

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Labelled Comp Radiopharm. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 February 9.

Published in final edited form as:

J Labelled Comp Radiopharm. 2010 February 9; 53(4): 172–177. doi:10.1002/jlcr.1744.

(*R***)-***N***-Methyl-3-(3′-[18F]fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpropanamine (18F-MFP3) as a potential PET imaging agent for norepinephrine transporter**

Vivien L. Nguyena, **Rama Pichika**b, **Paayal H. Bhakta**a, **Ritu Kant**a, and **Jogeshwar Mukherjee**^{a,*}

^a Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

^b Department of Radiology, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

Abstract

A decline of norepinephrine transporter (NET) level is associated with several psychiatric and neurological disorders. Therefore positron emission tomography (PET) imaging agents are greatly desired to study the NET pathway. We have developed a *C*-fluoropropyl analog of nisoxetine: (*R*)- *N*-methyl-3-(3'-[¹⁸F]fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine (¹⁸F-MFP3) as a new potential PET radiotracer for NET with the advantage of the longer half-life of fluorine-18 (110 min compared with carbon-11 (20 min). Synthesis of (*R*)-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpropanamine (MFP3) was achieved in five steps starting from (*S*)-*N*-methyl-3-ol-3 phenylpropanamine in approx. 3–5% overall yields. In vitro binding affinity of nisoxetine and MFP3 in rat brain homogenates labeled with 3 H-nisoxetine gave Ki values of 8.02 nM and 23 nM, respectively. For radiosynthesis of ¹⁸F-MFP3, fluorine-18 was incorporated into a tosylate precursor, followed by the deprotection of the *N*-BOC-protected amine group with a 15% decay corrected yield in 2.5 h. Reverse-phase chromatographic purification provided 18F-MFP3 in specific activities of >2000 Ci/mmol. Fluorine-18 labeled 18F-MFP3 has been produced in modest radiochemical yields and in high specific activities. Evaluation of ¹⁸F-MFP3 in animal imaging studies is in progress in order to validate this new fluorine-18 radiotracer for PET imaging of NET.

Keywords

¹⁸F-MFP3; PET; norepinephrine transporter; nisoxetine; autoradiography

Introduction

The norepinephrine transporter (NET) present in presynaptic adrenergic neurons is responsible for the reuptake of the neurotransmitter norepinephrine (NE), regulating the synaptic NE concentration.¹ High densities of NET can be found in the hippocampus, thalamus, and especially the locus coeruleus (LC), from which the NET pathway is projected.^{2,3} Low densities are found in the cerebellum and the striatum, which receive sparse projections from the LC.^{2,4} Decreased NET concentration is associated with numerous psychiatric and neurological syndromes such as major depressive disorder and Alzheimer's disease.^{5–7}

^{*}Correspondence to: Jogeshwar Mukherjee, Preclinical Imaging Facility, Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, University of California – Irvine, 162 Irvine Hall, Irvine, CA 92696-3960, USA. j.mukherjee@uci.edu.

Currently, there are several clinically used antidepressants that affect NE levels in the brain. Antidepressants, such as venlafaxine (or effexor) and duloxetine (or cymbalta), are serotonin– norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI), and block presynaptic reuptake of both NE and serotonin (via serotonin reuptake transporter or SERT), thereby increasing the availability of both neurotransmitters in the synapse. $\bar{8}$ These compounds increased the levels of NE and serotonin in rat prefrontal cortex.^{9,10} Clinical studies have shown these drugs to be effective antidepressants.^{11,12} More selective NET inhibitors (norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (NRI)) such as reboxetine have been reported with relatively few side effects.¹³ Other compounds such as mirtazapine is an *α*-2 adrenoreceptor antagonist effective in major depression and has been identified as a noradrenergic and serotonergic antidepressant.^{14,15}

