

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Nano Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 May 12.

Published in final edited form as:

Nano Res. 2008 December ; 1(6): 502–518. doi:10.1007/s12274-008-8054-z.

Concentration and Preservation of Very Low Abundance Biomarkers in Urine, such as Human Growth Hormone (hGH), by Cibacron Blue F3G-A Loaded Hydrogel Particles

Claudia Fredolini1,2,5,†, **Francesco Meani**3,5,†, **K. Alex Reeder**5, **Sally Rucker**5, **Alexis Patanarut**6, **Palma J. Botterell**6, **Barney Bishop**6, **Caterina Longo**4,5, **Virginia Espina**5, **Emanuel F. Petricoin III**5, **Lance A. Liotta**5, and **Alessandra Luchini**5

¹ Department of Urology, S. Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Torino 10154, Italy

² Department of Medicine and Experimental Oncology, University of Turin, Turin 10126, Italy

³ Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, University of Brescia, Brescia 25100, Italy

⁴ Department of Dermatology and Venereology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena 41100, Italy

⁵ Center for the Applied Proteomics and Molecular Medicine, George Mason University, Manassas, VA 20110, USA

6 Chemistry and Biochemistry Department, George Mason University, Manassas, VA 20110, USA

Abstract

Urine is a potential source of diagnostic biomarkers for detection of diseases, and is a very attractive means of non-invasive biospecimen collection. Nonetheless, proteomic measurement in urine is very challenging because diagnostic biomarkers exist in very low concentration (usually below the sensitivity of common immunoassays) and may be subject to rapid degradation. Hydrogel nanoparticles functionalized with Cibacron Blue F3G-A (CB) have been applied to address these challenges for urine biomarker measurement. We chose one of the most difficult low abundance, but medically relevant, hormones in the urine: human growth hormone (hGH). The normal range of hGH in serum is 1 to 10 ng/mL but the urine concentration is suspected to be a thousand times less, well below the detection limit (50 pg/mL) of sensitive clinical hGH immunoassays. We demonstrate that CB particles can capture, preserve and concentrate hGH in urine at physiological salt and urea concentrations, so that hGH can be measured in the linear range of a clinical immunometric assay. Recombinant and cadaveric hGH were captured from synthetic and human urine, concentrated and measured with an Immulite chemiluminescent immunoassay. Values of hGH less than 0.05 ng/mL (the Immulite detection limit) were concentrated to 2 ng/mL, with a urine volume of 1 mL. Dose response studies using 10 mL of urine demonstrated that the concentration of hGH in the particle eluate was linearly dependent on the concentration of hGH in the starting solution, and that all hGH was removed from solution. Thus if the starting urine volume is 100 mL, the detection limit will be 0.1 pg/mL. Urine from a healthy donor whose serum hGH concentration was 1.34 ng/mL was studied in order detect endogenous hGH. Starting from a volume of 33 mL, the particle eluate had an hGH concentration of 58 pg/mL, giving an estimated initial concentration of hGH in urine of 0.175 pg/ mL. The nanotechnology described here appears to have the desired precision, accuracy and sensitivity to support large scale clinical studies of urine hGH levels.

Address correspondence to aluchini@gmu.edu.

[†]These authors equally contributed to this work.

Keywords

Urine proteomics; Cibacron Blue F3G-A; human growth hormone; hydrogel nanoparticles; Nisopropylacrylamide

Introduction

Urine is a biological fluid produced by the kidney through a process of blood filtration, reabsorption and tubular secretion. Although it is predominantly composed of waste products, it may contain a vast amount of biological information useful for diagnostic purposes and is a mirror of physiopathological urogenital and systemic conditions [1]. Urine is an ideal biological fluid for clinical analysis because the collection is simple, economic, and noninvasive, and large quantities of sample are available. For these reasons it has been proposed as an alternative to blood collection (venipuncture), if not as a diagnostic tool, at least as a screening test used to determine which subjects should undergo serum analysis. Nevertheless, the very low concentration of many molecules of interest in urine, and the presence of different interfering substances, have hampered the development of urine-based diagnostics tests, as well as the discovery of novel clinically useful biomarkers. The recent application of modern proteomic technologies and approaches to urine have led to the discovery of hundreds of proteins as new potential biomarkers of disease [2,3]. The existence of these potential urine disease biomarkers has paradoxically highlighted the weakness of urine as a diagnostic fluid: (1) the totalprotein concentration of urine is highly variable from hour to hour depending on the hydration state and the kidney function; (2) the size range and composition of proteins normally entering the urine is a function of glomerular filtration and is not simply proportional to the blood concentration of a given biomarker; (3) diagnostic biomarkers may exist in very low concentrations in the urine (the concentration of many blood biomarkers may be one hundred to one thousand times less than that in the blood, such that the marker is undetectable by a conventional clinical assay); (4) urine biomarkers may be highly labile and subject to degradation. Thus there is a great clinical need to create new tools that will selectively concentrate and preserve low abundance peptides and proteins in the urine [2–4]. Nanomedicine, the application of nanotechnology to the medical field [5], offers a potential means of overcoming the above drawbacks of urine. Nanoparticles provide a new medium for the selective concentration and uptake of urine biomarkers. Many classes of nanoparticles (such as quantum dots, gold nanoparticles, magnetic nanoparticles, and hydrogels) have been proposed to be applicable in diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of disease [6]. Among known nanoparticles, hydrogel particles offer a simple means to capture, concentrate and preserve urinary proteins of interest. Hydrogel particles are submicron spheres obtained via polymerization of water soluble monomers, and consist of swollen three-dimensional networked structures in which water is the major component. The size of the particles can change in response to a variety of stimuli, such as temperature, pH, ionic strength, light and electric fields [7–13]. Because of their physicochemical properties and their open structure, hydrogel particles offer an effective means to encapsulate target analytes in solution. Because of their swelling and de-swelling properties in response to mild modifications of the solution and their ability to be functionalized with different chemical moieties, they have been extensively investigated as targeted drug delivery systems [14,15]. Recently we demonstrated the ability of poly(Nisopropylacrylamide) (NIPAm) based hydrogel particles to rapidly capture and concentrate low molecular weight (LMW) and low abundance proteins from a complex protein solution such as serum, and preserve the captured analytes from degradation [16]. Their particle porosity is ideal for size selection of proteins, especially the LMW proteins and peptides that are likely to be passed into the urine. A variety of chemical ligands that act as affinity baits have been incorporated in the NIPAm based particles (e.g., acrylic acid, allylamine, p-vinylphenylboronic acid [17], N-acryoyl-maminophenylboronic acid [18],

