ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Boric acid: a potential chemoprotective agent against aflatoxin b_1 toxicity in human blood

Hasan Turkez · Fatime Geyikoglu

Received: 11 December 2009/Accepted: 7 April 2010/Published online: 30 April 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract Aflatoxin B_1 is the most potent pulmonary and hepatic carcinogen. Since the eradication of Aflatoxin B₁ contamination in agricultural products has been difficult, the use of natural or synthetic free radical scavengers could be a potential chemopreventive strategy. Boric acid is the major component of industry and its antioxidant role has recently been reported. The present study assessed, for the first time, the effectiveness of boric acid following exposure to Aflatoxin B1 on human whole blood cultures. The biochemical characterizations of glutathione and some enzymes have been carried out in erythrocytes. Alterations in malondialdehyde level were determined as an index of oxidative stress. The sister-chromatid exchange and micronucleus tests were performed to assess DNA damages in lymphocytes. Aflatoxin B₁ treatment significantly reduced the activities of antioxidants by increasing malondialdehyde level (30.53 and 51.43%) of blood, whereas, the boric acid led to an increased resistance of DNA to oxidative damage induced by Aflatoxin B_1 in comparison with control values (P < 0.05). In conclusion, the support of boric acid was especially useful in Aflatoxin-toxicated blood. Thus the risk on tissue targeting of Aflatoxin B1 could be reduced ensuring early recovery from its toxicity.

H. Turkez (⊠) · F. Geyikoglu Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Atatürk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey e-mail: hasanturkez@yahoo.com Keywords Aflatoxin $B_1 \cdot Boric$ acid \cdot Genotoxicity \cdot Antioxidant enzymes \cdot Human blood cultures

Introduction

Boron is an ubiquitous constituent of man's external environment and it is essential for the growth of many plants. Boron deficiency and supplementation exert measurable biological effects in human and animal tissues (in osteoporosis, arthritis, plasma lipid profiles and brain function) (Devirian and Volpe 2003). Boron mineral is released in the form of boric acid (BA) to environment. BA is a component of pharmaceuticals and is also used in numerous industrial processes (NTP 1987; Avino-Martinez et al. 2008). Additionally, it is used as a pesticide and food preservative against plant fungicides (Turkoglu 2007). BA having antimicrobial activity (De Seta et al. 2009), is able to strengthen the tissue antioxidant defenses via a yet unidentified mechanism that may involve changes in oxidative metabolism (Hunt and Idso 1999; Pawa and Ali 2006). BA also shows minimal potential for genotoxicity in bacteria and cultured mammalian cells (Moore and Expert Scientific Comittee 1997).

Aflatoxins are contaminants of improperly stored foods. They not only contaminate our food stuffs but

are also found in edible tissues, milk and eggs after consumption of contaminated feed by farm animals (Bennett and Klich 2003). Among various aflatoxins, Aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁) is the most potent carcinogen ever tested (Umarani et al. 2008). AFB_1 is produced by some strains of Aspergillus and ingestion of aflatoxincontaminated food and feed is known to cause hepatotoxicity, teratogenicity, immunotoxicity and even death in farm animals and humans (Guindon et al. 2007). The mechanism of cellular damage caused by AFB₁ has not been fully elucidated (Rastogi et al. 2001). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and lipid peroxidation (LPO) have been considered to be main mechanisms in the toxicity of AFB_1 (Shon et al. 2004). Finally, AFB₁ causes micronucleus (MN), sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs), unscheduled DNA synthesis, and chromosomal strand breaks as well as forms adducts in rodent and human cells (Groopman and Kensler 1999). In 2005, Geyikoglu and Turkez reported similar findings in human lymphocytes in an in vitro model for the first time. They have suggested that blood is a valuable tissue for monitoring the genotoxicity of AFB₁. Virtually, blood offers several advantages as a test system (Lerda et al. 2005). The first is easy availability of large numbers of human blood cells. The second is that lymphocytes can be stimulated by mitogens to undergo mitosis in culture. The third is that the blood cells are potential vulnerable cells. Because, the distinct external entrances with adverse effects of environmental agents are from blood into the other tissues. So blood tissue is specially important for evaluating the risk on target tissues (Laakso et al. 2001). On the other hand, the knowledge of the vascular changes involved in boron compounds presents significant contributions to the effective pharmacokinetic researches (Erica et al. 2001). At this context, genetic and oxidative studies in blood tissue are pivotal. Such studies will serve to evaluate AFB₁ toxicity and improve the therapeutic gain of BA.

