# **Mouse Dfa Is a Repressor of TATA-box Promoters and Interacts with the Abt1 Activator of Basal Transcription\***

Received for publication, February 27, 2010, and in revised form, March 30, 2010 Published, JBC Papers in Press, March 31, 2010, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M110.118638

**Christopher S. Brower, Lucia Veiga**<sup>1</sup> **, Richard H. Jones**<sup>2</sup> **, and Alexander Varshavsky**<sup>3</sup>

*From the Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

**Our study of the mouse Ate1 arginyltransferase, a component of the N-end rule pathway, has shown that** *Ate1* **pre-mRNA is produced from a bidirectional promoter that also expresses, in the opposite direction, a previously uncharacterized gene (Hu, R. G., Brower, C. S., Wang, H., Davydov, I. V., Sheng, J., Zhou, J., Kwon, Y. T., and Varshavsky, A. (2006)** *J. Biol. Chem.* **281, 32559–32573). In this work, we began analyzing this gene, termed** *Dfa* **(divergent from Ate1). Mouse** *Dfa* **was found to be transcribed from both the bidirectional** *PAte1/Dfa* **promoter and other nearby promoters. The resulting transcripts are alternatively spliced, yielding a complex set of** *Dfa* **mRNAs that are present largely, although not exclusively, in the testis. A specific** *Dfa* **mRNA encodes, via its 3-terminal exon, a 217-residue protein termed DfaA. Other** *Dfa* **mRNAs also contain this exon. DfaA is sequelogous (similar in sequence) to a region of the human/mouse HTEX4 protein, whose physiological function is unknown. We produced an affinity-purified antibody to recombinant mouse DfaA that detected a 35-kDa protein in the mouse testis and in several cell lines. Experiments in which RNA interference was used to down-regulate** *Dfa* **indicated that the 35-kDa protein was indeed DfaA. Furthermore, DfaA was present in the interchromatin granule clusters and was also found to bind to the Ggnbp1 gametogenetin-binding protein-1 and to the Abt1 activator of basal transcription that interacts with the TATA-binding protein. Given these results, RNA interference was used to probe the influence of Dfa levels in luciferase reporter assays. We found that DfaA acts as a repressor of TATA-box transcriptional promoters.**

This study stems from a serendipitous finding (described below) in our 2006 investigation of the mouse Arg-tRNA-protein transferase (R-transferase), encoded by the *Ate1* gene. The Ate1 R-transferase is a component of the N-end rule pathway of protein degradation. In eukaryotes, this pathway is a part of the ubiquitin-proteasome system. The N-end rule relates the *in vivo* half-life of a protein to the identity of its N-terminal residue  $(1-13)$ . N-terminal degradation signals of the N-end rule pathway are called N-degrons. The main determinant of an N-degron is a destabilizing N-terminal residue of a substrate protein. Among such residues, some are primary destabilizing N-terminal residues, which are recognized directly by ubiquitin ligases of the N-end rule pathway, called N-recognins. Other destabilizing N-terminal residues, called secondary or tertiary destabilizing residues, must be modified through deamidation and/or arginylation (6, 7, 14) or, alternatively, through N-terminal acetylation (13) before the corresponding proteins can be targeted by cognate N-recognins. In particular, the destabilizing activity of N-terminal Asp and Glu requires their conjugation, by the Ate1 R-transferase  $(6, 7, 12, 14-16)$ , to Arg, one of the primary destabilizing residues. In eukaryotes that produce nitric oxide, R-transferase arginylates not only N-terminal Asp and Glu but also Cys, after its conversion to Cys-sulfinate or Cys-sulfonate, in reactions that require nitric oxide and oxygen (6, 17). Alternative splicing of the mouse *Ate1* pre-mRNA produces at least six isoforms of R-transferase, a metabolically unstable protein whose enzymatic activity and the *in vivo* halflife are down-regulated by heme (7, 12, 14–16).

We found that the transcriptional promoter of mouse *Ate1* is bidirectional, driving the expression of both *Ate1* and an oppositely oriented, previously uncharacterized gene (14), which was termed *Dfa* (divergent from Ate1). We wished to explore *Dfa* because the proximity and head-to-head arrangement of *Ate1* and *Dfa* (Fig. 1*A*) suggested that the corresponding gene products, R-transferase and Dfa, might be functionally linked, either directly or through belonging to a specific regulatory circuit. This work is the beginning of *Dfa* studies. We show that *Dfa* is transcribed from both the bidirectional  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$  promoter and other nearby promoters. The resulting transcripts are alternatively spliced, yielding a complex set of *Dfa* mRNAs that are present largely, although not exclusively, in the testis. A specific *Dfa* mRNA encodes, via its 3'-terminal exon, a 217residue protein, termed Dfa<sup>A</sup>. Other *Dfa* mRNAs also contain this exon (Fig. 1,  $D-F$ ). Dfa<sup>A</sup> is sequelogous (similar in sequence) (18) to a region of the previously described human/mouse HTEX4 protein, whose physiological function is unknown. We produced an affinity-purified antibody to the 26-kDa recombinant mouse Dfa<sup>A</sup>, whose apparent molecular mass, upon SDS-PAGE, is 35 kDa. This antibody detected a 35-kDa protein in the mouse testis, in mouse NIH-3T3 cells, and in human HeLa and HEK-293T cells.



<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Experiments in which RNAi<sup>4</sup> was used to down-regulate *Dfa* in Grants GM31530 and DK39520 (to A. V.). This work was also supported by a

grant from the March of Dimes Foundation.<br><sup>1</sup> Present address: Dept. of Biotechnology, Instituto de Higiene, Facultad de<br>Medicina, Montevideo CP 11600, Uruguay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Present address: Stanford University School of Medicine, 300 Pasteur Dr.,

Stanford, CA 94305.<br><sup>3</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 626-395-3785; Fax: 626-440-9821; E-mail: avarsh@caltech.edu.

<sup>4</sup> The abbreviations used are: RNAi, RNA interference; PMSF, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; HA, hemagglutinin; sh, short hairpin; RT, reverse transcription; EST, expressed sequence tag; IGC, interchromatin granule cluster; EGFP, enhanced GFP; GFP, green fluorescent protein; RACE, rapid amplification of cDNA ends; ORF, open reading frame; DBD, DNA-binding domain.

NIH-3T3 cells indicated that the 35-kDa protein (recognized by anti-Dfa<sup>A</sup> antibody) was indeed  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$ . This protein is both nuclear and cytoplasmic. Biochemical fractionations suggested an association of Dfa<sup>A</sup> with membranes or other rapidly sedimenting structures. Transient expression of a GFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> fusion protein indicated that Dfa<sup>A</sup> was present preferentially in the interchromatin granule clusters (IGCs). In addition,  $Dfa^A$  was found to interact with specific proteins, including the Abt1 transcriptional activator. Given these results, RNAi was used to down-regulate *Dfa* in assays with NIH-3T3 cells and a luciferase reporter expressed from a TATA-box transcriptional promoter. We found that Dfa<sup>A</sup> acts as a repressor of this promoter but does not influence the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter, which contains a CpG island and lacks the TATA-box. Contrary to our expectation, no functional or mechanistic connections between the Dfa protein(s) and isoforms of the Ate1 R-transferase were detected so far, apart from the proximity of their head-to-head oriented genes and the antisense orientation of some among the *Dfa* and *Ate1* transcripts (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*RT-PCR*—Total RNA from the brain, kidney, liver, lung, spleen, and testis (C57 mice) was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was carried out using the Superscript kit and oligo(dT) primer (Invitrogen). These samples were then used to carry out either standard PCR or 5-RACE, using Invitrogen GeneRacer kit (catalog no. L1500- 01, version J). The following oligonucleotide primers were employed to detect, by RT-PCR, the *Dfa* cDNA-I (Fig. 1*E*): forward 5'-CGACTGGAGCACGAGGACACTGA-3' (GeneRacer 5' Primer); reverse 5'-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTCGGC-3' (CA465465-Rev). The primers for the nested reaction were as follows: forward 5'-GGACACTGACATGGACTGAAGG-AGTA-3' (GeneRacer 5' Nested Primer); reverse 5'-CCTGT-TGGAACTTTTGGACTAACAG-3' (CA465465-Rev2). The primers that yielded the *Dfa* cDNA-II were as follows: forward 5-GGACACTGACATGGACTGAAGGAGTA-3 (GeneRacer 5' Nested Primer); reverse 5'-CCTGTTGGAACTTTTGGAC-TAACAG-3 (CA465465-Rev2). The primers that yielded the Dfa cDNA-III were as follows: forward 5'-GCCCTTGTATTC-CACCACCG-3; reverse 5-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTC-GGC-3 (CA465465-Rev). The primers that yielded the *Dfa* cDNA-IV were as follows: forward 5-GCCCTTGTATTCCA-CCACCG-3; reverse 5-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTCGGC-3 (CA465465-Rev). The primers that yielded the *Dfa* cDNA-V and cDNA-VI were as follows: forward 5'-CCTCCTCTGC-CTGCCAGG-3; reverse 5-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTC-GGC-3' (CA465465-Rev). 3'-RACE reactions were performed using nested PCRs and Invitrogen GeneRacer kit (version J) according to the manufacturer's protocol and a forward primer specific for the *Dfa* exon 7, which is shared by *Dfa* cDNAs characterized so far (Fig. 1E) as follows: 5'-CCGAAAATGGCCTG-GGCAAAGG-3'; GeneRacer 3' Primer 5'-GCTGTCAACGA-TACGCTACGTAACG-3', and GeneRacer 3' Nested Primer 5-CGCTACGTAACGGCATGACAGTG-3.

*Northern Hybridization*—Northern blots of mRNAs from mouse tissues, containing 2  $\mu$ g of mouse poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA per lane (Clontech), were first probed with a 180-bp DNA fragment specific for the *Dfa* exon 3 (Fig. 1*G*). This fragment was produced by PCR from the RT-PCR-derived *Dfa* cDNA III, using primers CB120 (5'-GATGAAGCTGCTGATGCTGC-3') and CB121 (5'-CTTAGGTTCTCTCACAGAATC-3'). After hybridization with the exon 3-specific probe, the membrane was stripped and rehybridized with a 556-bp DNA probe specific for *Dfa* exon 7, which is shared by *Dfa* cDNAs characterized so far (Fig. 1*G*). This probe was produced by PCR from the RT-PCR-derived *Dfa* cDNA III, using primers 5'-GAGAGAACC-TAAGATTGGCCTGGGC-3' and 5'-TTTGCCCAGGCCAT-TTTCGGC-3'. Northern DNA probes were <sup>32</sup>P-labeled using the RediprimeII random prime labeling system (Amersham Biosciences). Hybridization with a DNA probe specific for exon 3 was carried out for 2 h at 68 °C in ExpressHyb solution (Clontech). The blot was then washed once for 30 min at room temperature in  $2 \times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS, once for 30 min at 55 °C in 0.5 $\times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS, and once for 30 min at 52 °C in 0.1 $\times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS, followed by autoradiography. Hybridization with a probe specific for exon 7 was carried out for 12 h at  $65^{\circ}$ C in ExpressHyb solution. The blot was then washed once for 10 min at room temperature in  $2 \times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS, once for 30 min at 55 °C in  $2 \times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS, and once for 30 min at 55 °C in  $1 \times$  SSC, 0.1% SDS followed by autoradiography.