Many positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) tracers radiolabeled with carbon-11 (20.4 min half-life), fluorine-18 (109.8 min halflife), and iodine-123 (13 h half-life) have been reported (Figure 1, **1–9**). Radiolabeled 11Cnisoxetine (Figure 1, 1) demonstrated modest specific NET binding, with findings of 60–75% nonspecific binding.¹⁶ The compound ¹¹C-MeNER (Figure 1, 4, IC₅₀ = 2.5 nM) is an *O*-methyl analog of reboxetine (IC₅₀ = 8.2 nM) and showed a hypothalamus to striatum ratio of 2.5.¹⁷, ¹⁸ Another methyl analog of reboxetine, 11C-(*S,S*)-MRB, showed a ratio of approximately 1.5– 1.6 between thalamus and striatum.¹⁹ The fluorine-18 radiolabeled analog of reboxetine ¹⁸F-FRB (Figure 1, 6) displayed a thalamus to reference region ratio of 1.3–1.6²⁰ Talopram (1.3dihydro-*N*-3,3-trimethyl-1-phenylbezo[c]furan-1-propanamine, **8**) and talsupram (1,3-dihydro-*N*-3,3-trimethyl-1-phenylbezo[c]thiophene-1-propanamine, **9**) exhibited high affinity and selectivity for the human NET *in vitro*. However, neither compound was able to enter the central nervous system in adequate amounts to be used in PET studies.²¹

Radioiodinated MIPP has high affinity to NET ($K_i = 1.33$ nM) compared with nisoxetine (K_i $= 7.35$ nM) but also has some affinity for SERT.^{22–24} The compound ¹²⁵I-(*S,S*)-IPBM is a derivative of reboxetine that is iodinated at position 2 of the phenoxy ring with K_i value of 4.2 nM. *Ex vivo* experiments of 125I-IPBM showed high levels of radioactivity in the LC and the anteroventricular thalamic nucleus and regional distribution correlated well with reported 3Hnisoxetine binding.25 The same chemical structure **7**, radiolabeled with iodine-123 referred as ¹²³I-INER (K_i = 0.84 nM) was found to have comparable properties reported for MIPP.²⁶ This group has recently reported additional carbon-11 and fluorine-18 derivatives of reboxetine, with promising properties for carbon-11 labeled analogs.²⁷

Our goal was to develop a fluorine-18 labeled analog of nisoxetine for the following reasons: (1) Nisoxetine has a high affinity for NET and has been shown to bind to the transporter sites selectively⁴; (2) As the clearance of the reported agents, including ¹¹C-nisoxetine, from nonspecific binding regions is slow, longer imaging times with fluorine-18 will be needed; (3). Concentration of NET in the brain is low, thus high specific activity of the radiotracer would be desired²⁸; (4) Pharmacological challenge experiments may be carried out with greater ease with the longer half-life; (5) Fluorine-18 is suitable for high-resolution PET studies; (6) Fluorine-18 is clinically more amenable because centers without a cyclotron may be able to use these agents; and (7) As defluorination has been an issue with *O*-fluoroalkyl derivatives of reboxetine,20 a *C*-fluoroalkyl derivative may be more stable to defluorination. We report here synthesis of a fluorine-18 radiolabeled derivative (methoxy group in nisoxetine was replaced with a fluoropropyl group) to provide (*R*)-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpro-panamine (Figure 2, 10a; MFP3) as a potential NET imaging agent for PET when radiolabeled to give (R) -*N*-methyl-3-(3'-[¹⁸F]fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine (Figure 2, 10b; ^{18}F -MFP3).

Results and discussion

Our goal has been to develop a fluorine-18 labeled derivative for imaging NET in the living brain. Previous efforts have focused on carbon-11 and fluorine-18 derivatives of nisoxetine and reboxetine. These derivatives were radiolabeled by either *N*-methylation or *O*-methylation using 11C-methyl iodide or by *O*-fluoroalkylation using 18F-fluoroalkyl groups. Our approach in this work was to develop a *C*-fluoropropyl derivative, since our previous work on dopamine and nicotine receptor imaging agents has demonstrated their *in vivo* stability, particularly towards defluorination.^{29,30} For this purpose, we undertook the synthesis of MFP3, Figure 2, 10a, which is an analog of nisoxetine (where the methoxy group in nisoxetine, Figure 1, 1 is replaced by the fluoropropyl group) or MIPP (where the iodine in MIPP, Figure 1, 2 is replaced by the fluoropropyl group). As nisoxetine appears to be tolerant to the replacement of the methoxy group (as in tomoxetine, Figure 1, 3) and reboxetine appears to retain affinity upon incorporation of two carbon chain at the position (Figure 1, 5, 6), we envisaged that MFP3 would maintain binding characteristics to NET sites.

