Conservation of structural and functional domains in complement component C3 of Xenopus and mammals

(evolution/sequence homology/major histocompatibility complex/properdin binding site/Arg-Gly-Asp sequence)

DARIO GROSSBERGER, ANNE MARCUZ, Louis Du PASQUIER, AND JOHN D. LAMBRIS

Basel Institute for Immunology, Grenzacherstrasse 487, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland

Communicated by Hans J. Muller-Eberhard, October 24, 1988 (received for review June 8, 1988)

ABSTRACT The cDNA sequence and the deduced amino acid sequence of the M_r 34,000 C-terminal fragment of *Xenopus* laevis complement component C3 are presented. The sequence of Xenopus C3 has 57% nucleotide identity to the corresponding sequence of human C3 and \approx 49% amino acid identity to C3 from human, mouse, and rabbit. The Xenopus C3 sequence shows clusters of high and of low similarity to the mammalian C3 sequences. One of these regions of high similarity represents the domain of mammalian C3b involved in the binding of properdin, a regulator of the alternative pathway of complement activation. It is not clear whether the other highly conserved regions are involved in binding to other C3 ligands. The Xenopus C3 sequence completely lacks the Arg-Gly-Asp sequence, which has been suggested to be the recognition site of the human complement receptor type 3 on the iC3b fragment of human C3. The Xenopus C3 gene is shown not to be linked to the Xenopus major histocompatibility complex, as is also the case in mammals. Since the gene of the related molecule C4 is MHC-linked in both mammals and Xenopus, the C3 and C4 genes may have separated before Xenopus and mammals speciated.

The third component of complement (C3) plays a central role in both the classical and alternative pathways of complement activation by interacting with numerous serum and surface complement proteins (1, 2). In addition to being important for complement activation, C3 has been found to play a significant role in inflammatory processes and in the immune response $(1, 3)$.

Xenopus has both classical (4) and alternative $(5, 6)$ pathways of complement activation. The complement proteins C3 (7) and C4 (4) isolated from Xenopus serum have subunit structures similar to mammalian C3 and C4. Human C3 fragment C3b is cleaved by Xenopus serum in a way similar to its cleavage by human serum, suggesting the presence of the regulatory proteins factors ^I and H in Xenopus serum (8). Receptors for Xenopus C3 fragments have been found on the surface of Xenopus macrophages (9), although it is not clear whether these are the Xenopus homologs of mammalian macrophage complement receptor type ¹ (CR1) or ³ (CR3). Since the Xenopus C3 molecule shares many features with mammalian C3, including binding to mammalian CR1, properdin, and CS (10), comparison of the Xenopus C3 sequence with mammalian C3 sequences may reveal regions that are involved in binding to its many ligands as well as the structural elements of these interactions. Such regions are expected to be relatively conserved, since their rate of evolutionary divergence would be constrained by the rate of evolution of their ligands.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

This paper describes the isolation and characterization of a cDNA clone representing the carboxyl end of the α chain of the Xenopus C3 molecule.* The Xenopus C3 sequence shows $\approx 49\%$ amino acid identity to the corresponding sequence of mammalian C3 and has clusters of conserved sequences that probably represent regions important for the structure and function of the C3 molecule. In addition, the gene for Xenopus C3 is shown not to be linked to the Xenopus major histocompatibility complex (MHC), a result with implications for understanding the evolution of complement genes and the MHC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Library Construction. RNA was prepared from the liver of an adult female Xenopus laevis (11) and ^a cDNA library was constructed in the expression vector λ gt11 (12) essentially as described (13).

Preparation of Anti-Xenopus C3 Antibody. A rabbit anti-Xenopus C3 antiserum was prepared by using zymosan-C3b/iC3b particles as described (7). The anti-Xenopus C3 antibody was affinity-purified on zymosan coated with α chain fragments of Xenopus C3. The coated zymosan was prepared by incubation in Xenopus serum and washing with 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) containing 2% 2-mercaptoethanol.