cyclodextrins, and triazinyl dyes). The baits can be designed to sequester specific classes of proteins or metabolites, thereby increasing the affinity between the particles and the analytes. In this study we have applied this new class of harvesting nanoparticles to urine samples. In order to give proof of concept, we chose one of the most difficult low abundance, but medically relevant, hormones present in urine: human growth hormone (hGH). In fact hGH is currently undetectable in urine when measured by the most sensitive clinical assay used for measuring hGH in serum [19,20]. Human growth hormone is a peptide hormone of 191 amino acids secreted by somatotroph cells of the pituitary gland in a pulsatile pattern under hypothalamic control [21,22]. Its secretion is influenced by several physiological and pathophysiological conditions such as gender, age, sleep, fever, physical exercise, nutritional state and other metabolic factors. As a result, hGH levels in blood fluctuate widely. In humans, hGH levels reach 50–100 ng/mL at peak and fall below 0.03 ng/mL at nadir [19]. Blood contains multiple hGH isoforms (22, 20, and 17 kDa), with the 22 kDa form being the most abundant [23,24]. The principal metabolic clearance of hGH proceeds through glomerular filtration, reabsorption and degradation in proximal tubular cells. This clearance process is very efficient, with only a very minute amount of filtrated hGH (<0.01%) reaching the final urine volume [19]. Several previous studies have shown that given an intact renal function hGH concentration in urine, although much lower than in serum (between one hundred to one thousand times less than that in blood in the low pg/mL range [25,26]) reflects its physiological secretion. Determination of hGH levels is a key tool for the clinical management of hGH secretion disorders: childhood and adulthood insufficiency or overproduction. Accurate, universally valid and convenient hGH measurements in urine are highly desirable but, for many reasons, this goal remains elusive [19,20]. Many efforts have been made to detect hGH in urine, both for clinical and anti doping purposes using different classes of immunologic assays (e.g., NordiTest U-hGH assay and Nichols Institute Chemoluminescence uGH immunoassay) [27,28] but the very low concentration of the hormone in urine, complexity of the assays, and high costs involved have hindered clinical urine test development. Assessment of nonphysiological levels of hGH still relies on time consuming, expensive and potentially hazardous tests, such as repetitive blood measurement or dynamic (stimulating or suppressive) tests. In order to overcome the drawbacks of current methods of hGH testing in urine, we synthesized hydrogel NIPAm nanoparticles incorporating a Cibacron Blue dye bait. Cibacron Blue F3G-A (CB) is a monochlorotriazinyl textile dye, containing an antraquinone chromophore. It is widely used as a ligand, coupled to numerous matrices, in affinity chromatography because of its well known ability to interact with proteins [29,30]. Recently the ability of CB to interact with recombinant hGH has been demonstrated [31,32]. In this study we demonstrate that this novel nanotechnology is able to capture, preserve and concentrate hGH in urine so that hGH can be determined in the linear range of a clinical immunometric assay (Immulite-Siemens Medical Solution Diagnostic), as depicted in Fig. 1. These particles capture all of the hGH in the bulk fluid of the urine, and since the protein is then collected in a small volume the concentration of hGH is significantly increased. The harvesting particles we describe are not specific to the case study we chose, hGH, but also have the capability to capture, concentrate and protect from degradation other classes of low molecular weight medically relevant protein biomarkers in urine. In order to prove the non-specificity of the harvesting particles, capture of interleukin 8 (IL-8) was investigated. IL-8 is a chemokine involved in proinflammatory and proangiogenic processes, the increased concentration of which in the urine of non-Hodgkin'sLymphoma patients was recently reported [33].

1. Experimental

1.1 Materials and reagents

Cibacron Blue F3G-A dye (CB), N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm), N-N′-methylenebis (acrylamide) (BIS), allylamine (AA) and potassium persulfate (KPS) were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich, Inc, USA. Recombinant hGH 22 kDa Humatrope was purchased from Lilly, International Standard for hGH (Cadaveric hGH), was purchased from NIBSC, UK, and synthetic urine (Surine, Negative Control for urine testing) was purchased from Dyna-Tek Industries. 18% Tris-Glycine gel and Tris-Glycine SDS running buffer were purchased from Invitrogen Corporation, USA. GH Sample Diluent was purchased from Siemens Medical Solution Diagnostic. Urine for the experiments was collected from healthy donors with IRB approved protocol.

1.2 Synthesis and characterization of hydrogel nanoparticles

NIPAm/AA particles were prepared containing specific percentages of AA with respect to the total monomer (5% or 10%). NIPAm (0.89 g, 7.83 mmol) and BIS (0.042 g, 0.27 mmol) were dissolved in 30 mL of H2O and then passed through a 0.2 m filter nylon membrane. The solution was purged with nitrogen for 15 min at room temperature with a medium stirring rate before AA (0.026 g, 0.45 mmol for 5% loaded particles and 0.051 g, 0.90 mmol for 10% AA loaded particles) was added. The solution was purged with nitrogen for another 15 min and then heated to 75 °C [34]. KPS (0.0070 g, 0.025 mmol) in 1.0 mL of H2O was added to the solution to initiate polymerization. The reaction was maintained at 75°C under nitrogen for 3 hours. The react ion was subsequently allowed to cool to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then transferred to 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes and theparticles harvested and washed via centrifugation (Eppendorf 5415R centrifuge). After centrifuging the particle suspension for 20 min at 23 °C and 16–100 relative centrifugal force (rcf), the supernatant from each tube was decanted and the particles were redispersed in 1.0 mL H2O. This concentration/ redispersion process was repeated for a total of five washes. To obtain NIPAm/CB particles, CB (0.38 g, 0.45 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of 0.1 mol/L aqueous sodium carbonate. The NIPAm/AA particle suspension (5 mL volume) was purged with nitrogen for 15 min with a medium stirring rate in a 100 mL three-neck round-bottom flask, after which solid sodium carbonate (0.053 g, 0.50 mmol) was added to the suspension. The suspension was then stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for about 1 min. The CB solution was then added to the NIPAm/AA particle suspension, and the combined reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 48 h. The resulting NIPAm/CB particles were harvested and washed using centrifugation as described earlier in the preparation of NIPAm/AA particles. Dye loading was determined via spectrophotometry (Thermo Spectronic 20+). A calibration curve was constructed using CB stock solutions of known concentrations. The supernatants from the entire concentration/redispersion process were combined, and their absorbance at a wavelength of 608 nm was determined. The CB concentration of the combined supernatants was estimated using the calibration curve. The synthesis of NIPAm/acrylic acid (AAc) particles has been described previously [16]. NIPAm/beta-cyclodextrin (β-CD) particles were obtained as follows: NIPAm/N-(hydroxymethylacry lamide) (HMA) particles were first prepared: NIPAm (0.92 g) and BIS (0.03 g) were dissolved in 30 mL of H2O and then filtered with a 0.2 μm filter nylon membrane. HMA (0.008 g) was added to the reaction flask, and the solution was purged with nitrogen for 30 min. The solution was then heated to 70 °C and maintained at this temperature for 30 min. KPS (0.007 g) in 1 mL H2O was then added to the reaction flask to initiate polymerization. The reaction was maintained at 70 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h. The reaction was then removed from the heat and allowed to cool to room temperature overnight, with the resulting NIPAm/HMA particles being harvested and washed using centrifugation as described earlier in the preparation of NIPAm/AA particles. Beta-cyclodextrin (0.12 g) was dissolved in 1% HCl solution, and 5 mL of the NIPAm/HMA particle suspension was introduced into the reaction flask. The resulting suspension was then purged with nitrogen for 15 min at room temperature. After 15 min, the suspension was heated to 80 °C and maintained at that temperature for 1 h under nitrogen with a medium stirring rate. The resulting NIPAm/β-CD particles were then harvested and washed using centrifugation as described earlier in the preparation of NIPAm/AA particles. Particle concentration was assessed by weighing the

