

Published in final edited form as:


Org Lett. 2010 May 21; 12(10): 2182–2185. doi:10.1021/ol100495w.

A Formal [4+4] Complementary Ambiphile Pairing (CAP) Reaction: A New Cyclization Pathway for ortho-Quinone Methides

Thiwanka B. Samarakoon, Moon Y. Hur, Ryan D. Kurtz, and Paul R. Hanson*

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, KS 66045-7582 and the Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development at the University of Kansas (KU-CMLD), 2121 Simons Drive, Lawrence, KS 66047

Abstract



A formal, one-pot, [4+4] cyclization pathway for the generation of 8-member sultams via *in-situ* generation of an ortho-quinone methide (*o*-QM) is reported. The pairing of ambiphilic synthons in a complementary fashion is examined whereby *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonamides are merged with *in-situ*-generated *o*-QM in a formal [4+4] cyclization pathway to afford 5,2,1-dibenzooxathiazocine-2,2-dioxide scaffolds under microwave (*mW*) conditions. The method reported represents the first use of an *o*-QM in a formal hetero [4+4] cyclization.

The development of chemical methodologies allowing ready access to novel heterocyclic scaffolds in a minimal number of steps is a key facet of drug discovery. Single pot, multi-component reaction (MCR) strategies in particular have gained high value in this regard.¹ The great majority of these reactions involve the combination of reactive partners possessing single nucleophile-electrophile interactions, followed by subsequent merging in domino, cascade or tandem pathways to produce heterocyclic moieties. In comparison, synthons containing both electrophilic and nucleophilic sites (ambiphilic synthons)^{2,3,4} have great potential in developing new reaction pathways with high step economy,⁵ but remain underdeveloped. Interest in the development of chemical methodologies for the production of diverse sultam libraries has led to the exploration of complementary ambiphile pairing (CAP) pathways as part of a general strategy for the production of benzofused sultams in a facile manner (Figure 1).

At the heart of the titled method is the complementary pairing of two ambiphilic synthons, *o*-fluoro benzenesulfonamides and ortho-quinone methide (*o*-QM) in a formal one-pot [4+4] approach to afford the novel 5,2,1-dibenzooxathiazocine-2,2-dioxide ring system. This route augments a recently reported [4+3] epoxide cascade strategy developed in our laboratory and others using the ambiphilic character of *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonamides for the synthesis of benzofused sultams.⁶

phanson@ku.edu.

 Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and spectral characterization for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Quinone methides are reactive intermediates that have been known for more than fifty years⁷ and are extensively utilized in biological processes.⁸ In addition to their use in nature, they also have great synthetic potential. In particular, *o*-QMs are highly versatile intermediates as they can serve as Michael acceptors or dienes in cycloaddition reactions. A number of natural products as well as biologically active heterocycles have been accessed utilizing *in situ* generated *o*-QMs.⁹ The overwhelming majority of cases involve the utilization of *o*-QMs as dienes in hetero Diels-Alder reactions. Recently, elegant use of acyl-anion Michael additions into *o*-QMs has been reported for the generation of α -aryl ketones.¹⁰ However, in spite of numerous reports of aza-Michael reactions of *o*-QMs in biological systems, the exploitation of these pathways in the synthesis of heterocycles is limited to reports of the dimerization of orthoquinone methides (*o*-QMs).¹¹ In contrast, the utilization of *o*-QMs as ambiphiles in hetero [4+4] cyclizations has not been reported in the literature.

