



Published in final edited form as:

J Adolesc Health. 2010 June ; 46(6): 603–606. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.11.221.

Comparing Racial/Ethnic Differences in Mental Health Service Use Among High-Need Subpopulations Across Clinical and School-Based Settings

Janet R. Cummings, Ph.D.[‡], Ninez A. Ponce, Ph.D., M.P.P.[†], and Vickie M. Mays, Ph.D., M.S.P.H.^{†,*}

[‡] Department of Health Policy and Management, Rollins School of Public Health

[†] Department of Health Services, UCLA School of Public Health

* Department of Psychology, UCLA

Abstract

Racial/ethnic differences in mental health service use among adolescents in clinic and school settings for three high-need populations are examined. Results indicate no racial/ethnic differences in school-based use contrasted with significant differences in clinical settings. Schools may be critical avenues for reduction of unmet mental health need among racial/ethnic minorities.

Keywords

Mental health services; adolescents; race/ethnicity; school; clinic; language; AAPI; Hispanic

Introduction

Racial/ethnic minority children and adolescents are more likely than whites to have unmet need for mental health services [1,2]. To better explicate differences in the unmet need of minority adolescents, differences in service utilization should be examined for those with mental health problems within specific settings. Outside of the medical sector, the school has been identified as an important setting in which to deliver mental health services to this population because of the potential to overcome barriers such as cost, transportation, and stigma associated with services in clinical settings [3,4]. However, no known study has assessed and compared the extent to which racial/ethnic differences in unmet need for mental health services exist in school settings versus clinical settings. Several studies have examined racial/ethnic differences in mental health services across multiple settings without delineating whether these differences exist in clinical *and* school settings [1,2]. When service use across these distinct settings was examined separately, racial/ethnic differences in mental health service use were examined for the population at large rather than for those with mental health problems [5,6]. Our study is the first empirical analysis to examine racial/ethnic differences in adolescent mental health

Corresponding Author: Janet Cummings, Assistant Professor, Department of Health Policy and Management, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Road NE, Room 610, Atlanta, GA 30322, jrcummi@emory.edu, (p) 404-727-9198, (f) 404-727-9198.

Conflict of Interest: None

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

counseling use separately for clinical and school settings among subgroups with high levels of mental health need.

Data and Methods

Data come from the first wave (1994–1995) of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health, a nationally representative school-based sample of 20,745 adolescents in grades 7–11. After excluding individuals without sampling weights ($n=1,821$) and individuals missing information on key variables (outcome variable, $n=43$; basic demographics, $n=34$), a primary analytic sample of 18,847 adolescents was derived. Missing values on other variables were handled using multiple imputation.

Two dichotomous outcome measures were created for adolescents who reported receiving any psychological or emotional counseling in a clinical setting (private doctor's office, community health clinic, and/or hospital) or a school setting within the previous 12 months. Race/ethnicity is measured with five mutually exclusive categories (white, Hispanic, black, Asian American and Pacific Islander [AAPI], and other). Control measures for predisposing, enabling, and need characteristics are presented in Table 1 [7]. The measures of mental health need include several dichotomous indicators as well as two scales: an 18-item version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; $\alpha=0.86$) [8], and a delinquent behavior index created by summing the response categories for involvement in 15 different behaviors such as stealing, getting into a physical fight, or selling drugs ($\alpha=0.84$).

Weighted logistic regressions are estimated for the three following high-need subsamples: (1) adolescents whose CES-D scores meet the cutoff established by Roberts et al. (22+ for males, 24+ for females) to identify likely cases of major depressive disorder and dysthymia ($N=2,004$) [9], (2) adolescents who reported seriously thinking about committing suicide within the past 12 months ($N=2,498$), and (3) adolescents whose scores on the delinquent behavior index exceed one standard deviation above the mean ($N=2,378$). To assess whether language accounts for any observed racial/ethnic differences in service use across settings, two model specifications are used: (1) a model that does not control for language, and (2) a model that controls for whether a language other than English is spoken at home.