*Antibody to Mouse Dfa*—His<sub>10</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup>, an N-terminally His<sub>10</sub>tagged isoform encoded by *Dfa* cDNA I (Fig. 1*E*), was expressed in *Escherichia coli* using the pET-16b vector (Novagen, EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ). Briefly, a 1-liter culture of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was grown in LB medium at 37 °C to an  $A_{600}$  of  $\sim$  0.6, then induced with 0.5 mm isopropyl 1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside, and incubated for additional 3 h at 37 °C.Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 50 ml of 10 mm imidazole, 20 mm Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mg/ml lysozyme, plus EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science), followed by a 30-min incubation on ice, and one cycle of freeze-thaw. The resulting suspension was centrifuged at 100,000  $\times$  g for 35 min at 4 °C. Inclusion bodies containing  $His_{10}$ -Dfa<sup>A</sup> were solubilized by resuspension in 30 ml of ice-cold 6 M guanidine HCl, 10 mM imidazole, 0.5 M KCl, 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), also containing 0.5 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). The resulting solubilized His<sub>10</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> was clarified by centrifugation at 100,000  $\times$  *g* for 35 min at 4 °C and was thereafter purified by Ni<sup>2+</sup>-agarose affinity chromatography as described previously (11), except for the presence of 6 M guanidine HCl. Imidazoleeluted, purified His<sub>10</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against 10% glycerol, 0.15 M KCl, 0.5 mM PMSF, 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.6) and was thereafter further purified by SDS-12% PAGE.  $\sim$ 0.9 mg of His<sub>10</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> that was excised from a 12% preparative-scale polyacrylamide gel was used to inject two rabbits (six times over the course of 3 months) to produce antisera (Covance, Berkeley, CA).

Total IgG was isolated by affinity chromatography, using GammaBind G-Sepharose (GE Healthcare). Pooled IgG fractions were dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS: 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5)) containing 10% glycerol and then passed through a column of Affi-Gel-10 (Bio-Rad) with the immobilized (purified)  $His<sub>6</sub>$ -Aat, an unrelated *E. coli* protein described previously (19). The flow-



through fraction was incubated with Affi-Gel-10 beads conjugated to purified mouse  $His_{10}$ -Dfa<sup>A</sup>. After 2 h at 4 °C, with gentle rocking, the sample was loaded into 5-ml disposable columns; the flow-through was collected, and the column was washed with 20 bed volumes of PBS. Anti-Dfa<sup>A</sup> IgG was eluted with 0.2 M glycine (pH 2.8) into tubes containing one-third of elution volume of 1 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 8.5). The resulting fractions were pooled, dialyzed against PBS (0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5)) containing 10% glycerol, and stored at  $-20$  °C.

*Construction of shRNAi Plasmids*—Of the four different *Dfa*specific target sequences tested, the most potent microRNAlike short hairpin (sh) RNA that down-regulated *Dfa* expression targeted the sequence GCCACCCTCACTTGAAATCAAA in exon 7 of *Dfa* (Figs. 1*D* and 2*A*). To construct a corresponding shRNAi-expressing plasmid, termed pEN-DFAsh4, 0.1 ml of the DFAsh4 oligonucleotide (5'-AGCGACCACCCTCACTT-GAAATCAAATAGTGAAGCCACAGATGTATTTGATTT-CAAGTGAGGGTGGC-3'), at 50  $\mu$ M, was mixed with 0.1 ml of 50 μM DFAsh4-rev (5'-GGCAGCCACCCTCACTTGAAA-TCAAATACATCTGTGGCTTCACTATTTGATTTCAAG-TGAGGGTGGT-3) and incubated for at 95 °C for 10 min. This mixture of single-stranded (unannealed) oligonucleotides was incubated in a water bath at 70 °C for 10 min. The bath was then turned off, and the temperature was allowed to decrease to room temperature overnight. The resulting double-stranded oligo was phosphorylated by T4 polynucleotide kinase and ligated to BfuAI-cut pEN-hU6miR2c (a gift from J. Zavzavadjian, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA) (20), yielding pEN-DFAsh4 (the pEN\_hU6miR2c plasmid (20) containing Dfa-sh4). For use in stable transfections, an NheI-digested fragment containing a floxed PGK-hygromycin cassette (12) was inserted into the single NheI site of pEN-DFAsh4.

*Gel Filtration of Dfa from Mouse Testis*—Whole-testis extract was prepared by homogenizing pooled testes of 60 C57BL/6 mice, using a tissue homogenizer (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, OK) in TMSD Buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 1.5 MM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mm dithiothreitol, 10 mm Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)) also containing 0.5 mM PMSF, added from a freshly prepared stock solution in isopropyl alcohol. Nuclear (pellet) and cytosolic (supernatant) fractions were prepared by centrifugation at 800  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was frozen with liquid N<sub>2</sub> and stored at  $-80$  °C. The nuclear fraction was washed three times in TMSD, then resuspended in Nuclear Buffer (25% glycerol, 0.42  $\text{M}$  NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM EDTA, 20 mm HEPES (pH 7.9), containing 0.5 mm PMSF), frozen with liquid N<sub>2</sub>, and stored at  $-80$  °C. In the next step, the nuclear fraction was thawed on ice and centrifuged at 16,000  $\times$ *g* for 90 min at 4 °C, yielding the post-nuclear supernatant. The cytosolic fraction was thawed and centrifuged at  $28,000 \times g$  for 90 min at 4 °C, yielding post-lysosomal supernatant. Before gel filtration, samples of nuclear and cytosolic extracts were dialyzed against Buffer G (10% glycerol, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 40 mm HEPES (pH 7.9) containing 0.1 mm PMSF) overnight at 4 °C. The resulting samples were clarified by centrifugation in the SWTi41 rotor (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA) at 28,000 rpm for 2 h at 4 °C. The samples obtained were concentrated using Amicon Ultracentrifugal filter devices

(Millipore, Billerica, MA). The resulting samples (5–20 mg of total protein in 1.5 ml) were subjected to gel filtration, using fast protein liquid chromatography apparatus and the HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with Buffer G, at 0.5 ml/min. 2-ml fractions were collected and analyzed, in particular, by immunoblotting with anti- $Dfa^A$ antibody.

*GFP-Dfa and Immunofluorescence*—The plasmid pEGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> was constructed by ligating the  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  ORF from cDNA I into KpnI/BamHI-cut pEGFP-C1 (Clontech). Poly-D-lysine (1 mg/ml)-coated coverslips were placed in 35-mm tissue culture dishes.  $1.5 \times 10^5$  NIH-3T3 cells were then seeded overnight and transfected with the  $pEGFP-Cl$  (control) or  $pEGFP-Dfa^A$  plasmids. The cells were then grown to  $\sim$  70% confluence. For GFP localization studies, coverslips were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, then washed three times in PBS, and directly mounted using Vectashield H-1500 mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). For analyses that also involved immunofluorescence staining, cells were fixed in 0.2% Triton X-100, 2% formaldehyde, in PBS for 10 min at room temperature followed by acetone at  $-20$  °C for 5 min. After fixation, coverslips were washed three times in PBS, blocked by incubation with 1% goat serum for 10 min at room temperature, followed by incubation with an anti-SC35 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) for 2 h at room temperature. For indirect immunofluorescence, the coverslips were then washed in PBS at incubated with a Cy3-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (Abcam) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Coverslips were again washed with PBS, mounted using Vectashield H-1500 mounting medium, and examined by fluorescence microscopy, using Zeiss Axiophot.

*Expression of Dfa<sup>A</sup> in Saccharomyces cerevisiae*—A colony of SC295 *S. cerevisiae* that had been transformed with pCB172 (expressing FLAG-tagged mouse Dfa<sup>A</sup>) was inoculated into 2 liters of the plasmid-retaining SD  $(-Leu)$  medium, followed by growth at 30 °C to  $A_{600}$  of ~1.0. An equal volume of YPD medium was then added, and the culture was grown to  $A_{600}$  of  $\sim$  4.0. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed once with cold PBS, and frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. The frozen pellet ( $\sim$ 10 g wet weight) was then ground to a fine powder in liquid  $N_2$  using a mortar and pestle and resuspended (6 ml of buffer per 1 g of pellet) in yeast Lysis Buffer (10% glycerol 0.05% Nonidet P-40, 0.2 M KCl, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5)) containing leupeptin, antipain, pepstatin A, and aprotinin, each at  $5 \mu g/ml$ . The suspension was centrifuged at  $11,200 \times g$  for 30 min, and the supernatant was mixed, with slow rocking, with 1 ml of anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma) at 4 °C for 2 h. The affinity beads were collected by a brief spin in a microcentrifuge, then washed once with 20 bed volumes of yeast Lysis Buffer containing 0.8 M KCl, twice with 20 bed volumes of yeast Lysis Buffer, then again with 20 bed volumes with Lysis Buffer lacking Nonidet P-40. The antibody-bound FLAG-Dfa<sup>A</sup> was eluted with yeast Lysis Buffer lacking Nonidet P-40 and containing FLAG peptide (Sigma) at 0.2 mg/ml.

Yeast two-hybrid assay was carried out using the Matchmaker kit (BD Biosciences). Briefly, *S. cerevisiae* AH109 (*MATa trp1-901 leu2-3, 112 ura3-52 his3-200 gal4 gal80, LYS2*::*GAL1*UAS-*GAL1*TATA-*HIS3 GAL2*UAS-*GAL2*TATA-*ADE2*



*URA3*::*MEL1*UAS-*MEL1*TATA-*lacZ*, *MEL1*) was transformed with pAS2-Dfa<sup>A</sup>, which expressed Gal $4^{DBD}$ -Dfa<sup>A</sup>. The resulting strain (AH109-Dfa2) was mated with *S. cerevisiae* Y187 (*MAT ura3-52 his3-200 ade2-101 trp1-90 leu2-3, 112, gal4 gal80 met*<sup>-</sup> *URA3*:*:GAL1*<sub>UAS</sub>-*GAL1*<sub>TATA-</sub>*lacZ MEL1*) that had been pre-transformed with a mouse testis cDNA pACT library (Clontech). The resulting diploids were incubated on SC plates containing 5 mM 3-aminotriazole (Sigma) and lacking His, Trp, and Leu. The resulting  $\sim$  2.5  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> Trp<sup>+</sup>, Leu<sup>+</sup>, His<sup>+</sup> transformants were further assayed for their growth on His-lacking, Ade-lacking media and also for their  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. Colonies that were  $\text{Trp}^+$ , Leu<sup>+</sup>, His<sup>+</sup>, and Ade<sup>+</sup> and exhibited  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity were then grown in Leu-lacking, Trpcontaining media to facilitate the loss of the  $pAS2-Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  plasmid. pACT plasmids were recovered from the resulting cell clones, followed by sequencing of cDNA inserts to identify potential Dfa-binding proteins. Vector "swapping" experiments to verify detected interactions were carried out as follows. The  $Dfa^A$  ORF was excised from pAS2-Dfa<sup>A</sup>, using digestion with BamHI and SalI. In addition, the *Abt1* ORF as well as the *GgnBP1*ORF were excised from the corresponding pACT2 based plasmids, using digestion with BamHI and XhoI. The excised *Dfa<sup>A</sup>* ORF was subcloned into pACT2, and the excised *Abt1* ORF and (separately) the *GgnBP1* ORF were subcloned into pAS2, followed by two-hybrid assays with the resulting plasmids.