So far, antioxidants have attracted much interest with respect to their protective effect against damage by free radical that may be the cause for many diseases including cancer (Shon et al. 2004). Since the complete avoidance of exposure to AFB_1 -producing mould is very difficult, chemoprevention is an attractive strategy for protecting humans and animals from the risk of cancer caused by exposure to this mycotoxin. Oxidative stress can lead to some cancers, artherosclerosis, and adverse effects of aging (Ma et al. 2008). However, the compounds with antioxidant properties contribute to protection of cells and tissues (Ramos et al. 2008). Thus, this study investigated the efficacy of BA against AFB₁-induced DNA damages. Firstly, some oxidative parameters including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), glutathione (GSH) and malondialdehyde (MDA) which are recently used to monitor the development and extent of damage due to oxidative stress in human blood were investigated. In addition, The sisterchromatid exchange (SCE) and micronucleus (MN) tests covering a wide range of induced genetic damage as primary DNA damage were performed on peripheral lymphocytes.

Materials and methods

Experimental design

Blood samples were obtained by veinpuncture from five healthy non-smoking donors. AFB1 (C17H12O6, CAS No. 1162-65-8, 98% purity, Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO. USA; in concentrations of 1.56 and 3.12 ppm) and BA (H₃BO₃, CAS No. 10043-35-3, 99% purity, Sigma, St Louis; in concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 ppm) were dissolved in distilled water. These compounds were added to the cultures just before incubation for biochemical and cytogenetic analysis. Experiments were conform to the guidelines of the World Medical Assembly (Declaration of Helsinki). The concentrations were selected according to the previous studies (Yamashoji and Isshiki 2001; Geyikoglu and Turkez 2005; Turkez et al. 2007). After supplementation of BA and AFB₁, the blood was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C to adjust body conditions, except for testing SCE and MN (see below). Each individual whole blood culture without BA or AFB₁ was studied as a control group.

Erythrocytes

Erythrocytes were obtained from heparinized blood samples by centrifugation (2500g, for 20 min) at 4 °C. The red cells were then washed three times with 5 volumes of phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 150 mmol L^{-1} ·NaCl, 1.9 mmol L^{-1} ·NaH₂PO₄, 8.1 mmol L^{-1} · Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.4), and with a ratio of 1:1 divided into appropriate aliquots and stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

Biochemical methods

SOD activity

SOD activity was determined by the method of Misra and Fridovich (1972), which is based on the ability of SOD to inhibit the process of epinephrine selfoxidation in alkaline medium. In the reaction of colored adrenochrome formation, the superoxide anion-radical is formed as an intermediate product. Erythrocyte SOD activity was measured by monitoring the increase in the absorbance at 480 nm.

CAT activity

CAT was determined in erythrocytes by the method of Aebi (1984). To $3 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (54 mM $\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0), 5 µL of a catalase solution was added and the decrease in H₂O₂ was measured spectrophotometrically (Beckman DU 500, USA) at 240 nm for 60 s at 25 °C. In the erythrocyte preparations, haemolysates were centrifuged (9000 g) and estimation of activity was made with 1% haemolysates. One unit of catalase activity was defined as the activity required for degrade 1 µmol hydrogen peroxide in 60 s.

GSH-Px activity

GSH-Px activity of erythrocytes was measured using hydrogen peroxide as substrate (Carlberg and Mannervik 1972). Potassium azide was added to inhibit catalase. Potassium ferricyanide was added to inhibit the pseudo-peroxidase activity of hemoglobin. Conversion of NADPH was monitored continuously in a spectrophotometer at 340 nm for 3 min at 25 °C.

GSH level

The level of GSH in erythrocytes was determined by the method of Elman (1959). Erythrocyte suspension was deproteinated by addition of trichloroacetic acid (TCA). DTNB [5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid)] was added to supernatants cleared by centrifugation. The formation of 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid, which is proportional to total glutathione concentration, was monitored at 412 nm at 25 °C against reagent blank.

MDA level

The content of MDA was measured in plasma preparations by the thiobarbutiric acid (TBA) method which was modified from methods of Satoh (1978) and Yagi (1984). Peroxidation was determined as the production of MDA which, in combination with TBA, forms a pink chromogen compound whose absorbance at 532 nm was measured.