Results and Discussion

The most important finding to emerge is the lack of racial/ethnic differences in school-based service use contrasted with significant racial/ethnic differences in clinic-based service use among these high-need populations. Compared to whites, blacks have significantly lower odds of receiving clinical counseling among those with suicidal thoughts and a high delinquent behavior index, AAPIs have significantly lower odds of receiving clinical counseling among those with high depressive symptoms and suicidal thoughts, and Hispanics have significantly lower odds of receiving clinical counseling among those with suicidal thoughts (Table 2). In contrast, no racial/ethnic differences in counseling service use are observed in the school setting. This pattern highlights the role that schools could play in reducing racial/ethnic disparities in unmet need by providing a setting for mental health services in which cultural and socioeconomic barriers for minority adolescents may be more easily addressed [3,10].

This study also highlights the importance of examining racial/ethnic differences in clinical service use separately for specific mental health problems. For high depressive symptoms and suicidal thoughts, the study's findings support previous research reporting greater unmet need for mental health services among AAPIs [1,11]. However, the non-significant finding for AAPIs with a high delinquent behavior index evinces the notion of differential help-seeking across racial/ethnic groups conditional on the type of mental health need. One possible

explanation for this pattern of findings is that cultural barriers to clinical counseling use among AAPIs such as the fear of shame and loss of face may have a greater effect on the help-seeking process for internalizing mental health problems than externalizing mental health problems.

The relationship between language and service use is most prominent in clinical settings, but inconsistent across high-need samples. Adolescents who speak a language other than English at home have lower odds of receiving clinical counseling among those with suicidal thoughts and a high delinquency index, but not among those with high depressive symptoms. Additionally, the inclusion of language does not change the findings for race/ethnicity among those with a high delinquency index, but it accounts for the significant difference in clinical counseling use among Hispanic and AAPIs with suicidal thoughts. While language could be a proxy for navigational barriers that Hispanic and AAPI families face, language could alternatively be a proxy for cultural beliefs that dissuade Hispanic and AAPI adolescents with suicidal thoughts from seeking counseling in clinical settings.

Several study limitations are noted. First, it is difficult to establish causality in these relationships because the data are cross-sectional. Also, it is not possible to ascertain the nature or type of the mental health counseling received in either setting. Lastly, while the data are more than a decade old, there is no compelling reason to believe that the relationships of interest would have changed over time. In spite of these limitations, this study highlights the crucial role that schools can play in reducing racial/ethnic differences in unmet need for mental health services among adolescents. Policy makers should consider investing greater resources in school-based mental health programs as a mechanism to improve access to needed services for racial/ethnic minority adolescents.

Acknowledgments

Sources of Support: This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (F31MH083328), the National Cancer Institute (K07 CA100097), the National Center for Minority Health Disparities (MD 00508) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA 15539; DA 20826).

The authors are grateful for the helpful comments and suggestions of Carol Aneshensel, Ben Druss, Michelle Ko, and two anonymous reviewers. This research uses data from the Add Health, a program project designed by J. Richard Udry, Peter S. Bearman, and Kathleen Mullan Harris, and funded by a grant P01-HD31921 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with cooperative funding from 17 other agencies. Special acknowledgement is due Ronald R. Rindfuss and Barbara Entwisle for assistance in the original design. Persons interested in obtaining data files from Add Health should contact Add Health, Carolina Population Center, 123 W. Franklin Street, Chapel Hill, NC 27516-2524 (addhealth@unc.edu). No direct support was received from grant P01-HD31921 for this analysis.