lyophilized particles obtained from a known volume of the particle suspension. The dependence of particle size on temperature was determined via photon correlation spectroscopy (submicron particle size analyzer, Beckman Coulter). The measurement of particle diameter was performed using water as diluent (refractive index (RI)= 1.333, diluent viscosity=0.890 cP). The test angle was 90°. Average values were calculated for three measurements using a 200 s integration time, and the solutions were allowed to thermally equilibrate for 10 min before each set of measurements. Measured values were then converted to particle sizes via the Stokes Einstein relationship [35]. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were acquired on particles using an NSCRIPTOR™ DPNR® System (NanoInk). Particle suspensions (1 mg/mL) were deposited onfreshly cleaved mica under a humidified atmosphere for 15 min and dried under nitrogen before measurement. Images were acquired under AC mode using a silicon tip with a typical resonance frequency of 300 kHz and a radius smaller than 10 nm.

1.3 Particle incubation with analyte solutions

Aliquots of particles were incubated with 1 mL of buffers, Surine or human urine for 1 h at room temperature under slow rotation. After incubation, the particles were centrifuged (7 min, 25 \degree C, 16–100 rcf), the supernatant was saved and the particles were washed three times by resuspending the pellets in 1 mL of water and centrifuging (7 min, 25 °C, 16–100 rcf). The particles were then directly loaded on the gel or incubated with elution buffers. Incubations with 10 mL of Surine were performed with 50 mL centrifuge tubes (Nalgene) and particles were separated from urine by centrifugation (45 min, 25 °C, 18 000 revolutions per minute (rpm)) and washed as previously described.

1.4 Elution of hGH from particles

The washed pellet of particles was incubated for 15 min at room temperature or for one hour at 38 °C with proper amounts of elution. buffers. After incubation, the particles were centrifuged (7 min, $25 \degree C$, 16–100 rcf) and the eluate was saved. The elution step was repeated twice and the eluates were pooled together.

1.5 SDS-PAGE and silver staining

Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed using 18% Tris-Glycine gel in the presence of Tris-Glycine SDS running Buffer on a Novex X-Cell IITM Mini- Cell (Invitrogen Corporation, USA), at 200 V. The gels were stained by silver staining.

1.6 Immunometric assay

The concentration of hGH eluted from particles was measured using the Immulite 1000 Growth Hormone System (Siemens Medical Solution Diagnostic). Eluates were diluted in GH Sample Diluent, and assayed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis and characterization of hydrogel NIPAm/Cibacron Blue F3GA particles

The hydrogel particles utilized in this study were obtained by incorporating Cibacron Blue F3G-A dye in N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm)/allylamine (AA) particles. NIPAm/AA particles were synthesized via precipitation polymerization under a nitrogen atmosphere using BIS as cross linker. AA was added by copolymerization to the NIPAm particles in order to have an amino group that can bind to the triazine ring of the dye via HCl elimination (Fig. 2). The estimated dye loading was respectively 50% and 56% for the 5% and the 10% AA particles. As shown in the AFM images (Fig. 3(a)) the particles are homogenous in size with a diameter of about 800 nm. A picture of 2 mL vials of NIPAm/CB and NIPAm/AA particles is shown

in Fig. 3(b). Light scattering analysis was conducted in the temperature range 20 to 50 °C; as reported in Fig. 4(a) the diameter of particles showed an inverse relation with temperature, decreasing from the maximum average value of 884.9 nm \pm 8.5 nm at 20 °C to a minimum of 255.9 nm \pm 5.4 nm measured at 50 °C, consistent with the typical temperature dependent behavior of hydrogel nanoparticles. Histograms based on intensities of particle size distribution for each temperature run are reported in Fig. 4(b). The concentration of particles was assessed by weighing the lyophilized particles (5.7 μg/μL). Hydrogels are jelly-like materials composed of a network of polymeric chains in which the degree of porosity can be tuned by changing the percentage of the cross-linker N-N′-methylenebis(acrylamide) (BIS). This polymeric network acts as a sieve, allowing NIPAm/CB particles to perform size exclusion chromatography in solution. The degree of homogeneity in the distribution of pore sizes in the particles can be assessed by the sharpness of the molecular weight cut-off. In order to evaluate the size sieving properties of NIPAm/CB particles and define the molecular weight cut-off we used a mixed solution of proteins of known molecular weight. Aprotinin (MW 6.5 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich), lysozyme (MW 14.4 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich), bovine serum albumin, BSA (MW 66 kDa, Fisher Scientific), ovalbumin (MW 45 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich), hGH (MW 22 kDa, Humatrope Lilly), and insulin growth factor binding protein 7, IGFBP7 (MW 31 KDa, Preprotech) were dissolved in Surine at pH 5 at a concentration of 0.1 μg/μL, and incubated with 100 μL (570 μg) of NIPAm/CB particles for 30 min at room temperature. The suspension was then centrifuged and the particles washed with water. Particles and supernatant were analyzed by SDSFigure PAGE (Fig. 5). We observed that only proteins with molecular weight less than 45 kDa were captured by the particles (Lane 3) and the molecular weight cut-off was estimated between 45 and 31 kDa. The sharpness of the molecular weight cut-off suggests that the distribution of pore size in the particles was very homogeneous.

2.2 Harvesting of hGH by NIPAm/Cibacron Blue F3GA particles

In order to select the best performing particles to harvest hGH from solution, we compared four classes of hydrogel NIPAm nanoparticles containing different baits (CB (5% and 10% AA), AA, AAc and β -CD). Recombinant hGH was dissolved at a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL in sodium citrate buffer (pH 5, 50 mmol/L) and aliquots of 50 μL were incubated with 50 μL of each different class of particles for 1 h at room temperature. After incubation, the supernatant was saved and the particles were washed. Supernatants and particles were subjected to SDS PAGE analysis and silver stained (Fig. 6). NIPAm/CB particles proved to be the most efficient in harvesting hGH from solution (Lanes3, 5), leaving undetectable concentrations of the hormone in the bulk supernatant, as demonstrated by the corresponding blank lanes on the gel (Lanes 2, 4). Only a small amount of hormone was sequestered by β-CD, NIPAm/AA, and NIPAm/AAc bait particles (Lanes 6–11), indicative of a weak affinity of the baits for the analyte. The NIPAm/AAc particles carry a negative charge at pH greater than 3.5 that leads to stronger electrostatic interactions with cationic species, whereas the human hGH (pI 5.27) under the experimental conditions described is expected to be almost neutral. Moreover at the physiological pH of urine (pH 4–10), the protein is expected to be mostly negatively charged, thus explaining why the NIPAm/AAc particles were not effective for hGH harvesting. The NIPAm/AA particles are positively charged but their efficiency in capturing the hormone is not as high as that of the NIPAm/CB particles, because the specific interaction with the target is conferred primarily by the bait. It has been widely shown in the literature that β-CD has high affinities for small molecules such as cholesterol, steroids, and DOPA [36–38], and it therefore acts as a good negative control for hGH uptake.