Cytogenetic methods

SCE assay

Cultures were set up according to a slight modification of the protocol described by Evans and O'Riordan (1975). A 0.5 mL aliquot of heparinized blood was cultured in 6 mL of culture medium (Chromosome Medium B, Biochrom, Berlin) with 5 µg/mL of phytohemagglutinin (Biochrom). Above-mentioned doses of test compounds were added into the culture tubes. With the aim of providing successive visualization of SCEs, 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (Sigma) was added at culture initation. The cultures were incubated in complete darkness for 72 h at 37 °C. Exactly 70 h and 30 min after beginning of the incubations, demecolcine (N-Diacetyl-N-methylcolchicine, Sigma) was added to the cultures. After hypotonic treatment (0.075 M KCl), followed by three repetitive cycles of fixation in methanol/acetic acid solution (3:1, v/v), centrifugation, and resuspension, the cell suspension was dropped onto chilled, grease-free microscopic slides, air-dried, aged for 3 days, and then differentially stained for the inspection of the SCE rate according to the fluorescence plus Giemsa (FPG) procedure as reported by Perry and Wolff (1974). For each treatment condition, wellspread twenty-five second division metaphases containing 42-46 chromosomes per cell were scored, and the values obtained were calculated as SCEs per cell.

MN assay

The MN test was performed by adding cytochalasin B (Sigma) after 44 h of culture. At the end of the 72-h incubation period, the lymphocytes were fixed with

ice-cold methanol/acetic acid (1:1, v/v). The fixed cells were put directly on slides using a cytospin, and stained with Giemsa solution. All slides were coded before scoring. The criteria for scoring MN were as described by Fenech (1993). At least 1,000 binucle-ated lymphocytes were examined per concentration for the presence of one, two or more MN.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Software (version 12.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used to compare SCE frequencies between treated and control groups. The statistical analysis of MN frequencies was also performed by use of the χ^2 test. For statistical analysis of biochemical data Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was used. Statistical decisions were made with a significance level of 0.05.

Results

Table 1 reveals the abnormal activities of antioxidant enzymes in human blood treated with AFB₁. The activities of erythrocyte SOD, CAT and GSH-Px were decreased by 37.55, 21.11 and 21.70%, respectively in low dose AFB₁ when compared to controls. Activities of these marker enzymes were significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in erythrocytes of blood cultures treated with 3.12 ppm AFB₁, whereas, all BA doses (except for 0.25 ppm) caused increases of all enzyme activities. It was noteworthy that the cultures treated with BA against AFB₁ restored these enzyme levels (especially at 0.5, 1, 2 and 5 ppm) to nearly that of control values indicating the protective role of BA.

Table 2 shows the effects of BA on AFB₁induced MDA and antioxidant substrate. BA alone was able to increase significantly the amount of GSH without affecting the MDA level of blood. Moreover, 30.53 and 51.43% rise in MDA seen in the low and high doses of AFB₁, respectively, were maintained at normal levels in the presence of BA. The significant decreases (P < 0.05) in the levels of GSH were also seen for both AFB₁ doses. On the contrary, BA treatment restored the levels of this nonenzymic antioxidant to control level, thereby indicating that BA protects blood against oxidative stress-induced depletion of antioxidant. However, the lowest BA concentration (0.25 ppm) was not found to be effective.

Figure 1 shows the results of SCE and MN analyzed in human peripheral lymphocytes treated for 72 h with different concentrations of AFB₁ in the presence or absence of BA. Frequencies of SCE and MN observed in cultures treated with AFB₁ (1.56 and 3.12 ppm) were significantly higher than control values. However, BA did not lead to genetic damages for all tested concentrations. Moreover, it was efficient against AFB1-induced genotoxicity in blood cells. The co-application of 1.56 ppm AFB₁ and BA caused the significant decreases in SCEs/cell (at 1, 2 and 5 ppm) and MN/1000 cell (except for 20 ppm) values compared to AFB₁ treated alone. On the other hand, the negative effects of 3.12 ppm AFB_1 were moderated with all tested BA concentrations (except for 0.25 ppm) but the values were still different from the control levels.

Discussion

Oxidative stress develops when the levels of antioxidants are lowered. In the present study, the toxic effects of increasing doses of AFB1 involved decreased antioxidant enzyme levels and increased MDA content. Decline in the activities of antioxidant enzymes after AFB1 administration might be due to the inactivation of these enzymes. Antioxidant enzymes like SOD, CAT and GSH-Px form the first line of defense against ROS and a decrease in their activities is observed after AFB1 administration (Rastogi et al. 2001; Verma and Nair 2001). The antioxidant enzymes can be induced or inhibited in the blood cells exposed to different toxicants and these enzymes play a main role in the defence of mammalian blood (Prasad et al. 2006). Souza et al. (1999) reported that the oxidative stress is the principle manifestation of AFB1-induced toxicity which could be mitigated by antioxidants. Our previous study (Turkez et al. 2007) and the current study well establish that BA alone does not lead to the induction of oxidative stress. On the contrary, it modifies the oxidative metabolism as a result of the supporting antioxidant capacity. BA is able to increase significantly the activity of antioxidant enzymes without affecting the MDA level of blood. The increase in the MDA level is an important sign of

