

RESEARCH PAPER

# Contrasting drought tolerance strategies in two desert annuals of hybrid origin

David M. Rosenthal<sup>1,\*</sup>, Volker Stiller<sup>2,†</sup>, John S. Sperry<sup>2</sup> and Lisa A. Donovan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond, LA, USA.

\* Present address and to whom correspondence should be sent: USDA/ARS Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit and the Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1206 West Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. E-mail: davidrosenthal2@gmail.com

Received 25 January 2010; Revised 25 March 2010; Accepted 30 March 2010

## Abstract

Woody plants native to mesic habitats tend to be more vulnerable to drought-induced cavitation than those in xeric habitats. Cavitation resistance in herbaceous plants, however, is rarely studied and whether or not annual plants in arid habitats conform to the trends observed in woody plants is unknown. This question is addressed by comparing the hydraulic properties of annual plants endemic to relatively mesic and seasonally xeric habitats in the Great Basin Desert, in both native and experimental settings. Vulnerability to cavitation between species differed as predicted when vulnerability curves of similar-sized native individuals were compared. Contrary to expectations, *Helianthus anomalus* from the relatively mesic dune sites, on average, exhibited higher native embolism, lower soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance ( $k_L$ ) and lower transpiration rates, than its xeric analogue, *H. deserticola*. In transplant gardens, *H. anomalus*' vulnerability to cavitation was unaffected by transplant location or watering treatment. In *H. deserticola*, however, vulnerability to cavitation varied significantly in response to watering in transplant gardens and varied as a function of stem water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ ). *H. deserticola* largely avoided cavitation through its higher water status and generally more resistant xylem, traits consistent with a short life cycle and typical drought-escape strategy. By contrast, *H. anomalus*' higher native embolism is likely to be adaptive by lowering plant conductance and transpiration rate, thus preventing the loss of root-to-soil hydraulic contact in the coarse sand dune soils. For *H. anomalus* this dehydration avoidance strategy is consistent with its relatively long 3–4 month life cycle and low-competition habitat. We conclude that variance of hydraulic parameters in herbaceous plants is a function of soil moisture heterogeneity and is consistent with the notion that trait plasticity to fine-grained environmental variation can be adaptive.

**Key words:** Adaptation, arid habitats, safety margin, sand dunes, sunflowers, water potential, xylem cavitation.

## Introduction

For woody species, the observation that plants from mesic habitats are more vulnerable to drought-induced xylem cavitation than plants from xeric habitats holds at broad spatial scales and in evolutionary frameworks (Alder *et al.*, 1996; Mencuccini and Comstock, 1997; Davis *et al.*, 1999; Kolb and Sperry, 1999; Pockman and Sperry, 2000; Maherali *et al.*, 2004). However, recent work by Jacobsen *et al.* (2007) showed that aridity does not always predict

cavitation resistance in woody shrubs, and while some species in a semi-arid climate had high cavitation resistance, the dominant species in an arid desert community were, on average, less resistant to drought-induced cavitation. In annual and herbaceous plants, drought-induced xylem cavitation is poorly described and whether or not herbaceous annuals from mesic habitats are more vulnerable to cavitation than those from xeric habitats is an open

question. This is surprising given that many annual crops are grown in non-irrigated and dryland ecosystems. Of the studies that have examined vulnerability to drought-induced xylem embolism and cavitation in herbaceous species (Milburn and McLaughlin, 1974; Tyree *et al.*, 1986; Neufeld *et al.*, 1992; McCully *et al.*, 1998; Buchard *et al.*, 1999; Stiller and Sperry, 2002; Kocacinar and Sage, 2003; Stiller *et al.*, 2003; Lo Gullo *et al.*, 2004; Li *et al.*, 2009) few have been completed in the field, particularly in arid ecosystems, where water availability varies in space and time.

Because vulnerability to cavitation has a genetic basis, species and population differences in cavitation resistance can be due to adaptive or ecotypic differentiation (Neufeld *et al.*, 1992; Kavanagh *et al.*, 1999; Kolb and Sperry, 1999; Maherali *et al.*, 2004). In addition, gene flow or phenotypic plasticity among populations may alter adaptive or ecotypic differentiation (Maherali *et al.*, 2002). Vulnerability to cavitation is also an environmentally plastic trait such that drought induced stress can temporarily diminish or ‘weaken’ xylem resistance to cavitation (i.e. a rapid loss of xylem conductivity at relatively high water potentials). This ‘cavitation fatigue’ phenomenon, which has been demonstrated in a few genera including *Helianthus* (Hacke *et al.*, 2001), complicates interpretations of field-based measures of cavitation resistance. Evidence suggests that plants may take several days to regain previous cavitation resistance levels following drought stress (Stiller and Sperry, 2002). The implication is that, in desert habitats which have spatially and temporally heterogeneous moisture availability, vulnerability to cavitation could change seasonally for a given individual. Recently, Jacobsen *et al.* (2007) demonstrated that cavitation resistance changed in ‘wet’ versus ‘dry’ seasons in several woody species in the Chaparral, Coastal scrub, and Mojave Desert scrub habitats. Therefore both genetic and environmental effects need to be considered when comparing vulnerability to cavitation within and among species.

In order to clarify how variation in water availability affects drought-induced cavitation in herbaceous taxa, two species of wild desert sunflowers, *Helianthus anomalous* and *Helianthus deserticola*, were studied. They are an excellent species pair to investigate the relationship between hydraulic parameters and habitat water status in desert annuals because they are closely related (Rieseberg, 1991; Rieseberg *et al.*, 1991) and can be found in adjacent but divergent habitats that are well characterized (Schwarzbach *et al.*, 2001; Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005; Donovan *et al.*, 2007). The species are locally endemic to active sand dunes (*H. anomalous*) and the adjacent stabilized dunes and desert floor (*H. deserticola*) in the Great Basin Desert, USA. *Helianthus anomalous* is relatively long-lived for a desert annual, germinating in the early spring and remaining active until the first frost in late autumn, presumably an adaptation to the relatively mesic dune habitat. However, in the stabilized off-dune habitat, plant water availability decreases rapidly during summer droughts (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005) and *H. deserticola* completes its life cycle within 2 months.

