

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 30.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2009 December 30; 131(51): 18230-18231. doi:10.1021/ja908916z.

Studying a Cell Division Amidase Using Defined Peptidoglycan Substrates

Tania J. Lupoli[†], Tohru Taniguchi[†], Tsung-Shing Wang[†], Deborah L. Perlstein[‡], Suzanne Walker^{*,‡}, and Daniel E. Kahne^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, and Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Abstract



Three periplasmic *N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases are critical for hydrolysis of septal peptidoglycan, which enables cell separation. The amidases cleave the amide bond between the lactyl group of muramic acid and the amino group of L-alanine to release a peptide moiety. Cell division amidases remain largely uncharacterized because suitable substrates to study them have not been available. Here, we use synthetic peptidoglycan fragments of defined composition to characterize the catalytic activity and substrate specificity of the important *E. coli* cell division amidase, AmiA. We show that AmiA is a zinc metalloprotease that requires at least a tetrasaccharide glycopeptide substrate for cleavage. The approach outlined here can be applied to many other cell wall hydrolases and should enable more detailed studies of accessory proteins proposed to regulate amidase activity in cells.

The bacterial cell wall (murein sacculus) is an essential cellular component that maintains cell shape and protects against lysis due to high internal pressure. It is composed of crosslinked strands of peptidoglycan (PG) that form a mesh-like structure around the inner membrane (Figure 1a).¹ Although it provides stability, the cell wall is a dynamic structure; it is continuously modified by a number of synthetic and degradative enzymes that are finely tuned to allow the sacculus to grow and divide without lysing. The action of degradative enzymes

suzanne_walker@hms.harvard.edu; kahne@chemistry.harvard.edu.

[†]Harvard University

[‡]Harvard Medical School.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures including synthesis of peptide standard, cloning and purification of proteins, rate and gel electrophoresis analysis. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

Lupoli et al.

known as murein hydrolases is especially critical during the late stages of cell division. In *Escherichia coli*, three periplasmic *N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidases, AmiA, AmiB, and AmiC, have been shown to be particularly important for hydrolysis of septal PG, which enables cell separation.² The amidases cleave the amide bond between the lactyl group of muramic acid and the amino group of L-alanine to release a peptide moiety (Figure 1b). Cell division amidases remain largely uncharacterized because suitable substrates to study them have not been available.³ Existing assays to monitor amidase activity are mainly based on in-gel degradation of isolated murein sacculi, which are heterogeneous, insoluble, and contain peptide chains of varying length, composition, and degree of crosslinking.¹ This variability complicates any attempts to analyze amidase substrate preferences and possible modes of activation.

We have developed methods to synthesize the PG precursors Lipid II (1)4a–b and Lipid IV $(2)^{4c}$, and have established enzymatic methods to convert these substrates to longer glycan strands (nPG, **3**) that vary only in chain length.4c–e Here, we use these defined PG substrates to characterize the *E. coli* cell division amidase, AmiA.⁵ The approach described, which is applicable to many other amidases and cell wall hydrolases, enables a more detailed understanding of the activity and regulation of this important class of enzymes.

AmiA is a member of the zinc-dependent amidase 3 family, and one member, *B. polymyxa* va. *colistinus* CwlV, has been crystallized (PDB ID 1JWQ), allowing us to predict the active site residues involved in metal binding (Figure 2a).⁶ We overexpressed and purified C-terminally His-tagged AmiA⁷ and incubated it with nPG containing a radiolabel on the pentapeptide (**3**) in the presence and absence of zinc. Reactions were analyzed using paper chromatography.⁸ Unreacted nPG remains at the baseline, cleaved peptides migrate, and conversion is quantified by scintillation counting. The peptide product was verified using an authentic standard. Control experiments using lysozyme confirmed that nPG (**3**) could be cleaved by a PG hydrolase and that the reaction could be analyzed by paper chromatography (Figure S1). Without added zinc, AmiA exhibited no initial activity; in the presence of Zn²⁺, the radiolabeled peptide was cleaved from nPG (**3**) in a concentration-dependent manner (Figure 2a, right, wt rates). Adding zinc chelators such as EDTA and 1,10-phenanthroline prevented hydrolysis, but the reaction could be rescued by supplying an excess of zinc (Figure S2).