Groups	SOD activity	CAT activity	GSH-Px activity
Control	90.16 ± 10.25	270.33 ± 29.32	9127.36 ± 679.58
AFB_1 (L)	$51.30 \pm 7.65^*$	$203.26 \pm 23.64*$	$7102.28 \pm 635.42 *$
AFB_1 (H)	$39.73 \pm 6.18^*$	$181.71 \pm 21.36^*$	$6284.41 \pm 585.95^*$
BA1	91.12 ± 11.45	266.22 ± 24.33	9080.25 ± 663.14
BA2	$115.32 \pm 19.43^{**}$	268.17 ± 26.92	9089.18 ± 438.35
BA3	$109.40 \pm 13.71^{**}$	$289.19 \pm 30.17^{**}$	9171.65 ± 587.40
BA4	$108.11 \pm 12.96^{**}$	$291.75 \pm 27.83^{**}$	9537.11 ± 757.18**
BA5	$111.61 \pm 14.71^{**}$	$282.62 \pm 24.42^{**}$	9976.75 ± 683.34**
BA6	$118.19 \pm 13.66^{**}$	$291.48 \pm 27.74^{**}$	$10192.24 \pm 711.27 **$
BA7	102.52 ± 10.27	277.71 ± 22.90	9134.63 ± 626.68
$AFB_1(L) + BA1$	$54.41 \pm 8.23^*$	$212.27 \pm 24.14*$	$7211.27 \pm 557.63*$
AFB_1 (L) + BA2	96.43 ± 8.69	$226.65 \pm 23.13^*$	8977.52 ± 589.17
AFB_1 (L) + BA3	89.91 ± 7.13	$247.82 \pm 26.67^*$	9218.20 ± 587.44
$AFB_1 (L) + BA4$	87.41 ± 9.05	268.41 ± 21.91	9074.44 ± 603.76
AFB_1 (L) + BA5	86.36 ± 8.84	266.12 ± 25.82	$8796.30 \pm 563.22*$
$AFB_1(L) + BA6$	$83.30 \pm 8.77^*$	$246.18 \pm 26.68^*$	$8615.53 \pm 497.16 *$
$AFB_1(L) + BA7$	$72.90 \pm 8.65^*$	$244.33 \pm 21.67*$	$8207.75 \pm 514.29*$
AFB_1 (H) + BA1	$40.24 \pm 6.22^*$	$184.86 \pm 17.76^*$	$6332.34 \pm 421.72^*$
AFB_1 (H) + BA2	$75.63 \pm 9.44*$	$221.67 \pm 19.41^*$	$7147.23 \pm 427.63*$
AFB_1 (H) + BA3	$83.68 \pm 7.14^*$	$246.75 \pm 22.81^*$	$7996.65 \pm 493.45 *$
AFB_1 (H) + BA4	$74.18 \pm 8.47^*$	$257.55 \pm 26.25^*$	$8047.93 \pm 622.41 *$
AFB_1 (H) + BA5	$83.79 \pm 9.96^*$	$255.62 \pm 23.74^*$	$8068.22 \pm 587.19 *$
$AFB_1(H) + BA6$	$70.38 \pm 7.14*$	$251.18 \pm 21.98*$	$7338.39 \pm 496.23 *$
AFB_1 (H) + BA7	$67.13 \pm 6.92^*$	$250.63 \pm 24.33^*$	$7142.61\pm 505.11*$

Table 1 Activities of SOD, CAT and GSHPx in human erythrocytes incubated with different concentrations of AFB₁ and BA