Screening of Library. The cDNA expression library was screened essentially as described (14). The filters were incubated successively with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (Vector Laboratories) and a complex of avidin and biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (Vector Laboratories) and were developed with the peroxidase substrate 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma).

Immunoblots. Immunoblots of normal Xenopus laevis serum were prepared as described (15). The blots were treated in the same way as the filters used in the library screening.

Sequence Determination. The EcoRI inserts were subcloned into the Bluescript plasmid vector (Stratagene) and several nested deletion constructs were prepared. Sequence was determined from plasmids (16) with the use of Sequenase (United States Biochemical) and was compiled with the MICROGENIE program (Beckman). Protein alignments were done with a program (written by C. Steinberg, Basel Institute for Immunology) using the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm (17).

Southern Blot. DNA was isolated from Xenopus erythrocytes and used as described (18). The probe was excised from the pC3-27 subclone of C3-27 by digestion with BamHI,

Abbreviations: Cn, complement component n ; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; CRn, complement receptor type n ; $\alpha_2 M$, α_2 -macroglobulin; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp.

^{*}The sequence reported in this paper is being deposited in the EMBL/GenBank data base (accession no. J04493).

purified by agarose gel electrophoresis (19), and labeled to a specific activity of 10^9 cpm/ μ g (20).

RESULTS

Isolation of a Xenopus C3 cDNA Clone. Activation of the alternative pathway of complement by zymosan leads to the covalent binding of C3b to zymosan through its reactive thioester site on the α chain (21). This property was used to purify Xenopus C3 fragments and to produce anti-Xenopus C3 antibodies. Electrophoresis of fragments eluted by boiling a zymosan-C3b/iC3b preparation in the presence of SDS and 2-mercaptoethanol showed the expected β chain (M_r 85,000) and the M_r 34,000 C-terminal fragment of the α chain of iC3b (Fig.1A). Affinity purification was necessary to reduce the background (Fig. 1B, lane 1). The affinity-purified antibody reacts with the uncleaved α chain as well as α' chain and its M_r 34,000 C-terminal fragment (Fig. 1B, lane 2). We do not understand why this antibody did not also recognize the M_r 81,000 N-terminal α -chain fragments of iC3b (7).

Screening of the λ gtll expression library with the affinitypurified antibody resulted in one positive phage clone, C3-27 (Fig. 1C). To verify that this clone actually represents Xenopus C3, it was plated at high density on several Petri dishes and the filters were used to affinity-purify the anti-Xenopus C3 antibody. This antibody recognized the same protein species as the antibody purified on zymosan-bound C3 α chain (Fig. 1B, lane 3). This showed that C3-27 is in fact a Xenopus C3 clone. It also placed this clone at the C terminus of the α chain, since the antibody selected on C3-27 recognized the M_r 34,000 C-terminal α -chain fragment of the Xenopus iC3b.

Sequence Analysis. To obtain longer cDNA C3 clones, ^a 425-base-pair BamHI fragment was purified from the pC3-27 subclone of the EcoRI insert of C3-27. Approximately 200,000 clones were screened by hybridization, and of the resulting 16 positive clones, C3-39 was the longest (997 base pairs). The inserts in this library, as well as in four other

FIG. 1. Characterization of anti-Xenopus C3 antibody and Xenopus C3 clone. (A) Coomassie blue-stained SDS/polyacrylamide gel (22) of Xenopus C3 fragments eluted from zymosan. Markers at left indicate positions and $M_r \times 10^{-3}$ of standard proteins. (B) Immunoblots of Xenopus serum probed with rabbit anti-Xenopus C3 antibody (lane 1), antibody affinity-purified on zymosan particles having fixed Xenopus C3 α -chain fragments (lane 2), or antibody affinity-purified on clone C3-27 (lane 3). (C) Reactive C3-27 (open arrow) and unreactive (solid arrow) plaques with affinity-purified anti-Xenopus C3 antibody.

libraries tested, appear to be considerably shorter than the full-length C3 mRNA, which is expected to be about 5.5 kilobases (kb).