We also examined the initial rates of several mutants made based on comparisons of amidase family 3 catalytic domains. E242 is predicted to act as a general base catalyst, and H65, E80 and H133 are proposed to coordinate zinc to form the active site (Figure 2a). Mutation of any of these residues results in a full loss of activity, except for E80A, which suffered a 100-fold decrease in rate compared to wt (Figure S3). Altering residues D69 and D109, which are also highly conserved but are not predicted to be essential for activity, produced more modest decreases in reaction rate (about 10-fold less than wt). We have concluded that AmiA is a zinc-dependent metalloprotease with an active site configuration similar to CwIV.

The substrate requirements of CwIV, AmiA, or related autolytic amidases have not been determined: until now, the murein sacculus was the only reported substrate for these enzymes. In order to assess the substrate requirements of AmiA, we tested defined PG fragments of different glycan chain lengths that represent various stages of PG synthesis in the periplasm (Figure 2b). The substrates were incubated with purified enzyme under similar conditions and the reactions were analyzed by gel electrophoresis (Figure 2c).⁹ AmiA cleaves substrates **2** and **3** to produce a common low molecular weight band, which was identified as the released pentapeptide (**4**) by correlation to an authentic standard using HPLC and electrophoretic mobility (Figure S4). AmiA does not cleave the peptide from **1** (compare lanes 2 and 4 to lane 6), and a maximum of 50% of the radiolabeled peptide can be cleaved from **2** (data not shown). These results show that Lipid II (**1**) is not a substrate and suggest that AmiA contains an extended binding pocket that recognizes sugars on either side of the glycopeptide substrate.

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 30.

Consistent with this idea, disaccharide-peptide fragments obtained by treating nPG (**3**) with lytic transglycosylases are also not cleaved by AmiA (Figure S5). Hence, our results show that AmiA requires at least a tetrasaccharide as a substrate.

The use of compositionally well-defined PG polymer substrates has allowed us to characterize a cell wall amidase, *E. coli* AmiA, involved in PG degradation during division. The turnover number for cleavage of the polymer is 0.05 min⁻¹ (Figure S3). Since cleavage rates from sacculi by similar purified enzymes have not been reported, there is no *in vitro* data for comparison. ^{3d} Although this rate is slower than estimates required to support bacterial growth, ¹⁰ slow rates are typically observed *in vitro* for the enzymes that synthesize glycan strands.¹¹ A possible explanation is that cell wall synthetic and degradative enzymes are proposed to operate as components of multi-protein machines with tightly-coordinated activities. Faster *in vitro* rates may be observed when other important components of the system are reconstituted. Some protein candidates for amidase regulation have been suggested, but it is unclear if they simply recruit amidases to the appropriate cellular location or if they influence activity through direct interaction with the amidase or its substrate.^{1,12} Access to homogeneous substrates rather than crude cell wall fractions provides the capability to evaluate amidase kinetics in response to prospective activators and could, in turn, help illuminate the nature of these complex interactions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM066174).

