



Published in final edited form as:

Dev Cell. 2010 April 20; 18(4): 506–507. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.04.005.

Realizing the Promise of RNAi High Throughput Screening

Chris Bakal^{1,*} and Norbert Perrimon^{2,**}

¹ Dynamical Cell Systems Team, Section of Cell and Molecular Biology, The Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London, SW3 6JB, UK

² Department of Genetics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, 77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Recently reporting in *Nature*, Collinet et al. describes the application of quantitative multiparametric methods to a genome-wide RNAi screen for regulators of endocytosis. The study illustrates the power of this approach beyond the identification of new endocytic components to providing insights into the design principles of the endocytic system.

One of the goals of systems biology is to understand how specific cellular processes operate and are integrated in the overall higher order unit—the cell. In recent years, genome-wide RNAi high-throughput screens (HTS) have provided the means to functionally interrogate the entire genome. Arguably, the most exciting application of HTS RNAi screening is not simply to use the methodology to identify new components of a biological process, but to gain a broader understanding of how the regulation of a single process is dynamically coordinated with others (Mathey-Prevot and Perrimon, 2006). However, the promise of using HTS RNAi technology to gain systems level understanding and to describe emergent cellular behaviors has been slow to be realized, largely because of setbacks due to the high rate of false positives created by initially unexpected off-target effects (OTEs) of RNAi reagents. In a new study recently published in *Nature*, Marino Zerial and colleagues make use of extensive resources and elegant data analysis methods to drastically limit OTEs, thus providing a striking example of how a genome-wide HTS screen performed in a rigorous and quantitative manner can lead to systems-wide insights into a fundamental cellular process—in this case, that of endocytosis (Collinet et al., 2010).

Endocytosis is a means by which cells absorb molecules and particles (such as nutrients, signaling molecules, and pathogens) and can occur through two major routes: clathrin mediated and non-clathrin mediated (Scita and Di Fiore, 2010; Sorkin and von Zastrow, 2009). Almost all activated signaling receptors are internalized by endocytosis, but once internalized, they can have very different destinations. Activated receptors can be targeted for degradation and signal attenuation following endocytosis. Alternatively, endocytosis can result in receptor recycling, thus maintaining signaling activity, in some cases by “refreshing” activated receptors through promoting the disassociation of their activating ligands. The endosome can also act as a platform for the assembly of signaling complexes that could not be assembled at the membrane, thus acting to modulate and alter signals originating from the membrane. These

*Correspondence: cbakal@icr.ac.uk.

**Correspondence: perrimon@rascal.med.harvard.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

and other examples demonstrate that endocytosis plays a key role in regulating signaling dynamics. In addition to providing mechanistic insight into the endocytic process itself, Collinet et al. (2010) find that endocytosis is under the control of multiple cellular signals such as Wnt, TGF- β , Notch, and adhesion, implying that endocytosis serves as a central point where the activity of distinct signaling subnetworks converges and engage in cross-talk.

To investigate how endocytosis is regulated, Collinet et al. (2010) quantified in cultured mammalian cells the contribution of all genes to two different types of endocytosis in a multiplexed fashion by monitoring the endocytosis of the transferrin receptor (TF), which is recycled back to the plasma membrane, and the endocytosis of epidermal growth factor (EGF), which is transported to the lysosomes for degradation. Following inhibition of individual genes by RNAi, the authors imaged cells using high-throughput confocal microscopy. To limit OTEs, the authors screened two siRNA libraries and a third endoribonuclease-prepared siRNA (esiRNA). In total, 161,492 si/esiRNAs were screened corresponding to 7–8 si/esiRNAs per gene. To date, no other RNAi screen in any organism has been performed at such a scale.

As there are a diverse number of potential phenotypes that result following gene inhibition (e.g., changes in endosome size, number, or distribution in cellular space), image analysis algorithms were used by the authors to describe multiple parameters of endosomal morphology and organization and to derive a “pheno-score.” Such scores are vectors composed of multiple features that uniquely describe the effects of a specific si/esiRNA treatment on endocytosis. Due to the fact that multiple si/esiRNAs were used to target the same gene, Collinet et al. (2010) implemented a method to calculate the most probable profile (the mode of the posteriori joint probability distribution) for each gene considering all individual si/esiRNA profiles. Combining RNAi score in such a way has the beneficial effect of eliminating the potential contribution of OTEs to a gene profile.