The full pC3-27 sequence was determined, as well as additional regions of pC3-39. When the assembled nucleic acid sequence of this region of Xenopus C3 is aligned with the human C3 sequence (Fig. 2), it shows 57% nucleotide identity.

In Fig. ³ the cloned region of Xenopus C3 is aligned with the corresponding regions of the human mRNA and human protein. The human mRNA is 5.5 kb and encodes ^a protein that is cleaved once to give the α and β chains of mature C3 (2). The Xenopus C3 sequence aligns at the ³' end of the mRNA and at the C terminus of the mature α chain.

In Fig. ⁴ the deduced Xenopus C3 amino acid sequence is aligned with the available mammalian sequences, as well as with the sequences of the structurally related molecules C4 (26), C5 (27), and α_2 -macroglobulin (α_2 M) (28). All sequences were aligned against the Xenopus sequence. The Xenopus C3 amino acid sequence is about equally similar to the three mammalian C3 sequences and significantly less similar to the C4, C5, and α_2 M sequences (Table 1). Amino acid residues at positions 48 (cysteine), 63 (aspartic acid), 69 (glycine), 80 (leucine), and others (in reversed print in Fig. 4) are conserved in all complement sequences aligned, although these proteins have diverged considerably in function. These residues are mostly either cysteine or hydrophobic and may be necessary for the overall structural integrity of this family of proteins. In addition to the cysteines found in the M_r 40,000 mammalian C3 fragment, Xenopus C3 has three additional cysteines at positions 25, 27, and 33. In mammalian C3 one of the cysteines is disulfidebonded to the M_r 67,000 N-terminal α -chain fragment. The M_r 34,000 C-terminal fragment of the α -chain is smaller than the mammalian M_r 40,000 fragment, so Xenopus C3 may have a different site of factor ^I cleavage. A potential Arg/Ser cleavage site is located at residues 37 and 38, and a fragment of $M_r \approx$ 32,000 would result from cleavage there. If this is the case, the additional cysteines would not reside in the M_r 34,000 Cterminal fragment.

Several structural features shared by these proteins are apparent from these comparisons. The properdin-binding region of human C3 (residues 84-116) (29), which has been further localized to ⁹ amino acids (residues 105-113; M. Daoudaki and J.L., unpublished observations), shows high degree of similarity to C3 of other species, and much less similarity to the non-properdin-binding proteins C4, C5, and α_2 M. Interestingly, the tripeptide RGD sequence in the human C3 sequence, reported to be the recognition site of CR3 on iC3b (30), is not completely conserved in the mouse and rabbit sequences and is absent in the Xenopus sequence. However, considerable conservation exists to the C-terminal side of the RGD site.

The Xenopus C3 Gene Is Not Linked to the MHC. A Southern blot was done with DNA from ^a family of interspecies hybrids from a cross of X. laevis and X. gilli (Fig. 5). These frogs make diploid eggs that develop upon stimulation with irradiated sperm, and are thus maintained as clones. The MHC types of these cloned frogs were determined by grafting and by mixed lymphocyte culture. In this family there are four MHC haplotypes, a , b , c , and d , which segregate independently (31). The DNAs were digested with EcoRV and Pst I, and the blot was probed with a fragment comprising ⁴²⁵ base pairs ⁵' of the BamHI site from the pC3-27 subclone (see Fig. 3). The LG6, LG15, and LG17 frogs all have the MHC haplotypes a and c . However, the LG6 DNA has a 24-kb EcoRV fragment that is not shared by LG17. Furthermore, LG15 has a 24-kb *Pst* I fragment that is not shared by either LG6 or LG17. LG15 also lacks ^a 6-kb Pst ^I fragment that is present in LG6 and LG17. In each of these cases, frogs with identical MHC haplotypes have different C3 restriction fragment polymorphisms. In addition, two individuals, LG3