References

- 1. (a) Holtje JV. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998;62:181–203. [PubMed: 9529891] (b) Vollmer W, Joris B, Charlier P, Foster S. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2008;32:259–286. [PubMed: 18266855] (c) Peptide side chains in synthetic PG fragments were simplified to L-Lys in place of m-DAP (see 4e).
- Heidrich C, Templin MF, Ursinus A, Merdanovic M, Berger J, Schwarz H, de Pedro MA, Holtje JV. Mol Microbiol 2001;41:167–178. [PubMed: 11454209]
- 3. MurNAc-peptides have been used to characterize PG recycling amidases but are not substrates for division amidases. Recycling amidase assays: (a) Van Heijenoort Y, Van Heijenoort J. FEBS Lett 1971;15:137–141. [PubMed: 11945831] (b) Lee M, Zhang W, Hesek D, Noll BC, Boggess B, Mobashery S. J Am Chem Soc 2009;131:8742–8743. [PubMed: 19496566] Assays using sacculi: (c) Ghuysen JM, Tipper DJ, Strominger JL. Methods Enzymol 1966;8:685–699. (d) Margot P, Roten CA, Karamata D. Anal Biochem 1991;198:15–18. [PubMed: 1686374] Broad substrate scope: (e) Uehara T, Park JT. J Bacteriol 2007;189:5634–5641. [PubMed: 17526703]
- 4. (a) Men H, Park P, Ge M, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 1998;120:2484–2485. (b) Ye XY, Lo MC, Brunner L, Walker D, Kahne D, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:3155–3156. [PubMed: 11457035] (c) Zhang Y, Fechter EJ, Wang TS, Barrett D, Walker S, Kahne DE. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:3080–3081. [PubMed: 17323951] (d) Perlstein DL, Zhang Y, Wang TS, Kahne DE, Walker S. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:12674–12675. [PubMed: 17914829] (e) Wang TS, Manning SA, Walker S, Kahne D. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:14068–14069. [PubMed: 18834124]
- 5. Chung HS, Yao Z, Goehring NW, Beckwith J, Kahne D. submitted.
- 6. Shida T, Hattori H, Ise F, Sekiguchi J. J Biol Chem 2001;276:28140–28146. [PubMed: 11375403]
- 7. See Supporting Information (Figure S3).
- (a) Anderson JS, Matsuhashi M, Haskin MA, Strominger JL. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1965;53:881– 889. [PubMed: 14324547] (b) Chen L, Walker D, Sun B, Hu Y, Walker S, Kahne D. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:5658–5663. [PubMed: 12714684]

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 December 30.

- Barrett D, Wang TS, Yuan Y, Zhang Y, Kahne D, Walker S. J Biol Chem 2007;282:31964–31971. [PubMed: 17704540]
- Reported rates for peptidases that cleave non-polymeric PG are faster (also see 3b): (a) Hesek D, Suvorov M, Morio K, Lee M, Brown S, Vakulenko SB, Mobashery S. J Org Chem 2004;69:778– 784. [PubMed: 14750804] (b) Firczuk M, Bochtler M. Biochemistry 2007;46:120–128. [PubMed: 17198381]
- 11. Sauvage E, Kerff F, Terrak M, Ayala JA, Charlier P. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2008;32:234–258. [PubMed: 18266856]
- 12. (a) Bernhardt TG, de Boer PA. Mol Microbiol 2003;48:1171–1182. [PubMed: 12787347] (b) Uehara T, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. J Bacteriol 2009;191:5094–5107. [PubMed: 19525345]

Lupoli et al.



Figure 1.

E. coli amidases cleave peptide crosslinks from peptidoglycan (PG): (a) schematic representation of an *E. coli* cell. Amidase activity is required for cell separation to occur during division; (b) chemical structures of synthetic labeled PG fragments Lipid II (1), Lipid IV (2) and uncrosslinked (nascent) PG (3).^{1c}

Lupoli et al.



Figure 2.

Analysis of AmiA substrate preferences and catalytic features: (a) predicted zinc-binding site of AmiA based on alignment with *B. polymyxa* var. *colistinus* CwlV (left); comparison of relative cleavage rates of **3** (7.2 μ M) by mutants of AmiA (4.0 μ M) (right); (b) reaction scheme for AmiA cleavage of [¹⁴C]-pentapeptide (**4**) from potential PG substrates that differ in length; (c) gel electrophoresis of **3** (lanes 1, 2), **2** (lanes 3, 4), and **1** (lanes 5, 6) without and with AmiA addition, respectively, under similar reaction conditions. AmiA cleaves **3** and **2**, but not **1**, to produce a new band that represents **4**.