Units: SOD: U/mL; CAT: U/g Hb; GSH-Px: U/L. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD for five cultures in each group. * Statistically significant decreases, ** Statistically significant increases at *P* < 0.05 level from the control group. AFB₁ (L): 1.56 ppm, AFB₁ (H): 3.12 ppm, BA1: 0.25 ppm, BA2: 0.5 ppm, BA3: 1 ppm, BA4: 2 ppm, BA5: 5 ppm, BA6: 10 ppm, BA7: 20 ppm

oxidative stress (Kim et al. 2006). The MDA levels are determined as an index of LPO and LPO is one of the main manifestations of the toxicity and carcinogenesis of many carcinogens (Grammatikos et al. 1994; Rastogi et al. 2001; Siu et al. 2008). The marked increases in MDA content after AFB₁exposure could contribute to the effect of AFB_1 on antioxidant defense system. GSH is a tripeptide containing cysteine and AFB₁ easily conjugates with GSH (Janssen et al. 1993). GSH is also a major component of red blood cells that plays a central role in the antioxidant defenses of cells (Meister 1983; Ray 1984). Whereas, the significant decrease in the levels of GSH observed in erythrocytes after AFB₁ exposure causes decreased degradation of lipid peroxides leading to their accumulation thus amplifying the toxicity

of AFB₁. GSH has a critical role in the protection of tissues from the deleterious effects of activated AFB₁ and consequently the consumption of GSH causes significant decreases in the activity of GSH-Px (Larsson et al. 1994). The reduction in the activity of GSH-Px on AFB₁ administration may be due to decrease in the availability of the substrate (GSH) and also due to ROS induced alterations in its protein structure (Janssen et al. 1993). It is predicted that the induction of GSH-Px following exposure to AFB₁ might contribute to reduction in covalent binding of AFB₁ to macromolecules as reported in liver (Loury and Hsieh 1984). Likewise, supplementation of BA in blood tissue increases the GSH status resulting in the increase in GSH-Px activity thereby preventing the deleterious effects of peroxidation products. In our

Groups	GSH level	MDA level
Control	51.23 ± 4.83	358.30 ± 29.96
AFB_1 (L)	$40.46 \pm 4.27*$	447.70 ± 39.41**
AFB ₁ (H)	$31.25 \pm 4.10^*$	522.60 ± 44.83**
BA1	51.60 ± 4.55	353.30 ± 26.80
BA2	51.47 ± 4.69	337.10 ± 27.75
BA3	57.62 ± 5.03**	339.40 ± 26.61
BA4	53.20 ± 4.92	321.10 ± 21.90
BA5	58.71 ± 5.39**	335.20 ± 23.35
BA6	56.91 ± 5.13**	346.60 ± 30.72
BA7	52.12 ± 4.97	338.30 ± 26.97
$AFB_1(L) + BA1$	$42.28 \pm 4.17*$	433.60 ± 38.93*
$AFB_1(L) + BA2$	46.39 ± 3.98*	367.70 ± 34.57
$AFB_1(L) + BA3$	49.61 ± 4.46	354.40 ± 29.13
$AFB_1(L) + BA4$	50.49 ± 5.65	369.80 ± 37.41
$AFB_1(L) + BA5$	49.91 ± 4.51	364.10 ± 34.22
$AFB_1(L) + BA6$	$47.02 \pm 4.88*$	$401.20 \pm 36.25*$
$AFB_1(L) + BA7$	$45.41 \pm 4.32*$	$394.60 \pm 37.74*$
$AFB_1(H) + BA1$	$32.44 \pm 3.87*$	$496.80 \pm 41.62 *$
AFB_1 (H) + BA2	$43.20 \pm 3.72^*$	363.20 ± 31.10
AFB_1 (H) + BA3	$44.65 \pm 3.95^*$	358.70 ± 32.82
$AFB_1(H) + BA4$	$43.45 \pm 4.29*$	356.60 ± 27.96
AFB_1 (H) + BA5	$43.62 \pm 4.23^*$	361.70 ± 28.33
$AFB_1(H) + BA6$	$39.40 \pm 3.78*$	$419.80 \pm 39.45^*$
$AFB_1(H) + BA7$	$37.76 \pm 3.69*$	$427.80 \pm 41.43^*$

Table 2 The GSH and MDA levels in human blood cultures treated with of AFB_1 and BA

Units: MDA: μ M/L; GSH: M/L. Abbreviations and symbols are as in Table 1

study, BA also showed significant antioxidant actions at concentrations higher than 0.25 ppm against AFB₁ toxicity. BA's protective role derives from its stimulatory effect on SOD, CAT, GSH-Px and GSH and its inhibitory action on MDA. SOD catalyses the dismutation of superoxide to hydrogen peroxide and oxygen which are deleterious to polyunsaturated fatty acids and structural proteins of plasma membrane (Johnson and Giulivi 2005). Endogenous H₂O₂ is converted to H_2O by catalase (Svistunenko 2005). The CAT activity protects lipids and proteins against peroxide radicals in erythrocyte membranes (Hunt and Idso 1999). The rising of activities of antioxidant enzymes after BA administration indicates that this action of BA may be mediated via a nuclear BA receptor with a subsequent action on gene expression. Studies on antioxidants in animal cells have revealed that many known anti-oxidants act through the stimulation of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in ROS scavenging such as SOD, CAT and GSH-Px (Harschman et al. 1988).