2.3 Harvesting and concentration of hGH by NIPAm/CB particles in urine models

Human urine contains a multitude of organic and inorganic compounds, and is characterized by high levels of salt concentration and pH between 4 and 10. Urea is the most abundant nitrogenous metabolic waste (comprising about 95% of the nitrogen content of urine). Salts

and urea can potentially influence the behavior of a harvesting nanoparticle and interfere with the interactions between CB and hGH. In order to evaluate hGH harvesting performance in conditions as similar as possible to physiological urine, we studied the effects of urea, salts and different pH levels on the hGH harvesting efficiency of the particles. Samples of hGH (0.01 mg/mL) were spiked in the following solutions: urea (0.7 mg/mL) , physiological concentration), KCl (6.0 mg/mL, comparable to the total amount of salts in urine) and different buffered solutions with pH values ranging from 4 to 10 (50 mmol/L sodium citrate buffer titrated to pH 4, 5 and 6; 50 mmol/L Tris HCl buffer titrated to pH 7 and 8; 50 mmol/L carbonate-bicarbonate buffer titrated to pH 10). One milliliter of each solution was incubated with 100 μL (570 μg) of NIPAm/CB particles. Particles were centrifuged and washed and particles and supernatants were analyzed by SDSPAGE analysis. As shown in Fig. 7(a), physiological concentrations of urea and salts did not hinder the ability of particles to capture hGH (Lanes 17–20). The harvesting was optimal in the pH range from 4 to 6 (Lanes 3–9). Under these conditions, particles were capable of increasing hGH concentration from an undetectable level (Lane 2) to a clearly visible band (Lanes 4, 7, 9). Increasing the solution pH caused a decrease in harvesting efficiency. These data suggest that acidic conditions favor the molecular interaction between the dye and the hGH. We confirmed our results by spiking hGH in Surine which is a medium routinely used as a negative control for urine tests. Aliquots of hGH (0.01 mg/mL) were dissolved in Surine at pH values of 4, 5, 6.7, and 8. The pH of Surine was 6.7 at 22 °C, and therefore the pH was adjusted as necessary by adding appropriate amounts of HCl or NaOH. Aliquots of 100 μL (570 μg) of particles were incubated with 1 mL of Surine. Harvesting of hGH was evaluated by SDS PAGE analysis. Sequestration extent and concentrations of hGH were estimated for each pH value (Fig. 7(b)); pH 5 was assessed as the optimal uptake condition (Lanes 5, 6). Taken together, these results suggested that acidic conditions were more favorable for hGH uptake than basic conditions, and therefore we decided to carry out the incubations at pH 5, which is representative of physiological conditions, and then to increase the pH as a means of eluting hGH from the particles.

2.4 Time course studies

In order to understand the kinetics of hGH sequestration by CB particles, an uptake time course study was performed. Aliquots of 1 mL of 0.01 mg/mL hGH in Surine at pH 5 were incubated with 100 μ L (570 μ g) of CB particles and the incubation was allowed to proceed for 1, 5, 20, 40, and 60 min. Particles were separated by centrifugation and washed. Particles and supernatants were subjected to SDS PAGE analysis (Fig. 8(a)). Capture of hGH was a very fast process; after only one minute the majority of hGH was detectable inside the particles (Lane 3). Moreover, in order to test the capability of the nanoparticles to preserve captured hGH from degradation over time, we performed a time course experiment to assess the stability of the sequestered hGH over a period of 48 h. CB particles (100 μL, 570 μg) were incubated with 1 mL of 0.01 mg/mL hGH in Surine for 1, 4, 24, and 48 h at room temperature, as described above. SDS PAGE analysis showed no detectable loss of hGH harvesting, and full hGH preservation at each incubation time (Fig. 8(b)).

2.5 Sequestration by CB particles of the different isoforms of cadaveric hGH spiked in Surine

Since endogenous, naturally produced hGH exists as a series of different size isoforms, but recombinant hGH consists of only the 22 kDa form, it was necessary to assess whether CB particles sequestered all three main isoforms of hGH (22, 20, and 17 kDa). The cadaveric WHO international standard for hGH (which contains all the natural isoforms) was spiked in Surine at a concentration of 0.01 mg/mL and incubated with $100 \mu L$ (570 μ g) of CB particles. Particles were separated from Surine and washed as previously described. Particles and supernatant were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis (Fig. 9). As shown in Lane 3, particles sequestered and concentrated all three isoforms (22, 20, and 17 kDa) so that the less abundant forms became

detectable by SDS-PAGE. The starting solution prior to particle sequestration was loaded in Lane 1 and the only visible band corresponds to the 22 kDa form.

2.6 Harvesting and concentration of IL-8 byNIPAm/CB particles in Surine

CB dye is an affinity ligand for a vast class of proteins: in fact it is well known for its affinity for nucleotide binding proteins [30], and various mechanisms of interaction between CB dye and proteins have been hypothesized, such as electrostatic, hydrophobic, hydrogen bonding and charge transfer interactions [29]. Therefore, NIPAm/CB particles can capture and concentrate a wide class of low molecular weight proteins in solution. The ability of NIPAm/ CB nanoparticles to capture and concentrate interleukin 8 (IL 8), another potential biomarker of disease, was tested in Surine. IL 8 is a member of the class of CXC chemokines and acts as a proinflammatory and proangiogenic cytokine associated with tumor progression, prognosis and survival in several types of cancer [39–41]. Recently, serum and urine levels in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) patients have been investigated and IL-8 levels were found to be significantly higher in patients with NHL than in healthy individuals [33]. IL 8 (8.4 kDa, AbD Serotec) was spiked in 1 mL of Surine at a concentration of 0.004 mg/mL and the solution was incubated with 100 μL (570 μg) of NIPAm/CB particles. Particles were centrifuged and washed as previously described. Particles and supernatant were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining (Fig. 10). It was found that NIPAm/CB particles captured and concentrated IL-8 in solution (Lane 3) and completely removed IL-8 from the supernatant (Lane 2). of 1 mL of 0.01 mg/mL hGH in Surine at pH 5 were incubated with 100μ L (570 μ g) of CB particles and washed as previously described. The particle pellets were subsequently incubated for 15 min with the following elution buffers: 50 mmol/L Tris HCl pH 7 and 8, and 50 mmol/L carbonatebicarbonate pH 10 and centrifuged (7 min, 25 °C, 16–100 rcf). We obtained partial elution at all pH values, with higher yields under more basic conditions (Fig. 11(a)).