*Expression of Recombinant Proteins in BL21(DE3) E. coli*— The mouse  $Dfa^A$  cDNA was amplified from pACT-Dfa<sup>A</sup> using PCR and primers flanked by the BamHI sites. The resulting DNA fragment was subcloned at position 1 of the BamHI-cut pET-Duet1 vector (Novagen, EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ), yielding pCB157. A triple HA-tagged wild-type mouse *Ggnbp1* cDNA was amplified from pcDNA3.1-Ggnbp1 using primers flanked by the NdeI and XhoI sites. The resulting DNA fragment was subcloned at position 2 of the NdeI/XhoI-cut pET-Duet, yielding pCB182. A third pET-Duet-based plasmid,  $pCB183$ , encoding His<sub>4</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> at position 1 and Ggnbp1 in position 2, was also constructed to coexpress these proteins in *E. coli*. 50-ml cultures of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) were grown at 37 °C to an  $A_{600}$  of  $\sim$ 0.6 in LB containing ampicillin (50  $\mu$ g/ml). The cultures were placed on ice for 15 min, followed by the addition of isopropyl 1-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside to the final concentration of 0.25 mM and incubation for 4 h at room temperature. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C. The pellets were resuspended in 5 ml of 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), containing lysozyme at 1 mg/ml, followed by incubation on ice for 30 min and one freeze-thaw cycle. The resulting suspensions were centrifuged at 100,000  $\times$  *g* for 30 min at 4 °C. Inclusion bodies were solubilized by resuspension in 5 ml of ice-cold 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 0.5 M KCl, 10 mM imidazole, 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), also containing 0.5 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. The resulting suspensions were clarified by centrifugation at 100,000  $\times$  g for 30 min at 4 °C. The N-terminally His<sub>10</sub>-tagged  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  was detected in the soluble and insoluble fractions by SDS-12% PAGE and immunoblotting using anti-Dfa<sup>A</sup> antibody (see above). The N-terminally HA-tagged GgnBP1 was also

detected by immunoblotting, using a monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Sigma).

*Expression of Recombinant Proteins in Mammalian Cells*—A cDNA encoding the N-terminally triple FLAG-tagged FLAG<sub>3</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (<sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup>) was amplified using a three-PCR strategy in which the  $Dfa^A$  moiety was amplified from the pACT-Dfa<sup>A</sup> plasmid, and a DNA segment encoding an overlapping N-terminal triple-FLAG sequence was amplified by PCR from a separate plasmid (a gift from Dr. K. Piatkov). The final ORF encoding <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup> was amplified using primers flanked by the NheI and BamHI sites. The resulting DNA fragment was subcloned into NheI/BamHI-cut pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen), yielding pCB180. Mouse NIH-3T3 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Mediatech, Herndon, VA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were transfected with specific plasmids using Lipofectamine-Plus (Invitrogen). After 48 h, cells were trypsinized, washed in PBS, and lysed by incubation for 10 min on ice, with frequent mixing, in Lysis Buffer (0.5% Triton  $X-100$ , 10% glycerol, 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mm dithiothreitol, 40 mm HEPES (pH 7.9)) also containing leupeptin, antipain, pepstatin A, and aprotinin (each at  $5 \mu g/ml$ ). The extracts was clarified at  $10,000 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C.

*Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting*—These experiments used anti-FLAGM2 beads (Sigma), anti-HA monoclonal antibody (12CA5; Roche Applied Science), and the affinity-purified anti- $Dfa^A$  antibody (see above). Except for experiments that employed anti-FLAGM2 beads, immunoprecipitations were performed by incubating clarified lysates from transfected NIH-3T3 cells with the desired primary antibodies (at dilutions indicated under "Results") for 2 h at 4 °C, with gentle rotation. Agarose beads with immobilized protein A (Repligen, Waltham, MA) were then added, and the lysates were incubated for 1 more h at 4 °C, with gentle rotation. The beads were then washed three times in 10% glycerol, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), followed by elution of the bound proteins with SDS-sample buffer, SDS-12.5% PAGE, a transfer of fractionated proteins to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore), and immunoblotting with antibodies indicated under "Results," using SuperSignal West Pico or SuperSignal West Dura chemiluminescent reagents (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL).

*Transient Transfection and Luciferase Assay*—NIH-3T3 cells were grown in 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37 °C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine (Mediatech). The medium was changed every 2–3 days, and cultures were re-seeded at  $\sim$  50% confluency before allowing them to reach  $\sim$ 100% confluency. About 20 h before transfection, the cultures were seeded at  $1.5 \times 10^5$  cells per in 3.5-cm wells. Cultures at 60–70% confluency were transfected according to the manufacturer's protocol, using  $0.25 \mu g$  of pRL-CMV plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI) expressing *Renilla* luciferase from the TATA-box-containing  $P_{CMV}$ promoter, as well as varying amounts of the pCB180 and pEN-DFAsh4 plasmids (or a plasmid expressing a nonspecific shRNAi), as described under "Results." Total DNA among various transfection mixtures was normalized by the addition of the pcDNA3.1 vector DNA. 5  $\mu$ l of Lipo-







fectamine and 5  $\mu$  of Plus reagent (Invitrogen) were used per well. About 48 h post-transfection, cells in each well were lysed by incubation in 0.5 ml of Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega) on an orbital shaker at room temperature for 15 min. The extracts were clarified by centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. For luciferase assays, 50  $\mu$ l of extract was mixed with 0.2 ml of the LARII reagent (Promega), followed by the addition of 0.2 ml of Stop & Glo reagent (Promega). The activity of *Renilla* luciferase was then measured over 10-s intervals using a luminometer.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Bidirectional PAte1/Dfa Transcriptional Promoter*—As described in our previous study of the mouse Ate1 R-transferase (14), the mean G + C content of  $\sim$ 30 kb of the mouse genomic DNA, from  $\sim$ 10 kb upstream to  $\sim$ 20 kb downstream of the *Ate1* exon 1B, is  $\sim$ 40%. In contrast, an  $\sim$ 800-bp region containing both exons 1A and 1B of  $Atel$ , from  $\sim$  680 bp upstream of exon 1B to  $\sim$  120 bp downstream of exon 1B, has a mean G + C content of 75% (Fig. 1*C*). Closer inspection identified 85 CpG dinucleotide repeats in this short region (14). About half of these CpGs resided in a segment directly upstream of the *Ate1* exon 1B that includes the highly conserved 192-bp region 1, which was demonstrated to function as the core bidirectional promoter element, located between the alternative *Ate1* exons 1A and 1B (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*) (14). In particular, we showed, using *in vivo* transcription assays, that the above CpG-rich 192-bp mouse DNA segment (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*), which is highly conserved at least among mammals, can drive transcription in both the direction of mapped *Ate1* transcripts and in the opposite direction (14). This evidence prompted our interest in exploring a previously undescribed mouse gene, termed *Dfa*, that was expressed in the direction opposite that of *Ate1* from the above bidirectional promoter, termed  $P_{Atel/Data}$  (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*) (see Introduction). To make expression patterns of *Dfa* easier to follow, the orientation of the *Dfa* and *Ate1* transcriptional units was flipped 180° in Fig. 1 after its *A*, in which the orientations of *Dfa* and *Ate1* are identical to those in previously published diagrams (14).

High density of CpG repeats is a characteristic feature of the previously identified bidirectional promoters in mammalian genomes (21–30). Bidirectional promoters are abundant in multicellular eukaryotes; 20% of mammalian genes are located within 1 kb of each other and oriented head-tohead. In addition, some bidirectionally transcribed chromosomal segments appear to be coregulated and functionally linked (31–35). Bidirectional transcription involves more than 50% of promoters in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* (36). In the parasitic eukaryote *Giardia lamblia*, most promoters are bidirectional (37).

*Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) That Encompass Dfa*—Initially, we carried out BLAST-based analyses of EST databases in the region of mouse genomic DNA (chromosome 7) directly upstream of the *Ate1* gene. Our analyses of several of the resulting ESTs (including their additional sequencing, beyond the regions present in databases) defined four classes of such sequences. Class 1 included ESTs (AW105867 and BU582987) that contained genomic sequences in the immediate vicinity of the  $P_{Atel/ Dfa}$  promoter spliced to genomic sequences encoding exon 2 of *Ate1*. The class 1 ESTs corresponded to mRNAs encoding isoforms of the Ate1 R-transferase containing exon 1A, specifically Ate1<sup>1A7A</sup>, Ate1<sup>1A7B</sup>, and Ate1<sup>1A7AB</sup> (14). The ESTs of class 2 included AW414102, BX517440, BG243214, BY091481, BY241654, and BY267094, which were derived from the immediate vicinity of the  $P_{Atel/Data}$  promoter but also contained sequences located as far away as 1.6 kb upstream of *Ate1*. The ESTs of class 3 (BF434328, AI634505, and AI887824) were derived from transcripts that were initiated in the immediate vicinity of *PAte1/Dfa*, extended in the direction opposite to that of  $Atel$ , and were clearly spliced, terminating  $\sim$  10 kb upstream of *Ate1*. The class 4 of ESTs (CA465465 and BM422486) shared 3-exons with ESTs of class 3 but were unlike other ESTs in that the 5'-ends of the corresponding transcripts were  $\sim$ 6 kb away from the bidirectional  $P_{Atel/ Dfa}$  promoter, in the direction opposite that of the *Ate1* gene (Fig. 1*F*).