The enzyme inactivations induced by AFB₁ also corroborate by the genotoxic findings. The results obtained by us indicate a significant increase in the ratios of the SCEs and MNs in lymphocytes, which is in accordance with previous reports (Groopman and Kensler 1999; Geyikoglu and Turkez 2005). The SCEs are formed by toxic oxygen metabolites in cultured human leukocytes and other mammalian cells (Weitberg et al. 1983). AFB₁ toxicity is also related to LPO and oxidation of DNA in vivo and in vitro (Shen et al. 1996). Thus, the genome formation leading to carcinogenesis could be occured by this xenobiotic (Amici et al. 2007). Lipid peroxides enter the nucleus where they react with Fe^{+2} to generate the alkoxyl radical which attacks DNA (Fraga and Tappel 1988). Also, intracellular calcium levels increase as a result of oxidative damage to cell membranes, calcium then enters the nucleus where it can activate nucleases which cause DNA strand breaks (McConkey et al. 1989). DNA damages and defective DNA repairs cause SCEs (Bozkurt et al. 2003). On the other hand, MNs are the results of acentric fragments or lagging chromosomes that fail to incorporate into either of the daughter nuclei during telophase of the mitotic cells (Albertini et al. 2000). It was established that BA alone was nongenotoxic. Moreover, the treatment with BA significantly decreased the ratios of the SCEs and MNs compared with those of the AFB₁ treated groups. The biologically fundamental macromolecules in mammalian cells such as nucleic acids and proteins are protected by antioxidants (Kedziora-Kornatowska et al. 2004). Thus, our results reveal that BA exhibits antigenotoxic and antioxidant properties at concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 20 ppm. We suggest that it may be a chemopharmaceutical molecule of interest against AFB₁. BA is beneficial in cases of aflatoxicosis to inhibit blood cell damage by correcting the disturbance of oxidant/antioxidant balance system and ensures early recovery from AFB₁ toxicity and thereby decreases incidences of DNA damages. It also appears that biochemical and genotoxic tests dealing with BA reveal safe results for a food preservative. In conclusion, the present study is the first report describing BA's ability to



Fig. 1 The frequencies of SCEs and MNs (‰) in human blood cultures treated with different concentrations of AFB₁ and BA. Values are expressed as mean \pm SD for five cultures in each group. ** Statistically significant increases at P < 0.05 level

protect nuclear DNA from oxidative damage against AFB_1 and it is speculated that BA protective effects are a consequence of its ability to reduce MDA formation and increase associated antioxidants.

Acknowledgments This investigation was supported by Atatürk University (BAP-2004/172 and 2008/76). We are greatful to volunteers for the blood samples. The author is greatful to Savaş Yeşilyurt for his proofreading the article.

References

- Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. In: Packer L (ed) Methods in enzymology. Academic Press, Orlando, pp 1–126
- Albertini RJ, Anderson D, Douglas GR, Hagmar L, Hemminki K, Merlo F, Natarajan AT, Norppa H, Shuker DE, Tice R, Waters MD, Aitio A (2000) IPCS guidelines for the monitoring of genotoxic effects of carcinogens in humans. International Programme on Chemical Safety. Mutat Res 473:111–172
- Amici M, Cecarini V, Pettinari A, Bonfili L, Angeletti M, Barocci S, Biagetti M, Fioretti E, Maria Eleuteri A (2007) Binding of aflatoxins to the 20S proteasome: effects on enzyme functionality and implications for oxidative stress and apoptosis. Biol Chem 388:107–117
- Avino-Martinez JA, España-Gregori E, Peris-Martínez CP, Blanes M (2008) Successful boric acid treatment of *Aspergillus niger* infection in an exenterated orbit. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg 24:79–81

from the control group. (*filled diamond*) symbol represents mean SCE/cell and (*filled square*) symbol represents mean MN/1000 cell. Abbreviations are as in Table 1