Xenopus C3

GACCAAATGTTCCTCTTCCAGCTATCGTGTGTTAATTTGAAAGTTTTCATGTTTAATAAGTTCAAAAACATTAT [~]PolTAPoy10878 ^y

FIG. 2. Alignment of the Xenopus and human C3 nucleotide sequences. Spaces represent gaps introduced to improve alignment. The translated amino acid sequences are in single-letter code with the nucleotide sequences.

ment length polymorphisms do not segregate with the MHC

and LG6, have identical C3 restriction fragment patterns but haplotypes, the C3 gene is not linked to the MHC. A similar have different MHC haplotypes. Since the restriction frag-
lack of cosegregation was observed with 18 hack of cosegregation was observed with 18 other MHC-typed
sibling frogs (data not shown).

FIG. 3. Comparison of cloned Xenopus C3 with human C3 mRNA and protein. The regions of Xenopus C3 represented in the clones C3-27 and C3-39 are shown aligned with the human mRNA and protein. The human protein is synthesized as ^a single-chain precursor, which is cleaved into α and β chains to form the mature protein. I, factor I cleavage sites; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp; P, properdin binding site; C3a, C3d, and C3g, proteolytic fragments of C3 ($M_r \times 10^{-3}$ indicated) as described (2).

RGD

DISCUSSION

The initiation of the alternative pathway of complement activation, which occurs in the absence of antibody, relies on the slow but continuous activation of C3 (2). During complement activation the C3b fragment of C3 can be fixed on self and non-self surfaces, but in the case of self it is inactivated by widely distributed down-regulatory molecules (32). The alternative pathway may thus be a very ancient form of immunological defense, pre-dating the evolution of antibodies.

Xenopus C3 has the same subunit structure as mammalian C3 (7). This structure is also shared by the C3 from bird (33), reptile (34), and fish (35) but not by the C3 from lamprey, which has a three-subunit structure (36). C3b binds cell surfaces via its thioester site, and this property was utilized to purify Xenopus C3 bound to zymosan, which in turn was used to prepare a rabbit anti-Xenopus C3b antibody. This antibody was used to isolate an expression clone representing Xenopus C3 from ^a liver cDNA library. Liver is the major site of C3 synthesis in mammals (37). The anti-C3 antibody, which was affinity-purified on protein expressed by the C3-27 clone, recognized the same fragments of C3 on immunoblot strips as the antibody purified on C3 fragments fixed to zymosan (Fig. 1B, lanes 2 and 3). This verified that the clone indeed represents Xenopus C3. The M_r 115,000 protein represents the α' chain of C3b, and the M_r 34,000 protein represents the C-terminal end of the α chain of iC3b (7).

The sequence of Xenopus C3 has significant nucleotide and amino acid similarity with the corresponding sequence of

Table 1. Amino acid conservation between Xenopus C3 and other related proteins

	% identity					
	Human C3	Mouse C ₃	C3	Rabbit Human Mouse C4	C5	Human α_2 M
Xenopus _{C3}	48	50	49	25	28	22
Human C ₃		76	76	21	24	20
Mouse C ₃			78	22	25	20
Rabbit C3				22	24	18
Human C4					17	14
Mouse C ₅						13

Values are percentages of identities for all positions that are matched in the alignment shown in Fig. 3.