The synthesis of MFP3 and the tosylate **16** were carried out using general procedures for this class of compounds (Figure 3). As the *R*-isomer of nisoxetine is more pharmacologically active, we started out with (*S*)-*N*-methyl-3-ol-3-phenylpropanamine **11** reported previously.31 Using previously synthesized (S) -*N*-methyl-3-ol-3-phenylpropanamine³¹ the secondary amine was protected using the *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl group in modest yields.32 This *N*-BOC-protected secondary alcohol was coupled with 2-allylphenol using the Mitsunobu reaction. The yields were in the range of approximately 40% for this reaction which was carried out in the presence of diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD). Similar yields were observed with other phenol– alcohol coupling reactions.33 The reaction provided (*R*)-*N*-*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-*N*-methyl-3- (2′-allyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **14**, since an inversion of configuration occurs in the Mitsunobu reaction.³⁴ Hydroboration of the allyl group followed by peroxide treatment under basic conditions provided the propanol derivative **15** in modest yields. The alcohol was treated with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine, using previously described methods.³⁰ Yields of this reaction were surprisingly poor. It is unclear whether steric effects contributed to the low yields of the tosylate. Nucleophilic substitution of the tosylate with TBAF followed by deprotection of the *N*-BOC protecting group with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) provided MFP3, **10a** in modest yields.

We performed *in vitro* experiments on rat brain homogenates in order to measure the binding affinity of MFP3. Competition studies were performed using different concentrations (between 1 nM and 50 μM) of the NET-selective agent nisoxetine and the cold compound MFP3 (Figure 4). The reported K_i value for nisoxetine is 1–1.5 nM^{25,35} and is lower compared with our findings of 8.02 nM for nisoxetine. The K_i of 23 nM for MFP3 suggests that MFP3 is about three times weaker in binding compared with nisoxetine. Incorporation of the 3-carbon long propyl group could potentially result in some steric effects in the binding site resulting in a lower affinity. Similar lowering of affinity was reported in fluoroalkyl derivatives of reboxetine. 27

Radiosynthesis of ^{18}F -MFP3 involved two steps as described in Figure 5(a). The first step involves incorporation of fluorine-18 into (*R*)-*N*-*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-*N*-methyl-3-(3′ tosyloxypropyl)-phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **16**, and the second step involved deprotection of the *N*-BOC-protected amine group. The radiolabeling step by 18F-fluorine was carried out using the nucleophilic substitution reaction in the presence of Kyptofix and $K₂CO₃$ in acetonitrile at 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. This reaction provided high, reproducible radiochemical yields as previously observed in the case of propyl tosylates.29,30 After radiolabeling, product was taken directly to the second step of deprotection. Deprotection of the *N*-BOC group was accomplished with a strong acid, TFA, similar to the procedures we

have used for the nicotinic receptor radioligands.³³ After the reaction, the neutralized mixture was separated by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purification. It must be noted that at a radioactive peak at approximately 7 min (Figure 4(b)) there was a major volatile side-product. This may be a result of the breakdown of the ether linkage under the strong acidic conditions of deprotection. To minimize this, milder conditions will have to be explored. The retention time of ^{18}F -MFP3 was 18 min (Figure 5(b)). The total synthesis time was 2.5 h with radiochemical yields of 15–20%, decay corrected. The specific activities of 18F-MFP3 were 1500–2000 Ci/mmol.

In vitro and *in vivo* binding studies are currently underway with ¹⁸F-MFP3 in order to evaluate its ability to bind to NET and if this binding can be displaced by nisoxetine.