- Bennett JW, Klich M (2003) Mycotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev 16:497–516
- Bozkurt G, Yuksel M, Karabogaz G, Sut N, Savran FO, Palanduz S, Yigitbasi ON, Algunes C (2003) Sister chromatid exchanges in lymphocytes of nuclear medicine physicians. Mutat Res 535:205–213
- Carlberg I, Mannervik B (1972) Purification and characterrization of the flavoenzyme glutathione reductase from rat liver. J Biol Chem 250:5475–5480
- De Seta F, Schmidt M, Vu B, Essmann M, Larsen B (2009) Antifungal mechanisms supporting boric acid therapy of *Candida vaginitis*. J Antimicrob Chemother 63:325–336
- Devirian TA, Volpe SL (2003) The physiological effects of dietary boron. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 43:219–231
- Elman GK (1959) Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Arch Biochem Biopyhs 8:70–77
- Erica LK, Maria EI, Alejandra D, Ricardo G, Silvia F, Daniel B, Amanda ES (2001) The hamster cheek pouch as a model of oral cancer for boron neutron capture therapy studies. Cancer Res 61:8775–8781
- Evans HJ, O'Riordan ML (1975) Human peripheral blood lymphocytes for the analysis of chromosome aberrations in mutagen tests. Mutat Res 31:135–148
- Fenech M (1993) The cytokinesis blocks micronucleus technique. A detailed description on the method and its application to genotoxicity studies in human population. Mutat Res 285:35–44
- Fraga CG, Tappel AL (1988) Damage to DNA concurrent with lipid peroxidation in rat liver slices. Biochem J 252:893–896
- Geyikoglu F, Turkez H (2005) Protective effect of sodium selenite on genotoxicity to human whole blood cultures induced by aflatoxin B1. Brazil Arch Biol Technol 48:905–910

- Grammatikos SI, Subbaiah PV, Victor TA, Miller WM (1994) Diverse effects of essential (n-6 and n-3) fatty acids on cultured cells. Cytotechnology 15:31–50
- Groopman JD, Kensler TW (1999) The light at the end of the tunnel for chemical-specific biomarkers: daylight or headlight? Phytother Res 15:307–310
- Guindon KA, Bedard LL, Massey TE (2007) Elevation of 8hydroxydeoxyguanosine in DNA from isolated Mouse lung cells following in vivo treetment with aflatoxin B(1). Toxicol Sci 98:57–62
- Harschman KD, Moye-Rowley WS, Parker CS (1988) Transcriptional activation by the SV40 AP-1 recognition element in yeast is mediated by a factor similar to AP-1 that is distinct from GCN4. Cell 53:321–330
- Hunt CD, Idso JP (1999) Dietary boron as a physiological regulator of the normal inflammatory response: a review and current research progress. J Trace Elem Exp Med 12:221–233
- Janssen YM, Van Houten B, Borm PJ, Mossman BT (1993) Cell and tissue responses to oxidative damage. Lab Invest 69:261–274
- Johnson F, Giulivi C (2005) Superoxide dismutases and their impact upon human health. Mol Aspects Med 26: 340–352
- Kedziora-Kornatowska K, Czuczejko J, Pawluk H, Kornatowski T, Motyl J, Szadujkis-Szadurski L, Szewczyk-Golec K, Kedziora J (2004) The markers of oxidative stress and activity of the antioxidant system in the blood of elderly patients with essential arterial hypertension. Cell Mol Biol Lett 9:635–641
- Kim H, Oh E, Im H, Mun J, Yang M, Khim JY, Lee E, Lim SH, Kong MH, Lee M, Sul D (2006) Oxidative damages in the DNA, lipids, and proteins of rats exposed to isofluranes and alcohols. Toxicology 220:169–178
- Laakso J, Kulvik M, Ruokonen I, Vähätalo J, Zilliacus R, Färkkilä M, Kallio M (2001) Atomic emission method for total boron in blood during neutron-capture therapy. Clin Chem 47:1796–1803
- Larsson P, Busk L, Tjälve H (1994) Hepatic and extrahepatic bioactivation and GSH conjugation of aflatoxin B1 in sheep. Carcinogenesis 15:947–955
- Lerda D, Biaggi Bistoni M, Peralta N, Ychari S, Vazquez M, Bosio G (2005) Fumonisins in foods from Cordoba (Argentina), presence and genotoxicity. Food Chem Toxicol 43:691–698
- Loury DN, Hsieh DP (1984) Effects of chronic exposure to aflatoxin B1 and aflatoxin M1 on the in vivo covalent binding of aflatoxin B1 to hepatic macromolecules. J Toxicol Environ Health 13:575–587
- Ma A, Qi S, Chen H (2008) Antioxidant therapy for prevention of inflammation, ischemic reperfusion injuries and allograft rejection. Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med Chem 6:20–43
- McConkey DJ, Hartzell P, Jondal M, Orrenius S (1989) Inhibition of DNA fragmentation in thymocytes and isolated thymocyte nuclei by agents that stimulate protein kinase C. J Biol Chem 264:13399–13402
- Meister A (1983) Selective modification of glutathione metabolism. Science 220:472–477
- Misra HP, Fridovich K (1972) The generation of superoxide radical during the autooxidation of hemoglobin. J Biol Chem 247:6960–6962