The pheno-scores and gene profiles described by Collinet et al. (2010) are in fact similar to the Phenoprint (Piano et al., 2002) and Quantitative Morphological Signatures (QMSes) (Bakal et al., 2007) that have been used previously to describe the phenotypes in RNAi screens for early *C. elegans* development and cell morphology, respectively. Multiparametric phenotypic signatures provide insight regarding the architecture of signaling networks and how different aspects or morphological regulation are controlled in space and time (Bakal et al., 2007; Gunsalus et al., 2005; Sönnichsen et al., 2005). For example, the protein products of two genes with highly correlated phenotypic signatures are more likely to exist in the same signaling complex (Gunsalus et al., 2005). Collinet et al. (2010) observe through grouping of gene profiles that the activity of numerous signaling networks is coupled to the regulation of the endocytic process. Moreover, in a significant conceptual leap forward in the analysis of image-based data, the authors use phenotypic signatures in a completely novel fashion to describe the design principles of the endocytic system. Using Bayesian analysis, they describe statistical relationship between endosome size, number, the distance of endosome from the nuclei, cargo content, and cargo concentration, and how such relationships differ between TF and EGF endocytosis.

The work by Collinet et al. (2010) is important not only because it provides new understanding of endocytosis, but because it illustrates that when quantitative multiparametric methods are deployed to screen multiple RNAi libraries, such screens can provide new insights into complex cellular processes. Thus, this study is truly an example of RNAi screening technology delivering on its early promise. However, as emphasized by the authors, “this exploratory endeavor required uncommon resources for a primary screen,” and it is important to realize that RNAi HTS will deliver systems-wide information only if done at a level that permits high quality data to be generated. A lesson for laboratories interested in deploying HTS RNAi screens is that data quality should be favored over the total number of genes. Importantly, such

studies do not need to be genome-wide. For example, high-confidence regulators of the Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK) pathway were identified through a focused screen for kinases and phosphatases that involved the iterative screening of 2–9 RNAi reagents per gene over 13 different screens (Bakal et al., 2008). Finally, it is important to stress that the extraordinary amount of biological and mechanistic insight generated by the study from Zerial and colleagues is derived though the analysis of images alone; this thus demonstrates that such datasets are an incredibly rich resource and also emphasizes that close collaborations between biologists, computer scientists, statisticians, and mathematicians are essential to develop and implement novel methods to mine such resources.

References

- Bakal C, Aach J, Church G, Perrimon N. *Science* 2007;316:1753–1756. [PubMed: 17588932]
- Bakal C, Linding R, Llense F, Heffern E, Martin-Blanco E, Pawson T, Perrimon N. *Science* 2008;322:453–456. [PubMed: 18927396]
- Collinet C, Stöter M, Bradshaw CR, Samusik N, Rink JC, Kenski D, Habermann B, Buchholz F, Henschel R, Mueller MS, et al. *Nature* 2010;464:243–249. [PubMed: 20190736]
- Gunsalus KC, Ge H, Schetter AJ, Goldberg DS, Han JD, Hao T, Berriz GF, Bertin N, Huang J, Chuang LS, et al. *Nature* 2005;436:861–865. [PubMed: 16094371]
- Mathey-Prevot B, Perrimon N. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2006;71:141–148. [PubMed: 17381290]
- Piano F, Schetter AJ, Morton DG, Gunsalus KC, Reinke V, Kim SK, Kempthues KJ. *Curr Biol* 2002;12:1959–1964. [PubMed: 12445391]
- Scita G, Di Fiore PP. *Nature* 2010;463:464–473. [PubMed: 20110990]
- Sönnichsen B, Koski LB, Walsh A, Marschall P, Neumann B, Brehm M, Alleaume AM, Artelt J, Bettencourt P, Cassin E, et al. *Nature* 2005;434:462–469. [PubMed: 15791247]
- Sorkin A, von Zastrow M. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009;10:609–622. [PubMed: 19696798]