The ESTs derived from transcripts initiated in the immediate vicinity of the  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$  promoter (class 1–3 ESTs) were ob-



FIGURE 1. **Genomic characterization of the mouse** *Dfa* **gene.** *A,* bidirectional promoter upstream of the mouse *Ate1* exon 1B (see Introduction and Refs. 12, 14). *Green arrows* indicate transcriptional units oriented in both directions from the *PAte1/Dfa* promoter and also from an unmapped "upstream" promoter that mediates the expression of *Ate1* transcripts containing exon 1A (14). The locations and sizes of some *Ate1* exons are shown as well. *B*, to make expression patterns of*Dfa* easier to follow, the orientation of the*Dfa* and *Ate1* transcriptional units was flipped 180° in this and other panels, in comparison with *A*. Percent identity (from 50 to 100%) of each gap-free segment between ~14 kb of the mouse and human genomic DNA segments that encompass the *Ate1* and *Dfa* genes. Position of identities are shown with respect to mouse DNA. Note short regions of significant conservation, including an  $\sim$ 200-bp segment that contains the bidirectional  $P_{Ate1/DA}$ promoter. *C*, (G + C) content (%) over  $\sim$  14 kb of genomic DNA that encompasses the 5'-regions of *Dfa* and Ate1 reveals a CpG island at the center of the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter (see the main text). *D*, shown are the relative positions of *Ate1* exons 1A, 1B, and 2, the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter, and *Dfa* exons 1–7. The two *oppositely oriented arrows* at the *PAte1/Dfa* promoter indicate the directions of *Ate1* and *Dfa* transcription, respectively. *E*, different species of RT-PCR DNA fragments that were amplified from mouse testis total RNA in this work and their positions *vis a` vis*specific *Dfa* exons that are shown in *D*. A *yellow box* exon 7 (*Dfa* cDNAs I, II, and V) signifies the use of splice junction 1 to produce a *Dfa* transcript (see *panel I*). A *blue box* exon 7 (*Dfa* cDNAs III, IV, and VI) signifies the use of splice junction 2 (see *panel I*) to produce a*Dfa* transcript. *F*, comparison and classification of ESTs in the NCBI data base that encompassed the *Ate1/Dfa* locus. These ESTs are arranged according to their positions *vis à vis* specific *Ate1* or *Dfa* exons (see *D*) and specific RT-PCR DNA fragments isolated in this study (see *E*). *G,* Northern analyses of *Dfa* expression in mouse tissues. *Upper panel*, *Dfa* exon 3-specific probe. *Middle panel, Dfa* exon 7-specific probe. *Lower panel, β*-actin mRNA probe was used to verify the uniformity of total RNA inputs. *H,* RT-PCR DNA fragments amplified from total RNA isolated from indicated mouse tissues. *Upper panel,* 0.7-kb *Dfa*-specific RT-PCR DNA fragment amplified from testis RNA using forward and reverse primers annealing to the class IV EST-CA465465 (see *F*) (forward 5-CCAGACCACAGAGCCAGCAC-3; reverse 5-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTCGGC-3). DNA sequence analyses of RT-PCR-amplified DNA fragments from tissues other than the testis indicated that they were nonspecific (unrelated to the*Dfa/Ate1* locus) (data not shown). *Middle panel,* ~1.7 and ~0.8 kb *Dfa*-specific RT-PCR DNA fragments amplified from testis RNA using a forward primer annealing genomic DNA in the vicinity of the bidirectional P<sub>Ate1/Día</sub> promoter (5'-GCCCTTGTATTCCACCACCG-3') and a reverse primer annealing to the class IV EST-CA465465<br>(reverse 5'-TTTGCCCAGGCCATTTTCGGC-3'). *Bottom panel, β*-actin-specifi isolated from brain, kidney, liver, lung, spleen, and testis;  $\pm RT$ , indicates the presence or absence of reverse transcriptase used in generating 1st strand cDNA). *I*, diagram of the *Dfa* pre-mRNA splicing that involved depicting the alternative splice site selection between *Dfa* exons 6 and 7. See *E* and the main text for additional details.

tained from various cellular sources, including tumor cells. In contrast, all ESTs that corresponded to transcripts initiated (in the direction opposite the orientation of *Ate1*) between 6 and 10 kb away from  $P_{Atel/DFa}$  (class 4 ESTs) were detected only in the testis. At the time of our initial analyses of the mouse *Dfa* gene, GenBank<sup>TM</sup> contained an entry for a putative locus, XM\_146107 (now called *Dfa*), that had been predicted by automated analysis of the annotated mouse genomic sequence (NT\_081265), using the GNOMON gene prediction method (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). That entry assisted our analyses and assembly of the intron/exon structure of the *Dfa* gene, as most of the exons proposed by XM\_146107 were similar to those in relevant ESTs derived from databases and from our own RT-PCR analyses as well (Fig. 1, *E* and *H*). A more recent Gen-Bank<sup>TM</sup> accession number XM\_001479657 encodes a hypothetical mouse protein (LOC100043163) whose sequence is identical to the sequence encoded by the exons 5 and 6 of the *Dfa* cDNA II (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*). In addition, the GenBank<sup>TM</sup> accession number XM\_001479095 encodes a hypothetical mouse protein (LOC100047902) whose sequence is identical to the sequence encoded by the exon 7 of *Dfa* (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*).

Class 3 ESTs (Fig. 1*F*) suggested that transcripts specified by genomic sequences in the vicinity of the  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$  promoter could be spliced to regions between 6 and 10 kb from  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$ , in the direction opposite the orientation of *Ate1*. To verify this, we isolated total RNA from C57BL6 mouse brain, kidney, liver, lung, spleen, and testis, followed by RT-PCR analyses (Fig. 1*H*). Forward primers used in these reactions were specific for genomic DNA in the vicinity of the *PAte1/Dfa* promoter, although reverse primers were specific for the sequence of a class 4 EST called EST-CA465465 (GenBankTM). No specific RT-PCR products were amplified using these primers (as well as additional forward primers) from the mouse brain, kidney, liver, lung, or spleen RNA, whereas at least six different *Dfa* cDNAs were amplified from the testis RNA (Fig. 1, *E* and *H*).

*Dfa* cDNAs III–VI, the three largest cDNAs that had been isolated by RT-PCR, correspond to class 3 ESTs in that the transcripts that gave rise to those cDNAs were initiated in the vicinity of the bidirectional  $P_{Atel/DE}$  promoter, were extended in the direction opposite that of the *Ate1* gene, and contained exons encoded by genomic DNA between  $\sim$  4 and  $\sim$  10 kb away from  $P_{Atel/Data}$ . The 5'-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5'-RACE) technique (38) revealed that a transcript that corresponded to the *Dfa* cDNA III was initiated just 161 nucleotides from the exon 1B of *Ate1*, between exons 1A and 1B. Specifically, the *Dfa* cDNAs III and IV were antisense to *Ate1*-encoding transcripts that contained the exon 1A of *Ate1*, its most upstream alternative exon (Fig. 1*E*). These *Dfa* transcripts extended for up to  $\sim$ 1.2 kb before splicing to the *Dfa* (XM\_146107) exons 3, 6, and 7. The *Dfa* cDNAs V and VI are derived from transcripts that were spliced to *Dfa* exon 3 at a location 805 nucleotides upstream of the splice site that produced the *Dfa* cDNA III (Fig. 1*E*).

In addition to *Dfa* transcripts initiated at or close to the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter, we also isolated two RT-PCR products, *Dfa* cDNAs I and II, that were similar to class 4 ESTs in that the corresponding transcripts were initiated between 5 and 6 kb away from  $P_{Atel/Data}$ , in the direction opposite that of the*Ate1* gene (Fig. 1*H*). The *Dfa* cDNA II encompasses the class 3 EST-CA465465 (as well as the putative genomic locus XM\_ 001479657 and XM\_001479095) and contains the exons 5–7 that are present in XM\_146107 (Fig. 1E). 5'-RACE analysis of the *Dfa* cDNA II mapped its 5'-end to a region  $\sim$  5.8 kb away of the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter. *Dfa* cDNA I is distinct in that it contains an alternative, much smaller 5'-exon (exon 4), located  $\sim$  1 kb upstream of genomic DNA that contains the 5-exon specific for *Dfa* cDNA II (Fig. 1*E*). As described above, the 5'-ends of the *Dfa* cDNAs I and II are located  $\sim$  5 kb away from the 5-ends of class 1–3 *Dfa* ESTs (Fig. 1, *E* and *F*). Thus, transcripts that give rise to the *Dfa* cDNAs I and II and to cDNAs represented by class 4 ESTs are expressed, most likely, not from the bidirectional  $P_{Atel/Data}$  promoter but from other currently unknown promoters. In addition to the above *Dfa* isoforms, we have also isolated (but not yet further characterized) an RT-PCR cDNA from testis that contains the putative exon 2 of XM\_146107 (data not shown).

Together, specific cDNA clones from EST databases and our own RT-PCR results (Fig. 1) confirmed the existence of the *Dfa* gene and its head-to-head arrangement *vis a` vis Ate1* (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*). These results revealed a complex set of *Dfa*-encoded mRNAs that are produced by alternative splicing (39) of primary *Dfa* transcripts that are expressed from several promoters, including  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$  (Fig. 1, *D–F*).

To explore evolutionarily conserved *Dfa*-relevant genomic segments that contain transcriptional promoters, other regulatory elements, or exons that might have been overlooked by RT-PCR analyses, we produced a percent identity plot (40, 41) of gap-free segments that are conserved between  $\sim$  14 kb of the mouse genomic DNA in the vicinity of the bidirectional *PAte1/Dfa* promoter on the mouse chromosome 7F3 and the corresponding human genomic sequence on chromosome 10q26.13. This analysis (Fig. 1*B*) showed that, similarly to the *Ate1* exons 1A, 1B, and 2 and the bidirectional  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$  promoter, the genomic sequences of specific mouse *Dfa* exons that had been identified by RT-PCR contained more gap-free DNA segments with greater than 50% identity to the corresponding human genomic DNA than nearby less sequelogous (less similar in sequence) (18) DNA regions. A low DNA sequelogy between mouse and human DNA in the region from  $+1$  to  $+4$ kb relative to the  $P_{Atel/DEa}$  promoter (within  $Dfa$ ) strongly suggests the absence of additional *Dfa* exons or regulatory elements in this region.

At the same time, our percent identity plot analyses revealed a high density of nearly identical, gap-free mouse-*versus*-human DNA segments between the *Dfa* exons 3 and 5 (Fig. 1*B*), indicating that most of this genomic region was under a driftreducing selection after the divergence of rodent and monkey lineages. Transcripts that give rise to the *Dfa* cDNAs I and II, as well as to class 4 *Dfa* ESTs, have been found to initiate in this region (see above), strongly suggesting that the evolutionary stability of specific nucleotide sequences in this area stems, at least in part, from the likely presence of additional *Dfa* transcriptional promoters (other than  $P_{Atel/ Dfa}$ ). We did not include this genomic segment in our reporter assays (see below), but an examination of genomic DNA in the interval of  $\sim$ 4–6 kb from the  $P_{Atel/Data}$  promoter (in the direction opposite





F



to that of *Ate1*) by PromoterInspector (Genomatix) (42) did suggest the presence of a putative promoter in that region (data not shown).

Our Northern analyses in the earlier study of mouse *Ate1* (14) used a DNA probe in the vicinity of the  $P_{Atel/ Dfa}$  promoter (upstream of the *Ate1* exon 1B) that detected transcripts from the DNA strand complementary to the *Ate1*-specific strand. Those preliminary investigations of what we now call *Dfa* revealed mRNA species of 1.5–1.7 kb in the heart, brain, spleen, lung, liver, and kidney with a much higher level of this mRNA in the testis where additional, less intense *Dfa*-specific RNA bands were present as well, at  $>4.5$ ,  $\sim$ 3,  $\sim$ 2.3, and  $\lt$ 1.35 kb (see Fig. 5*C* in Ref. 14). In this work, we carried out Northern analyses with total RNA from various mouse tissues using a *Dfa* exon 3-specific 181-bp DNA probe, and also an exon 7-specific 556-bp probe. The exon 3-specific probe detected a single  $\sim$ 1.7-kb RNA in the liver, as well as a major  $\sim$ 1.5-kb and a minor  $\sim$ 2.5-kb RNA in the testis (Fig. 1*G*). Stripping and reprobing the same Northern blot with a *Dfa* exon 7-specific DNA probe revealed a major  $\sim$  1.5-kb RNA in the testis, in addition to a few minor RNAs close to  $\sim$ 1.5 kb (Fig. 1*G*). Given a relatively low sensitivity of the second (reprobed) Northern blot and the much higher expression of *Dfa* in the testis, in comparison with other tissues, these results are consistent with the previously observed presence of low abundance, *Dfa*-specific mRNAs in tissues other than testis (see Fig. 5*C* in Ref. 14).