2.7 Elution conditions

In order to optimize the elution conditions, aliquots Since our aim was complete retrieval of hGH from dye particles, we tested stronger elution buffers (50% acetonitrile (ACN)/50 mmol/ L NH4HCO3 and 60% ACN/4% NH4OH). Based on the temperature dependence of particle size, we performed the elution for one hour at 38 °C in order to diminish the volume of the particles and facilitate the elution of proteins (Fig. 11(b)). Complete elution was obtained by using 60% ACN/4% NH4OH buffer (Lanes 7, 8, and 9) while nearly complete elution was obtained with 50% ACN/50 mmol/L NH4HCO3 (Lanes 3, 4, and 5).

2.8 Amplification of hGH concentration as measured by Immulite 1000 growth hormone System

The above data demonstrate that the NIPAm/CB nanoparticles were efficiently and rapidly able to capture and concentrate hGH dissolved at known concentrations in synthetic urine. In order to evaluate the concentration factor obtained using the particles as a trap, we used an immunometric assay, Immulite 1000 Growth Hormone System. Immulite 1000 is a solid phase chemiluminescent immunometric assay platform, routinely used for the quantitative measurement of hGH in serum, whose linear range extends from 0.05 to 40 ng/mL. The estimated hGH concentration in a healthy individual's urine is in the range of low pg/mL, which is lower than the detection limit of Immulite. Therefore, we tested the ability of nanoparticles to concentrate hGH from undetectable levels in model solutions. The goal was to raise the concentration of hGH into the linear range for detection by the Immulite assay. Surine is a model for urine that does not contain any protein. In order to better mimic real conditions, proteins were added to Surine at concentrations in the physiological range. As previously discussed, CB has affinity for a large class of proteins that, present in urine, could compete with hGH for the binding sites in the particles, reducing the efficiency of capture [42,43]. In

order to test the binding capacity of NIPAm/CB particles, we spiked recombinant hGH in 1 mL of Surine at pH 5, alone or in the presence of the following proteins: aprotinin (MW 6.5 kDa), lysozyme (MW 14.4 kDa), BSA (MW 66 kDa), trypsin inhibitor (21.5 kDa), and chemokine (C C motif) ligand 28, CCL28 (MW 14 kDa). Each protein was dissolved in Surine at a concentration of 200 ng/mL, the total protein concentration was $1 \mu g/mL$, and the ratio of hGH to total protein in solution was 1:10 000. These solutions were incubated with 100 μL (570 μg) of NIPAm/CB particles. The incubation, washing and elution of particles was performed as previously described. Then, 30 μL of Surine, supernatant and eluate were diluted 1:10 in GH Sample Diluent, and hGH concentration was measured by Immulite assay. As shown in Fig. 12, hGH concentration in Surine in the absence of competitors was raised from 130 pg/mL to 2.93 ng/mL, and hGH was not detectable in the supernatant. In presence of competing proteins, a starting hGH concentration of 60 pg/mL was measured in Surine, while hGH concentration in the eluate was 2.85 ng/mL. This demonstrates that the presence of potential protein competitors does not affect the efficiency of the particles in capturing hGH in solution. Using a dose-saturation study, we evaluated the amount of particles required to collect all the hGH present in solution for a standard urine collection volume. Aliquots of 1 mL of Surine containing 1 ng/mL of recombinant hGH were incubated with different aliquots of particles (20, 50, 100, 200, 400 μL), corresponding to 114, 285, 570, 1140, and 2850 μg, respectively. Washing and elution of captured hGH analyte with 60% ACN/4% NH4OH were performed as described above. Equal volumes (30 μL) of Surine solution and of eluate from the particles were quantified by an Immulite 1000 hGH assay. Samples were diluted 1:10 in GH Sample Diluent. In Fig. 13(a), hGH concentration in the starting solution (0.08 ng/mL) is depicted by a horizontal line; the plateau was reached with an incubation of 570 μg of particles. The same saturation study was performed with recombinant hGH spiked in human urine and cadaveric hGH spiked in human urine. The total protein concentration of human urine was 37.9 μ g/mL \pm 6.67 μ g/mL, as measured by the Bradford Assay. The results are reported in Fig. 13(b) and Fig. 13(c), respectively. Notably, the particles were able to capture recombinant and cadaveric hGH spiked in human urine, showing that no interference was caused by the presence of proteins or any other physiological constituent of urine. Further studies were performed to better understand the amplification power of the NIPAm/CB particles. Appropriate amounts of hGH were dissolved in separate samples of Surine to give concentrations of < 0.05 ng/mL and concentration of 0.3 μg/mL. Aliquots of 1 mL of each solution were incubated with 100 μL (570 μg) of particles. As shown in Fig. 14, when starting from an undetectable hGH concentration $\langle 0.05 \text{ ng/mL} \rangle$, Immulite readings of hGH eluted from the particles gave a value of 2.0 ng/mL. When starting from a 0.3 ng/mL hGH solution, the concentration of hGH in the particle eluate was brought into the linear range of the Immulite assay. In an attempt to mimic conditions more relevant to clinical settings, incubations of an initial volume of Surine of 10 mL were performed with 1 mL (5.7 mg) of particles. The volume ratio between the starting urine solution and the particle eluate was $10 \text{ mL} \div 0.15 \text{ mL} = 67$; therefore the expected maximum amplification factor was 67. When recombinant hGH was spiked at a concentration below the detection limit of the Immulite assay \langle <0.05 ng/mL) the particle sequestration increased the concentration to a value of 1.21 ng/mL. When the initial concentration in Surine was 170 pg/mL, the concentration of hGH measured in the particle eluate was 6.50 ng/mL (concentration factor=38). Starting from an initial hGH concentration in Surine of 800 pg/mL, the concentration measured by the Immulite assay exceeded the upper detection limit (40 ng/ mL) of the assay (Fig. 15) (indicating a concentration factor greater than 50). All the supernatant fractions were completely depleted of hGH; this demonstrated that the particles captured all of the solution phase hGH in the entire volume of sample. As an additional quality check, the concentration of hGH in the particle eluate was found to be linearly dependent on the concentration of hGH in the starting solution of Surine, with coefficients of variation less than 5%. These data demonstrate that NIPAm/CB particles sequestered and concentrated hGH, a very low abundance biomarker in urine, raising the effective sensitivity from below the detection limit of a clinical immunoassay well into the linear range of the assay. A simple

mathematical equation can predict the minimum concentration of hGH detectable by immunoassay following particle-based concentration and elution, knowing the sensitivity of the assay, the initial volume of urine, and the output volume of the elution: Cmin = $T/(V/v)$ = T/c, where Cmin is the minimumdetectable concentration of hGH in urine, T is the lowest limit of sensitivity of the immunoassay, V is the starting volume of urine tested, v is the output volume of the eluate, and $c=V/v$ is the concentration factor given by the ratio between the initial volume of urine and the volume of the eluate. Assuming that we have 100 mL of urine and the protein analytes captured in the particles can be eluted in the volume v of 200 μL, the concentration factor c is 500 and the sensitivity of the immunoassay T is 50 pg/mL; in this case Cmin, the minimum detectable concentration of hGH, will be 0.1 pg/mL with the immunoassay used in this study and with 100 mL of urine as a starting volume.