The sand dunes habitat of *H. anomalous* has significantly coarser textured soils than the adjacent stabilized dunes where *H. deserticola* thrives (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005). Soil texture is relevant here since hydraulic failure can occur in the soil before xylem cavitation, either due to coarse-textured soil or to the low surface area of the absorbing roots (Sperry *et al.*, 1998; Hacke *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, edaphic differences between these habitats may differentially affect soil and plant water status (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005) and this, in turn, would affect plant hydraulic properties. In general, the dune habitat of *H. anomalous* is considered to be relatively mesic for the entire growing season since soil moisture is available to plants throughout the summer provided their roots are deep enough (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005). In addition, nutrient availability is also significantly lower in *H. anomalous* (Ludwig *et al.*, 2006). While the species in our study have identical hybrid origins, different suites of traits have facilitated ecological transitions in these sunflowers (Rieseberg *et al.*, 2003) and it is unknown how hydraulic parameters may contribute to the ecological differentiation.


In the present study, the hypothesis that the ‘on-dune’ species *H. anomalous* is more vulnerable to drought-induced xylem cavitation, than the ‘off-dune’ species *H. deserticola* was tested. This was done by measuring vulnerability to cavitation, native embolism, transpiration rate ( $E$ ), predawn ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and midday ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) water potential, soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance ( $k_l$ ), and related hydraulic parameters in native plants during two summer growth seasons. In a second experiment, seedlings of each species were removed from native populations and reciprocally transplanted into common gardens in each of their respective habitats. Within each transplant garden, half the plants received additional water. It was hypothesized that resistance to cavitation would vary in response to water availability. If variance in vulnerability to cavitation for either species is an environmentally plastic trait driven by water availability then cavitation was expected to differ in watered versus unwatered treatments.

## Materials and methods

### Study site

The study was conducted in the Little Sahara Recreation Area, Juab County, Utah (latitude 39°44' N, longitude 112°18' W). The climate is typical of the Great Basin Desert with the majority of precipitation occurring as snow or rain during the cold winter and cool spring months. The summers are characterized by a significant drought usually beginning in June and July. Mean annual precipitation is 312 mm, making this an arid zone according to the UNESCO (1977) classification. During the two years preceding the common garden study, annual precipitation was well below average (2002, 110 mm; 2001, 191 mm) compared to 317 mm in an average year (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005), reflecting a significant long-term drought in the area. Annual precipitation was slightly below average (287 mm) in 2003, the year of the common garden study, with 20% (58 mm) falling during the summer study period (June–August) (Fig. 1).

The dominant vegetation at this site has been described previously (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005). Briefly, cover is significantly lower



**Fig. 1.** Mean monthly precipitation at Little Sahara Sand Dunes for May through September of 2002 and 2003.

on-dunes (12.3%) than off-dunes (67.8%) the most common species on dunes are *Psoralidium lanceolatum* (Pursh) Rydb. (Dune scurfpea), *Salsola tragus* L. (Russian thistle), and *Achnatherum hymenoides* (Roem. & Schult.) Barkworth (Indian ricegrass). Common taxa off the dunes are *Bromus tectorum*, *Artemisia tridentata* var. *tridentata*, and several *Agropyron* sp. The proportion of soil covered by litter is also far lower on-dunes than off (6.4% versus 45.5%, respectively). The vast majority of off-dune litter was identifiable as *B. tectorum* (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2005).

#### Sampling of native plants

In the first year of our study, native plants of both species were collected simultaneously on two dates (July 2002 and August 2002). In the second year, plants of similar sizes and growth stages (i.e. at anthesis) were collected on two separate dates. *H. deserticola* plants were collected in July 2003 and *H. anomalus* plants were collected in August 2003 to coincide with measurements taken in the reciprocal transplant gardens as described below.

#### Reciprocal transplant experiment

Reciprocal transplant gardens were established in the *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola* habitats in the Little Sahara Recreation Area, Juab County, UT, USA (latitude 39°44' N, longitude 112°18' W) (hereafter referred to as 'on-dune' and 'off-dune', respectively). One-hundred-and-twenty-five *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola* seedlings were collected between 25 May and 27 May 2003 from naturally occurring populations at Little Sahara Sand Dunes. Individual plants were placed in temporary pots and 48 seedlings per species were randomly selected for the experiment. Half of the selected seedlings from each species were planted in the on-dune garden and off-dune garden, respectively. Within each transplant garden there were two irrigation treatments, watered (+H<sub>2</sub>O) and unwatered (NO H<sub>2</sub>O). The +H<sub>2</sub>O and NO H<sub>2</sub>O plants were in separate plots so that water additions would not affect control plants. To account for any heterogeneity within treatments, 2 blocks were nested within each treatment plot.

All plants were watered every other day for 14 d following the transplant. Previous studies indicated that this initial period of heavy watering would be necessary to minimize transplanting shock and facilitate seedling establishment (Ludwig *et al.*, 2004). Four weeks after transplanting, the +H<sub>2</sub>O plants were supplemented with water equivalent to 33 mm of precipitation. Since it was not possible to know in advance what precipitation would occur, the average precipitation expected for that seasonal period (ten year average=35 mm) was added. The actual precipitation during the study was 22.4 mm. Therefore +H<sub>2</sub>O plants received 55.4 mm precipitation (about 20.4 mm more than the average for

that period), which was administered four times at two-week intervals.

*Helianthus deserticola* blooms, sets seed, and frequently senesces before *H. anomalus* begins flowering. In order to compare cavitation resistance at similar growth stages in the experimental garden plants, *H. deserticola* was harvested and measured on 17 July and *H. anomalus* on 28 August. At these dates, both plants had terminated height growth and had initiated flowering.