FIG. 4. Comparison of the deduced Xenopus C3 (XENC3) amino acid sequence with sequences of mouse C3 (MUSC3) (23), rabbit C3 (RABC3) (24), human C3 (HUMC3) (25), human C4 (HUMC4) (26), mouse C5 (MUSC5) (27), and human α_2 M (HUMA2M) (28). The numbering is from the Xenopus sequence. The first amino acid in each other case is as follows: mouse C3, 1342; rabbit C3, 405; human C3, 1342; human C4, 1403; mouse C5, 1322; human α_2M , 1313. The box labeled "RGD" encloses the Arg-Gly-Asp sequence of human C3 and the corresponding squences of the other C3 sequences. The boxes labeled "properdin binding" enclose the human C3 regions found to bind properdin (29). Identities with the $Xenopus$ sequence are shown by dots, and gaps are shown by dashes. Residues shown in whiteon-black type are conserved in all complement sequences aligned.

human (25), mouse (23), and rabbit (24) C3, the three species for which C3 sequence is available. Alignment of the Xenopus C3 sequence with these sequences shows clusters of sequence conservation. Although present, these conserved clusters are not as apparent when mammalian sequences are compared among themselves, presumably because the mammalian species from which C3 sequence is available are too closely related. One of these conserved clusters correlates quite closely with the region of C3b that mediates properdin binding. It is also interesting that the human RGD sequence is absent in Xenopus C3. The RGD sequence has been reported to be involved in iC3b binding to CR3 (30) and functions as a general attachment site of several adhesion molecules to their receptors (38, 39). Mammalian macrophages have CR3, as well as the more widely distributed C3b

FIG. 5. MHC non-linkage of the Xenopus C3 gene. Genomic Southern blots of DNA from MHC-typed X. laevis \times X. gilli hybrid siblings. DNA was digested with \overrightarrow{Pst} I (Left) or $EcoRV$ (Right). Leftmost lane in each blot shows HindIII fragments of phage λ DNA used as size markers.

receptor, CR1 (1). Since Xenopus macrophages bind Xenopus C3b/iC3b-coated sheep erythrocytes (9), either this binding was via the Xenopus equivalent of CR1 or the binding of Xenopus iC3b to the CR3 receptor does not require the RGD sequence. The ²⁰ amino acids extending to the C terminus of the human RGD sequence are highly conserved in all C3 sequences. Since these residues were present in the peptides used to show binding to CR3 (30), it may be these, rather than RGD, that are important for CR3 binding. The other extended regions of conservation with the other C3 sequences (amino acids 56-74, 170-180, 244-254, and 279- 286) may be involved in binding of the other C3 ligands. C3 has many natural ligands besides properdin, some of which are factors I, H and B, C5, CR1, CR2, CR3, CR4, and membrane cofactor protein (MCP) (1, 3). Ligands must evolve to match each other, and the necessity for dual evolution is expected to make change at these sites slower. This seems to have been the case for the site of properdin binding.

The Xenopus sequence is 2-3% more similar to C4, C5, and α_2 M than are the other mammalian C3 sequences. Although this difference in similarity is small, it is present in all three sequences and suggests that Xenopus C3 has evolved more slowly than mammalian C3. The nucleotide alignment shows no stretches of sequence with sufficient nucleotide similarity expected to permit the isolation of this gene by hybridization with human probes. This may explain the inability to isolate ^a Xenopus C4 clone by low-stringency hybridization with human or mouse probes (D.G., unpublished observations).

C3, C4, C5, and α_2 M are structurally related and are thought to have arisen by gene duplication from an ancestral gene (40). The most primitive vertebrate, the lamprey, has "C3" with a subunit structure similar to mammalian C4, and it has been suggested to represent the ancestor molecule of higher vertebrate C3 and C4 (41). The C4 gene is in the MHC of Xenopus (42), humans, and mice (43), although complement proteins have not been found to interact in any way with MHC proteins. The C3 gene is not in the MHC of humans (44) or mice (45). The genes for C4 and the other complement components factor B and C2 have been said to reside in the MHC as ^a result of an accidental translocation (46). But these genes may have evolved within the MHC, and the C3 gene may have translocated out. To determine whether the C3 gene of Xenopus is linked to the Xenopus MHC, ^a Southern blot was done with DNA of a family of X. laevis $\times X$. gilli hybrid siblings. The polymorphisms observed did not cosegregate with the MHC alleles, and C3 is therefore not linked to the Xenopus MHC, as is the case with mammals. The translocation event that separated these duplicated genes must therefore have antedated the Xenopus/mammal divergence.