Materials and methods

General

All chemicals and solvents were of analytical or HPLC grade from Aldrich Chemical Co. and Fisher Scientific. Nisoxetine was purchased from Sigma Biochemicals. Electrospray mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a Model 7250 mass spectrometer (Micromass LCT) and proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker OMEGA 500 MHz spectrometer. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on silica-coated plates (Baker-Flex, Phillipsburg, NJ). Chromatographic separations were carried out on preparative TLC (silica gel GF 20×20 cm 2000 μm thick; Alltech Assoc. Inc., Deerfield, IL) or silica gel flash column or semipreparative reverse-phase columns using the Gilson HPLC systems. High specific activity aqueous 18F-fluoride was produced in the MC-17 cyclotron or the CTI RDS-112 cyclotron using the ¹⁸O (p,n)¹⁸F reaction. The high specific activity ¹⁸F-fluoride was used in subsequent reactions which were carried out in automated radiosynthesis units (either a chemistry processing control unit (CPCU) or a nuclear interface fluorine-18 module). Fluorine-18 radioactivity was counted in a Capintec dose calibrator and radioactive thin layer chromatographs were obtained by scanning in a Bioscan system 200 Imaging scanner (Bioscan, Inc., Washington, DC). Tritium was assayed by using a Packard Tri-Carb Liquid scintillation counter with 65% efficiency. All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of University of California-Irvine.

Chemistry

(S)-N-tert-butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-3-ol-3-phenylpropanamine (12)—The

synthesis of (*S*)-*N*-methyl-3-ol-3-phenylpropanamine (**11**) was previously described.31 The secondary amine **11** (0.62 g; 3.74 mmol) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (8 mL) and cooled to 0–5°C. Dissolved di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (1.33 g; 6.1 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (8 mL) was further added to this cold (0–5°C) mixture and allowed to react for 90 min. The reaction was then permitted to reach ambient temperature and allowed to react overnight. Tetrahydrofuran was removed and 20 mL of dichloromethane was added and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (2×20 mL) and water (1×20 mL). The organic layer was dried (magnesium sulfate) and filtered while the crude product was purified on a silica column (30% ethyl acetate in hexane) to provide 0.56 g of pure (*S*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-ol-3-phenylpropanamine, **12** (57% yield) comparable to previous findings.32 MS, *m*/*z*, 266 (9%, [M+H]+), 288 (100%, [M +Na]+). NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3; δ ppm): 7.30–7.36 (m, 5H, Ar); 4.59 (br, 1H, CH); 2.87 (s, 3H, NCH3); 2.81 (m, 2H, CH2N); 1.68 (m, 2H, CH² *β* to N); 1.42 (s, 9H, *t*-butyl).

(R)-N-tert-butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-3-(2′-allyl)phenoxy)-3-

phenylpropanamine(14)—To a solution of (*S*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-ol-3 phenylpropanamine **12** (0.25 g, 0.94 mmol), 2-allylphenol **13** (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (0.27 g, 1 mmol) in THF (10 mL), stirred under nitrogen and cooled at 0°

C, DIAD (0.22 mL, 1.1 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and evaporated until dried. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (30% EtOAc in hexane) to give pure product (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(2′-allyl) phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **14** 0.15 g (42% yield). MS, *m*/*z*, 382 (9%, [M+H]+), 404 $(100\%, [M+Na]^+)$. NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; δ ppm): 7.65–7.69 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.56–7.53 (m, 1H, Ar); 7.48–7.45 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.12–7.07 (m, 1H); 6.86–6.83 (m, 1H); 5.12 (m, 1H), 4.98 (m, 2H), 4.12 (m, 1H, CH); 2.83 (s, 3H, NCH3); 2.81 (m, 2H, CH2N); 1.74 (m, 2H, CH² *β* to N); 1.26 (s, 9H, *t*-butyl).