#### Cavitation resistance and native embolism measurements

Vulnerability to xylem cavitation was quantified from curves based on the relationship between xylem pressure and the percentage loss of hydraulic conductivity (*PLC*) (Sperry *et al.*, 1988; Alder *et al.*, 1997). Hydraulic conductivity of stem segments was measured using a modification of the method of Sperry *et al.* (1988) from the flow rate of deionized and filtered (0.2 µm) water onto an electronic balance (BA210S, Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). Whole plants were collected in the field, immediately sealed into humid plastic bags to minimize desiccation, and placed in coolers for the 2 h journey to the laboratory at the University of Utah. Several studies have shown that this treatment neither affects the level of native embolism nor the plants' vulnerability to xylem cavitation. In the laboratory, 0.14 m stem segments were cut from the main stem of each plant underwater and then the native hydraulic conductivity ( $k_{\text{native}}$ ) of each stem was measured using a pressure head of 4–6 kPa. The stems were flushed at 100 kPa for 45 min to refill air-filled conduits to determine the stem maximum hydraulic conductivity ( $k_{\text{max}}$ ). Native embolism or native per cent loss of conductivity ( $PLC_{\text{native}}$ ) is given by:

$$PLC_{\text{native}} = ((k_{\text{max}} - k_{\text{native}}) / k_{\text{max}}) \times 100$$

Once  $k_{\text{native}}$  and  $k_{\text{max}}$  were known, the stem segments were mounted in custom-built centrifuge rotors and spun for 4 min in a Sorval RC5C centrifuge to generate xylem embolisms. Xylem pressure is a function of the angular velocity and the distance from the centre of rotation to the stem ends, with the lowest pressures at the centre of the stem (Alder *et al.*, 1997). After spinning, stem hydraulic conductivity was remeasured. This process was repeated several times, spinning at incrementally higher speeds. Vulnerability curves were then derived from the plots of *PLC* versus xylem pressure.

Differences in cavitation resistance are frequently presented as the pressure required to cause a 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity (P50). However, sunflowers have been shown to suffer from 'cavitation fatigue' (Hacke *et al.*, 2001; Stiller and Sperry, 2002) and minor xylem tensions of only –1.0 MPa can cause a dramatic decrease in hydraulic conductivity. This shift is particularly prominent at the less negative (–1 or greater MPa) pressure end of the vulnerability curve. In order to correct vulnerability curves for cavitation fatigue it is not sufficient to use the conductivity at –0.5 MPa as the  $k_{\text{max}}$ . Therefore, to avoid confounding the effects of xylem weakening with inherent cavitation resistance, cavitation resistance at 75 *PLC* (P75) was compared as well as at 50 *PLC* (P50) (Sperry and Hacke, 2002).

#### Water relations and gas exchange measurements

Prior to harvesting plants for hydraulic measurements, plant predawn ( $\Psi_{\text{pd}}$ ) and midday ( $\Psi_{\text{md}}$ ) water potentials were determined with a pressure chamber (PMS instruments, Corvallis, Oregon, USA). Only fully expanded, mature, non-senescent leaves were used. Although soil  $\Psi$  and plant  $\Psi_{\text{pd}}$  may not equilibrate for some plants (Donovan *et al.*, 2001, 2003), night-time transpiration is similar and relatively low in *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola* (Howard and Donovan, 2007). Therefore any deviation from equilibrium with soil  $\Psi$  would have small and similar effects on plant  $\Psi$ . Immediately following or preceding  $\Psi_{\text{md}}$ , transpiration rate (*E*) was measured on mature non-senescent leaves using a Li-Cor 6400 portable photosynthesis system (CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 360 ppm, ambient air temperature, photosynthetically active

radiation  $1800 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). Transpiration measurements were done on clear days between 11.00 h and 13.00 h at similar air temperatures and *VPD*. Prior to measurement, ambient relative humidity and temperature were recorded and chambers were set to mimic ambient conditions.

Soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance ( $k_L$ ) was calculated using the following equation:

$$k_L = E / (\psi_{pd} - \psi_{md})$$

where  $k_L$  is defined by the ratio between flow rate (i.e. transpiration) and the ‘driving force’ (Kolb and Davis, 1994) defined by the difference between soil and leaf water potential. Field stem xylem pressure ( $\Psi_{stem}$ ) was estimated as the midpoint between ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and ( $\Psi_{md}$ ):

$$\psi_{stem} = (\psi_{pd} + \psi_{md}) / 2$$

which corresponds to the xylem pressure at the midpoint of the soil-to-leaf continuum (Linton *et al.*, 1998) and is considered a better estimate of the xylem pressure in the shoots used to measure cavitation resistance.

After determining  $\Psi_{stem}$ , the safety margin against hydraulic failure was calculated. Plant  $\Psi_{crit}$  is defined here as a conservative estimate of the minimum  $\Psi_{stem}$  allowing xylem transport (see also Pockman and Sperry, 2000). If  $\Psi_{stem}$  were to reach  $\Psi_{crit}$ , all hydraulic transport would cease (i.e. 100% loss of hydraulic conductivity). The difference between the  $\Psi_{min}$  and  $\Psi_{crit}$  is considered the margin of safety against hydraulic failure (Sperry *et al.*, 1998). The safety margin for mesic species is generally small because water availability is usually high and predictable in those habitats. This margin is wider for species in arid habitats, presumably allowing plants to extract soil water at lower water potentials (Sperry, 1995).  $\Psi$  at P75 was conservatively selected as  $\Psi_{crit}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$  as  $\Psi_{min}$ . The safety margin ( $\Psi_{margin}$ ) was calculated as the difference between  $\Psi_{crit}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$ .

#### Sampling and statistical methods

The pressure causing 50% and 75% loss in xylem conductivity (P50 and P75, respectively) was estimated for native and experimental populations by fitting a Weibull function to loss of hydraulic conductivity versus xylem tension for each individual stem (Neufeld *et al.*, 1992). Both, P75 and P50 were estimated since the former is less likely to be affected by drought-induced cavitation fatigue than the latter (Sperry and Hacke, 2002).


