# Fluorous Mixture Synthesis of Asymmetric Dendrimers

Zhong-Xing Jiang[†] and **Yihua Bruce Yu**[†,‡,*]

[†]Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742 (USA)

[‡]Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland 21021 (USA)

Abstract

A divergent fluorous mixture synthesis (FMS) of asymmetric fluorinated dendrimers has been developed. Four generations of fluorinated dendrimers with the same fluorinated moiety were prepared with high efficiency, yield and purity. Comparison of the physicochemical properties of these dendrimers provided valuable information for their application and future optimization. This strategy has not only provided a practical method for the synthesis and purification of dendrimers, but also established the possibility of utilizing the same fluorinated moiety for FMS.

Introduction

In recent years, fluorinated dendrimers[1] have attracted considerable attention in the fields of catalysis,[2] materials[3] and biology.[4] Introduction of fluorocarbons and manipulation of the fluorine content in dendrimers have a great influence on the shape and stability of fluorinated dendrimeric assemblies.[5] Fluorinated dendrimers often exhibit unique physicochemical and biological properties as compared to their non-fluorinated counterparts.[1-5] For example, Percec and coworkers replaced certain hydrogen atoms with fluorine atoms at the tail end of a dendron and found an unexpected change in its structural motif and self-assembling behavior.[1b,5d] However, most, if not all, reported fluorinated dendrimers are water insoluble, an issue that severely limits their bioavailability. Further, efficient synthesis of multi-generational fluorinated dendrimers remains a challenge.[2-5] In this work, we enhance water solubility by synthesizing hydrophilic asymmetric dendrimers and improve synthesis efficiency by synthesizing several generations of fluorinated dendrimers in one pot using the so-called fluorous mixture synthesis (FMS) method developed for small molecules.[6]

byu@rx.umaryland.edu.

Our interest in fluorinated dendrimers is to employ them as delivery vehicles for ^{19}F magnetic resonance image (MRI) guided drug therapy. To this end, we have recently developed a dendritic ^{19}F imaging tracer, [19]FIT (Figure 1, G2).[7] [19]FIT is water soluble and, in animal studies, was rapidly excreted intact. Structurally, [19]FIT is an amphiphilic dendrimer, belonging to the family of asymmetric or Janus dendrimers.[8] In [19]FIT, the two dissimilar dendrons are connected by an amide bond, which is metabolically more stable but synthetically less facile than the disulfide bond used previously for connecting dissimilar dendrons.[8] One way to modulate the physicochemical properties of [19]FIT is to change the number of branches in its hydrophilic dendron, essentially forming dendrimers of different generations.[9] Thus, a method for the simultaneous synthesis of multiple generations of fluorinated dendrimers is of great value. Herein, we report a FMS strategy for the synthesis of four generations of fluorinated dendrimers in one pot.

Results and Discussion

Conventional FMS is based on conjugating fluorocarbon tags (linear perfluorocarbons of different chain lengths) to non-fluorinated substrates (structural isomers or stereoisomers) for the convenience of purification.[6] The basis for separation is that molecules tagged with different fluorocarbons have different fluorine content (F%) and therefore different retention times in fluorous chromatography. However, for dendrimers, sufficient differences in fluorine content and fluorous chromatography retention time can be produced even with the same fluorocarbon moiety. This approach, i.e., using the same fluorocarbon moiety for the separation of multi-generational dendrimers, is the strategy we employed in this work (Scheme 1). An FMS of four generations of dendrimers (G0 – G3, Figure 1) has been developed to illustrate this strategy. The difference in the fluorine content between successive generations is over 10%, which would be sufficient for separating the dendrimer mixture with fluorous HPLC.

With these ideas in mind, the intermediates for dendrimers **G0**-**G3** were synthesized sequentially: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ (Scheme 2). The treatment of alcohol $1^{7,}$ [10] with potassium hydride and *tert*-butyl bromoacetate afforded ester **2** which then underwent a deprotection-coupling cycle (b-c) three times to give the octaester **8** with a 74% yield over six steps. During the synthesis, intermediates **2**, **4**, and **6** were split into two portions; one for the following step and the other for FMS. All the intermediates (**2**-**8**) were conveniently purified by either fluorous liquid- or solid-phase extractions.