- Moore JA, ExpertScientific Committee (1997) An assessment of boric acid and borax using the IEHR evaluvative process for assessing human developmental and reproductive toxicity of agents. Reprod Toxicol 11:123–160
- NTP (1987) Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of boric acid (CAS No. 10043-35-3) in B6C3F1 Mice (Feed Studies). Natl Toxicol Program Tech Rep Ser 324:1–126
- Pawa S, Ali S (2006) Boron ameliorates fulminant hepatic failure by counteracting the changes associated with the oxidative stress. Chem-Biol Inter 160:89–98
- Perry P, Wolff S (1974) New Giemsa method for the differential staining of sister chromatids. Nature 251:156–158
- Prasad NR, Srinivasan M, Pugalendi KV, Menon VP (2006) Protective effect of ferulic acid on gamma-radiationinduced micronucleus, dicentric aberration and lipid peroxidation in human lymphocytes. Mutat Res 603:129–134
- Ramos AA, Lima CF, Pereira ML, Fernandes-Ferreira M, Pereira-Wilson C (2008) Antigenotoxic effects of quercetin, rutin and ursolic acid on HepG2 cells: evaluation by the comet assay. Toxicol Lett 177:66–73
- Rastogi R, Srivastava AK, Rastogi AK (2001) Long term effect of aflatoxin B(1) on lipid peroxidation in rat liver and kidney: effect of picroliv and silymarin. Phytother Res 15:307–310
- Ray JH (1984) Sister-chromatid exchange induction by sodium selenite: reduced glutathione converts Na2SeO3 to its SCE-inducing form. Mutat Res 141:49–53
- Satoh K (1978) Serum lipoperoxides in cerebrovascular disorders determined by colorimetric method. Clin Chim Acta 90:37–43
- Shen HM, Shi CY, Shen Y, Ong CN (1996) Detection of elevated reactive oxygen species level in cultured rat hepatocytes treated with aflatoxin B1. Free Radic Biol Med 21:139–146
- Shon MY, Choi SD, Kahng GG, Nam SH, Sung NJ (2004) Antimutagenic, antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of ethyl acetate extracts from white, yellow and red onions. Food Chem Toxicol 42:659–666
- Siu AW, Lau MK, Cheng JS, Chow CK, Tam WC, Li KK, Lee DK, To TS, To CH, Do CW (2008) Glutamate-induced retinal lipid and protein damage: the protective effects of catechin. Neurosci Lett 432:193–197
- Souza MF, Tome AR, Rao VS (1999) Inhibition by the bioflavonoid ternatin of aflatoxin B1-induced lipid peroxidation in rat liver. J Pharm Pharmacol 51:125–129
- Svistunenko DA (2005) Reaction of haem containing proteins and enzymes with hydroperoxides: the radical view. Biochim Biophys Acta 1707:127–155
- Turkez H, Geyikoğlu F, Tatar A, Keleş S, Ozkan A (2007) Effects of some boron compounds on peripheral human blood. Z Naturforsch [C] 62:889–896
- Turkoglu S (2007) Genotoxicity of five food preservatives tested on root tips of Allium cepa L. Mutat Res 626:4–14
- Umarani M, Shanthi P, Sachdanandam P (2008) Protective effect of Kalpaamruthaa in combating the oxidative stress posed by aflatoxin B1-induced hepatocellular carcinoma with special reference to flavonoid structure-activity relationship. Liver Int 28:200–213
- Verma RJ, Nair A (2001) Ameliorative effect of vitamin E on aflatoxin-induced lipid peroxidation in the testis of mice. Asian J Androl 3:217–221

- Weitberg AB, Weitzman SA, Destrempes M, Latt SA, Stossel TP (1983) Stimulated human phagocytes produce cytogenetic changes in cultured mammalian cells. N Engl J Med 308:26–30
- Yagi K (1984) Assay for plasma lipid peroxides. Methods Enzymol 109:328-331
- Yamashoji S, Isshiki K (2001) Rapid detection of cytotoxicity of food additives and contaminants by a novel cytotoxicity test, menadione-catalyzed H(2)O (2) production assay. Cytotechnology 37:171–178