(R)-N-tert-butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-3-(3′-propanol)phenoxy)-3-

phenylpropanamine (15)—The olefin, (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(2′-allyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpropanamine **14** (0.11 g, 0.28 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with boranetetrahydrofuran complex (0.58 mL, 0.3 mmol) drop wise at 0°C stirred under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature over night and then was treated with NaOH (3N, 5 mL) followed by the addition of 30% H₂O₂ (200 μ L) at 0^oC. This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and dried. The residue was poured into saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over $MgSO₄$ and the solvent evaporated. The residue was purified by silica column chromatography (30% ethyl acetate in hexane) to furnish alcohol, (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′ propanol)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **15** (55 mg, 49% yield). MS, m/z 400 (8%, [M +H]+), 422 (100%, [M+Na]+). NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3; δ ppm) 7.71–6.75 (m, 9H, Ar); 3.40 (m, 2H, CH₂–OH); 2.83 (s, 3H, NCH₃); 2.35 (m, 2H, CH₂N); 1.66 (m, 2H, CH₂β to N); 1.30 (s, 9H, *t*-butyl).

(R)-N-tert-butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-3-(3′-tosyloxypropyl)phenoxy)-3-

phenylpropanamine (16)—The alcohol, (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-propanol) phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **15** (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) was reacted with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (25 mg, 0.13 mmol) in the presence of Et₃N (60 μ L) in CH₂Cl₂ for 24 h at room temperature. Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Dichloromethane was added and washed with saturated NaHCO_3 and water. The organic layer was removed, dried with MgSO4, and filtered to give (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-tosyloxypropyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpropanamine, **16** which was purified by silica column chromatography using 5–30% ethyl acetate in hexane to provide 14 mg of pure product (19% yield). MS, *m*/z, 554 (58%. [M +H]+), 576 (100%. [M+ Na]+). NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3; δ ppm) 7.81–7.78 (m, 3H, Ar); 7.75– 7.70 (m, 1H, Ar); 7.36–7.31 (dd, 4H, tosyl ArH-2,3,5,6); 7.30–7.28 (m, 3H, Ar); 6.96–6.94 (m, 1H); 6.74–6.71 (m, 1H); 4.10 (m, 2H, CH₂–OSO₂); 3.95 (m, 1H, CH) 2.81 (s, 3H, NCH₃); 2.47 (m, 2H, CH₂N); 2.44 (s, 3H, tosyl CH₃); 1.65 (m, 2H, CH₂β to N); 1.32 (s, 9H, *t*-butyl).

(R)-N-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine (10a)—The tosylate, (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-tosyloxypropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **16** (20 mg, 36.1 μmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was treated with a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride TBAF. THF (1.0 M, 54 μ L). The solution was heated at 68°C for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and dried. The residue was titrated with ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ three times until none of the product showed in the TLC. The ether solution was concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (1:1 hexane and ethyl acetate) to provide (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine (7.2 mg, 49% yield).

Deprotection of (*R*)-*N*-BOC-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine was carried out by treatment with TFA. The *N*-BOC derivative (7 mg, 17.9 μmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (1 mL) and TFA (0.1 mL) was added at ambient temperatures. The reaction solution was stirred at ambient temperatures for 24 h and then dried. The resulting residue was

neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃ and its product was extracted into ether. The ether layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and the crude product was purified on preparative TLC to provide (*R*)-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine **10a** (1.5 mg, 28% yield). MS, m/z , 302 (100%. [M+H]⁺). NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; δ ppm) 7.32–6.65 (m, 9H); 4.52 (dt, 2H, CH2F); 4.2 (m, 1H, CH); 2.88 (s, 3H, NCH3); 2.6 (br, 2H, CH2N); 2.2 (br, 2H, benzylic CH₂); 1.59 (br, 2H, CH₂ β to N); 1.35 (br, 2H, CH₂).

In vitro binding affinity

Using prior published methods, 25 rat cerebral cortex from male Sprague-Dawley rats was dissected and homogenized using Tekmar tissumizer (15 s, half maxima speed) in buffer A (containing 50 mM Tris-HCL, 120 nM NaCl, and 5 nM KCl at a pH of 7.4) using 1:100 (wt:vol). This homogenized mixture was then centrifuged at 28,000*g* for 10 min at 4°C. The procedure was repeated twice with the final pellet suspended in buffer B (containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 300 mM NaCl, and 5 mM KCl at a pH of 7.4).