Once all the intermediates were prepared, we commenced FMS of four generations of dendrimers (Scheme 3). The four intermediates **2** (452 mg, 0.5 mmol), **4** (430 mg, 0.4 mmol), **6** (425 mg, 0.3 mmol), **8** (421 mg, 0.2 mmol) were mixed and the *tert*-butyl group in the resulting mixture were removed with trifluoroacetic acid to yield a mixture of four acids, **3** + **5** + **7** + **9**, which was then coupled with 1-phenyl-2,5,8,11-tetraoxa-tridecan-13-amine[7, 10] to yield a mixture of four benzyl ethers, **M0** + **M1** + **M2** + **M3**. After removal of the benzyl groups through Pd-catalyzed hydrogenolysis, a mixture of four fluorinated dendrimers, **G0** + **G1** + **G2** + **G3**, was obtained. Each of the three steps in Scheme 3 was conducted twice to facilitate complete conversion of the starting materials. After each reaction, excess reagents were removed by solid-phase extraction on fluorous silica gel with the H_2O-MeOH-THF eluent system to yield the resulting mixture. Since the synthesis started with the fluorinated core and ended with the surface groups, the result is a divergent FMS of dendrimers.

To finish the FMS, the dendrimer mixture, **G0** + **G1** + **G2** + **G3**, was demixed/purified by HPLC to obtain individual dendrimers. Column selection is crucial for demixing. Unlike conventional FMS where separation is driven solely by the fluorine content, two additional factors, polarity and molecular size, may also influence the separation of **G0**-**G3**. This prompted us to explore separating the mixture by HPLC using three types of columns:

FluroFlash[®], normal-phase (NP) amide-80 and reversed-phase (RP) C18 columns. The analytical chromatograms showed that all three columns can separate the dendrimer mixture (Figure 2), yet, there were some differences in the separation profiles. FluoroFlash[®] and NP-amide-80 columns resulted in the opposite elution order of **G0**-**G3**, but all peaks were sharp. In contrast, the RP-C18 column resulted in the same elution order as FluoroFlash[®], but the peaks were broad. The fluorinated dendrimer mixture was then separated on a preparative FluoroFlash[®] column to give individual fluorinated dendrimers. Surprisingly, the overall yield was good for all four dendrimers: **G0** (445 mg, 0.435 mmol, 87% yield), **G1** (462 mg, 0.352 mmol, 88%), **G2** (460 mg, 0.243 mmol, 81%), **G3** (434 mg, 0.142 mmol, 71%).

Each dendrimer emitted a sharp singlet ^{19}F NMR signal with a peak width of less than 0.03 ppm (see Supporting Information). ^{19}F NMR relaxation measurements indicated that both the longitudinal relaxation time T_1 and the transverse relaxation time T_2 decreased from **G0** to **G3** (Figure 3). A similar decrease of [19]F T_1 value with generational number has been previously reported for another class of fluorinated dendrimers.[9b] Such genrational dependency of ^{19}F relaxation times might be a reflection of the intrinsic nano-periodic property pattern of dendrimers.[12] Reduced T_1 can increase ^{19}F signal intensity in MRI by allowing collecting more signal transients without prolonging data acquisition time. **G0** and **G1** have very limited water solubility, while **G2** and **G3** have good water solubility (a 150 mM aqueous solution of **G2** has been used in animal studies[7]). Using ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy,[13] the critical micelle concentrations of **G2** and **G3** were determined to be 7 mM and 8 mM, respectively (Figure 4). An ideal ^{19}F imaging agent is a compound with a singlet ^{19}F signal from multiple fluorine atoms, short T_1, and good water solubility. Based on the data in hand, **G2** and **G3** have the potential as imaging agents for ^{19}F MRI.

Conclusion

In summary, a fluorous mixture synthesis (FMS) strategy for the rapid preparation of multigenerational fluorinated dendrimers has been developed, which significantly simplifies dendrimer synthesis and purification. If necessary, this method could be adapted for the synthesis of non-fluorinated dendrimers by adopting a removable fluorocarbon moiety.