*Sequelogs of Mouse Dfa*—To address the presence of proteins similar to Dfa, in the mouse or other species, we focused on the ORF encoded entirely by the *Dfa* exon 7 that is present in all of examined *Dfa*-specific RT-PCR products. With the exception of the 5'-exon of the *Dfa* cDNA III, the 3'-terminal exon 7 is the largest *Dfa* exon (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*). This ORF encodes a 217 residue protein, termed  $Dfa^A$ , with a calculated molecular mass of 26 kDa and a deduced pI of 9.84 (Fig. 2*A*). BlastP analyses showed that this amino acid sequence exhibits 37% identity and 52% sequelogy (sequence similarity) (18) to human HTEX4, a protein of unknown function encoded by the major histocompatibility complex class I gene cluster on human chromosome 6 (Fig. 2*B*) (43, 44). In addition, the amino acid sequence encoded by the mouse *Dfa* exons 5 and 6 in *Dfa* cDNA II (upstream of the exon 7-encoded 217-residue sequence) is 48% identical and 73% sequelogous to human HTEX4, indicating that the sequelogy of HTEX4 and Dfa involves more than exon 7 of *Dfa* (Fig. 2*B*). Similarly to mouse *Dfa*, the human *HTEX4* pre-mRNA (and presumably its mouse counterpart as well) undergoes extensive alternative splicing in the testis (44).

Analyses by tBLASTn that employed the 217-residue C-terminal region of  $Dfa^A$  as a query (see Fig. 2A) identified two *HTEX4*-like genes on mouse chromosome 17 that encoded proteins (of unknown function) that are sequelogous to mouse Dfa<sup>A</sup>. In addition, although no sequelogs of Dfa were found to be encoded by yeast, nematode, fly, or fish genomes, all examined mammalian genomes contained *HTEX4*-like genes, including putative *Dfa* genes (data not shown). Moreover, sequence alignments also showed that those *HTEX4*-like genes, in different vertebrates, that mapped close to the corresponding *ATE1* genes (encoding R-transferase) (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*) were more sequelogous to mouse *Dfa* than to other *HTEX4*-like genes of the same species (data not shown). Thus, *Dfa* is a member of a distinct gene family at least in mammals.

Close examination of *Dfa*-specific genomic DNA sequences shows that a splice site selection between the common *Dfa* exons 6 and 7 (Fig. 1*I*) determines whether or not the  $Dfa<sup>A</sup> ORF$ can be extended in the 5' direction. Although the AG-GT splice junction-2 was found between exons 6 and 7 in *Dfa* cDNAs III, IV, and VI, an AG-TG splice junction-1 was found between these exons in *Dfa* cDNAs I, II, and V (Fig. 1, *E* and *I*). In the case of *Dfa* cDNA II, the selection of splice junction-1 makes possible a contiguous ORF formed by the exons 5–7 in *Dfa* cDNA II. This putative ORF encodes a 361-residue protein, termed Dfa<sup>B</sup>. Its calculated molecular mass is 42 kDa, and its deduced pI is 9.65.  $Dfa^A$  and  $Dfa^B$  share the 217-residue C-terminal region (encoded by exon 7) and differ by the presence of the 144 residue N-terminal extension in Dfa<sup>A</sup> (encoded by exons 5 and 6 of cDNA II) (Fig. 2*A*). Although a more detailed study of Dfa isoforms will be required for their comprehensive characterization, our results strongly suggest that most, if not all, Dfa isoforms share the 217-residue C-terminal sequence, encoded by exon 7.

*Expression, Intracellular Localization, and Possible Complexes Containing Dfa*—To detect endogenous Dfa, we produced an affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit antibody, termed anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup>, to the *E. coli*-expressed 217-residue mouse Dfa<sup>A</sup>, which is encoded by the *Dfa* exon 7 (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*). (As mentioned above, the  $26$ -kDa recombinant Dfa<sup>A</sup> migrated, upon SDS-PAGE, as an  $\sim$ 35-kDa protein.) Immunoblotting analyses with this antibody, affinity-purified against immobilized  $Dfa^A$ (see "Experimental Procedures"), detected a putative  $\sim$ 42-kDa Dfa protein that was present in whole-cell extracts from the mouse testis, hippocampus, cerebellum, total brain, heart, spleen, liver, and kidney (Fig. 3*A*). Additional, tissue-specific putative Dfa species were also observed in most of the tissues examined. For example, a putative  $\sim$ 47-kDa Dfa protein was present in heart extracts, and a putative  $\sim$ 38-kDa Dfa was present in the hippocampus and the liver (Fig. 3*A*). Testis extracts contained, in addition to the putative  $\sim$  42-kDa Dfa isoform and



FIGURE 2. **Amino acid sequence of Dfa.** *A,* deduced mouse Dfa amino acid sequence encoded by *Dfa* cDNA-II (see Fig. 1*E*). This sequence includes the 217-residue sequence (in *black letters*) that is encoded by exon 7 and includes the Dfa<sup>A</sup> isoform (see the main text). Dfa<sup>B</sup>, a larger isoform shown here, differs from Dfa<sup>A</sup> in containing an N-terminal extension (in *red letters*) that is encoded by exons 5 and 6 and is produced by selection of the splice junction 1 in *Dfa* cDNA-II (Fig. 1, *E* and *I*). Exon junctions, including alternative junctions at nucleotide positions 661 and 668, are indicated by *vertical bars*. The corresponding *Dfa* exons (see Fig. 1, *D* and *E*) are indicated on the *right*. The deduced N-terminal Met residue of the Dfa<sup>A</sup> isoform is *circled. Dfa*-coding sequences that were targeted by RNAi (shRNA) in the present study are *boxed* and are denoted on the *left*. *Underlined* nucleotides denote the deduced poly(A) signal. Nucleotide 1 is the 5'-end of *Dfa* cDNA-II mapped by RACE (see under "Experimental Procedures"). *B*, ClustalW2-based amino acid sequence alignments of mouse and rat Dfa *versus* human and mouse Htex4 (see the main text). Note that the sequences encoded by *Dfa* exons 6 and 7 are sequelogous (18) to Htex4. Residues of similar physicochemical properties are similarly colored. Specifically, A, V, F, P, M, I, L, and W are shown in *red*; D and E are shown in *blue*; R, H, and K are shown in *magenta*, and S, T, Y, H, C, N, G, and Q are shown in *green*. \*, identical residues in all of the aligned sequences; :, highly conserved; ., weakly conserved.

several other minor bands, a major putative Dfa of  $\sim$ 35 kDa (*i.e.* of the same apparent molecular mass as the recombinant Dfa<sup>A</sup> protein) (Fig. 3*A*). An apparently identical Dfa isoform was also detected in extracts from mouse NIH-3T3 cells, as well as human HeLa and 293T cells (Fig. 3*B*).

These findings suggest that different cell types, if they produce Dfa at all, tend to produce different isoforms of Dfa. The 35-kDa protein, a major species in the testis and in NIH-3T3 cells, is actually Dfa, because it can be specifically down-regulated, in 3T3 cells, using RNAi specific for *Dfa* (see below). The





other less abundant Dfa species, for example the ones detected in heart and liver extracts (Fig. 3*A*), will remain tentative until  $Dfa^{-/-}$  (Dfa-lacking) mouse mutants are constructed and employed as null-Dfa controls for antibody specificity. Under the immunoblotting conditions used, the anti- $Dfa^{ex7}$  antibody could detect  $\sim$  0.1 ng of recombinant Dfa<sup>A</sup> (data not shown) and could also detect the band of endogenous  $\text{Dfa}^{\text{A}}$  in  $\sim$ 400 ng of total protein extracted from mouse 3T3 cells (Fig. 3*C*). Thus, Dfa<sup>A</sup> comprised  $\sim$  0.025% of soluble proteins in these cells, *i.e.*  $\sim$ 8  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> Dfa<sup>A</sup> molecules per cell.

To address the intracellular distribution of Dfa, we used immunoblotting with the affinity-purified anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> antibody to analyze fractions of extract from mouse testis (Fig. 3*D*). A previously characterized, affinity-purified antibody to the mouse Ate1 R-transferase (Fig. 1*A*) (6, 7, 14) was also employed, in parallel, to compare the levels of Ate1 in the same fractions. (Ate1 and Dfa are expressed from the bidirectional  $P_{Ate1/Dfa}$ promoter (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*).) We found that the bulk of Ate1 was present in the cytosol fraction of extracts from the testis (Fig. 3D). In contrast, the endogenous 35-kDa Dfa<sup>A</sup> (the only Dfa isoform for which the specificity of anti-Dfa $e^{cx}$  antibody was confirmed using RNAi (see below)), was present in both nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. Moreover, the bulk, although not all of  $Dfa^A$ , could be pelleted by centrifugation of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of a detergent-free testis extract for 90 min at 28,000 and 16,000  $\times$  g, respectively (Fig. 3D), suggesting an association of  $Dfa^A$  with membranes and/or cytoskeleton.

We also asked whether  $Dfa^A$  was present in the testis as a part of an endogenous complex stable enough to be detected by gel filtration of testis extracts, using immunoblotting of fractions with anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> antibody. Purified recombinant mouse  $Dfa^A$ (expressed in *S. cerevisiae*; see under "Experimental Procedures") eluted from a Superdex-200 column in a single peak centered at fraction 21 (Fig. 3*E*, *bottom panels*) and corresponding to the molecular mass of 30–50 kDa for a globular protein, in agreement with the apparent molecular mass of monomeric Dfa<sup>A</sup> fractionated by SDS-PAGE (the actual molecular mass of the recombinant Dfa<sup>A</sup> was 26 kDa; see above). A small proportion of  $Dfa^A$  in either the cytosolic or nuclear testis extract also eluted at the position of monomer, but the bulk of Dfa<sup>A</sup> migrated in the region corresponding to the molecular mass of 100–150 kDa (Fig. 3*E*, *upper* and *middle panels*). The composition and function of this endogenous  $Dfa^A$  complex(es) remain to be determined.