To test for overall differences in vulnerability curves between species in the native habitat, the response of per cent loss of stem conductivity (*PLC*) to change in xylem pressure (MPa) was modelled using a repeated measures analysis of variance (SAS, PROC GLM) with species as a fixed effect and xylem pressure as the repeated effect. For the reciprocal transplant experiment the ANOVA was done by species as we were primarily interested in the species response to watering, treatment (i.e. +H<sub>2</sub>O versus NO H<sub>2</sub>O) and habitat (i.e. on-dune and off-dune) were treated as fixed effects, and block nested within habitat was treated as a random effect (SAS, PROC MIXED). To compare between species across all experiments species summary statistics were generated and tested for significance of species level differences by comparing the measured values of  $\Psi_{pd}$ ,  $\Psi_{md}$ ,  $\Psi_{stem}$ ,  $E$ ,  $k_L$ , native embolism, P50, P75, and  $\Psi_{margin}$  between species with a MANOVA (PROC GLM option MANOVA).

## Results

### Native plants

In 2002, vulnerability curves were measured for both species in July and then again in August to assess seasonal variation. Within each species, entire vulnerability curves differed between sampling dates in 2002 when compared

using repeated measures ANOVA (PROC MIXED, (*H. deserticola*  $F_{1,37}=10.6$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; *H. anomalous*;  $F_{1,29}=5.38$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 2). Within sampling dates in



**Fig. 2.** Percent loss of hydraulic conductivity (*PLC*) as a function of xylem pressure for *H. anomalous* and *H. deserticola* plants collected in native habitats in 2002 and 2003 (Experiment 1). Sampling dates in 2003 were determined by the plants’ growth stage (July for *H. deserticola* and August for *H. anomalous*). Larger symbols ( $n=4-7$  stems at each xylem pressure  $\pm$ SE) are adjusted means from repeated measure ANOVA. Smaller symbols with horizontal error bars represent mean P75 ( $\pm$ SE) as estimated from Weibull curves for each stem. Curves are Weibull functions fitted to the entire data set of each species at that time point. Asterisks denote means that are significantly different following *post hoc* tests.

2002, species vulnerability curves differed significantly in July ( $F_{1,26}=20.86$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) but not August. In 2003, native plant vulnerability curves differed significantly ( $F_{1,48}=12.01$ ,  $P < 0.005$ ) (Fig. 2), but these were sampled at different times. Curves did not differ between years for a species, based on a comparison of the August data for *H. anomalus* and the July data for *H. deserticola*. The P75 was also less negative for *H. anomalus* than *H. deserticola*, but only significantly so in 2002. Overall, *H. deserticola* exhibited greater variability and range in susceptibility to xylem cavitation than did *H. anomalus* (Table 1). *H. anomalus* tended to be more vulnerable to cavitation and its water potential dropped much more during the summer ( $\Psi_{md}$  below  $-2$  MPa) than *H. deserticola* ( $\Psi_{md}$  near  $-1.5$  MPa; Fig. 3). Consequently, *H. anomalus* developed higher native embolism values at the end-of-summer August sampling dates in 2002 ( $39.2 \pm 8.9\%$ ) than *H. deserticola* ( $19.0 \pm 12.0\%$ ).

### Reciprocal transplants

*Helianthus anomalus* cavitation resistance in experimental gardens did not differ significantly by garden (on-dune and off-dune), treatment (+H<sub>2</sub>O or No H<sub>2</sub>O) or their interaction when entire vulnerability curves were compared by repeated measures ANOVA (Table 2; Fig. 4). Nor were there any differences in P75. However, on-dune and off-dune, *H. anomalus* +H<sub>2</sub>O (Fig. 3; ANY and AFY) had significantly greater  $\Psi_{pd}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$  than *H. anomalus* No H<sub>2</sub>O (ANN and AFN) indicating their water status improved with additional watering (Table 3; Fig. 3).

In contrast to the low variability in cavitation resistance for *H. anomalus* in the gardens, *H. deserticola*'s resistance to cavitation in transplant gardens differed significantly between on-dune and off-dune gardens based on whole-curve ANOVA comparisons and P75 data. The magnitude of the difference varied depending on watering treatment (Table 2; Fig. 4). In the off-dune transplant garden *H. deserticola* was significantly less vulnerable to cavitation only when watered (Fig. 4) and both  $\Psi_{pd}$  and  $\Psi_{md}$  were significantly greater in the off-dune gardens (Table 3; Fig. 3).

**Table 1.** Mean and range of xylem pressure at 75% loss of hydraulic conductivity (P75)

P75 was estimated for each individual then pooled for all native *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola* collected in 2002 and 2003. Species means differ significantly in 2002 but are not significant in 2003. Note that native plants were sample at the same time in 2002 but not in 2003. See Materials and methods for details.

Species (year)	n	Mean	Standard error	Minimum	Maximum	Variance
2002 (*)						
<i>H. anomalus</i>	9	-2.663	0.133	-3.45	-2.15	0.142
<i>H. deserticola</i>	9	-3.421	0.250	-4.80	-2.90	0.438
2003 (ns)						
<i>H. anomalus</i>	8	-2.838	0.189	-3.65	-2.15	0.287
<i>H. deserticola</i>	12	-2.817	0.173	-3.90	-2.00	0.359

### Species trends

To compare species' hydraulic parameters response to plant water status in the broadest sense, data from native and experimental garden plants were combined and compared to  $\Psi_{stem}$  (i.e.  $[(\Psi_{pd} + \Psi_{md})/2]$ , Fig. 5). Overall, *H. anomalus* developed significantly lower water potentials and higher native embolism than *H. deserticola* (Fig. 5A; Table 4). Consequently, *H. anomalus* had, on average, an approximately three times lower soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance ( $k_L$ ) than *H. deserticola* and a similarly lower average transpiration rate (Fig. 5B, C; Table 4).

The P75 exhibited a significant relationship with  $\Psi_{stem}$  in *H. deserticola*, such that plants exposed to more negative

**Table 2.** Repeated measures analysis of variance for the effects of habitat (on-dune and off-dune) and treatments (+H<sub>2</sub>O and NO H<sub>2</sub>O) and xylem pressure (MPa) on per cent loss of hydraulic conductivity (PLC) in common garden transplants of *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola*


Note that *H. deserticola* plants were sampled in July and *H. anomalus* plants were sampled in August 2003.