References

1. Pirkis JE, Irwin CE Jr, Brindis CD, et al. Receipt of psychological or emotional counseling by suicidal adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2003 Apr;111(4 Pt 1):e388–393. [PubMed: 12671157]
2. Kataoka SH, Zhang L, Wells KB. Unmet need for mental health care among U.S. children: variation by ethnicity and insurance status. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002 Sep;159(9):1548–1555. [PubMed: 12202276]
3. Garrison EG, Roy IS, Azar V. Responding to the mental health needs of Latino children and families through school-based services. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1999 Mar;19(2):199–219. [PubMed: 10078420]
4. Taras HL. School-based mental health services. *Pediatrics* 2004 Jun;113(6):1839–1845. [PubMed: 15173522]
5. Glied S, Bowen Garrett A, Hoven C, et al. Child outpatient mental health service use: why doesn't insurance matter? *J Ment Health Policy Econ* 1998 Dec 1;1(4):173–187. [PubMed: 11967395]
6. Slade EP. Effects of school-based mental health programs on mental health service use by adolescents at school and in the community. *Ment Health Serv Res* 2002 Sep;4(3):151–166. [PubMed: 12385568]
7. Aday LA, Andersen R. A framework for the study of access to medical care. *Health Serv Res* 1974 Fall;9(3):208–220. [PubMed: 4436074]

8. Radloff LS. The CES-D: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1977;1(385–401)
9. Roberts RE, Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR. Screening for adolescent depression: a comparison of depression scales. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1991 Jan;30(1):58–66. [PubMed: 2005065]
10. Juszczak L, Melinkovich P, Kaplan D. Use of health and mental health services by adolescents across multiple delivery sites. *J Adolesc Health* 2003 Jun;32(6 Suppl):108–118. [PubMed: 12782449]
11. Yeh M, McCabe K, Hough RL, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in parental endorsement of barriers to mental health services for youth. *Ment Health Serv Res* 2003 Jun;5(2):65–77. [PubMed: 12801070]

Table 1

Comparison of Weighted Descriptive Statistics for Adolescents in Three High-Need Samples to Full Sample

	Full Sample (N=18,847)	High Depressive Symptoms (N=2,004)	Suicidal Thoughts (N=2,498)	High Delinquency Index (N=2,378)
	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.
<i>Outcome Variables</i>				
Any Counseling in Clinical Setting	6.8%	14.6%	16.7%	14.1%
Any Counseling in School Setting	4.5%	9.9%	9.4%	7.2%
<i>Predisposing Characteristics</i>				
Age	15.4 (0.12)	15.9 (0.12)	15.6 (0.12)	15.4 (0.12)
Male	50.8%	44.0%	40.4%	64.9%
<i>Race</i>				
White	66.8%	59.5%	69.2%	64.2%
Hispanic	12.0%	15.9%	12.1%	15.2%
Black	15.8%	19.0%	13.2%	15.5%
AAPI	3.6%	3.7%	3.7%	3.0%
Other Race/Ethnicity	1.7%	2.0%	1.8%	2.0%
<i>Enabling Characteristics</i>				
<i>Family Income</i>				
< \$15,000	18.9%	26.1%	19.2%	21.1%
\$15,000–\$30,000	18.2%	19.8%	18.1%	19.3%
\$30,000–\$45,000	19.7%	19.0%	20.4%	19.4%
\$45,000–\$60,000	15.6%	13.5%	15.3%	14.6%
\$60,000+	27.7%	21.5%	26.9%	25.6%
<i>Maximum of Resident Parent(s) Education</i>				
Less than High School	12.4%	19.1%	11.6%	14.1%
High School Graduate	27.5%	30.1%	26.7%	26.8%
Some College	30.5%	28.7%	32.4%	29.9%
College Graduate	29.6%	22.1%	29.3%	29.2%
<i>Insurance Status</i>				
Private Insurance	72.2%	60.5%	71.3%	69.3%
Public Insurance	10.9%	17.7%	10.8%	13.6%
Other Insurance	4.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.2%
Uninsured	12.9%	17.5%	14.0%	13.9%
Lives with 2 Biological parents (1=yes)	54.6%	40.6%	50.0%	42.8%
Transportation Reported as a Barrier to Receiving Needed Health Care (1=yes)	1.5%	4.7%	4.2%	2.9%
Rural Setting (1=yes)	15.4%	15.8%	15.9%	13.0%
Other Language Spoken at Home (1=yes)	7.6%	10.6%	6.3%	6.7%