In addition, we transiently expressed, in mouse NIH-3T3 cells, an EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> fusion. Although the EGFP protein lacks known nuclear localization signals, EGFP (or GFP) alone is known to be present, in diffuse patterns, in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, because, at least in part, of the low size of EGFP (27 kDa) that allows its nuclear localization signal-independent transport to the nucleus (Fig. 3*F*) (45). Despite this drawback of EGFP as a location marker, the results with EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> were meaningfully interpretable, because in  $\sim$ 95% of EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>expressing cells the bulk of this fusion was predominantly nuclear, in contrast to EGFP alone (Fig. 3, *H* and *cf*. *F*). A predominantly nuclear localization of Dfa<sup>A</sup> was consistent with it containing the sequence KKKPK, a putative nuclear localization signal (Fig. 2*A*). Moreover, in contrast to EGFP alone, the nuclear EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> protein often exhibited a speckled pattern (Fig. 3, *H* and *L*) that under higher magnification appeared as cavity-containing structures (Fig. 3Q). In a minority ( $\sim$  5%) of cells that expressed EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>, it was associated, in particular, with plasma membrane regions (Fig. 3*J*), in agreement with biochemical fractionation data that suggested an association of Dfa<sup>A</sup> with rapidly sedimenting structures (Fig. 3*D*).

IGCs are interconnected, dynamic nuclear structures that are enriched in pre-mRNA splicing factors, including the nonsmall nuclear ribonucleoprotein splicing factor SC-35, which is commonly employed as an IGC marker (46). During transcriptional repression, IGCs undergo an actin-dependent reorganization from diffuse interconnected speckles to larger, condensed (and apparently unconnected) speckles associated with RNA polymerase II (47, 48). When stained for SC-35, IGCs from transcriptionally inactive cells contain a cavity that sequesters, in particular, the hyperphosphorylated large subunit of RNA polymerase II (49). At higher magnifications, most of Dfa<sup>A</sup>-containing nuclear speckles, observed in the bulk of cells that expressed EGFP-Dfa $^{A}$ , were large, condensed, and contained characteristic cavities (Fig. 3*Q*). Confirming their identity as IGCs, we found that the IGC marker SC-35 colocalized with EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>-containing nuclear speckles (Fig. 3, *L*–*Q*). Interestingly, although IGCs (stained with anti-SC-35 antibody) were small and scattered throughout the nucleus in nontransfected cells (Fig. 3*O*), the IGCs in cells that expressed



FIGURE 3. **Characterization of mouse Dfa.** *A,* endogenous Dfa detected by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting (*IB*), with affinity-purified anti-Dfaex7 antibody (see "Experimental Procedures") of extracts from mouse testis, hippocampus (*hc*), cerebellum (*cb*), total brain (*brain*), heart, spleen, liver, and kidney. *Lower panel*, immunoblotting of the same extracts using an anti-tubulin antibody. *Arrow* on the *left* indicates a major ~35-kDa Dfa species in the testis. *B,* endogenous<br>35-kDa Dfa detected using anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> and immunoblotting of e by immunoblotting with anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup>, in serially diluted extracts from NIH-3T3 cells. *Lanes 1-3, 20, 4, and 0.4 µg of total protein, respectively. D, relative levels of* the 35-kDa Dfa (detected with anti-Dfaex7, *upper panel*) and Ate1 (detected with anti-Ate1; *lower panel*) in specific fractions of a mouse testis extract. *WCE*, whole-cell extract; cyto, cytosolic fraction; *post-lys super*, post-lysosomal supernatant (28,000 × *g* for 90 min); *post-nuclear super,* post-nuclear supernatant<br>(16,000 × *g* for 90 min). *E*, immunoblotting, using ant subfraction of mouse testis extract.*Middle panel*, same but a nuclear extract. *Lower panel,* gel filtration pattern, on the same column, of the recombinant mouse Dfa<sup>A</sup> that had been purified from S. cerevisiae (see "Experimental Procedures"). F–K, Subcellular localization of eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> transiently expressed in NIH-3T3 cells. F and G, fluorescent and phase contrast images, respectively, of cells that had been transiently transfected with the control plasmid pEGFP-C1, expressing<br>eGFP. H and I, same but cells were transfected with pEGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>, w K, same but with cells (also transfected with pEGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>) in which eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> was apparently associated plasma membranes (<10% of transfected cells). L-P, subnuclear localization of eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> transiently expressed in NIH-3T3 cells. L, fluorescent image showing large and "condensed" nuclear speckles in cells expressing eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (white arrow). M, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining of the same field as in *L* reveals four additional non-transfected cells. N, merged image of *L* and *M* (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and EGFP). *O*, immunofluorescent image, produced using an anti-SC35 antibody (see the main text) and showing condensed nuclear speckles in the nucleus of a cell that expressed eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (see *L*). *P*, merged image of *L* and *O*, suggesting colocalization of SC-35 and eGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> in the nuclear IGC (see the main text). Q, higher magnification of three large, condensed EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup>-containing nuclear speckles, one of them with visible "cavities," in a single nucleus (see also the main text). *Bars* in *F*, *L*, and *Q* indicate 10  $\mu$ m.



FIGURE 4. **RNAi of Dfa.** *A,* relative efficacies of different shRNAs in down-regulating the expression of exogenous (triple-FLAG-tagged) <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup> in NIH-3T3 cells, measured using immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibody. *Lane 1, pEN*, the parental pEN\_hU6miR2c vectorfor shRNAs. *Lane 2,*shRNA specificfor phospholipase C(*PLC*). *Lanes 3–6, DFAsh1, DFAsh2, DFAsh4,* and *DFAsh5*, specific for different regions of *Dfa* mRNAs (see Fig. 2*A*). *Lane 7,* control 3T3 cells, mock-transfected. *B*, same as in *A* but with f3DfaB . Equal numbers of cells were transfected with equal amounts of a Dfa-expressing plasmid, an shRNA-expressing plasmid, or control vectors. C, effect of<br>increasing amounts of transfected *DFAsh5* on the levels of exogenous <sup>r3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup>. *Lane 1,* transfected with a v alone. *Lane 2*, transfected with 1  $\mu$ g of pcDNA-FLAG-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (pCB180), expressing <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup>. *Lane 3*, same as *lane 2* but cells were also cotransfected also with 1  $\mu$ g of pEN-DFAsh5, expressing DFAsh5 shRNA. *Lane 4*, same as lane *3* but 2 μg of pEN-DFAsh5. Total amounts of DNA in each transfection were equalized using an empty DNA vector.*D,*same as in *C* but with DFAsh4 shRNA. *Asterisks*in *A– D*denote a protein cross-reacting with anti-FLAG antibody. *E*, comparing, using anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> immunoblots, the levels of endogenous Dfa<sup>A</sup> in independently produced NIH-3T3 cell clones, either a control cell line or stably transfected with pEN-DFAsh4 Dfa<sup>A</sup> (two representative examples, out of a number of cell clones thus produced, are shown). *Upper panel,* quantitation of the levels of endogenous Dfa<sup>A</sup> that was detected by immunoblotting with anti-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (*middle panel*). *Lower panel*, relative levels of the Ate1 R-transferase in the same cell clones, determined by immunoblotting with anti-Ate1 antibody. *Lane 1,* NIH-3T3 cells stably transfected with a vector alone. *Lanes 2* and *3*, same but with a plasmid expressing *DFAsh4* shRNA (30 and 13% of control Dfa<sup>A</sup> levels, respectively, in different 3T3 clones).

EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> (cells marked with *white arrows*in Fig. 3, *L*–*P*) were larger and more condensed. Thus expression of EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> induces IGC reorganization to an architecture characteristic of transcriptionally repressed cells (Fig. 3*O*).

*Dfa-Specific RNAi Confirms the Identity of a Putative Dfa Isoform Detected by Anti-Dfaex7 Antibody*—To down-regulate the expression of Dfa in cultured cells and to verify specificity establishing a method for knocking down the expression of endogenous  $Dfa^A$  in a cell line, these experiments validated the specificity of our anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> antibody. Interestingly, while isolating and propagating the above cell clones, we noticed fewer viable pEN-DFAsh4-containing 3T3 cell colonies than those containing the control (non-Dfa-specific) pEN-shGFP plasmid, under conditions where similar initial amounts of cells were

### *Dfa Protein*

of the anti- $Dfa^{ex7}$  antibody, we employed microRNA-like shRNAs (DFAsh1, DFAsh2, DFAsh4, and DFAsh5) specific to four different regions mRNA encoding Dfa (Fig. 2*A*), using transient coexpression of these shRNAs with  $Dfa^A$  or  $Dfa^B$  in NIH-3T3 cells. Because the DFAsh1 sequence is complementary to a sequence in exon 6 (Fig. 2*A*), the ability of DFAsh1 to inhibit the expression of a transfected *Dfa* cDNA was confined to the  $Dfa^B$  isoform (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*) whose cDNA contains exons 5–7 (Figs. 1*E* and 2*A*). Whereas DFAsh2 was relatively ineffective at reducing the expression of transiently transfected  $Dfa^A$  or  $Dfa^B$  cDNAs (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*), DFAsh4 and DFAsh5 reduced the expression of both Dfa isoforms to undetectable and barely detectable levels, respectively (Fig. 4, *A* and *B*). To determine the relative efficacies of DFAsh4 and DFAsh5, we examined the effects of varying their levels on expression of the transiently cotransfected Dfa<sup>A</sup> cDNA. Although the expression of  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  was inversely proportional to input amounts of the DFAsh5-expressing plasmid in a cotransfection mixture (Fig. 4*C*), even the lowest examined levels of the DFAsh4-expressing plasmid reduced Dfa<sup>A</sup> expression to levels undetectable by immunoblotting (Fig. 4*D*).

To determine whether the expression of endogenous Dfa<sup>A</sup> could be strongly inhibited with DFAsh4, we isolated individual NIH-3T3 colonies stably transfected with the constitutively active pEN-DFAsh4 (Fig. 4*E*). Immunoblotting of wholecell extracts using the anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> antibody showed that expression of the endogenous 35-kDa Dfa<sup>A</sup> was reduced by up 87% in different clonally derived DFAsh4-expressing 3T3 cell cultures (Fig. 4*E* and data not shown). In addition to



plated (data not shown). In addition, individual colonies containing DFAsh4 grew at slightly but significantly lower rates than those expressing GFP-specific shRNAi  $(\sim 1.1$  doublings/ day  $\pm$  0.012; *n* = 35 *versus* 1.3 doublings/day  $\pm$  0.019; *n* = 5, respectively  $(p < 0.03)$ ). We also noticed that the early growth of DFAsh4-expressing cell colonies was particularly delayed, in comparison with colonies expressing GFP-specific shRNAi. Together, these findings validated the use of anti-Dfa<sup>ex7</sup> antibody (by confirming that the antibody-recognized 35-kDa protein was indeed encoded by Dfa in 3T3 cells) and also suggested that  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  may be required for viability of mammalian cells.