Effect	Ndf	<i>H. anomalus</i>		<i>H. deserticola</i>			
		Ddf	F	P	Ddf	F	P
Habitat	1	70	0.06	0.8082	61	12.09	<b>0.0009</b>
Treatment	1	70	2.63	0.109	61	0.36	0.5505
Habitat×Treatment	1	70	2.57	0.1135	61	7.56	<b>0.0078</b>
MPa	5	70	99.08	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	61	57.64	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
MPa×Garden	5	70	0.39	0.8555	61	0.66	0.6515
MPa×Treatment	5	70	0.46	0.8019	61	0.88	0.5019
MPa×Garden×Treatment	5	70	0.06	0.9974	61	0.22	0.9523

**Table 3.** ANOVA results for leaf predawn ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and midday ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) water potential for the same plants that were used to generate vulnerability curves in the common garden 2003 (see Fig. 2)

*H. deserticola* individuals were sampled in July and *H. anomalus* in August, habitat (on-dune versus off-dune) and treatments (+H<sub>2</sub>O and NO H<sub>2</sub>O)

<i>H. anomalus</i>	$\Psi_{pd}$			$\Psi_{md}$		
	df	F	P	df	F	P
Habitat	1	0.17	0.69	1	0.72	0.42
Treatment	1	23.28	<b>&lt;0.005</b>	1	35.31	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Habitat×Treatment	1	0.01	0.94	1	0.2	0.67
Block (Habitat×Treatment)	4	6.9	<b>&lt;0.05</b>	4	8.23	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Error	8			8		
<i>H. deserticola</i>	$\Psi_{pd}$			$\Psi_{md}$		
	df	F	P	df	F	P
Habitat	1	18.08	<b>&lt;0.005</b>	1	11.4	<b>&lt;.01</b>
Treatment	1	0.53	0.49	1	0.40	0.55
Habitat×Treatment	1	0.1	0.76	1	1.72	0.23
Block (Habitat×Treatment)	4	0.34	0.84	4	1.30	0.36
Error	7			7		



**Fig. 3.** Summary of leaf  $\Psi$  for *H. anomalous* and *H. deserticola*. Data for native plants Experiment (1), and the two transplant garden experiments (Experiment 2) are separated by a solid black line. The first letter of all abbreviations refer to species (*H. anomalous*=A and *H. deserticola*=D) and for Experiment 1 the month and year are noted. For Experiment 2, the second and third letters refer to treatments: off-dune and NO H<sub>2</sub>O (=FN); off-dune and +H<sub>2</sub>O (=FY); on-dune and +H<sub>2</sub>O (=NY); on-dune and NO H<sub>2</sub>O (=NN). Bars are means ( $n=4-7$  for Experiment (1) and  $n=4$  for Experiment (2)  $\pm$ SE). Standard errors are based on a pooled estimate of the error variance for a one-way ANOVA. Note that *H. deserticola* transplant data were collected in July 2003 and *H. anomalous* transplant data were collected in August 2003.


xylem pressure tended to be more resistant to cavitation. There was no correlation between  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  and P75 in *H. anomalous* in spite of its much wider range of  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$  (Fig. 5D). When all native and experimental plants were pooled, the mean P50 was significantly higher (more vulnerable) in *H. anomalous* ( $2.16 \pm 0.1$  versus  $2.67 \pm 0.1$  MPa;  $P < 0.01$ ) than in *H. deserticola* (Table 4). Differences in P75 followed similar trends with *H. anomalous* being more vulnerable than *H. deserticola*, but these differences were not statistically significant ( $2.98 \pm 0.09$  versus  $3.17 \pm 0.1$ ;  $P > 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

In support of our hypothesis native *H. anomalous* plants were significantly more vulnerable to cavitation than *H. deserticola* when similar-sized native plants were sampled early and late in the growth season of 2002. This trend held for P50 and P75 but was not significant for the latter when all plants were pooled. *Helianthus anomalous* plants have a smaller margin of safety (i.e.  $P75 - \Psi_{\text{md}}$ ) than *H. deserticola* (1.08 MPa versus 1.79 MPa) consistent with the notion that the active on-dune habitat is mesic relative to off-dune habitats (Rosenthal et al., 2005). A wider safety margin is more typical of xeric species as a hedge against variable soil moisture (Sperry, 1995). By contrast, and contrary to our expectations, *H. anomalous* experienced more than twice the native embolism of *H. deserticola* (25% versus 10%) which caused *H. anomalous* to operate at lower  $\Psi$ , and to have roughly a three times lower soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance than *H. deserticola*. This was especially true when the native embolism of native plants was compared at similar times in 2002 ( $39.2 \pm 8.9\%$  versus  $19.0 \pm 12.0\%$ ) for *H. anomalous* and *H. deserticola*, respectively.

Why would *H. anomalous* maintain a relatively high native embolism in spite of being in the putatively more mesic


habitat? It is well known that the root-soil interface is especially vulnerable to severe desiccation in coarse soils where water is easily displaced by air in the large pore spaces (Bristow et al., 1984; Hacke et al., 2000). Previously, it was demonstrated that soil  $\Psi$  decreases precipitously as a function of water content in these dune habitats (Rosenthal et al., 2005). In spite of this, native plant water status remains high in this dune habitat relative to adjacent off-dune habitats (Rosenthal et al., 2005) presumably because plants in coarser soils have deep roots that are able to mine soil moisture. This has been shown for woody species, which developed deeper roots in coarse textured soils to reach wetter soils (Hacke et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2000; Sperry and Hacke, 2002). We have previously argued that *H. anomalous* can maximize access to soil moisture by having deep roots (Ludwig et al., 2004; Donovan et al., 2007, 2009). However, a recent study has shown that, while native *H. anomalous* did have some deep roots (>130 cm deep), more than 88% of its root biomass can be in the top 25 cm of soil (Ludwig et al., 2006). The significant increases in  $\Psi_{\text{pd}}$  in the watered (+H<sub>2</sub>O) *H. anomalous* transplant garden are consistent with this observation and suggest that *H. anomalous* may not always have the capacity to develop a sufficiently extensive deep root system to meet its water requirements. As a result, higher native embolism in *H. anomalous* would decrease the rate of water consumption by lowering hydraulic conductivity and transpiration, extending the time of hydraulic contact between water in the coarse soil and the root system (Sperry et al., 1998). This makes adaptive sense on the dunes because low plant cover means inter-specific competition is minimal. This resource conservation strategy is consistent with the notion that *H. anomalous*' adaptation to the dune habitat consists of a dehydration avoidance and stress tolerating strategy (Brouillette et al., 2006; Donovan et al., 2009).



