (left panel), and after *SIRT1* RNAi knockdown (right panel). **b**, Co-immunoprecipitation of SIRT1 by DBC1 from U2OS whole-cell extracts with DBC1 or IgG analysed by western blot. **c**, Direct interaction of DBC1 with GST–SIRT1-core (m) domain in *in vitro* GST pull-down assay detected by autoradiography. **d**, DBC1 interaction with Sirtuin family *in vivo*. 293 cells were transfected with Flag–SIRT1–7, and extracts (bottom panel) and M2-immunoprecipitates (upper panels) were analysed by western blot.





NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 2. DBC1 inhibits SIRT1-mediated deacetylation of p53

a, M2 purified Flag-SIRT1 (F-SIRT1) complexes from 293 cells were fractioned by size-exclusion chromatography and analysed by western blot as indicated.
b, *In vitro* p53 deacetylation by SIRT1 fractions with or without DBC1.
c, DBC1 inhibition of SIRT1-mediated deacetylation is dose dependent. Increasing amounts of purified F-DBC1 (lanes 2–4) or nicotinamide (lane 5) can inhibit highly purified F-SIRT1-mediated p53 deacetylation.
d, DBC1 does not inhibit SIRT2-mediated tubulin deacetylation *in vitro*. Increasing amounts of purified F-DBC1 (lanes 2–4) do not inhibit purified F- SIRT2-mediated tubulin deacetylation.



Figure 3. DBC1 acts as an inhibitor of SIRT1 in human cells

a, DBC1 represses SIRT1 deacetylation activity *in vivo*. Transfected H1299 cell extracts were analysed by western blot using antibodies as shown. **b**, DBC1 inhibits SIRT1-mediated co-immunoprecipitation of p53 *in vivo*. H1299 cells were transfected as indicated and Flag–SIRT1 immunoprecipitations were analysed by western blot as shown. **c**, DBC1 expression rescues SIRT1-mediated repression of p53 transcriptional activation. H1299 cells were transfected with the PUMA-luciferase promoter construct and p53, SIRT1 and DBC1 as indicated. Lysates were assayed for the dual-luciferase activity. Error bars represent s.d., n = 3. **d**, Model showing DBC1 acting as an inhibitor of SIRT1-mediated repression of p53.

Zhao et al.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 4. siRNA-mediated knockdown of *DBC1* reduces p53 acetylation and its transcriptional and apoptotic activities

a, siRNA-mediated *DBC1* knockdown inhibits p53 proapoptotic target gene expression. Western blot of U2OS whole-cell extracts treated with siRNA as shown. **b**, *DBC1* siRNA effects on p53-dependent PUMA and BAX expression require SIRT1. Western blot of siRNA-treated U2OS cell extracts. p53 acetylation levels are shown after p53 immunoprecipitation. **c**, **d**, *DBC1* siRNA inhibits p53-mediated apoptosis after DNA damage. U2OS cells were treated with siRNA as indicated and treated with Etoposide (30 h) before assaying apoptosis by TUNEL staining (**c**, Supplementary Fig. 11) or Annexin V staining (**d**, Supplementary Fig. 12). Error bars represent s.d., n = 3 (**c**, **d**).