Experimental Section

tert-Butyl ester 2 (step a)—A suspension of potassium hydride (30%, 3.2 g, 24.0 mmol) was added slowly to a stirring solution of alcohol **1** (15.8 g, 20.0 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (200 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min, *tert*-butyl bromoacetate (5.9 mL, 7.8 g, 40.0 mmol) was added to the suspension in one portion at rt and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. After quenching the reaction with water (20 mL), the mixture was transferred into a separatory funnel and the lower phase was collected as clear oil. Removal of low boiling point impurities from the oil under vacuum gave the *tert*-butyl ester **2** as clear oil (14.1 g, 78% yield). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 4.14 (s, 6H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H).

General Procedure for the transformation of *tert*-butyl ester into acid. Preparation of acid 3 (step b)—To a stirred solution of *tert*-butyl ester **2** (13.6 g, 15.0 mmol) and anisole (3.0 mL) in dichloromethane (100 mL) at rt was added trifluoroacetic acid (30 mL) and the resulting solution was stirred at rt for 1 h. After evaporation to dryness under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in methanol/toluene (50 mL/30 mL) and evaporated to dryness under vacuum to give the pure acid **3** as reddish oil (12.6 g, 99% yield) which was used in the next step without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone-*d6*) δ 4.29 (s, 6H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 2H).

General Procedure for coupling acid and amine to yield amide (step c).
Preparation of amide 4—*N*, *N*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (6.6 mL, 5.4 g, 42.5 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of *N*-hydroxybenzotriazole (5.7 g, 42.5 mmol) and acid **3** (12.0 g, 14.2 mmol) in dry *N*, *N*-dimethylformamide (200 mL) at rt. After stirring for 15 min, di-*tert*-butyl iminodiacetate (10.4 g, 42.5 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h. Water (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the resulting mixture was purified by solid-phase extraction on FlouroFlash® silica gel to give the amide **4** as clear oil (14.1 g, 92% yield) which was used in the next step without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 4.12 (s, 6H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.42 (s, 9H); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ -73.29 (s).

Diacid 5—This compound was prepared by employing step b with a 99% yield. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 4.22 (s, 8H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 3.60 (s, 2H).

Tetra-*tert*-butyl ester 6—This compound was prepared by employing step c with a 93% yield. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 3.80-4.13 (m, 20H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 1.28-1.31 (m, 36H).

Tetraacid 7—This compound was prepared by employing step b with a 99% yield. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 4.15 (s, 2H), 4.05-4.09 (m, 10H), 3.90-4.01 (m, 6H), 3.53-3.59 (m, 2H), 3.43 (s, 2H).

Octa-*tert*-butyl ester 8—This compound was prepared by employing step c with an 89% yield. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 4.40 (s, 2H), 4.34 (s, 2H), 4.28 (s, 4H), 4.25 (s, 8H), 4.12-4.17 (m, 12H), 4.03-4.07 (m, 8H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 1.45-1.50 (m, 72H); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ -71.16 (s); ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 172.0, 171.6, 171.2, 171.1, 171.0, 170.8, 170.6, 169.7, 169.6, 169.55, 169.43, 169.4, 121.6 (q, *J* = 293.3 Hz), 84.1, 84.0, 83.8, 83.7, 83.1, 83.0, 82.9, 80.3-81.5 (m), 69.5, 68.6, 68.3, 51.6, 51.5, 51.0, 50.8, 50.77, 50.1, 49.9, 49.1, 48.4, 47.2, 28.4, 28.3, 28.29; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* 2125 ((M+Na)[+]); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for C$_{79}$H$_{110}$F$_{27}$N$_7$NaO$_{27}$ 2124.6916, found 2124.7023.