*Dfa Interactions with GgnBP1 and Abt1*—To search for Dfa<sup>A</sup>-binding proteins, we employed the yeast two-hybrid assay, with a Gal4-DNA-binding-Dfa<sup>A</sup> fusion as a bait and a mouse testis cDNA library based on the Gal4-activation domain. We isolated 16 independent DNA clones that encoded different (overlapping) segments of the mouse gametogenesis binding protein-1 (50, 51) (GgnBP1; GenBank<sup>TM</sup> accession number Q6K1E7) from 32 yeast colonies (Fig. 5*A*). Sequence analyses of the 16 pACT2-based encoding segments of GgnBP1 mapped the  $Dfa^A$ -binding region of GgnBP1 to its C-terminal residues 262–370 that encompass the C-terminal DUF1055 domain (domain of unknown function) that is present in many mammalian deubiquitylating enzymes (Fig. 5*A*). To further verify the GgnBP1-Dfa<sup>A</sup> interaction and to examine the ability of GgnBP1 to interact with Dfa<sup>B</sup>, we coexpressed Gal4-DNAbinding domain (DBD) fusions (Dfa<sup>A</sup>, Dfa<sup>B</sup>, Ate1, GgnBP(53-370), GgnBP1(262–370), and p53 (the latter a control)) with Gal4 activation domain fusions (Dfa<sup>A</sup>, Dfa<sup>B</sup>, GgnBP(53-370), and GgnBP1(262–370)), and the SV40 large T antigen (the latter as a control) in appropriately marked *S. cerevisiae* strains (Fig. 5*C*). These strains were assayed for their ability grow on SD media lacking Leu, Trp, His, and Ade (QDO, Quadrupole DropOut) as the evidence for interaction of the corresponding fusions (Fig. 5*C*). These assays confirmed, in the framework of two-hybrid assays, that either  $Dfa^A$  or  $Dfa^B$  interacted with GgnBP1(53–370) (residues 53–370, the largest GgnBP1 fragment isolated in this screen) or GgnBP1(262–370) (residues 262–370 of GgnBP1; the smallest "positive" GgnBP1 fragment) (Fig. 5*C*). "Vector swapping" two-hybrid assays further validated these Dfa-GgnBP1 interactions (Fig. 5*C*). In sum, the C-terminal DUF1055 domain of GgnBP1, which included GgnBP1(262–370), was sufficient for an interaction of GgnBP1 with either Dfa<sup>A</sup> or Dfa<sup>B</sup> (Fig. 5*C*).

GgnBP1 was originally identified in two-hybrid analyses as a protein that interacted with Ggn1 (gametogenetin 1) (50, 51). Ggn1 is a germ cell-specific protein that binds to FANCL (Fanconi anemia complementation group L), the protein product of the gene mutated in *gcd* (germ cell-deficient) mice (52, 53). GgnBP1 is associated with the Golgi and the plasma membrane, is testis-specific, and is expressed primarily in meiotic spermatocytes (51). More recently, mouse GgnBP1 was found, using a two-hybrid assay, to interact with the mitochondrial fission factor FIS1 and to participate, through the DUF1055 domain of GgnBP1, in the mitochondrial morphogenesis during spermatogenesis (54).

Although all of the above GgnBP1-interacting partners have been robustly identified through yeast two-hybrid assays, none of these interactions could be verified, so far, by other means, *e.g.* through coimmunoprecipitation of proteins expressed in mammalian cells (50–54). For reasons unknown (possibly because Dfa exists in multiple, differently located intracellular pools), our attempts to coimmunoprecipitate GgnBP1 with Dfa in mammalian cells were also unsuccessful (data not shown). Given specific membrane locations of GgnBP1 (see above), and the association of  $Dfa^A$  with both nuclear and membrane fractions (Fig. 3, *D*, *G*, and *J*), a subset of  $Dfa<sup>J</sup>$  that interacts with GgnBP1 is likely to be a small one. Recombinant mouse  $Dfa^A$ , when expressed alone in *E. coli*, was detected in both soluble and insoluble fractions after cell lysis, whereas all Dfa<sup>A</sup> became insoluble when it was coexpressed with recombinant mouse Ggnbp1 (Fig. 5*B*), a finding in agreement with extensive twohybrid data for a  $Dfa^A$ -Ggnbp1 interaction (Fig. 5).

Two-hybrid assays with  $Dfa^A$  as a bait also yielded three independent cDNA clones encoding overlapping segments of the mouse Abt1 (activator of basal transcription) protein. In additional two-hybrid screens, we found that, similarly to the GgnBP1 protein, Abt1 could interact with both the  $Dfa^A$  and Dfa<sup>B</sup> isoforms (Fig.  $6A$ ). To verify and extend these findings, NIH-3T3 cells were transiently cotransfected with plasmids that expressed triple FLAG-tagged <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup> and triple HA-tagged h<sup>3</sup>Abt1 (Fig. 6*B*). In agreement with the results of two-hybrid assays (Fig. 6A), h<sup>3</sup>Abt1 was specifically coimmunoprecipitated with <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup>, using anti-FLAG-M2 beads (Fig. 6*B*). Thus, Dfa<sup>A</sup> and Abt1 can interact in both *S. cerevisiae* and mouse fibroblasts. It should also be noted that no interaction between Dfa<sup>A</sup> and Ate1 (R-transferase; see Introduction) was detected using two-hybrid assay (data not shown), in agreement with other evidence in this study that suggested the absence of a significant functional or mechanistic connection between *Dfa* and *Ate1*, apart from their proximity and head-to-tail orientation (Fig. 1*A*).

*Dfa Acts As a Transcriptional Repressor of a TATA-box Promoter*—Previous work has shown that Abt1 is associated with the TATA-box-binding protein and enhances transcriptional activity of TATA-containing promoters (55). As described above, Dfa was shown to interact with Abt1 both in yeast-based two-hybrid assays and in coimmunoprecipitation assays with mammalian cells (Fig. 6, *A* and *B*), and it was also found to be associated *in vivo* with condensed nuclear IGCs, a hallmark of transcriptional repression (Fig. 3, *L*–*Q*). To determine whether Dfa<sup>A</sup> could influence the *in vivo* transcription of a reporter gene, we transiently cotransfected NIH-3T3 cells with a plasmid that expressed luciferase from the TATA-containing  $P_{CMV}$  promoter, and with increasing amounts of the DfaA-expressing pCB180 plasmid (Fig. 6*D*). (To control for promoter titration effects, transfection samples were normalized by the addition of the pcDNA3.1 vector DNA.) Addition of increasing amounts of the  $Dfa^A$ -expressing plasmid progressively decreased the expression of luciferase (Fig. 6*D*), suggesting that  $Dfa^A$  acted as a transcriptional repressor, possibly through its interaction with endogenous Abt1. To verify that the transcriptional repression observed under these conditions was caused by Dfa<sup>A</sup>, we repeated these assays in the presence of DFash4 (see above) and the resulting RNAi-mediated downregulation of  $Dfa^A$ . In the absence of  $Dfa^A$ -specific RNAi, the addition of 2  $\mu$ g of the Dfa<sup>A</sup>-expressing pCB180 plasmid caused



## *Dfa Protein*



FIGURE 5. **Two-hybrid assay detects interaction of Dfa<sup>A</sup> with Ggnbp1.** *A,* diagram of different segments of the mouse GgnBP1 protein that were isolated in 16 independent "two-hybrid-positive" clones from 32 separate *S. cerevisiae* colonies. The number of times each segment GgnBP1 was isolated in two-hybrid assays is shown in *parentheses* to the *right*. The DUF1055 domain of GgnBP1 (between residues 300 and 358) is *shaded*. *B,* soluble (*upper two panels*) and insoluble (solubilized by guanidine-HCl) proteins from BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells that had been transformed with pET-Duet1 plasmids (see "Experimental Procedures"). *Lane 1, E. coli* expressing mouse His<sub>6</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup>. *Lane 2, E. coli* expressing N-terminally HA-tagged mouse <sup>ha</sup>GgnBP1. *Lane 3, E. coli* expressing both His<sub>6</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> and <sup>ha</sup>GgnBP1. Note a decrease in the fraction of soluble His<sub>6</sub>-Dfa<sup>A</sup> in the presence of coexpressed (and virtually entirely<br>insoluble) <sup>ha</sup>GgnBP1 (*cf. lanes 1* and 3). C, indicated DBD fu assayed for their ability to grow on SD medium lacking either Leu and Trp or lacking Leu, Trp, His, and Ade (*QDO* (Quadruple DropOut) media; see the main text and see under "Experimental Procedures").



## *Dfa Protein*



FIGURE 6. Dfa<sup>A</sup> interacts with Abt1 and inhibits transcription from the TATA-containing P<sub>CMV</sub> promoter. A, indicated Abt1-based and Dfa-based DBD fusion and activation domain (*AD*) fusion proteins were expressed in *S. cerevisiae* YH109, and two-hybrid assays for their interaction were carried out (see under "Experimental Procedures"). *QDO,* quadruple dropout. *B,* mouse NIH-3T3 cells were transiently transfected with pcDNA-based plasmids that expressed the indicated proteins (tagged with the FLAG or HA epitopes), followed by preparation of extracts, and either SDS-PAGE (followed by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG and anti-HA) or immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody, followed by SDS-PAGE of immunoprecipitates and immunoblotting with anti-HA antibody. *IB,* immunoblotting; *IP,* immunoprecipitation. C, levels of luciferase (expressed from the P<sub>ate1/D/a</sub> promoter in the plasmid pCB44 (14)) in extracts from mouse NIH-3T3 cells that had been<br>cotransfected with pCB44 and the indicated plasmids (the e Luciferase levels are plotted as percentages of the level that was observed with pcDNA3.1 vector alone (no exogenous Dfa<sup>A</sup>; no DFAsh4 shRNA). *Error bars* indicate standard deviations among three independent assays. *D,* same as in C but with luciferase expressed from the TATA-box-containing P<sub>CMV</sub> promoter. The immunoblot<br>in *D* shows relative levels of <sup>f3</sup>Dfa<sup>A</sup> in the correspondi

an  $\sim$ 42% reduction in luciferase levels, in comparison with expression in the presence of the  $Dfa^A$ -lacking pc $DNA3.1$  vector (Fig. 6*D*). In contrast, the Dfa<sup>A</sup>-specific RNAi (verified, using immunoblotting, by a loss of  $Dfa^A$  expression upon RNAi) and the resulting down-regulation of Dfa<sup>A</sup> increased luciferase expression to  $\sim$ 70% of the control levels (in the absence of exogenous Dfa<sup>A</sup>) (Fig. 6D). In additional control experiments, no effect on Dfa<sup>A</sup> expression or its reporter-repressive effects were observed with cells that were also transfected with the "control" RNAi plasmid pEN-hU6miR (Fig. 6*D*).

Given these results, we also asked whether  $Dfa^A$  could also repress transcription from the bidirectional and TATA-less *PAte1/Dfa* promoter, as such a property would potentially be a functional link between *Ate1* and *Dfa*. We measured the levels of luciferase expressed (in the direction of *Ate1* transcription) from the  $P_{Atel/DEa}$  promoter under the conditions described above for the  $P_{CMV}$ -luciferase setting. In contrast to down-regulating effects of Dfa<sup>A</sup> on the expression of  $P_{CMV}$ -luciferase, increasing levels of  $Dfa^A$  did not alter significantly the expression of the *PAte1/Dfa*-luciferase reporter (Fig. 6*C*). Although



more detailed studies of Dfa as a transcriptional repressor of TATA-containing promoters are clearly necessary, our findings suggest that the repressor activity of  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  is confined to promoters of this class.