**Fig. 4.** Percent loss of hydraulic conductivity as a function of xylem pressure for *H. anomalus* and *H. deserticola* in Experiment (2) in on-dune (circles) and off-dune gardens (triangles) subjected to additional water (+H<sub>2</sub>O) or no additional water (NO H<sub>2</sub>O). Curve data were collected in July 2003 for *H. deserticola* and in August 2003 for *H. anomalus* when plants were at a comparable growth stage. Smaller symbol with horizontal error bars represent mean P75 ( $\pm$ SE) as estimated from Weibull curves for each stem.

Interestingly, our results revealed that both species suffered from ‘cavitation fatigue’ (Hacke *et al.*, 2001; Stiller and Sperry, 2002). However, this apparent increase in cavitation vulnerability at less negative xylem pressures was much more pronounced in *H. anomalus*, which also was able to recover from it (compare 60% embolism at  $-1$  MPa in July 2002 versus 30% embolism at  $-1$  MPa in August 2002; Fig. 2). In order to avoid confounding the effects of cavitation fatigue with inherent cavitation resistance, cavitation resistance at 75% embolism (P75) as well as at 50% embolism (P50) were compared (Sperry and Hacke, 2002).

A growing body of work suggests that temporal or ontogenetic changes in physiology or morphology in response to fine grained environmental variation can be adaptive (Winn, 1999; Miner and Vonesh, 2004; Picotte *et al.*, 2007; Maherali *et al.*, 2009). *H. deserticola* showed significantly more variability both within and between curves than *H. anomalus*, evidence of a greater plasticity in cavitation resistance. The variance in *H. deserticola*’s hydraulic parameters was correlated with  $\Psi_{\text{stem}}$ , such that



**Fig. 5.** Native embolism (PLC) (A), soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance (B), transpiration (C), and P75 (D) for native and transplanted *H. anomalus* (black symbols, solid lines) and *H. deserticola* (white symbols, dashed lines) as a function of stem  $\Psi$ . Symbols are values for individual plants and 95% confidence intervals are only shown for regressions that are significant.

**Table 4.** Species summary statistics of hydraulic parameters measured in both native and field-grown *H. anomalous* and *H. deserticola*

Several *H. deserticola* individuals had missing data for  $E$  and  $k_L$  (see Fig. 3). Means and standard errors are from ANOVAs. Significant differences between species were calculated with a MANOVA testing for the effect of species for all variables.

Variables	<i>H. anomalous</i>		<i>H. deserticola</i>		df	P
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE		
$\Psi_{pd}$ (MPa)	-0.97	0.052	-0.54	0.06	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
$\Psi_{md}$ (MPa)	-1.90	0.05	-1.37	0.06	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Stem $\Psi$ (MPa)	-1.44	0.05	-0.96	0.06	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
$E$ (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	10.06	1.170	24.27	2.428	<b>1,48</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
$k_L$ (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> MPa <sup>-1</sup> )	11.33	1.560	30.36	2.311	<b>1,48</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Native embolism (%)	24.24	3.69	10.16	3.87	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
P50 (MPa)	-2.16	0.10	-2.67	0.10	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
P75 (MPa)	-2.98	0.09	-3.17	0.10	<b>1,52</b>	<b>ns</b>
$\Psi$ margin (MPa)	-1.08	0.09	-1.79	0.11	<b>1,52</b>	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>

plants experiencing lower  $\Psi_{stem}$  also had lower P75 (Fig. 5). For instance, in 2002 with a meagre 9 mm of precipitation in July and August, *H. deserticola* had significantly lower P75 than *H. anomalous* but this was not the case in 2003 when July and August precipitation was much greater (27.4 mm). This makes adaptive sense for a cavitation-avoiding species like *H. deserticola*. Avoidance of cavitation by *H. deserticola* by maintaining a more cavitation-resistant xylem in drier times would contribute to its higher soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance and transpiration rates. These traits may be beneficial in its higher-cover habitat with greater potential for inter-specific competition, higher soil moisture heterogeneity, and, where finer-textured soils permit, a higher rate of water uptake per root area. The drought-escaping strategy is also consistent with its short 1–2 month life cycle. In sharp contrast, *H. anomalous* did not show any adjustment in P75 with  $\Psi_{stem}$ , consistent with a strategy of maintaining a consistently higher level of native embolism regardless of stem  $\Psi$ .

Three commonly described general drought adaptations are dehydration tolerance, dehydration avoidance, and drought escape (used here as defined by Ludlow, 1989). So what drought-adaptation strategies apply to these desert annuals? If *H. deserticola* is a cavitation-avoiding drought escapist, then *H. anomalous* is an embolism-maintaining dehydration avoider. Desert annuals are frequently characterized as drought escapist, however, a growing number of studies of functional traits in annuals have shown that annual species exhibit a range of adaptations from dehydration avoidance to dehydration escape (Geber and Dawson, 1990, 1997; Stanton *et al.*, 2000; McKay *et al.*, 2003; Heschel and Riginos, 2005; Sherrard and Maherali, 2006). *Helianthus deserticola*'s increasing resistance to xylem cavitation in response to decreasing water availability ensures that it will maintain hydraulic continuity at a greater range of soil water potentials even at higher transpiration rates. Thus, *H. deserticola* maximizes the length of time

that resources are favourable consistent with the idea that annual drought-escapers may only reap fitness benefits when resources are favourable (Sherrard and Maherali, 2006). This is consistent with Donovan *et al.* (2007) in that higher fitness is not always associated with direct selection for lower water use efficiency in native *H. deserticola* populations (Donovan *et al.*, 2007, 2009). By contrast, *H. anomalous* persists throughout the summer growing season and continues flowering until late in the autumn. If, as mentioned earlier, *H. anomalous* does not always have an extensive deep root system it may avoid dehydration by maintaining high native embolism and low transpiration rates. Indeed, a recent study suggested that nutrient limitation, not water use efficiency, appears to have been the driving selective force on *H. anomalous* populations (Donovan *et al.*, 2009).

