

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 May 20

Published in final edited form as:

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2009 August ; 30(8): 801–803. doi:10.1086/599018.

Colonization with *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin-Resistant *S. aureus* among a Sample of Homeless Individuals, Ohio

Timothy F. Landers, RN, PhD, Randall E. Harris, MD, PhD, Thomas E. Wittum, PhD, and Kurt B. Stevenson, MD, MPH

College of Public Health (T.F.L., R.E.H., T.E.W., K.B.S.), the College of Nursing (T.F.L.), the Department of Emergency Medicine (R.E.H.) and the Division of Infectious Diseases, the Department of Internal Medicine (K.B.S.), College of Medicine, and the College of Veterinary Medicine (T.E.W.), The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

There is growing consensus that community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) plays an important role in infections both in the community and in the healthcare setting.¹ Risk factors for healthcare-associated MRSA have been well studied, but less is known about the risk for colonization with *S. aureus* or MRSA in the community.

Because they share crowded, often unsanitary living conditions, typically manifest poor underlying health, and have limited access to hygiene facilities and healthcare services, individuals who are homeless may have an increased risk for MRSA colonization. The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization among a population of homeless individuals and to determine risk factors for *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization.

A prevalence study was conducted at 3 shelter locations in Columbus, Ohio (a men's emergency shelter, a women's emergency shelter, and a men's long-term shelter), and at a "Homeless Stand-Down," a multiday outreach event for homeless individuals in Cleveland, Ohio. At each site, participants were informed of the study by posters and flyers throughout the facility and self-referred to a private area where the study was conducted.

After providing written informed consent, participants were asked to complete a brief questionnaire on risk factors for *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization, including demographic characteristics, current health status, substance use, recent living arrangements, and hygiene practices. Nasal swab samples were collected from each participant with a dry, cotton-tipped applicator before and after instillation of normal saline (BBL CultureSwab; Becton Dickinson). Specimens were preincubated in a nonselective liquid media (BBL Trypticase Soy Broth, Becton Dickinson) at 37°C for 12 hours, and *S. aureus* was identified using routine microbiologic procedures. *S. aureus* isolates were subcultured on oxacillin resistance screening agar (ORSAB, Oxoid) for the identification of MRSA.

Overall prevalence rates were calculated using the SPSS statistical package, version 14 (SPSS). Assuming a baseline prevalence of 30%, a sample size of 215 was determined to provide a prevalence estimate with a margin of error of 6%. Differences between individuals who tested

^{© 2009} by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved.

Address reprint requests to Timothy F. Landers, RN, PhD, School of Nursing, Columbia University, Mail Code 6, 630 W. 168th St., New York, NY 10032 (tl2385@columbia.edu).

positive and individuals who tested negative for *S. aureus* and MRSA were compared using χ^2 tests and Student *t* tests. Logistic regression was used to build a model of predictor variables for MRSA colonization with variables that were significant at the *P* < .10 level. The effects of predictor variables were quantified by estimating prevalence odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Seventy-five (34.9%) of 215 participants had positive results for *S. aureus*. Of 71 tested *S. aureus* specimens, 55 (77.5%) were MRSA, for an overall estimate of MRSA colonization prevalence of 25.6%.

Individuals who tested positive for MRSA reported higher frequencies of recent antibiotic use (23.6% vs 12.3%; P < .04), history of alcoholism (10.9% vs 4.5%; P < .08), and current smoking (85.5% vs 73.1%; P < .06) and a lower frequency of having stayed with a friend for at least 1 night in the previous 30 days (5.5% vs 16.0%; P < .03), compared with individuals who tested negative for MRSA. Similar results were observed for individuals who tested positive for *S. aureus*, compared with individuals who tested negative for *S. aureus*. Differences in other characteristics did not approach statistical significance (Table).

Results of multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed 3 variables associated with MRSA colonization. In the final multivariable regression model, recent antibiotic use (OR, 2.73 [95% CI, 1.10–6.77]) and history of alcoholism (OR, 5.14 [95% CI, 1.24–21.4]) increased the risk of MRSA infection, whereas living with a friend was protective (OR, 0.18 [95% CI, 0.04–0.72]).