We thank Drs. W. Hein and K. Karjalainen for critical reading of the manuscript. The Basel Institute for Immunology was founded and is entirely supported by F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Co., Basel, Switzerland.

- 1. Lambris, J. D. (1988) *Immunol. Today* 9, 387–393.
2. Pangburn, M. K. & Müller-Eberhard. H. J. (1984).
- 2. Pangburn, M. K. & Muller-Eberhard, H. J. (1984) Springer Semin. Immunopathol. 7, 163-192.
- 3. Lambris, J. D. & Muller-Eberhard, H. J. (1986) Mol. Immunol. 23, 1237-1242.
- 4. Fujii, T., Sekizawa, A. & Katagiri, C. (1985) Immunology 56, 743- 750.
- 5. Jurd, R. J. (1978) Immunology 34, 389-3%.
- 6. Dlabac, V., Simeckova, J., Sima, P. & Hofmanova, B. (1983) Dev. Comp. Immunol. 7, 783-784.
- 7. Sekizawa, A., Fujii, T. & Katagiri, C. (1984) J. Immunol. 133, 1436- 1443.
- 8. Kaidoh, T. & Gigli, I. (1987) J. Immunol. 139, 194–201.
9. Sekizawa, A., Fuiji, T. & Tochinai, S. (1984) J. Immunol.
- Sekizawa, A., Fujii, T. & Tochinai, S. (1984) J. Immunol. 133, 1431-1435.
- 10. Becherer, J. D., Daoudaki, M. E. & Lambris, J. D. (1987) Fed. Proc. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. 46, 771 (abstr.).
- 11. Ulrich, A., Shine, J., Chirgwin, J., Pictet, R., Tischer, E., Rutter, W. J. & Goodman, H. M. (1977) Science 196, 1313-1319.
- 12. Young, R. A. & Davis, R. W. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 1194-1198.
- 13. Huynh, T. V., Young, R. A. & Davis, R. W. (1985) in DNA Cloning. A Practical Approach, eds. Rickwood, D. & Hames, B. D. (IRL, Oxford), Vol. 1, pp. 49-78.
- 14. Young, R. A. & Davis, R. W. (1983) Science 222, 778-782.
15. Towbin, H. T., Staehelin, T. & Gordon, J. (1979) Proc. Natl.
- Towbin, H. T., Staehelin, T. & Gordon, J. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 4350-4354.
- 16. Chen, E. Y. & Seeburg, P. H. (1985) DNA 4, 165-170.
17. Needleman, S. & Wunsch, C. (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 48.
-
- 17. Needleman, S. & Wunsch, C. (1970) J. Mol. Biol. 48, 444-453.
18. Schwager, J., Grossberger, D. & DuPasquier, L. (1988) EMBO J. Schwager, J., Grossberger, D. & DuPasquier, L. (1988) EMBO J. 7, 2409-2415.
- 19. Vogelstein, B. & Gillespie, D. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 615-619.
- 20. Feinberg, A. P. & Vogelstein, B. (1983) Anal. Biochem. 132, 6–13.
21. Tack. B. F. (1983) Springer Semin. Immunopathol. 6, 259–282.
- 21. Tack, B. F. (1983) Springer Semin. Immunopathol. 6, 259-282.
22. Laemmli II. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227. 68–685.
- 22. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) $227, 68-685$.
23. Wetsel, R. A., Lundwall, A., Davidson, F., Gibson, T.
- 23. Wetsel, R. A., Lundwall, A., Davidson, F., Gibson, T., Tack, B. F. & Fey, G. H. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 13857-13862.