Using 3H-nisoxetine (nisoxetine hydrochloride *N*-methyl 3H, 85 Ci/mmol, Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA) competition assays were performed using 100 μ L of tissue, 50 μ L of ³Hnisoxetine (1.0 nM) and 25 μL of various drug concentrations in buffer B, with the final volume made up to 500 μ L per tube using buffer B. Incubation was carried out at 4^oC for 4 h. The incubation was terminated by rapid vacuum filtration through Whatman GF/C filter paper (presoaked in 0.1% polyethyleneimine for 60 min) using Brandel tissue harvester. The filters were rapidly washed three times (4 mL each) with cold buffer B and the filters were suspended in 10 mL of Bio-Safe II scintillation fluid and counted for 10 min in the scintillation counter. The experiments were done in duplicates. Data was analyzed using following procedure: (1) the nonspecific binding of ${}^{3}H$ -nisoxetine (measured using 10 μ M nisoxetine) was subtracted for all samples; (2) the specific binding was normalized to 100% (no competitive ligand); and (3) the binding isotherms were fit to the Hill equation (KELL BioSoft software (v 6), Cambridge, UK) to provide inhibitor concentration (IC_{50}) , which is the inflection point of the isotherm where 50% of the ³H-nisoxetine binding (Figure 4). The K_i was calculated by the Cheng-Prussof equation and using the value of K_D as 1 nM for ³H-nisoxetine.^{35,36}

Radiosynthesis

The radiosynthesis of 18F-MFP3 was carried out using an automated synthesis procedure using a tosylate precursor which employs a CPCU (Figure 5(a)). Fluorine-18 in H_2^{18} O from a MC-17 cyclotron was passed through a QMA-light sep-pak (Waters Corp. Milford, MA), preconditioned with 3 mL of K_2CO_3 , 140 mg/mL, followed by 3 mL of anhydrous acetonitrile. The fluorine-18 trapped in the QMA-light sep-pak was then eluted (using nitrogen gas) with 1 mL Kryptofix222/K₂CO₃ (360 mg/75 mg in 1 mL of water and 24 mL of acetonitrile) and transferred to the CPCU reaction vessel. The 'SYNTH1' program in the CPCU was used for the synthesis. This involved initial drying of the 18 F-fluoride, Kryptofix, and K₂CO₃ mixture at 120°C for 10 min. Subsequently, acetonitrile (2 mL) from CPCU reagent vial 2 was added and evaporated at 120 $^{\circ}$ C for 7 min to ensure dryness of the ¹⁸F-fluoride mixture. Following this, the precursor, (*R*)-*N*-*tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-*N*-methyl-3-(3′-tosyloxypropyl)phenoxy)-3 phenylpropanamine, **16** (1–2 mg in 0.5 mL of anhydrous acetonitrile continued in CPCU reagent vial 3) was added and the reaction went for 15–30 min at 96°C. Subsequent to the reaction, CH_2Cl_2 (7 mL contained in CPCU reagent vial 4) was added to the mixture and the CH_2Cl_2 contents were passed through a neutral alumina sep-pak (prewashed with CH_2Cl_2 in order to remove any unreacted ¹⁸F-fluoride). The collected CH_2Cl_2 solution coming out of the CPCU now contained 18F-*N*-BOC-MFP3. The solvent was removed and the residue was taken in dichloromethane (1 mL) and trifluroacetic acid (0.2 mL). The solution was heated at 98°C for 30 min and evaporated until dried. The residue was neutralized with 10% NaHCO₃ solution until pH of 7.0 was obtained. Semipreparative HPLC purification was performed using an

Alltech C₁₈ column (10 µm, 250 \times 10 mm) and UV detector (254 nm), mobile phase 60% acetonitrile: 40% water containing 0.1% triethylamine with a flow rate of 2.5 mL/min. The retention time of ¹⁸F-MFP3 was found to be 18 min (Figure 5(b), HPLC chromatogram). The 18F-MFP3 fraction was collected into a flask and the solvent was removed *in vacuo* using a rotary evaporator. The radiosynthesis was accomplished in 2.5 h with an overall radiochemical yield of approx. 15% decay corrected. Specific activity was measured to be >2000 Ci/mmol.