This study documents the prevalence of *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization among a population of homeless individuals. Although the *S. aureus* colonization prevalence in this sample is similar to prior population estimates,² the prevalence of MRSA colonization (25.6%) was much higher than has been previously reported for individuals in other community settings (1%–2%).²⁻⁵ On the basis of these findings, the rate of MRSA colonization among the homeless may be 10–20 times higher than the rate among the general population. This finding is different from the 2.8% prevalence rate found in a study of the urban poor conducted in San Fransisco in 1999–2000.6

The risk factors that were identified for *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization were consistent with those found in other studies. Living with a friend was associated with lower odds for both *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization, and these lower odds may represent less exposure to congregate living situations and relatively better social support and access to hygiene facilities. We were not able to identify specific hygiene-related practices or health conditions that were associated with an increased risk of *S. aureus* or MRSA colonization, such as the frequency of handwashing, showering, or doing laundry.

There are several limitations of the current study. Because we did not determine the genetic subtypes of the isolates, we were unable to control for the effects of shelter-level or community-level MRSA prevalence. Similar to what has been demonstrated in family members of MRSA-colonized individuals,^{7,8} it is likely that our sample contained clusters of individuals highly colonized by the same bacterial strains.

Future studies should address *S. aureus* and MRSA colonization in the homeless and other vulnerable populations.^{9,10} Identification of specific risk factors and broad intervention to improve the living conditions of high-risk populations may be an important aspect of MRSA prevention control activities. Interventions might include improved individual hygiene, decontamination of colonized individuals, cleaning and improved sanitation of shelters, and coordinated community efforts to address homelessness as a public health problem.

Acknowledgments

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

REFERENCES

- Popovich KJ, Weinstein RA. The graying of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009;30:9–12. [PubMed: 19046052]
- 2. Graham PL 3rd, Lin SX, Larson EL. A US population-based survey of *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization. Ann Intern Med 2006;144:318–325. [PubMed: 16520472]
- Kuehnert MJ, Kruszon-Moran D, Hill HA, et al. Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization in the United States, 2001–2002. J Infect Dis 2006;193:172–179. [PubMed: 16362880]
- Salgado CD, Farr BM, Calfee DP. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus:* a meta-analysis of prevalence and risk factors. Clin Infect Dis 2003;36:131–139. [PubMed: 12522744]
- Gorwitz RJ, Kruszon-Moran D, McAllister SK, et al. Changes in the prevalence of nasal colonization with *Staphylococcus aureus* in the United States, 2001–2004. J Infect Dis 2008;197:1226–1234. [PubMed: 18422434]
- Charlebois ED, Bangsberg DR, Moss NJ, et al. Population-based community prevalence of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the urban poor of San Francisco. Clin Infect Dis 2002;34:425–433. [PubMed: 11797167]
- Zafar U, Johnson LB, Hanna M, et al. Prevalence of nasal colonization among patients with communityassociated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and their household contacts. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:966–969. [PubMed: 17620245]
- Lowy F, Miller M. New methods to investigate infectious disease transmission and pathogenesis— Staphylococcus aureus disease in drug users. Lancet Infect Dis 2002;2:605–612. [PubMed: 12383610]
- Aiello AE, Lowy FD, Wright LN, Larson EL. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among US prisoners and military personnel: review and recommendations for future studies. Lancet Infect Dis 2006;6:335–341. [PubMed: 16728319]
- Haley CC, Mittal D, Laviolette A, Jannapureddy S, Parvez N, Haley RW. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection or colonization present at hospital admission: multivariable risk factor screening to increase efficiency of surveillance culturing. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:3031– 3038. [PubMed: 17626171]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

TABLE

Characteristics of Homeless Individuals in Ohio by Staphylococcus aureus and Methicillin-Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) Colonization