- 24. Kusano, M., Choi, N. H., Tomita, M., Yamamoto, K.-I., Migita, S., Sekiya, T. & Nishimura, S. (1986) Immunol. Invest. 15, 365-378. 25. deBruijn, M. H. L. & Fey, G. H. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
- 82, 708-712.
- 26. Belt, K. T., Carroll, M. C. & Porter, R. R. (1984) Cell 36, 907–914.
27. Wetsel, R. A., Ogata, R. T. & Tack, B. F. (1987) Biochemistry 26. Wetsel, R. A., Ogata, R. T. & Tack, B. F. (1987) Biochemistry 26, 737-743.
- 28. Kan, C.-C., Solomon, E., Belt, K. T., Chain, A. C., Hiorns, L. R. & Fey, G. H. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 2282-2286.
- 29. Daoudaki, M. E., Becherer, J. D. & Lambris, J. D. (1988) J. Immunol. 140, 1577-1580.
- 30. Wright, S. D., Reddy, P. A., Jong, M. T. C. & Erickson, B. W. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 1965-1968.
- 31. Kobel, H. R. & Du Pasquier, L. (1975) Immunogenetics 2, 87-91.
32. Atkinson, J. P. & Farries, T. (1987) Immunol, Today & 212-215.
- 32. Atkinson, J. P. & Farries, T. (1987) Immunol. Today 8, 212-215.
33. Kai, C., Yoshikawa, Y., Yamanouchi, K. & Okada, H. (1983) J
- 33. Kai, C., Yoshikawa, Y., Yamanouchi, K. & Okada, H. (1983) J. Immunol. 130, 2814-2820.
- 34. Eggersten, G., Lundwall, A., Hellman, U. & Sjoquist, J. (1983) J. Immunol. 131, 1920-1923.
- 35. Nonaka, M., Iwaki, M., Nakai, C., Nozaki, M., Kaidoh, T., Natsuume-Sakai, S. & Takahashi, M. (1984) J. Biol. Chem. 259, 6327-6333.
- 36. Nonaka, M., Fujii, T., Kaidoh, T., Natsuume-Sakai, S., Yamaguchi, N. & Takahashi, M. (1984) J. Immunol. 133, 3242-3249.
- 37. Alper, C. A., Johnson, A. M., Birtch, A. G. & Moore, F. D. (1969) Science 163, 286-288.
- 38. Ruoslahti, E. & Pierschbacher, M. D. (1986) Cell 44, 517–518.
39. Simmons, D., Makgoba, M. W. & Seed, B. (1988) Nature (Long
- Simmons, D., Makgoba, M. W. & Seed, B. (1988) Nature (London) 331, 624-627.
- 40. Sottrup-Jensen, L., Stepanik, T. M., Kristensen, T., Lonblad, P. B., Jones, C. M., Wierzbicki, D. M., Magnusson, S., Domdey, H., Wetsel, R. A., Lundwall, A., Tack, B. F. & Fey, G. H. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 9-13.
- 41. Nonaka, M., Fujii, T., Kaidoh, T., Natsuume-Sakai, S., Yamaguchi, N. & Takahashi, M. (1984) J. Immunol. 133, 3242-3249.
- 42. Nakamura, T., Sekizawa, A., Fujii, T. & Katagiri, C. (1986) Immunogenetics 23, 181-186.
- 43. Alper, C. A. (1981) in The Role of the Major Histocompatibility Complex in Immunobiology, ed. Dorf, M. E. (Garland STPM, New York), pp. 173-220.
- 44. Whitehead, A. S., Soloman, E., Chambers, S., Bodmer, W. F., Povey, S. & Fey, G. H. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79, 5021-5025.
- 45. Ferreira, A. & Nussenzweig, V. (1975) J. Exp. Med. 141, 513–517.
46. Klein, J. & Figueroa, F. (1986) CRC Crit. Rev. Immunol 6, 295–386.
- Klein, J. & Figueroa, F. (1986) CRC Crit. Rev. Immunol. 6, 295-386.