Procedure for the fluorous mixture synthesis of fluorinated dendrimers G0 – G3
—A mixture of monoester **2** (452 mg, 0.5 mmol), diester **4** (430 mg, 0.4 mmol), tetraester **6** (425 mg, 0.3 mmol) and octaester **8** (421 mg, 0.2 mol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (24 mL). This mixture was treated with anisol (1 mL) and trifluoroacetic acid (8 mL) at rt (step b) to give a wax of acids (**3**, **5**, **7** and **9**). The above procedure was repeated to facilitate the complete conversion of the starting materials. Then the wax was put into the coupling step with 1-phenyl-2,5,8,11-tetraoxatridecan-13-amine (3.5 g, 12.3 mmol) by employing the general coupling procedure (step c) twice to give a mixture of intermediates (**M0**, **M1**, **M2** and **M3**). The mixture of intermediates was hydrogenolysized twice with palladium on carbon (10%, 1.0 g) in methanol over 20 h to give a mixture of fluorinated dendrimers and their side products. After filtration using a pad of celite, the dendimer mixture was then purified by HPLC on preparative FluoroFlash® column (21.1 × 250 mm, flow rate 5 mL/min, gradient from 60% MeOH in water to 100% MeOH in 170 min, then 100% MeOH for 40 min, detection wavelength at 210 nm). After lyophilization, individual fluorinated dendrimers were obtained: **G0** (445 mg, 0.435 mmol, 87% yield), **G1** (462 mg, 0.352 mmol, 88% yield), **G2** (460 mg, 0.243 mmol, 81% yield), **G3** (349 mg, 0.142 mmol, 71% yield).

Fluorinated dendrimer G0—^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 4.03 (s, 6H), 3.89 (s, 2H), 3.56-3.64 (m, 10H), 3.53-3.55 (m, 6H), 3.44 (s, 2H); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ -71.11 (s); ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 168.4, 120.0 (q, *J* = 293.3 Hz), 79.4-79.9 (m), 72.4, 71.0, 70.4, 70.2, 70.1, 70.08, 66.5, 64.9, 61.5, 46.2, 38.7; MS (MALDI-TOF) *m/z* 1046 ((M+Na)[+]), 1024 ((M+H)[+]); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for C$_{27}$H$_{28}$F$_{27}$NNaO$_9$ 1046.1231, found

1046.1280; T_1 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 26 mM in CD_3OD) 1.101 second, err = 0.0577; T_2 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.8779 second, err = 0.0162.

Fluorinated dendrimer G1—^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 4.23 (s, 6H), 4.21 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H), 3.60-3.67 (m, 22H), 3.54-3.58 (m, 8H), 3.38-3.43 (m, 4H); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CD_3OD) δ -71.08 (s); ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 172.0, 171.4, 170.8, 121.6 (q, J = 292.5 Hz), 80.4-81.4 (m), 73.7, 71.6, 71.4, 71.3, 71.2, 70.4, 70.3, 69.7, 68.7, 68.1, 62.2, 52.4, 47.3, 40.6, 40.4; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 1336 ((M+Na)$^+$); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $C_{39}H_{50}F_{27}N_3NaO_{15}$ 1336.2708, found 1336.2671; T_1 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.9453 second, err = 0.0460; T_2 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.7168 second, err = 0.0251.

Fluorinated dendrimer G2—^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 4.26 (s, 2H), 4.25 (s, 8H), 4.22 (s, 2H), 4.18 (s, 2H), 4.15 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H), 3.59-3.67 (m, 42H), 3.53-3.58 (m, 16H), 3.44 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 4H), 3.38 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 4H); T_1 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.7888 second, err = 0.0158; T_2 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.6255 second, err = 0.00819.

Fluorinated dendrimer G3—^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 4.21-4.24 (m, 14H), 4.18 (s, 6H), 4.05-4.10 (m, 10H), 3.54-3.67 (m, 116H), 3.42-3.47 (m, 8H), 3.36-3.40 (m, 8H); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, CD_3OD) δ -71.03 (s); ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 172.1, 171.7, 171.6, 171.59, 171.3, 171.26, 171.1, 171.06, 170.8, 170.7, 170.6, 170.5, 121.5 (q, J = 292.6 Hz), 80.2-81.6 (m), 73.6, 71.5, 71.3, 71.2, 71.17, 71.1, 70.35, 70.3, 70.2, 69.4, 68.6, 68.3, 62.2, 53.3, 53.2, 53.1, 53.08, 52.9, 52.7, 50.5, 50.3, 49.5, 49.3, 48.1, 47.2, 40.5, 40.47, 40.4; MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z 3077 ((M+Na)$^+$); HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $C_{111}H_{182}F_{27}N_{15}NaO_{51}$ 3078.1602, found 3078.1702; T_1 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.7415 second, err = 0.00713; T_2 (^{19}F, 376 MHz, 25 mM in CD_3OD) 0.4999 second, err = 0.00365.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

References

1. General reviews: (a) Caminade AM, Turrin CO, Sutra P, Majoral JP. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 2003;8:282–295. (b) Tomalia DA. Nature Materials 2003;2:711–712.