There still remains unexplained variation in cavitation resistance in these species, suggesting other factors beyond the scope of this study, such as root cavitation, variance in growth rate, and nutrient status, all with potential influences on the cavitation phenotype. Ultimately, the cavitation phenotype in herbaceous and woody species appears to be a complex function of inter-vessel pit structure and number (Hacke *et al.*, 2004; Sperry and Hacke, 2004) the details of which need to be more fully studied.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Jim Wheeler who helped with vulnerability measurements on several occasions. We also thank Jennifer Lance, Fulco Ludwig, Maria Sanchez, and Rebecca Jewitt for assistance in the laboratory and in the field and Ferris Clegg and Richfield, Utah BLM for allowing us access to field sites at Little Sahara Sand Dunes, Utah. The authors thank two anonymous reviewers, whose thoughtful comments helped us improve the manuscript. This work was supported by NSF grant IBN-0131078 to LAD.

## References

- Alder NN, Pockman WT, Sperry JS, Nuismer S. 1997. Use of centrifugal force in the study of xylem cavitation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **48**, 665–674.
- Alder NN, Sperry JS, Pockman WT. 1996. Root and stem xylem embolism, stomatal conductance, and leaf turgor in *Acer grandidentatum* populations along a soil moisture gradient. *Oecologia* **105**, 293–301.
- Bristow KL, Campbell GS, Calissendorff C. 1984. The effects of texture on the resistance to water movement within the rhizosphere. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **48**, 266–270.
- Brouillette LC, Gebremedhin M, Rosenthal DM, Donovan L. 2006. Testing hypothesized evolutionary shifts toward stress tolerance in hybrid *Helianthus* species. *Western North American Naturalist* **66**, 409–419.



- Buchard C, McCully M, Canny M.** 1999. Daily embolism and refilling of root xylem vessels in three dicotyledonous crop plants. *Agronomie* **19**, 97–106.
- Davis SD, Ewers FW, Wood J, Reeves JJ, Kolb KJ.** 1999. Differential susceptibility to xylem cavitation among three pairs of *Ceanothus* species in the Transverse mountain ranges of southern California. *Ecoscience* **6**, 180–186.
- Donovan LA, Dudley SA, Rosenthal DM, Ludwig F.** 2007. Phenotypic selection on leaf water use efficiency and related ecophysiological traits for natural populations of desert sunflowers. *Oecologia* **152**, 13–25.
- Donovan LA, Linton MJ, Richards JH.** 2001. Predawn plant water potential does not necessarily equilibrate with soil water potential under well-watered conditions. *Oecologia* **129**, 328–335.
- Donovan LA, Ludwig F, Rosenthal DM, Rieseberg LH, Dudley SA.** 2009. Phenotypic selection on leaf ecophysiological traits in *Helianthus*. *New Phytologist* **183**, 868–879.
- Donovan LA, Richards JH, Linton MJ.** 2003. Magnitude and mechanisms of disequilibrium between predawn plant and soil water potentials. *Ecology* **84**, 463–470.
- Geber MA, Dawson TE.** 1990. Genetic variation in and covariation between leaf gas exchange, morphology, and development in *Polygonum arenastrum*, an annual plant. *Oecologia* **85**, 153–158.
- Geber MA, Dawson TE.** 1997. Genetic variation in stomatal and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis in the annual plant, *Polygonum arenastrum*. *Oecologia* **109**, 535–546.
- Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Ewers BE, Ellsworth DS, Schafer KVR, Oren R.** 2000. Influence of soil porosity on water use in *Pinus taeda*. *Oecologia* **124**, 495–505.
- Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pittermann J.** 2004. Analysis of circular bordered pit function. II. Gymnosperm tracheids with torus-margo pit membranes. *American Journal of Botany* **91**, 386–400.
- Hacke UG, Stiller V, Sperry JS, Pittermann J, McCulloh KA.** 2001. Cavitation fatigue. Embolism and refilling cycles can weaken the cavitation resistance of xylem. *Plant Physiology* **125**, 779–786.
- Heschel MS, Riginos C.** 2005. Mechanisms of selection for drought stress tolerance and avoidance in *Impatiens capensis* (*Balsaminaceae*). *American Journal of Botany* **92**, 37–44.
- Howard AR, Donovan LA.** 2007. *Helianthus* night-time conductance and transpiration respond to soil water but not nutrient availability. *Plant Physiology* **143**, 145–155.
- Jackson RB, Sperry JS, Dawson TE.** 2000. Root water uptake and transport: using physiological processes in global predictions. *Trends in Plant Science* **5**, 482–488.
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, Davis SD, Ewers FW.** 2007. Cavitation resistance and seasonal hydraulics differ among three arid Californian plant communities. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **30**, 1599–1609.
- Kavanagh KL, Bond BJ, Aitken SN, Gartner BL, Knowe S.** 1999. Shoot and root vulnerability to xylem cavitation in four populations of Douglas-fir seedlings. *Tree Physiology* **19**, 31–37.
- Kocacinar F, Sage RF.** 2003. Photosynthetic pathway alters xylem structure and hydraulic function in herbaceous plants. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **26**, 2015–2026.
- Kolb KJ, Davis SD.** 1994. Drought tolerance and xylem embolism in co-occurring species of coastal sage and chaparral. *Ecology* **75**, 648–659.
- Kolb KJ, Sperry JS.** 1999. Differences in drought adaptation between subspecies of sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*). *Ecology* **80**, 2373–2384.
- Li YY, Sperry JS, Shao MA.** 2009. Hydraulic conductance and vulnerability to cavitation in corn (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids of differing drought resistance. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **66**, 341–346.
- Linton MJ, Sperry JS, Williams DG.** 1998. Limits to water transport in *Juniperus osteosperma* and *Pinus edulis*: implications for drought tolerance and regulation of transpiration. *Functional Ecology* **12**, 906–911.
- Lo Gullo MA, Noval LC, Salleo S, Nardini A.** 2004. Hydraulic architecture of plants of *Helianthus annuus* L. cv. Margot: evidence for plant segmentation in herbs. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**, 1549–1556.
- Ludlow MM.** 1989. Strategies of response to water stress. In: Kreeb KH, Richter H, Hinckley TM, eds. *Structural and functional responses to water stress*. The Hague: SPB Academic Press.
- Ludwig F, Jewitt RA, Donovan LA.** 2006. Nutrient and water addition effects on day- and night-time conductance and transpiration in a C<sub>3</sub> desert annual. *Oecologia* **148**, 219–225.
- Ludwig F, Rosenthal DM, Johnston JA, Kane N, Gross BL, Lexer C, Dudley SA, Rieseberg LH, Donovan LA.** 2004. Selection on leaf ecophysiological traits in a desert hybrid *Helianthus* species and early-generation hybrids. *Evolution* **58**, 2682–2692.
- Maherali H, Caruso CM, Sherrard ME.** 2009. The adaptive significance of ontogenetic changes in physiology: a test in *Avena barbata*. *New Phytologist* **183**, 908–918.
- Maherali H, Pockman WT, Jackson RB.** 2004. Adaptive variation in the vulnerability of woody plants to xylem cavitation. *Ecology* **85**, 2184–2199.
- Maherali H, Williams BL, Paige KN, Delucia EH.** 2002. Hydraulic differentiation of Ponderosa pine populations along a climate gradient is not associated with ecotypic divergence. *Functional Ecology* **16**, 510–521.
- McCully ME, Huang CX, Ling LEC.** 1998. Daily embolism and refilling of xylem vessels in the roots of field-grown maize. *New Phytologist* **138**, 327–342.
- McKay JK, Richards JH, Mitchell-Olds T.** 2003. Genetics of drought adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. I. Pleiotropy contributes to genetic correlations among ecological traits. *Molecular Ecology* **12**, 1137–1151.
- Mencuccini M, Comstock J.** 1997. Vulnerability to cavitation in populations of two desert species, *Hymenoclea salsola* and *Ambrosia dumosa*, from different climatic regions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **48**, 1323–1334.
- Milburn JA, McLaughlin ME.** 1974. Studies of cavitation in isolated vascular bundles and whole leaves of *Plantago major* L. *New Phytologist* **73**, 861–871.
- Miner BG, Vonesh JR.** 2004. Effects of fine grain environmental variability on morphological plasticity. *Ecology Letters* **7**, 794–801.
- Neufeld HS, Grantz DA, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Crisosto GM, Crisosto C.** 1992. Genotypic variability in vulnerability of leaf xylem to