In order to test the ability of NIPAm/CB particles to collect and concentrate the endogenous hGH in human urine, we collected blood and urine from a healthy donor and tested both body fluids for hGH content. The hGH value in the blood was 1.34 ng/mL, while the urine hGH value was below the detection limit of the Immulite assay \langle <0.05 ng/mL). NIPAm/CB particles (3 mL, 17.1 mg) were incubated with 33 mL of urine (pH 6.5) and processed as previously described. Particle eluate (100 μL) was diluted 1:2 with Sample Diluent and analyzed by Immulite assay. The hGH concentration in the particle eluate was 0.058 ng/mL. We can therefore estimate the initial concentration of hGH in urine as 58 pg/mL/(33 mL \div 0.1 mL) = 58 pg/mL \div 330 = 0.175 pg/mL.

3. Conclusions

The ability to obtain a quantitative measurement of low molecular weight and low abundance proteins in human urine has important implications for clinical diagnosis and research, since many disease-related markers are low molecular weight peptides, proteins and metabolites that pass effectively through kidney glomerular filtration. The normal range of hGH in serum is 1 to 10 ng/mL but the urine concentration is around a thousand times lower, well below the detection limit (50 pg/mL) of most commercially available sensitive clinical hGH immunoassays. We have recently described the application of hydrogel nanoparticles for rapid biomarker concentration in blood [16]. In the present study we developed a new class of Cibacron Blue nanoparticles tailored for urine-based applications and used hGH as an example of a very low abundant biomarker whose routine urine measurement remains elusive because of its low concentration. We synthesized Cibacron Blue bait-loaded hydrogel particles and successfully demonstrated their ability to capture, concentrate and preserve both recombinant and natural (cadaveric) hGH from model synthetic urine solutions, and from actual human urine. The optimized elution method we developed, based on strong base-containing buffers, was totally compatible with clinical grade immunological assays. The amount of hGH eluted from the particles was successfully measured by Immulite 1000 assay, which is routinely used for clinical serum analysis. Originally undetectable concentrations of hGH (below 0.05 ng/ mL) in a standard assay volume were dramatically increased, reaching a reliable detectable concentration (2.0 ng/mL). These findings suggest that hydrogel particles functionalized with Cibacron Blue dye constitute a promising technology for the preprocessing of hGH in urine prior to its measurement by means of standard immunological techniques routinely used in clinical settings. The sensitivity in the linear range of a standard clinical assay for 100 mL of urine is 0.1 pg/mL. The physiological levels of hGH in human urine are poorly understood because it has not been readily possible to measure this analyte in the urine. In this study, urine from a healthy donor whose serum hGH concentration was 1.34 ng/mL was studied in order detect endogenous hGH. Starting from a volume of 33 mL, the particle eluate had an hGH concentration of 58 pg/mL, giving an estimated initial concentration of hGH in the urine of 0.175 pg/mL. The binding ability of the NIPAm/CB particles is not restricted to hGH; indeed we demonstrated that IL-8, a potential disease biomarker found in urine and blood, was

successfully captured and concentrated by the particles. Hydrogel particles are highly stable in either dried or hydrated states. Thus, it would be feasible to preload the particles into a urine collection vessel so that clinically relevant small proteins could be immediately sequestered and preserved from the entire volume of collected urine and made available for analysis by clinical grade immunoassays. The nanotechnology described here appears to have the desired precision, accuracy, and sensitivity to support a large scale clinical study of urine candidate biomarkers.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the generous support of Dr. Vikas Chandhoke and the College of Life Sciencesat George Mason University. This work was partly supported by the Italian Istituto Superiore di Sanita' in the framework of the Italy/ USA cooperation agreement between the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, George Mason University and the Italian Ministry of Public Health. This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy grant number DE-FC52-04NA25455. The authors acknowledge stimulating discussions with Prof. Giovanni Muto.

References

- 1. Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, Ferreira L, Lorenzo I. Urinary proteomics. Clin Chim Acta 2007;375:49–56. [PubMed: 16942764]
- 2. Barratt J, Topham P. Urine proteomics: The present and future of measuring urinary protein components in disease. CMAJ 2007;177:361–368. [PubMed: 17698825]
- 3. Pisitkun T, Johnstone R, Knepper MA. Discovery of urinary biomarkers. Mol Cell Proteomics 2006;5:1760–1771. [PubMed: 16837576]
- 4. Kreunin P, Zhao J, Rosser C, Urquidi V, Lubman DM, Goodison S. Bladder cancer associated glycoprotein signatures revealed by urinary proteomic profiling. J Proteome Res 2007;6:2631–2639. [PubMed: 17518487]
- 5. Moghimi SM, Hunter AC, Murray JC. Nanomedicine: Current status and future prospects. Faseb J 2005;19:311–330. [PubMed: 15746175]
- 6. Jain KK. Applications of nanobiotechnology in clinical diagnostics. Clin Chem 2007;53:2002–2009. [PubMed: 17890442]
- 7. Tanaka T, Sato E, Hirokawa Y, Hirotsu S, Peetermans J. Critical kinetics of volume phase transition of gels. Phys Rev Lett 1985;55:2455. [PubMed: 10032149]
- 8. Jones CD, Lyon LA. Synthesis and characterization of multiresponsive core-shell microgels. Macromolecules 2000;33:8301–8306.
- 9. Duracher D, Sauzedde F, Elaissari A, Perrin A, Pichot C. Cationic amino-containing nisopropylacrylamide- styrene copolymer latex particles: 1-Particle size and morphology vs polymerization process. Colloid Polym Sci 1998;276:219–231.
- 10. Suzuki A, Tanaka T. Phase transition in polymer gels induced by visible light. Nature 1990;346:345– 347.
- 11. Tanaka T, Nishio I, Sun S-T, Ueno-Nishio S. Collapse of gels in an electric field. Science 1982;218:467–469. [PubMed: 17808541]
- 12. Hoffman AS. Hydrogels for biomedical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2002;54:3–12. [PubMed: 11755703]
- 13. Liu J, Pelton R, Hrymak AN. Properties of poly (n-isopropylacrylamide)-grafted colloidal silica. J Colloid Interf Sci 2000;227:408–411.
- 14. Cavalieri F, Chiessi E, Villa R, Vigano L, Zaffaroni N, Telling MF, Paradossi G. Novel PVA-based hydrogel microparticles for doxorubicin delivery. Biomacromolecules 2008;9:1967–1973. [PubMed: 18533701]
- 15. Zhang XZ, Jo Lewis P, Chu CC. Fabrication and characterization of a smart drug delivery system: Microsphere in hydrogel. Biomaterials 2005;26:3299–3309. [PubMed: 15603825]
- 16. Luchini A, Geho DH, Bishop B, Tran D, Xia C, Dufour RL, Jones CD, Espina V, Patanarut A, Zhou W, et al. Smart hydrogel particles: Biomarker harvesting: One-step affinity purification, size exclusion, and protection against degradation. Nano Lett 2008;8:350–361. [PubMed: 18076201]