2. (a) Chechik V, Crooks RM. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:1243–1244. (b) Mager M, Becke S, Windisch H, Denninger U. Angew Angew Chem Int Ed 2001;40:1898–1902. (c) Yeung LK, Crooks RM. Nano Lett 2001;1:14–17. (d) Roestler R, Har BJN, Piers WE. Organometallics 2002;21:4300–4302. (e) Puniredd SR, Wai YK, Satyanarayana N, Sinha SK, Srinivasan MP. Langmuir 2007;23:8299–8303. [PubMed: 17608504]

3. (a) Miller TM, Neenan TX, Zayas R, Bair HE. J Am Chem Soc 1992;114:1018–1025. (b) Cooper AI, Londono JD, Wignall G, McClain JB, Samulski ET, Lin JS, Dobrynin A, Rubinstein M, Burke ALC, Fréchet JMJ, DeSimone JM. Nature 1997;389:368–371. (c) Sakamoto Y, Suzuki T, Miura A, Fujikawa H, Tokito S, Taga Y. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:1832–1833. (d) Luo J, Ma H, Haller M, Jen AKY, Barto RR. Chem Commun 2002:888–889. (e) Pitois C, Vestberg R, Rodlert M, Malmstrom E, Hult A, Lindgren M. Opt Mater 2003;21:499–506. (f) Zhao Z, Li JH, Lu P, Yang Y. Org Lett 2008;10:3041–3044. [PubMed: 18570374]

4. (a) Zhuo RX, Du B, Lu ZR. J Controlled Release 1999;57:249–257. (b) Tripathi PK, Khopade AJ, Nagaich S, Shrivastava S, Jain S, Jain NK. Pharmazie 2002;57:261–264. [PubMed: 11998447]

5. (a) Johansson G, Percec V, Ungar G, Zhou JP. Macromolecules 1996;29:646–660. (b) Percec V, Johansson G, Ungar G, Zhou J. J Am Chem Soc 1996;118:9855–9866. (c) Percec V, Glodde M, Bera TK, Mlura Y, Shlyanovskaya I, Singer KD, Balagurusamy VSK, Helney PA, Schnell I, Rapp A, Spless HW, Hudson SD, Duan H. Nature 2002;419:384–387. [PubMed: 12352988] (d) Percec V, Glodde M, Johansson G, Balagurusamy VSK, Heiney PA. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003;42:4338–4342. (e) Percec V, Glodde M, Peterca M, Rapp A, Schnell I, Spiess HW, Bera TK, Miura Y, Balagurusamy VSK, Aquad E, Heiney PA. Chem Eur J 2006;12:6298–6314. (f) Percec V, Aqad E, Peterca M, Imam MR, Glodde M, Bera TK, Miura Y, Balagurusamy VSK, Ewbank PC, Wurthner F, Heiney PA. Chem Eur J 2007;13:3330–3345. (g) Chvalun SN, Shcherbina MA, Yakunin AN, Blackwell J, Percec V. Polym Sci Ser A 2007;49:158–167.

6. (a) Curran, DP. Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry. Gladysz, JA.; Curran, DP.; Horváth, IT., editors. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2003. p. 142-149. (b) Curran DP. Quimica 2008:10. And references therein.