cavitation in water-stressed and well-irrigated sugarcane. *Plant Physiology* **100**, 1020–1028.

**Picotte JJ, Rosenthal DM, Rhode JM, Cruzan MB.** 2007. Plastic responses to temporal variation in moisture availability: consequences for water use efficiency and plant performance. *Oecologia* **153**, 821–832.

**Pockman WT, Sperry JS.** 2000. Vulnerability to xylem cavitation and the distribution of Sonoran desert vegetation. *American Journal of Botany* **87**, 1287–1299.

**Rieseberg LH.** 1991. Homoploid reticulate evolution in *Helianthus* (Asteraceae): evidence from ribosomal genes. *American Journal of Botany* **78**, 1218–1237.

**Rieseberg LH, Beckstromsternberg SM, Liston A, Arias DM.** 1991. Phylogenetic and systematic inferences from chloroplast DNA and isozyme variation in *Helianthus* Sect. *Helianthus* (Asteraceae). *Systematic Botany* **16**, 50–76.

**Rieseberg LH, Raymond O, Rosenthal DM, Lai Z, Livingstone K, Nakazato T, Durphy JL, Schwarzbach AE, Donovan LA, Lexer C.** 2003. Major ecological transitions in wild sunflowers facilitated by hybridization. *Science* **301**, 1211–1216.

**Rosenthal DM, Ludwig F, Donovan LA.** 2005. Plant responses to an edaphic gradient across an active sand dune/desert boundary in the great basin desert. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **166**, 247–255.

**Schwarzbach AE, Donovan LA, Rieseberg LH.** 2001. Transgressive character expression in a hybrid sunflower species. *American Journal of Botany* **88**, 270–277.

**Sherrard ME, Maherali H.** 2006. The adaptive significance of drought escape in *Avena barbata*, an annual grass. *Evolution* **60**, 2478–2489.

**Sperry JS.** 1995. Limitations on stem water transport and their consequences. In: Gartner B, ed. *Plant stems: physiology and functional morphology*. New York: Academic Press, 105–124.

**Sperry JS, Adler FR, Campbell GS, Comstock JP.** 1998. Limitation of plant water use by rhizosphere and xylem conductance: results from a model. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **21**, 347–359.

**Sperry JS, Hacke UG.** 2002. Desert shrub water relations with respect to soil characteristics and plant functional type. *Functional Ecology* **16**, 367–378.

**Sperry JS, Hacke UG.** 2004. Analysis of circular bordered pit function. I. Angiosperm vessels with homogenous pit membranes. *American Journal of Botany* **91**, 369–385.

**Sperry JS, Donnelly JR, Tyree MT.** 1988. A method for measuring hydraulic conductivity and embolism in xylem. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **11**, 35–40.

**Stanton ML, Roy BA, Thiede DA.** 2000. Evolution in stressful environments. I. Phenotypic variability, phenotypic selection, and response to selection in five distinct environmental stresses. *Evolution* **54**, 93–111.

**Stiller V, Lafitte HR, Sperry JS.** 2003. Hydraulic properties of rice and the response of gas exchange to water stress. *Plant Physiology* **132**, 1698–1706.

**Stiller V, Sperry JS.** 2002. Cavitation fatigue and its reversal in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**, 1155–1161.

**Tyree MT, Fiscus EL, Wullschleger SD, Dixon MA.** 1986. Detection of xylem cavitation in corn under field conditions. *Plant Physiology* **82**, 597–599.

**UNESCO.** 1977. *World map of arid regions*. United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization.

**Winn AA.** 1999. Is seasonal variation in leaf traits adaptive for the annual plant *Dicerandra linearifolia*? *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **12**, 306–313.