The aforementioned dibenzooxathiazocine ring system represents a new subclass within sultams that have not been reported to-date. Sultams are a class of non-natural heterocycles that have gained prominence in recent years due to their activity against a wide spectrum of biological targets.^{12,13} Their acyclic precursors, sulfonamides, are highly versatile synthons due to the tunability of the SO₂NH pKa.¹⁴ In this regard, *o*-fluorobenzene sulfonamides are particularly attractive due to the highly electron withdrawing nature of the SO₂ functionality, in conjunction with the *o*-fluoro substituent, which impart enhanced electrophilicity at the ortho-carbon as well as attenuated acidity/nucleophilicity of the sulfonamide NH (Scheme 1). The ability of *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonamides to undergo facile nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) reactions^{6,15} at the ortho-carbon, allow for their potential pairing with *o*-QMs in CAP strategies for production of benzofused sultams. This pairing entails an aza-Michael addition at the exo methylene *o*-QM carbon and subsequent interception of the nucleophilic phenoxy by *o*-fluoro benzenesulfonamides via an S_NAr reaction in a formal [4+4] cyclization pathway (Scheme 1).

Investigations commenced with the production of an array of 2° *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonamides under modified Schotten-Baumann conditions. *o*-QMs can be formed *in situ* from 2-hydroxybenzyl alcohol derivatives under basic conditions.⁷ Accordingly, a mixture of 2-fluorobenzenesulfonamide **1**, was mixed with 2-hydroxy benzyl alcohol in the presence of anhydrous K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv.) in DMF and was subjected to microwave irradiation (*mW*) at 140 °C for 30 minutes (Scheme 2). The sulfonamide starting material was completely consumed to afford a compound that was highly visible on TLC under UV irradiation. Characterization of the product revealed the novel tricyclic sultam **2** containing the dibenzooxathiazocine ring system. However, the overall yield of the reaction was modest possibly due to inefficient generation of the *o*-QM intermediate as well as potential dimerization/trimerization of the *o*-QM.¹⁶

Investigations were then focused on alternate methods of *o*-QM generation. Interestingly, exposure of **1** to the *o*-acetoxy benzyl acetate of 2-hydroxy benzyl alcohol afforded the desired product in increased yields. Attention was then turned to the conditions reported by Rokita and co-workers.^{7a} Accordingly, microwave irradiation of the sulfonamide in the presence of TBAF and *o*-silyloxy benzyl acetate in THF at 100 °C for 30 minutes furnished the desired product in 90% yield (Table 1, Entry 3). In this latter result, the fluoride anion serves to desilylate the silyl-protected phenol functionality thus generating the phenoxy anion, which further continues on the cascade pathway to produce the pivotal *o*-QM intermediate. In addition, it also serves as the base to produce the sulfonylamide intermediate required for the desired reaction to take place (Scheme 3).

The substrate scope was next investigated. A variety of sulfonamides were subjected to the above conditions and were found to proceed smoothly to afford the desired sultam in excellent

yields (Table 1). The optimized reaction protocol was found to tolerate a range of substituents on the N atom including alkyl, propargyl and benzyl functionalities. Pleasingly, the reaction protocol was found to tolerate Cl and Br atoms on the aromatic ring. Halide substitution was important, as this would allow for functionalization of these scaffolds for library production via transition metal-catalyzed coupling reactions.

To the best of our knowledge, the ring system produced has not been reported in the literature. The structure of these unique heterocycles was confirmed via X-ray crystallography (Figure 2). The method reported herein represents the first instance of the use of an *o*-QM in a formal hetero [4+4] cyclization pathway to generate a heterocycle.

In order to expand the method, application of the *o*-QM-mediated [4+4] CAP strategy was investigated with amino ester-derived *o*-fluorobenzene sulfonamides. Treatment of both leucine- and valine-derived substrates, **2-l** and **2-m**, under above conditions gratifyingly afforded the desired product in excellent yields (Table 1, Entries 12–13). These results enhance the scope of the method and allow for further elaboration of the scaffolds in library efforts. X-ray data also confirmed the source of the unique upfield ¹H-NMR shifts of the MeO-group in entries 12 and 13 which were found to be at 2.28 and 2.40 ppm, respectively, most likely due to shielding from the aromatic ring system in these conformationally rigid systems (Figure 3).