*Concluding Remarks*—This study of the previously uncharacterized mouse gene, termed *Dfa*, was carried out to explore the possibility that both proximity and head-to-head orientation of the *Dfa* gene and the previously known *Ate1* gene (encoding R-transferase of the N-end rule pathway) might signify their functional link, either directly or through belonging to a specific regulatory circuit. As shown in this work, *Dfa* is expressed largely, although not exclusively, in the testis, where it produces a complex set of spliced *Dfa* mRNAs from both the bidirectional  $P_{Atel/ Dfa}$  promoter and other nearby promoters. The 3-terminal exon of *Dfa*, termed *DfaA* and shared by other mapped *Dfa* mRNAs, encodes a 217-residue (26 kDa) protein that was found to migrate, upon SDS-PAGE, as a 35-kDa species. An affinity-purified polyclonal antibody to  $Dfa<sup>A</sup>$  was prepared and shown to recognize Dfa<sup>A</sup> in cell extracts. Specifically, the antibody detected a 35-kDa protein that was down-regulated upon RNAi-mediated repression of *Dfa*. The Dfa<sup>A</sup> protein was sequelogous (similar in sequence) (18) to the previously described human/mouse HTEX4 protein, whose physiological function is unknown. Dfa<sup>A</sup> was also found to interact with specific proteins, including the Abt1 transcriptional activator. Dfa-Abt1 interactions could be detected either by the yeast-based two-hybrid assay or through coimmunoprecipitation of the two proteins from mammalian cell extracts. This potential link between  $\text{Dfa}^A$  and regulation of transcription was consistent with the observed preferential location of  $Dfa^A$  (as an EGFP-Dfa<sup>A</sup> fusion) in compact (condensed) interchromatin granule clusters, a hallmark of transcriptional repression. Experiments with a luciferase-based transcriptional reporter and Dfa<sup>A</sup>-specific RNAi have shown that  $Dfa^A$  acts as a repressor of a TATAbox-containing transcriptional promoter but does not influence the bidirectional  $P_{Atel/DEa}$  promoter, which contains a CpG island and lacks the TATA-box.

Much remains to be learned about *Dfa*, its elaborate set of primary transcripts, differential splicing, mature mRNAs, the observed transcriptional-repressor activity of Dfa, and ultimately its specific roles in cell physiology. Contrary to expectation that led us to initiate this work, no functional or mechanistic connections between Dfa proteins and the isoforms of the Ate1 R-transferase were detected so far, apart from the proximity of their head-to-head oriented genes and the antisense orientation of some among *Dfa* and *Ate1* transcripts (Fig. 1, *A* and *D*). As this is the first exploration of *Dfa* and is also a far from complete understanding of the  $P_{Atel/DEa}$  promoter, future studies of *Dfa* and *Ate1* may still uncover a physiologically relevant connection between these adjacent, divergently oriented genes, perhaps outside of domains we explored so far.

*Acknowledgments—We are grateful to current and former members of the Varshavsky laboratory for advice and help. We thank K. I. Piatkov for helpful discussions, J. Zavzavadjian and E. Wall for advice with shRNA, and E. Udartseva for excellent technical assistance.*

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Varshavsky, A. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93,** 12142–12149
- 2. Mogk, A., Schmidt, R., and Bukau, B. (2007) *Trends Cell Biol.* **17,** 165–172
- 3. Tasaki, T., and Kwon, Y. T. (2007) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **32,** 520–528
- 4. Varshavsky, A. (2008) *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15,** 1238–1240
- 5. Varshavsky, A. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 34469–34489
- 6. Hu, R. G., Sheng, J., Qi, X., Xu, Z., Takahashi, T. T., and Varshavsky, A. (2005) *Nature* **437,** 981–986
- 7. Hu, R. G., Wang, H., Xia, Z., and Varshavsky, A. (2008) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105,** 76–81
- 8. Xia, Z., Webster, A., Du, F., Piatkov, K., Ghislain, M., and Varshavsky, A. (2008) *J. Biol. Chem.* **283,** 24011–24028
- 9. Hwang, C. S., and Varshavsky, A. (2008) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105,** 19188–19193
- 10. Hwang, C. S., Shemorry, A., and Varshavsky, A. (2009) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106,** 2142–2147
- 11. Wang, H., Piatkov, K. I., Brower, C. S., and Varshavsky, A. (2009) *Mol. Cell* **34,** 686–695
- 12. Brower, C. S., and Varshavsky, A. (2009) *PLoS ONE* **4,** e7757
- 13. Hwang, C. S., Shemorry, A., and Varshavsky, A. (2010) *Science* **327,** 973–977
- 14. Hu, R. G., Brower, C. S.,Wang, H., Davydov, I. V., Sheng, J., Zhou, J., Kwon, Y. T., and Varshavsky, A. (2006) *J. Biol. Chem.* **281,** 32559–32573
- 15. Kwon, Y. T., Kashina, A. S., and Varshavsky, A. (1999) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19,** 182–193
- 16. Kwon, Y. T., Kashina, A. S., Davydov, I. V., Hu, R. G., An, J. Y., Seo, J. W., Du, F., and Varshavsky, A. (2002) *Science* **297,** 96–99
- 17. Lee, M. J., Tasaki, T., Moroi, K., An, J. Y., Kimura, S., Davydov, I. V., and Kwon, Y. T. (2005) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102,** 15030–15035
- 18. Varshavsky, A. (2004) *Curr. Biol.* **14,** R181–R183
- 19. Graciet, E., Hu, R. G., Piatkov, K., Rhee, J. H., Schwarz, E. M., and Varshavsky, A. (2006) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103,** 3078–3083
- 20. Shin, K. J., Wall, E. A., Zavzavadjian, J. R., Santat, L. A., Liu, J., Hwang, J. I., Rebres, R., Roach, T., Seaman, W., Simon, M. I., and Fraser, I. D. (2006) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103,** 13759–13764
- 21. Prioleau, M. N. (2009) *PLoS Genetics* **5,** e1000454
- 22. Patton, J., Block, S., Coombs, C., and Martin, M. E. (2006) *Gene* **369,** 35–44
- 23. Emoto, M., Miki, M., Sarker, A. H., Nakamura, T., Seki, Y., Seki, S., and Ikeda, S. (2005) *Gene* **357,** 47–54
- 24. Travers, M. T., Cambot, M., Kennedy, H. T., Lenoir, G. M., Barber, M. C., and Joulin, V. (2005) *Genomics* **85,** 71–84
- 25. Saleh, A., Davies, G. E., Pascal, V., Wright, P. W., Hodge, D. L., Cho, E. H., Lockett, S. J., Abshari, M., and Anderson, S. K. (2004) *Immunity* **21,** 55–66
- 26. Meier-Noorden, M., Flindt, S., Kalinke, U., and Hinz, T. (2004) *Gene* **338,** 197–207
- 27. Whitehouse, C., Chambers, J., Catteau, A., and Solomon, E. (2004) *Gene* **326,** 87–96
- 28. Trinklein, N. D., Aldred, S. F., Hartman, S. J., Schroeder, D. I., Otillar, R. P., and Myers, R. M. (2004) *Genome Res.* **14,** 62–66
- 29. Takai, D., and Jones, P. A. (2004) *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **21,** 463–467
- 30. Adachi, N., and Lieber, M. R. (2002) *Cell* **109,** 807–809
- 31. West, A. B., Lockhart, P. J., O**'**Farell, C., and Farrer, M. J. (2003) *J. Mol. Biol.* **326,** 11–19
- 32. Li, Y. Y., Yu, H., Guo, Z. M., Guo, T. Q., Tu, K., and Li, Y. X. (2006) *PLoS Comp. Biol.* **2,** e74
- 33. Seila, A. C., Calabrese, J. M., Levine, S. S., Yeo, G. W., Rahl, P. B., Flynn, R. A., Young, R. A., and Sharp, P. A. (2008) *Science* **322,** 1849–1851
- 34. He, Y., Vogelstein, B., Velculescu, V. E., Papadopoulos, N., and Kinzler, K. W. (2008) *Science* **322,** 1855–1857
- 35. Piontkivska, H., Yang, M. Q., Larkin, D. M., Lewin, H. A., Reecy, J., and Elnitski, L. (2009) *BMC Genomics* **10,** 189
- 36. Xu, Z., Wei, W., Gagneur, J., Perocchi, F., Clauder-Münster, S., Camblong, J., Guffanti, E., Stutz, F., Huber, W., and Steinmetz, L. M. (2009) *Nature* **457,** 1033–1037
- 37. Teodorovic, S., Walls, C. D., and Elmendorf, H. G. (2007) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35,** 2544–2553



## *Dfa Protein*

- 38. Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R., Kingston, R. E., Moore, D. D., Smith, J. A., Seidman, J. G., and Struhl, K. (eds) (2006) *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Wiley-Interscience, New York
- 39. Chen, M., and Manley, J. L. (2009) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10,** 741–754
- 40. Elnitski, L., Riemer, C., Burhans, R., Hardison, R., and Miller, W. (2005) *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics,* Unit 10.14
- 41. Elnitski, L., Riemer, C., Schwartz, S., Hardison, R., and Miller, W. (2003) *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics,* Unit 10.12
- 42. Scherf, M., Klingenhoff, A., and Werner, T. (2000) *J. Mol. Biol.* **297,** 599–606
- 43. Coriton, O., Lepourcelet, M., Hampe, A., Galibert, F., and Mosser, J. (2000) *Mamm. Genome* **11,** 1127–1131
- 44. Lepourcelet, M., Coriton, O., Hampe, A., Galibert, F., and Mosser, J. (1998) *Immunogenetics* **47,** 491–496
- 45. Seibel, N. M., Eljouni, J., Nalaskowski, M. M., and Hampe, W. (2007) *Anal. Biochem.* **368,** 95–99
- 46. Saitoh, N., Spahr, C. S., Patterson, S. D., Bubulya, P., Neuwald, A. F., and Spector, D. L. (2004) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **15,** 3876–3890
- 47. Bregman, D. B., Du, L., van der Zee, S., and Warren, S. L. (1995) *J. Cell Biol.* **129,** 287–298
- 48. Lamond, A. I., and Spector, D. L. (2003) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4,** 605–612
- 49. Wang, I. F., Chang, H. Y., and Shen, C. K. (2006) *Exp. Cell Res.* **312,** 3796–3807
- 50. Zhang, J., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Cao, Z., Huang, P., and Lu, B. (2005) *FEBS Lett.* **579,** 559–566
- 51. Zhou, Y., Zhao, Q., Bishop, C. E., Huang, P., and Lu, B. (2005) *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **70,** 301–307
- 52. Lu, B., and Bishop, C. E. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278,** 16289–16296
- 53. Agoulnik, A. I., Lu, B., Zhu, Q., Truong, C., Ty, M. T., Arango, N., Chada, K. K., and Bishop, C. E. (2002) *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11,** 3047–3053
- 54. Aihara, T., Nakamura, N., Honda, S., and Hirose, S. (2009) *Biol. Reprod.* **80,** 762–770
- 55. Oda, T., Kayukawa, K., Hagiwara, H., Yudate, H. T., Masuho, Y., Murakami, Y., Tamura, T. A., and Muramatsu, M. A. (2000) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20,** 1407–1418