Conclusion

The new NET radioligand, (R) -*N*-methyl-3- $(^{18}F-3'$ -fluoropropyl)-phenoxy)-3phenylpropanamine $(^{18}F-MFP3)$ was prepared successfully. The compound showed moderate affinity for the NET pathway in rat brain homogenates. Potential use of $^{18}F-MFP3$ in the study of the NET pathway as well as in evaluating SNRI/NRI antidepressants remains to be determined.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH RO1 EB006110 from the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering.

References

- 1. Blakely RD, De Felice LJ, Hartzell HC. J Exp Biol 1994;196:263. [PubMed: 7823027]
- 2. Charnay Y, Leger L, Vallet PG, Hof PR, Jovet M, Bouras C. Neuroscience 1995;23:259. [PubMed: 8637624]
- 3. Ordway GA, Stockmeier CA, Cason GW, Klimek V. Neuroscience 1997;17:1710. [PubMed: 9030630]
- 4. Tejani-Butt SM. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1992;260:427. [PubMed: 1731049]
- 5. Klimek V, Stockmeier C, Overholser J, Meltzer HY, Kalka S, Dilley G, Ordway GA. J Neurosci 1997;17:8451. [PubMed: 9334417]
- 6. Marien MR, Colpaert FC, Rosenquist AC. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 2004;45:38. [PubMed: 15063099]
- 7. Tejani-Butt SM, Yang J, Zaffar H. Brain Res 1993;63:147. [PubMed: 8298987]
- 8. Holliday SM, Benfield P. Drugs 1995;49:280. [PubMed: 7729333]
- 9. Bymaster FP, Beedle EE, Findlay J, Gallagher PT, Krushinski JH, Mitchell S, Robertson DW, Thompson DC, Wallace L, Wong DT. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2003;13:4477. [PubMed: 14643350]
- 10. Wong DT, Bymaster FP, Mayle DA, Reid LR, Krushinski JH, Robertson DW. Neuropsychopharmacology 1993;8:23. [PubMed: 8424846]
- 11. Morton WA, Sonne SC, Verga MA. Ann Pharmacother 1995;29:387. [PubMed: 7633018]
- 12. Detke MJ, Lu Y, Goldstein DJ, Hayes JR, Demitrack MA. J Clin Psychiatry 2002;63:308. [PubMed: 12000204]
- 13. Page ME. CNS Drug Rev 2003;9:327. [PubMed: 14647527]
- 14. Bengtsson JH, Kele J, Johansson JM, Hjorth S. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 2000;362:406. [PubMed: 11111835]
- 15. Haddjeri N, Blier P, De Montigny C. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1996;277:861. [PubMed: 8627568]
- 16. Haka MS, Kilbourn MR. Nucl Med Biol 1989;16:771.
- 17. Schou M, Halldin C, Sovago J, Pike VW, Gulyas B, Mozley PD, Johnson DP, Hall H, Innis RB, Farde L. Nucl Med Biol 2003;30:707. [PubMed: 14499328]
- 18. Wilson AA, Johnson DP, Mozley D, Hussey D, Ginovart N, Nobrega J, Garcia A, Meyer J, Houle S. Nucl Med Biol 2003;30:85. [PubMed: 12623106]
- 19. Ding YS, Lin KS, Garza V, Carter P, Alexoff D, Logan J, Shea C, Xu Y, King P. Synapse 2003;50:345. [PubMed: 14556239]
- 20. Ding YS, Lin KS, Logan J, Benveniste H, Carter P. J Neurochem 2005;94:337. [PubMed: 15998285]