- 17. Tuncel A, Ozdemir A. Thermally reversible VPBANIPAM copolymer gels for nucleotide adsorption. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 2000;11:817–831. [PubMed: 11211094]
- 18. Ivanov AE, Galaev IY, Mattiasson B. Interaction of sugars, polysaccharides and cells with boronate containing copolymers: From solution to polymer brushes. J Mol Recognit 2006;19:322–331. [PubMed: 16865663]
- 19. Popii V, Baumann G. Laboratory measurement of growth hormone. Clin Chim Acta 2004;350:1–16. [PubMed: 15530455]
- 20. Bidlingmaier M, Strasburger CJ. Growth hormone assays: Current methodologies and their limitations. Pituitary 2007;10:115–119. [PubMed: 17429592]
- 21. Saugy M, Robinson N, Saudan C, Baume N, Avois L, Mangin P. Human growth hormone doping in sport. Br J Sports Med 2006;40 (Suppl 1):i35–39. [PubMed: 16799101]
- 22. Ehrnborg C, Rosen T. Physiological and pharmacological basis for the ergogenic effects of growth hormone in elite sports. Asian J Androl 2008;10:373–383. [PubMed: 18385899]
- 23. Barroso O, Mazzoni I, Rabin O. Hormone abuse in sports: The antidoping perspective. Asian J Androl 2008;10:391–402. [PubMed: 18385901]
- 24. Rigamonti AE, Cella SG, Marazzi N, Di Luigi L, Sartorio A, Muller EE. Growth hormone abuse: Methods of detection. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2005;16:160–166. [PubMed: 15860412]
- 25. Albini CH, Sotos J, Sherman B, Johanson A, Celniker A, Hopwood N, Quattrin T, Mills BJ, Macgillivray MH. Diagnostic significance of urinary growth hormone measurements in children with growth failure: Correlation between serum and urine growth hormone. Pediatr Res 1991;29:619– 622. [PubMed: 1866220]
- 26. Hourd P, Edwards R. Current methods for the measurement of growth hormone in urine. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1994;40:155–170. [PubMed: 8137512]
- 27. Butt DA, Sochett EB. Urinary growth hormone: A screening test for growth hormone sufficiency. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1997;47:447–454. [PubMed: 9404443]
- 28. Saugy M, Cardis C, Schweizer C, Veuthey JL, Rivier L. Detection of human growth hormone doping in urine: Out of competition tests are necessary. J Chromatogr B Biomed Appl 1996;687:201–211. [PubMed: 9001966]
- 29. Denizli A, Piskin E. Dye-ligand affinity systems. J Biochem Bioph Meth 2001;49:391–416.
- 30. Wakui H, Kobayashi R, Itoh H, Imai H, Nakamoto Y, Miura AB. High yield purification of the complexforming glycoprotein in urine from normal and abnormal subjects. Clin Chem 1989;35:577– 581. [PubMed: 2467763]
- 31. Sereikaite J, Bumelis VA. Examination of dye-protein interaction by gel-permeation chromatography. Biomed Chromatogr 2006;20:195–199. [PubMed: 16161178]
- 32. Sutkeviciute I, Sereikaite J, Bumelis VA. Analysis of cibacron Blue F3G-A interaction with therapeutic proteins by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Biomed Chromatogr 2008;22:1001–1007. [PubMed: 18506902]
- 33. Lee HL, Eom HS, Yun T, Kim HJ, Park WS, Nam BH, Moon-Woo S, Lee DH, Kong SY. Serum and urine levels of interleukin-8 in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Cytokine 2008;43:71–75. [PubMed: 18502145]
- 34. Huang G, Gao J, Hu Z, St John JV, Ponder BC, Moro D. Controlled drug release from hydrogel nanoparticle networks. J Control Release 2004;94:303–311. [PubMed: 14744482]
- 35. Pecora, R. Dynamic light scattering: Applications of photo correlation spectroscopy. Springer; 1985.
- 36. Jin SH, Lee YY, Kang HY. Methyl-betacyclodextrin, a specific cholesterol-binding agent, inhibits melanogenesis in human melanocytes through activation of ERK. Arch Dermatol Res 2008;300:451– 454. [PubMed: 18478239]
- 37. Cai W, Yao X, Shao X, Pan Z. Bimodal complexations of steroids with cyclodextrins by a flexible docking algorithm. J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem 2005;51:41–51.
- 38. Borst C, Holzgrabe U. Enantioseparation of dopa and related compounds by cyclodextrin-modified microemulsion electrokinetic chromatography. J Chromatogr A 2008;1204:191–196. [PubMed: 18538332]
- 39. Ugurel S, Rappl G, Tilgen W, Reinhold U. Increased serum concentration of angiogenic factors in malignant melanoma patients correlates with tumor progression and survival. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:577–583. [PubMed: 11208853]
- 40. Xie K. Interleukin-8 and human cancer biology. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2001;12:375–391. [PubMed: 11544106]
- 41. Ren Y, Poon RT, Tsui HT, Chen WH, Li Z, Lau C, Yu WC, Fan ST. Interleukin-8 serum levels in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: Correlations with clinicopathological features and prognosis. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:5996–6001. [PubMed: 14676125]
- 42. Gall MA, Hougaard P, Borch-Johnsen K, Parving HH. Risk factors for development of incipient and overt diabetic nephropathy in patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: Prospective, observational study. BMJ 1997;314:783–788. [PubMed: 9080995]
- 43. Carroll MF, Temte JL. Proteinuria in adults: A diagnostic approach. Am Fam Physician 2000;62:1333–1340. [PubMed: 11011862]

Figure 1.

Amplification strategy for immunological detection of human growth hormone (hGH) in urine. Particles are mixed with urine, subsequently capture hGH, and are separated via centrifugation. Elution of hGH from particles is performed with an acetonitrile (ACN)-NH4OH buffer. Immunoassay is performed on hGH eluted from the particles

Figure 2.

Chemical reactions for the synthesis of particles: (a) allylamine functionalized particles are created; (b) Cibacron Blue F3G-A dye is covalently incorporated into the particles via the amino group

Figure 3.

Characterization of Cibacron Blue F3G-A loaded particles: (a) atomic force microscopy (AFM) image showing size homogeneity; (b) picture of 2 mL vials of NIPAm/CB and NIPAm/AA particles

Figure 4.

Photon correlation spectroscopy analysis of dependence of particle size on temperature: (a) plot of average values of light scattering measurements of particle diameter at different temperatures. Hydrogel particles are thermoresponsive; (b) histograms showing the amplitude of the particle size distribution at each temperature

Figure 5.