7. Jiang ZX, Liu X, Jeong EK, Yu YB. Angew Chem Int Ed 2009;48:4755–4758.

8. (a) Tomalia DA, Huang B, Swanson DR, Brothers HM II, Klimash JW. Tetrahedron 2003;59:3799–3813. (b) DeMattei CR, Huang B, Tomalia DA. Nano Lett 2004;4:771–777. (c) Saez IM, Goodby JW. Chem Eur J 2002;9:4869–4877. (d) Percec V, Imam MR, Bera TK, Balagurusamy VSK, Peterca M, Heiney PA. Angew Chem Int Ed 2005;44:4739–4745. (e) Rosen BM, Wilson CJ, Wilson DA, Peterca M, Imam MR, Percec V. Chem Rev 2009;106:6275–6540. [PubMed: 19877614]

9. (a) Lorenz K, Frey H, Stühn B, Mülhaupt R. Macromolecules 1997;30:6860–6868. (b) Huang Z, Sengar RS, Wiener EC. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 2007;2:288–289.

10. (a) Jiang ZX, Yu YB. Tetrahedron 2007;63:3982–3988. [PubMed: 18461118] (b) Jiang ZX, Yu YB. Synthesis 2008:215–220.

11. FluoroFlash® column (4.6 × 150 mm, 5 μm), gradient 60% MeOH-H_2O to 100% MeOH in 15 min and then maintain 100% MeOH, flow rate 1 mL/min; NP-amide-80 column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm), gradient 100% CH_3CN to 70% CH_3CN-H_2O in 30 min, flow rate 1 mL/min; RP-C18 column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm), gradient 40% CH_3CN-H_2O to 70% CH_3CN-H_2O in 30 min, flow rate 1 mL/min, rt. for all runs. The sample was prepared by dissolving and mixing pure fluorinated dendrimers (5 μmol each) in methanol (5 mL).

12. Tomalia DA. J Nanopart Res 2009;11:1251–1310.

13. Muller N, Birkhahn RH. J Phys Chem 1967;71:957.

**FIGURE 1. Structures and fluorine contents of four fluorinated dendrimers**

Dendrimer	G0	G1	G2	G3
Fluorine content (F%)	50.1	39.4	27.1	16.8

FIGURE 2. HPLC separation of fluorinated dendrimer mixture (G0 + G2 + G3 + G4).[11]
(Signals were vertically displaced for display. Columns: FluoroFlash® (top); NP-amide-80 (middle); RP-C$_{18}$ (bottom))

FIGURE 3. ^{19}F T_1 (filled circles) and T_2 (hollow circles) of G0, G1, G2 and G3 (left to right) (^{19}F NMR, 376 MHz, 25°C, 25 mM in CD$_3$OD)

FIGURE 4. ^{19}**F NMR (376 MHz) determination of the critical micelle concentration (CMC) for G2 (dark red) and G3 (dark green) in water**

SCHEME 1. Stratety for the synthesis of fluorinated dendrimers.[i]

[i] **2**, **4**, **6** and **8** were synthesized individually using fluorous mono-component synthesis (along the Y-axis). **2**, **4**, **6** and **8** were then mixed and underwent fluorous multi-component synthesis (along the X-axis) to give **G0**, **G1**, **G2** and **G3** as a mixture, which was then separated using preprative HPLC. Each pair of starting material and product is connected by a solid or dashed arrow in mon- and multi-component synthesis, repsectively.

SCHEME 2. Fluorous synthesis of intermediates 2, 4, 6, 8.[j][h]
[j] Reagents and Conditions: (a) KH, BrCH$_2$CO$_2$tBu, THF, rt.; (b) TFA, anisole, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, rt.; (c) DIC, HOBt, HN(CH$_2$CO$_2$tBu)$_2$, DMF/THF (1/1), rt. [h] Synthesis of M0, M1, M2 and M3 (in italic) is illustrated in SCHEME 3. While, the chemical structures of M0, M1, M2 and M3 are indicated here.

Mixture of fluorinated esters

Mixture of fluorinated acids

Mixture of fluorinated benzyl ethers

Mixture of fluorinated dendrimers

8		9		M3		G3
+		+		+		+
6	TFA, anisol CH_2Cl_2, rt.	7	DIC, HOBt $H_2N(CH_2CH_2O)_4Bn$ DMF/THF (1/1), rt.	M2	H_2, Pd/C MeOH, rt.	G2
+		+		+		+
4		5		M1		G1
+		+		+		+
2		3		M0		G0

SCHEME 3. FMS of fluorinated dendrimers G0 to G3