In the last two entries (12–13), a 6:1 and 3.7:1 mixture of inseparable products is seen in the ¹H NMR spectra, which have been tentatively assigned as N-C14 rotamers. Evidence of this lies in the fact that the ¹H, ¹³C, ¹H/¹H COSY, ¹H/¹³C HSQC, and DEPT all showed the existence of a set of peaks that was almost identical to that of the major product albeit with a change in chemical shift values, and matching the expected structure of the product. The ¹H NMR shifts for the MeO-group in **2-l**-minor and **2-m**-minor are 3.52 and 3.72 ppm, respectively (compared with 2.28 and 2.40 in the major isomers, respectively, as stated above). In contrast, the ¹H NMR shifts for the diastereotopic gem-dimethyl groups in the **2-l**-minor rotamer are at 0.75 and 0.02 ppm due to shielding by the aromatic ring system (as opposed to 1.10 and 0.98 ppm in the **2-l**-major rotamer).

In conclusion, a one-pot, complementary amphiphile-pairing (CAP) reaction has been developed to produce 1,2-dibenzoxathiazocine-4,4-dioxides in a formal [4+4] cyclization pathway under MW conditions. This report represents the first instance of the generation of 5,2,1-dibenzoxathiazocine-2,2-dioxide scaffolds and the first reported method utilizing *in-situ* generated *o*-QM in a formal hetero [4+4] cyclization process. This method is suitable to library production and diversity-oriented synthesis. Efforts along these lines and additional application of CAP strategies are currently in order and will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This investigation was generously supported by funds provided by the the National Institute of General Medical Sciences [Pilot-Scale Libraries Program (P41 GM076302) and The University of Kansas Center for Chemical Methodologies and Library Development (KU-CMLD) (P50 GM069663)].

References

1. (a) Zhu, J.; Bienayme, H. *Multicomponent Reactions*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co; KGaA, Weinheim: 2005. (b) Toure BB, Hall DG. *Chem Rev* 2009;109:4439–4486. [PubMed: 19480390] (c) Sunderhaus JD, Martin SF. *Chem Eur J* 2009;15:1300–1308.