Evaluation of size exclusion properties of NIPAm/CB particles by SDS-PAGE. Particles were incubated with a mixture of proteins with a molecular weight range between 66 and 6.5 kDa, dissolved in Surine. Lane 1 protein mixture; Lane 2 supernatant (Out), Lane 3 particle content (In). Only proteins with a molecular weight lower than 45 KDa were trapped by the particles; ovalbumin and BSA were completely excluded

Figure 6.

SDS-PAGE analysis of particles carrying different baits and their ability to harvest hGH. Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 Cibacron Blue 5% loaded particle supernatant (Out); Lane 3 Cibacron Blue 5% loaded particle content (In); Lane 4 Cibacron Blue 10% loaded particle supernatant (Out); Lane 5 Cibacron Blue 10% loaded particle content (In); Lane 6 allylamine particle supernatant (Out); Lane 7 allylamine particle content (In); Lane 8 beta-cyclodextrin loaded particle supernatant (Out); Lane 9 beta-cyclodextrin loaded particle content (In); Lane 10 acrylic acid particle supernatant (Out); Lane 11 acrylic acid particle content (In). Cibacron Blue 5% and 10% had the best affinity for hGH of all the particles tested and both completely depleted the supernatant and achieved a high degree of concentration of the hGH

Figure 7.

SDS PAGE analysis of particles incubated with solutions of different pH values, in the presence of urea and salts. (a) Study of the effect of pH, urea and KCl on particle uptake of hGH. Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 0.01 mg/mL hGH solution at pH 4; Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 4 (Out); Lane 4 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 4 (In); Lane 5 0.01 mg/ mL hGH solution at pH 5; Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 5 (Out); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 5 (In); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 6 (Out); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 6 (In); Lane 10 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 7 (Out); Lane 11 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 7 (In); Lane 12 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 8 (Out); Lane 13 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 8 (In); Lane 14 0.01 mg/ mL hGH solution at pH 10; Lane 15 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 10 (Out); Lane 16 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 10 (In); Lane 17 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant in presence of urea (Out); Lane 18 NIPAm/CB particle content in presence of urea (In); Lane 19 NIPAm/ CB particle supernatant in presence of KCl (Out); Lane 20 NIPAm/CB particle content in presence of KCl (In). Uptake of hGH is higher at lower pH and it is not hindered by the presence of urea or KCl. (b) SDS PAGE analysis of NIPAm/CB particles incubated with hGH diluted in synthetic urine (Surine) at different pH values. Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 0.01 mg/mL hGH solution in Surine; Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 4 (Out); Lane 4 NIPAm/ CB particle content at pH 4 (In); Lane 5 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 5 (Out); Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 5 (In); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 6.7 (Out); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 6.7 (In); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant at pH 8 (Out); Lane 10 NIPAm/CB particle content at pH 8 (In). Particles successfully sequestered hGH from solution, and the efficiency was higher at lower pH

Figure 8.

SDS PAGE analysis of time studies. (a) Uptake time course. Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 1 min incubation (Out); Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content after 1 min incubation (In); Lane 4 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 5 min incubation (Out); Lane 5 NIPAm/CB particle content after 5 min incubation (In); Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 20 min incubation (Out); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle content after 20 min incubation (In); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 40 min incubation (Out); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle content after 40 min incubation (In); Lane 10 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 60 min incubation (Out); Lane 11 NIPAm/CB particle content after 60 min incubation (In). The sequestration process was very fast, with the majority of hGH being captured by particles after one minute. (b) Preservation time course. Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 1 h (Out); Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content after 1 h incubation (In); Lane 4 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 4 h incubation (Out); Lane 5 NIPAm/CB particle content after 4 h incubation (In); Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 24 h incubation (Out); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle content after 24 h

incubation (In); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant after 48 h incubation (Out); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle content after 48 h incubation (In). Once captured by particles, hGH remains stable up to at least 48 h

Figure 9.

SDS PAGE analysis of cadaveric hGH spiked in Surine and incubated with particles. Lane 1 0.01 mg/mL cadaveric hGH solution in Surine; Lane 2 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant (Out); Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content (In). Particles sequestered all the three major isoforms of hGH (22, 20, and 17 kDa) and increased the concentration of the minor isoforms, so that they could be detected by silver staining

Figure 10.

SDS-PAGE analysis of NIPAm/CB particles incubated with IL-8 diluted in Surine. Lane 1) IL-8 Control, Lane 2 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant (Out), Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content (In)

Figure 11.

SDS PAGE analysis for optimizing the elution conditions.(a) Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 0.01 mg/mL hGH solution in Surine; Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content after elution at pH 7 (In); Lane 4 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 7 (Eluate); Lane 5 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 7 (Eluate); Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle content after elution at pH 8 (In); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 8 (Eluate); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 8 (Eluate); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle content after elution at pH 10 (In); Lane 10 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 10 (Eluate); Lane 11 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted at pH 10 (Eluate). Partial elution of hGH from particles was obtained with buffers at pH 7, 8, and 10. (b) Lane 1 hGH control; Lane 2 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant (Out); Lane 3 NIPAm/CB particle content after elution with acetonitrile/NH4HCO3(In); Lane 4 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted with acetonitrile/NH4HCO3 (Eluate); Lane 5 NIPAm/CB particle

content eluted with acetonitrile/NH4HCO3(Eluate); Lane 6 NIPAm/CB particle supernatant (Out); Lane 7 NIPAm/CB particle content after elution with acetonitrile/NH4OH (In); Lane 8 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted with acetonitrile/NH4OH (Eluate); Lane 9 NIPAm/CB particle content eluted with acetonitrile/NH4OH (Eluate). Complete elution of hGH from particles was obtained with acetonitrile/NH4OH

Figure 12.

Immulite assay readings for NIPAm/CB particles incubated with two different urine models containing hGH and Surine with and without interfering proteins. The efficiency with which the particles concentrated hGH in Surine is not affected by the presence of proteins that may compete with the hormone for binding to the CB bait

Figure 13.

Particle dose response for capture of hGH. (a) Recombinant hGH was spiked in Surine and increasing amounts of particles were incubated with the analyte containing solution. The plateau is reached at about 600 μg. (b) Recombinant hGH was spiked in human urine and increasing amounts of particles were added to the solution. The plateau was reached at about 600 μg. (c) Cadaveric hGH was spiked in human urine and recovered with particles

Figure 14.

Effective amplification of urine hGH immunoassay sensitivity. Particles raised the concentration of recombinant hGH above the detection limit of the Immulite and greatly amplified the concentration of a solution in the linear range. UD means undetectable (below the detection limits of the Immulite assay)

Figure 15.

Effective amplification of urine hGH immunoassay sensitivity. Dose response study on recombinant hGH spiked in 10 ml of Surine. Supernatants are depleted and hGH concentration in the particle eluate is linearly dependent on hGH concentration in Surine. UD means undetectable (below the detection limits of the Immulite assay)