- 21. McConathy J, Owens MJ, Kilts CD, Malveaux EJ, Camp VM, Votaw JR, Nemeroff CB, Goodman MM. Nucl Med Biol 2003;31:705. [PubMed: 15246361]
- 22. Kiyono Y, Kanegawa N, Kawashima H, Fujiwara H, Iida Y, Nishimura H, Saji H. Nucl Med Biol 2003;30:697. [PubMed: 14499327]
- 23. Kiyono Y, Kanegawa N, Kawashima H, Kitamura Y, Iida Y, Saji H. Nucl Med Biol 2004;53:57.
- 24. Kung MP, Choi SR, Hou C, Zhuang ZP, Foulon C, Kung HF. Nucl Med Biol 2004;31:533. [PubMed: 15219270]
- 25. Kanegawa N, Kiyono Y, Kimura H, Sugita T, Kajiyama S, Kawashima H, Ueda M, Kuge Y, Saji H. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2006;33:639. [PubMed: 16523308]
- 26. Tamagnan GD, Brenner E, Alagille D, Haile C, Cosgrove K, Koren A, Early M, Baldwin RM, Tarazi F, Baldessarini RJ, Jarkas N, Goodman MM, Staley JK, Seibyl JP. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2007;17:533. [PubMed: 17095215]
- 27. Zeng F, Mun J, Jarkas N, Stehouwer JS, Voll RJ, Tamagnan GD, Howell L, Votaw JR, Kilts CD, Nemeroff CB, Goodman MM. J Med Chem 2009;52:62. [PubMed: 19067522]
- 28. Eckelman WC, Kilbourn MR, Mathis CA. Nucl Med Biol 2006;33:449. [PubMed: 16720235]
- 29. Chattopadhyay S, Xue B, Pichika R, Collins D, Bagnera R, Leslie FM, Christian BT, Shi B, Narayanan TK, Potkin SG, Mukherjee J. J Nucl Med 2005;46:130. [PubMed: 15632043]
- 30. Mukherjee J, Yang ZY, Das MK, Brown T. Nucl Med Biol 1995;22:283. [PubMed: 7627142]
- 31. Das MK, Mukherjee J. Appl Radiat Isot 1993;44:835.
- 32. Kumar A, Ner DH, Dike SY. Tetrahedron Lett 1991;32:1901.
- 33. Pichika R, Easwaramoorthy B, Collins D, Christian BT, Shi B, Narayanan TK, Potkin SG, Mukherjee J. Nucl Med Biol 2006;33:295. [PubMed: 16631077]
- 34. Hughes, DL. Organic Reactions. Vol. 42. Wiley; New York: 1992. p. 335
- 35. Cheetham SC, Viggers JA, Bulter SA, Prow MR, Heal DJ. Neuropharmocology 1996;35:63.
- 36. Cheng Y, Prusoff WH. Biochem Pharmocol 1973;22:3099.

Figure 1.

Radiolabeled imaging agents for NET. (a) Nisoxetine analogs; (b) reboxetine analogs; (c): other backbones.

10a. MFP3; 10b. ¹⁸F-MFP3

Figure 2.

Chemical structure of (*R*)-*N*-Methyl-3-(3′-fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenyl-propanamine (MFP3, 10a) and (R) - N -Methyl-3- $(^{18}F-3'$ -fluoropropyl)phenoxy)-3-phenylpropanamine $(^{18}F-1'$ MFP3 **10b**).

Figure 3.

Chemical synthesis of MFP3: (a) Di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate, THF, 0–5°C, 90 min; (b) **13**, Ph₃P, DIAD, THF, 0–5°C → 22°C, 24 h; (c) (i) BH₃-THF, 0–5°C → 22°C, 24 h, (ii) H₂O₂, NaOH, 22°C, 12 h; (d) *p*-TsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 22°C, 24 h; (e) TBAF, THF, 68 °C, 1.5 h.

Figure 4.

Specific Binding of ³H-Nisoxetine and MFP-3. Increase concentration of the drug, decrease binding affinity. MFP-3: IC₅₀ = 2.30 × 10⁻⁸ M. ³H-Nisoxetine: IC₅₀ = 8.02 × 10⁻⁹ M.

Figure 5.

(a) Radiosynthesis of ¹⁸F-MFP3 (b) HPLC chromatogram of ¹⁸F-MFP3 purification (Reverse phase C_{18} , CH₃CN:H₂O 0.25%Et₃N, 68%:32%; flow rate = 2.5 mL/min). The retention time of 18 F-MFP3 was 18 min.