2. (a) Bontemps S, Gornitzka H, Bouhadir G, Miqueu K, Bourissou D. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2006;45:1611–1614. (b) Bontemps S, Bouhadir G, Miqueu K, Bourissou D. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:12056–12057. [PubMed: 16967941] (c) Bontemps S, Bouhadir G, Dyer PW, Miqueu K, Bourissou D. *Inorg Chem* 2007;46:5149–5151. [PubMed: 17523635]
3. Molecules containing both electrophilic and nucleophilic nodes have also been also defined as amphiphilic molecules, see: (a) Nakamura H, Shim JG, Yamamoto Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:8113–8114. (b) Nakamura H, Aoyagi K, Shim JG, Yamamoto Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:372–377. (c) Kimura M, Tamaki T, Nakata M, Tohyama K, Tamaru Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2008;120:5887–5889.
4. Molecules containing both electrophilic and nucleophilic nodes have been also defined as amphoteric molecules by Yudin, see: (a) Yudin A, Hili R. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:16404–16406. [PubMed: 19856916] (b) Yudin A, Hili R. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2008;120:4188–4191.
5. Wender PA, Verma VA, Paxton TJ, Pillow TH. *Acc Chem Res* 2008;41:40–49. [PubMed: 18159936]
6. (a) Rolfe A, Samarakoon TB, Hanson PR. *Org Lett* 2010;12:1216–1219. [PubMed: 20178346] (b) Cleator E, Baxter CA, O'Hagan M, O'Riordan TJC, Sheen FJ, Stewart GW. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2010:1079–1082.
7. For a comprehensive review on o-QM see: (a) Rokita, SE. *Wiley Series of Reactive Intermediates in Chemistry and Biology*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; Hoboken, NJ: 2009. *Quinone Methides*. (b) Van De Water RW, Pettus TRR. *Tetrahedron* 2002;58:5367–5404. [PubMed: 19079773] (c) Pettus TRR, Selenski C. *Sci Synth* 2006;28:831–872.
8. Wolkenberg SE, Boger DL. *Chem Rev* 2002;102:2477–2495. [PubMed: 12105933]
9. (a) Bender CF, Yoshimoto FK, Paradise CL, De Brabander JK. *J Am Chem Soc* 2009;131:11350–11352. [PubMed: 19722648] (b) Lumb JP, Choong KC, Trauner D. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:9230–9231. [PubMed: 18582058] (d) Selenski C, Pettus TRR. *J Org Chem* 2004;69:9196–9203. [PubMed: 15609955]
10. Mattson AE, Scheidt KA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:4508–4509. [PubMed: 17378561]
11. (a) Pisova N, Soucek M. *Collect Czech Chem Commun* 1982;47:838–842. (b) Wang P, Wang Y, Hu W, Liang X. *Eur J Org Chem* 2009;13:2055–2058. (c) Rosenau, T.; Bömdorfer, S. *Wiley Series of Reactive Intermediates in Chemistry and Biology*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc; Hoboken, NJ: 2009. *Quinone Methides*; p. 180
12. (a) Drews J. *Science* 2000;287:1960–1964. [PubMed: 10720314] (b) Scozzafava A, Owa T, Mastrolorenzo A, Supuran CT. *Curr Med Chem* 2003;10:925–953. [PubMed: 12678681]
13. Jiménez-Hopkins M, Hanson PR. *Org Lett* 2008;10:2223–2226. [PubMed: 18447383]
14. (a) Rayabarappu DR, Zhou A, Jeon K, Samarakoon T, Rolfe A, Siddiqui H. *Tetrahedron* 2009;65:3180–3188. [PubMed: 20161276] (b) Zhou A, Hanson PR. *Org Lett* 2009;11:531–534. [PubMed: 19115841]
15. Penso M, Albanese D, Landini D, Lupi V, Tagliabue A. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:6686–6690. [PubMed: 18687000]
16. Heating at 110 °C in DMF for 12 hours under reflux resulted in poor conversion and low product yields.






Figure 1.
Pairing Strategies for Benzofused Sultam Synthesis.




*Thermal ellipsoid ORTEP diagram
At 50% probability generated
using SHELXTL*



*Thermal ellipsoid ORTEP diagram
At 50% probability generated
using Mercury 2.3*

Figure 2.
ORTEP diagram of 2-f.



*Thermal Elipsoid ORTEP Diagram
at 50% probability - SHELXTL Plot*

*Thermal Elipsoid ORTEP Diagram
at 50% probability - Mercury 2.0 Plot*








Figure 3.
ORTEP diagram of 2-f.

**Scheme 1.**

Pairing *o*-fluorobenzenesulfonamides and *o*-QM's in a formal [4+4] reaction.




Scheme 2.
Formal [4+4]-heterocyclization reaction utilizing *o*-QM under *mW* irradiation.



Scheme 3.
Mechanism for formal [4+4] CAP utilizing *o*-silyloxybenzyl acetate

Table 1

Substrate scope of *o*-QM mediated [4+4] reaction


entry	pd1	R ¹	R ²	yield (%) ^a
1	2a	H	2-OMe Bn	71
2	2b	H	2,3-Cl CH ₂ Ph	73
3	2c	4-Br	<i>n</i> Bu	90
4	2d	4-Br	<i>i</i> Bu	91
5	2e	4-Br	PMB	82
6	2f	4-Br	cyclopropyl	81
7	2g	4-Br	propargyl	94
8	2h	5-Cl	Allyl	94
9	2i	5-Cl	PMB	71
10	2j	5-Cl	3-F Bn	93
11	2k	5-Cl	2-OMe Bn	87
12	2l	4-Br	(<i>i</i> Pr)CHCO ₂ Me	93
13	2m	4-Br	(<i>i</i> Bu)CHCO ₂ Me	77

^[a] isolated yield after column chromatography