	Full Sample (N=18,847)	High Depressive Symptoms (N=2,004)	Suicidal Thoughts (N=2,498)	High Delinquency Index (N=2,378)
	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.	Mean (S.E.)/Prop.
<i>Need Characteristics</i>				
<i>Mental Health</i>				
Depressive Symptoms (0–54)	10.9 (0.13)	26.5 (0.17)	17.3 (0.27)	15.0 (0.28)
Suicidal Thoughts (1=yes)	13.3%	42.5%	-	31.4%
Suicide Attempt (1=yes)	4.0%	16.2%	30.1%	12.4%
Delinquency Scale (0–45)	4.2 (0.08)	7.3 (0.25)	7.5 (0.22)	15.3 (0.19)
<i>Substance Use</i>				
Alcohol Use Past Year (1=yes)	48.0%	64.0%	67.3%	80.9%
Marijuana Use in Past 30 Days (1=yes)	14.7%	28.4%	28.0%	46.2%
Other Drug Use in Past 30 Days (1=yes)	5.6%	14.1%	15.8%	23.1%
<i>Physical Health</i>				
Self-rated Health Fair/Poor (1=yes)	7.0%	18.3%	12.6%	11.0%
Miss School at Least Once per Week Due to Physical or Emotional Health (1=yes)	4.7%	14.8%	8.5%	8.9%
Miss Social Activity at Least Once per Week Due to Physical or Emotional Health(1=yes)	2.3%	8.8%	5.4%	4.7%

Table 2
Weighted Logistic Regressions Examining Racial/Ethnic Differences in Mental Health Counseling Use for Adolescents in High-Need Subsamples

	Service Use in Clinical Setting				Service Use in School Setting							
	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4					
	Without Language	With Language	Without Language	With Language	Without Language	With Language	Without Language	With Language				
OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI			
High Depressive Symptoms (N=2004)												
Hispanic [†]	0.88	0.53	1.46	1.07	0.59	1.91	1.13	0.62	2.09	0.88	0.47	1.64
Black [†]	0.65	0.39	1.07	0.63	0.38	1.04	0.78	0.42	1.45	0.80	0.43	1.49
Asian/PI [†]	0.18**	0.05	0.63	0.20*	0.06	0.71	1.09	0.39	3.11	0.92	0.35	2.41
Other Race/Ethnicity [†]	0.06***	0.01	0.21	0.06***	0.01	0.22	0.57	0.18	1.75	0.54	0.17	1.69
Other language spoken at home [‡]	-	-	-	0.56	0.20	1.58	-	-	-	1.73	0.95	3.12
Suicidal Thoughts (N=2,498)												
Hispanic [†]	0.52**	0.33	0.82	0.68	0.42	1.08	1.28	0.67	2.44	1.13	0.59	2.17
Black [†]	0.44**	0.26	0.75	0.43**	0.25	0.73	0.94	0.52	1.70	0.95	0.52	1.71
Asian/PI [†]	0.32*	0.12	0.87	0.37	0.13	1.02	1.07	0.50	2.30	0.96	0.47	1.99
Other Race/Ethnicity [†]	0.25	0.06	1.02	0.27	0.07	1.15	1.09	0.30	3.94	1.06	0.29	3.81
Other language spoken at home [‡]	-	-	-	0.35*	0.13	0.93	-	-	-	1.47	0.82	2.64
High Delinquency Score (N=2,378)												
Hispanic [†]	1.10	0.69	1.76	1.43	0.86	2.37	1.02	0.57	1.81	1.07	0.56	2.02
Black [†]	0.34***	0.19	0.62	0.33***	0.18	0.61	1.23	0.63	2.42	1.23	0.63	2.40
Asian/PI [†]	0.74	0.23	2.36	0.84	0.26	2.70	1.44	0.68	3.03	1.48	0.69	3.15
Other Race/Ethnicity [†]	0.53	0.12	2.27	0.57	0.13	2.40	1.13	0.38	3.34	1.16	0.39	3.43
Other language spoken at home [‡]	-	-	-	0.29*	0.10	0.84	-	-	-	0.86	0.39	1.90

Note: All regressions control for measures listed in Table 1.

* p<0.05,

** p<0.01,

p<0.001

‡ Omitted Reference Category: Non-Hispanic white

‡ Omitted Reference Category: English spoken at home