		With S. a	With S. aureus test results		With M	With MRSA test results	
Characteristic	Sample ^{<i>d</i>} $(n = 215)$	Positive $(n = 75)$	Negative $(n = 140)$	Ρ	Positive $(n = 55)$	Negative $(n = 156)$	Ρ
Age, mean \pm SD, years	41.7 ± 10.8	41.4 ± 11.8	41.9 ± 10.2		42.1 ± 10.6	40.1 ± 11.2	
Race, proportion (%)							
Black	130/175 (74.3)	44/60 (73.3)	86/115 (74.8)		31/42 (73.8)	96/130 (73.8)	
White	39/175 (22.3)	15/60 (25)	24/115 (20.9)		11/42 (26.2)	28/130 (21.5)	
Other	6/175 (3.4)	1/60 (1.7)	5/115 (4.3)		0/42 (0)	6/130 (4.6)	
Male	165 (77.0)	58 (77.3)	107 (76.0)		41 (74.5)	116 (74.4)	
\leq 12th grade education	68 (31.6)	25/67 (37.9)	43/121 (35.5)		21/51 (41.2)	45/132 (34.1)	
Risk factors b							
Hospital	23 (10.7)	7 (9.3)	16 (11.5)		6 (10.9)	17 (10.8)	
Emergency department visit	57 (26.5)	24 (32.0)	33 (23.9)		19 (34.5)	37 (23.9)	
Antibiotics	32 (14.9)	16 (21.3)	16 (11.5)	.04	13 (23.6)	19 (12.3)	.04
History of S. aureus infection	12 (5.6)	3 (4.0)	9 (6.5)		3 (5.5)	9 (5.8)	
History							
HIV/AIDS	5 (2.3)	1 (1.3)	4 (2.9)		0 (0)	5 (3.2)	
Mental illness	23 (10.7)	13 (17.3)	19 (13.6)		10 (18.2)	22 (14.1)	
Alcoholism	13 (6.0)	7 (9.5)	6 (4.3)		6 (10.9)	7 (4.5)	.08
Hypertension	45 (20.9)	12 (16.0)	33 (23.6)		10 (18.2)	34 (21.8)	
Diabetes	14 (6.5)	7 (9.3)	7 (5.0)		3 (5.5)	10 (6.4)	
Residence, ^{c} proportion (%)							
With friend	28/215 (13.0)	5/70 (7.1)	23/122 (18.9)	.03	3/51 (5.9)	25/138 (18.1)	.03
Car and/or other	22/215 (10.2)	8/70 (11.4)	14/122 (11.5)		8/51 (15.7)	14/138 (10.1)	
Shelter	133/215 (61.9)	47/70 (67.1)	86/122 (70.5)		34/51 (66.7)	97/138 (70.3)	
Hospital	11/215 (5.1)	6/70 (8.6)	5/122 (4.1)		5/51 (9.8)	6/138 (4.3)	
Group home	14/215 (6.5)	5/70 (7.1)	9/122 (7.4)		3/51 (5.9)	10/138 (7.2)	
Current smoker	163 (75.8)	61 (81.3)	102 (72.9)		47 (85.5)	114 (73.1)	.06
Share cigarettes	112 (52.1)	34/69 (49.3)	51/128 (39.8)		28 (50.9)	83 (53.2)	
Substance use b							

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 May 20.

		With S. a	With S. aureus test results	With M	With MRSA test results	
Characteristic	Sample ^{<i>d</i>} $(n = 215)$	Positive $(n = 75)$	Sample ^{<i>d</i>} $(n = 215)$ Positive $(n = 75)$ Negative $(n = 140)$ <i>P</i> Positive $(n = 55)$ Negative $(n = 156)$	Positive $(n = 55)$	Negative $(n = 156)$	P
Marijuana	59 (27.4)	16/69 (27.4)	39/131 (29.8)	15 (27.3)	42 (26.9)	
Cocaine	31 (14.4)	10/73 (13.7)	21/131 (16.0)	7 (12.7)	23 (14.7)	
Pain medicine	17 (7.9)	6/73 (8.2)	11/131 (8.4)	3 (5.5)	13 (8.3)	
Incarcerationd	35 (16.3)	10/64 (15.6)	25/119 (21.0)	7 (12.7)	28 (17.9)	
<7 Showers/week	56 (26.0)	25/72 (34.8)	41/137 (29.9)	17 (30.9)	45 (28.8)	

NOTE. Data are no. (%) of people, unless otherwise indicated. There were 215 participants and 211 specimens available for testing. Nonsignificant P values are not listed. SD, standard deviation.

 $^{a}\mathrm{Sample}$ sizes are reduced by missing values for some variables.

 b In prior 30 days.

 c Stayed at least 1 night in prior 30 days.

 $d_{\rm In}$ prior 6 months.